Growth morphology of single-crystal grains obtained by directional crystallization of an Al-Cu-Fe alloy

Journal:	<i>Philosophical Magazine & Philosophical Magazine Letters</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPHM-10-May-0220.R1
Journal Selection:	Philosophical Magazine
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Aug-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Surowiec, Marian; Univ. of Silesia, Institute of Material Sc. Bogdanowicz, Włodzimierz; University of Silesia Krawczyk, Jacek; University of Silesia Formanek, Bolesław; Silesian Univ. of Technology Sozanska, Maria; Silesian Univ. of Technology
Keywords:	intermetallic phases, metallic alloys, crystal growth
Keywords (user supplied):	phases in Al-Cu-Fe system, quasicrystal growth morphology, flux dissolution

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Growth morphology of single-crystal grains obtained by directional crystallization of an Al-Cu-Fe alloy

Marian Surowiec^{1*}, Włodzimierz Bogdanowicz¹, Jacek Krawczyk¹, Bolesław Formanek² and Maria Sozanska²

¹*Institute of Material Science, Univ. of Silesia, 40 007 Katowice, Poland*

²*Faculty of Materials Engineering and Metallurgy, Silesian Univ. of Technology, 40-019 Katowice, Poland*

Abstract

Quasicrystalline as well as crystalline faceted single grains of four phases have been obtained during directional crystallization of an Al-Cu-Fe alloy by the Bridgman technique. The monoclinic λ phase $\text{Al}_{13}(\text{Cu,Fe})_4$ dominating at high temperatures formed single-crystal lamellas 0.5mm to 1mm thick. The second type of attractive morphological forms exhibiting flux dissolution terraces has been observed on spherical single crystals of β phase $\text{Al}(\text{Fe,Cu})$. Rectangular, hexagonal and octagonal shape of dissolution terraces can be seen in the positions of two-, three- and four-fold symmetry axes, respectively. Single quasicrystalline ψ phase $\text{Al}_6\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ exhibited the icosahedral symmetry with growth forms of a dodecahedron with pentagonal facets. The flux dissolution of β phase plays apparently an essential role in a peritectic reaction leading to quasicrystalline ψ phase formation. Polygonal single grains of ω phase $\text{Al}_7\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ exhibiting the tetragonal symmetry formed the fourth type of thermodynamically stable growth forms. Single grains of the ω phase crystallized in a form of pellets with an octagonal cross-section. The growth morphology of stable phases has been investigated by the scanning electron microscopy. The chemical composition of the growth forms described has been confirmed by the X-ray microanalysis using a scanning electron microscope whereas the phase composition has been determined by the electron selected area diffraction and X-ray powder diffraction.

Keywords: phases in Al-Cu-Fe system, quasicrystal growth morphology, flux dissolution.

§ 1. Introduction

Investigations of the phase composition of Al-Cu-Fe system lead to working out reliable and detailed phase diagrams [1-6] defining conditions for crystallization of the quasicrystalline icosahedral stable phase. Rapid solidification as well as normal casting process of the alloy combined with thermal annealing and subsequent quenching were commonly used [7-12]. The icosahedral ψ phase $\text{Al}_6\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ has common equilibrium phase fields with three phases at 800 °C (β , λ and liquid), at 700-720 °C the equilibrium field covers four phases (β , λ , ω and liquid) and at 680 °C the ψ phase is in equilibrium with β , λ and ω phases [2]. At 680 °C the perfectly stable icosahedral quasicrystalline ψ phase occurs in the range of compositional triangle $\text{Al}_{62.4}\text{Cu}_{24.4}\text{Fe}_{13.2}$, $\text{Al}_{65}\text{Cu}_{23}\text{Fe}_{12}$ and $\text{Al}_{61}\text{Cu}_{28.4}\text{Fe}_{10.6}$ [5]. The β phase $\text{Al}(\text{Cu,Fe})$ (Pm3m space group) has a CsCl type structure, the monoclinic dominant λ phase $\text{Al}_{13}(\text{Cu,Fe})_4$ is described by C2/m space group and the ω phase $\text{Al}_7\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ (P4/mnc space group) exhibits the tetragonal symmetry. The structural characteristic of the phases has been reported earlier by Liu and Köster [13] and by Gigla et al. [14].

*) Corresponding Author

e-mail: marian.surowiec@us.edu.pl

Telephone: +48 32 359 1855

Fax: +48 32 359 2133

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Millimetre sizes of Al-Cu-Fe alloy icosahedral single grains have been obtained by annealing at 1095 K for a few dozen hours and followed by immediate quenching carried out by Ishimasa and Mori [8]. Large single grains of the quasicrystalline icosahedral Al-Cu-Fe ψ phase have been prepared by multiple cyclic heat treatments by Lograsso and Danley [12].

The most evident form of thermodynamically stable process of quasicrystals crystallization was confirmed by the polyhedral faceted growth morphology. The flux growth technique was successfully applied by Fisher et al. [15] for growth of faceted large single-grain quasicrystals from high-temperature metallic solutions. The authors used decanting technique to grow icosahedral quasicrystals of single grain alloys of Ho-Mg-Zn, Al-Pd-Mn and Al-Ga-Pd-Mn exhibiting the dodecahedral morphology as well as for the growth of decagonal Al-Ni-Co and Al-Pd-Mn approximate single grains distinguished by decaprismatic columns (ten prism faces with the 10-fold axis as the rotation axis).

The paper reports on the growth equilibrium morphology of both quasicrystalline as well as periodic phases obtained during a directional crystallization of an Al-Cu-Fe alloy for a chemical composition close to the above mentioned compositional triangle of the ψ phase occurrence. The phases crystallized as polygonal single crystals. A single-grain morphology of four phases crystallized in the same ingot will be described: a monoclinic dominant λ phase, belonging to the cubic system β phase, quasicrystalline phase ψ and periodic phase ω with tetragonal symmetry. In the case of β phase the flux dissolution morphology is described.

§ 2. Experimental

Polyhedral equilibrium phases were formed in ingots of an Al-Cu-Fe alloy, for which the nominal composition was 65 at. % Al, 20 at.% Cu and 15 at.% Fe. The ingots of total mass of approximately 40 g, 15 mm in diameter and 60 mm high, were sharpened at the bottom. The specimens were synthesized in a helium atmosphere inside alumina crucibles starting from 99.997% pure Al, 99.9% pure Cu and 99.98 % Fe using the Bridgman-Czochralski-Growth (BCG) apparatus equipped with an induction furnace. The initial temperature of the melt was increased up to 1550 °C and the crystallization rate during Bridgman directional crystallization was 6 mm/h resulting in an approximate cooling rate of 1.3 °C/min. Single crystal grains have crystallized in the melt or were subject to the flux dissolution until the melt has been removed by the solidification shrinkage. In our experiment the samples were not quenched in order to prevent a structural transformation from the icosahedral phase to non-icosahedral approximate structure. The ingot was crushed into several pieces and different growth forms previously closed inside cavities and pores were subject to the investigation of growth morphology by the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using primary and secondary electrons. The selected area diffraction (SAD) as well as X-ray powder diffraction confirmed the phase identification of the predicted phase composition in the Al-Cu-Fe system. Positions of X-ray diffraction lines were recalculated into interplanar distances.

§ 3. Results

Four faceted morphology forms have been found inside cavities: monoclinic λ phase grown in a shape of lamellas with a rhomboidal cross section, terraced forms of icosahedral β phase revealing symmetry elements, dodecahedrons with pentagonal facets of icosahedral ψ phase and octagonal pellets of ω phase.

3.1. Growth morphology of the λ phase

The first type of faceted single crystals was formed inside the ingot by the dominant monoclinic λ phase $\text{Al}_{13}(\text{Cu,Fe})_4$ (C2/m space group). Growth morphology forms observed inside cavities revealed lamellas up to 50 μm thick, terminated by smooth (010) crystal facets in a shape of rhombi (Fig. (1)). A fragment of lamella is also seen in the lower part of Fig. 2. The characteristic angle $\beta = 108^\circ$ for the monoclinic λ phase structure is marked in Fig. 1.

3.2. Flux dissolution morphology of the β phase

The second type of attractive morphological forms observed inside shrinkage cavities were spherical single grains of β phase $\text{Al}(\text{Fe,Cu})$ (Pm3m space group, $a = 0.29076 \text{ nm}$) [14]. The solidified colonies of droplets of β phase exhibit apparently flux dissolution terraces (Fig. 2). Positions of threefold symmetry axes have the same orientation in all spherical single grains (Fig. 2). Rectangular, hexagonal and octagonal shape of the dissolution terraces can be seen in the positions of two-, three- and four-fold symmetry axes, respectively (Fig 3 (a) and (b)). Therefore flat steps of terraces are parallel to $\{110\}$, $\{111\}$ and $\{100\}$ crystallographic planes, respectively. Spatial positions of symmetry elements observed on droplets of the β phase correspond to the distribution of symmetry elements in the cube (Fig. 3). The flux dissolution of the surface of β phase revealed (111) planes inclined to the surface (Fig. 4) as well as terraced hollows with an amphitheatric architecture (marked as A in Fig. 4). The width of terraces extends up to 12 μm whereas the height of terrace steps is less than 1 μm . Single crystal droplets of the β phase existed at the very initial stage of flux dissolution (Fig. 5).

3.3. Morphology of Al-Cu-Fe single quasicrystals

Single quasicrystals of ψ phase $\text{Al}_6\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ exhibited the icosahedral symmetry with dodecahedral growth forms – 12 faces perpendicular to the 5-fold axes (Fig. 6). The symmetry was confirmed by the electron diffraction (see the insert in Fig. 6a). The dodecahedra have irregularities and different dimensions of edges extending up to 160 μm . The faces of dodecahedra are not perfectly smooth and emerge from the residual flux (Fig. 6 (b)).

3.4. Growth forms of the ω phase

Polygonal single grains of ω phase $\text{Al}_7\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ (P4/mnc, $a = 0.6336 \text{ nm}$, $c = 1.482 \text{ nm}$) exhibiting tetragonal symmetry form randomly distributed pellets between terraced growth forms of the β phase (Fig. 7). In general the surfaces of pellets are not smooth, however an octagonal cross section can be observed (the insert in Fig. 7). The pellets exhibit eight lateral facets, four of $\{100\}$ type and four between them of $\{110\}$ type. The tetragonal prisms are about 50 μm in diameter and 60 μm high.

3.5. Phase identification

The selected area diffraction (e.g. inserts in Fig. 1 and Fig. 6 (a)) and X-ray powder diffraction confirmed the inherence of all phases described above. Positions of X-ray diffraction lines were recalculated into interplanar distances. The lattice parameter of the β phase was determined as $a = 0.29076 \text{ nm}$, for the λ phase $a = 1.5849 \text{ nm}$, $b = 0.8083 \text{ nm}$ and $c = 1.2476 \text{ nm}$, $\beta = 107.7^\circ$ and for the ω phase $a = 0.6336$ and $c = 1.482 \text{ nm}$.

§ 4. Discussion and conclusion

Faceted single crystals of three crystalline phases: monoclinic λ , cubic β and tetragonal ω surround the polygonal single units of quasicrystalline phase ψ . The melting point of the λ and β phase is above 1100 $^\circ\text{C}$. Both ψ and ω phase are formed during peritectic reactions in the temperature range 700 – 872 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 700 – 740 $^\circ\text{C}$, respectively [16]. Therefore four

phases can coexist in the overlapping temperature area of 700 – 740 °C. In our experiment the overheating of the melt directly before the directional crystallization was a favourable factor for pores and cavities formation – the melt temperature was increased up to 1550 °C. Gas bubbles as well the material shrinkage during cooling provided a helpful micro-space for the morphology visualization of single crystal units after the ingot crushing.

The main result of the paper is related to the flux dissolution of the high temperature β phase which apparently provides necessary material for peritectic formation of quasicrystalline phase ψ . We assume that solid droplets of the β phase, formed primary by the surface tension of liquid in a space of a bubble or shrinkage, may have a significant contribution during the flux dissolution (Fig. 4 and 5) to the peritectic reaction $\beta + \lambda + L \rightarrow \psi$ identified e.g. by Lograsso and Delaney [12]. The β phase consumption by dissolution was confirmed during investigations of phase transformations of AlCuFe alloys performed by Zhang et al. [10]. An attractive flux dissolution morphology of cubic β phase confirms a high structural perfection of the primary crystallized droplet-like single crystals. Note that all ten arrowed threefold axes (Fig. 4) have the same spatial orientation, therefore all the colony of droplets forms apparently one single crystal. The flux dissolution morphology of the β phase reveals three kinds of crystallographic planes $\{111\}$, $\{100\}$ and $\{110\}$. The flux dissolution of β phase droplets follows from chemical potential differences between the melt and the solid phase.

The tetragonal ω phase was formed in the smallest amount in the ingot apparently by an analogous peritectic reaction $\lambda + L \rightarrow \omega$ [17].

The quasicrystals of face centred icosahedral Al-Cu-Fe grow with dodecahedral morphology exhibiting 12 faces perpendicular to the 5-fold axes. Some of as grown facets were decorated in a similar way as fivefold facets of Al-Pd-Mn quasicrystals found by Beeli and Nissen [18]. The results concerning the decorations will be published elsewhere.

The shape of different observed single grains confirms our SAD and X-ray powder diffraction phase identification. The four phases detected indicate that sample was not in a thermodynamic equilibrium. However, the observed stable growth forms can be explained in relation to Scheil-Gulliver approach of non-equilibrium process of solidification. This approach approximates a non-equilibrium solidification by assuming a local equilibrium of the advancing solidification front at the solid-liquid interface [19].

References

1. A. J. Bradley, H.J. Goldschmidt, J. Inst. Met. **62** 403 (1939).
2. F.W. Gayle, A.J. Shapiro, F.S. Biancanello, W. J. Böttinger, Metall. Trans. **A23** 2409 (1992).
3. A.P. Prevarskyi, Russ. Met. **4** 154 (1971).
4. L.M. Zhang, R. Lueck, Z. Metallk. **94** 774 (2003).
5. D. Gratias, Y. Calvayrac, J. Devaud-Rzepski, F. Faudot, M. Harmelin, A. Quivy and P.A. Bancel, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 153-154 482 (1993).
6. C. P. Wang, X. J. Liu, L. Zhang and K. Ishida, Landolt – Börnstein New Series, IV/11A2, Springer 2005, p. 1.
7. A. P. Tsai, A. Inoue and T. Masumoto, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. **6** 1403 (1987).
8. T. Ishimasa and M. Mori, Phil. Mag. Lett. **62** 357 (1990).
9. M. Asahi, T. Maki, T. Kishide and K. Tatsugawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 156-158 923 (1993).
10. L. M. Zhang, J. Schneider and R. Lück, Intermetallics, **13** 1195 (2005).
11. G. Rosas, J. Reys-Gasga and R. Perez, Mat. Charact. **58** 765 (2007).
12. T. A. Lograsso and D.W. Delaney, J. Mater. Res., **11** 2125 (1996).

13. W. Liu and U. Köster, *Z. Metallkunde*, **82** 791 (1991).
14. M. Gigla, J. Lelatko and M. Surowiec, *Applied Crystallography*, Proc. XVII Conference (Wisla, Poland, 31 August – 4 September 1997) edited by H. Morawiec, and D. Stroz, (Singapore: World Scientific 1998), p. 55.,
15. I. R. Fisher, M. J. Kramer, Z. Islam, T. A. Wiener, A. Kracher, A. R. Ross, T. A. Lograsso, A. I. Goldman and P. C. Canfield, *Mat. Sci. Eng.*, **294-296** 10 (2000).
16. P.A. Bancel, *Quasicrystals: The state of art*, edited by D. P. DiVincenzo and P. J. Steinhard, (Singapore: World Scientific 1998), p. 26.
17. F. Faudot, A. Quivy, Y. Calvayrac, D. Gratias, M. Harmelin, *Mat. Sc. Eng.*, **A133** 383 (1991)
18. C. Beeli and H.-U. Nissen, *Phil. Mag. B*, **68** 487 (1993).
19. E. Scheil, *Z. Metallk.*, **34** 70 (1942).

Figure captions (Caution: major reduction of pictures size on Fig. 2 – Fig. 5 will make photos useless)

Fig. 1. Morphology of single crystal monoclinic λ phase; visible rhombi are (010) planes. Characteristic angle $\beta = 108^\circ$ is marked. Inserts: the selected area diffraction pattern and a fragment of single lamella of λ phase.

Fig. 2. Single crystal droplets of the β phase Al(Fe,Cu). Arrows show positions of threefold symmetry axes revealed apparently by the flux dissolution.

Fig 3. Spatial positions of 2-, 3- and 4-fold symmetry axes revealed on terraced single crystal droplets of the β phase.

Fig. 4. Flux dissolution morphology of the surface of the β phase; terraces are formed by (111) crystallographic planes which are inclined to the surface. A – a hollow with an amphitheatric architecture.

Fig. 5. Flux dissolution initiation of terraces on spherical droplets of the β phase.

Fig. 6. Pentagonal dodecahedra of quasicrystalline ψ phase $\text{Al}_6\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$. (a) Pentagonal faces of a single grain. (b) Solidified residual flux covering dodecahedra. Insert in (a) shows an electron diffraction pattern of icosahedral ψ phase.

Fig. 7. Randomly distributed pellets of the ω phase $\text{Al}_7\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ between terraced growth forms of the β phase. The insert reveals octagonal cross section of a single pellet.

Fig.1. Morphology of single crystal monoclinic λ phase; visible rhombi are (010) planes. Characteristic angle $\beta = 108^\circ$ is marked. Inserts: the selected area diffraction pattern and a fragment of single lamella of λ phase.
199x105mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 2. Single crystal droplets of the β phase Al(Fe,Cu). Arrows show positions of threefold symmetry axes revealed apparently by the flux dissolution.
160x101mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 3. Spatial positions of 2-, 3- and 4-fold symmetry axes revealed on terraced single crystal droplets of the β phase.
209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 4. Flux dissolution morphology of the surface of the β phase; terraces are formed by (111) crystallographic planes which are inclined to the surface. A – a hollow with an amphitheatric architecture.
199x104mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 5. Flux dissolution initiation of terraces on spherical droplets of the β phase.
199x102mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 6. Pentagonal dodecahedra of quasicrystalline ψ phase $\text{Al}_6\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$. (a) Pentagonal faces of a single grain. (b) Solidified residual flux covering dodecahedra. Insert in (a) shows an electron diffraction pattern of icosahedral ψ phase.
199x91mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 7. Randomly distributed pellets of the ω phase $\text{Al}_7\text{Cu}_2\text{Fe}$ between terraced growth forms of the β phase. The insert reveals octagonal cross section of a single pellet.
187x99mm (300 x 300 DPI)