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Abstract—In this paper, the performances of a hybrid excitation flux switching permanent magnets synchronous machine (HEFSSM) that have been optimally designed for a hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) application are shown. The different losses: copper losses and iron losses are computed for the European Normalized Driving Cycle (NEDC) by taking into account all operating points in the torque-speed plan. Finally, the optimization problem of such hybrid excitation machine for a given driving cycle is discussed.

Index Terms—Hybrid excitation, synchronous machine, flux switching, driving cycle, HEV application, iron losses.

I. INTRODUCTION

High efficiency, high power factor, high Torque/Volume ratio, high torque and speed operating points to be reached, these are some constraints that have to be fulfilled by the electrical machine when it is designed for almost of embedded applications. For the hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) or full electrical vehicle (EV) application case, in addition to previously mentioned constraints, the number of operating points in the torque/speed plan to be reached by the electric machine is very important and scattered as we can notice in the figure 1. In this figure we show an example of two driving cycles one is for “road use” and the other for “urban use”. Both of these cycles are composed by approximately 1000 operating points to be performed by the electrical machine in the HEV application.

In order to satisfy these different constraints various classical electrical machines structures and technologies are studied like the induction machines, the wound rotor synchronous machine, the permanent magnets synchronous machines, the switched reluctance machines. In another side, special electrical machines like the hybrid excitation one are studied for these applications and seems to be an interesting solution [3] while it combines the advantages of the permanent magnets machines and wound rotor synchronous machines. In fact, in different operating regions, low speed and low torque operating points, only permanent magnets will be used to produce the needed excitation flux thus the efficiency will be important. However, if the machine has, for example, to reach high torque operating points a DC current will be applied to the excitation coils in order to increase the total excitation flux, thus to increase the torque value.

In this paper, we will firstly present different structures of hybrid excitation machines that are classified by the permanent magnet position in the machine. The studied hybrid excitation machine, hybrid excitation flux switching machine, will also be presented. Secondly, the considered driving cycle will be presented. Thirdly, the different electromagnetic models that are exploited to calculate the performances of this structure and the different losses are given. Fourthly, will be calculated on the NEDC driving cycle the performances of the HFSM are presented and discussed. Finally, the optimization problem is presented and discussed.

II. HYBRID EXCITATION SYNCHRONOUS MACHINES

A. Hybrid excitation synchronous machines with global DC excitation coils and permanent magnets in the rotor

These hybrid excitation machines are based on classical permanent magnets machines. Permanent magnets are in the rotor and the excitation coils are global coils as we can see on figures 2, 3 and 4 [1, 2, 3]. For example, the structure of the hybrid synchronous machine presented in figure 2 is composed by a rotor composed by laminated parts, solid iron parts and permanent magnet in focusing configuration. The stator is also composed by laminated and solid iron parts, classical armature windings and additional DC excitation coils situated above the armature end windings. Thanks to the excitation coils it is possible to modulate the total excitation flux in the air gap region of the machine thus the EMF of the machine as it is shown on figure 5. Another benefit of these additional excitation coils is that they make possible to improve the effectiveness of the machine in different operating regions in the Torque - Speed plan.

Fig. 1: Two driving cycles for HEV application
It can be seen that for the most frequently used operating points the effectiveness of the machine is important (higher than 86.7%) while only permanent magnets are used in order to generate the total excitation flux. However, for the other operating points the DC excitation coils are used either to increase the total excitation flux and reach high speed torque operating points either to reduce the total excitation flux and reach high speed operating points.

![Fig.2: Focusing flux permanent magnet synchronous machine with DC global excitation coils](image1)

![Fig.3: Consequent-Pole Permanent-Magnet Machine with global excitation coil](image2)

![Fig.4: Synchronous Machine with Powdered Iron Core and global excitation coils](image3)

**B. Hybrid excitation machines with DC excitation coil and permanent magnets in the stator**

In this second family of hybrid excitation machines, we will find the hybrid excitation flux switching synchronous machine [4, 5, 6] that are based on the principle of operating of the flux switching machine [7, 8, 9] where the DC excitation coils were added.

This machine topology presents different advantages. The first one is its salient passive rotor, similar to that of a switched reluctance machine. The rotor contains neither permanent magnets nor windings (Fig. 4-a).

The second advantage of this machine is that all its active parts, permanent magnets and armature windings are located in the salient stator. Thus, all major sources of copper power losses are located in the salient stator that improves the efficiency of the cooling system. Moreover, in Fig. 4-b, it can be noticed that the permanent magnets are in focusing configurations that allows a high flux density values and high torque values. The armature windings are concentrated and located in open slots. In order to perform a high filling factor and to reduce armature end windings size, the armature winding can be realized outside the stator and then inserted in their locations. As known, using such a structure, like all permanent magnets synchronous machines, for applications that require expanded torque/speed characteristic, HEV for example, imposes the use of the flux weakening control strategy. Thus a direct component of the armature current is in-
jected in the armature windings in order to weaken the excitation flux created by the permanent magnets. However, this control strategy has some drawbacks, e.g. the magnets may be forced to operate in the irreversible demagnetization region which could permanently demagnetize the magnets.

In Hybrid Excitation Flux Switching Synchronous Machine (HEFSSM), armature windings and permanent magnet configurations remain the same as those of the flux switching synchronous machine. However, additional ferromagnetic parts are added such as the excitation yoke above the DC excitation coils. Though this part reduces flux in the air gap created by PM, it permits to canalizing the excitation coils flux. Thus, when the excitation coils are excited by a DC current, an additional flux, named DC excitation flux, is generated and is used to control the total excitation flux in the air gap of the machine.

In order to explain more clearly the operating principle of the HEFSSM, a part of the equivalent linear model of the machine is used. Fig. 8 shows this model and the different parts that constitute the HEFSSM.

When excitation coils are not excited by current, the flux generated by the permanent magnets can be divided into two parts: a first part will pass through the air gap region (permanent magnet main flux path in Fig. 9) and contributes to the energy conversion thus to the torque production. The second part is mainly constituted by leakage flux. In fact, in Fig. 9, we can notice that a part of the permanent magnet flux pass through the excitation yoke region.

The principle of the hybrid excitation of the studied structure is to use the DC excitation coils in order to generate flux that will reduce or increase (depending on the direction of the current in the excitation coils) the permanent magnets excitation flux in the air gap region. Thus, when the excitation coils are excited by an excitation current, they create an excitation flux that can increase or reduce the total excitation flux in the machine (see Fig. 10).

In order to obtain an efficient control of the total exci-
tation flux in the air gap region of the machine, the fer-
romagnetic region located around the DC excitation coils
must be correctly designed in order to reduce the needed
excitation current to control the excitation flux and so to
reduce the copper losses created by the excitation coils.

![Fig. 10-b: Permanent magnet and excitation coils flux paths Negative excitation current (I_{exc}<0)](image)

### III. DRIVING CYCLE

In hybrid vehicle, the electric machine is sought in
many different ways as we can see in Fig. 1, which
represented the operating points for two sample cycles.
The first is called "urban" and the second "road". They
correspond to situations in response to a city traffic or
traffic on a road or highway. In this article, we use the
driving cycle (named NEDC driving cycle) obtained by
considering a vehicle using the classical New European
Driving Cycle “NEDC” that represents the typical usage
of a car in Europe (Fig. 11).

![Fig. 11: NEDC driving cycle - Normalized torque and Normalized Speed versus time](image)

The optimized design should allow to provide a ma-
chine structure minimizing two criteria that are contradic-
tory: average losses on the driving cycle and the maxi-
mum RMS current supplied by the voltage inverter [10].

### IV. MODELING ELEMENTS

For this part, we are inspired by HEFSSM presented in
[11] where authors have performed a parametric study in
order to optimize the performances of the HEFSSM. This
machine could produce the maximum torque of 210 Nm
and have a the maximum rotation speed of 20,000 rpm.
It’s external diameter of the stator is 264 mm and the
length of the laminations is 70 mm.

![Fig. 12: Improved design proposed by [11]](image)

### A. No-load flux

Fig. 13 shows the evolution of the total no load excita-
tion flux versus the angular position for different excita-
tion current densities. It is important to notice that the
generated excitation flux operates on both poles of the
machine (south and north poles) without introducing nei-
erth a distortion nor a direct component in the sinusoidal
wave form of the total excitation flux. This make the stu-
died structure classified in the bipolar hybrid excitation
machines [12].

![Fig. 13: Total excitation flux versus mechanical angle for different Excitation current densities](image)

The evolution of the maximum no load total excita-
tion flux evolution versus excitation current is presented in
Fig. 14. We can notice that the total no load excitation
flux reaches a maximum value (for a DC excitation cur-
rent density around 14A/mm²) then it decreases when the
excitation current increases. This is due to magnetic satu-
ratation phenomena in the stator of the HEFSSM.
**B. Torque**

In previous paragraph, we have seen that for DC current densities higher than 14 A/mm² the total no load flux is less than its maximum value. Nevertheless, in load operating cases it is important to notice that the average torque value still increases especially for high armature current density (> 30 A/mm²).

**C. Principle of computation of power losses**

In this section, the different power losses of the studied machine used for the NEDC driving cycle are presented. These losses are divided into two categories: copper losses and iron losses. Mechanical losses are not presented.

For each operating point of the driving cycle, the best command parameters are determined in order to reduce total power losses (copper losses plus iron losses). As so to find this optimal command, different solutions can be considered. One solution is to determine for each operating point, by using an optimization algorithm, the optimal command parameters: armature current density, excitation current density and command angle for the HEFSSM in order to minimize the total power losses for each operating point. Nevertheless, this solution requires executing many times 2D finite elements analysis (FEA) for each operating point (Ansys platform is used for simulation). Knowing that the studied machine is highly saturated and the number of operating point is very important (1180 operating points), this solution is too time consuming and is eliminated (the computing time exceed the 6 months). The adopted solution consists of characterizing, by FEA, the studied machine for all possible command parameters combination. For each command triplet, the copper losses, iron losses, EMF value, in order to verify the DC maximum voltage constraint, and torque average value are determined. Then an optimization process is achieved in order to determine the optimal command parameters for each operating point of the driving cycle. Before presenting the obtained total power losses, the different parts of the machine’s power losses are presented and the method of their computation is explained and discussed.

1) Copper losses

The copper losses are generated by the armature windings and are composed of a first part due to the current needed to reach the required torque, classically named the quadrature axis current component ($I_q$) in the Park transformation, and a second part due to the flux weakening current needed to reach the imposed speed and that is frequently used to reduce iron losses, classically named the direct axis current component ($I_d$) in the Park transformation. For the hybrid excitation an additional copper losses part exists and is due to the DC excitation current.

2) Iron losses

The second part of the total power losses is the iron losses. In order to compute this quantity, the 2D FEA is used to compute the evolution of the total flux density versus rotor angular position for each element of the mesh of the stator and the rotor. This flux density was divided into 2 axes ($B_r$ and $B_t$) and computed in on-load conditions. Thus iron losses are determined by using the equations given below [14]. The first term of the equation 1 depends on the frequency and represents the classical hysteresis iron losses. The second term is the eddy current iron losses and is proportional to the square of the frequency. These two parts of the iron losses are computed for each "i" mesh element of the machine. Finally, it is important to notice that the stator and rotor iron losses are computed separately while the flux density for these two parts of the studied machines does not have the same electric frequency.

$$L_{iron}_{i,\tau,\vartheta,\rho} = L_h + L_{ec}$$

$$L_h = \left( k_{h1} \Delta B_{ppi,\tau,\vartheta,\rho} + k_{h2} \Delta B_{ppi,\tau,\vartheta,\rho}^2 \right)$$

$$L_{ec} = \frac{1}{T_t} \frac{\Delta B_{i,\tau,\vartheta,\rho}}{\alpha_{ppi,\tau,\vartheta,\rho}} dt$$

Where: $k_{h1}$=5 (A m⁻¹), $k_{h2}$=50 (A m V⁻¹ s⁻¹) and $\alpha_{ppi,\tau,\vartheta,\rho}$=0.042 (A.m.V⁻¹) for 330-35 quality steel.

$\Delta B$: Peak to peak value of the flux density
$B_r$: Radial component of the flux density  
$B_T$: Ortho-radial component of the flux density

Once iron losses are computed, it is possible to obtain the distribution of total iron losses density for the studied machine (e.g. Fig. 16). Finally, by computing the average value in each mesh element and the total average value, it is possible to determine the total iron losses in the studied machine.

For the HEFSSM, the iron losses depend on the DC excitation current, in Fig.17, the evolution of these two parts of losses are shown versus DC excitation current for 4000 rpm speed value and an armature current density equal to 0 A/mm² and 25 A/mm².

We can notice that thanks to the added DC excitation current, the iron losses in the HEFSSM can be controlled and for an excitation current decreasing from 20 to 0 A/mm² it is possible to divide total iron losses by two times.

3) Summary of losses on a driving cycle

After presenting the models used to determine the torque and the different losses depending of control parameters, the values of optimal control parameters that minimizes the total of power losses are computed for each operating point of the NEDC driving cycle. In the following figures (18, 19, 20), the evolution of the different losses are shown.

---

Fig. 16: Iron power losses density (in W/kg) distribution in the rotor and the stator of the HEFSSM for N=4000 rpm, an armature current density equal to 30A/mm² and an excitation current density equal to 30A/mm².

Fig. 17: Eddy current and hysteresis iron losses for N=4000 rpm for different DC excitation current values and an armature current density equal to 0 and 25A/mm².

Fig. 18: Iron losses for each NEDC operating point.

Fig. 19: Torque for each NEDC operating point.

Fig. 20: Power losses for each NEDC operating point.
The average power losses are computed and are given below:
- Average losses $= 1915$ W
- Copper losses $= 955$ W
- ($q$ axis $= 450$ W; $d$ axis $= 65$ W; Exc. $= 440$ W)
- Iron losses $= 962$ W

V. OPTIMIZATION

In an optimization process of an electrical machine, i.e. its geometric and magnetic parameters, by taking into account a real driving cycle for a hybrid electrical engine, must consider the optimization of the control parameters of this machine and in the same time the size of the static converter (by considering its apparent power (S) or the RMS value of the windings current). Even tough, the computation speed of actual computers is very high such an optimization problem is not possible to achieve while it needs several months (may be years) in order to converge and to obtain the optimal solution.

In order to reduce the computation time, it is necessary to target the made calculations. For example, for determining the value of average torque, it is enough to make a dozen of FEA-2D simulations. Then in order to optimize the power losses on the driving cycle, it is necessary to choose judiciously enough current densities values to optimize computation time versus accuracy. In the case of the HEFSSM, the negative values of the excitation current density ($\delta_i$) are not needed because they are generating higher copper losses than the iron losses they are supposed to reduce. For the armature current used for the flux weakening ($\delta_f$), the maximum value is the value of the short circuit ($\delta_{sc}$) current density, which depends of the value of the excitation current density ($\delta_e$). To choose the maximum value of armature current for torque control ($\delta_{a}$), a discussion should be held with the designers of power electronics converter. Given that there is three control parameters for this electrical machine, the number of computation to be performed is equal to the cube of the number of the chosen control parameters. Currently, we study the influence of the value of discretization on the accuracy of the values of loss cycle, but a dozen of values seen a good compromise.

In order to further reduce the computation time, it is possible to simplify the used models for the optimization process. In fact, we can consider that the average torque is a function of $\delta_e$ and $\delta_q$ and that the iron losses are computed with load operating conditions and depend only of the excitation current density $\delta_e$ and the total armature current density $\delta'$.

For the determination of $\delta_{a}$, we can apply the following formula [10]:

$$\delta_{a} = \delta_{sc} \left(1 - \frac{N}{N_b}\right)$$

For $N > N_b$

With $N$: rotating speed
$N_b$: base point rotating speed (here 4000 rpm)

Finally, in order to accelerate the optimization process, the reduction of the number of points defining the operating cycle can be considered. We have seen that beyond about 500 points drawn randomly from the set of points defining the driving cycle, the values of average losses do not vary any more. Note that this solution provides a low gain in computing time, as we move from about 1000 to about 500 points. However, there are cycles defined by a number of points much more substantial, 10000 for example and dividing the number of used operating point by 20 will obviously improve the computation time.

For the geometric parameters to be used for the optimization process the seven parameters that was defined by the authors in [11] could be used and the value of the remanent induction of the magnets and the active length of the laminations may be added as optimization parameters.

Finally, in order to perform this optimization process...
in reduced time, different multi-objective algorithms will be used such as the evolutionary algorithms, Swam particle algorithms or variational methods using the theory of random numbers. It will be possible to obtain the optimized geometric and electromagnetic parameters of the electrical machine by considering two contradictory optimization objective such as the armature RMS value and the total power losses. The optimal machines will form a "Pareto front" [10] as we can see on the figure 21.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented the study of a hybrid excitation synchronous machine designed for hybrid electrical or full electrical vehicle application. This machine combines two excitation flux sources: permanent magnets and DC excitation coils that are situated in the stator and the rotor is fully passive. For the studied application, the considered driving cycle was presented and it was shown that the required performances are highly variables. We presented with details the Hybrid Excitation Synchronous Machine Flux Switching (HEFSSM) for which control parameters were optimized in order to optimize power losses for each operating point of the considered driving cycle. In [11], the authors have shown that this structure is potentially interesting for automotive applications. In deed the obtained power losses are relatively low and shows that this machine structure could be attractive for such an embedded application. Finally, the optimization process of the geometric and control parameters of this machine by taking into account driving cycles, total power losses and the sizing of the power electronics converters is discussed.
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