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ABSTRACT

We describe the case of a 23 year old gentleman who developed a severe generalised necrotising myopathy. Initially presenting with features of a viral induced polymyositis, both symptomatic and biochemical improvement was initially achieved with glucocorticoid based immunosuppression. Subsequently he represented with evidence of severe generalised rhabdomyolysis (creatine kinase peaking at 210,000). Rendered anuric from the myoglobinuric assault, he required intensive care support from the development of multi-organ failure. Subsequent investigations failed to demonstrate an infective, inflammatory, metabolic or inherited aetiology. Muscle biopsy demonstrated severe generalised necrotising myopathy in the notable absence of inflammation. Confidential discussion with the patient and relatives confirmed a suspicion of anabolic androgenic steroid (AAS) abuse. There is limited literature as to the toxic effect of AAS compounds on muscle tissue, and these tend to focus on localised disease. Indeed AAS have consistently been shown in animal models to produce a generalised myotrophic state. Apart from the social uses of such compounds, it has been established since the 1960's the scope for their supervised use in various medical conditions.

TEXT

A 23 year old gentleman, with an unremarkable medical history presented with a one week history of coryzal symptoms and generalised myalgia. A reduced quantity of dark urine production was also noted; and there were no features of autoimmune disease. Born in Sri Lanka there was no recent history of foreign travel; and no significant family history. He denied the use of recreational drugs, and only occasionally used alcohol modestly. On physical examination he was a well muscle gentleman, with a painful proximal myopathy of both the upper and lower limbs; otherwise the examination was grossly unremarkable.

Creatinine kinase (CK) on admission was 28519 U/L (table 1). With a preceding history of an upper respiratory tract infection, a clinical diagnosis of polymyositis and rhabdomyolysis was made. Supportive therapy was directed. Immunosuppression was introduced with prednisolone 80 mg od. Admission investigations failed to demonstrate an infective or inflammatory aetiology (table 1). Intravenous methylprednisolone (1 g/5 days) was introduced to which he symptomatically improved, as did his markers of rhabdomyolysis. An in-patient Electromyelogram and muscle biopsy was performed (detailed later); and he was subsequently discharged on prednisolone 60 mg od. CK upon discharge was 13652 U/L.

Three days later he represented with a recurrence of severe generalised myalgia and weakness. CK on representation was 52459 U/L. Intravenous methylprednisolone was again utilised. He subsequently developed a progressive weakness affecting the larynx, and was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) due to a concern over his airway patency. A CT scan of the chest revealed no neck or mediastinal mass. Due to a worsening metabolic acidosis secondary to renal failure, and fatiguing of the respiratory muscles he was electively intubated and ventilated.

This gentleman had a protracted admission to the ICU for 37 days. Supportive cardiovascular, respiratory, renal and gastrointestinal care was required. He remained dependent on dialysis due to renal failure secondary to rhabdomyolysis. CK continued to rise to a maximum of 210,000 U/L (table 1). Methylprednisolone was stopped,
and hydrocortisone introduced to avoid further myelotoxicity. His ICU admission was associated with several line
and chest associated septic episodes; as well as the development of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).
He developed several episodes of large bowel obstruction which required decompression with sigmoidoscopy and/or
rectal tube insertion. There was no obvious lesion or volvulus seen upon endoscopy, or electrolyte imbalance to
explain these repeated episodes. Of interest, his serum was noted to be hypertriglyceridaemic several times; this was
repeated off propofol which is known to have a high triglyceride content.

On Day 37 of his ICU admission he developed signs of recurrent obstruction with an increasingly distended
abdomen. This compromised his ventilation, and was associated with a worsening metabolic acidosis. With the
suspicion of an ischaemic event to the bowel he underwent an emergency laparotomy. A perforated gastric ulcer
with peritoneal faecal soiling was seen, in addition to small bowel ischaemia. Mr C suffered a cardiac arrest which
was unresponsive to advanced cardio-pulmonary resuscitation intra-operatively.

We propose that this gentleman's extreme rhabdomyolysis is secondary to anabolic androgenic steroid
(AAS) use. Throughout the course of this case an aetiological factor was sought. His initial presentation was
clinically consistent with a viral polymyositis, with a history of a preceding upper respiratory tract infection.
Admission investigations did not support the diagnosis of an infective or inflammatory process (table 1). He did
however improve clinically and biochemically to the introduction of steroids and supportive treatment. A bacterial
or viral aetiology was excluded (table 1), as was an inflammatory/ auto-immune disease (table 1). Metabolic
markers were not consistent with an underlying pathology to account for this gentleman’s extreme rhabdomyolysis
(table 1).

Nerve conduction studies demonstrated normal sensory and motor conduction from both the upper and lower limbs.
The electromyelogram however, showed myopathic changes in all the muscles sampled with evidence of an acute
inflammatory process. Subsequent muscle biopsy revealed no evidence of inflammatory myositis, inclusion body myositis,
dermatomyositis, metabolic or mitochondrial myositis; only muscle fibre necrosis in the notable absence of inflammation
(figure 1).

Standard urinary drug screen was negative including MDMA (table 1). A urinary anabolic screen was considered
too late in the diagnostic process. A transthoracic echocardiogram was performed to delineate the cause of a raised troponin
(likely due to renal failure); this demonstrated left ventricular hypertrophy, which in a young individual may represent
anabolic androgenic steroid use. Confidential discussions with both the patient’s family and friends confirmed the suspicion
of anabolic steroid use. It would appear he had become interested in the social applications of anabolic steroid use, and his
physique had significantly changed in the last year. Direct questioning of the patient prior to his death produced a severe
stress response.

Muscle is an exceptionally sensitive tissue to toxins and drugs due to its high metabolic rate and various points of
interaction (1). The wide spectrum of disease caused by drugs and toxins include myalgia and myopathy to myositis,
rhabdomyolysis and acute renal failure. Drug induced myopathies represent a distinct subgroup of myopathies that differ in
terms of their pathological mechanisms (2). This group of disorders is of great interest to the clinician as the disease progress
may be limited or reversed by treatment of the underlying cause (3). Toxic myopathies also serve as excellent experimental
models in relation to muscle research. Toxic myopathies may be divided into six groups (2). These are necrotizing
myopathy, vaculoar myopathy inflammatory myopathy, mitochondrial myopathy, steroid myopathy and hypokalaemic myopathy (2).

Body-builders, athletes and other sporting professions have used anabolic steroids for several decades as an aid to build muscle mass and strength (4). Anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS) achieve a supra-physiological testosterone state, prolonging its action *in vivo* (5). AAS have a wide physiological range of action. AAS use is associated with numerous side effects which are in general a dose related phenomenon; therefore it is the groups which use these in large doses that are most susceptible. These include masculinisation in women and children, hepatic and lipid impairment and behavioural changes such as aggression (5). Anabolic steroid use is well associated with the development of left ventricular hypertrophy (7).

The beneficial use of anabolic steroids in medicine is a developing area of clinical interest (6). These include HIV-related muscle wasting, as well as other catabolic disease states such as chronic obstructive airway disease (5) and the prevention glucocorticoid induced myopathy. Other conditions of note include hereditary angioedema, and certain growth failure syndromes in children. The use of AAS should be undertaken with extreme care by medical professionals, with vigilance to the development of side-effects.

In regards to muscle disease, AAS is associated with a myotrophic state. The published literature suggests an association between localised rhabdomyolysis such as the tricep and deltoid muscles, and the use of AAS (7,8). In these cases muscle pain was associated with rhabdomyolysis, however the development of renal failure was averted with supportive measures including optimisation of fluid balance and forced alkaline diuresis. Research using animal models has demonstrated that anabolic steroids induce apoptosis on differentiated skeletal model (9).

The muscle biopsy in this patient demonstrated evidence of extensive necrotising myositis. This is usually associated with drug therapy such as fibrates and statins (2), however as described anabolic steroids may cause both local and generalised muscle disease.

Therefore in conclusion we propose by the exclusion of other potential aetiological causes, this gentleman’s extensive necrotising myositis, and resulting severe rhabdomyolysis is a manifestation of anabolic-androgenic steroid toxicity. There is limited literature as to the potential toxic effect of androgenic anabolic steroids on differentiated skeletal muscle; apart from a few case reports which describe localised myopathy. Animal models have indeed demonstrated the ability of such agents to induce a generalised myopathic state. The potentially beneficial effects of androgenic anabolic steroids in a medical setting have been well recognised since the 1960’s. There appears to be an increasing amount of published literature in regards to emerging areas to which these compounds may be suitable. This case also serves to highlight the toxic effects of such agents, and why these should be prescribed and monitored by a medical practitioner.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haematology (admission)</th>
<th>CRP &lt;5 mg/L, ESR &lt;10 mm/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry (admission)</td>
<td>TFTS normal, Urea &amp; electrolytes, liver function tests normal. ACE normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology/ Virology</td>
<td>Viral tests including entero IgM (Coxsackie A/B, Echo), polio- Not diagnostic HIV antibody, p24 -ve (admission) HbsAg, Hep C antibody testing -ve Blood cultures on admission and representation -ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary drug screen</td>
<td>As per hospital protocol –ve including MDMA, cocaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG/NCS</td>
<td>Described in the text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle Biopsy</td>
<td>As detailed in text and picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture 1</td>
<td>Pale necrotic fibre with several hypereosinophilic hypercontracted fibres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>