In vitro antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from French teaching hospitals: proposition of zone diameter breakpoints

C. Lascols, P. Legrand, A. Mérens, R. Leclercq, L. Armand-Lefevre, H. B. Drugeon, M. D. Kitzis, C. Muller-Serieys, M. E. Reverdy, M. Roussel-Delvallez, et al.

► To cite this version:

C. Lascols, P. Legrand, A. Mérens, R. Leclercq, L. Armand-Lefevre, et al.. In vitro antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from French teaching hospitals: proposition of zone diameter breakpoints. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 2010, 30 (4), pp.475-482. 10.1007/s10096-010-1117-6 . hal-00642845

HAL Id: hal-00642845

<https://hal.science/hal-00642845>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

***In vitro* antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from French teaching hospitals. Proposition of zone diameters breakpoints**

Running title: doripenem, *in vitro* activity, breakpoints

C. Lascols¹, P. Legrand¹, A. Mérens², R. Leclercq³, L. Armand-Lefevre⁴, H.B. Drugeon⁵, M.D. Kitzis⁶, C. Muller-Serieys⁴, M.E. Reverdy⁷, M. Roussel-Delvallez⁸, C. Moubareck⁹, A. Lemire⁹, A. Miara¹⁰, M Gjoklaj¹⁰, and C.-J. Soussy¹.

¹Service de Bactériologie-Virologie-Hygiène, CHU Henri Mondor, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris 12, Créteil, ²Laboratoire de Biologie Médicale, Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Begin, Saint Mandé, ³Laboratoire de Microbiologie, CHU Côte de Nacre, Caen, ⁴Laboratoire de Bactériologie et Virologie, CHU Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, ⁵Laboratoire de Bactériologie, CHU Guillaume et René Laennec, Nantes, ⁶Service de Microbiologie Médicale, Hôpital Saint-Joseph, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, ⁷Laboratoire Central de Microbiologie, CHU Edouard Herriot, Lyon, ⁸Laboratoire de Bactériologie et Virologie, CHRU Calmette, Lille, France, ⁹Unité des Agents Antibactériens, Centre National de Référence de la Résistance aux Antibiotiques (CRAB), Institut Pasteur, Paris, ¹⁰Janssen-Cilag, Issy-Les-Moulineaux, France.

Corresponding author: Christine LASCOLS, CHU Henri Mondor, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris 12, 51 Avenue du Marechal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 CRETEIL Cedex, France. Email: c.lascols@gmail.com

29

30 **ABSTRACT**

31 **Purpose:** The aims of the study were to determine the *in vitro* activity of
 32 doripenem, a new carbapenem, against a large number of bacterial
 33 pathogens and to propose zone diameter breakpoints for clinical
 34 categorization in France according to the EUCAST MIC breakpoints.

35 **Methods:** MICs of doripenem were determined by broth microdilution method
 36 against 1547 clinical isolates from 8 French hospitals. Disk diffusion test was
 37 performed (10-µg discs) according to the CASFM method.

38 **Results:** MIC_{50/90} (mg/L) values were as follows: methicillin-susceptible *S.*
 39 *aureus* (MSSA) (0.03/0.25), methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (1/2) (MRSA),
 40 methicillin-susceptible coagulase-negative staphylococci (MSCoNS)
 41 (0.03/0.12), MRCoNS (2/8), *S. pneumoniae* (0.016/0.25), viridans group
 42 streptococci (0.016/2), β-haemolytic streptococci (≤0.008/≤0.008), *E. faecalis*
 43 (2/4), *E. faecium* (128/>128), *Enterobacteriaceae* (0.06/0.25), *P. aeruginosa*
 44 (0.5/8), *A. baumannii* (0.25/2), *H. influenzae* (0.12/0.25), *M. catarrhalis*
 45 (0.03/0.06). According to the regression curve, zone diameter breakpoints
 46 were 24 and 19 mm for MICs of 1 and 4 mg/L.

47 **Conclusion:** This study confirms the potent *in vitro* activity of doripenem
 48 against *P. aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, MSSA, MSCoNS
 49 and respiratory pathogens. According to the EUCAST MIC breakpoints (mg/L)
 50 : ≤1/>4 for *Enterobacteriaceae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* and ≤1>1 for
 51 streptococci, pneumococci and *Haemophilus*, zone diameter breakpoints
 52 could be (mm): ≥24/<19 and ≥24/<24, respectively.

53 **Keywords:** doripenem, new carbapenem, *in vitro* activity, breakpoints

54

55 **INTRODUCTION**

56 The synthesis of new carbapenems remains an area of intense research
57 because of the broad-spectrum antibacterial activity of this chemical class [1-
58 3]. Doripenem (formerly S-4661) is a recently approved parenteral 1 β -
59 methylecarbapenem, originally discovered by Shionogi & Co., Ltd. (Osaka,
60 Japan), is currently being developed in the United States by Johnson and
61 Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development (Raritan, NJ) for the
62 treatment of hospitalized patients with serious systemic bacterial infections.

63 Doripenem has a methyl group at position 4 and a sulfamoylaminomethylated
64 pyrrolidinylthio group at position 3. Its *in vitro* antimicrobial potency is
65 generally comparable to that of imipenem and meropenem, although
66 doripenem is generally more active against Gram-positive organisms than
67 meropenem and more active against Gram-negative organisms than
68 imipenem. The activity of doripenem against *Pseudomonas* isolates is slightly
69 better than that of other carbapenems. Doripenem is stable against human
70 renal DHP-I and thus does not require co-administration of cilastatin.

71 Like other carbapenems, doripenem has stability to many β -lactamases, but
72 remains labile to class B enzymes known as metallo- β -lactamases.

73 Pharmacokinetic parameters resemble to meropenem with T_{1/2} of
74 approximately 1h ; the serum protein binding is low (8-9%).

75 Previous surveillance studies indicate that doripenem is active *in vitro* against
76 bacteria commonly associated with these indications [4-9,3] and is effective *in*
77 vivo in murine models of bacteremia and pulmonary infection and in a rat
78 intra-uterine infection model [10,9]. Doripenem is an agent that will be
79 specially used to treat infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria resistant
80 to a variety of antimicrobial agents. According to the European Medicines
81 Agency (EMA), doripenem is indicated for the treatment of the following
82 infections: nosocomial pneumonia, including ventilator-associated

83 pneumonia, complicated intra-abdominal infections, complicated urinary tract
84 infections, including complicated and uncomplicated pyelonephritis and cases
85 with concurrent bacteraemia. FDA only approved doripenem for complicated
86 intra-abdominal and urinary tract infection.

87 The aim of this multicenter study was to assess the *in vitro* activity of
88 doripenem on a large number of isolates encountered in daily hospital
89 practice in French hospitals. In addition, zone diameter breakpoints were
90 determined for doripenem using the regression curve method by using the
91 French national disk diffusion method calibrated to EUCAST MIC breakpoints,
92 as recommended by EUCAST [11]

93

94

95 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

96 **Bacterial strains**

97 Over a period of 3 months (October to December 2008), a total of 1,547 non-
98 consecutive single-patient isolates, were collected from 8 French hospitals
99 (six teaching and two general hospitals). 20 strains of each frequently isolated
100 species was requested from every institution enrolled in the study. For rarely
101 isolated species, all the strains collected during the study period were
102 included. Clinical isolates were collected from the main types of pathological
103 specimens from in-patients in hospital wards representing the different
104 medical and surgical specialities: 36.5% were isolated from general medical
105 ward, 22.3% from general surgical ward, 19.3% from intensive care unit
106 (ICU), 14.8% from emergency room and the remaining (7.1%) from either
107 other ward.

108 Isolates tested included: 173 *S. aureus* (90 methicillin-susceptible and 83
109 methicillin-resistant strains), 104 coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS)
110 (50 methicillin-susceptible and 54 methicillin-resistant strains), 63 *E. faecalis*,

111 40 *E. faecium*, 83 *S. pneumoniae*, 60 β -haemolytic streptococci; 19 viridans
 112 group streptococci, 129 *E. coli*, 114 *K. pneumoniae*, 36 *K. oxytoca*, 90 *E.*
 113 *cloacae*, 49 *E. aerogenes*, 31 *Citrobacter spp.*, 114 *P. mirabilis*, 45 *M.*
 114 *morganii*, 39 *P. vulgaris*, 10 *P. rettgeri*, 15 *P. stuartii*, 99 *P. aeruginosa*, 71
 115 *Acinetobacter spp.*, 30 *S. maltophilia*, 7 *B. cepacia*, 71 *H. influenzae*, 18 *H.*
 116 *parainfluenzae* and 36 *M. catarrhalis*. Isolates were obtained from urinary
 117 tract infections (30%), respiratory tract infections (19.9%), blood cultures
 118 (22.8%), skin and soft tissues infections (11.9%) and from other origin
 119 (15.4%).

120

121

122 **Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

123 All tests were carried out using the reference broth microdilution method
 124 according to the guidelines set forth in standard M7-A7 by the CLSI (formerly
 125 NCCLS) [12]. Cation Adjusted Mueller-Hinton II Broth (i.e. BBL™, Becton
 126 Dickinson, Le Pont de Claix, France) used for aerobic bacteria was modified
 127 for streptococci by supplementation with 5% lysed horse blood, whereas for
 128 *Haemophilus* the Haemophilus Test Medium (HTM) formulation (Oxoid,
 129 Dardilly, France) was used. The same batch of medium was used by each
 130 participating center. All tests followed CLSI technical details [12] for
 131 incubation temperature and environment.

132 In order to determine the correlation between MICs and the inhibition zone
 133 diameters, an agar diffusion antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed,
 134 according to the recommendations of the Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la
 135 Société Française de Microbiologie [13,14]. The discs with 10- μ g doripenem
 136 were supplied by Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research, Raritan,
 137 NJ, USA.

138

139 **Quality Control**

140 Analysis of doripenem MICs and inhibition zone diameters were performed
 141 against four reference strains: *K. pneumoniae* U2A2189, *K. pneumoniae*
 142 U2A2190, *P. aeruginosa* U2A2320 and *P. aeruginosa* U2A2321, provided by
 143 the French National Reference Center of Antibiotics (CRAB), Institut Pasteur,
 144 Paris. This indicated intercenter variabilities similar to those usually observed
 145 for these techniques. All centers provided results which were not significantly
 146 different from those of the reference center (with a 5% risk of error) (data not
 147 shown).

148 Results were examined to ensure that reported MICs were within acceptable
 149 standards set by EUCAST [11] or CLSI [15] based on the following ATCC
 150 quality control strains: *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212, *E.*
 151 *coli* ATCC 25922 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853.

152

153 **RESULTS**

154

155 ***Staphylococci***

156 Table 1 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against Gram-positive
 157 microorganisms (542 isolates).

158 The *in vitro* activity of doripenem was examined against 173 *S. aureus*
 159 including 90 methicillin-resistant (MRSA) and 83 methicillin-susceptible
 160 (MSSA) isolates. Doripenem was potent against MSSA isolates with
 161 MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ values of 0.03/0.25 mg/L. By contrast they are 1/2 mg/L against
 162 MRSA and it is admitted that MRSA have to be considered resistant to
 163 carbapenems. The *in vitro* activity of doripenem was examined against 104
 164 coagulase negative staphylococci including 54 methicillin-resistant (MR-
 165 CoNS) and 50 methicillin-susceptible (MS-CoNS) isolates. Doripenem was as

166 potent against MS-CoNS isolates (MIC_{50/90}: 0.03/0.12 mg/L), as against MS *S.*
167 *aureus*. MR-CoNS isolates with MICs of 2/8 mg/L have to be considered
168 resistant to carbapenems.

169

170 ***Enterococci***

171 *E. faecalis* susceptibility testing results for doripenem showed that MICs
172 ranged from 0.06 to 8 mg/L with MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 2 and 4 mg/L. For
173 *E. faecium*, data showed that doripenem displayed no activity (MIC_{50/90}:
174 128/>128 mg/L) against this species.

175

176 ***Streptococci***

177 Doripenem MIC results for *S. pneumoniae* isolates were grouped by their
178 susceptibility category to penicillin (Table 1). The doripenem MIC values vary
179 according to the penicillin susceptibility with MIC_{50/90} results at ≤0.008/0.25
180 mg/L for penicillin-susceptible isolates and 0.25/0.5 mg/L for intermediate +
181 resistant isolates, respectively.

182 Against viridans group streptococci, MICs ranged from ≤0.008 to 4 mg/L with
183 MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 0.016 and 2 mg/L, respectively. Doripenem
184 inhibited all isolates of β-haemolytic streptococci at MIC≤0.03 mg/L.

185

186 ***Enterobacteriaceae***

187 Table 2 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against *Enterobacteriaceae*
188 (672 isolates).

189 Doripenem was particularly active against members of the
190 *Enterobacteriaceae*: 70.8% of the isolates were inhibited by doripenem at
191 concentrations of ≤0.06 mg/L.

192 The MIC_{50s} and MIC_{90s} for *E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Proteus*, *Providencia*,
 193 *Morganella*, *Citrobacter*, and *Enterobacter*, ranged from 0.03 to 0.25 mg/L
 194 and 0.03 to 0.5 mg/L, respectively.

195 Doripenem potency was high against *E. coli* and *Klebsiella*: 92.2% of *E. coli*
 196 isolates and 75% of *Klebsiella* isolates had a doripenem MIC $\leq$ 0.03 mg/L and
 197 $\leq$ 0.06 mg/L, respectively. Isolates of *E. cloacae* and *C. freundii* were all
 198 susceptible to doripenem (100%) with MICs_{50/90} of 0.03/0.06 mg/L and
 199 0.03/0.25 mg/L for cefotaxime-susceptible isolates and 0.12/0.25 mg/L and
 200 0.06/0.5 mg/L for cefotaxime-non susceptible isolates, respectively. The *P.*
 201 *mirabilis*, *P. vulgaris* and *M. morganii* isolates the MICs of doripenem were
 202 slightly higher but still low with MIC_{50s} ranging from 0.12 to 0.25 mg/L and
 203 MIC_{90s} values of 0.5 mg/L.

204 Against cefotaxime-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae*, doripenem had MIC₅₀
 205 and MIC₉₀ of 0.06 and 0.25 mg/L, respectively. The level of doripenem activity
 206 against *Enterobacteriaceae* was essentially maintained against populations
 207 not susceptible to broad-spectrum cephalosporins (i.e., non-susceptible to
 208 cefotaxime). The MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of doripenem against cefotaxime-non
 209 susceptible enterobacteria were similar or between 1 or 2 doubling dilutions
 210 when compared to those observed for cefotaxime-susceptible isolates,
 211 whatever the bacterial species was (Table 2). Against ESBL screen-positive
 212 isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* (n =43), doripenem maintained the same level
 213 of activity as that observed against *Enterobacteriaceae* overall (MIC_{50/90}:
 214 0.06/0.25 mg/L). Among ESBL isolates, 69.8% of isolates were inhibited by
 215 doripenem at concentrations $\leq$ 0.06 mg/L.

216

217 ***Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp**

218 Table 3 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against non fermentative
 219 bacilli (207 isolates).

220 Doripenem demonstrated widely variable activity against the tested non-
 221 fermentative Gram-negative bacilli, as shown by 83.1% susceptibility at
 222 EUCAST breakpoint of ≤ 1 mg/L for *Acinetobacter* and 71.7% for *P.*
 223 *aeruginosa* but for *B. cepacia* and *S. maltophilia* MIC_{50/90} were 2/8 and
 224 $>128/128$ mg/L.

225 According to the EUCAST breakpoints of ≤ 1 and >4 mg/L, 71.7% of the *P.*
 226 *aeruginosa* were susceptible, 15.2% were intermediate and 13.1% were
 227 resistant.

228 Doripenem activity was evaluated against imipenem-susceptible and non
 229 susceptible *P. aeruginosa* isolates (Table 3). The MIC_{50/90s} of doripenem for
 230 imipenem-susceptible isolates were of 0.25 and 2 mg/L and 87% of them
 231 were susceptible to doripenem.

232 Against imipenem-non susceptible isolates, MICs of doripenem were notably
 233 increased, as the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ were 8 and 16 mg/L respectively but with a
 234 wide range of MICs (0.12 to 64 mg/L). Of note, 18.2% of imipenem-resistant
 235 isolates were susceptible to doripenem.

236 Doripenem was active against all imipenem-susceptible *A. baumannii* isolates
 237 with MIC_{50/90}: of 0.25 and 1 mg/L and intermediate or resistant against
 238 imipenem-resistant isolates with MIC values range from 2 to 32 mg/L.

239

240 ***Haemophilus spp* and *M. catarrhalis***

241 Table 4 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against respiratory Gram-
 242 negative pathogens (126 isolates).

243 Doripenem has potent *in vitro* activity against *H. influenzae*, *H.*
 244 *parainfluenzae*, and *M. catarrhalis*. The MIC range for doripenem against the
 245 71 *H. influenzae* was ≤ 0.008 to 1 mg/L; MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were exactly
 246 the same for ampicillin-susceptible isolates as for ampicillin non-susceptible
 247 isolates (MIC_{50/90}=0.12/0.25 mg/L).

248 The MIC range for doripenem against the 18 *H. parainfluenzae* isolates tested
 249 was ≤ 0.008 to 0.12 mg/L, doripenem potency was almost similar for ampicillin
 250 susceptible or non-susceptible isolates (Table 5).

251 The MIC range for doripenem towards the 36 *M. catarrhalis* isolates was
 252 ≤ 0.008 to 0.06 mg/L; MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were 0.03 and 0.06 mg/L,
 253 respectively,

254 Finally, all the 125 isolates (100%) (71 *H. influenzae*, 18 *H. parainfluenzae*
 255 and 36 *M. catarrhalis*) were susceptible to doripenem according to the
 256 EUCAST breakpoint of ≤ 1 mg/L.

257

258

259

260 **Correlation between inhibition zone diameters and MICs**

261 The regression curve between the MIC values (logarithm to the base 2) on
 262 the x-axis and the inhibition diameters (arithmetic scale) in the y-axis was
 263 determined by the least-square method on 1,547 isolates whose distribution
 264 is depicted in Figure 1, where the width of the lines is proportional to the
 265 number of isolates .

266 The zone diameters breakpoints can then be deduced from the doripenem
 267 EUCAST MIC breakpoints: 1/4 for *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas* and
 268 *Acinetobacter* and 1/1 for streptococci (including *S. pneumoniae*), and
 269 *Haemophilus spp* and *M. catarrhalis*.

270 Zone diameter breakpoints with a 10- μ g doripenem disc content could thus
 271 be 24 and 19 mm (≥ 24 , susceptible; 19-23, intermediate and < 19 , resistant)
 272 for *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* and 24 mm (≥ 24 ,
 273 susceptible and < 24 , resistant) for streptococci (including *S. pneumoniae*),
 274 *Haemophilus spp* and *M. catarrhalis*.

275 For instance, using a zone diameter breakpoint of ≥ 24 mm to denote
276 susceptibility, a false susceptibility rate (Rs) of 0.32% (5 isolates) and a false
277 resistant (Sr) rate of 0.19% (3 isolates) were seen in each case (Figure 1)

278

279 **DISCUSSION**

280 Doripenem was potent against oxacillin-susceptible staphylococci with the
281 same MIC_{50/90} than imipenem [8]. Nevertheless, according to the EUCAST
282 recommendations, “susceptibility of staphylococci to carbapenems is inferred
283 from the methicillin susceptibility”. So methicillin-resistant staphylococci have
284 to be considered resistant to carbapenems including doripenem. Most
285 enterococci isolates were not susceptible to doripenem.

286 Doripenem was potent against penicillin-susceptible *S. pneumoniae*. The
287 decrease of its activity coincided with decreased susceptibility to penicillin as
288 already shown in literature [16].

289 Doripenem was highly potent against all *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates tested,
290 including those resistant to “advanced-generation” cephalosporins and
291 screen-positive ESBL isolates. This pattern of doripenem activity against
292 *Enterobacteriaceae* was similar to that reported in previous studies [4-7,9].

293 Doripenem maintained the same activity against all cefotaxime-non
294 susceptible or susceptible isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* and also against
295 ESBL positive isolates with the exception of *E. cloacae* for which the
296 doripenem MIC_{50/90} were four-fold higher for cefotaxime-non susceptible
297 isolates in comparison to cefotaxime susceptible isolates .

298 The maintained activity of doripenem and other carbapenems against
299 enterobacteria resistant to other β -lactams is largely due to their stability to
300 hydrolysis by β -lactamases commonly encountered among these organisms.

301 Against *P. aeruginosa* imipenem-susceptible. Doripenem was highly potent,
302 as already shown in other studies [4,5,7,17,9,3]. It is two- and four-fold more

303 potent than meropenem and imipenem respectively [8,16]. Against imipenem-
304 resistant isolates with MICs ≥ 8 mg/L, 22.4 % have MIC of doripenem < 4 mg/L
305 [7].

306 Overall, 71.7% of isolates tested in this study were susceptible to doripenem.
307 Though the *in vitro* activities of doripenem and imipenem show parallel
308 variation against Gram-negative bacilli as described above, imipenem has
309 been shown to be an acceptable surrogate marker for determining the
310 susceptibility of Gram-negative clinical isolates to carbapenems including
311 doripenem [18]. However, this type of testing does not account for clinical
312 isolates which are non-susceptible to imipenem but remain susceptible to
313 doripenem. For example, 18% of *P. aeruginosa* isolates tested in this study
314 as non-susceptible to imipenem were susceptible to doripenem.

315 This is consistent with the view that doripenem is less affected by the
316 deficiency of OprD porin protein than imipenem [19]. On the other hand, a few
317 imipenem-susceptible strains (3%) have MICs of doripenem > 1 mg/L and are
318 thus considered non-susceptible according to EUCAST MIC breakpoints,
319 what can be mainly explained by the difference between the susceptibility
320 breakpoints concentrations established by EUCAST for imipenem and
321 doripenem (≤ 4 and ≤ 1 mg/L, respectively). Nevertheless, it was reported that
322 doripenem, even if in a lesser degree than meropenem, is affected by the
323 efflux mechanism and overexpression of efflux pumps in *P. aeruginosa*
324 results in moderate resistance to doripenem, whereas imipenem largely
325 escapes this mechanism [20].

326 Although cross-resistance exists between imipenem and doripenem, like
327 meropenem, doripenem appears to be *in vitro* more active than imipenem
328 against OprD-deficient *P. aeruginosa* strains.

329 The activity of doripenem against imipenem-susceptible *A. baumannii* was
330 similar to that of imipenem-susceptible *P. aeruginosa* but in contrast,

331 doripenem was not active against any imipenem-non susceptible isolates of
 332 *Acinetobacter spp.*, which may be due to several mechanisms of resistance
 333 present in these strains such as carbapenemase phenotype, membrane
 334 impermeability or variable porin/outer membrane protein expression [21].
 335 No activity was observed against *S. maltophilia* isolates, and *B. cepacia* was
 336 inconstantly susceptible to doripenem (only 28.6% susceptible isolates).
 337 Doripenem was also active against respiratory pathogens as *H. influenzae*, *H.*
 338 *parainfluenzae* or *M. catarrhalis*, regardless of ampicillin resistance.

339

340

341 **CONCLUSION**

342

343 Doripenem is a broad-spectrum carbapenem with a good *in vitro* activity
 344 against Gram-positive cocci and against challenging Gram-negative
 345 pathogens, including resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa*. This
 346 study performed on a large number of isolates confirms its potent *in vitro*
 347 activity against such clinically isolates. Based on the activity profile presented
 348 in this study and others, doripenem appears to be a promising new agent for
 349 the treatment of infections caused by severe Gram-negative pathogens
 350 commonly encountered in the hospital including cephalosporin resistant
 351 enteric bacilli and multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* or *Acinetobacter spp.*
 352 Because its primary use will be associated with the hospital where plasmid-
 353 mediated resistance to carbapenems has already been documented among
 354 some Gram-negative bacterial isolates, it is important to continue to monitor
 355 the activity of doripenem throughout its clinical development and after its
 356 introduction into clinical use.

357 This study allowed us to determine zone diameter breakpoints, which are 24
358 and 19 mm for MICs of 1 and 4 mg/L, used for clinical categorization according to
359 the EUCAST MIC breakpoints.

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369 **Acknowledgements**

370 We would like to express our appreciation to the following people for expert
371 technical support: M. Auzou, S. Brémont, A. Charles, C. Delaunay, A. Ly, P.
372 McGill and M. Rougier.

373

374 **Financial support**

375 This study was funded by Janssen-Cilag, Issy-Les-Moulineaux, France

376

377 **Potential conflicts of interest:**

378 No conflict for all authors is declared.

379

380

381 **REFERENCES**

382

- 383 1. Nomura S, Nagayama A. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of S-4661, a new
384 parenteral carbapenem, against urological pathogens isolated from patients
385 with complicated urinary tract infections. J Chemother 2002;14(2):155-60.
- 386 2. Ohiba F, Nakamura-Kamigo M, Watanabe N, Katsu K. *In vitro* and *in vivo*
387 antibacterial activities of ER-35786, a new antipseudomonal carbapenem.
388 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41:298-307.
- 389 3. Watanabe A, Takahashi H, Kikuchi T, et al. Comparative *in vitro* activity of
390 S-4661, a new parenteral carbapenem, and other antimicrobial agents
391 against respiratory pathogens. Chemotherapy 2000;46(3):184-7.
- 392 4. Brown SD, Traczewski MM. Comparative *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of a
393 new carbapenem, doripenem: tentative disc diffusion criteria and quality
394 control. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;55(6):944-9.
- 395 5. Fritsche TR, Stilwell MG, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem (S-
396 4661): a global surveillance report (2003). Clin Microbiol Infect
397 2005;11(12):974-84.
- 398 6. Ge Y, Wikler MA, Sahm DF, Blosser-Middleton RS, Karlowsky JA. *In vitro*
399 antimicrobial activity of doripenem, a new carbapenem. Antimicrob Agents
400 Chemother 2004;48(4):1384-96.
- 401 7. Jones RN, Huynh HK, Biedenbach DJ. Activities of doripenem (S-4661)
402 against drug-resistant clinical pathogens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
403 2004;48(8):3136-40.
- 404 8. Jones RN, Huynh HK, Biedenbach DJ, Fritsche TR, Sader HS. Doripenem
405 (S-4661), a novel carbapenem: comparative activity against contemporary
406 pathogens including bactericidal action and preliminary *in vitro* methods
407 evaluations. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;54(1):144-54.

- 408 9. Tsuji M, Ishii Y, Ohno A, Miyazaki S, Yamaguchi K. In vitro and in vivo
409 antibacterial activities of S-4661, a new carbapenem. *Antimicrob Agents*
410 *Chemother* 1998;42(1):94-9.
- 411 10. Mikamo H, Izumi K, Hua YX, Hayasaki Y, Sato Y, Tamaya T. In vitro and
412 in vivo antibacterial activities of a new injectable carbapenem, S-4661,
413 against gynecological pathogens. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000;46(3):471-4.
- 414 11. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Clinical
415 Breakpoints. 2009. <http://www.eucast.org>.
- 416 12. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial
417 Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically. 7th Edition. Approved
418 Standard M7-A7. CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2006.
- 419 13. Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie.
420 Communiqué 2009. Société Française de Microbiologie.
421 <http://www.sfm.asso.fr>
- 422 14. Courvalin P., Soussy C.J. Report of the Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la
423 Société Française de Microbiologie. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*.
424 1996,2, suppl. 1, SI-S49.
- 425 15. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for
426 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 17th Informational Supplement M100-17.
427 CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2007.
- 428 16. Pillar CM, Torres MK, Brown NP, Shah D, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of
429 doripenem, a carbapenem for the treatment of challenging infections caused
430 by gram-negative bacteria, against recent clinical isolates from the United
431 States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52(12):4388-99.
- 432 17. Jones RN, Sader HS, Fritsche TR. Comparative activity of doripenem and
433 three other carbapenems tested against Gram-negative bacilli with various

434 beta-lactamase resistance mechanisms. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis
435 2005;52(1):71-4.

436 18. Jones RN, Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Janecek MJ. Selection of a surrogate
437 beta-lactam testing agent for initial susceptibility testing of doripenem, a new
438 carbapenem. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;59(4):467-72.

439 19. Mushtaq S, Ge Y, Livermore DM. Doripenem versus *Pseudomonas*
440 *aeruginosa in vitro*: activity against characterized isolates, mutants, and
441 transconjugants and resistance selection potential. Antimicrob Agents
442 Chemother 2004;48(8):3086-92.

443 20. Masuda N, Sakagawa E, Ohya S, Gotoh N, Tsujimoto H, Nishino T.
444 Substrate specificities of MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY-oprM
445 efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
446 2000;44(12):3322-7.

447 21. Poirel L, Nordmann P. Carbapenem resistance in *Acinetobacter*
448 *baumannii*: mechanisms and epidemiology. Clin Microbiol Infect
449 2006;12(9):826-36.

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

Figure 1: Regression curve and scattergram of doripenem MICs/zone diameters with 10-μg disks

488 **Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against Gram-**
 489 **positive microorganisms (572 isolates)**
 490

Organism (no. tested)	MIC (mg/L)			% Suscep
	50 %	90 %	Range	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (173)				
OXA S (90)	0.03	0.25	≤0.008-0.5	-
OXA R (83)	1	2	0.03-16	-
Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (104)				
OXA S (50)	0.03	0.12	≤0.008-0.12	-
OXA R (54)	2	8	0.25-64	-
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (63)	2	4	0.06-8	-
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (40)	128	>128	4->128	-
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (83)				
PEN S (48)	≤0.008	0.03	≤0.008-0.25	83.
PEN I + R(35)	0.25	0.5	≤0.008-1	
Streptococci A, B, C and G (60)	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008-0.03	96.
Other Streptococci (49)	0.016	2	≤0.008-4	86.

491 OXA: oxacillin, PEN: penicillin

492 nd: not determined

493 *Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints (not available for
 494 staphylococci and enterococci, ≤ 1 mg/L for streptococci)

519
520
521
522
523
524

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against *Enterobacteriaceae* (672 isolates)

Organism (no. tested)	MIC (mg/L)			% Susceptible*
	50 %	90 %	Range	
<i>All Enterobacteriaceae</i> (672)				
CTX S (578)	0.06	0.25	≤0.008-2	99.8
CTX R (94)	0.06	0.25	0.016-2	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (129)				
CTX S (118)	0.03	0.03	≤0.008-0.12	100
CTX R (11)	0.03	0.06	0.016-0.06	
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (31)				
CTX S (22)	0.03	0.25	≤0.008-0.5	100
CTX R (9)	0.06	0.5	0.03-0.5	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (114)				
CTX S (97)	0.03	0.06	≤0.008-0.12	100
CTX R (17)	0.03	0.06	0.03-0.5	
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (36)				
CTX S (35)	0.03	0.06	≤0.008-0.5	100
CTX R (1)	nd	nd	nd	
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (90)				
CTX S (57)	0.03	0.06	≤0.008-0.25	100
CTX R (33)	0.12	0.25	0.016-0.5	
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> (49)				
CTX S (36)	0.06	0.12	≤0.008-0.25	100
CTX R (13)	0.12	0.25	0.06-0.25	
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (114)				
CTX S (112)	0.12	0.5	≤0.008-2	99.1
CTX R (2)	nd	nd	nd	
<i>Morganella morganii</i> (46)				
CTX S (42)	0.25	0.5	≤0.008-1	100
CTX R (4)	0.25	0.5	0.12-0.5	
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> (38)				
CTX S (37)	0.25	0.5	≤0.008-1	100
CTX R (1)	nd	nd	nd	
<i>Providencia stuartii</i> (15)				
CTX S (13)	0.12	0.25	≤0.008-0.25	100
CTX R (2)	nd	nd	nd	
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i> (10)				100

CTX S (10)	0.06	0.12	≤0.008-0.25
------------	------	------	-------------

CTX: cefotaxime

nd: not determined

*Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints (≤ 1 mg/L)

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against non fermentative bacilli (207 isolates)

Organism (no. tested)	MIC (mg/L)			
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Susceptible*
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (99)				
IPM S (77)	0.25	2	0.016-8	71.7
IPM R (22)	8	16	0.12-64	
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (71)				
IPM S (65)	0.25	1	0.03-4	83.1
IPM R (6)	nd	nd	2-32	
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (30)	>128	>128	64->128	0
<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i> (7)	2	8	1-128	28.6

IPM: imipenem

nd: not determined

*Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints (≤ 1 mg/L)

Table 4. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against Gram-negative respiratory pathogens (125 isolates)

Organism (no. tested)	MIC (mg/L)			
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Susce
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> (71)				
AMP S (54)	0.12	0.25	≤0.008-1	100
AMP R (17)	0.12	0.25	≤0.008-1	
<i>Haemophilus parainfluenzae</i> (18)				
AMP S (9)	0.12	0.12	≤0.008-0.12	94.7
AMP R (9)	0.06	0.12	≤0.008-0.12	
<i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i> (36)				
AMP S (2)	nd	nd	0.016-0.03	100
AMP R (34)	0.03	0.06	≤0.008-0.06	

AMP: ampicillin

nd: not determined

*Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints (≤ 1 mg/L)

602

603