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ABSTRACT

Background CHARGE syndrome is a highly variable, multiple congenital anomaly syndrome, of which the complete phenotypic spectrum was only revealed after identification of the causative gene in 2004. CHARGE is an acronym for ocular coloboma, congenital heart defects, choanal atresia, retardation of growth and development, genital hypoplasia and ear anomalies associated with deafness. This typical combination of clinical features is caused by autosomal dominant mutations in the CHD7 gene.

Objective This review explores the emerging phenotypic spectrum of CHD7 mutations, with a special focus on the mild end of the spectrum.

Methods We evaluated the clinical characteristics in our own cohort of 280 CHD7-positive patients and in previously reported patients with CHD7 mutations and compared these with previously reported patients with CHARGE syndrome but an unknown CHD7 status. We then further explored the mild end of the phenotypic spectrum of CHD7 mutations.

Results We discuss that CHARGE syndrome is primarily a clinical diagnosis. In addition, we propose guidelines for CHD7 analysis and indicate when evaluation of the semicircular canals is helpful in the diagnostic process. Finally, we give updated recommendations for clinical surveillance of patients with a CHD7 mutation, based on our exploration of the phenotypic spectrum and on our experience in a multidisciplinary outpatient clinic for CHARGE syndrome.

Conclusion CHARGE syndrome is an extremely variable clinical syndrome. CHD7 analysis can be helpful in the diagnostic process, but the phenotype cannot be predicted from the genotype.
INTRODUCTION

The first patients with what later became known as CHARGE syndrome (OMIM #214800) were described in 1961.[1, 2] In 1979, two independent clinicians recognised that coloboma, choanal atresia and congenital heart defects clustered together in several patients.[3, 4] The acronym CHARGE dates from 1981 and summarises some of the cardinal features: ocular coloboma, congenital heart defects, choanal atresia, retardation of growth and/or development, genital anomalies and ear anomalies associated with deafness.[5] In 2004, mutations in the CHD7 gene were identified as the major cause and ‘CHARGE association’ was changed to ‘CHARGE syndrome’.[6] CHARGE syndrome occurs in approximately 1 in 10,000 newborns.[7] The inheritance pattern is autosomal dominant with variable expressivity. Almost all mutations occur de novo, but parent-to-child transmission has occasionally been reported.[8] In this review, we explore the phenotypic spectrum of CHD7 mutations with special focus on the mild end of the spectrum. In the light of this expanding phenotype, we discuss whether CHARGE syndrome is a clinical or a molecular diagnosis, we propose guidelines for CHD7 analysis, and give updated recommendations for the clinical surveillance of CHD7-positive patients.

BACKGROUND

Clinical diagnosis

Before discovery of the causative gene, CHARGE syndrome was a clinical diagnosis (Figure 1). Pagon was the first to introduce diagnostic criteria for CHARGE syndrome in 1981,[5] but these criteria are no longer in use. At present, the clinical criteria by Blake and Verloes are used in conjunction (Table 1).[9, 10]
Table 1 Clinical criteria for CHARGE syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major criteria</th>
<th>Minor criteria</th>
<th>Inclusion rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blake</strong>[9]**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Coloboma, microphthalmia</td>
<td>1. Cardiovascular malformations</td>
<td>Typical CHARGE: 4 major or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Choanal atresia or stenosis*</td>
<td>2. Tracheo-oesophageal defects</td>
<td>3 major + 3 minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Characteristic external ear anomaly, middle/inner ear malformations, mixed deafness</td>
<td>3. Genital hypoplasia or delayed pubertal development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cranial nerve dysfunction</td>
<td>6. Growth retardation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Characteristic face</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verloes</strong>[10]**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ocular coloboma</td>
<td>1. Heart or oesophagus malformation</td>
<td>Typical CHARGE: 3 major or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Choanal atresia</td>
<td>2. Malformation of the middle or external ear</td>
<td>2 major + 2 minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hypoplastic semicircular canals</td>
<td>3. Rhombencephalic dysfunction including sensorineural deafness</td>
<td>Partial CHARGE: 2 major + 1 minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Hypothalamo-hypophyseal dysfunction (gonadotropin or growth hormone deficiency)</td>
<td>Atypical CHARGE: 2 major + 0 minor or 1 major + 3 minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Blake criteria[9] were slightly adjusted by a consortium and last updated in 2009.[11] These criteria encompass four major and seven minor criteria. The four major criteria are coloboma, choanal atresia, cranial nerve dysfunction and abnormalities of the inner, middle, or external ear. At least four major, or three major and three minor, criteria must be present in order to diagnose CHARGE syndrome. In 2005, Verloes proposed renewed criteria.[10] He included semicircular canal defects as a major criterion, as these defects were shown to be a very specific and consistent feature in CHARGE syndrome.[13] Verloes also anticipated broadening of the phenotypic spectrum and reduced the number of features necessary for CHARGE diagnosis (to only three major, or two major and two minor, criteria) and he made his criteria less age- and sex-dependent. A common feature of both sets of criteria is that either coloboma or choanal atresia (which can sometimes be replaced by cleft palate, Table 1[12]) must be present in order to diagnose CHARGE syndrome.

**Molecular diagnosis**

Nowadays, CHARGE syndrome can also be diagnosed by a molecular genetic test. The CHD7 gene, mutated in the majority of patients with CHARGE syndrome, consists of 37 coding exons and one non-coding exon.[6] The gene encodes for a 2997 amino-acid-long protein that belongs to the Chromdomain Helicase DNA binding (CHD) family.[14] CHD7 can form complexes with different proteins thereby ensuring specific binding to different enhancer regions leading to time- and tissue-specific regulation of gene expression.[15] One example is the association of CHD7 with BPAF (polybromo- and
BRG1-associated factor containing complex) that is essential for neural crest gene expression and cell migration.[16] This is in line with previous assumptions that many of the congenital defects seen in CHARGE syndrome may be neural crest related.[17] CHD7 was also shown to associate with rDNA and was therefore suggested to play a role as positive regulator of rRNA synthesis.[18]

Haploinsufficiency of the CHD7 gene leads to CHARGE syndrome and, as expected, most patients are found to have truncating CHD7 mutations.[19-24] Missense mutations occur in a minority of patients and partial or full deletions of the CHD7 gene are rare events.[6, 19, 23, 25-31] Most CHD7 mutations occur de novo. There are no mutational hotspots and recurrent mutations are rare.[20] No clear genotype-phenotype correlation exists, although it seems that missense mutations in general are associated with a milder phenotype.[20]

CHD7 analysis detects mutations in more than 90% of patients fulfilling the clinical criteria for CHARGE syndrome. The lack of mutation detection in the remaining 5–10% of patients suggests genetic heterogeneity. The SEMA3E gene was proposed as a candidate gene, but it seems to play a minor role as only two SEMA3E alterations have been described in patients with CHARGE syndrome.[32] Besides genetic heterogeneity, it is also possible that mutations in intronic regions, 5’ or 3’ untranslated regions, or in regulatory elements of CHD7 underlie the CHD7-negative cases.

Phenocopies of CHARGE or CHARGE-like syndrome can be due to teratogen exposure (e.g., thalidomide, retinoic acid and maternal diabetes) or chromosomal aberrations.[8]

**PHENOTYPIC SPECTRUM OF PATIENTS WITH A MUTATION IN THE CHD7 GENE**

Phenotypic spectrum in our CHD7-positive cohort compared to two other cohorts

Our CHD7-positive cohort consists of patients who had CHD7 analysis done in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. In Nijmegen, CHD7 analysis was performed in 863 patients
suspected of CHARGE syndrome and 360 CHD7 mutations were found (360/863 = 42%). The mutations were scattered throughout the entire coding region and splice sites of the CHD7 gene. One third of the mutations were found in exon 2, 3, 30 and 31 (34% of mutations, 33% of genomic size). However, exons 8, 12, 26, 30 and 36 showed a remarkably high number of mutations relative to their genomic size (19% of mutations, 9% genomic size). No mutations were found in exon 6, 7, 20 and 28, but these exons comprise only 3% of the coding genome of CHD7. Apart from the high number of mutations in exon 2 (the largest exon), this is not in accordance with a previous report (n=91).[33] Most mutations were nonsense (38%) or frameshift mutations (32%). Missense mutations and splice site mutations occurred in 13% and 17%, respectively and deletions were rarely present (<1%). The phenotypic spectrum of the missense mutations was more variable and on average milder when compared to the truncating mutations.

In Table 2 we present an overview of the clinical features of 280 of our CHD7-positive patients, the CHD7-positive cohort reported in the literature (reviewed by Zentner n=254[24]) and a cohort of patients clinically diagnosed with CHARGE syndrome, but of whom the CHD7 status is unknown (n=124[7, 34]). We only included 280 of our 360 CHD7-positive patients, because clinical data were lacking in the other 80 patients. The phenotypes of 64 of the 280 patients have been published previously (Table 2).[20, 26, 35-40]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Our CHD7-positive cohort (n=280)</th>
<th>CHD7-positive cohort from the</th>
<th>CHARGE patients before CHD7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2 Clinical features of patients with a CHD7 mutation compared to clinically diagnosed patients with CHARGE syndrome
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Literature (n=254)</th>
<th>Discovery (n=124)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External ear anomaly</td>
<td>224/231^</td>
<td>214/235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97% (80-98%)†</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve dysfunction (VII, VIII and others)</td>
<td>173/174</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99% (62-100%)</td>
<td>107/124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semicircular canal anomaly</td>
<td>110/117</td>
<td>94/96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94% (39-98%)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloboma</td>
<td>189/234</td>
<td>190/253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81% (68-84%)</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choanal atresia</td>
<td>99/179</td>
<td>95/247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55% (35-71%)</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip and/or palate</td>
<td>79/163</td>
<td>79/242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48% (28-70%)</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding difficulties necessitating tube feeding</td>
<td>90/110</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82% (32-93%)</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial palsy</td>
<td>80/121</td>
<td>72/187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66% (29-85%)</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anosmia on formal smell testing</td>
<td>24/30</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital hypoplasia</td>
<td>118/145</td>
<td>116/187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81% (42-90%)</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart defect</td>
<td>191/252</td>
<td>193/250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105/124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Frequency 1/Total 1 (%)</td>
<td>Frequency 2/Total 2 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheo-oesophageal anomaly</td>
<td>42/146 (29%)</td>
<td>35/185 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental delay</td>
<td>107/141 (76%)</td>
<td>47/47 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual disability</td>
<td>108/134 (74%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth retardation</td>
<td>35/94 (37%)</td>
<td>101/141 (72%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CHD7-positive cohort from the literature as reviewed by Zentner 2010.[24] This cohort partially overlaps with our CHD7-positive cohort because the phenotypes of 64 of our patients were published previously.[20, 26, 35-40]

* Cohort of patients with clinically diagnosed CHARGE syndrome reported by Tellier in 1998 and Issekutz in 2005, before CHD7 analysis was possible.[7, 34]

† Frequencies are represented as the number of patients with a particular feature / the total number of patients that were tested for that particular feature

‡ The range of percentages presented between brackets was calculated as: (positive / total) x 100% - (positive + unknown / total) x 100% (for further explanation see text)

* Outside the frequency range of patients with a CHD7 mutation

The clinical features of the CHD7-positive patients, previously reported or presented here, are rarely completely known. When calculating the percentage of
patients who exhibit a certain feature, the incompleteness of the clinical data will have a major effect on the accuracy of the percentage. In order to compensate for this inaccuracy, we also calculated the frequency range. The minimum frequency is defined as the number of patients with a particular feature divided by the total number of patients in the cohort. The maximum frequency is defined as the number of patients with a particular feature plus patients for whom it is unknown whether they have the feature, divided by the total number of patients in the cohort.

Four features are almost always present in patients with a *CHD7* mutation: external ear anomalies, cranial nerve dysfunction, semicircular canal hypoplasia, and delayed attainment of motor milestones (Table 2). The characteristic external ear anomaly consists of triangular conchae or cup-shaped ears (Figure 1) and occurs in more than 90% of patients with a *CHD7* mutation. The second feature, cranial nerve dysfunction, is present in more than 95% of patients. The seventh and eighth cranial nerves are most often affected leading to facial palsy and sensorineural hearing loss, respectively. Dysfunction of other cranial nerves can also occur. The third feature, semicircular canal hypoplasia, is not always assessed, but when investigated it is found to be present in over 90% of patients. The high frequency of semicircular canal hypoplasia is reflected in the delayed attainment of motor milestones (often scored as developmental delay in previous papers), that is almost universally present in patients with CHARGE syndrome. A delay in speech development is also common in these patients who suffer from multiple sensory impairment (e.g. blindness and/or deafness).[41, 42] In our cohort, approximately 75% of patients had intellectual disability, indicating that one quarter had a normal intelligence.

Two features seem to occur more frequently since *CHD7* analysis has become available as a diagnostic tool in CHARGE syndrome (Table 2). These are cleft lip and/or palate and genital hypoplasia; in the study by Tellier[34], the percentages of these two
features were below our frequency range. The most likely explanation is that in the past, patients with cleft palate, and thus often without choanal atresia were not recognised as having CHARGE syndrome. Mutation analysis enables a diagnosis in these clinically less typical patients. The higher prevalence of genital hypoplasia in patients with a \textit{CHD7} mutation can be explained by a higher mean age in the patients for whom molecular studies have been performed, but it may also be due to an increased awareness that genital hypoplasia is a frequent feature in patients with a \textit{CHD7} mutation.

One feature seems to occur less frequently since \textit{CHD7} analysis became available: congenital heart defects were present in 76\% of \textit{CHD7}-positive patients and in 85\% of patients with a clinical diagnosis of CHARGE syndrome. The most likely explanation is that the clinical diagnosis was more readily made in hospitalised children with a heart defect and that, like children with cleft palate, children without a heart defect were more likely to remain unrecognised as having CHARGE syndrome prior to \textit{CHD7} analysis.

**Exploration of the mild end of the phenotypic spectrum of \textit{CHD7} mutations**

Patients with a typical presentation of CHARGE syndrome are easily clinically recognised, but those who are mildly affected can be missed, as the mild end of the CHARGE spectrum is only recently starting to emerge. Several studies have shown that an increasing number of patients with a \textit{CHD7} mutation do not fulfil the clinical criteria, as they do not have coloboma or choanal atresia or cleft palate.[20] Exploration of the mild end of the CHARGE spectrum can be undertaken in four ways: by studying familial CHARGE syndrome, by evaluating very mildly affected patients who are picked up with \textit{CHD7} analysis, by performing \textit{CHD7} analysis in cohorts of patients with only one
CHARGE feature, and finally by studying syndromes that show clinical overlap with CHARGE syndrome (e.g., 22q11 deletion syndrome and Kallmann syndrome).

**Familial CHARGE syndrome**

Very mildly affected patients with CHARGE syndrome can be identified by studying familial CHARGE syndrome. In the literature, only 16 families have been described with recurrence of molecularly confirmed CHARGE syndrome.[20, 21, 23, 37, 43-45] These families include seven sib-pairs, three monozygotic twin-pairs, and six two-generation families. In this review, we describe another two-generation family from our CHD7-positive cohort, making a total of 17 families (Table 3).

**Table 3** Familial CHARGE syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Fulfilling clinical criteria</th>
<th>Segregation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sib-pairs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD7 mutation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Mutation Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008[37]</td>
<td>Jongmans</td>
<td>c.2520G&gt;A; p.W840X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008[37]</td>
<td>Jongmans</td>
<td>c.1610G&gt;A; p.W537X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006[20]</td>
<td>Jongmans</td>
<td>c.5752_5753 dupA; p.T1918fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008[45]</td>
<td>Vuorela</td>
<td>c.4795C&gt;T; p.Q1599X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Monozygotic twins**

1. Wincent c.5428C>T; p.R1810X (case 13a) + (case 13b) De novo
2. Lalani p.E1271X (case A) + (case B) Unknown
3. Jongmans c.5752_5753 dupA; p.T1918fs (case 26) - (case 27) Parents were not tested

**Parent - child**

1. Vuorela c.4795C>T; p.Q1599X (case 1) + (case 2) - (case 3) De novo in father*
2. Delahaye  
2007[43]  
c.2501C>T;  
p.S834F  
+ (case A)  
II-2)  
+ (case A)  
III-3)  
- (case A)  
II-2)  
*De novo in mother

3. Delahaye  
2007[43]  
c.469>T;  
p.R157X  
+ (B III-1)  
+ (B III-3)  
- (B II-2)  
*De novo in father

4. Lalani  
2006[21]  
p.R2319S  
- (case)  
-  
Unknown  
CHA166

5. Jongmans  
2008[37]  
c.6322G>A;  
p.G2108R  
- (case 7)  
- (case 8)  
*De novo in mother*

6. Jongmans  
2008[37]  
c.6322G>A;  
p.G2108R  
- (case 9)  
+ (case 10)  
- (case 11)  
*De novo in mother

7. This study  
c.7769del  
-  
-  
-  
Unknown

**Total clinical criteria positive**  
Children 24/32  
Parents 0/7

* Somatic mosaicism was excluded (the CHD7 mutation was present in both peripheral blood lymphocytes and buccal cells).

+, fulfilling the criteria, -, not fulfilling the clinical criteria of Blake and/or Verloes.[9, 10]

Of the 39 CHD7-positive individuals, only 24 (62%) fulfilled the clinical criteria for CHARGE syndrome as defined by either Blake or Verloes.[9, 10] Atypical CHARGE patients are most frequently seen in the two-generation families. Often, the mildly affected individuals were recognised only after a CHD7 mutation was found in a more severely affected family member. The most mildly affected patients described in the literature had dysmorphic ears and balance disturbance as the only manifestations of CHARGE syndrome. Somatic mosaicism was considered unlikely in two of the very mildly affected parents, because the CHD7 mutation was found in different tissues.[37,
The monozygotic twin-pairs showed strikingly discordant features and underscore the great intra-familial variability seen in CHARGE syndrome.[20, 21, 23] This variability might be explained by differential epigenetic regulation or fluctuating embryonic CHD7 levels in relation to a time- and tissue-dependent critical threshold during embryonic development.

Mildly affected patients from our CHD7-positive cohort

The most widely used criteria are those of Blake/Lalani.[9, 10] Interestingly, 18 out of the 131 (14%) CHD7-positive patients that could be scored for these criteria had only one or two major Blake features and thus could not be clinically diagnosed as having CHARGE syndrome. Based on the presence of none, or only one major Verloes feature, as many as 17% (22/124 patients) could not be clinically diagnosed with CHARGE syndrome using the Verloes criteria. The phenotypes of the three most mildly affected (previously unpublished) patients are presented below.

The first patient had abnormal external ears and a congenital heart defect but no other features of CHARGE syndrome. She had normal semicircular canals, no cranial nerve dysfunction and a normal pubertal development. She had a de novo pathogenic missense mutation in the CHD7 gene that had not been described before (c.4406A>G, p.Tyr1469Cys in exon 19).

The second patient had mild semicircular canal anomalies and a mild hearing loss. His external ears were normal. He was only recognised as having CHARGE syndrome after a CHD7 splice site mutation was found in his more severely affected children (Table 3, two-generation family from this study).

The third patient was diagnosed with Kallmann syndrome and had sensorineural hearing loss. After a de novo pathogenic missense mutation in the CHD7 gene (c.6322G>A, p.Gly2108Arg in exon 31) was identified, a CT scan of his temporal bone
was re-evaluated and semicircular canal hypoplasia was seen. He had normal external ears.

**CHD7 analysis in cohorts of patients with only one CHARGE feature**

Some authors have undertaken CHD7 screening in patients with only one CHARGE syndrome feature, e.g., cleft lip and/or palate,[46] congenital heart disease,[47] or scoliosis.[48] These studies did not identify pathogenic CHD7 mutations. The general impression is that in the absence of other CHARGE features, the chance of finding a CHD7 mutation is very low.

**Studies in syndromes that overlap with CHARGE syndrome**

Thus far, two clinically overlapping syndromes have been studied in relation to CHD7 mutations: velocardiofacial syndrome (VCFS) and Kallmann syndrome.

*Velocardiofacial or 22q11 deletion syndrome*, shares many features with CHARGE syndrome, including congenital heart defects, cleft palate, developmental delay, renal anomalies, growth retardation, ear anomalies, hearing loss, hypoglycaemia and lymphopenia.[49] Especially thymus aplasia and hypoparathyroidism are increasingly recognised in CHARGE syndrome and mark the clinical overlap with the DiGeorge phenotype of 22q11 deletions.[50, 51] In approximately 85% of VCFS patients, a common 3 Mb heterozygous deletion of 22q11.2 is present, resulting in TBX1 haploinsufficiency. Mutations in the TBX1 gene are present in a minority of VCFS patients. Array CGH in a cohort of VCFS patients without 22q11 deletion or TBX1 mutation revealed one heterozygous deletion encompassing the CHD7 gene in a patient with features typical of VCFS.[52] This patient had a learning difficulty with speech delay, severe feeding difficulties, a congenital heart defect (interruption of the aortic arch, coarctation of the aorta, bicuspid aortic valve, ventricular and atrial septal defect), long
slender fingers and low-set, over-folded ear helices. The patient did not have coloboma, choanal atresia or cleft palate, but did have typical CHARGE ears with triangular conchae. To our knowledge, CHD7 sequence analysis has not yet been performed in a cohort of VCFS patients without deletion or mutation of TBX1. In Figure 2 we illustrate how difficult it can be to distinguish between CHARGE syndrome and 22q11 deletion syndrome. The phenotypic similarity between VCFS and CHARGE syndrome is also apparent in mice with haploinsufficiency of Tbx1 and Chd7.[52] Both genes are required in pharyngeal ectoderm for fourth pharyngeal artery development. In addition, both genes are important in development of the thymus and semicircular canals. The Tbx1 and Chd7 gene were shown to interact in mice, but a direct regulatory effect of Chd7 on Tbx1 expression could not been demonstrated.[52]

Kallmann syndrome usually presents as the combination of hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (HH) and anosmia. Both features also occur in the majority of patients with CHARGE syndrome.[53-56] Other features that can be present in both syndromes are hearing loss, cleft lip/palate and renal malformations. Two studies have been performed in which patients with normosmic HH or Kallmann syndrome were screened for CHD7 mutations. CHD7 mutations were reported in seven out of 197 patients with normosmic HH or Kallmann syndrome,[57] in three out of 36 patients with Kallmann syndrome (confirmed by a smell test), but in none of 20 patients with normosmic HH.[58] The second study showed that after thorough clinical examination of the CHD7-positive Kallmann patients, other CHARGE features were universally present. The authors concluded that these patients represent the mild end of the CHARGE phenotypic spectrum, as we also demonstrated in our patient who was referred with Kallmann syndrome (see the section “Mildly affected patients from our own CHD7 positive cohort”).
CHD7 AND CHARGE SYNDROME: THE CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Based on the studies conducted after the identification of CHD7 and summarised above, we discuss whether CHARGE syndrome is a clinical or molecular diagnosis, propose a new guideline for CHD7 analysis, and give recommendations for clinical surveillance of CHD7-positive patients.

CHARGE syndrome, a clinical or molecular diagnosis?

In our opinion, CHARGE syndrome is primarily a clinical diagnosis. If patients fulfil the clinical criteria of Blake or Verloes and chromosomal aberrations and teratogenic exposure effects fully explaining the clinical features have been ruled out, then they have CHARGE syndrome, irrespective of the results of CHD7 analysis. On the other hand, patients who do not completely fulfil the clinical criteria should not be excluded from CHD7 analysis. If a mutation is found in these patients, clinical follow-up and genetic counselling should be performed as in clinically diagnosed patients with CHARGE syndrome.

Guideline for CHD7 analysis

Considering the broad phenotypic spectrum, it is evident that CHD7 analysis should not be restricted to patients fulfilling the clinical criteria for CHARGE syndrome. Coloboma and choanal atresia (or cleft palate) are not always present in CHARGE syndrome. Therefore patients with other CHARGE features, but without those cardinal features, should not be excluded from CHD7 analysis. When a patient is suspected of CHARGE syndrome, the external ears, cranial nerve function and semicircular canals should be thoroughly examined, as these features occur in the great majority of patients with a CHD7 mutation (Table 2).
We propose a guideline for CHD7 analysis in Figure 3. In our experience, imaging of the semicircular canals is not an easy routine in daily clinical practice, especially in children in whom sedation can be complicated (see clinical surveillance and Table 4). Therefore, in our guideline we have indicated when imaging of the semicircular canals is needed to support the decision for CHD7 analysis. We based our guideline on the clinical features that were present in our CHD7-positive patients (n=280). When applying our guideline, CHD7 analysis would not have been recommended in one of our patients. This patient is the first patient described in “Mildly affected patients from our own CHD7 positive cohort” and is extremely mildly affected. A prospective study is needed to evaluate the usefulness of this guideline in clinical practice.

**Clinical surveillance of patients with a CHD7 mutation or typical CHARGE syndrome**

Ideally, follow-up of patients with a CHD7 mutation or typical CHARGE syndrome should be done by an expert multidisciplinary team, because this approach will ensure optimal treatment of this very complex patient group. In the Netherlands, several specialities are involved in the CHARGE outpatient clinic of the University Medical Centre Groningen: clinical genetics, paediatric endocrinology, ENT, speech and occupational therapy, ophthalmology, child and youth psychiatry, social paediatrics, gynaecology, endocrinology, paediatric cardiology, neuroradiology and dentistry. In Table 4, we show updated recommendations for clinical surveillance of patients with a CHD7 mutation based on the experiences of our CHARGE outpatient clinic, on the clinical features in our CHD7-positive cohort (Table 2), and on a literature review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Treatment / advice</th>
<th>Be aware of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ophthalmology</strong></td>
<td>Full ophthalmological examination including fundoscopy</td>
<td>Tinted spectacles for photophobia (iris coloboma)</td>
<td>Retinal detachment (in case of retinal coloboma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Artificial tears in case of facial palsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correction of refraction errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENT, audiology,</strong></td>
<td>Multidisciplinary evaluation:</td>
<td>Surgical correction of choanal atresia</td>
<td>Respiratory aspiration (recurrent pneumonias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**occupational/</td>
<td>Assess patency of choanae (CT scan or nasal endoscopy)</td>
<td>Hearing aids, ventilation tubes</td>
<td>Aberrant course of blood vessels or cranial nerves when surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>speech therapy,</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sign language and speech training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gastroenterology</strong></td>
<td>Evaluation for cleft palate and tracheo-oesophageal anomalies</td>
<td>GERD: Nissen fundoplication, antispasmodics</td>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audiometry (BAER), tympanometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporal bone CT scan (pathology of middle ear, inner ear, cranial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nerves, semicircular canals, aberrant course of blood vessels or cranial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gastrostomy / tracheotomy in case of severe swallowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nerves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve function tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swallowing studies, pH monitoring, reflux scan in case of feeding/swallowing difficulties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>problems</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery of tracheo-oesophageal abnormalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advice concerning anosmia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Paediatrics/endocrinology</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal ultrasound, voiding cysto-urethrogram in case of urinary infections</td>
<td>Early treatment of bladder infections (especially in case of unilateral renal agenesis or vesico-urethral reflux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunological studies in case of recurrent infections or suspected hypocalcaemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up of growth and development (growth hormone stimulation test if indicated)</td>
<td>Growth hormone treatment if growth hormone deficiency is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor cryptorchidism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadotropin levels (age 6-8 weeks) and follow up of pubertal development</td>
<td>Orchidopexy when indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEXA scan (when suspected for osteoporosis)</td>
<td>Gonadotropin treatment in case of hypogonadotropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitor for scoliosis</strong></td>
<td>hypogonadism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corset or surgery when severe progressive scoliosis is present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cardiology</strong></th>
<th>Cardiac evaluation including ultrasound</th>
<th>Cardiac surgery and/or antibiotic prophylaxis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Anaesthesiology</strong></th>
<th>Extensive preoperative assessment</th>
<th>Combine surgical procedures whenever possible</th>
<th>Postoperative complications (due to aspiration/cranial nerve dysfunction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longer surveillance after surgery</td>
<td>Problems with intubation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Neurology</strong></th>
<th>Cerebral MRI scan (including visualisation of olfactory bulbs, and inner ear if no temporal bone CT scan has been performed)</th>
<th>Anticonvulsants if overt epilepsy seen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEG (only when clinically seizures are observed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour, developmental and educational services</td>
<td>Extensive multidisciplinary evaluation of developmental and sensory impairments and behavioural problems</td>
<td>Integrated individualised therapy with special attention for optimising communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use formal tests in order to screen for autism spectrum, obsessive compulsive disorders and ADHD</td>
<td>Perform IQ tests regularly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physiotherapy</th>
<th>Assessment of balance problems, motor delay, visiospatial coordination and hypotonia</th>
<th>Therapy for hypotonia and devices to overcome balance impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetics</th>
<th>CHD7 analysis (when no CHD7 mutation or deletion is found, perform array CGH)</th>
<th>Genetic counselling, options for prenatal diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-familial variability in CHARGE syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; BAER, brain stem auditory evoked response; array CGH, array comparative genomic hybridisation; ENT, ear nose throat; GERD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
An ultrasound of the heart and kidneys should be done in all patients, because mild congenital anomalies can remain undetected until adulthood, but may have therapeutic consequences (e.g. early treatment of urinary tract infections in case of renal anomalies).

Cranial nerve investigation is important. Dysfunction of the seventh, ninth and tenth cranial nerve can lead to severe feeding and swallowing problems, can result in respiratory aspiration and post-operative complications, and might be involved in sudden death.[59-62]

Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (HH) should be diagnosed at an early stage, because patients are at risk for osteoporosis if hormone replacement therapy is not started in time. We recently demonstrated that anosmia and HH are 100% correlated in CHARGE syndrome and we proposed smell testing as a predictive test for HH.[63]

Last, but not least, an individualised educational program is needed in order to fully stimulate the intellectual potential of a child with CHARGE syndrome and to manage behavioural problems.[64-68] Clinicians should be aware that semicircular canal hypoplasia, a very frequent feature in CHARGE syndrome, causes balance problems and therefore a delay in motor development. This motor retardation may erroneously lead to the suspicion of intellectual disability, although approximately 25% of patients have a normal intelligence.

In addition, identifying a CHD7 mutation gives further tools for genetic counselling of both the parents and the patients themselves. When the CHD7 mutation has occurred de novo in the index patient, the recurrence risk for the parents is 2–3% because both germline and somatic mosaicism have been described in CHARGE syndrome.[20, 37, 45] Patients themselves, when fertile with or without appropriate hormone replacement therapy, have a 50% chance of transmitting the CHD7 mutation to their offspring. The
severity of CHARGE syndrome in offspring can not be predicted, because intra-familial variability is large. Prenatal diagnosis, either by molecular analysis or ultrasound, and pre-implantation genetic diagnosis, when appropriate, should be discussed with parents and patients.

CONCLUSIONS

CHARGE syndrome is extremely variable, an observation that has been strongly underscored since the discovery of the \textit{CHD7} gene. The phenotype cannot be predicted from the genotype, as exemplified by intra-familial variability. CHARGE syndrome remains primarily a clinical diagnosis, but molecular testing can confirm the diagnosis in mildly affected patients. Guidelines for \textit{CHD7} analysis in individuals suspected of having CHARGE syndrome are proposed in Figure 3. In addition, updated guidelines for the surveillance of patients with a \textit{CHD7} mutation or typical CHARGE syndrome are presented in Table 4.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Overview of features occurring in CHARGE syndrome*

Major features

- Coloboma of the iris (A) and/or retina, with or without microphthalmia, often only visible by fundoscopy
- Choanal atresia (B, unilateral) or stenosis
- Characteristic ear anomaly (C): cup shaped ear with triangular conchae and small/absent ear lobes. Middle or inner ear malformations may be present as well.
- Semicircular canal hypoplasia or aplasia (D arrow, semicircular canal aplasia of the left ear on a coronal CT scan)
- Cranial nerve dysfunction: oculomotor dysfunction (III/VI), less powerful chewing (V), facial palsy (VII)(E, right-sided*), hearing loss/vestibular problems (VIII), swallowing and feeding problems (IX/X)

Minor features/occasional findings

- Hypothalamo-hypophyseal dysfunction: gonadotropin deficiency (hypogonadotropic hypogonadism), growth hormone deficiency
- Other congenital anomalies: cleft lip/palate, congenital heart defects, tracheo-oesophageal anomalies, kidney anomalies, brain anomalies (including olfactory bulb hypoplasia), lacrimal duct atresia
- Developmental delay: delayed motor development and/or cognitive delay
- Characteristic face: broad forehead, square face, facial asymmetry
- Other features: behavioural problems, sleep disturbance, scoliosis, respiratory aspiration, gastro-oesophageal reflux, postoperative complications, sudden
death, obstructive sleep apnoea, enuresis nocturnal, hockey stick palmar crease, webbed neck/sloping shoulders

**Rare features**

Immune deficiency, limb anomalies, epilepsy, oligodontia, anal atresia

* Frequencies are shown in Table 2
* Informed consent was obtained for publication of the photograph

**Figure 2** Patient with typical CHARGE syndrome and a 22q11 deletion*

This 3.5 year-old girl presented with retinal and iris coloboma, unilateral choanal stenosis, abnormal semicircular canals, mixed hearing loss, pulmonary valve stenosis and simple ears. Clinically she has typical CHARGE syndrome, but neither a *CHD7* mutation nor a deletion could be detected by sequence analysis and MLPA.[26] Subsequently, array CGH was performed (Agilent 180 K custom HD-DGH microarray) and revealed a *de novo* 3 Mb 22q11.2 loss, suggestive for the typical DiGeorge/velocardiofacial syndrome deletion.

* Informed consent was obtained for publication of the photographs
Proposal for layout of Figure 1 and 2 (the photographs were separately submitted from the legends)

**Figure 1** Overview of features occurring in CHARGE syndrome

- **Major features**
  - Coloboma of the iris (A) and/or retinal, with or without microphthalmia, often only visible by fundoscopy
  - Cheek malformation (B), unilateral or bilateral
  - Characteristic ear anomaly (C), cup-shaped ear with triangular conchae and small, absent ear lobes. Middle or inner ear malformations may be present as well.
  - Semilunar canal hypoplasia or aplasia (D arrow, semilunar canal aplasia of the left ear on a coronal CT scan)
  - Cranial nerve dysfunction: oculomotor dysfunction (BMV), less powerful chewing (V), facial palsy (VII/E, right-sided), hearing loss/otological problems (VIII), swallowing and feeding problems (IX/X)

- **Minor features/occasional findings**
  - Hypothalamic-hypophyseal dysfunction: gonadotropin deficiency (hypogonadotropic hypogonadism), growth hormone deficiency
  - Other congenital anomalies: cleft palate, congenital heart defects, tracheo-oesophageal anomalies, kidney anomalies, brain anomalies (including optic bulb hypoplasia), facial duct atresia
  - Developmental delay: delayed motor development and/or cognitive delay
  - Characteristic face: broad forehead, square face, facial asymmetry
  - Other features: behavioral problems, sleep disturbance, scoliosis, respiratory aspiration, gastro-oesophageal reflux, postoperative complications: sudden death, obstructive sleep apnoea, enuresis nocturna, hockey stick palmar crease, webbed neck/loping shoulders

- **Rare features**
  - Immune deficiency, limb anomalies, epilepsy, oligodontia, anal atresia

*Frequencies are shown in Table 2*

**Figure 2** Patient with typical CHARGE syndrome and a 22q11 deletion

This 3.5 year-old girl presented with coloboma, unilateral choanal atresia, abnormal semicircular canals, mixed hearing loss, pulmonary valve stenosis and simple ears. Clinically she has typical CHARGE syndrome, but neither a CHD7 mutation nor a deletion could be detected by sequence analysis and MLPA [25]. Subsequently, array CGH was performed (Agilent 180 K custom HD-DGH microarray) and revealed a de novo 3 Mb 22q11.2 loss, suggestive for the typical DiGeorge/velocardiofacial syndrome deletion.
Figure 3 Guideline for CHD7 analysis in patients suspected of CHARGE syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardinal features</th>
<th>Supportive features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coloboma</td>
<td>Cleft lip/palate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choanal atresia or stenosis</td>
<td>Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism or anosmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic external ear anomaly (triangular conchae or cup ear)</td>
<td>Congenital heart defect or tracheo-oesophageal malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve dysfunction (facial palsy or sensorineural hearing loss or hypoplasia of cranial nerves on imaging)</td>
<td>Mental retardation (IQ &lt; 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular phenotype*</td>
<td>Growth retardation (length &lt; -2.5 SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family member with 1 cardinal or 2 supportive features</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 cardinal or 2 cardinal + 1 supportive

2 cardinal or 1 cardinal + 1 supportive

Temporal bone CT scan: typical semicircular canal abnormalities

CHD7 analysis including MLPA*

Array CGH

* A convincing history of vestibular problems (e.g., five-point crawl) or abnormal vestibular test or semicircular canal hypoplasia.

* If clinical presentation is very atypical, it is recommended to perform array CGH first

Patients with velocardiofacial syndrome, but without a mutation or deletion of the TBX1 gene, are also good candidates for CHD7 analysis