Morra et al. simulated the fate of subducting plates interacting with the mid-lower

G. Morra, D.A. Yuen, L. Boschi, P. Chatelain, P. Koumoutsakos, P.J. Tackley

► To cite this version:

G. Morra, D.A. Yuen, L. Boschi, P. Chatelain, P. Koumoutsakos, et al.. Morra et al. simulated the fate of subducting plates interacting with the mid-lower. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 2010, 180 (3-4), pp.271. 10.1016/j.pepi.2010.04.001 . hal-00644702

HAL Id: hal-00644702

<https://hal.science/hal-00644702>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Morra et al. simulated the fate of subducting plates interacting with the mid-lower *mantle with variable viscosity*

Authors: G. Morra, D.A. Yuen, L. Boschi, P. Chatelain, P. Koumoutsakos, P.J. Tackley

PII: S0031-9201(10)00069-5
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2010.04.001
Reference: PEPI 5274

To appear in: *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*

Received date: 16-6-2009
Revised date: 24-3-2010
Accepted date: 6-4-2010

Please cite this article as: Morra, G., Yuen, D.A., Boschi, L., Chatelain, P., Koumoutsakos, P., Tackley, P.J., Morra et al. simulated the fate of subducting plates interacting with the mid-lower *mantle with variable viscosity*, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2010.04.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Morra et al. simulated the fate of subducting plates
interacting with the mid-lower mantle with variable
viscosity**

G. Morra^{1,2}, D. A. Yuen^{3,4}, L. Boschi², P. Chatelain⁵, P.
Koumoutsakos⁵ and P.J. Tackley²

¹Geology Department, University "Roma Tre", Rome, Italy

²Geophysics Institute, ETH Zuerich, Switzerland

³Minnesota Supercomputing Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

⁴Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN
55455

⁵Mechanical Engineering Department, ETH Zuerich, Switzerland

¹Corresponding author : gabrielemorra@gmail.com

Last version: 2010-03-24

9 figures + 2 tables

Word count: 8577

Character count (without space): 46055

Key words : Subduction, slab-mantle interaction, spin transition, mid-mantle
viscosity

Abstract

In the last two decades it has been proposed several times that a non-monotonic profile might fit the average lower mantle radial viscosity. Most proposed profiles consist in a more or less broad viscosity hill in the middle of the mantle, at a depth roughly between 1,200 km and 2,000 km. Also many tomographic models display strong signals of the presence of “fast” material lying at mid mantle depths and a recent spectral analysis of seismic tomography shows a very clear transition for degree up to around 16 at a less than 1,500 km depth. Finally latest works, both theoretical and experimental, on the high-to-low spin transition for periclase, have suggested that the high-spin to low-spin transition of Fe^{++} might lie at the heart of all these observations. To verify the dynamical compatibility between possible mantle profile and observed tomographic images and compare them with possible mineral physics scenarios, such as the spin transition, we employ here a recently developed Fast Multipole-accelerated Boundary Element Method (FMM-BEM), a numerical approach for solving the viscous momentum equation in a global spherical setting, for simulating the interaction of an individual slab with a mid-mantle smooth discontinuity in density and viscosity. We have focused on the complexities induced to the behaviour of average and very large plates O (2,000 km – 10,000 km), characteristic of the Farallon, Tethys and Pacific plate subducting during the Cenozoic, demonstrating that the a mid mantle density and/or viscosity discontinuity produces a strong alteration of the sinking velocity and an intricate set of slab morphologies. We also employ the Kula-Farallon plate system subducting at 60 Ma as a paradigmatic case, which reveals the best high-resolution tomography models and clearly suggests an interaction with a strong and/or denser layer in the mantle. Our 38 models show that a plate might or might not penetrate into the lowest mantle and might stall in the mid lower mantle for long periods, depending on the radial profiles of density and viscosity, within a realistic range (viscosity 1, 10 or 100 times more viscous of the rest of the mantle, and a change of differential density in the range -2% to 2%), of a transitional layer of 200 km or 500 km. We conclude that a layer with high viscosity or negative density would naturally trigger the observed geodynamic snapshot. We finally propose a scenario in which the long time accumulation of depleted slabs in the mid-mantle would give rise to a partially

61 chemically stratified mantle, starting from the less prominent high-spin to low-spin
62 contribution on the basis of mantle density and rheology.

63

Accepted Manuscript

1. Introduction

The radial profile of the lower mantle viscosity is still largely unknown due to incomplete knowledge of composition, grain size, volatiles content, and to the lack of laboratory experimental data at such high pressure and temperatures and the difficulty of performing exhaustive ab-initio models of diffusion and dislocation creep for polycrystalline materials. However, geophysical inversion data based on geoid and post-glacial rebound (Forte and Mitrovica, 2001; Mitrovica and Forte, 1997) has suggested at the first order a peak of high viscosity in the middle of the lower mantle at around 2000 km and in a range between 10 and 100 times than the one in the upper mantle.

Few viscosity radial profiles for the lower mantle have been put forward. Among them (Ricard and Wuming, 1991) proposed that a peak of viscosity in the mid mantle might explain a set of geoid and topographical data. From geophysical considerations (Wen and Anderson, 1997) imposed a chemical barrier at 1200 km. (Kellogg et al., 1999) introduced the concept of a barrier at 1800 km depth based on geochemical and radiogenic heat production arguments, combined with tomographical evidences. Later a number of works came to similar conclusions, for example (Forte and Mitrovica, 2001) who combined satellite and ground data, (Ito and Toriumi, 2007) have found a peak of activation energy for vacancy diffusion at mid mantle pressures (both experimentally and numerically from large-scale molecular dynamics simulations) and Peltier (personal comm., 2008) looked at the GRACE dataset and found that the hypothesis of the viscosity hill in the mid mantle is still compatible with the most up-to-date gravity data, although this presents a controversy, as other studies, e.g., (Soldati et al., 2009) indicated that lower mantle viscosity structure can hardly be constrained from gravity data.

1.1 Tomographical Evidences

A clearer picture of how anomalous the mid-lower mantle appears from mantle tomography. One of the better seismically resolved areas of the Earth's mantle is under North America, where the Farallon slab is arguably the best-known subduction system characterized by likely penetration into the lower mantle, e.g. (Grand, 1994). The set of

panels on the left of Figure 1 illustrates the paleo plate reconstructions for the period from 80 Ma to 40 Ma (Müller et al., 2008) of the splitting of the wide oceanic plate with over 8,000 km width subducting under North-America into two subplates called Farallon and Kula. A recent high resolution tomography (Sigloch et al., 2008), reproduced in figure 1, right panel, offers a very detailed image of the Farallon slab at mid mantle depth. The most prominent features are the thickening of the slab at depth between 1,200 km and 1,500 km and the segmentation into two plates, most probably the signature of the division of the paleo Farallon-Kula plate, also confirmed by the combination of paleo-tectonic reconstruction and global tomography, as in (Ren et al., 2007).

Such anomalous mid-lower mantle behaviour is confirmed by the visual inspection of a global tomography as the one shown in Figure 2 where the isosurface corresponding 0.8% heterogeneity, associated with the shear-velocity model of (Grand, 1994) is originally displayed with the Paraview software (Henderson, 2007). While also here the intriguing pattern of the Farallon slab is visible, with its shape that largely broadens at 1,500–2,000 km depths, other similar patterns appear in other regions of the lower mantle. Finally the picture shown in figure 1 and 2 for the Farallon slab is shown to be robust and independent on the tomographic models assumption through the comparative analysis of five independent global shear velocity models in Figure 3: tx2007 (Simmons, 2006), pri-s05 (Montelli et al., 2006), rmsl-s06 (Li et al., 2007), saw642an (Panning and Romanowicz, 2006) and smeant (Becker and Boschi, 2002). All such models convey very clearly the picture of a Farallon slab that does not cross straightaway in the lower mantle, but instead flattens at depth of 1500–2000 km, as it would encounter an obstacle.

The 3-D seismic structure of the lower mantle can be also analyzed globally, looking at the spectral signal of all the slabs together. Detailed analysis of spherical harmonics results as the one shown in the Figure 4 (modified from figure 1 of (Boschi et al., 2008)) illustrates an unexpected non-monotonic radial pattern. The logarithm of the ratio of positive-to-negative shear-velocity spectra from model SMEAN (Boschi et al., 2008) recently also observed in (Houser and Williams, 2009) elucidates, in fact, how the positive (blue) fast anomalies dominate until the depth of ~1500km up to spherical harmonic degree 15, while the situation is reversed below where negative (red) values

dominate at lower depths. This unquestionable tomographical observation is the premise for searching the causes and consequences of the phenomenon.

1.2 Mineral physics insights

The dichotomy between the deepest 1000km and the rest of the lower mantle has been already proposed to be of compositional nature (Van der Hilst and Kárason, 1999). However this picture has been enriched by recent works that combine the interpretation of seismic data with the knowledge of the elastic properties of silicates whose spin varies at mid mantle conditions (da Silva et al., 2000). Such novel works depart from the most recent experiments on ferropericlasite during its spin transition (Marquardt et al., 2009) and exploit the surprisingly transient and anomalous character change of the bulk modulus during the transition, that largely softens. Because the observed pattern of vertical gradient of bulk Velocity (dV_p/dz) is instead smooth and apparently adiabatic (Cammarano et al., 2003; Matas et al., 2007), the only explanation of this phenomena is a mid-lower mantle thermo-chemical transition at the same depth of the spin transition in order to compensate for the bulk-modulus weakening (Cammarano et al., 2010).

If this hypothesis proves to be correct, this groundbreaking interpretation would then demand a thorough investigation of its geodynamical consequences and above all, of the conditions that might have produced it. Such a mid-mantle transition is clearly not as sharp as the upper lower mantle one but it is believed to be smooth. The inversion of the laboratory data indicates a thickness of several hundred kms (Marquardt et al., 2009), which is less than the prior spin transition thickness i.e. up to 1000 km (da Silva et al., 2000). The combination of seismic and mineralogical investigations strongly suggests that the thermo-chemical should happen at depths around 1200–1600 km (Cammarano et al., 2010; Marquardt et al., 2009).

All these indications are converging toward a scenario in which the viscosity profiles in the lower mantle might be non-monotonic, which would be entirely different from the canonical picture one gets from an Arrhenius type of activation energy, while it would be better described by compositional differentiation. A fundamental point to clear is the origin of the hill shape of the mantle viscosity arising from some inversion. If the rising of viscosity can be associated with the differentiated composition, i.e. with more viscous rocks at higher depth, the following lowering viscosity would be simply

due to the rising temperature, i.e. to the Arrhenius law again. In this sense a selection of stronger rocks at higher depth is the natural outcome of a very long-term dynamics in which the deep earth is naturally less mobile.

With the exception of the work by (Wen and Anderson, 1997), who postulated a chemical layer at around 1200 km depth based on geoid inversion, this is probably the first attempt to fit the observed mantle observable with an explicit compositional well defined radial transition. The details of the generation of such a three-layered mantle require careful study and are still speculative, however the strength of the tomographic indications listed above are so neat that require models able to explain them. Yet there is only one conclusive evidence of the existence of such dramatic alteration, i.e. (Cammarano et al., 2010) and more independent studies are required to confirm this observation. Finally definitive estimates of this new radial profile have not been presented.

1.3 Geodynamic scenario and our parameterization

If the above mineral-physics and seismological interpretations are both correct, it remains to be explained the geodynamic origin of the lower mantle transition and what is its impact for rising plumes and sinking slabs. This work aims at understanding just the fate of a slab in the lower mantle. The novel geodynamic scenario here suggested is the one in which a sinking slab must cross three distinct mantle layers in its way to the core: the upper mantle, the external-lower mantle (i.e. above 1500–2000 km) and the interior-lower mantle (i.e. below 1500–2000 km). In particular, we propose that the viscosity hill often suggested in the literature was indicating such a mid-lower mantle viscosity transition.

We consider 4 fundamental parameters influencing the fate of the slab. Other factors taken fixed in all models are the thickness of the plate, set to 200 km, which should account for the broadening that the plate undergoes due to diffusion mechanisms and maybe thickening in the early stages of subduction. The plate starts each model from an initial straight shape, inclined of 20 degrees from the vertical, just above the hill.

The first examined parameter is the differential density between slabs and mantle. Because the slab composition cannot change in its way to the core (except some devolatilization phenomena, mostly in the upper mantle), and the spin transition

happens in the slab at shifted depths compared to the rest of the mantle, due to lithosphere's cooler temperature, we consider the entire range of possible differential densities, 2%, 0% and -2%, from the lower mantle during the transition. Before and after the mid-mantle transition we assume instead unvaried 2% negative buoyancy for the slab (Figure 5). Real values can be different for each real case, but our end-member scenarios should encompass all possible events.

The second parameter is mantle viscosity. We investigate a non-homogeneous mantle with a mid mantle peak viscosity 1, 10 and 100 times of the far field mantle, as they are end members and are of lower or equal order of magnitude to the proposed mantle viscosity hills (Figure 5). We do not vary the mantle-lithosphere viscosity ratio. Such ratio has been amply studied in most geodynamic simulations of subduction that give a value of about 100 (Capitanio et al., 2007) in the upper mantle. In the lower mantle such a value is typically estimated as being much lower. We assume therefore a slab with a viscosity ratio of 10 between slab and mantle. Interesting tests of how a homogeneously viscous slab might evolve in the lower mantle have been proposed by (Káráson, PhD Thesis) showing a complexity of shapes, also seismically detected and interpreted (Ren et al., 2007).

In the technical implementation of the viscosity hill, as explained in the appendix, only the high shear stresses due to the highly viscous mantle have been implemented. Several reasons justify this assumption: a) the spin transition should take place at different depths inside and outside the slab, due to the differential temperature between slab and mantle; b) we follow the conceptual model that the viscosity rises in the mantle due to a compositional variation, not directly due to the spin change (however, this is not clear yet); c) we are interested in the fate of the slab in the particular context of their ability to cross a mid mantle viscosity peak and reach the core-mantle boundary contributing to the D'' composition or to a more or less thick differentiated mid mantle layer, and this is due more to the mantle shear stresses applied to the slab than to the slab strength itself. In other words, we are interested only in the case in which the lithosphere remains a coherent entity, while we can only detect, and not directly model, the case in which it becomes unstable, thus producing fragments that drop in the underlying mantle.

The last two parameters are geometrical, i.e. the thickness of the transition, in which two end-members cases are tested: a thin 200 km and a thick 500 km. and two different slab width cases: very wide, 10,000 km and relatively narrow, 2,000 km, corresponding respectively to the largest plates on Earth and to a typical subduction zone.

The total of 36 possible combinations gives origin to a very large set of possible outcomes that we summarize in three categories: (1) Stokes flow, for slabs that directly penetrate through the transition and do not feel the presence of mantle peak effects, (2) transient, for slab that sensibly slow down at the mid mantle transition and then go through it arriving at the lowest mantle and (3) stalling for slabs that remain entrapped in the mid-mantle and never cross the transition.

2. Numerical Simulations

The simulation of the flow of creeping systems with large and sharp viscosity and density variations presents formidable challenges, due to the extremely complex evolving heterogeneities. We employ an indirect boundary integral formulation representing the boundary between a strong slab a less viscous mantle. The resulting formulation, based on bounded Stokes flow laws, is a set of Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. While the methods based on boundary integral equations (Brebbia, 1978) reduce the effort necessary for representing complex geometries, their standard formulation is computationally very expensive for large problem due to the construction of dense matrices. In order to accelerate the solution, we replace the calculation of the dense matrix with the implementation of an algorithmic “matrix multiplier operator”, based on the multipole expansion of the integral terms (Greengard and Rokhlin, 1987) as detailed in the appendix.

In our numerical scheme, the slab surface is discretised into linear triangular elements. It has been shown that the linear system arising from its related equation set is well-conditioned and dense (Zhu, 2006). The system is then solved employing the GMRES Algorithm which exploits the potentialities of the FMM fast multipole approach (Greengard and Rokhlin, 1987). Once the single and double layer integrals are calculated, the position of each node is updated with a simple explicit forward step in time. The heat or chemical diffusion equation is not solved in this work because it would unnecessarily complicate our procedures, but it can be straightforwardly

implemented either with a MP-BEM solver or coupling the code with FD finite-difference or FEM finite-elements methodologies, as it is in the implementation stage.

Standard implementations of the boundary element method allow only the study of homogeneous flow as discussed in (Morra et al., 2007)(Morra et al., 2009) and illustrated in the first part of the appendix. In practical terms this means that for each element, the density difference and the viscosity ratio between slab and mantle are constant. In this work, for the first time, we employ a non homogenous viscosity and density boundary formulation, which allows us to model the interaction of the slab with a non-homogeneous mantle, characterized by non-monotonous radial density and viscosity profiles. Both requirements are exploited employing different approaches, described in more detail in the technical Appendix:

a) the viscosity ratio is straightforwardly mapped on each boundary element separately, depending on the local position of the boundary, but the implementation requiring the calculation of the viscosity ratio between inner and outer slab requires a careful implementation. The approximation here employed is explained in detail in the appendix, formula (9), and has been tested with excellent results, giving only local errors in proximity to the mantle-hill zone. In practice, it corresponds to a mean-field approximation, neglecting the effects of the far field viscosity transition;

b) the non-homogeneous differential density requires the calculation of a one dimensional radial finite difference implementation of the pressure, applied to the boundary element formulation, replacing the component of the stress in the Stokeslet component, as explained in recalculating the formula (10). This is done at each step, with minimum computational time consumption, by integrating over the entire mantle density profile.

3. Model Results

In this section we describe in detail the results of our experiments in numerical modelling; we provide in the Discussion section a geophysical explanation of our theoretical insights. Let's consider first the interaction of a small plate (2000 km x 2000 km) that encounters a purely 500 km thick viscosity hill, without considering any density variation, i.e. only the case in which $\Delta\rho_{\text{hill}}$ is 2% higher in the slab compared to the surrounding mantle. The transition from the 10x to the 100x viscosity hill model is

paradigmatic of the dramatic change due to the pure mantle shear stresses to the slab sinking. Three steps whose time evolution for the two models are illustrated in Figure 6. The slab in both cases crosses the viscosity hill, but the time required for going through it is one order of magnitude higher for the 100x model. Furthermore the impact with the high viscosity layer changes dramatically the morphology of the down welling, which converts from a slab like structure into a cylindrical drop, growing as Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) instability. We call the case shown above figure 6 as being Stokes sinking, while the one on the lower mantle is defined as being transient.

In order to show the effect of a density variation superposed to a viscosity hill, the following figure 7 illustrates the time sequences of two 10x viscosity models with a small 2,000 km x 2,000 km plate testing 2 different smooth density variations $\Delta\rho_{\text{hill}} = \rho_{\text{litho}} - \rho_{\text{mantle}}$ at the peak either 0% or -2% compared to surrounding mantle. The 0% model, whose time evolution is illustrated in figure 7 (top panels), displays an intermediate outcome between the two models shown in figure 6, with the final morphology (after the hill is crossed) resembling partly such as slab and partly such as a RT instability, falling in a ring shape. The lower panel of figure 7 shows that in this simple configuration, the opposing buoyancy model ($\Delta\rho_{\text{hill}}=-2\%$) is able to halt the plate at the viscosity transition. The two models here displayed are paradigmatic for a transition from a transient to a stalling case.

The figure 8 illustrates the final stage of 6 different modeled slabs, focusing on the role of differential density, summarizing their morphology. Only the mild viscosity hill (10x) is considered as they show a larger variation compared to the 100x cases. Here upper and lower panels represent the comparison between a small (2,000 km x 2,000 km, top panels) and a very wide plate (10,000 km x 2,000 km, bottom panels). Although the timing of the deformation is very different for small and large plates (see figure 9), the final configurations are similar. The most surprising result is that, while a variation from 2% to 0% triggers little effect, the negative-buoyancy ($\Delta\rho_{\text{hill}}=-2\%$) model is characterized by a rounded long-term shape of the plate, with down welling at the sides of the plate itself. As it is shown in Figure 9, such a down welling arises a long time after the plate has met the mid-mantle hill. It is therefore foreseeable that for a morphological complex hill this instability might grow from segments inducing several mesoscale down welling (Cizkova and Matyska, 2004), but possibly also being an

origin for upwelling plumes. In general, the models with inverse density hill (able to invert the sign of the slab buoyancy) display an unstable upside-down effect for which the slab splits and then fall laterally in form of drops.

All models are finally summarised in figure 9 where it is displayed the radial position of the center of mass of each plate versus a common non-dimensional time (a 2,000 km x 2,000 km plate in top panels and a 10,000 km x 2,000 km plate in the bottom panels). The trajectories of the centre of mass for the small and the 5x wider plate display a similar pattern but the mean-velocity is about double for the wider plate (see x-axis of the upper and lower panel). This can be explained by the prediction of (Capitanio et al., 2007) based on the classical work of (Happel and Brenner, 1983), that the drag of a plate in the mantle is proportional to $[1+\ln(L/S)]$ where L is the maximum length of the plate and S is the shortest. In our case $D_L=1+\ln(10,000 \text{ km} / 2,000 \text{ km})=1+\ln(5)=2.6$ while $D_S=1+\ln(2,000 \text{ km} / 2,000 \text{ km})=1+\ln(1)=1$. The sinking velocity is given by the ratio between plate total buoyancy (volume* $\Delta\rho$) and drag, $v_L\sim(5\times 1)/2.6\sim 1.9$ and $v_S\sim(1\times 1)/1=1$, therefore the ratio $v_L/v_S=1.9$ explains the observed sinking velocity.

The non-dimensional time employed in figure 9 generates a range of possible sinking rates that depend on the physical parameters involved in the system. In order to detect the equivalent order of magnitude for the modelled plates, we can convert the non-dimensional time using the velocity renormalization factor $2/9*\Delta\rho g a^2/\eta$ and the time renormalization $9/2*\eta/\Delta\rho g a$, where η is the mantle viscosity, $\Delta\rho$ is the differential density, g is the gravity and a is the effective length of the slab $a=S*[1+\ln(L/T)]$, where S and L are as above, 2,000 km and 2,000–10,000 km. Assuming a lower mantle background viscosity in the range of $10^{22} - 3\times 10^{22}$ Pa s and an average differential density in the range 1-2% ($\sim 50 \text{ kg/m}^3$) for the sinking lithosphere, one finds that a time unit is equivalent to 2 to 6 Myrs, which can be linearly renormalized for a different lower mantle viscosity. This implies that we predict a crossing time of the lower mantle of the order of 20–60 Myrs for very wide plates, as Farallon, Tethys or Pacific, and of 40–120 Myrs for small plates as single pacific slabs, both for the models that directly cross the mid mantle transition. Comparing this time with the diffusive timescale of a plate in the mantle, this could explain why only the largest plates have been detected in the deepest mantle.

For both, wide and narrow plates, the trajectories can be grouped in three families (Figure 9 and table 1), defined roughly by the same parameter subset. The first family is specified by the cluster of trajectories that cross the hill in an almost unperturbed form and is called Stokes. For a hill of only 200 km, such models are defined by the ones that cross a small or no viscosity transition hill and have a lithosphere-mantle density difference positive or null, while for a hill thickness of 500 km already when the density difference is null the trajectory is perturbed. The second family is composed by the plates that remain permanently or for extremely long time stalled at the hill. We call this dynamics Stalling. This involves all the models with a hill-thickness of 500km and negative $\Delta\rho$ and high viscosity and negative $\Delta\rho$ (see table 2 for better visualizing the transition). Collected into a third family there is then a large set of models that are characterized by moderate anomalous viscosity and moderate density anomaly, displaying a transient behaviour. They sensibly slow around the viscosity/density hill for periods of the same order of magnitude of the ones that penetrate down to the bottom of the lower mantle and then sink down, often displaying a new morphology. Those models are called Transient in the tables. Although their definition is here slightly arbitrary, the direct analysis of the models shows their actual existence. Their importance, as discussed in the next section, resides in the possibility that the long transient time spent around the mid mantle transition might act as a reservoir that in the life of the Earth evolution would originally cause the compositional anomaly observed in the lower mantle, as better discussed in the next session.

4. Discussion and new scenarios for the Earth's mantle

Convergent results taken from gravity data, and more recently mineral physics and seismology, indicate that a non-monotonic profile of viscosity/density might better describe the mid lower-mantle dynamics. In particular, it has been proposed that a high viscosity layer several hundred km thick might exist at depths 1,200–1,600 km. More recently a study that compares mineral physics and seismological data introduces evidences for a compositionally distinct layering below ~1,500 km depth (Cammarano et al., 2010).

We find little difference between the dynamics of a very wide plate and a small plate, except for the sinking-velocity, which respects a logarithmic law (Capitanio et al., 2007)

(Morra et al., 2009). This terminal velocity diversity explains why only very large-scale plates (Farallon, Tethys and Pacific), while smaller slabs would tend cross, although being slowed down, by the non-monotonic radial pattern of the lower mantle viscosity. This filtering effect could be enhanced by a mid mantle layering that induces a transient dynamics (Figure 9), which induces small slabs to stall in the mid-mantle after a period of several tens Myrs, diffusing heat and thereby dissipating away their differential buoyancy.

We modelled numerically the effects of a wide but realistic range of thicknesses, densities and viscosities for a non-homogeneous layer in the lower mantle and on the lower-mantle subduction of a relatively small and a very wide plate. Depending on such parameters, a variety of different behaviours is possible. The change in hill thickness induces alone stalling if a sufficiently strong negative buoyancy (to the mantle) is applied, while the transient behaviour is common to both 200 km and 500 km models (table 1), changing only the timing of the transition. We conclude that even a mild viscosity and density transition, if broad enough, can dramatically change the fate of the lower mantle slabs.

An unexpected outcome of the model is the fate of slabs that during their sinking encounter a combination of a high viscosity zone with a negative density anomaly. We predict that they might stall a long time in the mid-mantle transition zone, furthermore they might tear and/or fragment because of the opposite buoyancy forces encountered and then amplified by the local high viscosity of the mantle. This would reduce the wavelength of the seismic anomalies observed below in the deep mantle, which can explain the observation of Figure 4.

Plotting the hill-mantle viscosity ratio vs. slab-hill differential density in table 2 shows the most distinct transition between the three dynamic domains that we show in this work, with a banded configuration that illustrates how both the negative buoyancy and the high viscosity ratio are able to create a transient and a stalling dynamics. This somehow predictable result is here directly quantified, illustrating how a) if the viscosity peak proposed before for the mid mantle hill is realistic (100x) then the slab must either stall or have a long residential time in the mid mantle (see bottom line of the table 2); b) if the compositional heterogeneity in the deep lower mantle is more dense

then the above one (as it is predictable) the dynamics of the lower portion of the lower mantle will be strongly inhibited and the slabs will always display a transient or stalling behaviour (see right column of the table 2); c) if the two effects act in a combined way, with close to neutral buoyancy and a mild hill-mantle viscosity ratio, the thickness of the transitional layer will play an important role controlling the slab behaviour and also the slab width will be relevant because inducing a larger mantle flow.

If a density and viscosity non-homogenous layer of thickness of 200 km or 500 km exists in the lower mantle, this must be necessary due to a compositional heterogeneity, either within locally in the layer, or between a sandwich consisting of a top and a bottom layer in the lower-mantle, because the spin transition in the ferropericlasite alone cannot induce it (Wentzcovitch et al., 2009). Furthermore, the bulk modulus softening in ferropericlasite during the spin transition is not observed in the dV_p/dz data, which also implies that a compositional transition might compensate for the lack of dV_p/dz jump. Such a configuration, if confirmed, requires a geodynamic system that at steady state is able to create such compensation. We envisage here this new mechanism, proposing that many sinking slabs would have transient time or completely stall in the mid lower mantle. Such slabs represent a compositional differentiated reservoir, as it has been for example already proposed for an hazburgite component in the D" layer (Hirose et al., 1999). In the long term, a differentiation in the mid lower mantle would dynamically develop by a feedback due to the already existing compositional lowest mantle segregation, in a self-sustained form. This mechanism could induce over a long term the viscosity-density hill itself, causing a radial separation in two layers of the lower mantle, therefore preventing global mantle convection and favouring a more layered form of mantle dynamics.

Acknowledgements

Comments by two anonymous reviewers greatly helped to improve the manuscript. We thank discussions with Fabio Cammarano, Anne Hofmeister, Matt Knepley, Marc Monnereau, Fabio Capitano. This work, as part of the Eurohorcs/ESF European Young Investigators Awards Scheme, was supported by funds from the National Research Council of Italy and other National Funding Agencies participating in the 3rd Memorandum of Understanding, as well as from the EC Sixth Framework Programme.

Appendix. Fast Multipole Boundary Element Method for Stokes Flow

In order to capture the most fundamental modes that characterize how a slab can or not cross a density and/or a viscosity transition we simplified the system to its fundamentals. The effective viscosity $\eta(T,C)$ (Kellogg et al., 1999) that characterizes rheological behaviour of subducted plates has been replaced by two mean values: η_{int} inside the plate and η_{ext} for the external mantle. Using such rheological definition the gradient of velocity and σ is indicated by the viscous stress tensor:

$$(1) \quad \sigma = -pI + \eta (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^t \mathbf{u}) = -pI + \eta \epsilon$$

We solve the generalized Stokes equations that comprise the momentum conservation and incompressibility condition:

$$(2) \quad \nabla \cdot \sigma + \rho \mathbf{b} = 0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

For our problem, this amounts to subdividing the mantle into several closed regions, each characterized by a homogenous density and viscosity. When such an approximation is acceptable, it is possible rewrite (2) as a boundary integral equation for each sub-domain. This constitutes a decrease in the problem dimensionality and therefore potential computational gains. Moreover, this approach offers inherent multiscale capabilities as the surface mesh resolution can vary dynamically and track the physics of interest.

We show later how a system characterized by a perturbed viscosity η and/or density ρ in function of space:

$$(3) \quad \eta = \eta'(z)$$

$$(4) \quad \rho = \rho'(z)$$

as is our case, can be solved using a perturbative approach to our boundary equations.

Boundary Equations

We consider Stokes flow as described by the equations (1) and (2). Assuming a constant η in each domain, the velocity of each point inside the domain can be written as a sum of two surface integrals (Pozrikidis, 1992), called single and double layer integrals respectively, representing the effects of the forcing $\sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}_k$ and velocity $\mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x})$

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi\eta} \int_{\partial D} \sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{x}) n_k G_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_o) dS(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial D} u_i(\mathbf{x}) n_k T_{ijk}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_o) dS(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} u_i(\mathbf{x}_o) & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_o \in D, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{ij}$ $\mathbf{T}_{ijk}$ are the steady Green's functions for velocity and stress respectively, also known as the Stokeslet and the Stresslet:

$$G_{ij}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_o) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r} + \frac{\hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j}{r^3}; \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_o \text{ and } r = |\hat{\mathbf{x}}| \quad (6)$$

$$T_{ijk}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_o) = -6 \frac{\hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \hat{x}_k}{r^5}. \quad (7)$$

In turn, the above equation is implemented both for the inner and the outer fluid, in this way the boundary equations are cast into a form more appropriate for a quasi-steady multiphase flows in the presence of a gravity field. Hence for a point $\mathbf{x}$ on the surface S that separates different fluids, we obtain:

$$\frac{1+\lambda}{2} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1-\lambda}{8\pi} \int_S^{PV} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u} dS = -\frac{1}{8\pi\eta_0} \int_S \mathbf{G} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{f} dS, \quad (8)$$

where PV denotes the principal value of the integral, η_0 is the viscosity of the external fluid, taken as a reference and $\lambda = \eta_{\text{int}}/\eta_0$ is the viscosity ration between inner and outer fluid and $\Delta \mathbf{f}$ is a normal stress jump that in our case accounts for gravity.

The equations have been widely used and tested for homogenous media. In this work we considered two types of inhomogeneities parameterized into a spatial variation of the coefficients 1) viscosity ratio $\lambda = \eta_{\text{int}}/\eta_{\text{ext}}$; 2) density differential $\Delta \rho = \rho_{\text{int}} - \rho_{\text{ext}}$. We assumed that a zone of thickness between 200 km and 500 km, within a domain of several 1000's km, could have viscosities of 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the surrounding and $\Delta \rho_0 = 0$ or even negative. In practice for each panel point $\mathbf{x}_0$ at the center of the Panel($\mathbf{x}_0$), λ has been rescaled as:

(9)

$$\lambda[Panel(\mathbf{x}_o)] = \begin{cases} \eta_{int}/\eta_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_o) = \eta_{int}/[\eta_0^{ext} + \eta'_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_o)] & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_o \in ViscosityHill, \\ \eta_{int}/\eta_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_o) = \eta_{int}/\eta_0^{ext} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The implementation of non-homogeneous density is slightly more complex. Exploiting a first-order hydrostatic approximation the non-uniform density is implemented as a space dependent shift of the pressure term in the single layer integral (in our case, the space variable is the radius and the pressure is defined by a profile of the pressure of the mantle to the lithosphere). We recalculated the entire radial pressure profile at each time step and the external forces applied in the single layer integral to the system. Hence the pressure P at the radius $r=(\mathbf{x}_{ii})^{1/2}$ becomes:

$$(10) \quad P[Panel(\mathbf{x}_o)] = \int_{r(\mathbf{x}_o)}^{\infty} g \Delta \rho [r'(\mathbf{x})] dr'$$

that is applied in the single layer of the boundary equation, replacing $\Delta \mathbf{f}$ with $\mathbf{Pn}$.

Multipole Approach

The sinking slab surface S in Figure 5 and the supported quantities velocity $\mathbf{u}$, and stress tensor at the boundary $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are discretized with boundary elements (also called panels). The boundary integral equation thus becomes a linear system

$$(11) \quad ((1 + \lambda)/2 + T) \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F}.$$

Many approaches carry out the construction of the matrix; which scales as N_{pan}^2 both memory- and computation time-wise though, making it impractical for large systems.

We use a Fast Multipole Method (FMM) (Barnes and Hut., 1986; Greengard and Rokhlin, 1987) in eq (8). The FMM algorithm, illustrated in figure 10, dramatically reduces the complexity of matrix-vector multiplication involving a certain type of dense matrix, which can arise out of many physical systems.

The FMM scales as $N \log(N)$, which is far more tractable and still allows the use of a Generalized Minimized Residual method GMRES or any Krylov space based method that does not rely on the storage of the full matrix. By treating the interactions between asymptotic basis functions using the FMM, the corresponding matrix elements do not need to be explicitly stored, resulting in a significant reduction in required memory.

A multipole method exploits the decay of the kernel to convolve and makes a controlled approximation. It does this by expanding the system Green's function using a multipole expansion, which allows one to group sources that lie close together and treat them as if they are a single source.

More explicitly, let us compute

$$(12) \quad u(\mathbf{x}_o) = \int_D G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x})$$

We consider the contribution from D_i , a part of D that is far enough from our evaluation point $\mathbf{x}_o$ and proceed with a Taylor expansion of the kernel $\mathbf{G}$ about $\mathbf{x}_c$ D_i

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}_o) &= \int_{D_i} G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\simeq \int_{D_i} (G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) - \nabla G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) + \dots) \rho(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\simeq G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) \int_{D_i} \rho(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad - \nabla G(\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) \cdot \int_{D_i} (\mathbf{x}_o - \mathbf{x}_c) \rho(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We note that the equation involves successive moments of the ρ distribution in D_i .

The present FMM code can handle convolutions with the Green's functions for the Poisson equation, the Stokeslet or the Stresslet. It employs up to the second order moments of the source distributions (quadrupoles). The reader is referred to (Barnes and Hut., 1986; Greengard and Rokhlin, 1987; Warren and Salmon, 1993) for general information on multipole methods and the work of (Tornberg and Greengard, 2008) for the transposition of harmonic multipoles to the evaluation of the Stokeslet and Stresslet.

The FMM algorithm thus sorts the sources in a tree structure whose cells contain the moment integrals--or multipoles-- and carries out a field evaluation through a tree traversal (figure 11). The refinement of the interactions is determined by a tree traversal stopping criterion based on a prescribed tolerance.

The FMM-BEM drastically improves the computational cost of the method. For the coarse resolutions, the method displays the nominal N^2 scaling of a direct interaction

code. This is attributed to the relatively few elements and tree cells. The scaling then quickly approaches a nearly linear one $N\log(N)$ for the finer resolutions.

The FMM-BEM has been parallelized using MPI. The parallel efficiency has been tested on a Opteron cluster with Quadrics connections. The scaling is very good up to 64 CPUS, still keeping 90% of efficiency. In its current implementation the FMM-BEM uses a shared (not distributed) tree, thus reducing the communication load at the expense of memory requirements.

References

- Barnes, J. and Hut., P., 1986. A hierarchical $O(N \log N)$ force-calculation algorithm. *Nature*, 324(4).
- Becker, T. and Boschi, L., 2002. A comparison of tomographic and geodynamic mantle models. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 3: 2001GC000168.
- Boschi, L., Becker, T.W. and Steinberger, B., 2008. On the statistical significance of correlations between synthetic mantle plumes and tomographic models. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 167: 230-238.
- Brebbia, C.A., 1978. *The Boundary Element Method for Engineers*. Pentech Press, London.
- Cammarano, F., Goes, S., Vacher, P. and Giardini, D., 2003. Inferring upper-mantle temperatures from seismic velocities. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 138: 197-222.
- Cammarano, F., Marquardt, H., Speziale, S. and Tackley, P., 2010. Role of iron-spin transition in ferropericlase on seismic interpretation: A broad thermochemical transition in the mid mantle? *Geophysical Research Letters*, in press.
- Capitanio, F.A., Morra, G. and Goes, S., 2007. Dynamic models of downgoing plate-buoyancy driven subduction: Subduction motions and energy dissipation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 262(1-2): 284-297.
- Cizkova, H. and Matyska, C., 2004. Layered convection with an interface at a depth of 1000 km: stability and generation of slab-like downwellings. *Physics of The Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 141(4): 269-279
- da Silva, C.R.S., Wentzcovitch, R.M., Patel, A., Price, G.D. and Karato, S.I., 2000. The composition and geotherm of the lower mantle: constraints from the elasticity of silicate perovskite. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 118: 103-109.
- Forte, A.M. and Mitrovica, J.X., 2001. Deep-mantle high-viscosity flow and thermochemical structure inferred from seismic and geodynamic data. *Nature*, 410(6832): 1049-1056.
- Grand, S.P., 1994. Mantle shear structure beneath the Americas and the surrounding oceans. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99: 11591--11621.
- Grand, S.P., van der Hilst, R.D. and Widiyantoro, S., 1997. Global seismic tomography: A snapshot of convection in the Earth. *GSA Today*, 7: 1-17.
- Greengard, L. and Rokhlin, V., 1987. A Fast Algorithm for Particle Simulations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, A, 73: 325--348.

- Happel, J. and Brenner, H., 1983. Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics. Martinus Nijhoff, the Hague, Netherlands.
- Henderson, A., 2007. ParaView Guide, A Parallel Visualization Application.
- Hirose, K., Fei, Y., Ma, Y. and Mao, H.-K., 1999. The fate of subducted basaltic crust in the Earth's lower mantle. *Nature*, 397(6714): 53-56.
- Houser, C. and Williams, Q., 2009. The relative wavelengths of fast and slow velocity anomalies in the lower mantle: Contrary to the expectations of dynamics? *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 176(3-4): 187-197.
- Ito, Y. and Toriumi, M., 2007. Pressure effect of self-diffusion in periclase (MgO) by molecular dynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(B04206).
- Kellogg, L.H., Hager, B.H. and van der Hilst, R.D., 1999. Compositional Stratification in the Deep Mantle. *Science*, 283(5409): 1881-1884.
- Li, C., van der Hilst, R.D., Engdahl, E.R. and Burdick, S., 2007. A new global model for P wavespeed variations in Earth's mantle. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 9.(Q05018).
- Marquardt, H. et al., 2009. Elastic Shear Anisotropy of Ferropericlase in Earth's Lower Mantle. *Science*, 324(5924): 224-226.
- Matas, J., Bass, J., Ricard, Y., Mattern, E. and Bukowinski, M., 2007. On the bulk composition of the lower mantle: predictions and limitations from generalized inversion of radial seismic profiles. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 170: 764–780.
- Mitrovica, J.X. and Forte, A.M., 1997. Radial profile of mantle viscosity: Results from the joint inversion of convection and postglacial rebound observables. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth*, 102(B2): 2751-2769.
- Montelli, R., Nolet, G., Dahlen, F.A. and Masters, G., 2006. A catalog of deep mantle plumes: New results from finite-frequency tomography. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 7: Q11007.
- Morra, G., Chatelain, P., Tackley, P. and Koumoutsakos, P., 2007. Large Scale Three-Dimensional Boundary Element Simulation of Subduction, *Computational Science – ICCS 2007*, pp. 1122-1129.
- Morra, G., Chatelain, P., Tackley, P. and Koumoutsakos, P., 2009. Earth curvature effects on subduction morphology: Modeling subduction in a spherical setting. *Acta Geotechnica*, 4(2): 95-105.
- Müller, R.D., Sdrolias, M., Gaina, C. and Roest, W.R., 2008. Age, spreading rates and spreading asymmetry of the world's ocean crust. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, in press.
- Panning, M.P. and Romanowicz, B.A., 2006. A three dimensional radially anisotropic model of shear velocity in the whole mantle. *Geophysics Journal International* 167: 361-379.
- Pozrikidis, C., 1992. Boundary Integral and Singularity Methods for Linearized Viscous Flow. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Ren, Y., Stutzmann, E., van der Hilst, R.D. and Besse, J., 2007. Understanding seismic heterogeneities in the lower mantle beneath the Americas from seismic tomography and plate tectonic history. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112.
- Ricard, Y. and Wuming, B., 1991. Inferring the mantle Viscosity and Its Three Dimensional Structure From Geoid ,Topography and Plate Velocity. *Geophysical Journal International*: 567-571.

- Sigloch, K., McQuarrie, N. and Nolet, G., 2008. Two-stage subduction history under North America inferred from multiple-frequency tomography. *Nature Geosci.*, 1(7): 458-462.
- Simmons, N.A., Forte, A.M., and Grand, S.P. , 2006. Constraining mantle flow with seismic and geodynamic data: A joint approach. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 246: 109--124.
- Soldati, G., Boschi, L., Deschamps, F. and Giardini, D., 2009. Inferring radial models of mantle viscosity from gravity (GRACE) data and an evolutionary algorithm. *Phys. Earth. Planet. Int.*, 176.: 19--32.
- Tornberg, A.-K. and Greengard, L., 2008. A fast multipole method for the three-dimensional Stokes equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 227(3): 1613-1619.
- Van der Hilst, R.D. and Káráson, H., 1999. Compositional heterogeneity in the bottom 1000 km of Earth's mantle: towards a hybrid convection model. *Science*, 283: 1885-1888.
- Warren, M.S. and Salmon, J.K., 1993. A parallel hashed Oct-Tree N-body algorithm. *Supercomputing*, FMM93: 12-21.
- Wen, L. and Anderson, D.L., 1997. Slabs, hotspots, cratons and mantle convection revealed from residual seismic tomography in the upper mantle. *Phys. Earth. Planet. Int.*, 99: 131-143.
- Wentzcovitch, R.M. et al., 2009. Anomalous compressibility of ferropericlase throughout the iron spin cross-over. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(21): 8447-8452.
- Zhu, G., Mammoli, A. A., Power H., 2006. A 3-D indirect boundary element method for bounded creeping flow of drops. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 30 856–868.

Figures

Fig.1. The left panel displays three snapshots of the evolution of the northern region of the Farallon-Kula plate system between 78Ma and 42 Ma. The black ellipse indicates the location of the spreading ridge. The right panel shows the most up-to-date high resolution 3D slab tomography reproduced from (Sigloch et al., 2008). The [SG] line traces the Farallon-Kula paleo-plate boundary. At 1500km depth the two plates superpose, due to less space at depth (Morra et al., 2007). The broadening and flattening of the slab in the mid lower mantle confirms the the lower resolution outlook of the global tomography models displayed in figures 2 and 3.

Fig.2. 3D isosurface of the 0.8% anomaly to the radial profile for the global tomographic model of (Grand et al., 1997). The three dimensional morphology of the Farallon slab is well distinguishable in the top portion of the figure. It is complex, flattening laterally and apparently reaching the core only in a very confined location. There are also other prominent features of large and broad flat anomalies at mid mantle depth.

Fig.3. A comparison of 5 global S-wave tomographic models around the Farallon slab at 4 different depths. Models include tx2007 (Simmons, 2006), pri-s05 (Montelli et al., 2006), rmsl-s06 (Li et al., 2007), and saw642an (Panning and Romanowicz, 2006). The smean (Becker and Boschi, 2002) model is an average the main proposed models and summarizes them. The cusp of the Farallon slab is a common feature of most tomographic models. Also the broadening of the image (indicating slab flattening) at depth between 1,500km and 2,000km is independent on the inversion approach employed.

Fig.4. This figure is mostly reproduced from figure 1 of (Boschi et al., 2008). It is repropose here as the main evidence of a dramatic transition at mid-lower mantle depths. It shows the logarithm of the ratio of positive-to-negative shear-velocity spectra from model SMEAN of (Becker and Boschi, 2002), as a function of harmonic degree 1 (horizontal axis) and depth from Moho to core-mantle boundary (vertical axis). (Boschi

et al., 2008) computed independent harmonic expansions of negative and positive velocity anomalies from SMEAN, and took the logarithm of the ratio of the resulting spectra. See also the discussion proposed by (Houser and Williams, 2009). Positive (blue) values correspond to dominance of fast anomalies at a given depth and harmonic degree; vice-versa for negative (red) values: at relatively low harmonic degrees, fast anomalies dominate the pattern of seismic heterogeneity in the mid mantle, but the situation is reversed in the lower mantle, with the transition at $\sim 1,500$ km depth.

Fig.5. Viscosity and density radial profiles for the lower mantle. Above are shown two models proposed in the past by (Ricard and Wumming, 1991) and (Forte and Mitrovica, 2001), both displaying a non-monotonous viscosity profile in the lower mantle, with a maximum at mid mantle depth. The lower panel illustrates instead the viscosity and density profiles that we test in this work. Our lower mantle model exhibit a less prominent viscosity peak respect to the one proposed above, as we observe in our model that 100x has already a strong influence on the geodynamic evolution. The density profile shown in bottom right figure illustrates both the hill-mantle and litho-mantle density differential. Those values are end-member, corresponding to typical seismic fluctuations. We model all the nine combinations.

Fig.6. Model results for the subduction of a small plate (2,000km x 2,000km) that encounters a mid lower mantle discontinuity. The differential density between slab and mantle is kept constant, 2%, while the viscosity peaks are tested, 10x and 100x, for a peak width of 500km. The viscosity transition is able to stop the slabs for a certain time, and retard largely the penetration into the lowest mantle, but the penetration in the deep mantle is very different for the viscosity peak of 10x or 100x, being the former a slab avalanche where the slab shape of the plate is maintained, while the last one is a Rayleigh Taylor instability type. The 100x is a much slower process, producing much longer residential times in the mid lower mantle.

Fig.7. Evolution of a sinking slab through a viscosity peak with two different lithospheric-mantle differential densities $\Delta\rho$ for a not very large plate (2,000km) and very broad peak zone (500km). The viscosity hill is chosen 10x the background lower

mantle viscosity. When the differential density between slab and hill density is null, the transition is crossed but as the slab morphology changes dramatically, generating a Rayleigh Taylor instability from the center of the stalling plate, with a ring shape. The time evolution shown in the lower panels illustrates how negative buoyancies (i.e. hill density higher than lithosphere density) inhibits the crossing inducing slab stalling. In the long term, if the differential buoyancy remains respect to the lowest mantle, how small scale or even a subduction-like unstability triggered, as illustrated in Figure 8.

Fig.8. The final crossing morphology of 6 different models, 3 characterized by a small 2,000km wide plate and 3 by a wide one (10,000km), all crossing a 200km thick transition zone and viscosity hill of 10x the background mantle. The models from left to right change through differential lithosphere-mantle density. The positive to null lithosphere-hill differential density comparison shows little effect, while the negative differential density (Hill Density > Slab Viscosity) instead triggers in the long term long residency times in the mid mantle and an unstable behaviour, coherently found for small or very wide plates, at different scales.

Fig.9. Summary of all models tested, through the radial trajectory of center of mass of the sinking slab. Small plates (2,000km wide) are displayed in the panel above, while very wide plate (10,000km) in the lower panel. The three families of models also recalled in the tables are (a) Stokes-like, which sink down to the core almost not interacting with the viscosity hill; (b) Stalling, with plates entrapped close to the viscosity-density hill for a period of the order of lower mantle Stokes crossing time or longer; (c) Transient, spend a moderate time around the viscosity hill, typically no longer of the lower mantle Stokes crossing time. Meaning of the legend: the line style indicates the thickness of the hill: dotted 500km ($T=5$) and continuous 200km ($T=2$). Colors instead display the hill peak viscosity ratio: blue 100 ($V=100$), green 10 ($V=10$) and red 1 ($V=1$). The dimensionless lithosphere-hill differential density is shown by symbols, which translated to standard differential density values becomes: circle +2% ($D=1$), triangle 0% ($D=0$) and rhombic -2% ($D=-1$). Externally to the transition the litho-mantle density difference ($D=1$) and the viscosity ratio ($V=1$) are kept constant.

Fig.10. A general sketch of the multipole method employed. The summation on each surface is done using local (Point) or Multipole terms, depending on the relative position of different centers. Right Panel: The component of the summation are the integrals on each panel (=boundary element). Summing the integral contribution within a certain domain, the contribution of a set of panels is compressed into the one of one pole. Left Panel: There are three possible summation methods. Local(=Point)-Multipole, Local-Local, Multipole-Multipole. They depend on the relative contribution of the green function.

Fig.11. Sketch of a oct-tree and the hierarchical organization of its poles. Each internal node has up to eight children in order to partition the space by recursively subdividing it into eight octants until the required resolution is reached.

Farallon Slab from global tomographic models

Figure(s) 6

Figure(s) 7

Figure(s) 9

Tables

Hill thickness (km)	Viscosity Ratio ($\eta_R = \eta_{\text{Hill}} / \eta_{\text{Mantle}}$)	Differential density ($\Delta\rho = \rho_{\text{Litho}} - \rho_{\text{Hill}}$)	Slab width (km)	Fate of the slab (Stokes, Transient or Stalling)
200	1	-2%	2,000	Transient
200	1	-2%	10,000	Transient
200	1	0%	2,000	Stokes
200	1	0%	10,000	Stokes
200	1	2%	2,000	Stokes
200	1	2%	10,000	Stokes
200	10	-2%	2,000	Transient
200	10	-2%	10,000	Transient
200	10	0%	2,000	Stokes
200	10	0%	10,000	Stokes
200	10	2%	2,000	Stokes
200	10	2%	10,000	Stokes
200	100	-2%	2,000	Stalling
200	100	-2%	10,000	Stalling
200	100	0%	2,000	Transient
200	100	0%	10,000	Stalling
200	100	2%	2,000	Transient
200	100	2%	10,000	Transient
500	1	-2%	2,000	Stalling
500	1	-2%	10,000	Stalling
500	1	0%	2,000	Stokes
500	1	0%	10,000	Stokes
500	1	2%	2,000	Stokes
500	1	2%	10,000	Stokes
500	10	-2%	2,000	Stalling
500	10	-2%	10,000	Stalling
500	10	0%	2,000	Transient
500	10	0%	10,000	Transient
500	10	2%	2,000	Stokes
500	10	2%	10,000	Stokes
500	100	-2%	2,000	Stalling
500	100	-2%	10,000	Stalling
500	100	0%	2,000	Stalling
500	100	0%	10,000	Stalling
500	100	2%	2,000	Transient

500 100 2% 10,000 Stalling

Table 1: Summary of the results of the 36 experiments.

Color version of the table 2:

$\Delta\rho=\rho_{\text{Litho}}-\rho_{\text{Hill}}$ $\eta_R=\eta_{\text{Hill}}/\eta_{\text{Mantle}}$	2%	0%	-2%
1	Stokes	Stokes	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)
10	Stokes	Stokes (T=200km) Transient (T=500km)	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)
100	Transient	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)	Stalling

Table 2: Synthetic summary of the results

BW version of the table 2:

$\Delta\rho=\rho_{\text{Litho}}-\rho_{\text{Hill}}$ $\eta_R=\eta_{\text{Hill}}/\eta_{\text{Mantle}}$	2%	0%	-2%
1	Stokes	Stokes	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)
10	Stokes	Stokes (T=200km) Transient (T=500km)	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)
100	Transient	Transient (T=200km) Stalling (T=500km)	Stalling

Table 2: Synthetic summary of the results