ELISA detection of hazelnut proteins: effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins

Tatiana Cucu, Céline Platteau, Isabel Taverniers, Bart Devreese, Marc de Loose, Bruno de Meulenaer

► To cite this version:

Tatiana Cucu, Céline Platteau, Isabel Taverniers, Bart Devreese, Marc de Loose, et al.. ELISA detection of hazelnut proteins: effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2010, 28 (1), pp.1. 10.1080/19440049.2010.535026 . hal-00654490

HAL Id: hal-00654490

<https://hal.science/hal-00654490>

Submitted on 22 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ELISA detection of hazelnut proteins: effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2010-275.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Oct-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Cucu, Tatiana; Ghent University, Department of Food Safety and Food Quality; Ghent University, Department for Biochemistry and Microbiology Platteau, Céline; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit Taverniers, Isabel; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit Devreese, Bart; Ghent University, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology De Loose, Marc; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit De Meulenaer, Bruno; Ghent University, Department of Food Safety and Food Quality
Methods/Techniques:	Immunoassays, Screening - ELISA
Additives/Contaminants:	Allergens
Food Types:	Plants

ELISA detection of hazelnut proteins: effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins

Tatiana Cucu ^{a,c,\$}, Céline Platteau ^{a,b,\$}, Isabel Taverniers ^b, Bart Devreese ^c, Marc De Loose ^{b,d}, Bruno De Meulenaer ^{a*}

^a Department of Food Safety and Food Quality, Research Group Food Chemistry and Human Nutrition, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

^b Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Technology and Food Science Unit, Merelbeke, Belgium

^c Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Laboratory for Protein Biochemistry and Biomolecular Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent Belgium

^d Department of Molecular Genetics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

^{\$} These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author: Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000, Belgium.
Tel.: +32 92 64 61 66; fax: + 32 92 64 62 15. E-mail address: Bruno.DeMeulenaer@UGent.be

Abstract

Hazelnuts are widely used in the food industry, especially confectionary foods. Nevertheless, these nuts contain several allergenic proteins which may be unexpectedly present as contaminants in various foods and may pose a serious threat to allergic consumers. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the preferred method to assess the level of hazelnut protein contamination. It is commonly used both by the food industry and enforcement agencies. Several ELISA kits are commercially available. However, protein detectability by ELISA may be affected by severe changes that proteins undergo during processing. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the impact of processing on the ability to detect hazelnut protein by four commercial ELISA kits. Hazelnut proteins in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins were modified with glucose via the Maillard reaction. Changes in hazelnut proteins, such as the formation of protein bound carbonyls, losses of reactive lysine residues and free amino groups, and severe aggregation dramatically affected the hazelnut protein detection by the commercial kits. The observed impact was highly dependent on the type of ELISA kit used.

Keywords: Hazelnut allergy, ELISA, detectability, Maillard reaction, reactive lysine, protein bound carbonyls, aggregation

Introduction

Food allergy is an abnormal immunological response due to sensitization to a food component. Food allergies have become an important health problem, especially in industrialized countries, where they have been estimated to affect about 2% of the adult population and up to 8% of children (Monaci et al., 2006). Together with the hen’s egg, cow’s milk, fish, crustacean, peanut, soybean and wheat, tree nuts are typically associated with severe allergic reactions. Among the latter, hazelnut (*Corylus avellana*) allergy is becoming more important because of its increased incidence, which is related to the homology between the major birch pollen allergen *Bet v 1* and the allergens from hazelnut (Hansen et al., 2003). As a consequence, hazelnut allergies are predominantly secondary allergies. Hazelnut allergy can cause severe reactions and occurs mostly throughout the European population with a prevalence between 0.1 and 0.5% (Birmingham et al., 2005; deGroot et al., 1996). Moreover, numerous cases of hazelnut contamination of foods have been reported (Kiening et al., 2005; Pele Maria et al., 2007).

Given that the only effective treatment for hazelnut or other food allergies is the complete avoidance of the food containing the allergen, this represents an important food safety problem. Directive 2007/68/EC specifies a list of 14 allergic raw materials which must be labeled when present in the food as an ingredient (European Parliament and Council Directive 2007/68/EC, 2007). However, due to the growing complexity of food formulation and food processing, food may be unintentionally contaminated via allergen-containing ingredients or carry-over during processing. For this reason, accurate screening of the raw materials and the end products is essential. However, no “gold standard methods” exists for detection of food allergens. At present, the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is most frequently used in routine food analysis because it is relatively cheap, easy to perform and highly sensitive. ELISA methods are based on specific molecular interactions between

the antibodies used in the assay and the analyte, in this case proteins. During food processing, however, proteins undergo changes such as aggregation, polymerization, degradation and random unfolding. Moreover, food proteins often interact with other food components during processing and can lead to additional modifications at the protein level. Therefore, the antibody-protein interaction during the analytical procedure might be affected and potentially lead to erroneous assay results (De Meulenaer et al., 2005).

Hazelnuts are frequently used in food products containing significant amounts of sugar (e.g. cookies, chocolate, breakfast cereals and, nut spreads). During thermal treatment and storage, hazelnut proteins might be modified by the Maillard reaction, which occurs between a reducing sugar and amino groups in proteins. The products formed as a result of Maillard reaction are significant in foods because they are responsible for flavor and color (Brands and van Boekel, 2001). Abundant evidence exists for how thermal processing together with Maillard reaction can greatly influence the IgE binding activity of food allergens (Gruber et al., 2004; Gruber et al., 2005; Nakamura et al., 2005; Nakamura et al., 2008). In contrast, little information is available on how thermal processing and the Maillard reaction influence the performance of commercially available ELISA kits for allergen detection. Such kits are often used in the food industry and official food agency laboratories to detect and quantify hidden allergens in food. Recently, Scaravelli *et al.* demonstrated that thermal treatment of cookies spiked with peanut caused a decline in the detection of *Ara h1* and *Ara h2* by two commercial ELISA kits (Scaravelli et al., 2009).

The aim of this study was to investigate whether the Maillard reaction in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins affects the detectability of hazelnut proteins in solution. This was done in 1% buffered protein solutions incubated with 6% glucose at 70°C for up to 48 h. A model system with soluble wheat proteins was chosen, given that wheat is one of the bulk ingredients in cookies and breakfast cereals. First, the impact of the Maillard reaction on

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the hazelnut proteins was assessed by evaluating the losses of reactive lysine residues and of
free amino groups, generation of protein bound carbonyls and changes in the SDS-PAGE
pattern. Additionally, the influence on the hazelnut protein detectability was assessed by
analyzing the modified protein solutions by four commercially available ELISA kits:
Veratox® for Hazelnut, Ridascreeen® FAST Hazelnut, Hazelnut Residue and BioKits
Hazelnut Assay were used for this purpose.

Materials and methods

Reagents and standards

Soluble wheat proteins “Meripro 711” were kindly provided by Syral Belgium N.V. (Aalst, Belgium). Chemicals and standards of analytical grade were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium), VWR (Leuven, Belgium) and Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Analytical grade solvents and technical grade hexane were obtained from Chem-Lab (Zedelgem, Belgium). Gel and standards for SDS-PAGE came from Bio-Rad (Nazareth, Belgium) while the Krypton protein stain was provided by Thermo Scientific (Aalst, Belgium). The commercial ELISA kits used were Veratox® for hazelnut (Neogen, Lansing, USA), Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany), BioKits Hazelnut Assay (Tepnel, Flintshire, UK) and Hazelnut Residue (ELISA Systems, Queensland, Australia).

Hazelnut protein extraction

Hazelnuts from nine different brands were purchased in Belgian local supermarkets; eight brands were virgin and one was roasted. A mixture of the hazelnuts was made by taking equal amounts of each kind. Hazelnuts were frozen with liquid nitrogen and ground in a two-step process, first with a blender (Moulinex, France) then with an Ultra Turrax t25 (IKA, Wilmington, US).

The in-house prepared hazelnut protein extracts were obtained following Yeung and Collin’s protocol (Yeung and Collins, 1996). In summary, 100 g of ground nuts were defatted four times using 1 L technical hexane each time. After allowing the solvent to evaporate overnight at ambient temperature, 10 g of the defatted powder was mixed with 100 mL of extraction buffer and mixed at 4°C over night. Protein extraction buffer consisted of 1 M NaCl, 1.47 mM KH₂PO₄, 2.7 mM KCl and 10 mM Na₂HPO₄. Sodium azide 0.2 g L⁻¹ was

added to the extraction buffer to avoid microbial growth. Further, a mixture of protease inhibitors (2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5 mM sodium diethyldithiocarbamate (DIECA), 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride (BAHC) and 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)) was added to the extraction buffer to increase the stability of the protein isolate during extraction and storage (Bjorksten et al., 1980). The protein mixture was further centrifuged for 1h at 4°C and 20000 g to separate the lees. Subsequently, the clear supernatant was dialyzed (Spectra/Por 3 membrane tubing, MWCO 3.5 kDa) using the extraction buffer with the protease inhibitors containing only 0.137 M NaCl, for 48h at 4°C and then filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Puradisc FP 30, Novolab, Belgium). Finally, the protein solution was lyophilized and stored at -20°C until further use. This extract was used as the reference extract.

Maillard reaction in the absence of soluble wheat proteins

Hazelnut protein extracts were dialyzed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (Spectra/Por 3 membrane tubing, MWCO 3.5 kDa) before treatment to remove the nitrogen-containing protease inhibitors. All reaction systems were prepared in the same phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) by mixing 1% (w/v) hazelnut proteins with 6% (w/v) glucose. The reaction systems in closed falcon tubes were incubated in a water bath at 70°C for up to 48 h. A glucose-free reaction system was used as a control. Samples were retrieved from the water bath and placed in an ice bath to stop the reaction at specific times. Further, the modified protein solutions were immediately used for the determination of reactive lysine residues, protein bound carbonyls, free thiol and free amino groups. Aliquots for SDS-PAGE were frozen at -20°C until further use.

Maillard reaction in the presence of soluble wheat proteins

Two types of glucose-containing model systems were prepared. One model system was obtained by mixing 0.25% (w/v) of hazelnut proteins with 0.75% (w/v) of wheat proteins and 6% (w/v) of glucose. Another model system was obtained by mixing 0.5% (w/v) hazelnut proteins with 0.5% (w/v) wheat proteins and 6% (w/v) glucose. Respective model systems without glucose were prepared as control. These systems were then treated similarly as the model systems containing no soluble wheat proteins.

Assessment of the Maillard reaction

Determination of the protein bound carbonyls

Protein bound carbonyls were measured after converting them to the corresponding 2, 4- dinitrophenylhydrazones. Briefly, 300 μL protein solution was incubated with 400 μL 2,4- dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) 10 mM in 2 M HCl. Further, the proteins were precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid (final concentration) and thoroughly washed with 1 mL ethanol/ethyl acetate (1:1) after derivatization; hence any unreacted DNPH or DNPH bound to any other components than protein, was removed. Finally, the pellet was redissolved in 6 M urea and absorbance was measured at 370 nm using a Bio-Rad Benchmark Plus microplate spectrophotometer (Nazareth, Belgium). The carbonyl content was calculated using a molar absorption coefficient of $22000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the results were expressed in nmol bound carbonyls/mg protein.

Loss of reactive lysine residues

The loss of reactive lysine residues was monitored using derivatization with *ortho*-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) in the presence of 2-mercaptoethanol yielding a fluorescent product with a maximum excitation wavelength of 340 nm and emission of 450 nm (Ferrer et al., 2003) and measured by a Spectramax Gemini XPS fluorimeter from Molecular Devices

(Brussels, Belgium). A standard of β -casein was used to prepare a calibration curve and samples were pre-treated and analyzed using a fluorimeter as described previously (Morales et al., 1996).

Free amino groups determination

The modification of free amino groups was determined using derivatization with 2, 4, 6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) as previously described (Fields, 1972). Briefly, 0.5 mL of protein solution (containing between 0.05 – 0.25 mg proteins) was incubated with 0.5 mL of 0.1 M tetraborate buffer prepared in 0.1 M NaOH. Next, 20 μ L of 0.5% TNBS solution was added to the proteins and the reaction mixture was incubated for exactly 10 minutes at room temperature. To stop the reaction, 2 mL of stop solution (1.5 mL of 0.1 M $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ with 98.5 mL of 0.1 M NaH_2PO_4) were added. The absorbance of the resulting mixture was read at 420 nm using a Cary 50 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer from Varian (Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium). The amount of free amino groups was calculated using a molar absorption coefficient of 22000 $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ and results were expressed in nmol free amino groups/mg protein.

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Protein cross-linking or fragmentation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. A 4% acrylamide stacking gel and a 10% acrylamide resolving gel were used for protein separation. An aliquot of 10 μ L sample containing 6 μ g of protein was added to 10 μ L Laemmli sample buffer containing β -mercaptoethanol and heated at 95°C for 5 min. The samples were further loaded on the gel along with a Precision plus protein unstained molecular weight marker (Bio Rad, Nazareth, Belgium). Krypton fluorescence staining was used to visualize the bands. The gels were read using the Molecular Imager PharosFX Plus

168 from Bio Rad (Nazareth, Belgium) and the respective molecular weights of the hazelnut
169 proteins were recorded.

170 *Protein determination*

171 Protein determination was performed by determining the nitrogen content according to
172 the Kjeldahl procedure (AOAC Official Method 981.10, 1981). A factor of 5.41 was used to
173 convert nitrogen to total hazelnut protein, and 6.25 to convert to total protein content for the
174 hazelnut wheat protein mixtures. The non-protein nitrogen (NPN) fraction was determined in
175 the supernatant after a previous protein precipitation with 15% TCA (final concentration).

176 *ELISA assays*

177 Samples of the above-described model systems were analyzed using four different
178 commercial ELISA kits: Veratox® for hazelnut (Neogen), Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut (R-
179 Biopharm), BioKits Hazelnut Assay (Tepnel) and Hazelnut Residue (ELISA Systems). The
180 four kits were applied to the different model systems. These model systems included (1) 1%
181 hazelnut proteins, (2) 1% hazelnut proteins with 6% glucose, (3) 0.5% hazelnut + 0.5%
182 soluble wheat proteins, (4) 0.25% hazelnut + 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, (5) 0.5%
183 hazelnut + 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose, (6) 0.25% hazelnut + 0.75% soluble
184 wheat proteins and 6% glucose. Of the different model systems, samples retrieved after 0, 24
185 and 48 h incubation at 70°C were analyzed. The untreated sample (= 0 h of thermal treatment)
186 of the glucose-free model system (1) containing only hazelnut proteins is referred to as the
187 reference sample. Before the analysis, dilution series were made of the different samples in
188 the extraction buffer or dilution solution of the respective kits. The actual concentrations are
189 the concentrations of hazelnut proteins based on the absolute protein determination using the
190 Kjeldahl procedure and do not include the wheat proteins that were added in some of the
191 model systems. The dilution series of the samples were analyzed in duplicate in the different

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

kits according to the manufacturers' instructions. Absorbance measurements were made in a microtiter plate reader (Multiskan MCC/340, Titertek, Huntsville, Alabama). Each kit was calibrated using the respective standard protein solutions included in each kit. For fitting the absorbance values to the protein concentration of the standard solutions, a four-parameter-logistic dose-response curve was used with equation 2.1 below. This equation represents a standard way to express immunoassay data, where a is the maximum dose (protein concentration) of the curve, b is the minimum concentration, c is the E_{50} -value (concentration at half-maximal saturation), d is the slope of the curve and $conc$ is the concentration of the standard or sample (Englebiene, 2000).

$$Absorbance = \left[\frac{(b - a)}{\left(1 + \frac{conc}{c}\right)^d} \right] + a \tag{2.1}$$

For the Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut data were also fitted according to a cubic spline function as described in the manufacturer's instructions. In case of the Veratox for Hazelnut the kit's procedure recommended fitting the data to log-logit function, which is a linearization of the four-parameter logistic function. For the Hazelnut Residue and the BioKits Hazelnut Assay no suggestion was made with respect to curve fitting. Only the data obtained within the range of the respective standard curves were considered in the results. Outlying data are indicated by an asterisk (*) in the charts.

Results

Progress of the Maillard reaction

The interaction of reducing sugars or dicarbonyl compounds derived from sugars may lead to the formation of protein-bound carbonyls (Stadtman and Levine, 2003). Therefore, the amount of protein bound carbonyls was used as an indicator of the Maillard reaction. A time-dependent increase of protein bound carbonyls was observed in all model systems used (**Figure 1**). In the pure hazelnut protein samples, an almost seven-fold increase was observed after incubation for 48 h in the presence of glucose while incubation in the absence of glucose led to only a slight increase in protein carbonyls (about a three-fold increase). Incubation of hazelnut proteins in the presence of wheat proteins and glucose similarly led to an increase in the measured protein bound carbonyls, but less than the similar model systems in which no wheat proteins were present.

When incubating hazelnut proteins with glucose a gradual decrease of the reactive lysine residues was observed as incubation time increased. This led to a 64% loss after 48 h of incubation. (**Figure 2**). In contrast, incubation in the absence of glucose did not affect the reactive lysine content of the hazelnut protein, even after 48 h of incubation. The reaction systems containing 0.5% and 0.75% wheat proteins contained slightly lower amounts of reactive lysine residues as compared with the model system containing no wheat proteins, which is in line with the lysine deficiency of wheat proteins. Upon incubation, 60 to 70% losses of reactive lysine residues during the first 24 h were observed, while further incubation did not further affect the reactive lysine content.

Figure 3 shows the SDS-PAGE pattern of the hazelnut protein incubated with glucose in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins. The SDS-PAGE pattern of the native

hazelnut proteins is characterized by the presence of proteins in the molecular weight range of 10 to 80 kDa. The major hazelnut allergens detected were the *Bet v 1* related *Cor a 1* and the oleosin *Cor a 12*, both with a molecular weight of 17 kDa; *Cor a 9* - a 11S globulin with acidic (35-40 kDa) and basic (~20kDa) subunits, and *Cor a 11* which represents a 48 kDa glycoprotein. Further, *Cor a 10*, a luminal binding protein of 73 kDa was detected along with the profilin *Cor a 2* of 14 kDa (Robotham et al., 2009). When soluble wheat proteins were present in the model systems the intensity of the main hazelnut proteins bands became weaker due to the lower amounts of hazelnut present. Wheat proteins used in the model systems were partially hydrolyzed resulting in a lack of clearly visualized bands.

Upon incubation of hazelnut proteins with glucose, high molecular weight protein clusters appear as a smear in the SDS-PAGE pattern. Moreover, prominent aggregate formation occurred, which is manifested by their presence at the boundary of the resolving and stacking gel, as well as in the stacking gel. The high-molecular mass aggregates on top of the gel could not migrate into the stacking gel. They probably have molecular masses larger than 300 kDa. Upon addition of the soluble wheat proteins, it was obvious that the formation of these high molecular weight complexes was partially inhibited in a wheat protein concentration dependent manner. Nevertheless, after 48 h of incubation, smearing and formation of high molecular weight aggregates were still visible.

Detection with commercial ELISA kits

The concentration of the standards included in three of the four kits tested (Veratox® for hazelnut, Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut and BioKits Hazelnut Assay) is expressed as a particular amount of *hazelnut*. However, the samples of the different prepared model systems have a known or actual *hazelnut protein* concentration. To be able to compare the actual concentration of the samples that were analyzed with the measured concentration derived from the calibration curve obtained with the kit's standards, the concentration of the standards

was converted to the same dimension of that from the model system samples. This was done according to the formula below (3.1), taking into consideration the protein content of the hazelnut standards as indicated in the manual of the kit or, if not indicated, a protein content of 12% was used (Belser et al., 1999).

$$\text{concentration hazelnut} \times \text{protein content (\%)} = \text{concentration hazelnut protein} \quad (3.1)$$

The hazelnut or hazelnut protein concentration of the kit's standards is expressed in parts per million (ppm) which equals ng analyte/mg food product. However, as the concentration of the hazelnut proteins in the model systems is expressed in ng mL⁻¹, the concentrations of the kit's standards were converted according to formula 3.2, considering the weight of the sample (mg) extracted by a particular volume of extraction buffer (mL) according to the respective manufacturers' instructions.

$$\text{ppm} = \frac{\text{ng analyte}}{\text{mg food}} \times \frac{\text{weight of extracted sample (mg)}}{\text{volume of extraction buffer used (ml)}} = \frac{\text{ng analyte}}{\text{ml solution}} \quad (3.2)$$

For the evaluation of the kits' performance, a dilution series of the hazelnut protein solutions obtained from the six different model systems were applied in the appropriate concentration range of each kit. The obtained absorbance values were converted to a measured hazelnut protein concentration, which was compared with the actual protein concentration presents in each diluted solution (Figure 4).

Veratox for hazelnut

For the "Veratox® for hazelnut" kit, calibration curves established with the standard hazelnut solutions provided in the kit, were fitted to the four-parameter-logistic function. The

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

log-logit fit, according to the manufacturer's instructions, is a linearization of the sigmoid shape of the four-parameter logistic function. Consequently, both fittings return the same concentration for a given absorbance value. After conversion of the concentrations according to formulas 3.1 and 3.2, the standards covered a range from zero to 120 ng mL⁻¹ hazelnut protein. The actual hazelnut protein concentration present in the reference sample and its dilutions was dramatically underestimated - on average by 94% (**Figure 4A**). Upon heating the reference sample for 24 h at 70°C without glucose, the discrepancy increased between the actual hazelnut protein concentration present and the protein concentration measured by the kit. Only up to 4% of the actual hazelnut protein present could be detected (data not shown). When the heat treatment up to 48 h the detectability decreased down to about 3.3% on average.

Once soluble wheat proteins (0.75%) were added to the reference hazelnut protein sample (0.25%), an underestimation was again observed. Only 12.6% of the actual hazelnut protein concentration by the kit. This was only marginally less dramatic compared to the reference protein samples to which no wheat proteins were added. Remarkably, if a lower amount of wheat proteins was present (0.5% hazelnut + 0.5% soluble wheat proteins) even less of the actual hazelnut protein concentration was observed (9.6% detected, not shown). Upon heating the mixture of hazelnut and soluble wheat protein solutions a further decrease in the measured hazelnut protein concentration was observed, although the decrease was less pronounced compared to the similarly treated samples in the absence of soluble wheat proteins (17% compared to 45% loss in signal compared to the native reference sample in the absence and presence of soluble wheat proteins, respectively).

Upon addition of glucose during heating, the discrepancy between the actual and measured hazelnut protein concentration increased dramatically, both in the absence and

presence of soluble wheat proteins (2% or less of the actual hazelnut protein concentration was measured).

Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut

The values obtained for the standards using the “Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut” kit were fitted to the four-parameter-logistic and a cubic spline function. After conversion according to the formulas given above, the standards ranged from zero up to 90 ng mL⁻¹ hazelnut protein. After analysis of a dilution series of the reference hazelnut protein sample with the Ridascreen® FAST Hazelnut only the data for the samples actually containing less than 50 ng mL⁻¹ hazelnut protein could be considered, as the samples with a higher concentration returned absorbance values outside the range of the kits standard curve.

The measured concentrations of the reference sample were underestimated by about 17% using the logistic standard curve, except for the lowest concentration (5 ng mL⁻¹), which was underestimated by 49% (**Figure 4B**). With the cubic spline fit the calculated concentrations were about 10% higher than with the four-parameter logistic function. However, using the former fitting, no calculations could be made for samples with an absorbance value between zero and that of the first standard. Heat-treatment of the reference sample at 70°C led to a limited loss in detectability after 48 h (about 13%).

When soluble wheat proteins were added (0.75%) to the reference hazelnut protein sample (0.25%) the protein concentration was either underestimated by 12% (40 ng mL⁻¹) or overestimated by 15% (20 ng mL⁻¹). For the lowest concentration (4 ng mL⁻¹) the obtained signal resulted in a calculated concentration equal to zero. In the presence of a lower amount of soluble wheat proteins (0.5% hazelnut protein + 0.5% soluble wheat proteins) the samples were underestimated by 80% on average (data not shown). Heating alone of the mixture of hazelnut and soluble wheat proteins, led to a loss in detectability (signal reduced on average by 30% after 24 h with an additional loss of 15% after 48 h incubation as compared to the

native samples). This loss in detectability was more pronounced as compared with the similarly treated reference hazelnut protein solution in the absence of wheat proteins (13% reduction). Addition of glucose during heating led to a greater discrepancy between the actual and measured concentrations. In the presence of wheat proteins the signal further decreased further by about 30%. Interestingly, in the absence of wheat proteins an increased detectability was observed after heating for 48 h.

Hazelnut Residue

The calibration curves obtained with the standards of the “Hazelnut Residue” kit were fitted to the four-parameter logistic function. The converted concentrations of the standards ranged from zero to 500 ng mL⁻¹. The actual hazelnut protein concentration of a dilution series of the reference sample was underestimated on average by 45% (**Figure 4C**). Overall, small fluctuations in detection were observed upon heating the samples at 70°C up to 48h in the absence of glucose as compared with the reference sample. Addition of soluble wheat proteins (0.25% hazelnut + 0.75% soluble wheat proteins) resulted in increased detection, leading to an overestimation by an average of 34% for the samples considered. It should be noted that the overestimation occurred especially in the more diluted sample solutions. In addition, due to the overestimation in the presence of soluble wheat proteins, some samples were out of range (800 and 400 ng mL⁻¹). When a lower amount of wheat proteins was added (0.5% hazelnut + 0.5% wheat proteins) the considered samples were now underestimated by 24% on average (data not shown). Upon heating the samples containing hazelnut and soluble wheat proteins alone, the measured hazelnut protein concentration decreased by 45% on average. Upon addition of glucose to the reference sample during heating, the discrepancy between the actual and measured hazelnut protein concentration increased further (44% loss in signal compared to the sample heated in the absence of glucose), which was even more pronounced in the presence of soluble wheat proteins (63% loss in signal).

356 *Biokits Hazelnut Assay*

358 After conversion according to formula 3.1 and 3.2, the standards of the “Biokits Hazelnut Assay” covered a range from zero up to 192 ng mL⁻¹ hazelnut protein and the corresponding absorbencies were fitted according to the four-parameter-logistic function. The actual concentrations of the considered dilutions of the reference sample were on average overestimated by 27% (**Figure 4D**). Upon heating the reference sample for 24 h at 70°C without glucose, the measured concentration of the samples decreased by 7% (data not shown) with an additional loss in signal of 20% when the heating was extended to 48 h. When adding soluble wheat proteins (0.75%) to the reference sample (0.25% hazelnut proteins) the actual concentration was overestimated by about 50% on average, especially at the lower concentration range, while this overestimation became only 20% when a lower amount of wheat proteins (0.5% hazelnut and 0.5% wheat proteins) was added (not shown). Upon heating in the absence of glucose the overestimation became an underestimation (14% on average) When glucose was included during the heat-treatment of the samples, the discrepancy between the actual and the measured hazelnut protein concentrations further increased, both in the presence and the absence of wheat proteins. This observation was also more pronounced in the presence of soluble wheat proteins (48% loss in signal compared to the sample heat in the absence of glucose).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

A comparison of the kits manuals revealed interesting differences that affected the interpretation of the analytical results. Some of the kits express the results as amount of hazelnut present, while others express the results as amount of hazelnut protein present. Given that the allergen in question is hazelnut proteins and since the protein content of hazelnut shows some variability, it seems more appropriate to express the results as the content of hazelnut protein. Another difference observed between Ridascreen Fast Hazelnut and the other kits concerned the fitting of the calibration curve to a particular equation. The latter kit suggested using the cubic spline function. However, the equilibrium reactions involved in a immunoassay make it more appropriate to use the four logistic function, or its linearized log-logit equivalent. Moreover, the use of the alternative cubic spline function does not allow quantification of signals between zero and the first point of the calibration curve.

Use of the reference extract (obtained from a mixture of various raw and one roasted hazelnut lots) in the various kits yielded remarkable differences in their performance. The Ridascreen Fast Hazelnut kit and the Biokits Hazelnut assay proved to be the most accurate, while the Veratox for Hazelnut kit showed results that underestimated by one order of magnitude the actual hazelnut protein present in the reference extract. Interestingly, the accuracy of some kits depended somewhat upon the actual hazelnut protein concentration present in the solutions applied. Two possible causes for the differences observed between the actual hazelnut protein concentration present in the applied reference solutions and the concentration obtained by the respective kits could be suggested. One, the antibodies used in the respective kits could have been raised towards pooled hazelnut proteins extracted from different hazelnut varieties than those used for our reference extract. Two, the ratio's of the different varieties in our reference mixture could also have differed. Indeed, De Meulenaer et al. (2005) observed a difference in the response of antibodies raised towards the protein

1
2
3 extracted from Runner peanuts and those extracted from various other peanut varieties. The
4
5
6 400 kits' manufacturers could also have used a protein extract from roasted hazelnuts instead. Our
7
8 reference protein extract was based upon a mixture of 9 different hazelnut samples, of which
9
10 402 only one was roasted. Indeed, as can be observed from various evaluated chemical parameters
11
12 linked to the Maillard reaction, it was obvious that our reference extract did not contain
13
14
15 404 severely modified proteins.

16
17 Heating the reference hazelnut protein solution in the absence of glucose, which
18
19 406 restricted the Maillard reaction as confirmed from the Maillard reaction parameters monitored
20
21 (**Figures 1 – 4**), further decreased the accuracy for the Veratox for Hazelnut kit and the
22
23
24 408 Biokits Hazelnut assay. In the case of the former assay, the loss in accuracy became quite
25
26 dramatic, with less than 10% of the hazelnut protein present being detected. Some of the
27
28
29 410 hazelnut proteins seem to be heat labile and denature upon incubation at 70°C. Because of
30
31 this, the kits were able to detect less as compared with the native proteins. For the other kits
32
33
34 412 evaluated only minor losses in accuracy could be noted, or in the case of the Hazelnut
35
36 Residue kit, even a slightly increased accuracy.

37
38 414 Upon heating the reference protein solution in the presence of glucose typical chemical
39
40 indicators used to assess the impact of the reaction showed a progressive increase as a
41
42
43 416 function of incubation time (**Figure 1 and 2**). These changes also clearly indicated that severe
44
45 modifications on the molecular level of the hazelnut proteins occurred. Moreover, these
46
47
48 418 modifications gave rise to a dramatic loss in the accuracy in three of the four kits evaluated
49
50 (only the Ridascreen Fast Hazelnut assay remained accurate). For the latter assay, even a
51
52
53 420 better binding occurred between the antibodies and the Maillard modified proteins compared
54
55 to the proteins in the non-heated reference extract, most probably indicating that the
56
57
58 422 antibodies used in that assay alone were developed towards modified hazelnut proteins.

As evidenced by the gel electrophoresis experiments (**Figure 3**), upon heating the reference hazelnut protein solution in the presence of glucose, severe protein cross-linking occurred resulting in formation of high molecular weight aggregate formation. Protein cross-linking in the Maillard reaction typically originates because reactive nucleophilic groups such as lysine (**Figure 2**) react with the reactive carbonyl intermediates originating from the degraded reducing sugars. Since these reactive groups are present in several proteins, multiple proteins are linked to each other resulting in the formation of large molecular complexes. It is thus important to note that allergen quantification is typically performed in foods in which the considered allergen is present as a minor compound next to major ingredients, including bulk proteins. As a consequence, the traces of hazelnut proteins will primarily cross-link with these bulk proteins instead of cross-linking with the traces of other hazelnut proteins present. Therefore, the hazelnut proteins surface may become covered with bulk proteins, making the receptor-based detection using antibodies even more vulnerable to inaccuracy. In order to evaluate this hypothesis, mixtures of the reference protein with soluble wheat proteins were heated in the presence of glucose. Soluble wheat proteins were taken as a case example in order to avoid solubility problems of the modified proteins as a result of progressive Maillard reaction. Since the soluble wheat protein consisted of a partially hydrolyzed mixture of wheat proteins, considerably less protein aggregation occurred. This can be explained by the lower chance of retrieving multiple reactive nucleophilic groups in smaller protein fragments than in intact proteins. Nevertheless, cross-linking between hazelnut proteins and soluble wheat proteins did occur. Cross-linking proved to have dramatic consequences on the accuracy of all kits evaluated, which could be easily explained by the molecular modification induced on proteins and consecutively on the receptor-based principle of immune assays.

In view of the results obtained, it is obvious that extreme care should be taken by using receptor-based assays, such as ELISA kits for allergen detection. None of the evaluated

ELISA kits produced accurate analytical results, even when non - treated reference protein solution was used. Even if the observed underestimation with the reference proteins solution used in our study is due to the use of a hazelnut pool which is not similar to the ones used to raise antibodies in these kits, it should be noted that these kits are used worldwide to assess contamination levels of hazelnuts of different origins, and modified to different degrees. As a consequence, care should be taken when using these kits for quantitative analysis, especially in view of enforcement of for instance EC Directive 2007/68/EU. Special attention should be also drawn when qualitatively interpreting the analytical data obtained. It was striking to observe that the accuracy of the kits evaluated not only depended upon the chemical modifications induced in the reference protein extract, but also depended upon the actual protein concentration present in the evaluated solutions. For some of the kits evaluated, a considerable lack of accuracy was observed, especially at low hazelnut protein concentrations. Given that kits for allergen detection are used for trace analysis, one would expect that the concentration of the target proteins in the food extract to be low. The above results clearly show that both false positive or false negative results from the commercial ELISA kits are likely to occur. False negative results present a potentially fatal risk for allergic consumers, while false positive results may harm the food industry through unnecessary and costly product withdrawal. Finally, it should be realized that the final outcome of any analytical method is influenced by the extractability of the analyte from the food matrix. In this perspective it is important to note that protein solubility is typically affected by progressive Maillard reactions. Considering the results obtained in this study, it can be concluded that, apart from issue related to the extractability of the proteins, also chemical modifications occurring on protein level as a result of the Maillard reaction may seriously affect the outcome of receptor based analytical methods, such as ELISA's.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate the Belgian Science Policy (SD/AF/03A) for funding this research.

The authors would like to thank the Neogen Corporation for kindly providing us the Veratox

for Hazelnut ELISA kits for this study.

For Peer Review Only

References

- AOAC Official Method 981.10. 1981. Crude Protein in Meat: Block Digestion Method. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC, 16th edition.
- Belser, M., S. Koppelman, and R. Pumphrey. 1999. Internet Symposium on Food Allergens. in .
- Birmingham, N., V. Gangur, S. Samineni, L. Navuluri, and C. Kelly. 2005. Hazelnut allergy: Evidence that hazelnut can directly elicit specific IgE antibody response via activating type 2 cytokines in mice. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology* 137(4):295-302.
- Bjorksten, F., L. Halmeppuro, M. Hannuksela, and A. Lahti. 1980. Extraction and properties of apple allergens. *Allergy* 35(8):671-677.
- Brands, C. M. J., and M. A. J. S. van Boekel. 2001. Reactions of monosaccharides during heating of sugar-casein systems: Building of a reaction network model. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 49(10):4667-4675.
- De Meulenaer, B., De la Court, D. Acke, T. De Meyere, and A. Van de Keere. 2005. Development of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for peanut proteins using chicken immunoglobulins. *Food and Agricultural Immunology* 16(2):129-148.
- deGroot, H., N. W. deJong, M. H. Vuijk, and R. G. vanWijk. 1996. Birch pollinosis and atopy caused by apple, peach, and hazelnut comparison of three extraction procedures with two apple strains. *Allergy* 51(10):712-718.
- Englebienne, P. 2000. Data Analysis, Processing and Presentation. in *Immuno and Receptor Assays in Theory and Practice*.
- European Parliament and Council Directive 2007/68/EC. 2007. Amending Directive 2000/12/EC as regards the indication of the ingredients present in foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Union* 2007 L310:11-14.
- Ferrer, E., A. Alegria, R. Farre, P. Abellan, F. Romero, and G. Clemente. 2003. Evolution of available lysine and furosine contents in milk-based infant formulas throughout the shelf-life storage period. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 83(5):465-472.
- Fields, R. 1972. The Rapid Determination of Amino Groups with TNBS. Pages 464-468 in *Methods in Enzymology*. Vol. 25. Hurs CHW and Tunashell S.M, ed. Academic Press, New York.
- Gruber, P., W. M. Becker, and T. Hofmann. 2005. Influence of the Maillard reaction on the allergenicity of rAra h 2, a recombinant major allergen from peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*), its major epitopes, and peanut agglutinin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53(6):2289-2296.

- Gruber, P., S. Vieths, A. Wangorsch, J. Nerkamp, and T. Hofmann. 2004. Maillard reaction and enzymatic browning affect the allergenicity of Pru av 1, the major allergen from cherry (*Prunus avium*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 52(12):4002-4007.
- Hansen, K. S., B. K. Ballmer-Weber, D. Luttkopf, P. S. Skov, B. Wuthrich, C. Bindslev-Jensen, S. Vieths, and L. K. Poulsen. 2003. Roasted hazelnuts - allergenic activity evaluated by double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge. *Allergy* 58(2):132-138.
- Kiening, M., R. Niessner, E. Drs, S. Baumgartner, R. Krska, M. Bremer, V. Tomkies, P. Reece, C. Danks, U. Immer, and M. G. Weller. 2005. Sandwich immunoassays for the determination of peanut and hazelnut traces in foods. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53(9):3321-3327.
- Monaci, L., V. Tregoeat, A. J. van Hengel, and E. Anklam. 2006. Milk allergens, their characteristics and their detection in food: A review. *European Food Research and Technology* 223(2):149-179.
- Morales, F. J., C. Romero, and S. Jimenezperez. 1996. Evaluation of heat-induced changes in Spanish commercial milk: Hydroxymethylfurfural and available lysine content. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 31(5):411-418.
- Nakamura, A., K. Watanabe, T. Ojima, D. H. Ahn, and H. Saeki. 2005. Effect of Maillard reaction on allergenicity of scallop tropomyosin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53(19):7559-7564.
- Nakamura, S., Y. Suzuki, E. Ishikawa, T. Yakushi, H. Jing, and T. Miyamoto. 2008. Reduction of in vitro allergenicity of buckwheat Fag e 1 through the Maillard-type glycosylation with polysaccharides. *Food Chemistry* 109(3):538-545.
- Pele Maria, Marcel Brohée a, Elke Anklam a, and Arjon J. Van Hengel. 2007. Peanut and hazelnut traces in cookies and chocolates: Relationship between analytical results and declaration of food allergens on product labels. Pages 1334-1344 in .
- Robotham, J. M., G. G. Hoffman, S. S. Teuber, K. Beyer, H. A. Sampson, S. K. Sathe, and K. H. Roux. 2009. Linear IgE-epitope mapping and comparative structural homology modeling of hazelnut and English walnut 11S globulins. *Molecular Immunology* 46(15):2975-2984.
- Scaravelli, E., M. Brohee, R. Marchelli, and A. J. van Hengel. 2009. The effect of heat treatment on the detection of peanut allergens as determined by ELISA and real-time PCR. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 395(1):127-137.
- Stadtman, E. R., and R. L. Levine. 2003. Free radical-mediated oxidation of free amino acids and amino acid residues in proteins. *Amino Acids* 25(3-4):207-218.
- Yeung, J. M., and P. G. Collins. 1996. Enzyme immunoassay for determination of peanut proteins in food products. *Journal of Aoac International* 79(6):1411-1416.

Figure captions

Figure 1 Formation of protein carbonyls in hazelnut protein in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins due to incubation with 6% glucose. The data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\diamond$ 1% hazelnut proteins with 6% glucose; $\square$ 0.5% hazelnut with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% hazelnut and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\circ$ 1% hazelnut proteins

Figure 2 Loss of reactive lysine due to incubation of hazelnut proteins in the presence or in the absence of soluble wheat proteins with 6% glucose, data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\diamond$ 1% hazelnut proteins with 6% glucose; $\square$ 0.5% hazelnut with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% hazelnut and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\circ$ 1% hazelnut proteins

Figure 3 SDS-PAGE pattern of the hazelnut proteins incubated in the presence or absence of wheat proteins with glucose: 1% hazelnut proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 1), incubated for 24 h (lane 2) and 48 h (lane 3). 0.5% hazelnut proteins with 0.5% wheat proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 4), incubated for 24 h (lane 5) and for 48 h (lane 6). 0.25% hazelnut proteins with 0.75% wheat proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 7), incubated for 24 h (lane 8) and 48 h (lane 9). Molecular weight marker (lane 10)

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual hazelnut protein concentration (Y-axis) in different ELISA kits after *in duplo* analysis of a dilution series (X-axis) of a reference hazelnut protein sample (darkest) and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and in the absence (left concentration on X-axis) or presence (right concentration on X-axis) of soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample outside the range of the standard curve)

■ - reference sample, ■ - reference heated for 48 h, ■ - reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, ■ - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, ■ -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, □ - 0.25%
578 hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

For Peer Review Only

Figure 1 Formation of protein carbonyls in hazelnut protein in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins due to incubation with 6% glucose. The data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\diamond$ 1% hazelnut proteins with 6% glucose; $\square$ 0.5% hazelnut with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\triangle$ 0.25% hazelnut and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\circ$ 1% hazelnut proteins

Figure 2 Loss of reactive lysine due to incubation of hazelnut proteins in the presence or in the absence of soluble wheat proteins with 6% glucose, data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\diamond$ 1% hazelnut proteins with 6% glucose; $\square$ 0.5% hazelnut with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% hazelnut and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\circ$ 1% hazelnut proteins

Figure 3 SDS-PAGE pattern of the hazelnut proteins incubated in the presence or absence of wheat proteins with glucose: 1% hazelnut proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 1), incubated for 24 h (lane 2) and 48 h (lane 3). 0,5% hazelnut proteins with 0,5% wheat proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 4), incubated for 24 h (lane 5) and for 48 h (lane 6). 0,25% hazelnut proteins with 0,75% wheat proteins and 6% glucose non treated (lane 7), incubated for 24 h (lane 8) and 48 h (lane 9). Molecular weight marker (lane 10)

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual hazelnut protein concentration (Y-axis) in different ELISA kits after in duplo analysis of a dilution series (X-axis) of a reference hazelnut protein sample (darkest) and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and in the absence (left concentration on X-axis) or presence (right concentration on X-axis) of soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample outside the range of the standard curve)

- reference sample, - reference heated for 48 h, - reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual hazelnut protein concentration (Y-axis) in different ELISA kits after in duplo analysis of a dilution series (X-axis) of a reference hazelnut protein sample (darkest) and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and in the absence (left concentration on X-axis) or presence (right concentration on X-axis) of soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample outside the range of the standard curve)

- reference sample, - reference heated for 48 h, - reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual hazelnut protein concentration (Y-axis) in different ELISA kits after in duplo analysis of a dilution series (X-axis) of a reference hazelnut protein sample (darkest) and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and in the absence (left concentration on X-axis) or presence (right concentration on X-axis) of soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample outside the range of the standard curve)

- reference sample, - reference heated for 48 h, - reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual hazelnut protein concentration (Y-axis) in different ELISA kits after in duplo analysis of a dilution series (X-axis) of a reference hazelnut protein sample (darkest) and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and in the absence (left concentration on X-axis) or presence (right concentration on X-axis) of soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample outside the range of the standard curve)

- reference sample, - reference heated for 48 h, - reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, - 0.25% hazelnut proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose