



**HAL**  
open science

## **A British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition survey of the effectiveness and safety of adalimumab in children with inflammatory bowel disease**

Richard Kay Russell, Michelle L Wilson, Sabarinathan Loganathan, Billy Bourke, Fevrionia Kiparissi, Franco Torrente, Gamal Mahdi, Astor Rodrigues, Adrian Thomas, Anthony Kwaku Akobeng, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Richard Kay Russell, Michelle L Wilson, Sabarinathan Loganathan, Billy Bourke, Fevrionia Kiparissi, et al.. A British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition survey of the effectiveness and safety of adalimumab in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 2011, 33 (8), pp.946. 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04603.x . hal-00616295

**HAL Id: hal-00616295**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00616295>**

Submitted on 22 Aug 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**A British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology  
and Nutrition survey of the effectiveness and safety of  
adalimumab in children with inflammatory bowel disease**

Journal:	<i>Alimentary Pharmacology &amp; Therapeutics</i>
Manuscript ID:	APT-1095-2010.R2
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Scientific Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Jan-2011
Complete List of Authors:	Russell, Richard; Yorkhill Hospital, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology Wilson, Michelle; University of Edinburgh, Child Life and Health Loganathan, Sabarinathan; Royal Aberdeen Children's Hospital, Department of Medical Paediatrics Bourke, Billy; Our Lady's Children's Hospital Crumlin, Children's Research Centre Kiparissi, Fevrionia; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Institute of Child Health Torrente, Franco; Addenbrookes Hospital Mahdi, Gamal; Dalhousie University Rodrigues, Astor; Oxford Children's Hospitals, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology Thomas, Adrian; Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology Akobeng, Anthony; Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology Fagbemi, Andrew; Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology Hyer, Warren; Northwick Park and St Mark's Hospital Spray, Christine; Bristol Royal Hospital for Children McGrogan, Paraic; Yorkhill Hospital Heuschkel, Rob; Addenbrookes Hospital Ayub, Naeem; Royal Shrewsbury Hospital Fell, John; Chelsea and Westminster Hospital Afzal, Nadeem; Southampton University Green, Michael; Leicester Royal Infirmary Murphy, Stephen; Birmingham Children, Institute of Child Health Rao, Prithviraj; The General Infirmary at Leeds Shah, Neil; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Paediatric Urology Department Ho, Gwo-Tzer; Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine Naik, Sandhia; Bart's and the London Children's Hospital Wilson, David; University of Edinburgh, Child life and Health
Keywords:	Inflammatory bowel disease < Disease-based, Ulcerative colitis <

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	Disease-based, Biologics (IBD) < Topics, Paediatric gastroenterology < Topics

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

A British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition survey of the effectiveness and safety of adalimumab in children with inflammatory bowel disease

Richard K. Russell<sup>1</sup>, Michelle L. Wilson<sup>2</sup>, Sabarinathan Loganathan<sup>3,10</sup>, Billy Bourke<sup>4</sup>, Fevrionia Kiparissi<sup>5</sup>, Gamal Mahdi<sup>3,6</sup>, Franco Torrente<sup>7</sup>, Astor Rodrigues<sup>8</sup>, Ieuan Davies<sup>9</sup>, Adrian Thomas<sup>10</sup>, Anthony K. Akobeng<sup>10</sup>, Andrew Fagbemi<sup>10</sup>, Warren Hyer<sup>11</sup>, Christine Spray<sup>12</sup>, Shashi Vaish<sup>4</sup>, Rogers P<sup>13</sup>, McGrogan P<sup>1</sup>, Rob B. Heuschkel<sup>7</sup>, Naeem Ayub<sup>14</sup>, John M. Fell<sup>15</sup>, Nadeem A. Afzal<sup>16</sup>, Michael Green<sup>17</sup>, M. Stephen Murphy<sup>18</sup>, Prithviraj Rao<sup>19</sup>, Neil Shah<sup>5</sup>, Gwo-Tzer Ho<sup>20</sup>, Sandhia Naik,<sup>21</sup> David C. Wilson.<sup>2,13</sup>

1. Yorkhill Hospital, Glasgow.
2. University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
3. Royal Aberdeen Children's Hospital, Aberdeen.
4. Our Lady's Children's Hospital Crumlin, Dublin.
5. Great Ormond Street Hospital, London.
6. Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia.
7. Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge
8. Oxford Children's Hospitals, Oxford
9. University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff
10. Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester.
11. Northwick Park and St Mark's Hospital, Middlesex.
12. Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol.
13. Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Edinburgh.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 14. Royal Shrewsbury Hospital, Shrewsbury
- 5
- 6 15. Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London.
- 7
- 8 16. Southampton University, Southampton.
- 9
- 10 17. Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester.
- 11
- 12 18. University of Birmingham, Birmingham.
- 13
- 14 19. The General Infirmary at Leeds, Leeds
- 15
- 16 20. Western General Hospital, Edinburgh.
- 17
- 18 21. Bart's and the London Children's Hospital, London
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

Corresponding Author: Dr Richard K. Russell,  
Consultant Paediatric Gastroenterologist and  
Honorary Clinical Senior Lecturer,  
Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology,  
Yorkhill Hospital,  
Dalnair Street, Glasgow G3 8SJ.  
E-mail: richardrussell@nhs.net  
Tel: 0141 201 0313  
Fax: 0141 201 0303

Keywords: Crohn's disease, adalimumab, Children.

1  
2  
3 **Background:** Adalimumab is efficacious therapy for adults with Crohn's disease  
4  
5  
6 (CD).

7  
8 **Aim:** To summarise the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland paediatric  
9  
10 adalimumab experience.

11  
12 **Methods:** British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition  
13  
14 (BSPGHAN) members with Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) patients <18 years  
15  
16 old commencing adalimumab with at least 4 weeks follow up. Patient demographics  
17  
18 and details of treatment were then collected. Response and remission was assessed  
19  
20 using the Paediatric Crohn's Disease Activity Index (PCDAI) /Physicians Global  
21  
22 Assessment (PGA).  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 **Results:** 72 patients (70 CD, 1 Ulcerative Colitis (UC), 1 IBD Unclassified (IBDU))  
28  
29 from 19 paediatric-centres received adalimumab at a median age of 14.8 (IQR 3.1,  
30  
31 range 6.1-17.8) years; 66/70 CD (94%) had previously received infliximab. A dose of  
32  
33 80mg then 40mg was used for induction in 41(59%) and 40mg fortnightly for  
34  
35 maintenance in 61 (90%). Remission rates were 24%, 58% and 41% at 1, 6 and 12  
36  
37 months respectively. Overall 43 (61%) went into remission at some point, with 24  
38  
39 (35%) requiring escalation of therapy. Remission rates were higher in those on  
40  
41 concomitant immunosuppression cf. those not on immunosuppression [34/46 (74%)  
42  
43 vs. 9/24 (37%) respectively ( $X^2=8.8$ ,  $p=0.003$ )]. There were 15 adverse events (21%)  
44  
45 including 4 (6%) serious adverse events with 2 sepsis related deaths in patients who  
46  
47 were also on immunosuppression and home parenteral nutrition (3% mortality rate).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 **Conclusions:** Adalimumab is useful in treatment refractory paediatric patients with a  
53  
54 remission rate of 61%. This treatment benefit should be balanced against side effects,  
55  
56 including in this study a 3% mortality rate.  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

The incidence of Crohn's disease (CD) in children continues to rise in the UK and throughout the world.<sup>1-3</sup> Despite early-onset CD being more extensive at diagnosis than adult-onset CD, and with more dynamic progression in location in the first years after diagnosis,<sup>4</sup> the evidence for effective treatment strategies in these children remains limited.<sup>5:6</sup> Initial induction of disease remission in UK practice is most commonly attempted with a course of exclusive enteral nutrition with steroids reserved for non-responders.<sup>7</sup> Disease relapse after induction of remission occurs frequently, requiring maintenance therapy with an immunomodulator, usually thiopurines initially.<sup>8</sup> In a large Scottish cohort, 50% of children needed immunosuppression within 1 year of diagnosis while more than 80% had received immunosuppression within 5 years of diagnosis.<sup>4</sup> Thiopurines are most commonly used as initial maintenance of remission treatment with Methotrexate remaining a useful alternative for patients who are intolerant/resistant to thiopurines.<sup>9</sup> Infliximab (IFX) is a chimeric anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) monoclonal antibody treatment that is useful for both induction and maintenance of remission in children.<sup>10</sup> In line with adult studies, IFX use in UK children is predominantly as an adjuvant to other forms of immunosuppression.<sup>11</sup> It is also used in a small number of patients as a long term maintenance treatment in patients who are refractory or intolerant to other treatments and in whom more extensive surgery is not a viable option. IFX treatment allows catch up growth and reduces steroid use in paediatric patients with CD.<sup>12-14</sup>

1  
2  
3 Adalimumab (Humira®, Abbott UK) is a humanised anti-TNF therapy that has been  
4  
5 shown to be efficacious for induction and maintenance of remission for adults with  
6  
7 Crohn's disease.<sup>15;16</sup> Adalimumab is used primarily in clinical practice for patients  
8  
9 who are primary anti-TNF responders, but become intolerant or non responsive to  
10  
11 IFX.<sup>17-19</sup> Adalimumab is not currently licensed for use in paediatric IBD patients. The  
12  
13 published experience to date of adalimumab in children with the exception of one  
14  
15 large retrospective study<sup>20</sup> is limited mostly to case reports<sup>21-24</sup> and small clinical case  
16  
17 series<sup>25-28</sup>. However it is increasingly clear that adalimumab is used widely in  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

In addition to limited information regarding paediatric efficacy there are continuing safety concerns around anti-TNF use, including that of adalimumab. Clinical experience outside the trial setting in adults has been mixed with reports of severe infective complications, malignancy and even death.<sup>18;29</sup> Specific concerns remain about the development of fatal Hepatosplenic T-cell lymphomas in young males with CD treated with thiopurines, infliximab or adalimumab.<sup>30-32</sup>

Evidence is awaited from prospective international clinical trials on the efficacy and safety of adalimumab in children with CD (in progress trials listed at clinical trials.gov). Here, we report our national experience on the indications and use of adalimumab in a survey of paediatric gastroenterology units across the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland.

## Materials and Methods

All members of the British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (BSPGHAN) were approached by e-mail and invited to take part in the study during the summer of 2008 with a further follow up call for additional cases in early 2009. Members were asked to identify any Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) patients aged <18 years of age at the time of commencing adalimumab in a paediatric centre with at least 4 weeks of follow up. Members who agreed to take part were sent a proforma to collect a standard dataset from case notes retrospectively (full proforma available on request). Data collected included; patient demographics, drug treatments (including previous IFX use) and details of any prior surgery. As an audit of routinely held clinical data, the Lothian Research Ethics Committee confirmed that informed consent from patients/families was not needed.

Specific details on adalimumab use collected included; dosing schedule, need for dose escalation and documented adverse effects. Adalimumab effect was assessed using the Paediatric Crohn's Disease Activity Index (PCDAI) where available or Physicians Global Assessment (PGA) when PCDAI was not available.<sup>33</sup> Standard definitions were used for PCDAI disease status and response (remission, PCDAI  $\leq 10$ ) and significant response in PCDAI was a decrease  $\geq 12.5$ .<sup>33</sup> PGA of clinical status in terms of response to induction doses of adalimumab was defined as "remission", "steroid-free remission", "response without remission" or "no response". Response to adalimumab was recorded at 1, 6 and 12 months and at study end. The study end point was defined as the last paediatric follow up visit (i.e. when seen in clinic last at data

1  
2  
3 accrual or before transition to adult services, before transfer out of region, or prior to  
4 death). CD was diagnosed using standard criteria.<sup>34;35</sup> Disease location and behaviour  
5  
6 at the time of commencing adalimumab treatment were defined using the Montreal  
7  
8 classification.<sup>36</sup> Some data relating to 11 patients has been published previously as  
9  
10 part of a Scottish adalimumab audit involving both children and adults.<sup>37</sup>  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Two authors (RKR and DCW) reviewed the proformas from all centres for  
18  
19 completeness and sense checking, and clarified missing or possibly incorrect data in  
20  
21 all cases. One author (MLW) entered all data using double data entry to a dedicated  
22  
23 database. Two authors (MLW, DCW) performed all statistical analyses.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### 29 Statistics

30  
31 Continuous parametric data are presented as mean (standard deviation) and non-  
32  
33 parametric data as a median followed by (range, interquartile range). Categorical data  
34  
35 are presented as number of cases with percentage of total cases (%). Chi-squared  
36  
37 analysis was used to compare frequencies and  $p < 0.05$  was considered significant.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Results

A total of 19 centres returned at least one case or more (14 Manchester, 10 Edinburgh, 8 Dublin, 7 GOSH, 6 Bart's and the London, 5 Glasgow, 4 Dundee and Royal Free, 3 Oxford, 2 Cardiff), totalling 72 patients. Of these 70 had CD (47(67%) males), 1 Ulcerative Colitis (UC) and one Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unclassified (IBDU). Results are given below for patients with CD, while patients with IBDU and UC are described individually at the end of the results section. The median age at CD diagnosis was 10.5 years (3.6, 2.8-15.7), with a median age at start of adalimumab of 14.8 years (3.1, 6.1-17.8) with a median of 4.3 years (3.7, 0.1-10.5) duration from CD diagnosis to starting treatment. At start of adalimumab treatment the commonest disease location was L3 + L4 in 24 (34.3%) and behaviour type B1 37 (52.9%) with 29 (41%) having perianal disease.

## Surgery

A total of 26 patients (37%) had 47 surgical procedures before or during the study period. Prior to adalimumab, 18 had surgery at a median (IQR, range) of 2.4 (1.7, 0.7-6.4) years post diagnosis. Eight patients required surgery at a median of 0.6 (0.4, 0.3-1.9) years after adalimumab commencement, with 5 requiring more than 1 procedure.

## Previous treatments

In terms of previous treatment 86% had at least one previous course of exclusive enteral nutrition, 90% at least one course of steroids (60% steroid dependent with inability to wean despite 3 months continuous treatment, 25% steroid-resistant and 27% having unacceptable steroid side effects) , 91% had prior thiopurines (azathioprine and/or 6-mercaptopurine; with 31% being resistant, 11% intolerant and

1  
2  
3 63% developing loss of response) and 49% had prior methotrexate usage (with 15%  
4 being resistant, 12% intolerant and 50% developing loss of response. Sixty-six (94%)  
5 had prior infliximab usage, with 12 primary non-responders, 33 with loss of response,  
6 3 a long drug holiday and 26 an allergic reaction necessitating discontinuation. The  
7 median (range) number of infliximab doses was 5 (1-27) with 17 (26%) had required  
8 a change in their dosing schedule. Two patients with juvenile arthritis developed CD  
9 whilst their arthritis was in remission on etanercept and were then changed to  
10 adalimumab therapy. Significant other therapies at adalimumab start included  
11 filgrastim (recombinant human G-CSF), parenteral nutrition, mycophenolate mofetil,  
12 sirolimus, thalidomide and basalixumab in 1 patient each.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 Adalimumab

#### 31 Baseline data

32  
33  
34 The induction dose of adalimumab used was 160mg then 80mg in 3 patients (4%),  
35 80/40 in 41 patients (59%), 24mg/m<sup>2</sup> in 16 patients (23%) and 10 received other  
36 dosing regimens (14%). At baseline 1 patient was in remission. There were 26 (37%)  
37 patients on steroids when adalimumab was started and 46 (66%) on  
38 immunosuppression of whom 27 were on a thiopurine and 19 on methotrexate.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 Remission (Table 1)

47  
48 The number of patients in remission at 4 weeks, 6 and 12 months after starting  
49 therapy out of the total number assessed at each time point is listed in table 1.  
50  
51 Forty-three of 70 (61%) achieved remission on adalimumab at any point in the study  
52 period after a median of 0.2 (0.3, 0.04- 0.76) years and after a median of 6 (6.5, 2-23)  
53 doses of adalimumab. Of the 50 patients (71%) who either entered remission (n=43,  
54 61%) or had a significant clinical response (n=7) 15 had a subsequent loss of response  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 on maintenance adalimumab therapy after a median (IQR, range) of 17 (12, 7-40)  
4  
5 adalimumab doses and at a median duration of 0.4 (0.4, 0.1-1.2) years.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Of the 46 patients taking concomitant immunosuppression at the start of the study a  
11  
12 significantly higher number achieved remission compared to those with no  
13  
14 concomitant therapy [34/46 (74%) vs. 9/24 (37%) respectively ( $X^2$ 8.8,  $p=0.003$ )].  
15  
16  
17 Thirteen received adalimumab within 2 years of CD diagnosis but were no more  
18  
19 likely to achieve remission than those commencing adalimumab later in their course  
20  
21 (p=0.53).  
22  
23

#### 24 PCDAI

25  
26 A PCDAI score was available for 48 patients at baseline with remission in 1, mild CD  
27  
28 in 16 and moderate-severe CD in 31. The median PCDAI was 37.5 (20, 7.5-65) at  
29  
30 baseline. Forty-two of the 48 who had a PCDAI at baseline also had one calculated at  
31  
32 4 weeks, with a median score of 15 (12.5, 0-45) and with 10 (24%) being in  
33  
34 remission, 24 (57%) mild CD activity and 8 (19%) moderate-severe CD activity; 25  
35  
36 of 42 (60%) had a significant ( $\geq 12.5$ ) change in PCDAI score.  
37  
38  
39

#### 40 Maintenance therapy and dosing changes during adalimumab treatment

41  
42 Sixty-eight (97%) CD patients went on to maintenance adalimumab therapy, of which  
43  
44 66 started on fortnightly therapy and 2 on weekly therapy, with an initial dose of  
45  
46 80mg in 1 patient (1%), 40mg in 61 patients (90%), 24 mg/m<sup>2</sup> in 3 patients (4%) and  
47  
48 other dosing regimens in 3 patients (4%). Twenty-four (35%) required dose escalation  
49  
50 of which 4 required an increased dose only, 17 the dosing interval shortened from  
51  
52 fortnightly to weekly only, and 3 had both changes made. There was no influence of  
53  
54 concomitant immunosuppressive usage on need for dose escalation (p=0.9). Nineteen  
55  
56 of these 24 had dose escalation within 12 months of starting adalimumab. Five of  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 these 24 patients then had reversal of escalation at a later stage, with 4 returning to  
4 fortnightly dosing and 1 also having a reduction of adalimumab dose. 8 patients with  
5  
6 incomplete response had had maintenance therapy with dose escalation; of these 4  
7  
8 achieved remission and 4 did not. 5 patients with no response at all had maintenance  
9  
10 therapy with dose escalation; 2 of these achieved remission and 3 did not.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 Study outcomes

19  
20 The median number of adalimumab doses given was 19 (22, 3-103). A total of 1662  
21  
22 doses were given in the 70 CD patients. These 70 CD patients were followed up for a  
23  
24 median duration of 0.8 (0.8, 0.1-2.8) years with a total of 72.5 years of patient follow  
25  
26 up. At study end, 22 had discontinued Adalimumab (primary non-response in 12, loss  
27  
28 of effect in 6, a long drug holiday in 2 and allergic reaction in 2), 2 had died whilst  
29  
30 receiving adalimumab and 46 were alive and receiving ongoing maintenance  
31  
32 adalimumab therapy.  
33  
34  
35

### 36 UC and IBDU

37  
38 There were 2 patients both from one centre who responded to adalimumab for UC and  
39  
40 IBDU having both lost response to infliximab and having failed/been intolerant to  
41  
42 thiopurines previously.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 Side effects/safety

50  
51 There were 4 serious adverse events (SAE rate of 6%) including 2 deaths (mortality  
52  
53 rate of 3%) in this cohort of 72 patients. The 2 deaths in the cohort were both from  
54  
55 central venous catheter (CVC) sepsis leading to septic shock in patients requiring  
56  
57 home parenteral nutrition and receiving multiple immunosuppressive therapies.  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The first was a boy who developed panenteric CD at 8.4 years of age who had  
4 thiopurine intolerance, methotrexate resistance and loss of response of infliximab  
5 despite dose alteration. He was receiving overnight supplementary enteral nutrition  
6 via gastrostomy tube and methotrexate therapy when also given 24mg/m<sup>2</sup> adalimumab  
7 at 15.5 years of age. Five days after starting adalimumab he had a CVC inserted and  
8 parenteral nutrition started. He achieved clinical remission after 7 doses (40mg  
9 fortnightly maintenance) in total but died seven months later after 20 doses of  
10 adalimumab plus ongoing methotrexate, due to coagulase-negative staphylococcal  
11 CVC sepsis. This escalated to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, which in turn led to  
12 septic shock and multi-system organ failure. Death was not considered as a likely  
13 outcome by his clinical team prior to the development of septic shock.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 The second death was of a boy who developed ileo-colonic and perianal CD at 5.2  
33 years of age complicated by stricturing disease. This was despite high dose steroids,  
34 azathioprine (with loss of effect), and sigmoid colostomy with mucous fistula  
35 formation at 6.4 years of age. He developed severe pelvic and perianal CD and  
36 proceeded to trials of filgrastim and infliximab (loss of response). He received  
37 40mg/40mg induction dosing at 12.8 years of age when he was receiving azathioprine  
38 and filgrastim for severe CD with extensive perianal disease, growth and pubertal  
39 delay, and peristomal Pyoderma gangrenosum. Extensive investigations had shown  
40 no evidence of underlying immunodeficiency. He was commenced on home  
41 parenteral nutrition via CVC. He had a clinical response to adalimumab and  
42 proceeded to maintenance at 40mg fortnightly. He never achieved remission and died  
43 at age 13.5 years after 19 doses of adalimumab due to combined E. coli and Candida  
44 CVC sepsis. He developed septic shock and multi-system organ failure whilst  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 receiving adalimumab, azathioprine, filgrastim and hyperbaric oxygen. In contrast  
4  
5 with the first case, death was considered as a possible outcome by his clinical team  
6  
7 due to the severe and refractory disease with extensive skin and tissue loss.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 There was also one severe case of *Clostridium Difficile* needing hospitalisation, and  
13  
14 one development of a stomal abscess with subsequent fistulisation and requiring  
15  
16 prolonged hospitalisation. Other adverse events included 30 (43%) experiencing pain  
17  
18 at the injection site, none of whom stopped treatment. A further 11 (16%) patients  
19  
20 suffered minor adverse events that were not related to injection site pain – worsening  
21  
22 colitis in 2, neutropaenia and lymphopaenia in 2, and 1 each of multisystem upset  
23  
24 (dyspnoea, fatigue, arthritis), transient visual loss, nausea with pain, respiratory viral  
25  
26 illness, stomal bleeding, rash and paronychia.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Adalimumab was discontinued because of side effects in 8 (11%) of patients because  
35  
36 of pyrexia in 2, sepsis in 4, rash in 1 and worsening diarrhoea in 1; 4 out of 8 (50%)  
37  
38 required permanent discontinuation.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Discussion

This study has demonstrated that adalimumab is effective in treating children with otherwise treatment-resistant CD. In total 61% of patients achieved remission, but the time taken to reach remission was longer than that seen in prospective adult studies, particularly clinical trials.<sup>15</sup> Serious adverse events occurred in 6% of cases, with a mortality rate of 3%, the latter reflecting the severe disease spectrum of some children in this cohort.

Although there is a recognised morbidity and mortality rate in adult clinical studies, the combined mortality rate in clinical trials of adalimumab has not been reported to be higher than that expected of patients with CD overall.<sup>38</sup> However, deaths in young adults have been reported outside of clinical trials.<sup>37</sup> With the exception of this study, there have been no reported deaths in short term follow up of paediatric adalimumab studies (all studies summarised in table 2). The other published paediatric studies have reported no increased safety concerns with adalimumab, but of note all except one have been smaller than the present study and the length of follow up to describe these potential complications has been relatively short. Both fatalities in this study occurred as a result of overwhelming sepsis, also reported in adult studies to be the most significant and serious side effect of patients treated with adalimumab.<sup>37,38</sup> The specific complication of Aspergillosis and death on adalimumab has also been reported by other groups.<sup>39</sup> A French group have recently reported 2 deaths in long-term follow up of paediatric patients, one as a result of colonic adenocarcinoma and the second due to multi-organ failure secondary to dehydration in a patient with a stoma. In contrast to the present study, these were both recorded many years (both >6

1  
2  
3 years) after the last dose of anti-TNF therapy.<sup>40</sup> Children on a combination of  
4  
5 adalimumab, multiple immunosuppressants and parenteral nutrition via a central line  
6  
7 clearly have the most severe forms of CD. As such patients on a combination of  
8  
9 adalimumab, other immunosuppressants and parenteral nutrition given via a central  
10  
11 line represent an extremely high risk group. Whilst this combination of treatment  
12  
13 should be avoided if at all possible, we recommend early and aggressive treatment of  
14  
15 sepsis and also that appropriate prophylaxis in this group of patients should be  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Another significant concern is the risk of malignancy especially lymphoma in  
paediatric patients treated with Adalimumab and other anti-TNF agents.<sup>31;32</sup> No such  
events were recorded in this study but the relatively small study population (n=72),  
the short length of total follow up (total 74.8 years in all 72 cases) and the rarity of  
this complication limit our ability to detect such complications in this study cohort.  
The role of concurrent immunosuppression with thiopurines or methotrexate in most  
cases makes the association with anti-TNF therapy unclear; this remains an area of  
intense scrutiny, particularly following further reports of malignancies in paediatric  
rheumatology studies using anti-TNF agents.<sup>41</sup>

Adalimumab studies in adults are now demonstrating longer term clinical benefits  
over years,<sup>42</sup> but as shown in previous studies, a significant number of patients lose  
response to adalimumab over time, requiring either dose increase or reduction in  
dosing interval<sup>20;43</sup> Adalimumab studies in adult patients with CD suggested a longer  
delay to requiring treatment escalation in patients who received concomitant  
immunosuppression.<sup>44</sup> The need for treatment escalation in this study was not affected

1  
2  
3 by co-immunosuppression. However, concomitant use of immunosuppressants did  
4  
5 significantly improve the chances of attaining initial remission on adalimumab  
6  
7 therapy. By contrast, the other large paediatric study noted that clinical response rate  
8  
9 was significantly *lower* with co-immunosuppression at 6 months into therapy.<sup>20</sup>  
10  
11 Adalimumab studies in adults with CD have not demonstrated a difference in initial  
12  
13 response with co-immunosuppression but have in some suggested a longer time to  
14  
15 treatment escalation in patients who are co-immunosuppressed.<sup>44</sup> Recent adult  
16  
17 infliximab studies have supported the use of concurrent immunosuppression in the  
18  
19 context of maintenance therapy with IFX.<sup>11;45</sup> Interestingly, the paediatric  
20  
21 rheumatology trial of adalimumab (with or without methotrexate), resulted in  
22  
23 significantly higher levels of adalimumab antibodies (26% vs. 6%) in the group not  
24  
25 given methotrexate, although this was not associated with different clinical outcomes  
26  
27 in the short term.<sup>46</sup> This issue can only appropriately be addressed by prospective  
28  
29 studies randomising children to either monotherapy or therapy with co-  
30  
31 immunosuppression.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 At present there are no published paediatric studies that adequately demonstrate the  
42  
43 optimal dosing of adalimumab for paediatric patients with CD, either for the induction  
44  
45 or maintenance of remission. Doses used in paediatric practice are therefore  
46  
47 extrapolated from relevant adult studies,<sup>15;47</sup> from paediatric rheumatology studies<sup>46</sup>  
48  
49 or from retrospective paediatric cases series (listed in table 2). Doses used in this  
50  
51 retrospective study were heterogeneous, and hence it is difficult to draw any firm  
52  
53 conclusions about optimal dosing. However, it may in part explain our longer time to  
54  
55 treatment response, as a number of patients did not receive larger induction dosing  
56  
57 before moving onto maintenance therapy. Although we found that time from  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 diagnosis did not influence response to adalimumab the number of patients in our  
4  
5 study treated within 2 years of diagnosis was small (n=13). Time from diagnosis was  
6  
7 demonstrated to be important in the pivotal paediatric infliximab trial compared to the  
8  
9 corresponding adult study,<sup>10;48</sup> but not the North American retrospective paediatric  
10  
11 adalimumab study nor in our study.<sup>20</sup>  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17  
18 In summary, we have demonstrated that adalimumab can be an effective treatment for  
19  
20 paediatric CD patients unresponsive, intolerant to or with loss of effect to other  
21  
22 therapies, including infliximab. This case series shows the high risk that patients are  
23  
24 exposed to on immunosuppression, particularly on some combinations of therapies.  
25  
26 The study has also highlighted the need for prospective monitoring of these patients  
27  
28 on a national and international basis via biological registries to most accurately  
29  
30 contextualise the risk-benefit balance of adalimumab in children and young people  
31  
32 with IBD, and allow seamless long term followup as transition to adult services  
33  
34 occurs.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 Conflict of interest: RKR has received speaker's fees, travel support, or participated in  
7  
8 medical board meetings with MSD Immunology, Abbott, Dr Falk, and Ferring  
9  
10 Pharmaceuticals. PM has received speaker's fees from Nestle Nutrition. DCW has  
11  
12 received speaker's fees, travel support, or participated in medical board meetings with  
13  
14 MSD Immunology, Abbott Laboratories, Dr Falk, Warner Chilcott UK and Ferring  
15  
16 Pharmaceuticals. GH has received support to attend academic meetings from Abbott,  
17  
18 Schering-Plough, Shire and Proctor and Gamble, honoraria from Abbott, Shire,  
19  
20 Otsuka Pharmaceuticals and Astra Zeneca for presentations at academic meetings and  
21  
22 an educational grant from Shire. AR has received course fees and travel bursaries  
23  
24 from Mead Johnson Nutritionals. MSM currently holds IBD research funding from  
25  
26 Centocor and SHS International Ltd. ID has received course fees and travel  
27  
28 sponsorship from Nutricia and Pfizer & speaker's fees from Astra Zeneca.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Acknowledgements: We would like to thank all BSPGHAN members who took the  
37  
38 time to contribute to this study, as well as all the paediatric and adult surgeons who  
39  
40 were involved in the care of these children. DCW and RKR have received support  
41  
42 from a Medical Research Council (MRC) patient research cohorts initiative grant  
43  
44 (G0800675) for PICTS. MLW created the database and performed all analyses with  
45  
46 support of CICRA (BSPGHAN quality initiative in biological agent usage for  
47  
48 paediatric IBD grant 2010-2011). DCW/MLW have also received support from the  
49  
50 GI-Nutrition Research Fund, University of Edinburgh. GH has a current MRC  
51  
52 Clinician Scientist award.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1 – Efficacy of Adalimumab at various time points

	Baseline (n=70)	4 weeks (n=70)	6 months (n=55)	12 months (n=29)
Remission*	1	17 (24%)	32 (58%)	12 (41%)
Steroid free# remission	0	4	15	6
No remission	69	18 (26%)	12 (22%)	5 (18%)
Response but not remission	n/a	35 (50%)	11 (20%)	12 (41%)

\*defined using Physicians global assessment

# lists the number of patients who were in remission off steroids

Overall 43/70 (61%) of patients went into remission at some point during study follow up which occurred for most patients between 4 weeks and 6 months.

Table 2: Published Paediatric Adalimumab studies to date

Population	No of IBD patients	No of CD patients	Prior Infliximab	Initial Dosing used (mg)	Clinical response	Comments
UK & ROI (current study)	72	70	94%	160 / 80 (3) , 80 /40 (41) 24mg/m <sup>2</sup> (16) other (10)	71%	2 deaths
USA <sup>28</sup>	10	7	100%	80/40 (4), 40 (5), 80 (1)	80%	Mean PCDAI 12 at start
USA <sup>26</sup>	15	15	100%	80/40 (11), 40(1), 80 (1), 40/20(1), 160/80 (1)	64%	Included >18 years
USA <sup>20</sup> (RESEAT)	115	115	95%	160 / 80 (22) , 80 /40 (51) 40/40 (17) other/unknown (9)	65%	Short follow up period
Israel <sup>27</sup>	14	14	71%	Dosing schedule not available	85%	Included >18 years
Italy <sup>25</sup>	23	23	61%	160 / 80 (13), 120/80 (2),	91%	Prospective;80mg maintenance in most

In addition to the case series listed there have been at least 4 published paediatric case reports.<sup>21-24</sup>

## Reference List

- (1) Benchimol EI, Fortinsky KJ, Gozdyra P, Van den Heuvel M, Van LJ, Griffiths AM. Epidemiology of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review of international trends. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2011; 17(1):423-439.
- (2) Armitage E, Drummond H, Ghosh S, Ferguson A. Incidence of juvenile-onset Crohn's disease in Scotland. *Lancet* 1999; 353(9163):1496-1497.
- (3) Sawczenko A, Sandhu BK, Logan RF, Jenkins H, Taylor CJ, Mian S et al. Prospective survey of childhood inflammatory bowel disease in the British Isles. *Lancet* 2001; 357(9262):1093-1094.
- (4) Van Limbergen J, Russell RK, Drummond HE, Aldhous MC, Round NK, Nimmo ER et al. Definition of phenotypic characteristics of childhood-onset inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2008; 135(4):1114-1122.
- (5) Akobeng A. Crohn's disease: current treatment options. *Arch Dis Child* 2008; 93(9):787-792.
- (6) Wilson D, Thomas A, Croft N, Newby E, Akobeng A, Sawczenko A et al. Systematic Review of the Evidence Base for the Medical Treatment of Paediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2010; 50(Suppl 1):S14-S34.
- (7) Sandhu BK, Fell JM, Beattie RM, Mitton SG, Wilson DC, Jenkins H. Guidelines for the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2010; 50(Suppl 1):S1-S13.
- (8) Mossop H, Davies P, Murphy MS. Predicting the need for azathioprine at first presentation in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2008; 47(2):123-129.
- (9) Turner D, Grossman AB, Rosh J, Kugathasan S, Gilman AR, Baldassano R et al. Methotrexate Following Unsuccessful Thiopurine Therapy in Pediatric Crohn's Disease. *The American Journal of Gastroenterology* 2007; 102(12):2804-2812.
- (10) Hyams J, Crandall W, Kugathasan S, Griffiths A, Olson A, Johans J et al. Induction and maintenance infliximab therapy for the treatment of moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease in children. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132(3):863-873.
- (11) Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rachmilewitz D et al. Infliximab, Azathioprine, or Combination Therapy for Crohn's Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362(15):1383-1395.
- (12) Malik S, Wong SC, Bishop J, Hassan K, McGrogan P, Ahmed SF et al. Improvement In Growth Of Children With Crohn's Disease Following Anti-

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

TNF- $\alpha$  Therapy Can Be Independent Of Pubertal Progress And  
Glucocorticoid Reduction. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition*  
2011; 52(1):31-37.

- (13) Walters TD, Gilman AR, Griffiths AM. Linear growth improves during  
infliximab therapy in children with chronically active severe Crohn's disease.  
*Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2007; 13(4):424-430.
- (14) Bultman E, Kuipers EJ, Van Der Woude CJ. Systematic review: steroid  
withdrawal in anti-TNF-treated patients with inflammatory bowel disease.  
*Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2010; 32(3):313-323.
- (15) Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, Enns R, Hanauer SB, Panaccione R  
et al. Adalimumab for maintenance of clinical response and remission in  
patients with Crohn's disease: the CHARM trial. *Gastroenterology* 2007;  
132(1):52-65.
- (16) Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, Enns R, Hanauer SB, Colombel JF, Panaccione R  
et al. Adalimumab Induction Therapy for Crohn Disease Previously Treated  
with Infliximab: A Randomized Trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 146(12):829-838.
- (17) Peyrin-Biroulet L, Laclotte C, Bigard MA. Adalimumab maintenance therapy  
for Crohn's disease with intolerance or lost response to infliximab: an open-  
label study. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2007; 25(6):675-680.
- (18) Ho GT, Smith L, AITKEN S, LEE HM, TING T, Fennel J et al. The use of  
adalimumab in the management of refractory Crohn's disease. *Alimentary  
Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2008; 27(4):308-315.
- (19) HINOJOSA J, GOMOLLON F, GARCIA S, BASTIDA G, CABRIADA JL,  
SARO C et al. Efficacy and safety of short-term adalimumab treatment in  
patients with active Crohn's disease who lost response or showed intolerance  
to infliximab: a prospective, open-label, multicentre trial. *Alimentary  
Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2007; 25(4):409-418.
- (20) Rosh JR, Lerer T, Markowitz J, Goli SR, Mamula P, Noe JD et al.  
Retrospective Evaluation of the Safety and Effect of Adalimumab Therapy  
(RESEAT) in Pediatric Crohn's Disease. *American Journal of  
Gastroenterology* 2009; 104(12):3042-3049.
- (21) Veres G, Putz R, Szabo D, Molnar K, Bodanszky H, Dezsofi A et al.  
[Adalimumab treatment in infliximab-resistant pediatric patient with Crohn's  
disease]. *Orvosi Hetilap* 2009; 150(40):1858-1860.
- (22) Nguyen KDK, Alexis R, Schwarz SM. Oropharyngeal and Proximal  
Esophageal Involvement During Adalimumab Treatment of Crohn Disease.  
*Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2010; 50(2):225-226.
- (23) Mian S, Baron H. Adalimumab, a Novel Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor-[alpha]  
Antibody in a Child with Refractory Crohn's Disease. *Journal of Pediatric  
Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2005; 41(3):357-359.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- (24) Hadziselimovic F. Adalimumab Induces and Maintains Remission in Severe, Resistant Paediatric Crohn Disease. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2008; 46(2):208-211.
- (25) Viola F, Civitelli F, Di NG, Barbato MB, Borrelli O, Oliva S et al. Efficacy of adalimumab in moderate-to-severe pediatric Crohn's disease. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 2009; 104(10):2566-2571.
- (26) Wyneski MJ, Green A, Kay M, Wyllie R, Mahajan L. Safety and efficacy of adalimumab in pediatric patients with Crohn disease. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2008; 47(1):19-25.
- (27) Rosenbach Y, Hartman C, Shapiro R, Hirsch A, Avitzur Y, Shamir R. Adalimumab Treatment in Children with Refractory Crohns Disease. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 2010; 55(3):747-753.
- (28) Noe JD, Pfefferkorn M. Short-term response to adalimumab in childhood inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2008; 14(12):1683-1687.
- (29) LEES CW, Ironside J, Wallace WA, Satsangi J. Resolution of non-small-cell lung cancer after withdrawal of anti-TNF therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2008; 359(3):320-321.
- (30) Kotlyar DS, Osterman MT, Diamond RH, Porter D, Blonski WC, Wasik M et al. A Systematic Review of Factors That Contribute to Hepatosplenic T-Cell Lymphoma in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Clinical Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 2011; 9(1):36-41.
- (31) Mackey AC, Green L, Liang LC, Dinndorf P, Avigan M. Hepatosplenic T cell lymphoma associated with infliximab use in young patients treated for inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2007; 44(2):265-267.
- (32) Shale M, Kanfer E, Panaccione R, Ghosh S. Hepatosplenic T-Cell Lymphoma in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gut* 2008; 57(12):1639-1641.
- (33) Hyams JS, Ferry GD, Mandel FS, Gryboski JD, Kibort PM, Kirschner BS et al. Development and validation of a pediatric Crohn's disease activity index. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 1991; 12(4):439-447.
- (34) IBD Working Group of the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology HaN. Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children and Adolescents: Recommendations for Diagnosis-The Porto Criteria. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology & Nutrition* 2005; 41(1):1-7.
- (35) Lennard-Jones JE. Classification of inflammatory bowel disease. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 1989; 170:2-6.
- (36) Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, Arnott I.D.R., Bernstein CN, Brant SR et al. Towards an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: Report of a Working Party of the 2005

- 1  
2  
3 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. *Canadian Journal of*  
4 *Gastroenterology* 2005; 19(Supplement A):5-36.  
5  
6  
7 (37) Ho GT, Mowat A, Potts L, Cahill A, Mowat C, Lees CW et al. Efficacy and  
8 complications of adalimumab treatment for medically-refractory Crohn's  
9 disease: analysis of nationwide experience in Scotland (2004-2008).  
10 *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 2009; 29(5):527-534.  
11  
12 (38) Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Panaccione R, Robinson AM, Lau W, Li J et al.  
13 Adalimumab safety in global clinical trials of patients with Crohn's disease.  
14 *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2009; 15(9):1308-1319.  
15  
16 (39) Manz M, Beglinger C, Vavricka SR. Fatal invasive pulmonary aspergillosis  
17 associated with adalimumab therapy. *Gut* 2009; 58(1):149.  
18  
19  
20 (40) Crombé V, Salleron J, Savoye G, Dupas JL, Vernier-Massouille G, Lerebours  
21 E et al. Long- Term Outcome Of Treatment With Infliximab In Paediatric  
22 Crohn's Disease : A Population-based Study. *Journal of Crohn's and Colitis*  
23 2010; 4(1):S6.  
24  
25  
26 (41) Diak P, Siegel J, La GL, Choi L, Lemery S, McMahon A. Malignancy in  
27 children and tumor necrosis factor-alpha blockers: Forty-eight cases reported  
28 to the food and drug administration. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 2010; e-pub.  
29  
30  
31 (42) Panaccione R, Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, D'Haens GR,  
32 Robinson AM et al. Adalimumab sustains clinical remission and overall  
33 clinical benefit after 2 years of therapy for Crohn's disease. *Alimentary*  
34 *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2010; 31(12):1296-1309.  
35  
36 (43) Oussalah A, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Efficacy of TNF antagonists beyond  
37 one year in adult and pediatric inflammatory bowel diseases: a systematic  
38 review. *Curr Drug Targets* 2010; 11(2):156-175.  
39  
40  
41 (44) Karmiris K, Paintaud G, Noman M, Magdelaine-Beuzelin C, Ferrante M,  
42 Degenne D et al. Influence of trough serum levels and immunogenicity on  
43 long-term outcome of adalimumab therapy in Crohn's disease.  
44 *Gastroenterology* 2009; 137(5):1628-1640.  
45  
46  
47 (45) Sokol H, Seksik P, Carrat F, Nion-Larmurier I, Vienne A, Beaugerie L et al.  
48 Usefulness of co-treatment with immunomodulators in patients with  
49 inflammatory bowel disease treated with scheduled infliximab maintenance  
50 therapy. *Gut* 2010; 59(10):1363-1368.  
51  
52  
53 (46) Lovell DJ, Ruperto N, Goodman S, Reiff A, Jung L, Jarosova K et al.  
54 Adalimumab with or without Methotrexate in Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis.  
55 *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359(8):810-820.  
56  
57 (47) Lichtiger S, Binion DG, Wolf DC, Present DH, Bensimon AG, Wu E et al.  
58 The CHOICE trial: adalimumab demonstrates safety, fistula healing, improved  
59 quality of life and increased work productivity in patients with Crohn's disease  
60 who failed prior infliximab therapy. *Alimentary Pharmacology &*  
*Therapeutics* 2010; 32(10):1228-1239.

- 1  
2  
3 (48) Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, LICHTENSTEIN GR, Mayer LF, Schreiber S,  
4 Colombel JF et al. Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: the ACCENT I  
5 randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002; 359(9317):1541-1549.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review