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Abstract—This paper deals with exponential stabilization of the class of nonlinear neutral type time-delay systems that can be transformed into a multi-model system. The approach is based on Lyapunov-Krasovskii techniques and uses a descriptor representation. The exponential stability properties are proved using an appropriate change of variables associated with a polytopic representation. The results are given in terms of LMIs. As an application example, we determine an effective stabilizing controller for an oilwell drilling system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that nonlinear systems are indeed models closer to reality in the sense that their validity is not necessarily limited to an immediate neighborhood of an operating point or a reference trajectory.

In [1] the author presents stabilizability results for nonlinear retarded type time-delay systems which can be represented in two different ways: as multi-model systems and as uncertain systems.

We are interested in the stabilization of nonlinear neutral type time-delay systems which can be transformed into a multi-model system, i.e., a set of linear models nonlinearly weighted. A multi-model neutral type system can be represented as follows

\[ \dot{x}(t) - D\dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \{ A_i x(t) + A_{i\tau_1} x(t - \tau_1) + B_{\tau_0} u(t - \tau_0) \} \]  

where the set \( I^r \) is the set of integers \( \{1, ..., r\} \), \( r \) is the number of subsystems required to describe the multi-model system. The functions \( h_i(\cdot) \) are scalar weighting functions satisfying the convexity conditions:

\[ \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, ..., r, \quad h_i(x_t) \geq 0. \]  

The proposal of a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and a descriptor representation of the neutral type time-delay system allow us to find a stabilizing controller for this particular kind of nonlinear systems through the solution of linear matrix inequalities.

Our motivation is the exponential stabilization of an oilwell drilling system. Oilwell drillstrings are mechanisms that play a key role in the petroleum extraction industry. These devices are complex dynamic systems with many unknown and varying parameters due to the fact that drillstring characteristics change as the drilling operation makes progress. The drilling system is described by a hyperbolic partial differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. Through the D’Alembert method this model can be easily transformed into a neutral type delay system which describes the behavior of the system at the ground level. The torque on the bit is described by a nonlinear function which depends on the angular velocity at the bottom extremity. Under an appropriate change of variables we can obtain a polytopic representation of the drilling system.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II we present the distributed parameter model describing the drilling system and the nonlinear equivalent neutral type delay model obtained through the D’Alembert transformation. In section III we present the multi-model approximation of the drilling nonlinear system. Section IV concerns the \( \alpha \)-stability analysis of the open loop multi-model system, then, we determine the LMI conditions for the exponential stability of the closed loop system. The synthesis of the controller gain is obtained. In Section V
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we present the numerical analysis of the drilling system. Conclusions are presented in the last section.

II. NONLINEAR MODEL OF THE DRILLING SYSTEM

The main process during well drilling for oil is the creation of borehole by a rock-cutting tool called bit. The drillstring consists of the BHA (bottom hole assembly) and drillpipes screwed end to end to each other to form a long pipe. The BHA comprises the bit, stabilizers (at least two spaced apart) which prevent the drillstring from balancing, and a series of pipe sections which are relatively heavy known as drill collars. While the length of the BHA remains constant, the total length of the drill pipes increases as the borehole depth does. An important element of the process is the drilling mud or fluid which among others, has the function of cleaning, cooling and lubricating the bit. The drillstring is rotated from the surface by an electrical motor. The rotating mechanism can be of two types: a rotary table or a top drive.

The drill pipe is considered as a beam in torsion. A lumped inertia \( I_B \) is chosen to represent the assembly at the bottom hole and a damping \( \beta \geq 0 \) which includes the viscous and structural damping, is assumed along the structure. The drillstring is rotated from the surface \( (\xi = 0) \) by an electrical motor, \( \Omega \) is the angular velocity coming from the rotor that does not match the rotational speed of the load \( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(0, t) \). This sliding speed results in the local torsion of the drillstring. The other extremity \( (\xi = L) \), is subject to a torque \( T \), which is a function of the bit speed. The mechanical system is described by the following partial differential equation:

\[
G J \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi, t) - I \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2}(\xi, t) - \beta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(\xi, t) = 0, \quad \xi \in (0, L), \quad t > 0, \tag{3}
\]

with boundary conditions

\[
G J \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi}(0, t) = c_a \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(0, t) - \Omega(t) \right); \]

\[
G J \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi}(L, t) + I_B \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2}(L, t) = -T \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(L, t) \right),
\]

where \( \theta(\xi, t) \) is the angle of rotation, \( I \) is the inertia, \( G \) is the shear modulus and \( J \) is the geometrical moment of inertia.

Considering that the damping \( \beta \) is negligible, the distributed parameter model (3) reduces to the unidimensional wave equation. Using the D’Alembert transformation we can describe the drilling behavior with the following neutral type delay equation:

\[
\ddot{w}(t) - \frac{1}{I_B} \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) + \frac{\Psi}{\Gamma} \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) + \frac{\Psi \tau}{\Gamma} \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{I_B} T \left( \dot{w}(t) \right) + \frac{1}{I_B} \gamma \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) + \frac{1}{I_B} \gamma \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma), \tag{4}
\]

where \( \dot{w}(t) \) is the angular velocity at the bottom extremity, and \( \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_a + \sqrt{c_a v_f}}} \), \( \Psi = \frac{\sqrt{c_a} \sqrt{c_b}}{c_a + \sqrt{c_a v_f}} \), \( \Gamma = \sqrt{\frac{c_a}{GJ}} L \), \( \Pi = \frac{2 \Psi c_a}{c_a + \sqrt{c_a v_f}} \).

For the details of the transformation the reader is referred to [3], [4].

The drillstring interaction with the borehole gives rise to a wide variety of non-desired oscillations which are classified depending on the direction they appear. Three main types of vibrations can be distinguished: torsional (stick-slip oscillations), axial (bit bouncing phenomenon) and lateral (whirl motion due the out-of-balance of the drillstring). Torsional drillstring vibrations appear due to downhole conditions, such as significant drag, tight hole, and formation characteristics. It can cause the bit to stall in the formation while the rotary table continues to rotate. When the trapped torsional energy (similar to a wound-up spring) reaches a level that the bit can no longer resist, the bit suddenly comes loose, rotating and whipping at very high speeds. This stick-slip behavior can generate a torsional wave that travels up the drillstring to the rotary top system. Because of the high inertia of the rotary table, it acts like a fixed end to the drillstring and reflects the torsional wave back down the drillstring to the bit. The bit may stall again, and the torsional wave cycle repeats as explained in [6]. The whipping and high speed rotations of the bit in the slip phase can generate both severe axial and lateral vibrations at the bottom-hole assembly. The vibrations can originate problems such as drill pipe fatigue problems, drillstring components failures, wellbore instability. They contribute to drillpipe fatigue and are detrimental to bit life.

The following nonlinear equation introduced in [5] approximates the physical phenomenon at the bottom hole

\[
T \left( \dot{w}(t) \right) = c_b \dot{w}(t) + W_{ob} R_b \mu_{ob} e^{-\frac{c_b}{2} \dot{w}(t)} sgn(\dot{w}(t)). \tag{5}
\]

The term \( c_b \dot{w}(t) \) is a viscous damping torque at the bit which approximates the influence of the mud drilling and the term \( W_{ob} R_b \mu_{ob} e^{-\frac{c_b}{2} \dot{w}(t)} sgn(\dot{w}(t)) \) is a dry friction torque modelling the bit-rock contact. \( R_b > 0 \) is the bit radius, \( W_{ob} > 0 \) the weight on the bit, \( \mu_{ob} \in (0, 1) \) is the static friction coefficient and \( 0 < \gamma_b < 1 \) is a constant defining the velocity decrease rate. The constant velocity \( v_f > 0 \) is introduced in order to have appropriate units.

The friction torque (5) leads to a decreasing torque-on-bit with increasing bit angular velocity for low velocities which acts as a negative damping (Striebeck effect) and is the cause of stick-slip self-excited vibrations. The exponential decaying behavior of \( T \) coincides with experimental torque values.

With the introduction of the torque on the bit model we obtain the following nonlinear expression to describe
the drilling behavior at the ground level:
\[
\dot{w}(t) - \Psi \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) + \left( \Psi + \frac{c_b}{I_B} \right) \dot{w}(t) + \gamma \left( \Psi - \frac{c_b}{I_B} \right) \dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma) = -c_2 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tau} (t)} sgn (\dot{w}(t)) + \gamma c_2 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tau} (t - 2\Gamma)} sgn (\dot{w}(t - 2\Gamma)) + \Pi \Omega (t - \Gamma)
\]
where \( c_2 = \frac{w_{ob} R_0 (\mu_{s, b} - \mu_{w, b})}{I_B} \).

III. MULTI-MODEL APPROXIMATION OF THE NONLINEAR SYSTEM

Consider a nonlinear control system of the form
\[
\dot{x}(t) - D \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = f(t, x_t) + g(t, x_t) u(t)
\]
\[
x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \forall t \in [-\tau_1, 0]
\]
and the nonlinear model of the drilling system (6) as a multi-model system:
\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(t) &= w(t) \\
x_2(t) &= \dot{w}(t) \\
x_3(t) &= e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tau} x_2(t)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= \dot{w}(t) \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= \ddot{w}(t) \\
\dot{x}_3(t) &= -\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \dot{x}_2(t) e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} x_2(t)} = -\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \dot{x}_2(t) x_3(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore,
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= \dot{w}(t) \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= \ddot{w}(t) \\
\dot{x}_3(t) &= -\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \dot{x}_2(t) e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} x_2(t)} = -\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \dot{x}_2(t) x_3(t).
\end{align*}
\]

System (6) can be written as
\[
\dot{x}(t) - D \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = A(x) x(t) + A_{\tau_1} x(t - \tau_1) + B_{\tau_0} u(t - \tau_0)
\]
where \( \tau_0 = \Gamma, \tau_1 = 2\Gamma, u(t) = \Omega \),
\[
x = [x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3]^T
\]
\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\tau_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Pi \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
A_{\tau_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi (\frac{\tau}{I_B} - \Psi) & c_2 \dot{\Upsilon} sgn (x_2(t - \tau_1)) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\left( \Psi + \frac{\tau}{I_B} \right) & -c_2 \dot{\Upsilon} sgn (x_2(t)) \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \dot{x}_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Notice that the entries of the matrices \( D, B_{\tau_0} \) are constant, and the entry \( c_2 \dot{\Upsilon} sgn (x_2(t - \tau_1)) \) of the matrix \( A_{\tau_1}(x) \) is bounded. If we consider that \( \dot{x}_2(t) \) is a bounded variable then, so is the matrix \( A(x) \).

In this case, we can obtain a polytopic representation of the matrices \( A(x), A_{\tau_1}(x) \) as:
\[
A(x) x(t) + A_{\tau_1}(x) x(t - \tau_1) = \sum_{i \in I'} h_i(x_i) (A_i x(t) + A_{\tau_1} x(t - \tau_1))
\]
where \( A_i, A_{\tau_1} \) have only constant coefficients \([1]\). The functions \( h_i(x_i), i \in I' \) are scalar not necessarily known weighting functions satisfying the convexity property (2).

The non-linear drilling system (6) can be written in the polytopic form (1).

IV. MAIN RESULT

Firstly, we are going to analyze the \( \alpha \)-stability of the open loop system, i.e., the system:
\[
\dot{x}(t) - D \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = \sum_{i \in I'} h_i(x_i) \{A_i x(t) + A_{\tau_1} x(t - \tau_1)\}.
\]

To guarantee that the difference operator is stable we assume \( |D| < 1 \).

The change of variable \( x_\alpha(t) = e^{\alpha t} x(t) \) transforms the system (10) into:
\[
\dot{x}_\alpha(t) - D e^{\alpha \tau_1} \dot{x}_\alpha(t - \tau_1) = \sum_{i \in I'} h_i(x_i) \{ (A_i + \alpha I_n) x_\alpha(t) + e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_{\tau_1} - \alpha D) x_\alpha(t - \tau_1) \}.
\]

The proposal is to find conditions for which the solution \( x_\alpha = 0 \) of the transformed system (11) is asymptotically stable. Clearly, these conditions will assure the exponential stability of the original system (10).

Theorem 1: The solution \( x(t) = 0 \) of the system (10) is \( \alpha \)-stable if there exist matrices \( 0 < P_1 = P_1^T, P_2, P_3, Q = Q^T \) and \( R = R^T \), such that for all \( i \in I' \) the following linear matrix inequality (LMI) is satisfied
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_i & P^T \left( e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_{\tau_1} - \alpha D) \right) \\ * & -R/\tau_1 \end{pmatrix} P^T \left( e^{\alpha \tau_1} D \right) < 0
\]
where \( P := \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_2 & P_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_1 = P_1^T > 0, \)
\[
\Psi_i := P^T \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ \Lambda_i - I_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ \Lambda_i - I_n \end{pmatrix}^T P + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1 R + Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_i := A_i + \alpha I_n + e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_{\tau_1} - \alpha D) \).
Proof: According to the Leibniz formula,
\[ x_\alpha(t - \tau_1) = x_\alpha(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}_\alpha(s) ds, \]
then, we can write the system (11) as
\[ \dot{x}_\alpha(t) = D e^{\alpha \tau_1} \dot{x}_\alpha(t - \tau_1) + \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \left\{ \left( A_i + \alpha I_n + e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \right) x_\alpha(t) - e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}_\alpha(s) ds \right\}. \]
Using the descriptor form introduced in [2] we have
\[ \dot{x}_\alpha(t) = y(t) \]
\[ y(t) = \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \left\{ D e^{\alpha \tau_1} y(t - \tau_1) + \Lambda_i x_\alpha(t) - e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y(s) ds \right\}, \]
where
\[ \Lambda_i := A_i + \alpha I_n + e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \]
then, we can write
\[ E \left( \begin{array}{c} \dot{x}_\alpha(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} y(t) \\ \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \cdot \lambda \end{array} \right) \]
where \( E = \text{diag} \{ I_n, 0 \} \), \( \lambda = -y(t) + D e^{\alpha \tau_1} y(t - \tau_1) + \Lambda_i x_\alpha(t) - e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y(s) ds \).
Following [2], we use the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional
\[ V_\alpha(t) = \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha^T(t) \\ y^T(t) \end{array} \right) EP \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) R y(s) ds + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) Q y(s) ds \\
\end{array} \right) = P \left( \begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{array} \right), \]
\[ P = \left( \begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{array} \right), \]
\[ P_1 = P^T > 0, \quad R > 0, \quad Q > 0. \]
The functional \( V_\alpha(t) \) is positive definite since
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha^T(t) \\ y^T(t) \end{array} \right) EP \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) = x_\alpha^T(t) P x_\alpha(t). \]
Notice that \( EP = P^T E \), taking the derivative in \( t \) of \( V_\alpha(t) \) we obtain
\[ \dot{V}_\alpha(t) = 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha^T(t) \\ y^T(t) \end{array} \right) P^T \left( \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \cdot \lambda \right) + \tau_1 y^T(t) R y(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) R y(s) ds + y^T(t) Q y(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) Q y(t-s) ds. \]
Setting \( \xi = \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) \) we can write
\[ \dot{V}_\alpha(t) = \xi^T \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\Psi}_i \\ 0 \end{array} \right) P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} D \end{array} \right) \xi + \eta - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) R y(s) ds, \]
where
\[ \eta = -2 \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha^T(t) \\ y^T(t) \end{array} \right) \cdot P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \end{array} \right) y(s) ds, \]
\[ \tilde{\Psi}_i = \sum_{i \in I^r} h_i(x_t) \left\{ P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I_n \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -I_n \end{array} \right)^T P + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \tau_1 R + Q \end{array} \right) \right\}. \]
In order to obtain an upper bound on \( \eta \), we use the following property.
For all vectors \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and positive definite matrix \( \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), the following inequality is satisfied
\[ \pm 2 a^T b \leq a^T R^{-1} a + b^T R b. \]
Then, we have that
\[ \eta \leq \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) \cdot P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \end{array} \right) \cdot \tau_1 R^{-1} \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D)^T \end{array} \right) \cdot P \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t y^T(s) R y(s) ds. \]
From (13) and (14),
\[ \dot{V}_\alpha(t) \leq \xi^T \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\Psi}_i \\ 0 \end{array} \right) P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} D \end{array} \right) \xi + \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha^T(t) \\ y^T(t) \end{array} \right) \cdot P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \end{array} \right) \cdot \tau_1 R^{-1} \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D)^T \end{array} \right) \cdot P \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} x_\alpha(t) \\ y(t) \end{array} \right) \]
Finally, using Schur complements, the system (10) is asymptotically stable if every matrix, \( i \in I^r \)
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} \Psi_i \\ P^T \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_i \tau_1 - \alpha D) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \]
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -R/\tau_1 \\ \tau_1 \end{array} \right) \]
is negative definite, i.e., if the LMI condition (12) is satisfied.

Having determined the criteria for exponential stability for the open loop system (10), the next step is to define an algorithm that allows the synthesis of a gain \( K \) such that the feedback control law
\[ u(t - \tau_0) = K x(t - \tau_1). \]
exponentially stabilizes the closed loop system
\[
\dot{x}(t) - D \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = \sum_{i \in I^v} h_i(x_i) \{ A_i x(t) + (A_{i r_1} + B_{r_0} K) x(t - \tau_1) \}
\]
with a guaranteed rate of convergence \( \alpha \).

Replacing the matrix \( A_{i r_1} \) by the matrix \( A_{i r_1} + B_{r_0} K \) in Theorem 1, that the solution \( x(t) = 0 \) of the system (16) is \( \alpha \)-stable if there exist matrices \( 0 < P_1 = P_1^T, P_2, P_3, Q = Q^T, R = R^T \) such that for all \( i \in I^v \) the following bilinear matrix inequality is satisfied
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi_i & P^T \begin{pmatrix}
0 & e^{\alpha \tau_1} \chi \\
* & -R/\tau_1 \\
* & * -Q
\end{pmatrix} \\
* & * -\dot{\Psi}_i
\end{pmatrix} < 0
\]
where
\[
P : = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_2 & P_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_i = P_i^T \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ -I_n & I_n \end{pmatrix} P
\]
\[
\dot{\Psi}_i = A_i + \alpha I_n + e^{\alpha \tau_1} (A_{i r_1} + B_{r_0} K - \alpha D),
\]
\[
\chi : = (A_{i r_1} + B_{r_0} K - \alpha D).
\]

A well known synthesis gain technique which overcome the bilinearity of the conditions was introduced by [7]. It consists in to set
\[
P_3 = \epsilon P_2, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}
\]
where \( P_2 \) is a nonsingular matrix, and
\[
\dot{P} = P_2^{-1}.
\]

Define \( \dot{P}_1 = \dot{P}^T P_1 \bar{P} = \dot{P}^T R \bar{P} \), and \( Y = K \bar{P} \). Multiplying the right side of (17) by \( \Delta_3 = diag \{ \bar{P}, \bar{P}, \bar{P} \} \) and the left side by \( \Delta_3^{-1} \), we obtain the LMI stabilization condition stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2:** The system (16) is \( \alpha \)-stabilizable if there exist a real number \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( n \times n \) matrices \( P_1 > 0, \bar{P}, Q = \bar{Q}^T, R = R^T \), and \( Y \) such that for all \( i \in I^v \) the following linear matrix inequality (LMI) is satisfied
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Phi_i & \begin{pmatrix}
e^{\alpha \tau_1} \vartheta \\
* -\dot{\Psi}_i/\tau_1
\end{pmatrix} \\
* & * -Q
\end{pmatrix} < 0
\]
where
\[
\vartheta : = (A_{i r_1} - \alpha D) \bar{P} + B_{r_0} Y
\]
\[
\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix}
\Phi_{i11} & \Phi_{i12} \\ \Phi_{i21} & \Phi_{i22}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**V. NUMERICAL RESULT**

Now, we are able to find a stabilizing control law for the oilwell drilling system using the results of Section IV.

The model parameters used in the sequel are:
\[
G = 79.3 x 10^3 N/m^2, \quad I = 0.095 Kg \cdot m, \quad L = 1172 m,
\]
\[
J = 1.19 x 10^{-5} m^4, \quad R_b = 0.155575, \quad \nu_f = 1,
\]
\[
W_{ob} = 97347 N, \quad I_B = 89 K gm^2, \quad c_a = 2900 Nms,
\]
\[
c_b = 0.03 Nms/rad, \quad \mu_{ab} = 0.8, \quad \gamma_b = 0.9
\]
\[
v_{ref} = 20 rad/s, \quad \Delta c_{max} = -50, \quad \Delta c_{max} = 50
\]
and the simulations are performed using the variable step Matlab-Simulink solver ode45 (Dormand Prince Method).

Using the above parameters, the matrices \( A(x), A_{r_1}(x), B_{r_0} \) and \( D \) of the oilwell drilling model (8) take the following values:
\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.7396 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{r_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5.8523 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3.3645 & -136.1327 sgn(x_2(t)) \\ 0 & 0 & -0.9 \dot{x}_2(t) \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
A_{r_1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2.4878 & 100.6802 sgn(x_2(t - \tau_1)) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In order to obtain a polytopic representation of the system, \( A(x) \) and \( A_{r_1}(x) \) must be bounded functions.

There are three independent functions involved: \( \dot{x}_2(t), sgn(x_2(t)) \) and \( sgn(x_2(t - \tau_1)) \).

The variable \( \dot{x}_2(t) \) represents the angular acceleration at the bottom end of the drillstring, this is clearly a bounded variable in real applications. The variables \( sgn(x_2(t)) \) and \( sgn(x_2(t - \tau_1)) \) take only the values 1 and 0.

Under the assumption that \( A(x) \) and \( A_{r_1}(x) \) are bounded, we can obtain a polytopic representation in the form (9), where \( i \in I^v = 2^3 = 8 \).

We can write
\[
\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3.3645 & a_{r_133}(x) \\ 0 & 0 & a_{r_133}(x) \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[-136.1327 = a_{23}^1 \leq a_{23}^i(x) \leq a_{23}^2 = 0\]
\[-0.9 Acc_{\text{max}} = a_{33}^1 \leq a_{33}^i(x) \leq a_{33}^2 = -0.9 Dec_{\text{max}}\]

where $Acc_{\text{max}}$ and $Dec_{\text{max}}$ stand for the maximum acceleration and deceleration respectively, and

$$A_{i\tau_1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2.4878 & a_{i23}^1(x) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$0 = a_{i23}^1(x) \leq a_{i23}^i(x) \leq a_{i23}^2(x) = 100.6802.$$  

The simulation results of Figure 1 show the angular velocity at the bottom end of the drillstring ($x_2(t)$) for $\Omega = 20 \text{rad/s}$.

Applying the result of Theorem 2 to system (1) in closed loop with the control law

$$u(t - \tau_0) = Kx(t - \tau_1),$$

we obtain feasible results with

$$K = Y \bar{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.44 & -4.25 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Then, the stabilizing control law for the drilling system (6) is given by

$$\begin{align*}
\Omega(t) = u(t) = 0.44\dot{w}(t) - 4.25e^{-\frac{\gamma b}{\bar{v}}}\dot{w}(t) + v_{\text{ref}}.
\end{align*}$$

The simulation results of Figure 2 show the expected exponential convergence of the variable $\dot{w}(t)$ ($x_2(t)$) of the system (6) in closed loop with the control law (19) where $v_{\text{ref}}$ is the angular velocity reference.

**VI. Conclusion**

The exponential stabilizability of the class of nonlinear neutral type time-delay systems which can be transformed into a multi-model system is investigated in this paper. We have extended the results presented in [1] to the case of neutral type time-delay systems, and we have applied the main result of this paper to the oilwell drilling system.

We have found an effective stabilizing controller which substantially eliminates the oscillations in the angular velocity at the bottom end of the drill string.
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