A genetic variant in the pre-miR-27a oncogene is associated with a reduced familial breast cancer risk

Rongxi Yang · Bettina Schlehe · Kari Hemminki · Christian Sutter · Peter Bugert · Barbara Wappenschmidt · Juliane Volkmann · Raymonda Varon · Bernhard H. F. Weber · Dieter Niederacher · Norbert Arnold · Alfons Meindl · Claus R. Bartram · Rita K. Schmutzler · Barbara Burwinkel

Received: 30 July 2009 / Accepted: 30 October 2009 / Published online: 18 November 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC. 2009

Abstract MicroRNAs (miRNAs) regulate pathways involved in cell differentiation, proliferation, development, and apoptosis by degradation of target mRNAs and/or repression of their translation. Although the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in miRNAs target sites have been studied, the effects of SNPs in miRNAs are largely unknown. In our study, we first systematically sequenced miRNA genes reported to be involved in breast cancer to identify/verify SNPs. We analyzed four SNPs, one located

in the pre-miRNA and the other three located in miRNA flanking regions, for a putative association with breast cancer risk. The SNP rs895819, located in the terminal loop of pre-miRNA-27a, showed a protective effect. In a large familial breast cancer study cohort, the rare [G] allele of rs895819 was found to be less frequent in the cases than in the controls, indicating a reduced familial breast cancer risk ([G] vs. [A]: OR = 0.88, 95% CI 0.78–0.99, $P = 0.0287$). Furthermore, age stratification revealed that the

R. Yang (✉) · B. Burwinkel
Helmholtz-University Group Molecular Epidemiology,
German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Im Neuenheimer
Feld 581, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
e-mail: r.yang@dkfz.de

R. Yang · B. Schlehe · B. Burwinkel
Division Molecular Biology of Breast Cancer, Department
of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University of Heidelberg,
69120 Heidelberg, Germany

K. Hemminki
Division of Molecular Genetic Epidemiology, German Cancer
Research Center (DKFZ), Im Neuenheimer Feld 580,
69120 Heidelberg, Germany

K. Hemminki
Department of Biosciences at Novum, Karolinska Institute,
14157 Huddinge, Sweden

C. Sutter · C. R. Bartram
Institute of Human Genetics, University of Heidelberg,
69120 Heidelberg, Germany

P. Bugert
Institute of Transfusion Medicine and Immunology,
Red Cross Blood Service of Baden-Württemberg-Hessen,
University of Heidelberg, Medical Faculty of Mannheim,
Mannheim, Germany

B. Wappenschmidt · R. K. Schmutzler
Division of Molecular Gynaeco-Oncology, Department
of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Clinical Center University
of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany

J. Volkmann · A. Meindl
Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Klinikum rechts der
Isar, Technical University of Munich, 81675 Munich, Germany

R. Varon
Institute of Human Genetics, Charité, Humboldt University,
Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany

B. H. F. Weber
Institute of Human Genetics, University of Regensburg,
93053 Regensburg, Germany

D. Niederacher
Division of Molecular Genetics, Department of Gynaecology
and Obstetrics, Clinical Center University of Düsseldorf,
40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

N. Arnold
Division of Oncology, Department of Gynaecology
and Obstetrics, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein,
24105 Kiel, Germany

protective effect was mainly observed in the age group < 50 years of age ([G] vs. [A]: OR = 0.83, 95% CI 0.70–0.98, $P = 0.0314$), whereas no significant effect was observed in the age group ≥ 50 years of age, indicating a possible hormone-related effect. It has been shown that artificial mutations in the terminal loop of miR-27a can block the maturation process of the miRNA. We hypothesize that the G-variant of rs895819 might impair the maturation of the oncogenic miR-27a and thus, is associated with familial breast cancer risk.

Keywords Breast cancer risk · MicroRNA · SNP · Case–control study

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are an abundant class of small endogenous noncoding RNAs (20–22 nt) that negatively regulate the target gene transcription through hybridization to incomplete complementary sequences in the 3' untranslated region of their target mRNAs. It results in either the degradation of target messenger RNAs or repression of their translation [1, 2]. MicroRNAs are initially transcribed from genomic DNA to long primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs) and then are cleaved by nuclear Drosha into 60–70 nt hairpin-shaped precursor RNAs (pre-miRNAs) [3, 4]. Pre-miRNAs are exported to the cytoplasm by Exportin-5 and are further processed into ~22 nt mature miRNA duplexes by the cleavage of Dicer [5–7]. In association with RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), one strand of the miRNA duplex matches target mRNA sequence in the 3' un-translated regions. This binding finally leads to the degradation of the mRNAs or the inhibition of their translation and consequently down-regulates the expression of the protein [8, 9]. As miRNAs can regulate multiple target mRNAs, they have been identified to be crucial in the process of immune system regulation, hematopoiesis, angiogenesis, cell development, cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [10–15]. Elevated or decreased expression of miRNAs has been found in various tumor types. Some miRNAs thereby have been considered as tumor suppressors or oncogenes [16–18]. They are shown to be involved in cancer initiation and progression by suppression and the expression of cancer-related genes [19]. Furthermore, miRNA expression profiles can be molecular markers for cancer diagnosis and therapy [20, 21].

Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death in women and next to lung cancer, the second most common cancer in the world [22]. Up to 10% of women, who are diagnosed with breast cancer, report a family history [23, 24]. According to the polygenic model of

inherited breast cancer, unfavorable combinations of polymorphic genetic variants in low-penetrance susceptibility genes contribute to the excess familial breast cancer risk. Most of these susceptibility genes have not been discovered yet [25, 26].

It is suggested that miRNA mutations or mis-expressions correlate with various human cancer risks [19]. Although the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in miRNAs target sites have been studied [27–29], the effects of SNPs in miRNAs remain largely unknown. It motivated us to explore polymorphisms in the breast cancer-related miRNA genes and investigate their associations with familial breast cancer risk.

In our study, we selected 11 miRNAs from the literature (miR-373, miR-520c, miR-126, miR-335, miR-10b, let-7a-1, let-7a-2, let-7a-3, miR-27a, miR-21, and miR-145). All the 11 miRNAs have been reported to be associated with breast cancer initiation, progression, and metastasis and with known mechanisms [30–37]. We systematically scanned for SNPs located in these breast cancer-relevant miRNA genes (including pre-miRNAs and about ± 200 bp flanking regions) by sequencing these regions, and then investigated the four most promising SNPs which were selected according to the defined criteria in a large German familial study cohort. Our analysis revealed that the [G] allele of SNP rs895819, located in pre-miR-27a oncogene, has a significant association with a reduced familial breast cancer risk.

Materials and methods

Study population

Our study cohort contains 1,217 German familial breast cancer (BC) patients and 1,422 unrelated healthy German women. The breast cancer index cases were tested *BRCA1/2* mutation-negative by applying mutational screening on all exons with denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC), and followed by direct sequencing of conspicuous exons [38]. All the 1,217 familial breast cancer cases are *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation negative. In the case group, 115 patients had bilateral breast cancer and were considered as a subgroup. The BC samples were collected during the years 1997–2007 by seven centers of the German Consortium for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer (centres of Heidelberg, Würzburg, Cologne, Kiel, Düsseldorf, Munich, and Berlin, see authors' affiliations). German index patients were first diagnosed with breast cancer and then referred to a family registry. The informed consent for the study was given to all the breast cancer patients. About 3% of patients refused to donate their blood samples for research purposes.

The control population included unrelated healthy female blood donors collected by the German Red Cross Blood Service of Baden-Wuerttemberg—Hessia and Institute of Transfusion Medicine and Immunology (Mannheim), sharing the same ethnic background with the breast cancer patients (Caucasian/German population). All of the control population are healthy when they donated their blood, and none of them has a reported familial history of breast cancer. Age distributions in controls and breast cancer cases were similar (controls: mean age 45.8 years, median age 49 years, range from 18 to 68 years; cases: mean age 46.2 years, median age 46 years, range from 19 to 87 years). The controls were randomly selected during the years 2004–2007 for this study, and no further inclusion criteria were applied during recruitment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Heidelberg (Heidelberg, Germany). According to the German guidelines for blood donation, all blood donors were examined by a standard questionnaire. The informed consent for this study was given to all the participants. About 5% of blood donors refused to use their blood samples for research purposes.

SNP verification and sequencing

Breast cancer-related 11 miRNA genes were selected from the literature. In order to verify annotated single SNPs from the SNP database (NCBI) and to identify potential new SNPs, 11 miRNA genes, including pre-miRNAs and about ± 200 bp flanking regions, were amplified by the standard PCR (in order to unfold the secondary structure of miRNAs, 5% DMSO was added to the PCR buffer). Owing to high homology with other genomic regions, specific primer design was not possible for miR-520c. Thus, miR-520c was not further analyzed. PCR products were purified with the ExoSAP-IT purification kit (USB Corp.) and were then sequenced in one of the directions by the 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequencing results were analyzed with Sequencing Analysis 5.2 software (Applied Biosystems). As a result, 10 SNPs were verified in these segments. Sequences of primers are available upon request.

Genotyping

According to our defined criteria, five out of 10 SNPs (rs12983273 located 127 bp upstream of pre-miR-373, rs4636297 located 12 bp downstream of miR-126, rs731085 located 63 bp downstream of pre-let-7a-3, rs3807348 located 62 bp downstream of pre-miR-335, and rs895819 in the pre-miR-27a, Table 1) were selected for further investigation for their putative influence on breast cancer risk. The three SNPs, rs12883273, rs731085, and rs3807348 were analyzed by the method of TaqMan allelic

discrimination. However, the TaqMan assay performance of rs4636297 in miR-126 could not be improved even when adding DMSO, formamide, or alternating the temperature profile. The SNP rs895819 could not be analyzed by TagMan assay due to failed primer and probe design. As rs895819 is the only SNP located within the pre-miRNA, which we were especially interested in, we genotyped this SNP by sequencing as describe above. Primers and TaqMan MGB probes were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Genomic DNA of 5 ng was used for each reaction. PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 45–50 cycles of (92°C, 15 s, and 60°C, 60 s). Samples were analyzed with SDS 1.2 software (Applied Biosystems) in ABI Prism 7900HT detection system. The TaqMan SNP assay results were validated by resequencing 10% of all the samples. The concordance rate was 100%. Sequences of primers and probes are available upon request.

Statistical analysis

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test was undertaken using the chi-square “goodness-of-fit” test by a tool from the Institute of Human Genetics, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany (<http://ihg.gsf.de/cgi-bin/hw/hwa1.pl>). Genotype-specific odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and *P* values were computed by unconditional logistic regression with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Age, treated as a continuous variable was included in the regression as covariate. *P* values were calculated using two-sided chi-square test. The power ($\alpha = 0.05$) was calculated using the power and sample size calculation software PS version 2.1.31 (<http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/prevmed/ps/index.htm>) [39]. SNPs linked with all the 10 SNPs (Table 1) with $r^2 \geq 0.8$ and block definition were identified using HaploView version 3.32 (<http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview>). The linked SNPs’ breast cancer associations were further verified whether they had been analyzed by the Cancer Genetic Markers of Susceptibility genome wide association study (CGEMS) (<https://caintegrator.nci.nih.gov/cgems/browseSetup.do>).

Results

We performed a systematic literature and database search in NCBI and found 11 miRNAs (miR-373, miR-520c, miR-126, miR-335, miR-10b, let-7a-1, let-7a-2, let-7a-3, miR-27a, miR-21, miR-145) to be involved in breast cancer with known mechanisms [30–37]. In order to identify potential new SNPs as well as to verify the annotated SNPs from the

Table 1 Searching for SNPs in breast cancer-related miRNAs in German familial breast cancer cases by sequencing

SNP ^a	Genotypes	Sequencing results (%) ^b	Distance to the pre-miRNA (bp)	Genotype frequencies in NCBI ^c	CGEMS data ^d	Linkage SNPs in 200 kb flanking region
rs12983273 miR-373	GG GA AA	Not sequenced	−127	GG = 0.76 GA = 0.37 AA = 0.08	Not investigated	No linkage SNPs with $r^2 > 0.8$
rs10425222 miR-373	CC AC AA	116 (93.5) 8 (6.5) 0 (0)	+172	CC = 0.93 AC = 0.07	Not investigated	rs4619513, $r^2 = 1.0$ not been investigated by CGEMS
New SNP miR-373	CC CT TT	115 (92.7) 9 (7.3) 0 (0)	+114	~	Not investigated	~
rs4636297 miR-126	GG GA AA	50 (43.9) 45 (39.5) 19 (16.7)	+12	~	Not investigated	~
rs3807348 miR-335	AA AG GG	60 (40.3) 57 (38.3) 32 (21.5)	+62	~	Not investigated	~
rs41272366 miR-335	TT TA AA	145 (97.3) 4 (2.7) 0 (0)	+20	~	Not investigated	~
rs1867863 miR-10b	GG GT TT	51 (36.7) 66 (47.5) 22 (15.8)	−61	GG = 0.41 GT = 0.47 TT = 0.12	Not investigated	rs4972806, $r^2 = 0.89$, $P = 0.31$ by CGEMS
rs731085 let-7a-3	CC CG GG	45 (39.1) 53 (46.1) 17 (14.8)	+63	No Caucasian data	Not investigated	~
rs895819 miR-27a	AA AG GG	53 (49.5) 40 (37.4) 14 (13.1)	In pre-miRNA-27a	GG = 0.55 AG = 0.37 AA = 0.08	Not investigated	~
rs11671784 miR-27a	CC CT TT	102 (95.3) 5 (4.7) 0	In pre-miRNA-27a	~	Not investigated	~
miR-21	~	100	~	~	~	~
miR-145	~	117	~	~	~	~
let-7a-1	~	144	~	~	~	~
let-7a-2	~	97	~	~	~	~

^a All SNPs located in breast cancer-related miRNA or their flanking regions (± 200 bp). The SNPs used in further investigation shown in bold letters

^b The miRNA genes (including the pre-miRNA and ± 200 bp flanking regions) were sequenced in a sample set of more than 100 familial breast cancer cases (the number of each genotype is given and percentage is given in bracket)

^c All the genotype frequencies listed here are Caucasian data from NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>)

^d Data from cancer genetic markers of susceptibility genome wide association study (CGEMS) (<https://caintegrator.nci.nih.gov/cgems/browseSetup.do>)

NCBI SNP database in these segments, we sequenced these 10 pre-miRNA genes in a sample set of more than 100 randomly chosen familial breast cancer cases. We found 10 SNPs in six pre-miRNAs or their flanking regions

(miR-373, miR-226, miR-335, miR-10b, let-7a-3, and miR-27a, Table 1); evaluation of miR-520c failed because of high homology with other genomic regions making specific primer design impossible. No SNPs were found in

the other four pre-miRNAs or their flanking regions (miR-21, miR-145, let-7a-1, and let-7a-2, Table 1).

In order to determine the most interesting candidates for the investigation of breast cancer risk, we analyzed 200 kb flanking regions of all the 10 SNPs, including the haplotype blocks of these SNPs by HaploView. We further investigated whether the SNPs themselves and their linkage SNPs ($r^2 \geq 0.8$) had been analyzed, so far, by the Cancer CGEMS. The CGEMS is a whole-genome association study conducted by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) enterprise to identify breast cancer susceptibility genes using Illumina HumanHap550 assays on approximately 1,200 breast cancer patients and 1,200 control subjects. If the SNPs or their linkage SNPs ($r^2 \geq 0.8$) have been analyzed in CGEMS and didn't show any indication for a possible association with breast cancer (P value of allele or genotype comparison between cases and controls is larger than 0.2), they were excluded from further analysis. This was the case for SNP rs1867863. Furthermore, SNPs with a rare homozygote frequency of less than 1% were also excluded due to the limited power of the study. Based on these criteria, 5 SNPs (rs12983273 located 127 bp upstream of pre-miR-373, rs4636297 located 12 bp downstream of miR-126, rs731085 located 63 bp downstream of pre-let-7a-3, rs3807348 located 62 bp downstream of pre-miR-335 and rs895819 in the pre-miR-27a) were identified (Table 1).

Genotype analysis of these SNPs was performed first on genomic DNA of a subset of our study cohort, which contains *BRCA1/2* mutation-negative index patients from 797 German breast cancer families with a mean age of 45.8 years, and 758 unrelated German control individuals with a mean age of 48 years. The TaqMan assay performance of rs4636297 in miR-126 failed and could not be improved even with adding DMSO, formamide or alternating the temperature profile, and thus, was not considered for further investigation. All of the samples sequenced previously were genotyped again by the TaqMan assay and attained concordance rates of 100%. As rs895819 showed a significant association with familial breast cancer, this SNP was further analyzed on an enlarged sample set (in total 1,217 familial breast cancer cases versus 1,422 controls; see materials and methods). In the investigation of rs895819 on the whole sample set, we reached a 97.7% callrate in cases (1,189) and a 99.5% callrate in controls (1,416). Genotype distributions in controls and cases were consistent with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). In all the SNPs analyzed, the P value is larger than 0.05. The TaqMan assay results were validated by regenotyping 10% of all the samples attaining concordance rates of more than 99.5% for all the investigated SNPs.

Genotype frequencies of rs12983273, rs731085, and rs3807348 were similar between familial breast cancer

cases and control samples, showing no association with familial breast cancer (Table 2). The analysis of the polymorphism rs895819, which is the only SNP located in the pre-miRNA, revealed a significant association with familial breast cancer. The rare G-variant allele was less frequent in cases than in controls ([G] vs. [A]: OR = 0.88, 95% CI 0.78–0.99, $P = 0.0287$; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0288$, Table 2).

The age stratification (age < 50 years and ≥ 50 years) showed that the protective effect of the [G] allele of rs895819 was mainly in the younger age group (<50 years of age) with slightly more decreased risk ([G] vs. [A]: OR = 0.83, 95% CI 0.70–0.98, $P = 0.0314$; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0336$, Table 3). In contrast, in the older age group (≥ 50 years of age), the genotype frequencies of rs895819 showed no significant association with familial breast cancer (Table 3). In the subgroup of bilateral familial breast cancer cases, the protective effect was even slightly stronger ([G] vs. [A]: OR = 0.70, 95% CI 0.52–0.95, $P = 0.0238$; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0215$, Table 3).

Discussion

In recent studies, increasing evidences suggest that miRNAs are crucial factors in cancer progress as oncogenes or tumor suppressors by degradation of the target mRNAs and/or inhibition of their translation [40]. MicroRNAs are also considered to be potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets in human cancers [41]. Variations in expression of miRNAs have been reported to be related to many tumors including breast cancer [20, 21], and miRNAs are also involved in breast cancer progress [42]. Differential miRNA expression could be caused by sequence variations, such as mutations and SNPs. So far, SNPs in the miRNA target sites have been widely studied [27–29], but the association between SNPs in miRNAs and familial breast cancer risk remains still largely unknown.

Our study is focused on the SNPs in the breast cancer-related miRNAs. In addition to affecting the target hybridization [27], a mutation or a SNP in a miRNA gene may also have an impact on the transcription and/or procession of the pri- and pre-miRNAs [43]. Thus, we took the pre-miRNAs and their flanking regions (about ± 200 bp) into consideration. Furthermore, as the mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* account for approximately 30% of familial breast cancer cases in Germany [38], only *BRCA1/2* mutation-negative familial breast cancer cases were included in our study to rule out the influence of the disease-associated mutations. Given our sample size, we obtained a power of 80% ($\alpha = 0.05$) to detect an OR of 0.80 for SNP rs895819, not considering the usage of familial cases. The power of an association study based on familial cases was even about two times higher than that of unselected cases [44, 45].

Table 2 Genotype frequencies of SNPs in selected miRNAs in German study population

SNP	Genotypes	Case (%)	Control (%)	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i>
miR-373 rs12983273	CC	566 (73.7)	540 (73.3)	1.000		
	CT	184 (24.0)	175 (23.7)	1.008	0.794–1.280	0.9477
	TT	18 (2.3)	22 (3.0)	0.854	0.451–1.619	0.6295
	[T] vs. [C]			0.947	0.795–1.193	0.7969
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.8009^a$
miR-335 rs3807348	AA	257 (32.9)	234 (31.8)	1.000		
	AG	373 (47.8)	368 (50.0)	0.917	0.729–1.153	0.4564
	GG	150 (19.2)	134 (18.2)	1.015	0.756–1.361	0.9224
	[G] vs. [A]			0.994	0.860–1.149	0.9372
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.9374^a$
let 7a-3 rs731085	GG	306 (39.2)	308 (42.2)	1.000		
	GC	365 (46.7)	324 (44.4)	1.125	0.904–1.400	0.2911
	CC	110 (14.1)	97 (13.3)	1.152	0.839–1.583	0.3827
	[C] vs. [G]			1.087	0.936–1.261	0.2741
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.2780^a$
miR-27a rs895919	AA	576 (48.4)	605 (42.7)	1.000		
	AG	486 (40.9)	660 (46.6)	0.774	0.657–0.911	0.0021
	GG	127 (10.7)	151 (10.7)	0.883	0.680–1.148	0.3543
	[G] vs. [A]			0.878	0.781–0.987	0.0287
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0288^a$

Adjusted for age; all analyses done with SAS Version 9.1 Proc Logistic

^a Chi-square test for trend**Table 3** Genotype frequencies of rs895819 within pre-miRNA-27a in familial breast cancer subgroups

SNP	Genotypes	Case (%)	Control (%)	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i>
miR-27a rs895919 age ≥ 50	AA	173 (45.4)	302 (43.5)	1.000		
	AG	167 (43.8)	319 (46.0)	0.915	0.701–1.193	0.5106
	GG	41 (10.8)	73 (10.5)	0.984	0.642–1.507	0.9397
	[G] vs. [A]			0.965	0.799–1.165	0.7099
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.7072^a$
miR-27a rs895919 age < 50	AA	403 (49.9)	303 (42.0)	1.000		
	AG	319 (39.5)	341 (47.2)	0.735	0.579–0.932	0.0109
	GG	86 (10.6)	78 (10.8)	0.785	0.536–1.149	0.2132
	[G] vs. [A]			0.830	0.701–0.984	0.0314
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0336^a$
miR-27a rs895919 bilateral	AA	61 (54.0)	605 (42.7)	1.000		
	AG	44 (38.9)	660 (46.6)	0.662	0.442–0.991	0.0448
	GG	8 (7.1)	151 (10.7)	0.525	0.246–1.121	0.0961
	[G] vs. [A]			0.703	0.518–0.954	0.0238
						$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.0215^a$

Adjusted for age; all analyses done with SAS Version 9.1 Proc Logistic

^a Chi-square test for trend

The three SNPs located in the pre-miRNA flanking regions (rs12983273 located 127 bp upstream of pre-miR-373, rs731085 located 63 bp downstream of pre-let-7a-3,

rs3807348 located 62 bp downstream of pre-miR-335, rs895819 in the pre-miR-27a, Table 1), did not show a significant association with familial breast cancer.

However, the [G] allele of rs895819 in the pre-miR-27a oncogene was associated with a decreased breast cancer risk. This effect was even stronger in the subgroup of <50 years of age and in bilateral familial breast cancer cases with slightly decreased respective ORs, whereas no significant effect was observed in the ≥ 50 years of age group. The potential age- or hormone-related effect is discussed later in this section. In bilateral breast cancer cases (a second primary tumor in the contralateral breast), it is believed that the underlying “mutation” is not limited to the epithelial cells of one breast but rather systemic variation [46]. Bilateral breast cancer is considered to have a strong genetic background [47]. Thus, the SNP effect is expected to be stronger in this subgroup. However, due to the limited samples size of bilateral cases, it is also possible that the association of rs895819 with reduced bilateral breast cancer risk was detected by chance.

The SNP rs895819 is located in the loop of pre-miR-27a. In order to investigate whether this variation might alter the secondary structure of the pre-miR-27a, we used the RNAfold program [48] (<http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/hybrid/>) to predict the most stable secondary structure of both wild-type and variant. According to the prediction of the RNAfold program, the [G] variant of rs895819 affected neither the pre-miR-27a's conformation nor the free energy (data not shown). It has been suggested that a mutation causing structural change in the crucial region of miRNA could affect the maturation and the process of miRNA [49–51]. Furthermore, Wu et al. found that even some SNPs with no influence on miRNA secondary structure can affect the expression of miRNA through some unclear mechanism, suggesting that the processing and maturation of miRNA is more complex and subtle than predicted [43]. Zeng et al. suggested that Drosha selectively cleaves RNA hairpins bearing a large terminal loop. When the loop is shortened by mutation or deletions, the maturation process will be harmed [52]. In their study, an A–G mutation was introduced to the terminal loop of miR-27a. As a result, reduced productions of both pre-miRNA-27a and mature miRNA-27a were observed when the size of the terminal loop was reduced by the new bonds formed by mutations [52]. According to the predicted structure of miR-27a, rs895819 is located in the center of the terminal loop. The [A]–[G] variant of rs895819 may result in an additional C–G binding with a [C] allele in the loop, which will probably reduce the size of the loop. Consequently the cleavage by Drosha would be blocked, and the maturation process is thereby inhibited. Piskounova et al. showed that some Drosha inhibitors such as Lin28, selectively bind to the terminal loop region of miRNA precursors and mediate the miRNA procession [53, 54]. Thus, it is also possible that the [A]–[G] variant changes the binding affinity of some Drosha inhibitors and

consequently affects the processing of miR-27a. In conclusion, we hypothesize that rs895819 may play an important role in the maturation of miR-27a. However, this hypothesis needs to be tested.

By means of the mRNA target gene prediction database (<http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/>), we found more than 1,000 target genes of miR-27a, including some cancer-related genes, such as aspartate-specific cysteine protease (*CASP8*) [55], mutL homolog 1 (*MLH1*), vascular endothelial growth factor C (*VEGFC*), and BCL2-associated X protein (*BAX*). Scott et al. found that the transcription of *ZBTB10* is up-regulated after miR-27a antisense transfection [56]. In consistence with this result, Mertens-Talcott et al. [36] suggested that transfection of antisense miR-27a results in increased expression of *ZBTB10* mRNA (a SP1 suppressor) and consequently decreases the expression of specificity proteins, SP1, SP3 and SP4, which are overexpressed in tumors and contribute to the proliferative and angiogenic phenotype of cancer cells. Moreover, the transfection of antisense miR-27a was also accompanied by decreased expression of Sp-dependent survival and angiogenic genes, such as *survivin*, vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*), and VEGF receptor 1 (*VEGFR1*) [36]. Mertens-Talcott et al. [36] also found the transfection of antisense miR-27a increases *Myt-1* mRNA levels and enhances phosphorylation of *cdc2*. Thus, the percentage of cells in G₂-M was consequently increased. Other studies also found that the suppression of miR-27a can inhibit gastric cancer cell growth [57] and overexpression of miR-27a increases the fat accumulation and cell proliferation of Hepatic stellate cells [58]. Therefore, miR-27a is considered as an oncogene. Our results consistently, showed that the wild genotype [AA] had higher frequency in cases than in controls, whereas the variant [G] allele reduced the risk of miR-27a. We propose that the [G] allele of rs895819 attenuates the maturation process of oncogenic miR-27a.

Several reports demonstrated that SP1 and other Sp proteins play an important role in regulation of 17 β -estradiol (E₂)-dependent genes in breast cancer cell lines and in many other cell-types [59, 60]. The 17 β -estradiol (E₂)-dependent interaction of estrogen receptor (ER) and Sp proteins modulates the activation of a cluster of genes [61, 62], for example, *c-fos*, IGF-binding protein 4 (*IGFBP-4*), B-cell lymphoma 2 (*Bcl2*), E2F transcription factor 1 (*E2F1*), vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*) and *cyclin D1* [63]. A recent study reported that Sp proteins are involved in the estrogen-induced transcription of *BRCA1* [64]. As the expression of Sp proteins is regulated by miR-27a [36], it may explain why the protective impact of the rs895819 variant in pre-miR-27a was predominantly observed in premenopausal woman.

Furthermore, the expression of *P*-glycoprotein and MDR1 mRNA is decreased by the treatment of multidrug

resistant (MDR) cancer cell lines with the antago-miRs of miR-27a, which is accompanied by enhanced intracellular accumulation of cytotoxic drugs that are transported by *P*-glycoprotein [65]. It suggests that the rs895819 variant might influence the therapeutic outcome. A report from Japan found the frequency of [G] allele of rs895819 to be increased in males with peptic ulcer or severe mucosal atrophy compared to control individuals [66], which is the opposite direction we have observed in our study. The study showed no significant association in the whole study population and female subgroup, whereas a borderline significant was found in the male subgroup [66]. As their investigated sample set was small (23 male controls and 91 male cases), their detected genotype frequency might not be representative. On the contrary, their result suggested a difference between male and female and indicated the possible gender specific effect of rs895819. It is the same as we hypothesized that miR-27a might be involved in the estrogen dependent pathway.

Our study systematically focused on SNPs located in breast cancer-related miRNAs. Recent studies have found more miRNAs involved in progression of breast cancer [67, 68]. Under strong selections, hundreds of miRNA genes have been found in various species, and many miRNA genes are highly conserved [2]. Thus, SNPs, especially SNPs with allele frequency larger than 5% are underrepresented in miRNAs. Because of the limited sample size of our study, we only investigated SNPs with an allele frequency > 5%. As the miRNAs have important biological functions, it would be of great relevance to investigate the SNPs in the miRNAs. Although some of the very rare mutations or SNPs are more likely to be already deleterious in earlier disease, it would be also interesting to investigate all SNPs and mutations within miRNAs, especially the ones in the miRNA ‘seed’ regions, for a putative effect on cancer risk. Owing to the rare frequency of these variants within miRNAs, very large study populations are required, which can only be achieved in multicenter collaborations.

In summary, we found that the [G] allele of rs895819, located in the terminal loop of in pre-miR-27a oncogene, is associated with reduced familial breast cancer risk. However, large studies from multicenter studies will be necessary to verify the association.

Acknowledgments We thank Ludwig Heesen, Michelle Dick, Ying Wang, and Anja Schwaeger for their help in genotyping. We are grateful to Bowang Chen for statistical analysis as well as to Sandrine Tchatchou for the technical support. The German breast cancer samples were collected as a part of a project funded by the Deutsche Krebshilfe (Grant number: 107054). This study was supported by the Helmholtz society, the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), EU, LSHC-CT-2004-503465, and the Dietmar-Hopp Foundation.

References

1. Bartel DP (2004) MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* 116:281–297
2. Ambros V (2004) The functions of animal microRNAs. *Nature* 431:350–355
3. Lee Y, Jeon K, Lee JT, Kim S, Kim VN (2002) MicroRNA maturation: stepwise processing and subcellular localization. *EMBO J* 21:4663–4670
4. Lee Y, Ahn C, Han J, Choi H, Kim J, Yim J, Lee J, Provost P, Radmark O, Kim S et al (2003) The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. *Nature* 425:415–419
5. Lund E, Guttinger S, Calado A, Dahlberg JE, Kutay U (2004) Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. *Science* 303:95–98
6. Hutvagner G, McLachlan J, Pasquinelli AE, Balint E, Tuschl T, Zamore PD (2001) A cellular function for the RNA-interference enzyme Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. *Science* 293:834–838
7. Ketting RF, Fischer SE, Bernstein E, Sijen T, Hannon GJ, Plasterk RH (2001) Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev* 15:2654–2659
8. Martinez J, Patkaniowska A, Urlaub H, Luhrmann R, Tuschl T (2002) Single-stranded antisense siRNAs guide target RNA cleavage in RNAi. *Cell* 110:563–574
9. Schwarz DS, Hutvagner G, Haley B, Zamore PD (2002) Evidence that siRNAs function as guides, not primers, in the Drosophila and human RNAi pathways. *Mol Cell* 10:537–548
10. Baltimore D, Boldin MP, O’Connell RM, Rao DS, Taganov KD (2008) MicroRNAs: new regulators of immune cell development and function. *Nat Immunol* 9:839–845
11. Brennecke J, Hipfner DR, Stark A, Russell RB, Cohen SM (2003) Bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene *hid* in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 113:25–36
12. Chang TC, Wentzel EA, Kent OA, Ramachandran K, Mullendore M, Lee KH, Feldmann G, Yamakuchi M, Ferlito M, Lowenstein CJ et al (2007) Transactivation of miR-34a by p53 broadly influences gene expression and promotes apoptosis. *Mol Cell* 26:745–752
13. Calin GA, Cimmino A, Fabbri M, Ferracin M, Wojcik SE, Shimizu M, Taccioli C, Zanesi N, Garzon R, Aqeilan RI et al (2008) MiR-15a and miR-16-1 cluster functions in human leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:5166–5171
14. Dews M, Homayouni A, Yu D, Murphy D, Seignani C, Wentzel E, Furth EE, Lee WM, Enders GH, Mendell JT et al (2006) Augmentation of tumor angiogenesis by a Myc-activated microRNA cluster. *Nat Genet* 38:1060–1065
15. Xiao C, Rajewsky K (2009) MicroRNA control in the immune system: basic principles. *Cell* 136:26–36
16. Johnson SM, Grosshans H, Shingara J, Byrom M, Jarvis R, Cheng A, Labourier E, Reinert KL, Brown D, Slack FJ (2005) RAS is regulated by the let-7 microRNA family. *Cell* 120:635–647
17. He L, Thomson JM, Hemann MT, Hernando-Monge E, Mu D, Goodson S, Powers S, Cordon-Cardo C, Lowe SW, Hannon GJ et al (2005) A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. *Nature* 435:828–833
18. O’Donnell KA, Wentzel EA, Zeller KI, Dang CV, Mendell JT (2005) c-Myc-regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression. *Nature* 435:839–843
19. Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ (2006) Oncomirs—microRNAs with a role in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 6:259–269
20. Calin GA, Croce CM (2006) MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. *Nat Rev Cancer* 6:857–866

21. Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D, Sweet-Cordero A, Ebert BL, Mak RH, Ferrando AA et al (2005) MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. *Nature* 435:834–838
22. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P (2005) Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin* 55:74–108
23. Hopper JL (2001) Genetic epidemiology of female breast cancer. *Semin Cancer Biol* 11:367–374
24. Narod SA (2002) Modifiers of risk of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2:113–123
25. Ponder BA (2001) Cancer genetics. *Nature* 411:336–341
26. Pharoah PD, Antoniou A, Bobrow M, Zimmern RL, Easton DF, Ponder BA (2002) Polygenic susceptibility to breast cancer and implications for prevention. *Nat Genet* 31:33–36
27. Tchatchou S, Jung A, Hemminki K, Sutter C, Wappenschmidt B, Bugert P, Weber BH, Niederacher D, Arnold N, Varon-Mateeva R et al (2009) A variant affecting a putative miRNA target site in estrogen receptor (ESR) 1 is associated with breast cancer risk in premenopausal women. *Carcinogenesis* 30:59–64
28. Landi D, Gemignani F, Naccarati A, Pardini B, Vodicka P, Vodickova L, Novotny J, Forsti A, Hemminki K, Canzian F et al (2008) Polymorphisms within micro-RNA-binding sites and risk of sporadic colorectal cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 29:579–584
29. Kapeller J, Houghton LA, Monnikes H, Walstab J, Moller D, Bonisch H, Burwinkel B, Autschbach F, Funke B, Lasitschka F et al (2008) First evidence for an association of a functional variant in the microRNA-510 target site of the serotonin receptor-type 3E gene with diarrhea predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 17:2967–2977
30. Tavazoie SF, Alarcon C, Oskarsson T, Padua D, Wang Q, Bos PD, Gerald WL, Massague J (2008) Endogenous human microRNAs that suppress breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* 451:147–152
31. Ma L, Teruya-Feldstein J, Weinberg RA (2007) Tumour invasion and metastasis initiated by microRNA-10b in breast cancer. *Nature* 449:682–688
32. Yu F, Yao H, Zhu P, Zhang X, Pan Q, Gong C, Huang Y, Hu X, Su F, Lieberman J et al (2007) let-7 regulates self renewal and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells. *Cell* 131:1109–1123
33. Huang Q, Gumireddy K, Schrier M, le Sage C, Nagel R, Nair S, Egan DA, Li A, Huang G, Klein-Szanto AJ et al (2008) The microRNAs miR-373 and miR-520c promote tumour invasion and metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol* 10:202–210
34. Lu L, Katsaros D, de la Longrais IA, Sochirca O, Yu H (2007) Hypermethylation of let-7a-3 in epithelial ovarian cancer is associated with low insulin-like growth factor-II expression and favorable prognosis. *Cancer Res* 67:10117–10122
35. Sempere LF, Christensen M, Silahatoglu A, Bak M, Heath CV, Schwartz G, Wells W, Kauppinen S, Cole CN (2007) Altered microRNA expression confined to specific epithelial cell subpopulations in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 67:11612–11620
36. Mertens-Talcott SU, Chintharlapalli S, Li X, Safe S (2007) The oncogenic microRNA-27a targets genes that regulate specificity protein transcription factors and the G2-M checkpoint in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 67:11001–11011
37. Frankel LB, Christoffersen NR, Jacobsen A, Lindow M, Krogh A, Lund AH (2008) Programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4) is an important functional target of the microRNA miR-21 in breast cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* 283:1026–1033
38. Meindl A (2002) Comprehensive analysis of 989 patients with breast or ovarian cancer provides BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation profiles and frequencies for the German population. *Int J Cancer* 97:472–480
39. Dupont WD, Plummer WD Jr (1998) Power and sample size calculations for studies involving linear regression. *Control Clin Trials* 19:589–601
40. Zhang B, Pan X, Cobb GP, Anderson TA (2007) MicroRNAs as oncogenes and tumor suppressors. *Dev Biol* 302:1–12
41. Osaki M, Takeshita F, Ochiya T (2008) MicroRNAs as biomarkers and therapeutic drugs in human cancer. *Biomarkers* 13:658–670
42. Verghese ET, Hanby AM, Speirs V, Hughes TA (2008) Small is beautiful: microRNAs and breast cancer—where are we now? *J Pathol* 215:214–221
43. Wu M, Jolicœur N, Li Z, Zhang L, Fortin Y, L'Abbe D, Yu Z, Shen SH (2008) Genetic variations of microRNAs in human cancer and their effects on the expression of miRNAs. *Carcinogenesis* 29:1710–1716
44. Houlston RS, Peto J (2003) The future of association studies of common cancers. *Hum Genet* 112:434–435
45. Antoniou AC, Easton DF (2003) Polygenic inheritance of breast cancer: implications for design of association studies. *Genet Epidemiol* 25:190–202
46. Weitzel JN, Robson M, Pasini B, Manoukian S, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Lynch HT, McLennan J, Foulkes WD, Wagner T, Tung N et al (2005) A comparison of bilateral breast cancers in BRCA carriers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev* 14:1534–1538
47. Greene MH (1997) Genetics of breast cancer. *Mayo Clin Proc* 72:54–65
48. Markham NR, Zuker M (2005) DINAMelt web server for nucleic acid melting prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33:W577–W581
49. Jazdzewski K, Murray EL, Franssila K, Jarzab B, Schoenberg DR, de la Chapelle A (2008) Common SNP in pre-miR-146a decreases mature miR expression and predisposes to papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:7269–7274
50. Hu Z, Chen J, Tian T, Zhou X, Gu H, Xu L, Zeng Y, Miao R, Jin G, Ma H et al (2008) Genetic variants of miRNA sequences and non-small cell lung cancer survival. *J Clin Invest* 118:2600–2608
51. Duan R, Pak C, Jin P (2007) Single nucleotide polymorphism associated with mature miR-125a alters the processing of pri-miRNA. *Hum Mol Genet* 16:1124–1131
52. Zeng Y, Yi R, Cullen BR (2005) Recognition and cleavage of primary microRNA precursors by the nuclear processing enzyme Drosha. *EMBO J* 24:138–148
53. Piskounova E, Viswanathan SR, Janas M, LaPierre RJ, Daley GQ, Sliz P, Gregory RI (2008) Determinants of microRNA processing inhibition by the developmentally regulated RNA-binding protein Lin28. *J Biol Chem* 283:21310–21314
54. Newman MA, Thomson JM, Hammond SM (2008) Lin-28 interaction with the Let-7 precursor loop mediates regulated microRNA processing. *RNA* 14:1539–1549
55. Sun T, Gao Y, Tan W, Ma S, Shi Y, Yao J, Guo Y, Yang M, Zhang X, Zhang Q et al (2007) A six-nucleotide insertion-deletion polymorphism in the CASP8 promoter is associated with susceptibility to multiple cancers. *Nat Genet* 39:605–613
56. Scott GK, Mattie MD, Berger CE, Benz SC, Benz CC (2006) Rapid alteration of microRNA levels by histone deacetylase inhibition. *Cancer Res* 66:1277–1281
57. Liu T, Tang H, Lang Y, Liu M, Li X (2009) MicroRNA-27a functions as an oncogene in gastric adenocarcinoma by targeting prohibitin. *Cancer Lett* 273:233–242
58. Ji J, Zhang J, Huang G, Qian J, Wang X, Mei S (2009) Over-expressed microRNA-27a and 27b influence fat accumulation and cell proliferation during rat hepatic stellate cell activation. *FEBS Lett* 583:759–766
59. Safe S, Abdelrahim M (2005) Sp transcription factor family and its role in cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 41:2438–2448
60. Safe S, Kim K (2004) Nuclear receptor-mediated transactivation through interaction with Sp proteins. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 77:1–36

61. Stoner M, Wormke M, Saville B, Samudio I, Qin C, Abdelrahim M, Safe S (2004) Estrogen regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression in ZR-75 breast cancer cells through interaction of estrogen receptor alpha and SP proteins. *Oncogene* 23:1052–1063
62. Porter W, Saville B, Hoivik D, Safe S (1997) Functional synergy between the transcription factor Sp1 and the estrogen receptor. *Mol Endocrinol* 11:1569–1580
63. Safe S, Kim K (2008) Non-classical genomic estrogen receptor (ER)/specificity protein and ER/activating protein-1 signaling pathways. *J Mol Endocrinol* 41:263–275
64. Hockings JK, Degner SC, Morgan SS, Kemp MQ, Romagnolo DF (2008) Involvement of a specificity proteins-binding element in regulation of basal and estrogen-induced transcription activity of the BRCA1 gene. *Breast Cancer Res* 10:R29
65. Zhu H, Wu H, Liu X, Evans BR, Medina DJ, Liu CG, Yang JM (2008) Role of microRNA miR-27a and miR-451 in the regulation of MDR1/P-glycoprotein expression in human cancer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 76:582–588
66. Arisawa T, Tahara T, Shibata T, Nagasaka M, Nakamura M, Kamiya Y, Fujita H, Hasegawa S, Takagi T, Wang FY et al (2007) A polymorphism of microRNA 27a genome region is associated with the development of gastric mucosal atrophy in Japanese male subjects. *Dig Dis Sci* 52:1691–1697
67. Wu H, Zhu S, Mo YY (2009) Suppression of cell growth and invasion by miR-205 in breast cancer. *Cell Res* 19:439–448
68. Shen J, Ambrosone CB, Zhao H (2009) Novel genetic variants in microRNA genes and familial breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 124:1178–1182