Decreased sA β PP β , A β 38, and A β 40 Cerebrospinal Fluid Levels in Frontotemporal Dementia.

Audrey Gabelle, S. Roche, C. Geny, K. Bennys, Pierre Labauge, Y. Tholance, Isabelle Quadrio, Laurent Tiers, Baptiste Gor, J. Boulanghien, et al.

► To cite this version:

Audrey Gabelle, S. Roche, C. Geny, K. Bennys, Pierre Labauge, et al.. Decreased sA β PP β , A β 38, and A β 40 Cerebrospinal Fluid Levels in Frontotemporal Dementia.. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2011, 26 (3), pp.553-563. 10.3233/JAD-2011-110515 . hal-00635931

HAL Id: hal-00635931

<https://hal.science/hal-00635931>

Submitted on 18 Dec 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Decreased sA β PP β , A β ₃₈, and A β ₄₀ Cerebrospinal Fluid Levels in Frontotemporal Dementia

Audrey Gabelle^{a,b,c,*}, Stéphane Roche^{d,1}, Christian Gény^a, Karim Bennys^a, Pierre Labauge^c, Yannick Tholance^{f,j}, Isabelle Quadrio^{f,j}, Laurent Tiers^d, Baptiste Gor^d, Justine Boulanghien^d, Chloé Chaulet^a, Alain Vighetto^{g,j}, Bernard Croisile^{h,j}, Pierre Krolak-Salmon^{i,j}, Armand Perret-Liaudet^{f,j,k}, Jacques Touchon^{a,b} and Sylvain Lehmann^{b,c,d,*}

^aCentre Mémoire Ressources Recherche Montpellier, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Hôpital Gui de Chauliac, Montpellier cedex 5, France

^bUniversité Montpellier 1, Faculté de Médecine, Montpellier, France

^cUPR 1142, CNRS, Institut de Génétique Humaine, Montpellier Cedex 5, France

^dLaboratoire de Biochimie Protéomique Clinique et CCBHM, Institut de Recherche en Biothérapie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Saint Eloi, Montpellier Cedex 5, France

^eService de Neurologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nîmes, Hôpital Caremeau, Place du Professeur Robert Debré, Nîmes Cedex 9, France

^fService de Neurobiologie, Biochimie et Biologie moléculaire, Groupement Hospitalier Est, Hospices Civils de Lyon / Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Bron cedex, France

^gService de Neurologie; Unité 402, Groupement Hospitalier Est, Hospices Civils de Lyon / Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Bron cedex, France

^hService de Neuropsychologie; Groupement Hospitalier Est, Hospices Civils de Lyon / Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Bron cedex, France

ⁱMédecine Gériatrique, Hôpital des Charpennes, 27, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

^jCentre Mémoire Ressources Recherche de Lyon, Hospices Civils de Lyon, 3 quai des Célestins, Lyon, France

^kCentre de Recherche en Neurosciences de Lyon (équipe 11 BioRaN) Université Lyon 1, Bron cedex, France

Accepted 28 April 2011

Abstract. To improve the etiological diagnosis of neurodegenerative dementias like Alzheimer's disease (AD) or frontotemporal dementia (FTD), we evaluated the value of individual and combined measurements of the following relevant cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers: Tau, 181p-Tau, A β ₃₈, A β ₄₀, A β ₄₂, sA β PP α , and sA β PP β . This study conducted in two centers included

¹Present address: Laboratoire "Génétique Médicale et Génomique Fonctionnelle", Faculté de Médecine de la Timone, 27, Bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France.

*Correspondence to: Prof. Sylvain Lehmann, Laboratoire de Biochimie Protéomique Clinique, Institut de Recherche en Biothérapie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Saint Eloi, 80 Avenue Augustin Fliche, 34295 Montpellier Cedex 5, France. E-mail: s-lehmann@chu-montpellier.fr. and Dr. Audrey Gabelle, Department of Neurology, Montpellier University Hospital, CHU Gui de Chauliac, 80 Avenue Augustin Fliche, 34295 Montpellier Cedex 5, France. Tel.: +33 4 67 33 60 29; Fax: +33 4 67 63 16 28; E-mail: a-gabelle@chu-montpellier.fr and audreygabelle@hotmail.com.

patients with FTD ($n=34$), AD ($n=52$), as well as a control group of persons without dementia (CTRL, $n=42$). Identical clinical criteria and pre-analytical conditions were used while CSF biomarkers were measured using commercial single and multiplex quantitative immunoassays. Thorough statistical analyses, including ROC curves, logistic regressions, and decision trees, were performed. We validated in AD the specific increase of p-Tau levels and the decrease of A β_{42} levels, two biological hallmarks of this disease. Tau concentrations were highest in AD and intermediate in FTD when compared to CTRL. The most interesting results were obtained by focusing on amyloid biomarkers as we found out in FTD a significant decrease of sA β PP β , A β_{38} , and A β_{40} levels. A β_{38} in particular was the most useful biomarker to differentiate FTD subjects from the CTRL population. Combining p-Tau and A β_{38} led us to correctly classifying FTD patients with sensitivity at 85% and specificity at 82%. Significant changes in amyloid biomarkers, particularly for A β_{38} , are therefore seen in FTD. This could be quite useful for diagnosis purposes and it might provide additional evidence on the interrelationship between Tau and A β PP biology which understanding is essential to progress towards optimal therapeutic and diagnostic approaches of dementia.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, frontotemporal dementia, CSF biomarkers, CSF amyloid peptides, A β_{38}

INTRODUCTION

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers analysis is an important tool for the early and etiological differential diagnosis of dementia. Accurate diagnosis is now becoming mandatory to optimize patient's therapeutic care, alleviate the burden of caregivers, and conduct clinical trials. Based on the revised Alzheimer's disease (AD) diagnosis criteria [1, 2], our routine clinical practice now includes the dosage in the CSF of the Tau protein, its phosphorylated form phospho-tau₁₈₁ (p-Tau) and the A β peptides 1–42 (A β_{42}). The relevance of using a combination of these three CSF biomarkers has been validated for the diagnosis of AD and its prodromal forms [3–7]. However, their pertinence is limited for the etiological diagnosis of dementia and for related diseases like frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and its subtype with predominant behavioral impairments called frontotemporal dementia (FTD). The presence of depressive or behavioral disorders in the early stage of the disease could in fact mislead the clinician. In 50% of these clinical presentations, the diagnosis happens at a late stage and remains doubtful. To date, no satisfactory set of CSF biomarkers have been thoroughly validated to discriminate FTLD from AD and other neurological disorders. Increased Tau levels were initially selected as specific to this diagnosis [8, 9], but further studies showed normal or decreased levels [10–13]. Additional discrepancies were observed for the combined measurement or ratio of Tau and A β_{42} which was either not relevant [14] or had no diagnosis usefulness [12, 15]. Recently, the value of CSF A β_{40} was pointed out to discriminate FTD from control non-demented subjects [16]. However, in this same study the value of this analyte to distinguish FTD from AD was limited.

Other CSF biomarkers like the soluble amyloid- β protein precursor (sA β PP) α and β isoforms, as well as

additional A β peptides have been identified as potentially pertinent to detect AD and its prodromal states, but also for differential AD diagnosis [17, 18]. In a recent work, we investigated the biological relationship between these biomarkers and underlined the strong correlations between sA β PP β , A β_{40} , and A β_{38} CSF levels [19]. Our results suggested that in the presence of an amyloid pathology such as AD, the various A β peptides would have different evolutions, which could be interesting for differentiating AD from other neurodegenerative disorders in particular FTD. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated here the diagnostic significance of individual and combined levels (ratios) of Tau, p-Tau, sA β PP α/β , and A β_{38} , A β_{40} , and A β_{42} peptides in FTD, AD, and a control population (CTRL) without dementia. We first confirmed the usefulness of p-Tau and A β_{42} in AD detection. In FTD, Tau concentrations were intermediate between AD and CTRL and we observed for this diagnosis significant decreased levels of sA β PP β , A β_{38} and A β_{40} . These results were used to optimize FTD detection using both p-Tau and A β_{38} levels. We also interpreted the changes of amyloid biomarkers in FTD as an additional evidence of the interrelationship between Tau and A β PP biology, its understanding being essential to progress towards optimal therapeutic care and diagnostic methods for dementia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and subjects

A total of 128 CSF samples were collected from patients referred to our neurological and Clinical Research Memory Centers for cognitive or behavioral disorders (Biobank officially registered # DC-2008-417). All the patients gave their written informed consent to participate in this research

study. CSF dosages of Tau, p-Tau, A β ₃₈, A β ₄₀, and A β ₄₂ were performed for all subjects. The CSF sA β PP α/β assay was only done on the 86 AD and FTD patients. All patients underwent a standardized clinical investigation including anamnestic, clinical, neuropsychological, screening laboratory tests, brain morphological (computed tomography (CT) scans, and/or magnetic-resonance imaging (MRI)) or functional (single photon emission tomography 99m Tc-ECD-SPECT scans (SPECT)) imaging evaluations. The standardized neuropsychometric battery for patients with cognitive alterations included the minimal status examination (MMSE) [20], the Mattis dementia rating scale [21], the Frontal Assessment Battery [22], and the Free and Cued Recall Test [23].

AD patients met the diagnosis criteria of NINCDS/ADRDA [24] and the DSM IV. In these patients, the neuropsychological tests reflected an amnesic syndrome with a hippocampal deficit associated to aphasia and agnosia. The morphological and functional imaging displayed global cortical atrophy or temporal and parietotemporal atrophy, such as hypometabolism in these regions on SPECT data.

The diagnosis assessment of patients with clinically validated FTD included full medical history, thorough neurological examination and evaluation by at least two independent and experimented reviewers. The diagnosis was based on the Lund and Manchester criteria established in 1994 and revised by Neary and McKhann [25]. Only patients with a full consensus agreement by the experts were recruited. We selected patients with neuropsychological tests reflecting dysexecutive deficit with behavioral disorders such as apathy, disinterest, loss of self-awareness, social inappropriateness, and clinical frontal signs (perseverative behavior, grasping). We excluded patients with language troubles (verbal fluency or semantic) such as progressive primary aphasia (PPA) or semantic dementia (SD). The morphological and functional imaging displayed global cortical atrophy or frontal and frontotemporal atrophy such as hypometabolism in these regions on the SPECT data. No predominant anterior temporal lobe lesion was observed. No clinical parkinsonism sign was underlined in these patients. No clinical or electrophysiological sign of motoneuron disease was observed in our FTD group of patients. To detect familial FTD cases we relied on the algorithm for genetic testing by Goldman et al. [26], which excluded in most cases these forms in patients above the age of 50 and without any familial history. Furthermore, FTD diagnosis in our population was based on a 3 to 5-year clinical follow-up.

Various control patients (CTRL) without cognitive impairments, memory, or behavioral complaints were included: 14 patients with chronic hydrocephalus, 12 with peripheral neuropathy, 11 with mild depression, and 5 with multiple sclerosis. For each diagnosis, the criteria were validated by multidisciplinary teams. Each clinical investigation, e.g., biological, electrophysiological, and neuroimaging exams were also in favor of each diagnosis included in the CTRL (e.g., for hydrocephalus all exams point to the diagnosis) of course the results of the exams correspond to the raised diagnosis.

CSF samples and assays

Lumbar puncture (LP) was performed in standardized conditions preferentially between 11:00 and 13:00 to minimize diurnal variation of CSF A β levels [27]. CSF were transferred to the laboratories in less than 4 hours, centrifuged (1000 $\times$ g, 10 min, at 4–8°C, without breaks), and aliquoted in polypropylene tubes before storage at –80°C. The three routine CSF biomarkers were determined using standardized commercially available ELISA Kits (Innotest β -amyloid 1–42, hTau, and Phospho-Tau (181P), Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium). The IATI score was calculated using the formula $IATI = A\beta_{42} / (240 + 1.18 \times \text{Tau})$ as described [5]. Detection of CSF sA β PP α/β and A β ₃₈, A β ₄₀, and A β ₄₂ was performed independently in the two laboratories using multiplex kits from MSD (Meso-Scale-Discovery ref: K11120E, K11148E). Common quality controls ensured that the inter-laboratory variability was similar to the inter-assay variability. All reagents were provided with the kits along with antibody precoated 96-well plates. The detailed procedures of the assays, very similar to classical ELISA but with a final quantitation on the MSD Sector Imager 6000 plate reader, are provided elsewhere [19]. A β ₄₂ peptide levels assessed with Innogenetics kits were identified in the text as “Inno-A β ₄₂”.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the software MedCalc (7.3). Graphic results were presented as medians and interquartile ranges (Figs. 1–3). Statistical analysis of the characteristics of the three clinical groups (Table 1) was performed with the one-way ANOVA and the chi-2 tests. Unpaired Student's t tests and Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate the significance of the difference between two sample groups (Figs. 1–3). Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC)

Fig. 1. Box/dot plots showing median values and quartiles of individual biomarkers in AD, FTD, and CTRL populations. Non parametric Mann-Whitney tests were used to calculate the indicated p values. The red solid squares indicated outlier values defined as values larger than the upper quartile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range.

curves and logistic regression were used to select the most relevant diagnosis biomarkers (see description of the tests in Tables 2–3).

RESULTS

General characteristics of our population

As reported in Table 1, AD, FTD, and CTRL groups did not differ in gender, CSF total protein or $A\beta_{40}$. They globally differed in age (ANOVA, $p = 0.003$) but the only pairwise statistical difference was between AD and CTRL (Student's t test, $p < 0.001$) and no statistical difference ($p = 0.1$) was observed between FTD and CTRL patients. Moreover, there was no significant correlation between age and any other parameters (data not shown). The mean MMSE score was lower in the AD than in the FTD groups (Student's t test, $p < 0.005$) while CTRL had, as expected, much higher and significantly different values (Student's t test, $p < 0.001$).

Mean values of routine CSF biomarkers

Pairwise comparisons of Tau, p-Tau, Inno- $A\beta_{42}$ (Table 1 and Figs. 1A–C), and Innogenetics $A\beta$ /Tau

index (IATI) (Fig. 2A) were done for the three clinical groups. Tau concentrations were the highest in the AD group and intermediate in the FTD group when compared with the CTRL population (Fig. 1A). P-Tau was also statistically higher in AD than in CTRL or FTD (Fig. 1B). Inno- $A\beta_{42}$ values were clearly decreased in AD and intermediate in FTD, which was a first indication that this pathology also had an impact on amyloid biomarkers (Fig. 1C). IATI which has been designed to amplify the opposite variation of Tau and $A\beta_{42}$ in AD followed the same pattern as $A\beta_{42}$ (Fig. 2A). All together, these results validated known differences between the values of these routines biomarkers in different clinical situations.

Mean values of amyloid CSF biomarkers measured with multiplex assays

$A\beta_{42}$ multiplex value variations (Fig. 1F) were comparable to those of the Inno- $A\beta_{42}$ discussed above (correlation, $p < 0.0001$). Interestingly, CSF concentrations of both $A\beta_{38}$ and $A\beta_{40}$ were significantly lower in FTD than in AD or CTRL (Figs. 1D–E). Since our CTRL population was heterogeneous, when we restricted the comparison with FTD to “healthy”

Fig. 2. Box/dot plots showing median values and quartiles of individual biomarkers in AD, FTD, and CTRL populations. Non parametric Mann-Whitney tests were used to calculate the indicated p values. The red solid squares indicated outlier values defined as values larger than the upper quartile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range.

Fig. 3. Box/dot plots showing median values and quartiles of sA β PP α and β in AD and FTD. Non parametric Mann-Whitney tests were used to calculate the indicated p values.

Table 1
Demographic and biologic characteristics

	AD (n = 52)		FTD (n = 34)		CTRL (n = 42)		Statistical test	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Type of test	p value
Age (yrs)	68.51	9.28	64.91	10.51	59.55	16.27	Anova	p = 0,003*
CSF-Prot (g/L)	0.49	0.19	0.50	0.38	0.52	0.24	Anova	p = 0,870
MMSE	15.89	7.04	21.17	6.74	29.95	0.22	Anova	p < 0,001*
Inno-A β 42 (ng/L)	449	149	682	223	805	248	Anova	p < 0,001*
Tau (ng/L)	755	354	317	189	238	86	Anova	p < 0,001*
p-Tau (ng/L)	102	43	41	14	41	15	Anova	p < 0,001*
IATI	0.46	0.39	1.22	0.48	1.59	0.55	Anova	p < 0,001*
sA β PP α	25043	7129	22523	6032	/	/	Wilcoxon	p = 0,1484
sA β PP β	39407	8813	34895	8699	/	/	Wilcoxon	p = 0,0243*
A β 38 (ng/L)	1278	686	942	350	1904	962	Anova	p < 0,001*
A β 40 (ng/L)	8330	4218	6612	2152	7650	2395	Anova	p = 0,058
A β 42 (ng/L)	487	282	768	378	1434	758	Anova	p < 0,001*
A β 38/42	2.95	1.23	1.47	1.01	1.55	1.17	Anova	p < 0,001*
A β 40/42	20.51	9.87	10.43	6.02	7.17	5.66	Anova	p < 0,001*
A β 40/38	7.37	2.99	7.41	1.88	4.98	2.82	Anova	p < 0,001*
Sex (M/F)	26/26		20/14		20/22		Chi2	p = 0,8612

The “*” means that the result is statistically significant.

patients (i.e., with no active neurological disease), we still obtained highly significant differences in A β ₃₈ values (p < 0.0001, not shown).

Concentration of sA β PP β but not of sA β PP α was also significantly higher in AD than in FTD (Figs. 3A-B). This result was in accordance to previous works by our team and others [18, 19], suggesting that sA β PP β might be a valuable diagnosis marker of AD.

The combined measurement of the different A β peptides allowed us to calculate ratios (Table 1, Figs. 2B-D). Both A β _{40/42} and A β _{38/42} ratios were significantly altered in AD as already reported [28–30]. We also computed the ratio A β _{40/38} that interestingly was the only one that differentiated clearly CTRL subjects from FTD subjects, while not being significant between AD and FTD. A few outlier samples (*see isolated dots on Figs. 1, 2*), probably linked to pre-analytical differences, analytical variation, clinical phenotypical variation, or misclassifications, were detected. However they did not significantly affect the statistical significance of our results (e.g., in Fig. 1D, A β ₃₈ remained significantly different between AD and FTD after removing the two AD outliers).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC)

ROC curves were computed for each biomarker or ratio in relevant differential diagnostic situations (Table 2 and Fig. 4). For FTD versus AD diagnosis, the best AUCs for amyloid biomarkers were the A β _{38/42} ratio and the IATI (AUCs = 0.87). However, A β _{40/42} ratio or A β ₄₂ alone had very close and statistically undifferentiated AUC values. It is interesting to note

that p-Tau outperformed all these single biomarkers or ratios with an AUC at 0.95. A β ₄₀, sA β PP α , sA β PP β , and A β _{40/38} ratios were also clearly less efficient for differentiating FTD from AD.

For FTD versus CTRL, A β ₃₈ and the ratio A β _{40/38} were the best biomarkers (AUCs = 0.81). Interestingly, these biomarkers were better than A β ₄₀ (AUC = 0.63) which was identified in a previous study while comparing FTD and normal controls [16].

Logistic regression analysis

To combine the diagnosis power of several biomarkers we performed a multiple logistic regression analysis (Table 3). To identify FTD from AD+CTRL, the only two variables retained in the model were p-Tau and A β ₃₈ with a couple sensitivity/specificity (Se/Sp) 56%/89% (mean 72.5%; Table 3A). This result was relatively low validating the difficulty to differentiate FTD. To discriminate AD vs. FTD + CTRL, only two variables were also kept: p-Tau and the IATI with an excellent Se/Sp = 86%/96% (mean 91%; Table 3B).

DISCUSSION

In this report, we presented the results of classical (Tau, p-Tau181, and A β ₄₂) and new CSF neurochemical biomarkers such as soluble forms of amyloid precursor proteins (sA β PP α , sA β PP β) and A β peptides (A β ₃₈, A β ₄₀) and their relevance in the differential diagnosis between AD, FTD, and control patients (CTRL) without dementia. Biological data were collected in two independent laboratories using similar

Fig. 4. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves plot the true positive rate in function of the false positive rate at different cut-off points. A good estimation of the value of a biomarker is given by the area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC (see also table 3) which tends to be higher while the curve is closer to the upper left corner, where the values of the specificity and the sensitivity are the highest. ROC curves were plotted for the different individual biomarkers (panel A and B) and their ratios (panel C and D) to discriminate FTD from AD (panel A and C) and FTD from CTRL (panel B and D).

and controlled pre-analytic and analytic conditions [31]. Moreover, a recent investigation on sAβPPs CSF levels already supported the specificity of the detection assays used in the present study [18]. All clinical diagnoses were validated independently by a multidisciplinary and expert team blinded to all the CSF neurochemical outcome measures. Unfortunately, neuropathological data were not available, which represents a limitation of the study. The AD diagnosis was however corroborated by the classical CSF profile (Tau, p-Tau, Aβ₄₂) with higher Tau, p-Tau, and lower Aβ₄₂ compared to CTRL and FTD patients. In particular, p-Tau reached very high sensitivity (Se)

and specificity (Sp) values that outperformed the other biomarkers. The Aβ_{38/42}, 40/42 and the IATI ratios were also better than individual biomarkers to identify AD therefore justifying their clinical relevance [5, 32].

The focus of our study was the variation of CSF neurochemical biomarkers in FTD. We first observed that FTD Tau levels were higher than those in CTRL, but lower than those in AD as validated by previous reports [12, 13, 33]. CSF Tau is regarded as a marker of both neuronal degeneration and Tau pathology in the brain [34, 35]. FTD are, however, not always associated to high CSF Tau in particular when linked to

Table 2
ROC curve area for single variable, selection of the best sensitivity and specificity values¹

Table 2A											
FTD vs AD	Tau	p-Tau	Aβ 38	Aβ 40	Aβ 42	IATI	Aβ 38/42	Aβ 40/42	Aβ 40/38	sAβPPα	sAβPPβ
Sensitivity	88	91	91	91	79	88	88	79	76	59	67
Specificity	82	88	40	34	62	86	86	76	44	64	71
Cut-off	≤448	≤58	≤1394	≤8896	>464	>0.66	≤2.00	≤11.1	>6.53	≤23335	≤36033
AUC	0.88	0.95	0.64	0.61	0.75	0.87	0.87	0.85	0.51	0.61	0.67

Table 2B									
FTD vs CTRL	Tau	p-Tau	Aβ 38	Aβ 40	Aβ 42	IATI	Aβ 38/42	Aβ 40/42	Aβ 40/38
Sensitivity	50	42	94	71	88	79	65	85	91
Specificity	81	74	64	57	67	57	59	69	76
Cut-off	>300	>43	≤1470	≤7148	≤1111	≤1.58	≤1.29	>5.93	>5.28
AUC	0.62	0.53	0.81	0.63	0.78	0.69	0.57	0.74	0.81

¹Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve plots the true positive rate in function of the false positive rate at different cut-off points (see Fig. 3). A good estimation of the value of a biomarker is given by the area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC (last lane of each table below). The indicated sensitivity and specificity corresponded to the values obtained at the cut-off selected at the highest accuracy point (minimal false negative and false positive results).

Table 3
Logistic regression²

Table 3A ³							
FTD vs AD+CTRL	p-Tau	Aβ 38	IATI	Aβ 38/42	Aβ 40/42	Aβ 40/38	
ROC	0.71	0.70	0.63	0.77	0.66	0.59	Sensitivity 56
Retained	Yes	Yes	no	no	no	no	--> Specificity 89

Table 3B ⁴							
AD vs FTD+CTRL	Tau	p-Tau	Aβ42	IATI	Aβ38/42	Aβ40/42	
ROC	0.93	0.97	0.88	0.92	0.89	0.90	Sensitivity 86
Retained	no	Yes	no	Yes	no	no	--> Specificity 96

²Logistic regression is a technique for analyzing problems in which there are one or more independent variables (here the biomarkers) which determine an outcome that is measured with a dichotomous variable in which there are only two possible outcomes (FTD or not FTD for example). Sensitivity (upper case) and specificity (lower case) indicated in the tables for each combination were obtained by logistic regression using a backward model. The backward method consists at entering all selected variables into the model and next removing the non-significant variables ($P > 0.1$) sequentially.; ³Multiple logistic regression indicating the resulting sensitivity and specificity (left part) for detection FTD vs AD+CTRL. The variables with significant ROC areas were selected to be considered in the model. Variables no retained based on their resulting p values after regression ($p > 0.1$) were indicated on the last lane (no or Yes).; ⁴Multiple logistic regression indicating the resulting sensitivity and specificity (left part) for detection AD vs FTD+CTRL. The variables with significant ROC areas were selected to be considered in the model. Variables no retained based on their resulting p values after regression ($p > 0.1$) were indicated on the last lane (no or Yes).

Tau mutations or in specific regional forms with lobar localization predominant on the frontal or temporal lobe [14, 36, 37]. The correlation between CSF Tau levels and lobe localization was recently researched [33], showing that higher CSF Tau levels were significantly associated to a greater atrophy in the language areas, namely the left middle temporal gyrus and left inferior parietal lobule. Such a correlation was not the objective of our study but an increased Tau in some patients could be related to the regional distribution of the pathology. In addition, FTD forms exist without a defined Tau pathology [38]. In conclusion, it is apparent that FTD regroups both clinical and biological

entities that are different but one could be mistaken for the others. Familial FTD forms linked to mutation are probably the easiest to regroup and were avoided in our study. Motoneuron variant are also characterized by specific features but here we really focused on the behavioral variant of Fronto-Temporal Lobar Degeneration (FTLD) with behavioral form of frontotemporal syndrome (FTD) with no language or semantic difficulties. If total Tau in our cohort was higher in FTD than in CTRL, p-Tau 181 levels were however similar suggesting that we did not have a significant number of AD patients misdiagnosed for FTD. Tau aggregation in the brain is strongly linked to Tau abnormal

phosphorylation [34, 35], but in FTD patients, normal or sub-normal levels of p-Tau have been already described [33, 37, 39]. This might be due to specific histological forms [36] or to the sequestration of p-Tau in the filamentous inclusions. This situation is similar to pathologies like prion diseases characterized by a high Tau/p-Tau ratio [40]. The results of the “classic” CSF biomarkers (Tau, p-Tau181, and A β ₄₂) validated the high diagnostic value of p-Tau for AD and the moderate changes of Tau in FTD when compared to CTRL. A clear CSF profile of FTD that would significantly improve the diagnosis of this pathology was nevertheless not seen with this set of biomarkers.

The focus on the CSF amyloid status of FTD revealed an interesting new finding with a significant decrease of A β ₃₈, A β ₄₀ and sA β PP β in this diagnosis (Fig.s 1D, 1E, 3B). The presence of lower CSF A β ₃₈ levels in FTD confirmed previous results using A β -SDS-PAGE/immunoblot techniques [17] or using the same multiplex assays as in our study, but in smaller series [39]. In fact, A β ₃₈ appeared to be as relevant as A β ₄₀, a biomarker pointed out as useful in a recent study comparing FTLT subjects and normal controls [16]. The specific decrease of A β ₃₈ in FTD was not only a way of differentiating this diagnosis from CTRL, it was also quite relevant when combined to A β ₄₂ to differentiate FTD from AD with an excellent 88% sensitivity and 86% specificity (Table 2A). This A β _{38/42} ratio appeared more pertinent than A β ₄₂ alone or than the A β _{40/42} ratio as already pointed out in a previous analysis [32].

Finally, when all biomarkers and ratios were considered to help define a specific FTD CSF profile (Table 3), it was not surprising that both A β ₃₈ and p-Tau were selected using backward multiple logistic regression. If we used these biomarkers sequentially using the ROC cut-off (Table 2), starting from 128 samples, 51 could be classified as AD (above 58pg/mL for p-Tau). Out of the 77 remaining, 31 could be classified as FTD (A β ₃₈ under 1470pg/mL). Taken together, these two simple criteria reached an 85.3% Se and 81.9% Sp for FTD diagnosis. This “decision tree” classification might be used by other laboratories with the same detection kits and/or after adjusting cut-off values.

The fact that amyloid biomarkers were modified in FTLT/FTD as reported in our study and others [16, 39] raised many questions on the relationship between Tau and amyloid biology. The effect of A β on Tau phosphorylation [41] has been demonstrated in different models [42] and appeared essential for amyloid-induced neurotoxicity [43]. On the other hand, elevation of Tau

levels seemed to have a general inhibitory effect on anterograde trafficking and especially on vesicles carrying A β PP [44, 45]. This could alter A β PP trafficking and amount to a possible decrease in A β PP processing and A β generation [46]. Finally, another relationship between A β PP and Tau relates to GSK3 β [47], an enzyme able to both phosphorylate Tau and interact with the γ -secretase cleavage of A β PP [48]. An analysis of our results suggested that FTD pathological processes involved both amyloid and Tau pathways, but in a different manner than in AD. The decrease of sA β PP β , A β ₃₈, and A β ₄₀ levels in FTD suggested that A β PP processing or availability was modified. This might be a consequence of the pathology or linked to its etiology. Longitudinal studies could help differentiate these two possibilities. sA β PP β could also represent a key element between tau- and amyloidopathies. The specific fate of A β ₃₈ may be related to its lower aggregation tendency when compared to A β ₄₀ or A β ₄₂, as well as its distinct production pathway by the γ secretase [49, 50], which might be modulated by sA β PP β and/or Tau. Anyway, it is quite difficult to conclude on the effect of these modifications since A β ₃₈ has, to our knowledge, no specific physiological effects.

Taken together, these data represent additional evidence for the interrelationship between Tau and A β PP biology. They could promote the development and follow-up of optimal therapeutic strategies for different types of dementia, as well as helping in their diagnosis as illustrated by our decisional algorithm based on p-Tau and A β ₃₈.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the EU grants cNE-UPRO (contract no. LSHM-CT-2007-037950), the French Alzheimer Foundation, the French Health Office (contract no. Rhône-Alpes PHRC-2004- 27/15). We thank Pr. B Klein (Director of IRB) for his support and the CHRU Biobank (CCBH-M, Pr. P Baldet) for the conservation of the samples.

Authors' disclosures available online (<http://www.j-alz.com/disclosures/view.php?id=867>).

REFERENCES

- [1] Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Cummings JL, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Delacourte A, Frisoni G, Fox NC, Galasko D, Gauthier S, Hampel H, Jicha GA, Meguro K, O'Brien J, Pasquier F, Robert P, Rossor M, Salloway S, Sarazin M, de Souza LC, Stern Y, Visser PJ, Scheltens P (2010) Revising the definition of Alzheimer's disease: a new lexicon. *Lancet Neurol* 9, 1118-1127.

- [2] Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Cummings J, Delacourte A, Galasko D, Gauthier S, Jicha G, Meguro K, O'Brien J, Pasquier F, Robert P, Rossor M, Salloway S, Stern Y, Visser PJ, Scheltens P (2007) Research criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: revising the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. *Lancet Neurol* **6**, 734-746.
- [3] Kanai M, Matsubara E, Isoe K, Urakami K, Nakashima K, Arai H, Sasaki H, Abe K, Iwatsubo T, Kosaka T, Watanabe M, Tomidokoro Y, Shizuka M, Mizushima K, Nakamura T, Igeta Y, Ikeda Y, Amari M, Kawarabayashi T, Ishiguro K, Harigaya Y, Wakabayashi K, Okamoto K, Hirai S, Shoji M (1998) Longitudinal study of cerebrospinal fluid levels of tau, A beta1-40, and A beta1-42(43) in Alzheimer's disease: a study in Japan. *Ann Neurol* **44**, 17-26.
- [4] Andreasen N, Minthon L, Davidsson P, Vanmechelen E, Vanderstichele H, Winblad B, Blennow K (2001) Evaluation of CSF-tau and CSF-Abeta₄₂ as diagnostic markers for Alzheimer disease in clinical practice. *Arch Neurol* **58**, 373-379.
- [5] Hulstaert F, Blennow K, Ivanoiu A, Schoonderwaldt HC, Riemenschneider M, De Deyn PP, Bancher C, Cras P, Wiltfang J, Mehta PD, Iqbal K, Pottel H, Vanmechelen E, Vanderstichele H (1999) Improved discrimination of AD patients using beta-amyloid(1-42) and tau levels in CSF. *Neurology* **52**, 1555-1562.
- [6] Andreasen N, Vanmechelen E, Vanderstichele H, Davidsson P, Blennow K (2003) Cerebrospinal fluid levels of total-tau, phospho-tau and A beta₄₂ predicts development of Alzheimer's disease in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* **179**, 47-51.
- [7] Fagan AM, Roe CM, Xiong C, Mintun MA, Morris JC, Holtzman DM (2007) Cerebrospinal fluid tau/beta-amyloid(42) ratio as a prediction of cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. *Arch Neurol* **64**, 343-349.
- [8] Arai H, Morikawa Y, Higuchi M, Matsui T, Clark CM, Miura M, Machida N, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ, Sasaki H (1997) Cerebrospinal fluid tau levels in neurodegenerative diseases with distinct tau-related pathology. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **236**, 262-264.
- [9] Green AJ, Harvey RJ, Thompson EJ, Rossor MN (1999) Increased tau in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Lett* **259**, 133-135.
- [10] Mecocci P, Cherubini A, Bregnocchi M, Chionne F, Cecchetti R, Lowenthal DT, Senin U (1998) Tau protein in cerebrospinal fluid: a new diagnostic and prognostic marker in Alzheimer disease? *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **12**, 211-214.
- [11] Grossman M, Farmer J, Leight S, Work M, Moore P, Van Deerlin V, Pratico D, Clark CM, Coslett HB, Chatterjee A, Gee J, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM (2005) Cerebrospinal fluid profile in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* **57**, 721-729.
- [12] Bian H, Van Swieten JC, Leight S, Massimo L, Wood E, Forman M, Moore P, de Koning I, Clark CM, Rosso S, Trojanowski J, Lee VM, Grossman M (2008) CSF biomarkers in frontotemporal lobar degeneration with known pathology. *Neurology* **70**, 1827-1835.
- [13] Riemenschneider M, Wagenpfeil S, Diehl J, Lautenschlager N, Theml T, Heldmann B, Drzezga A, Jahn T, Forstl H, Kurz A (2002) Tau and A beta₄₂ protein in CSF of patients with frontotemporal degeneration. *Neurology* **58**, 1622-1628.
- [14] Pijnenburg YA, Schoonenboom NS, Rosso SM, Mulder C, Van Kamp GJ, Van Swieten JC, Scheltens P (2004) CSF tau and A beta₄₂ are not useful in the diagnosis of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neurolog* **62**, 1649.
- [15] Kapaki E, Paraskevas GP, Papageorgiou SG, Bonakis A, Kalfakis N, Zalonis I, Vassilopoulos D (2008) Diagnostic value of CSF biomarker profile in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **22**, 47-53.
- [16] Verwey NA, Kester MI, van der Flier WM, Veerhuis R, Berkhof H, Twaalfhoven H, Blankenstein MA, Scheltens P, Pijnenburg YA (2010) Additional value of CSF amyloid-beta 40 levels in the differentiation between FTLD and control subjects. *J Alzheimers Dis* **20**, 445-452.
- [17] Bibl M, Mollenhauer B, Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Wolf S, Trenkwalder C, Otto M, Stiens G, Ruther E, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J (2007) Validation of amyloid-beta peptides in CSF diagnosis of neurodegenerative dementias. *Mol Psychiatry* **12**, 671-680.
- [18] Lewczuk P, Kamrowski-Kruck H, Peters O, Heuser I, Jessen F, Popp J, Burger K, Hampel H, Frolich L, Wolf S, Prinz B, Jahn H, Luckhaus C, Perneczky R, Hull M, Schroder J, Kessler H, Pantel J, Gertz HJ, Klafki HW, Kolsch H, Reulbach U, Esselmann H, Maler JM, Bibl M, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J (2010) Soluble amyloid precursor proteins in the cerebrospinal fluid as novel potential biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease: a multicenter study. *Mol Psychiatry* **15**, 138-145.
- [19] Gabelle A, Roche S, Geny C, Bennys K, Labauge P, Tholance Y, Quadrio I, Tiers L, Gor B, Chaulet C, Vighetto A, Croisile B, Krolak-Salmon P, Touchon J, Perret-Liaudet A, Lehmann S (2010) Correlations between soluble alpha/beta forms of amyloid precursor protein and A beta₃₈, 40, and 42 in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Brain Res* **1357**, 175-183.
- [20] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* **12**, 189-198.
- [21] Schmidt R, Freidl W, Fazekas F, Reinhart B, Grieshofer P, Koch M, Eber B, Schumacher M, Polmin K, Lechner H (1994) The Mattis Dementia Rating Scale: normative data from 1,001 healthy volunteers. *Neurology* **44**, 964-966.
- [22] Dubois B, Slachevsky A, Litvan I, Pillon B (2000) The FAB: a Frontal Assessment Battery at bedside. *Neurology* **55**, 1621-1626.
- [23] Grober E, Lipton RB, Hall C, Crystal H (2000) Memory impairment on free and cued selective reminding predicts dementia. *Neurology* **54**, 827-832.
- [24] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM (1984) Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* **34**, 939-944.
- [25] Neary D, Snowden J, Mann D (2005) Frontotemporal dementia. *Lancet Neurol* **4**, 771-780.
- [26] Goldman JS, Rademakers R, Huey ED, Boxer AL, Mayeux R, Miller BL, Boeve BF (2011) An algorithm for genetic testing of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neurology* **76**, 475-483.
- [27] Bateman GA, Levi CR, Schofield P, Wang Y, Lovett EC (2006) Quantitative measurement of cerebral haemodynamics in early vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Neurosci* **13**, 563-568.
- [28] Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Otto M, Maler JM, Henkel AW, Henkel MK, Eikenberg O, Antz C, Krause WR, Reulbach U, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J (2004) Neurochemical diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia by CSF A beta₄₂, A beta₄₂/A beta₄₀ ratio and total tau. *Neurobiol Aging* **25**, 273-281.
- [29] Shoji M, Matsubara E, Kanai M, Watanabe M, Nakamura T, Tomidokoro Y, Shizuka M, Wakabayashi K, Igeta Y, Ikeda Y, Mizushima K, Amari M, Ishiguro K, Kawarabayashi T, Harigaya Y, Okamoto K, Hirai S (1998) Combination assay

- of CSF tau, A beta 1-40 and A beta 1-42(43) as a biochemical marker of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Sci* **158**, 134-140.
- [30] Wiltfang J, Esselmann H, Bibl M, Hull M, Hampel H, Kessler H, Frolich L, Schroder J, Peters O, Jessen F, Luckhaus C, Perneczky R, Jahn H, Fiszer M, Maler JM, Zimmermann R, Bruckmoser R, Kornhuber J, Lewczuk P (2007) Amyloid beta peptide ratio 42/40 but not A beta42 correlates with phospho-Tau in patients with low- and high-CSF A beta40 load. *J Neurochem* **101**, 1053-1059.
- [31] Lehmann S, Roche S, Allory Y, Barthelax A, Beaudeau JL, Berger F, Betsou F, Borg J, Dupuy A, Garin J, Quillard M, Lizard G, Peoc'h K, Riviere M, Ducoroy P (2009) Preanalytical guidelines for clinical proteomics investigation of biological fluids. *Ann Biol Clin (Paris)* **67**, 629-639.
- [32] Welge V, Fiege O, Lewczuk P, Mollenhauer B, Esselmann H, Klafki HW, Wolf S, Trenkwalder C, Otto M, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Bibl M (2009) Combined CSF tau, p-tau181 and amyloid-beta 38/40/42 for diagnosing Alzheimer's disease. *J Neural Transm* **116**, 203-212.
- [33] Borroni B, Cerini C, Archetti S, Premi E, Cosseddu M, Ferrari M, Bellelli G, Gasparotti R, Caimi L, Luca MD, Padovani A (2010) Cerebrospinal fluid tau in frontotemporal lobar degeneration: clinical, neuroimaging, and prognostic correlates. *J Alzheimers Dis* **23**, 505-512.
- [34] Buee L, Troquier L, Burnouf S, Belarbi K, Van der Jeugd A, Ahmed T, Fernandez-Gomez F, Cailliez R, Grosjean ME, Begard S, Barbot B, Demeyer D, Obriot H, Brion I, Buee-Scherrer V, Maurage CA, Balschun D, D'Hooge R, Hamdane M, Blum D, Sergeant N (2010) From tau phosphorylation to tau aggregation: what about neuronal death?. *Biochem Soc Trans* **38**, 967-972.
- [35] Iqbal K, Liu F, Gong CX, Grundke-Iqbal I (2010) Tau in Alzheimer disease and related Tauopathies. *Curr Alzheimer Res* **7**, 656-664.
- [36] Pijnenburg YA, Schoonenboom SN, Barkhof F, Knol DL, Mulder C, Van Kamp GJ, Van Swieten JC, Scheltens P (2006) CSF biomarkers in frontotemporal lobar degeneration: relations with clinical characteristics, apolipoprotein E genotype, and neuroimaging. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **77**, 246-248.
- [37] Rosso SM, van Herpen E, Pijnenburg YA, Schoonenboom NS, Scheltens P, Heutink P, van Swieten JC (2003) Total tau and phosphorylated tau 181 levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with frontotemporal dementia due to P301L and G272V tau mutations. *Arch Neurol* **60**, 1209-1213.
- [38] Mott RT, Dickson DW, Trojanowski JQ, Zhukareva V, Lee VM, Forman M, Van Deerlin V, Ervin JF, Wang DS, Schmechel DE, Hulette CM (2005) Neuropathologic, biochemical, and molecular characterization of the frontotemporal dementias. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **64**, 420-428.
- [39] Bibl M, Mollenhauer B, Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Wolf S, Otto M, Kornhuber J, Ruther E, Wiltfang J (2010) Cerebrospinal fluid tau, p-Tau 181 and amyloid-beta(38/40/42) in frontotemporal dementias and primary progressive aphasia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **31**, 37-44.
- [40] Blennow K, Johansson A, Zetterberg H (2005) Diagnostic value of 14-3-3beta immunoblot and T-tau/P-tau ratio in clinically suspected Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Int J Mol Med* **16**, 1147-1149.
- [41] Busciglio J, Lorenzo A, Yeh J, Yankner BA (1995) beta-amyloid fibrils induce tau phosphorylation and loss of microtubule binding. *Neuron* **14**, 879-888.
- [42] Adalbert R, Gilley J, Coleman MP (2007) Abeta, tau and ApoE4 in Alzheimer's disease: the axonal connection. *Trends Mol Med* **13**, 135-142.
- [43] Rapoport M, Dawson HN, Binder LI, Vitek MP, Ferreira A (2002) Tau is essential to beta-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 6364-6369.
- [44] Stamer K, Vogel R, Thies E, Mandelkow E, Mandelkow EM (2002) Tau blocks traffic of organelles, neurofilaments, and APP vesicles in neurons and enhances oxidative stress. *J Cell Biol* **156**, 1051-1063.
- [45] Small SA, Gandy S (2006) Sorting through the cell biology of Alzheimer's disease: intracellular pathways to pathogenesis. *Neuron* **52**, 15-31.
- [46] Goldsberry C, Mocanu MM, Thies E, Kaether C, Haass C, Keller P, Biernat J, Mandelkow E, Mandelkow EM (2006) Inhibition of APP trafficking by tau protein does not increase the generation of amyloid-beta peptides. *Traffic* **7**, 873-888.
- [47] Schaffer BA, Bertram L, Miller BL, Mullin K, Weintraub S, Johnson N, Bigio EH, Mesulam M, Wiedau-Pazos M, Jackson GR, Cummings JL, Cantor RM, Levey AI, Tanzi RE, Geschwind DH (2008) Association of GSK3B with Alzheimer disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Arch Neurol* **65**, 1368-1374.
- [48] Small SA, Duff K (2008) Linking Abeta and tau in late-onset Alzheimer's disease: a dual pathway hypothesis. *Neuron* **60**, 534-542.
- [49] Czirr E, Cottrell BA, Leuchtenberger S, Kukar T, Ladd TB, Esselmann H, Paul S, Schubel R, Torpey JW, Pietrzik CU, Golde TE, Wiltfang J, Baumann K, Koo EH, Weggen S (2008) Independent generation of Abeta42 and Abeta38 peptide species by gamma-secretase. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 17049-17054.
- [50] Page RM, Baumann K, Tomioka M, Perez-Revuelta BI, Fukumori A, Jacobsen H, Flohr A, Luebbbers T, Ozmen L, Steiner H, Haass C (2008) Generation of Abeta38 and Abeta42 is independently and differentially affected by familial Alzheimer disease-associated presenilin mutations and gamma-secretase modulation. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 677-683.