Adaptation of wheat and protein-mineral concentrate intakes by individual hens fed ad libitum in sequential or in loose-mix systems

Umar Faruk Murtala, Isabelle Bouvarel, Nathalie Mème, Roffidal Lucien, Tukur Hussaini, Yves Nys, Philippe Lescoat

► To cite this version:

Umar Faruk Murtala, Isabelle Bouvarel, Nathalie Mème, Roffidal Lucien, Tukur Hussaini, et al.. Adaptation of wheat and protein-mineral concentrate intakes by individual hens fed ad libitum in sequential or in loose-mix systems. British Poultry Science, 2010, 51 (06), pp.811-820. 10.1080/00071668.2010.532772 . hal-00652139

HAL Id: hal-00652139

<https://hal.science/hal-00652139>

Submitted on 15 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Adaptation of wheat and protein-mineral concentrate intakes by individual hens fed ad libitum in sequential or in loose-mix systems

Journal:	<i>British Poultry Science</i>
Manuscript ID:	CBPS-2009-365.R4
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	02-Jun-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Murtala, UMAR FARUK; INRA, Tours, UR83 recherches avicoles Bouvarel, Isabelle; ITAVI Nathalie, MEME; INRA Tours, UR83 recherches avicoles Lucien, ROFFIDAL; INZO Hussaini, Tukur; Usman Danfodio University, Department of Animal Science Nys, Yves; INRA Tours, UR83 recherches avicoles Lescoat, Philippe; INRA Tours, UR83 recherches avicoles
Keywords:	sequential feeding, loose-mix feeding, Feed intake, whole-wheat, Laying hen

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Adaptation of wheat and protein-mineral concentrate intakes by individual hens fed *ad libitum* in sequential or in loose-mix systems.

M. UMAR FARUK³, I. BOUVAREL¹, N. MÊME, L. ROFFIDAL²,
H. M. TUKUR³, Y. NYS AND P. LESCOAT

INRA, UR83 Recherches Avicoles, F-37380 Nouzilly, France, ¹Institut Technique de
l'Aviculture (ITAVI), F-37380 Nouzilly, ²INZO°, Montgermont, France and ³Department of
Animal Science, Usman Danfodio University Sokoto, Nigeria

Running title: Feeding system and performance in hens

Correspondence to: Dr. P. Lescoat, INRA, UR83 Recherches Avicoles, F-37380 Nouzilly, France.
E-mail: lescoat@tours.inra.fr

Accepted for publication 8th June 2010

Abstract 1. Feed intake and performance of birds given sequential or loose-mix feeding was investigated from 19 to 42 weeks of age. A complete diet was fed as control (C). A balancer diet (50) was fed either sequentially (S50) or in a loose-mix (L50) with wheat. This diet was formulated to provide a similar nutritive value as C assuming a 50:50 diet and wheat intake. Another balancer diet (25) was fed sequentially (S25) or in a loose-mix (L25) with wheat. The diet was to provide a similar nutritive value as C assuming 75:25 diet and wheat intakes. In sequential feeding, only wheat was fed in the morning (4h after lights-on) and the balancer diet in the late afternoon (4h before lights-off). In the loose-mix treatment, a mixture of the two diets was fed throughout the 16-h daily light. Each treatment was given *ad libitum* to 25 birds in individual cages.

2. Birds fed on L25 had lower total feed intakes than those receiving C, S50 or S25. Protein intake was reduced with L25 compared to C, S50, S25 and L50. ME intake was, however, similar among all treatments. Egg production and weight were reduced with L25 compared to S50 and S25. BW was lowered with L25. However, there was high individual variation in all variables.

3. Feeding system (sequential vs loose-mix) had no effect on ME intake. However the loose-mix treatment reduced feed and protein intake due to lower balancer diet intake. It also resulted in low egg production, egg and body weights compared to sequential feeding. The weights of pancreas and gizzard were heavier with sequential and loose-mix compared to the control.

4. The loose-mix treatment reduced egg-laying performance. Sequential feeding resulted in similar egg-laying performance to conventional feeding and thus could be used to advantage in situations where it is applicable.

INTRODUCTION

The use of whole cereal grain in poultry feeding is a popular practice in Northern Europe. The reason has been the economic benefit as well as the local availability of these feedstuffs. In countries where the cost of feed mixing hinders production, direct incorporation of cereal grains could be an alternative. Although the benefits depend on the relative price of cereals, it is important to have a clear knowledge of the impact of incorporating cereal grains in poultry feeds on bird performance. Different methods such as choice feeding, loose-mix feeding and sequential feeding can be used to offer cereal grains to poultry (Noirot *et al.*, 1998). Choice feeding is the simultaneous feeding of grains and a protein-mineral concentrate placed in different containers. Loose-mix feeding is the distribution of these dietary components in a single container. Sequential feeding involves the distribution of the two dietary components separately at different times of the day. Choice feeding using cereal grains is accompanied by an improvement in feed utilisation because it allows a degree of feed selection by the animal (Forbes and Covasa, 1995; Noirot *et al.*, 1998). It has, however, the inconvenience of requiring more than one feed trough to offer the different diets. Loose-mix and sequential feeding, however, could be practical alternatives since only one trough is required.

Studies evaluating sequential and loose-mix feeding in laying hen are limited. Loose-mix feeding of laying hens was reported to reduce both feed and protein intakes when a mixture of whole wheat, whole barley, kibbled maize and pellet protein concentrate was offered (Blair *et al.*, 1973), or when fed on a (50:50) mixture of high energy/protein Ca diets (Lee and Ohh, 2002). Although the former observed similar egg production and egg weight to conventional feeding, the latter reported a decrease in egg weight, which was related to a decrease in energy intake. Loose-mix feeding of 60% barley and a protein concentrate was reported to increase feed, energy and protein intakes, but to reduce egg production while increasing egg weight (Bennet and Classen, 2003). However, when the quantity of whole

wheat in a loose-mix diet was limited to 20%, similar intakes and egg production were observed (Kermanshashi and Classen, 2001; MacIsaac and Anderson, 2007).

Sequential feeding of a mixture of whole cereals followed by a pelleted protein concentrate resulted in increased feed and protein intakes while maintaining similar energy intake, egg production and egg weight compared to a control diet (Blair *et al.*, 1973). Nevertheless, sequential feeding was reported to reduce feed, energy and protein intakes when birds were fed on a protein concentrate in the morning followed by whole oats in the afternoon (Robinson, 1985), or when fed on high-energy diet in the morning and protein concentrate in the afternoon (Leeson and Summers, 1978; Reichmann and Connor, 1979; Lee and Ohh, 2002). Except for the work of Blair *et al.* (1973), all the other studies have reported that sequential feeding results in lower egg production and egg weights.

Recent investigations on the sequential and loose-mix feeding of whole wheat and a protein-mineral concentrate in laying hen revealed that sequential feeding was more efficient compared to loose-mix and the conventional feeding of a complete diet (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2010). However, it was possible that this increased efficiency was linked to the experimental protocol used. Feed intake was controlled by feeding a limited quantity (121 g/bird/d) of a diet that contained 60.5 g each of whole wheat and concentrate. Birds were kept in groups and this can facilitate social interactions among individuals and modify the birds' feeding patterns through imitation (Meunier-Salaün and Faure, 1984). This management system also prevents the study of individual responses to sequential and loose-mix feeding, since only the average cage values could be measured.

The objective of the present experiment was to investigate the impact of sequential and loose-mix feeding of birds kept in individual cages and given the diets *ad libitum*. Furthermore, the study investigated the ability of birds under these feeding systems to adapt their feed intake according to their requirements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pre-experimental period (weeks 16 – 18)

A total of 149 ISA Brown layer hens were trained (Forbes and Covasa, 1995) from week 16 to 18 to get them habituated to the feeding systems studied. The specific objective was to adapt the sequentially-fed birds to whole-wheat intakes before they reached point of lay (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2008). The animals were housed in a three-tier battery having individual cages (25 x 38cm). Each cage was equipped with a feed trough (20 cm per hen) and a nipple drinker. A complete diet, named 'control habituation' (Table 1) and containing 160 g CP /kg and 11.7 MJ/kg, was fed to a group of 33 birds as control (CH). Another diet, named 'balancer diet habituation' and containing 180 g CP /kg and 11.0 MJ/kg, was fed sequentially (SH) with wheat (13.0 MJ/kg) to another group containing 58 birds. Hens in this group were given access to whole wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in the morning and to the balancer diet in the afternoon. The same diet, 'balancer diet habituation', was mixed with whole wheat and fed in a loose-mix (LH) to another group containing 58 birds. The 'balancer diet habituation' was formulated on the assumption that if the birds consumed 65% of it and 35% whole wheat, they would ingest an equal amount of nutrients as those receiving diet CH.

Table 1 near here

Birds were fed *ad libitum* in line with the breeders' guidelines (ISA Hendrix Genetics, 2007). The total quantity of diet offered was progressively increased to account for the increase in feed intake of a growing bird; it was increased from 70 g/bird/d in week 16 to 83 g/bird/d in week 18. In sequential feeding, the duration for which birds were given access to wheat was increased in equal amounts from 3 h/d (week 16) to 7 h/d (week 18). The photoperiod was 10 h at week 16 and reached 16 h at week 18. Water was given *ad libitum* throughout the study.

Experimental period (weeks 19 - 42)

Five treatments were introduced at 19 weeks of age. Each of the sequential and loose-mix groups was divided into two groups to form 4 treatments of 25 birds each. The other 25 birds from the CH group formed the control treatment. During the experimental period, the birds were housed in the same house and kept in the individual cages that were used during the habituation period. Body weight (BW) was used as criterion for the placement of the birds so as to obtain a similar intra treatment BW. However, due to limited number of birds habituated and the random choice of birds dissected for the measurement of the digestive organs, initial BW for the treatment L50 was lower than C, S50 or S25. Photoperiod was 16 h and temperature was maintained at $20.6 \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ throughout the experimental period.

A complete diet (Table1) containing 175 g CP /kg and 11.5 MJ/kg was fed *ad libitum* as the control treatment (C). To investigate the ability of laying hens to adapt their intake according to their energy and protein requirements, the balancer diet B50 (232 g CP /kg, 10.0 MJ/kg) was formulated to provide a similar nutritive value to C and assuming that the birds would ingest equal quantities (50:50) of the diet and whole wheat. This diet was fed to two experimental groups either sequentially (S50) or as a loose-mix (L50). Another balancer diet B25 (195 g CP /kg, 11.0 MJ/kg) was formulated to provide similar nutritive values as C and assuming that the birds would ingest it on a 75:25 basis with wheat. It was fed to the other two groups either sequentially (S25) or in loose-mix (L25). Diets were fed *ad libitum* containing equal amount of each of the fractions (wheat/protein mineral concentrate). Birds fed on the B50 diet were expected to have a 50% wheat intake, while only 25% wheat intake was expected for those fed on the B25 diet. Calcium carbonate (Ca) was ground and incorporated in the balancer diet since the separate provision of granular Ca is not a prerequisite when birds are fed on nutrient-fractioned diets (Balnave and Muritasari, 1990).

Measurements

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Feed intake was measured weekly. In the two S fed treatments, wheat and balancer diet intakes were determined separately. During the experimental period, wheat intake in L was measured after manual separation using a sieve (2 mm Φ).

Eggs were collected daily and weighed individually. The weight of egg components (yolk, albumen and shell) was determined on a daily sample every 4 weeks, starting at week 21. The albumen and the chalazae were separated using forceps prior to weighing the yolk. The shells were washed and dried for 12 h in a drying oven at 70°C and then weighed.

Birds were weighed individually at 16, 19, 26 and 37 weeks of age. The weight of the digestive organs was recorded at the end of the pre-experimental (week 19) and experimental (week 42) periods to assess the effect of the feeding system on these organs. The birds were weighed before being injected with sodium pentobarbital solution (1ml/kg body weight). The abdominal cavity was opened and the digestive tract dissected and separated into proventriculus, gizzard, duodenum, pancreas, jejunum and ileum. The segments were first emptied and dried using a paper towel before weighing. The proventriculus and the gizzard were placed in an ice container (-4°C) for 3 h to facilitate the removal of the surrounding fat. The organs were emptied prior to weighing.

Metabolisable energy (kJ/b/d) and protein (g/b/d) intakes were estimated as a product of feed intake and ME and protein contents of the experimental diets respectively. ME requirement was estimated according to Sakomura (2004)

$$ME \text{ (kJ/b/d)} = W^{0.75} * (165.74 - 2.37 * T) + 6.68 * WG + 2.40 * EM$$

where, ME = energy requirement (kJ/bird/d), W = Body weight (kg), T = Temperature (°C), WG = weight gain (g/d), EM = Egg mass (g/bird/d).

Protein requirement was estimated according to Sakomura *et al.*, (2002)

$$PB = 1.94 * W^{0.75} + 0.480 * G + 0.301 * EM$$

186 where, PB = protein requirement (g/bird/d), W = body weight (kg), G = daily weight gain
187 (g/d) and EM = egg mass (g/bird/d).

188 Statistical analysis

189 Individual values from cages were analysed using StatView (version 5, SAS Institute Inc.,
190 Cary, NC). A one-way ANOVA was performed using the GLM model to test the treatment
191 effect on feed intake, egg production, egg weight, body weight, digestive organs weight, ME
192 intake and requirements. A 2x2 factorial ANOVA was carried out to test the effect of feeding
193 system (loose-mix *vs* sequential) and balancer diet effect (50 *vs* 25). Results were considered
194 significantly different if $P < 0.05$ and Bonferroni-Dunnet pairwise comparison was used to
195 compare differences between means. Repeated measures ANOVA was performed on BW,
196 feed and wheat intakes, and egg mass to test the effect of treatment over time.

197 RESULTS

198 During the habituation period, no differences in total feed intakes were observed between the
199 treatments (62.4, 62.5 and 62.4 ± 0.3 g/bird/d for CH, LH and SH respectively). The wheat
200 intakes of the birds given the SH treatment increased from 13.0 to 40.0 g/bird/d from week 16
201 to 18 respectively. Their balancer-diet intake, however, decreased with increasing age and
202 wheat intake from 51.6 g/bird/d in week 16 to 40.0 g/bird/d in week 18. This increase in
203 wheat intake with the resulting decrease in balancer diet intake during this period suggested a
204 successful adaptation to wheat intake for SH-fed birds. There were no differences in BWG
205 between week 16 and week 18 ($8.1, 8.3$, and 8.6 ± 0.1 g/bird/d for CH, LH and SH
206 respectively).

207 During the experimental period, the mean total feed intake was lower for birds given
208 the treatment L25 than C, S50 and S25, although it was similar to L50 (Table 2). Figure (b)
209 shows the weekly change in feed intake according to treatment from 19 to 42 weeks. There
210 was a significant increase in feed intake in all treatments with time, although birds fed on L25

1
2
3 211 and L50 had lower feed intakes from week 30 of age but with a higher weekly variability than
4
5 212 the other three treatments. Wheat intake was higher for birds given L50, L25, S50 and S25
6
7
8 213 (Table 2). There was a progressive but significant decrease in wheat intake over time,
9
10 214 especially with treatments S25 and S50 (Figure c).

11
12 215 Egg production and egg weight were reduced with L25 compared with S50 and S25
13
14 216 (Table 2). Egg production and weight were, however, not statistically different between L25,
15
16 217 L50 and C. Birds in treatment L25 had a significantly smaller egg mass and lower egg yolk
17
18 218 weight compared with the other 4 treatments. Egg mass in this treatment was progressively
19
20 219 reduced from week 23 and was generally low through out the experimental period (Figure d).
21
22 220 Eggshell weight was significantly reduced with L25 when compared with S25, S50 and L50.
23
24 221 There were no significant treatment differences for albumen weight. Feed conversion ratios
25
26 222 (FCR) were similar among all treatments.

Table 2 and Figure near here

27
28 223 BW at week 19 was significantly lower for birds receiving L50 than for C, S50 and
29
30 224 S25, although they were similar to L25 (Table 2); this was associated with the low BW of the
31
32 225 treatment group upon arrival at week 16 (Figure a). Although L50 was initially low in BW,
33
34 226 the final BW (week 42) was lower for L25 compared with C, S25 and S50. The Figure (a)
35
36 227 shows that the birds which received L50 had a similar growth rate to the other treatments.
37
38 228 Conversely, L25, which was similar in BW to all treatments at the beginning of the
39
40 229 experiment, had reduced growth, thus having a lower BW than C, S50 and S25 at the end of
41
42 230 the experiment.

43
44 231 Feeding system affected the birds' feed intakes and egg-laying performance and the
45
46 232 birds fed sequentially had higher total feed intakes than those fed on the loose-mix (Table 2).
47
48 233 The latter had higher wheat intakes. Hens fed sequentially had higher egg numbers, egg
49
50 234 weight and albumen weight than those fed on the loose-mix. BW was higher in the birds fed
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

sequentially than with those fed on loose-mix and this was associated with the higher initial BW. FCR and BWG were similar for the two systems.

Birds receiving balancer diet optimised for 25% wheat intake had lower mean total feed and wheat intakes compared with those receiving diet optimised for 50% (Table 2). Egg numbers, egg weight, egg albumen weight, FCR and final BW were similar for these two diets. However, BWG was higher in the birds fed on balancer diet optimised for 50% wheat intake compared with that optimised for 25%.

There was a similar ME intake for all treatment groups (Table 3). Estimated ME requirement for treatment L25 was lower than that of C, S50 and S25 but similar to L50. The difference between ME intake and ME requirement was higher for birds fed on L25 than S50, S25 or C, although it was similar to L50, indicating a surplus in ME intake of birds in these treatment groups. Protein intake was reduced with L25-fed birds compared with the other 4 treatments. Protein intake of birds fed on L50 was lower than C and S50 but similar to S25. The estimated protein requirement was reduced in birds fed on L25 compared with C, S50 and S25 but similar to L50. The difference between protein intake and requirement was small for birds fed on L25 and S25 compared with those fed on S50.

Table 3 near here

ME intake was similar for the sequential and loose-mix systems (Table 3). Estimated ME requirement of sequentially fed birds was significantly higher than the loose-mix group. The difference between ME intake and estimated requirement was lower for birds fed sequentially. Both intake and estimated requirement of protein were significantly higher in birds fed sequentially than in those fed on loose-mix.

ME intake and estimated requirements and the differences between ME intake and estimated requirement were similar between the two balancer diets (Table 3). Protein intake was low for birds receiving the balancer diet formulated for 25% wheat intake relative to

1
2
3 259 those receiving the diet with balancer formulated for 50%. Protein requirement was similar
4
5 260 for the two diets.
6
7

8 261 At the end of habituation period (week 19), similar relative weights of proventriculus,
9
10 262 liver and pancreas were observed between CH, SH and LH (Table 4). The relative weight of
11
12 263 the duodenum was lower for birds in the LH group than SH. The relative weight of the ileum
13
14 264 was heavier for birds in SH group than with those in LH and CH. There were significant
15
16 265 differences in gizzard and jejunum weights between the treatment groups, but the differences
17
18 266 were not proven using the Bonferroni-Dunnet pairwise comparison test due, probably because
19
20 267 of the limited number of birds killed (8/treatment).
21
22 267 of the limited number of birds killed (8/treatment).
23
24

Table 4 near here

25 268 At the end of the experimental period (week 42), the proventriculus was heavier in the
26
27 269 birds given S than the C and L treatments; the gizzard was heavier for the S and L treatments
28
29 270 compared with C; the duodenum was heavier for S compared with C; the liver was heavier
30
31 271 with the L treatment compared with C and the pancreas was heavier for S and L treatments
32
33 272 compared with C. There were no significant differences in the weights of the jejunum and
34
35 273 ileum between the three treatments.
36
37

38 274 DISCUSSION

39
40
41 275 None of the 4 treatments receiving whole wheat had a daily wheat intake that met the
42
43 276 expected 25 or 50% of the total daily feed intake. The birds receiving the diet formulated for
44
45 277 50% wheat intake consumed slightly less wheat (47%) than anticipated, whereas those fed on
46
47 278 the diet formulated for 25% wheat intake consumed more wheat (41%). However, no
48
49 279 treatment differences in ME intake were observed, which suggests that there was an
50
51 280 adjustment by the sequential and loose-mix fed birds to consume a similar ME to those fed on
52
53 281 the complete diet C. This lends support to work of Blair *et al.* (1973), Kermanshashi and
54
55 282 Classen (2001) and MacIsaac and Anderson (2007), who reported similar ME intakes in birds
56
57 283 fed on a loose-mix or complete diet. However, in sequential feeding, Leeson and Summers
58
59
60

(1978), Reichmann and Connor (1979), Robinson (1985), and Lee and Ohh (2002) reported a decrease in ME intake, along with a reduced feed intake, which was not the case in the present work.

Birds fed sequentially had higher feed intakes compared with the loose-mix treatment, but this was not in accordance to our earlier work with birds housed in groups (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2010), where a higher feed intake was obtained with loose-mix than with sequential feeding. In this earlier work, the birds were housed in groups and fed on limited quantities of the two dietary fractions. The lower feed intakes with loose-mix in the present experiment was mainly attributed to treatment L25, because of its similar balancer diet intake compared to L50. Although L25 fed birds consumed more wheat than expected, their balancer diet intake remained similar to L50, thereby reducing their total intake.

The higher wheat intake when birds were fed with a loose-mix relative to those fed sequentially agree with earlier observations under different conditions (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2010). Increased wheat intake has been associated with larger feed particle preference in laying hens (Picard *et al.*, 1997; Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2008). Portella *et al.* (1988) observed a greater disappearance of larger particles when birds were fed on regular crumbles, and the smaller particles disappeared only as the concentration of large ones decreased. In the present work, the inclusion of whole wheat in the concentrate diet is likely to have increased the heterogeneity of the diet, thus increasing selection of the larger particles (whole wheat) first.

Birds fed sequentially consumed more balancer diet than those fed on a loose-mix. Blair *et al.* (1973) observed an increased feed intake when birds were fed sequentially and, in particular, when there was a high intake of protein concentrate. However, sequential feeding using an energy-rich diet in the morning and a protein concentrate in the afternoon (Leeson and Summers, 1978; Reichmann and Connor, 1979) reduced feed intake. Feed intake was also reduced when oats/sorghum were sequentially fed with a protein concentrate (Robinson,

1
2
3 309 1985). Lee and Ohh (2002) also reported a reduction in feed intake when birds were fed on a
4
5 310 high energy/protein and low Ca diet in the morning followed by a low energy/protein and
6
7 311 high Ca in the afternoon. In the present work, the high balancer diet intake with the sequential
8
9 312 feeding agreed with the feeding patterns observed with laying hens (Ballard and Biellier,
10
11 313 1969; Nys *et al.*, 1976; Keshavarz, 1998a,b; Choi *et al.*, 2004). Birds consume larger amount
12
13 314 of food in the afternoon partly in association with the hen Ca appetite which coincides with
14
15 315 the initiation of eggshell formation during this period. For example, Keshavarz (1998a) found
16
17 316 that hens subjected to a 16-h photoperiod consumed 40% of daily feed intake in the first 8 h
18
19 317 after light-on and 60% during the second 8 h period before light-off, with a marked increase
20
21 318 recorded 4 h before light-off (Keshavarz, 1998b).

22
23
24
25
26
27 319 The laying hen adapts its feed intake relatively well to the energy value of its feed,
28
29 320 although this regulation is not perfect (Joly and Bougon, 1997), because the hen is influenced
30
31 321 by the form and method in which the feed is presented. Data in the present work were
32
33 322 subjected to a *t*-test to see if the ME intake minus ME requirement were different from zero.
34
35 323 Results showed that no difference between the ME intake and the ME requirements for the
36
37 324 birds in groups C as well as L50, suggesting that the two groups have adapted their ME intake
38
39 325 to their estimated requirements. However, birds fed on S50 and S25 had lower ME intakes
40
41 326 than the estimated requirements. L25 had a significantly higher intake than requirement,
42
43 327 although their efficiency of utilising this energy was apparently poor because they had the
44
45 328 lowest performance. Despite their lower-than-estimated ME intake, the birds fed on S50 and
46
47 329 S25 had similar egg-laying performance to those fed on the control diet. This was also
48
49 330 observed in previous work (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2010) and in the earlier work of Leeson and
50
51 331 Summers (1978) and Reichmann and Connor (1979), who observed that sequential feeding
52
53 332 reduced the mean feed intake without reducing egg production, relative to the control. These
54
55 333 authors associated it to lower maintenance requirements due to the lower body weights in the
56
57
58
59
60

sequentially fed birds. In the present work, it may be due to an improvement in the rate of utilisation of nutrients evidenced by a heavier gizzard, proventriculus and duodenum observed with sequential than the loose-mix or control birds.

Loose-mix-fed birds laid fewer eggs and had a lower egg weight than those fed sequentially. A reduction in protein intake is usually accompanied by a reduction in both egg production and egg weight (Morris and Gous, 1988), and protein intakes were lower with loose-mix treatment. *Ad libitum* access to the diets gave higher wheat consumption in the loose-mix birds (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2008), which resulted in a low overall protein intake.

At the end of the experimental period, the sequential and loose-mix fed birds had heavier gizzards than those receiving the control diet. This was to be expected because feeding whole wheat grain increases the dietary particle size of the diets, and dietary particle size is known to influence the avian digestive tract (Nir *et al.*, 1990). This increase in gizzard weight was hypothesised to contribute to the better performance of sequentially-fed, group-housed hens studied by Umar Faruk *et al.* (2010). In the present work, no improvement in performance was observed; this was likely to be a consequence of the broad intra-treatment variations and the *ad libitum* feeding. Changes in liver weight were in line with the findings of De Basilio *et al.* (2001), who found heavier livers in broilers that had been fed on cereal grains. It was also in line with the observations of Umar Faruk *et al.* (2010), who observed heavier liver weights in birds fed on whole wheat.

In conclusion, the present study confirmed our earlier report (Umar Faruk *et al.*, 2010) that sequential feeding has no adverse effect on egg production, thus outlining its potential as a feed management method for egg producers. When birds are allowed *ad libitum* access to concentrate diets formulated for different proportion of wheat intake, it is evident that they will not always consume the expected proportion of the respective fractions. In sequential feeding, similar feed intakes and egg-laying performance to the control group were obtained

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

irrespective of the concentrate diet used. This system could therefore be used to advantage in situations where the cost of grinding and feed mixing hinders production. In loose-mix feeding, distributing concentrated diets optimised for 25% wheat intake reduced nutrient intake and performance as the hens failed to eat the appropriate proportion of the dietary fractions, and so this management technique should be avoided.

The advantages of sequential feeding needs to be further explored in terms of the physical form (whole, ground) and type of cereals (millet, sorghum, maize etc) to be used. *Ad libitum* feeding in sequential feeding resulted in excessive intake of the concentrate diet and this may increase cost and so requires additional investigation. Feeding management (van Krimpen *et al.*, 2005), especially sequential feeding (Jordan *et al.*, 2009), induces feather pecking in laying hens, due to a reduction in time spent on feeding activity. Therefore, the optimal duration of the sequence (wheat-balancer diet) in sequential feeding needs to be established.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the technical assistance given by Jean-Marc Hallouis, Anne-Marie Chagneau, Maryse Leconte and Serge Mallet. They also thank Lucille Delestre, Valentine Froget and Amandine Soria for their help in data collection. The help of our experimental unit (UE PEAT) in the set-up of this experiment was highly appreciated. Finally we thank France AgriMer, CNPO and INZO° for their financial support.

REFERENCES

BALLARD, P.D. & BIELLIER H.V. (1969) The effect of photoperiod and oviposition on feed and water consumption by chickens. *Poultry Science*, **48**: 1781-1782.

- 382 BALNAVE, D. & ABDOELLAH, T. M. (1990) Self-select feeding of commercial pullets
383 using a complete diet and a separate protein concentrate at cool and hot temperatures.
384 *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **41**: 549–555.
- 385 BENNET, C. D. & CLASSEN, H.L. (2003) Performance of two strains of laying hens fed
386 ground and whole barley with and without access to insoluble grit. *Poultry Science*, **82**:
387 147-149.
- 388 BLAIR, R., DEWAR, W.A. & DOWNIE, J.N. (1973) Egg production responses of hens
389 given a complete mash or unground grain together with concentrate pellets. *British Poultry*
390 *Science*, **14**: 373-377.
- 391 CHOI, J. H., NAMKUNG, H. & PAIK, I.K. (2004) Feed consumption pattern of laying hens
392 in relation to time of oviposition. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*, **17**: 371-
393 373.
- 394 DE BASILIO, V., VILARIÑO, M., YAHAV, S. & PICARD, M. (2001) Early age thermal
395 conditioning and a dual feeding program for male broilers challenged by heat stress.
396 *Poultry Science*, **80**: 29-36.
- 397 FORBES, J.M. & COVASA M. (1995) Application of diet selection by poultry with
398 particular reference to whole cereals. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **51**: 149-165.
- 399 ISA Hendrix Genetics Company (2007) *Nutrition Management Guide*, 18p.
- 400 JOLY, P. & BOUGON, M. (1997) Influence du niveau énergétique sur les performances de la
401 pondeuse à œufs roux et évolution de l'ingérée en fonction de l'âge. *2ème Journées de la*
402 *recherches avicoles*, 8-9-10 Avril 1997, **2**: 115-120.
- 403 JORDAN, D., UMAR FARUK, M., CONSTANTIN, P., ALI, M. N., BESSEI, W.,
404 LESCOAT, P., STUHEC, I., BOUVAREL, I. & LETERRIER, C. (2009) The influence of
405 sequential feeding with wheat on laying hens' feeding and pecking behaviour. *Abstracts 8th*
406 *European Symposium on Poultry Welfare*, Cervia pp. 16.

- 407 KERMANSHASHI, H. & CLASSEN, H.L. (2001) Feeding whole wheat with or without a
 408 dietary enzyme or grit to laying hens: *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, **3**:
 409 193-198.
- 410 KESHAVARZ, K. (1998a) Investigation on the possibility of reducing protein, phosphorus
 411 and calcium requirements of laying hens by manipulation of time of access to these
 412 nutrients. *Poultry Science*, **77**: 1320-1332.
- 413 KESHAVARZ, K. (1998b) Further investigations on the effect of dietary manipulation of
 414 protein, phosphorus, and calcium for reducing their daily requirement for laying hens.
 415 *Poultry Science*, **77**: 1333-1346.
- 416 LEE, K. H. & OHH, Y.S. (2002) Effects of nutrient levels and feeding regimen of a.m. and
 417 p.m. on laying hen performances and feed cost. *Korean Journal of Poultry Science*, **29**:
 418 195-204.
- 419 LEESON, S. & SUMMERS, D.J. (1978) Voluntary food restriction by laying hens mediated
 420 through dietary self selection. *British Poultry Science*, **19**: 417-424.
- 421 MACISAAC, J.L. & ANDERSON, D.M. (2007) Effect of whole wheat, enzyme
 422 supplementation and grain texture on the production performance of laying hens. *Canadian*
 423 *Journal of Animal Science*, **87**: 579-589.
- 424 MEUNIER-SALAÜN, M. C. & FAURE, J. M. (1984) On the feeding and social behaviour of
 425 the laying hen. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **13**: 129-141.
- 426 MORRIS, T.R. & GOUS, R.M. (1988) Partitioning of the response to protein between egg
 427 numbers and egg weight. *British Poultry Science*, **29**: 93-99
- 428 NIR, I., MELCION, J-P. & PICARD, M. (1990) Effect of particle size of sorghum grains on
 429 feed intake and performance of young broilers. *Poultry Science*, **69**: 2177-2184
- 430 NOIROT, V., BOUVAREL, I., BARRIER-GUILLOT, B., CASTAING, J., ZWICK J. L. &
 431 PICARD, M. (1998) Céréales entières pour les poulets de chair: le retour? *INRA*

- 432 *Productions Animales*, **11**: 349-357.
- 433 NYS, Y., SAUVEUR, B., LACASSAGNE, L. & MONGIN, P. (1976) Food, calcium and
434 water intakes by hens lit continuously from hatching. *British Poultry Science*, **17**: 351-358.
- 435 PICARD, M., MELCION, J-P., BOUCHOT, C. & FAURE, J-M. (1997) Picorage et
436 préhensibilité des particules alimentaires chez les volailles. *INRA Productions Animales*,
437 **10**: 403-414.
- 438 PORTELLA, F.J., CASTON, L.J. & LEESON, S. (1988) Apparent feed particle size
439 preference in laying hens. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, **68**: 915-922.
- 440 REICHMANN, K.G. & CONNOR, J.K. (1979) The effects of meal feeding of calcium,
441 protein and energy on production and calcium status of laying hens. *British Poultry*
442 *Science*, **20**: 445-452.
- 443 ROBINSON, D. (1985) Performance of laying hens as affected by split time and split time
444 composition dietary regimens using ground and unground cereals. *British Poultry Science*,
445 **26**: 299-399.
- 446 SAKOMURA, N.K., (2004) Modelling energy utilization in broiler breeders, laying hens and
447 broilers. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, **6**: 1-11.
- 448 SAKOMURA, N.K., BASAGLIA, R. & TOMAS DE RESENDE, K. (2002) Modelling
449 protein utilization in laying hens. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, **31**: 2247-2254.
- 450 UMAR FARUK, M., DEZAT, E. BOUVAREL, I. NYS, Y. & LESCOAT P. (2008) Loose-
451 mix and sequential feeding of mash diets with whole-wheat: effect on feed intake in laying
452 hens. *Proceedings of XXIIIrd Worlds' Poultry Congress, Brisbane, Australia*, p. 468.
- 453 UMAR FARUK, M., BOUVAREL, I., MEME, N., RIDEAU, N., ROFFIDAL, L., TUKUR,
454 H.M., BASTIANELLI, D., NYS, Y. & LESCOAT, P. (2010) Sequential feeding using
455 whole wheat and a separate protein-mineral concentrate improved efficiency in laying hens.
456 *Poultry Science*, **80**: 785-796.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

VAN KRIMPEN, M.M., KWAKKEL, R.P., REUVEKAMP, B.F.J., VAN DER PEET-
SCHWERING, C.M.C., DEN HARTOG, L.A. & Verstegen, M.W.A. (2005) Impact of
feeding management on feather pecking in laying hens. *Worlds' Poultry Science Journal*,
61: 663-685.

For Peer Review Only

Table 1. *Composition of experimental diets*

Ingredient (g/kg)	Habituation Period (16-18 week)		Experimental Period (19-42 week)			Both periods
	Control habituation (CH)	Balancer diet habituation (LH)	Control (C)	Balancer diet		Whole Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>)
				B50	B25	
Wheat	346.6	-	500.0	-	-	100
Maize	350.0	535.7	161.3	320.8	498.4	
Wheat bran	100.0	153.1	25.4	50.1	45.0	
Maize gluten	-	-	32.9	66.2	24.0	
Soya bean meal (T48)	165.0	252.5	169.7	340.8	285.0	
Soya bean oil	-	-	8.0	16.0	10.0	
Calcium carbonate	18.4	28.2	80.0	160.4	105.1	
Bi-calcium phosphate	10.9	16.7	11.6	23.3	17.4	
Refined sodium chloride	2.0	3.1	2.0	4.0	2.7	
Sodium bicarbonate	2.0	3.1	2.0	4.0	2.7	
L-Lysine 78	-	-	1.1	2.2	1.3	
DL-Methionine	0.1	0.2	1.1	2.2	1.8	
Premix (Sup 64 J 02) ¹	5.0	7.7	5.0	10.0	6.7	
<i>Calculated composition (g/kg as fed basis)</i>						
Metabolisable energy (MJ/kg)	11.7	11.0	11.5	10.0	11.0	13.0
CP	160.5	183.3	175.2	233.2	194.7	119.0
DM	877.0	875.0	891.0	899.0	889.0	868.0
Fibre	35.9	41.0	30.1	33.7	32.7	26.5
Lysine	7.2	9.3	8.1	13.1	10.7	3.1
Methionine	3.2	3.9	4.5	7.1	5.7	2.0
Calcium	12.0	18.2	36.1	72.0	48.0	0.3
Total P	5.6	7.1	5.3	7.6	6.4	3.0
<i>Analysed composition (g/kg)</i>						
DM	890.0	887.0	888.0	895.0	890.0	867.0
CP	157.0	186.0	173.0	230.0	195.0	119.0

¹Vitamin and mineral premix supplied the following amounts per kg of premix: 1200 mg of Cu (sulphate), 4000 mg of Fe, 200 mg of I, 60 mg of Se, 120 g of DL-methionine, 200 mg of canthaxanthine; 11000 mg of Zn, 12000 mg of Mn; retinol 480 mg, cholecalciferol 12 mg, DL α -tocopherol acetate 2000 mg, menadione 400 mg, thiamine mononitrate 109 mg.

Table 2. *Effect of treatment, feeding system and balancer-diet composition on the feed intake and performance of hens fed whole wheat sequentially (S) or in loose-mix (L) with a balancer diet formulated for either 50 or 25% wheat intake from 19 to 42 weeks of age*

	Feed Intake (g/b/d)	Wheat intake/Feed intake	Eggs produced per hen per d	Egg weight (g)	Egg mass (g/d)	FCE (g egg/ g feed)	BW wk 19 (g)	BW wk 42 (g) ²	BWG (g/d)	Egg Yolk (g)	Egg Albumen (g)	Eggshell (g)
Treatments ¹												
C	114.4 ab	-	0.946 ab	57.3 ab	54.2 a	0.473	1560 a	1832 a	1.7 a	14.2 a	36.9	6.0 ab
S50	115.7 a	0.384 c	0.950 a	58.2 a	55.5 a	0.481	1588 a	1810 a	1.4 ab	14.0 a	38.0	6.1 a
S25	111.5 ab	0.315 d	0.947 a	58.4 a	55.3 a	0.498	1603 a	1809 a	1.1 ab	14.1 a	38.0	6.3 a
L50	107.0 bc	0.563 a	0.924 ab	57.2 ab	53.4 a	0.486	1485 b	1722 ab	1.4 ab	14.0 a	37.2	6.1 a
L25	102.8 c	0.502 b	0.886 b	55.0 b	49.0 b	0.476	1545 ab	1622 b	0.6 b	13.2 b	35.4	5.7 b
P value	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	<0.05	<0.01	NS	<0.01	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	NS	<0.05
SEM	0.97	1.20	0.69	0.35	0.50	0.004	8.10	17.73	0.09	0.09	0.30	0.04
Feeding system ²												
L	104.8 b	0.532 a	0.904 b	56.0 b	-	0.480	1515 b	1688 b	1.1	-	36.4 b	-
S	113.7 a	0.350 b	0.948 a	58.3 a	-	0.490	1596 a	1810 a	1.3	-	38.0 a	-
P value	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	<0.05	-	NS	>0.01	<0.05	NS	-	<0.05	-
SEM	1.11	1.20	0.83	0.40		0.005	9.52	20.23	0.09		0.34	
Balancer Diet effect												
50	111.3 a	0.474 a	0.937	57.8	-	0.483	1535 b	1766	1.4 a	-	37.7	-
25	107.0 b	0.412 b	0.916	56.6	-	0.487	1573 a	1716	0.9 b	-	36.9	-
P value	<0.05	<0.05	NS	NS	-	NS	<0.05	NS	<0.05	-	NS	-
SEM	1.11	1.20	0.83	0.40		0.005	9.52	20.23	0.09		0.34	
Interaction												
FS x DC	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.05	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.05	NS	<0.05

¹C = Control, S50 = Sequential feeding of diet formulated for 50% wheat, S25 = Sequential feeding of diet formulated for 25% wheat, L50 = Loose-mix feeding of diet formulated for 50% wheat, L25 = Loose-mix feeding of diet formulated for 25% wheat.
²Results were not presented where interaction between feeding system and balancer diet effect were observed
^{a,b,c} Values within the same column not sharing a common superscript differ significantly at $P < 0.05$, NS = not significant at $P > 0.05$.

Table 3. Effect of treatment, feeding system and balancer-diet composition on the feed, ME and protein intakes and ME and protein requirements of hens fed whole wheat sequentially (S) or in loose-mix (L) with a balancer diet formulated for either 50 or 25% intake of wheat from 19 to 37 weeks of age¹

	Wheat				Difference	Protein	Protein	Difference
	Feed intake	intake/Feed	ME intake	ME Requirement	ME	intake	Requirement	Protein
	(g/b/d)	intake	(kJ/b/d) ²	(kJ/b/d) ³	(kJ/b/d) ⁴	(g/b/d)	(g/b/d)	(g/b/d)
Treatments ⁵								
C	113.1 a	-	1300.0	1321.4 a	-21.1 b	19.8 b	19.9 a	-0.1 ab
S50	113.6 a	0.387 c	1264.2	1311.0 a	-47.0 b	21.4 a	20.1 a	1.3 a
S25	109.9 a	0.320 d	1280.0	1308.1 a	-28.2 b	18.7 bc	20.0 a	-1.3 b
L50	106.6 ab	0.569 a	1274.0	1241.0 ab	33.0 ab	18.4 c	18.5 ab	-0.1 ab
L25	101.5 b	0.503 b	1226.0	1178.1 b	47.7 a	15.9 d	17.4 b	-1.5 b
P	<0.01	<0.01	NS	<0.01	<0.05	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
SEM	1.00	1.17	9.20	10.30	9.00	0.23	0.23	0.22
Feeding system ⁶								
L	103.9 b	0.533 a	1248.0	1207.0 b	41.0 a	17.1 b	18.0 b	-
S	111.8 a	0.354 b	1272.0	1310.0 a	-37.7 b	20.1 a	20.0 a	-
P	<0.05	<0.01	NS	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-
SEM	1.12	1.17	10.50	11.61	10.60	0.27	0.27	
Balancer Diet effect								
50	110.4 a	0.472 a	1269.0	1279.2	-11.0	20.0 a	19.4	-
25	105.5 b	0.415 b	1252.3	1242.0	11.0	17.3 b	18.7	-
P	<0.05	<0.05	NS	NS	NS	<0.01	NS	-
SEM	1.12	1.17	10.50	11.61	10.60	0.27	0.27	
Interaction								
FS x DC	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.05

¹Values shown are averages from week 19 to 37 because body weight at week 42 was only measured for the birds used in the measurement of digestive organs.

²Estimation of ME (KJ/b/d) and protein (g/b/d) intakes were calculated as the product of feed intake and diet composition.

³Requirements in ME (kJ/b/d) and protein (g/b/d) were estimated according to Sakomura (2004) and Sakomura *et al.* (2002) respectively.

⁴Difference between requirement and intake were estimated as intake minus requirement.

⁵C = Control, S50 = Sequential feeding of diet formulated for 50% wheat, S25 = Sequential feeding of diet formulated for 25% wheat, L50 = Loose-mix feeding of diet formulated for 50% wheat, L25 = Loose-mix feeding of diet formulated for 25% wheat.

⁶Result were not presented where interaction between feeding system and balancer diet effect were observed.

^{a,b,c} Values within the same column not sharing a common superscript differ significantly at $P < 0.05$, NS = not significant at $P > 0.05$.

1
2 486
3 487
4 488
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 489
23
24 490
25 491
26 492
27 493
28 494
29 495
30 496
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 4. *Effect of feeding system on weight of digestive organs (g/kg body weight) at weeks 19 and 42 of birds fed whole wheat sequentially or in loose-mix with a balancer diet*

Organ (g/kg)	Week 19					Week 42 ¹					
	Feeding system ²			<i>P</i> value	SEM	Feeding system			<i>P</i> value	SEM (n=16)	SEM (n=24)
	CH (n=8)	SH (n=8)	LH (n=8)			C (n=16)	S (n=24)	L (n=24)			
Proventriculus	3.1	3.1	2.8	<i>NS</i>	0.08	3.3 b	3.7 a	3.3 b	<i><0.05</i>	0.11	0.08
Gizzard	18.5	21.9	21.7	<i><0.05</i>	0.62	11.8 b	13.8 a	14.7 a	<i><0.05</i>	0.48	0.37
Duodenum	5.4 ab	6.4 a	5.1 b	<i><0.05</i>	0.21	5.2 b	5.9 a	5.5 ab	<i><0.05</i>	0.20	0.18
Jejunum	8.5	10.1	8.5	<i><0.05</i>	0.30	9.4	9.5	9.7	<i>NS</i>	0.26	0.24
Ileum	6.3 b	7.5 a	6.2 b	<i><0.05</i>	0.22	7.6	7.2	7.4	<i>NS</i>	0.25	0.19
Liver	24.7	25.1	24.4	<i>NS</i>	0.74	25.5 b	26.8 ab	28.9 a	<i><0.05</i>	0.76	0.91
Pancreas	2.1	2.2	2.2	<i>NS</i>	0.06	1.6 b	1.8 a	1.8 a	<i><0.05</i>	0.06	0.06

¹No difference in organs weight between treatments of the same feeding system and no interaction (feeding system x balancer diet level) was observed. Therefore, animals receiving diet 25 were put together with their corresponding 50 treatments to increase the population size from 16 to 24.

²CH= Control Habituation, SH= sequential habituation, LH= loose-mix habituation, C = Control, L = Loose-mix, S = Sequential
a,b,c: Values within the same row not sharing a common superscript differ significantly with Bonferroni-Dunnet ($P < 0.05$), NS = not significant at $P > 0.05$.

a. Body weight (g)

b. Feed intake (g/bird/d)

c. Wheat intake (% total feed intake)

d. Egg mass (g/d)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Figure. *Individual variation within treatment in (a) BW, (b) Feed intake, (c) wheat intake and (d) egg mass of birds fed whole wheat sequentially (S) or in loose-mix(L) with a balancer diet formulated for either 50 or 25% intake of wheat from 19 to 42 weeks of age.*

For Peer Review Only