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We study the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) on complex networks, as a paradigmatic model for transport subject to excluded volume interactions. Building on TASEP phenomenology on a single segment and borrowing ideas from random networks we investigate the effect of connectivity on transport. In particular, we argue that the presence of disorder in the topology of vertices crucially modifies the transport features of a network: irregular networks involve homogeneous segments and have a bimodal distribution of edge densities, whereas regular networks are dominated by shocks leading to a unimodal density distribution. The proposed numerical approach of solving for mean-field transport on networks provides a general framework for studying TASEP on large networks, and is expected to generalize to other transport processes.
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Delivering matter, energy or information is a crucial requirement for the functioning of any complex system, ranging from the sub-cellular level of biological organisms to global spanning man-made structures. Transport is often organized along line-like pathways, which are in turn interconnected to form a network structure. In this perspective, diffusion along networks has been studied extensively (see, e.g., [1, 2]). On the other hand, interactions play a fundamental role in the transport properties of many systems: intracellular traffic of molecular motors on the cytoskeleton, pedestrian traffic on an ensemble of paths and traffic of information packages on the internet are but a few prominent examples. They lead to emergent collective phenomena, such as the appearance of jams.

The totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) is a paradigmatic model for one-dimensional non-equilibrium transport subject to excluded volume interactions: entities (“particles”) hop in a given direction, but cannot occupy the same place [3]. TASEP was initially introduced as a model for the kinetics of RNA polymerization by ribosomes [4], but has since then received much general interest, including from fundamental statistical physics [5] and mathematics [6]. Numerous generalizations have been developed and applied to various areas, such as the collective motion of motor proteins along cytoskeletal filaments, vehicular traffic, etc. [7, 8].

The collective behavior of exclusive transport on a network, however, is not well understood at this stage. A body of numerical work on TASEP-like models on networks, often with complex details, exists in the context of traffic [8], but less so on biological transport [9]. In terms of a paradigmatic analysis based on TASEP, knowledge is still limited to either simple topologies with at most two junctions [10, 11], or involves structureless links, e.g. in tree-like networks [12].

In this letter we address the question of how the topology of a network affects its TASEP transport characteristics. Combining concepts from the area of complex networks [1] with mean field (MF) methods for TASEP in the presence of junctions [11] we construct the global behavior from that of single segments. This allows us to rationalize many features of transport on large-scale random networks in terms of theoretical arguments, and furthermore leads to an algorithm to numerically solve the MF problem of TASEP transport on a large scale network. In particular we argue that irregularity, i.e. randomness in the vertex degrees, strongly modifies the transport properties of a network.

**TASEP on a network.** We generalize the TASEP transport rules [3, 4] to a closed network of $N_S$ directed segments and $N_V$ vertices or junctions. The segments consist of $L$ sites along which particles perform unidirectional random sequential hops subject to hard-core on-site exclusion. At the junctions, particles from $k_v^\text{in}$ incoming segments compete for occupying the same vertex site $v$, while a particle can leave the junction through one of $k_v^\text{out}$ outgoing segments with equal probability. Figure 1 serves as an illustration for a network with $M=30$ junctions. We write $\rho_v$ for the average occupancy of a vertex $v$ with $0 \leq \rho_v \leq 1$. Particle number conservation in the junction $v$ reads

$$\frac{\partial \rho_v}{\partial t} = \sum_{v' \rightarrow v} J_{(v',v)} - \sum_{v \rightarrow v''} J_{(v,v'')} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
the sums run over vertices \( v' \) identifying incoming segments \((v', v)\) and over vertices \( v''\) for outgoing segments \((v, v'')\).

We briefly review the behavior of an isolated segment linked to reservoirs, which we will build on. Its average density \( \rho \) and current \( J \) are known to be homogeneous, provided that segments are at least of moderate size, such that boundary effects remain small. Both are set by the entry rate \( \alpha \) and exit rate \( \beta \) [13]:

\[
\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha & \alpha \leq \beta, \alpha < 1/2 \quad \text{(LD)} \\
1 - \beta & \beta \leq \alpha, \beta < 1/2 \quad \text{(HD)} \\
1/2 & \alpha, \beta \geq 1/2 \quad \text{(MC)}
\end{cases}
\]

with the current given by the parabolic current-density relation

\[
J(\alpha, \beta) = \rho(\alpha, \beta) \left( 1 - \rho(\alpha, \beta) \right).
\]

These three homogeneous phases are high density (HD), low density (LD) and maximal current (MC), but for \( \alpha = \beta \) a non-homogeneous shock phase (SP) arises, for which LD and HD regions coexist on the same segment, separated by a diffusing domain wall [14].

**Mean-field theory and algorithm.** – We extend the MF analysis of [11] to large scale networks to establish an algorithm operating on the junction occupancies \( \rho_v \) as the only variables. To do so, we neglect correlations between neighbouring sites, as is usually done in MF [13]. Then the entry (exit) rate \( \alpha (\beta) \) for a segment are effective rates, which depend only on the occupancies of the adjacent vertices [11]:

\[
\alpha = \rho_v / k_v^\text{out} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1 - \rho_v / k_v^\text{out}.
\]

The entry rate \( \alpha \) is reduced according to the out-degree \( k_v^\text{out} \), since particles on vertex \( v' \) are distributed uniformly over all outgoing edges. Assuming homogeneous segments, the effective rates in (2) and (3) can be substituted into the continuity equation (1) to yield a closed set of \( N_V \) equations in the vertex occupancies:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_v}{\partial t} = \sum_{v' \rightarrow v} J \left( \frac{\rho_v}{k_v^\text{out}}, 1 - \rho_v \right) - \sum_{v' \leftarrow v} J \left( \rho_v, 1 - \rho_v / k_v^\text{out} \right),
\]

where the sums run over all vertices \( v' (v'') \) which are upstream (downstream) from \( v \). The microscopic dynamics lack particle-hole symmetry at the junctions, as is reflected in Eq. (5) by the factor \( 1/k_v^\text{out} \) in the entry rate.

The numerical MF algorithm consists of iteratively finding the stationary solution to (5), thereby achieving considerable computational advantage upon simulations since we only need to update the \( N_V \) junction occupancies \( \rho_v \). In the following we will study transport on random networks as model systems, complementing MF solutions of Eqs. (5) by explicit simulations. Throughout, we exploit the fact that macroscopic observables are self-averaging on these ensembles, as we have verified directly by analyzing different network instances.

**TASEP on a Bethe network.** – As an example of closed random graphs with regular topology we consider the directed Bethe network, drawn from the \( c \)-regular ensemble [17], in which all vertices have identical connectivity \( c = k^\text{in} = k^\text{out} \). The undirected Bethe network is well known in statistical mechanics from the study of, for example, spin models on graphs [16]. Figure 2 shows the average segment current \( J \) as a function of the overall density \( \rho \). The standard result for the current \( J(\rho) = \rho(1-\rho) \) is recovered for \( c=1 \), where the Bethe network reduces to a simple ring. As connectivity is increased, the overall current is reduced: this may appear counter-intuitive, but reflects the fact that vertices progressively become bottlenecks and block the flow of particles. More precisely, the current parabola is truncated at intermediate densities by a plateau-like region, which widens but lowers with connectivity \( c \). To interpret these results, we first give an explanation based on the phenomenology of TASEP on a line. To this end, we point out that an analytical solution to Eqs. (5) can be given for the Bethe network, since all \( N_V \) equations become identical due to equal vertex connectivities. Therefore the solution requires identical occupancies \( \rho_v \) for all vertices, from which segment currents and densities follow: all vertices, and therefore all segments, are equivalent. The transition from the LD to the HD phase appears when the effective rates (4) are equal \((\alpha = \beta)\), i.e. at \( \rho_v = c/(c+1) \). Using Eqs. (2-4) this leads to distinct regimes for the current, yielding the truncated parabola for the MF current-density relation:

\[
J(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{c}{(c+1)^2} & \text{for } \rho^* < \rho < 1 - \rho^* \\
\rho \rho(1 - \rho) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

with LD phases (for \( \rho < \rho^* \)), and HD phases (for \( \rho > 1 - \rho^* \)), where \( \rho^* = 1/(c+1) \). The plateau can be rationalized in terms of domain wall phenomenology [14], recalling that \( \alpha = \beta \) indicates a SP with a diffusing domain wall between LD and HD zones which coexist on the same segment. Since both zones have complementary densities \((\rho_{LD} = 1 - \rho_{HD})\), the
current is not affected as further particles are accommodated by growing the HD zones at the expense of the LD zones [14, 15].

These MF arguments capture the essential transport features well, as is shown by the simulation data on Fig.2 (and this remains true also for triangular and square lattices). Deviations arise, however, on the current plateau (where MF underestimates the current) and close to the transitions (where explicit simulations furthermore reveal the particle-hole asymmetry, which increases with connectivity $c$). The numerical MF algorithm does not provide a solution on the plateau, since the assumption of homogeneous segments does not hold, but it otherwise reproduces the theoretical results with great precision. In summary, despite its random nature, TASEP transport through a Bethe network may be understood in terms of a single effective vertex, similar to the Ising model on a Bethe lattice [16].

**TASEP on a Poissonian network.** – In order to explore the effect of irregularity, i.e. non-uniform vertex connectivity, we study TASEP on the Poissonian ensemble, closely related to the Erdős-Rényi ensemble [17]: any two vertices are connected with probability $c/N_V$, yielding an average connectivity $c$. In order to avoid artefacts we consider transport on the strongly connected component (SCC) of the Poissonian network, in which each vertex can be reached from all other vertices. We find the SCC using an algorithm developed by Tarjan [18]. For illustration we refer to Fig.1, which shows a particular realization of a Poissonian ensemble with $c = 1$, the SCC highlighted in bold.

We first comment on the transport characteristic $J(\rho)$ of TASEP transport on Poisson networks. Figure 3 shows the current $J$ (averaged over all segments) as a function of density $\rho$, for various connectivities $c$. The MF results are in excellent agreement with simulations, thereby validating the MF algorithm for disordered networks. The comparison to Bethe networks with identical connectivities $c$ shows that both networks carry the same current $J(\rho)$ at very low and very high densities. However, they behave very differently at intermediate densities. We observe that (i) currents in Poissonian networks are significantly lower than in the corresponding Bethe networks, (ii) even on the MF level, the current $J(\rho)$ no longer possesses particle-hole symmetry ($\rho \leftrightarrow 1 - \rho$), and (iii) the density at which the highest current is achieved lies below half-filling, and progressively reduces with connectivity $c$. The most striking difference, however, is the absence of a plateau in $J(\rho)$ for the Poissonian network. In contrast to Bethe networks, this suggests that no SP segments involving domain walls arise over any extended density range.

A finer understanding is obtained by analysing how the transport features of individual segments are distributed across the network. Consider first the distribution of segment densities, shown for a connectivity $c = 10$ and an overall density $\rho = 0.3$, see Fig.4(a). It is bimodal with peaks corresponding to segments carrying either a very low or a very high density. We are therefore dealing with two sub-networks of segments either in LD or HD phase, in contrast to the Bethe scenario where all segments are in SP at intermediate densities (single peak in red). Interestingly, the distribution of the segment currents remains unimodal for Poisson networks, Fig. 4(b), thus putting a similar load on all segments.

The bimodal density distribution is also the key to understanding how the network adjusts to higher overall densities, by successively switching individual segments from a LD to a HD phase. The inset in Fig.4(a), obtained for $\rho = 0.7$, shows that the typical densities of HD/LD segments are not significantly modified, whereas the proportion of the HD network grows at the expense of its LD counterpart as further particles are added. This is further documented by the fraction $n_{\text{HD}}$ of edges in HD (LD) phases, Fig. 4(c). For the Poissonian network $n_{\text{HD}}$ is roughly equal to the overall density $\rho$, thereby confirming that the change from LD to HD in irregular networks occurs progressively. This linear behavior of $n_{\text{HD}}$ is furthermore very robust with respect to variations of average connectivity $c$ (data not shown), implying that this picture remains valid for all connectivities $c$.

**Transport on highly connected networks.** – Significant insight into TASEP transport on general random networks can be gained from analyzing the (MF) high-connectivity limit. Ultimately all vertices constitute bottlenecks, taking their occupancy close to saturation. Therefore we expand the MF Eqs. (5), posing $\rho_v = 1 - r_v/c$, with $r_v \sim O(1)$. The distribution of individual segment densities $\rho_s$ then becomes [19]

$$W_S(\rho_s) = \sum_k \frac{p_{\text{deg}}(k)}{c} \int dr W_V(r) \delta(\rho_s - r)$$

where $p_{\text{deg}}(k) / c$ represents the degree distribution of vertices when selecting a random segment, $W_V(r)$ is the distribution of $r$, which follows from the MF Eqs. (5). The high

![Diagram](image-url)
works of connectivity lines are mean-field predictions. (a) Distribution of segment densities to a Bethe network (dashed red). Symbols show simulation results, collectively fluctuations in the shock phase, closed markers correspond to a delta peak is very slow in simulations due to convergence of the density peak to a delta peak is very slow in simulations due to collective fluctuations in the shock phase. (b) Distribution of segment currents $W(J_s)$ for $\rho = 0.3$. (c) Fraction of segments in high ($n_{HD}$) and low ($n_{LD}$) density segments as a function of the overall density $\rho$.

(3) density value $\rho_+ (\rho_-)$ are set by the vertex saturation parameter $r/c$ relative to the vertex degree $k$, as

$$\rho_{\pm} = \begin{cases} \rho_- = 1/k & \text{if } r/c > 1/k \\ \rho_+ = 1 - r/c & \text{if } r/c < 1/k \end{cases}.$$  

This shows that the bimodal distribution $W_S$ in the segment densities, with a fraction of segments in LD and a fraction in HD, is a general feature for complex irregular networks. This is particularly well illustrated in the strong connectivity limit $c \to \infty$, where $W_S(\rho_s)$ reduces to

$$W_S(\rho_s) = (1 - \rho) \delta(\rho_s) + \rho \delta(1 - \rho_s).$$

The prefactors of the $\delta$ functions explain the linear behavior of $n_{HD}$, and our above interpretation for Poissonian networks hence generalizes to general irregular random networks.

Conclusions and Outlook. – TASEP transport on closed random networks has been shown to lead to very different scenarios for regular and irregular topologies. Despite the minimal character of TASEP, there may be direct implications: the presence of bimodality, which we have shown to be robust, in biological tracer experiments would make our findings directly useful for their interpretation. But our results also raise interesting questions, such as the interplay of biological transport and crowding, and their possible regulation by the cytoskeletal network.

An important result of our study is that MF arguments lead to very good predictions for the global transport properties of closed networks, and provides a framework for their interpretation. Moreover, our numerical MF method gives access to system sizes currently beyond the reach of simulations. Generalizations of the approach to open random networks and other network topologies seem straightforward. In addition, we may also expect it to generalize to other transport processes, as long as the behavior of an individual segment is known and boundary-controlled, i.e. determined by the occupancy of the junctions it connects to.
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