

Structure of a toothed cetacean community around a tropical island (Mayotte, Mozambique Channel)

Jeremy Kiszka, Peter Ersts, Vincent Ridoux

▶ To cite this version:

Jeremy Kiszka, Peter Ersts, Vincent Ridoux. Structure of a toothed cetacean community around a tropical island (Mayotte, Mozambique Channel). African Journal of Marine Science, 2010, pp.543-551. hal-00606322

HAL Id: hal-00606322

https://hal.science/hal-00606322

Submitted on 6 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Structure of a toothed cetacean community around a tropical island (Mayotte Island, Mozambique Channel)

3 4

2

1

J Kiszka^{1,2*}, PJ Ersts³ and V Ridoux¹

5

- 6 ¹ Formerly Observatoire des Mammifères Marins. Direction de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt &
- 7 Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage. BP 103, 97600 Mamoudzou, Mayotte;
- 8 now Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 6250, CNRS-Université de La
- 9 Rochelle, 2, rue Olympe de Gouges, F-17000, La Rochelle, France
- 10 ²Direction de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable, Collectivité Départementale de
- 11 Mayotte. BP 101 F-97600 Mamoudzou, Mayotte
- ³Center for Biodiversity and Conservation, American Museum of Natural History, Central
- 13 Park West at 79th Street, New York, 10024 USA

dependant on coral reef complexes.

14 *Corresponding author, email: jeremy.kiszka@wanadoo.fr

15

16 We describe the structure of a toothed cetacean community around the island of Mayotte 17 (South-West Indian Ocean, 45°10'E, 12°50'S), using data collected from small boat-based 18 surveys conducted between July 2004 and June 2006. In all, 16 odontocete species were 19 recorded. Diversity (Shannon-Weaver index) was particularly high along the outer slope of 20 the barrier reef. Patterns of spatial distribution underscore the existence of three main 21 cetacean habitat types: the inner lagoon (Indo Pacific bottlenose dolphin Tursiops aduncus, 22 and humpback dolphin Sousa chinensis), the outer reef slope (Spinner dolphin Stenella 23 longirostris, Pantropical spotted dolphin S. Attenuate and melon-headed whale 24 Peponocephala electra) and oceanic waters deeper than 500 m (e.g. Blainville's beaked 25 whale Mesoplodon densirostris). Group characteristics were highly variable among species. 26 with oceanic small delphinids characterised by larger group sizes than strictly coastal and 27 non-delphinid oceanic species. The outer slope of the barrier reef appears to be of primary 28 importance in terms of density and diversity of odontocetes around Mayotte. Results support 29 the hypothesis that a number of cetacean species, particularly several delphinid species, are

31 32

30

Keywords: barrier reef slope, cetaceans, community composition, distribution, encounter rates, Indian Ocean, Mayotte, odontocetes

3334

Introduction

A biological community can be defined as the populations of organisms that co-exist in an ecosystem (or habitat). Descriptions of biological communities may consider all taxonomic groups in an ecosystem or be limited to a single functional or taxonomic group (e.g. marine top predators or cetaceans respectively). Characterising biological communities is necessary not only for understanding ecosystem structure and functioning (including trophodynamics), but for providing baseline information against which effects of ecosystem changes can be gauged and to identify critical areas for conservation management. Cetaceans perform a role as top predator in various marine ecosystems (e.g. coastal, slope-associated and oceanic, etc.). The distribution, diversity and group characteristics of cetacean communities have been described for marine ecosystems from polar to tropical waters, including Antarctic waters (Thiele et al. 2000), the Mediterranean Sea (Gannier 2005), off the Bahamas (MacLeod et al. 2004), the Gulf of Mexico (Maze-Foley and Mullin 2006), the South-West Atlantic (Moreno et al. 2005) and in French Polynesia (Gannier 2000, 2002). These studies, conducted at the scale of oceanic basins, regions or archipelagos, have shown that cetacean species partition their habitat according to a number of abiotic and biotic environmental variables, such as physiography and primary production. Most cetacean habitat studies have found that depth was one of the primary environmental features explaining cetacean distribution (e.g. Cañadas et al. 2002).

The diversity and density of marine top predators including cetaceans at local (insular or archipelago) scales appears to be high relative to ocean-basin or regional scales (Gannier 2000, 2002, Baird et al. 2003). Similar to continental margins, where the land plunges to the deep oceanic waters, insular slopes potentially provide more abundant resources and perform essential functions such as nutrient cycling (Levin and Dayton 2009). Turbulence and vertical mixing in island channels are believed to create nutrient-rich conditions around archipelagos (Gilmartin and Revelante 1974). The formation of these isolated, nutrient-rich regions, especially in the oligotrophic regimes of the tropics where ocean productivity is generally low, is the primary reason why islands and archipelagos can serve as 'oases' of biodiversity. These oases are of critical importance for conservation and management actions that require examination over a range of spatial and temporal scales. Despite this, relatively few studies of cetacean community structure around tropical islands and atolls have been conducted thus far (MacLeod et al. 2004, Anderson 2005, Dulau-Drouot et al. 2008, Hermans and Pistorius 2008).

Mayotte is characterised by diverse ecosystems that are in close proximity to each other, i.e. mangroves, fringing reefs, a large semi-closed lagoon, barrier and double-barrier reef systems, and deep oceanic waters within a few kilometres from shore (Quod et al. 2000). The cetacean community is mostly composed of delphinids but it also includes large odontocetes (e.g. ziphiids, kogiids, physeterids), blue whales *Balaenoptera musculus* and humpback whales *Megaptera novaeagliae*. Although some of the species recorded are rare, all are present year-round, with the exception of humpback whales.

In view of the growing need to identify critical areas for marine biodiversity conservation, both locally and regionally, this paper describes the general structure of the odontocete community encountered around the island of Mayotte. We present the diversity of species occurring in the island's surrounding waters in relation to the main habitat types and provide details on the spatial distribution and encounter rates of the most common species.

Materials and methods

Study area

Mayotte (45°10'E, 12°50'S) is situated in the northern Mozambique Channel and is part of the Comoros archipelago (Figure 1). The island is almost entirely surrounded by a 197 km long barrier reef, with a second double-barrier in the south-west and the immerged reef complex of Iris Bank in the north-west. There are a series of deep passes through the reefs, some of which are the sites of ancient rivers (Quod et al. 2000). The area of the lagoon and surrounding reef complexes is about 1 500 km² with an average of 20 m and a maximum depth of 80 m in the western, older region of the lagoon. There are some 20 small islets in the lagoon, ranging from 1 ha to 242 ha, each of which is surrounded by fringing reefs. There are approximately 670 ha of mangrove forests around the main island, especially in protected bays (Quod et al. 2000). The insular slope on the exterior of the barrier reef is very steep and contains many submarine canyons. Broad canyons, with numerous volcanoes and landslides, deeply incise the slope (Audru et al. 2006).

Data collection

Between July 2004 and June 2006, small boat-based surveys were undertaken in the waters surrounding Mayotte. Several types of boats were used: a 7-m catamaran equipped with two four-stroke, 60-hp outboard engines; a 7-m boat equipped with two two-stroke, 40-hp outboard engines; and a 6.4 m cabin boat equipped with one four-stroke, 150-hp outboard engine. Surveys were conducted throughout the study period during daylight hours between 07:00h and 18:00h, in sea conditions not exceeding Beaufort 3. Survey vessels did not follow pre-defined transects but every attempt was made to sample each habitat type within the surrounding waters of Mayotte, i.e. coastal areas (mangrove fronts, fringing reef), lagoon waters, barrier reef-associated areas (inner and outer slopes) and oceanic/slope waters (>500 m). Constant GPS logging was used to collect geographic positions every five seconds between departure from and return to the harbour, using a hand-GPS Garmin Gecko®. When cetaceans were encountered, standard sighting data were recorded; i.e. species, group size (maximum, minimum, best estimate) and geographic position. For small aggregations of cetaceans, group size was defined as the number of animals at the surface within five body lengths of each other (Smolker et al. 1992). Large aggregations of small delphinids often consisted of a super group, comprised of several smaller animal units or aggregations (typically 2-20), spaced several dozen-hundreds meters apart (typically 50-200 m), moving in the same direction and exhibiting similar patterns of behaviour. For these large aggregations, group size reflects the size of the super group not the individual aggregations.

Data analysis

Only data for odontocetes were used in this study. Ten geographic zones were defined around the island, based on their general location and environmental characteristics (see Figure 1, Table 2). These geographic zones were grouped into three broad habitat categories to assess cetacean diversity for each habitat type: inner lagoon, outer reef slope (depth <500 m) and oceanic waters (depth >500 m). Encounter rate was defined as the number of sightings per unit of effort (*N* sightings/effort), expressed in hours. Species richness (*S*), which is the number of species present in an area, was considered to be an inappropriate measure of diversity on its own because it fails to take into account whether each species is rare or common. Therefore the Shannon-Weaver index (*H*) was also employed. The Shannon-Weaver index is one of several diversity indices used to measure diversity in categorical data. This diversity measure is derived from information theory and measures the order (or disorder) observed within a particular system. In ecological studies, this order is characterised by the number of individuals observed for each species in the sample plot:

 $H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} Pi \ln Pi$

where *Pi* is the relative abundance of each species. The Shannon-Weaver index was calculated for the whole study area and for each of the three broad habitat categories.

Values of the median, minimum and inter-quartile ranges of depth are provided to describe bathymetric preferences for each species. Depth data provided by *Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine* were associated with each sighting location using an overlay technique in a GIS. After GPS track data were downloaded a track point for each second was estimated using interpolation. The tracking data were then post-processed to isolate portions of track spent 'on effort', which were subsequently used to calculate the effort within each 2 km² and geographic zone (Table 2, Figure 2).

Results

General

Between July 2004 and June 2006, more than 441 hours were spent in 'search mode', actively searching for marine mammals around Mayotte. Search effort did not vary across months and years (Kruskal-Wallis test: H = 4.167; df = 3: p = 0.244). Because the main harbour is located on the north-east coast, observation effort was greater off the east coast, in the south and the north. The western portion of the lagoon and deep oceanic waters were surveyed less (Table 1, Figure 1). Melon-headed whales *Peponephala electra*, pantropical spotted dolphins *Stenella attenuata* and spinner dolphins *S. Longirostris* had the largest group sizes (mean = 287.8, 70.9 and 72.8 respectively; Table 1), and were frequently encountered on the outer reef slope. More coastal species, such as Indo-Pacific humpback whales *Sousa chinensis* (mean = 2.4) and bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops aduncus* (mean = 6.5), had the smallest group size (Table 2).

Diversity and distribution

During this study, 16 odontocete species were recorded (species Richness), including 11 Delphinidae belonging to nine genera, two Ziphiidae, two Kogidae and one Physeteridae (Table 1). The Shannon-Weaver index of diversity for the entire region was 1.76, but the index varied between geographic zones: H = 0.57 for the inner lagoon (four species recorded), H = 1.31 for the outer reef slope (five species) and H = 0.62 for the oceanic waters (12 species). The higher index for the outer-reef slope area was due to equitability in

abundance between the species present in this area (reflected in their group sizes) – a community with an equitable distribution of abundances between species is more diverse than a community with variable species abundances. Conversely, in oceanic waters, there was greater variability in group sizes between species (high group size in delphinids vs. low in the largest toothed whales), lowered the index. Spatial distribution of cetaceans encountered around Mayotte was highly variable. Spinner and pantropical spotted dolphins had similar distributions along the outer-reef slope and on the Iris Bank and were rarely observed inside the lagoon (Figure 2). Indo-Pacific bottlenose and humpback dolphins *Sousa chinensis* were observed mainly inside the lagoon and the former were also regularly observed on the Iris Bank, in waters <40 m (Figure 3a, Table 3). Melon-headed whales were found on the outer reef slope area and in the shallower waters of the Iris Bank, but were never sighted inside the lagoon (Table 1 and 3, Figure 3b).

The other delphinids were oceanic species and were observed farther offshore, including common bottlenose dolphins (mean depth at encounter, MDE=509 m), Risso's dolphins *Grampus griseus* (MDE=1,150), Fraser's dolphins *Lagenodelphis hosei* (MDE=336 m), false killer whales *Pseudorca crassidens* (MDE=1 168 m), short-finned pilot whale *Globicephala macrorhynchus* (MDE=996 m) and pygmy killer whales *Feresa attenuata* (MDE=1,593 m, Figure 3b). Although rarely encountered, larger toothed whales such as Blainville's beaked whale *Mesoplodon densirostris*(Table 3), pygmy sperm whales *Kogia breviceps* (MDE=705 m), dwarf sperm whales (*K. sima*) (MDE=919 m) and Longman's beaked whales *Indopacetus pacificus* (MDE=1 945 m), were also observed in deep waters off the barrier reef and over the slope (Figure 3c).

Encounter rates

Encounter rates were derived for the coastal species (Indo-Pacific bottlenose and humpback dolphins), pantropical spotted and spinner dolphins, melon-headed whales, oceanic delphinids, and the large toothed whales (beaked and sperm whales) in each geographic zone (Table 2). Although search effort varied between geographic zones, certain trends were apparent, especially for the most common species, once sightings were standardised for this. For coastal species, the highest encounter rate was in the western portion of the lagoon (0.36 group h⁻¹), in the south-eastern lagoon (0.25 group h⁻¹) and on the Iris Bank (0.22 group h⁻¹). Spinner dolphins were encountered regularly on the eastern outer slope (1.04 group h⁻¹), but more frequently along the southern slope (1.94 group h⁻¹). A similar trend was found for pantropical spotted dolphins in the latter area (0.93 group h⁻¹). Beaked whales were rarely encountered and only in waters deeper than 500 m.

Discussion

222223224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

221

To date, at least 31 cetacean species have been recorded in the south-west Indian Ocean, including 23 odontocetes (Kiszka et al. 2009). The odontocete community around Mayotte has a number of notable characteristics. Species richness within the area is high, especially in waters deeper than 500 m (12 species recorded vs. five in the outer-reef slope area and four inside the lagoon). The Shannon-Weaver index was significantly lower in oceanic waters (>500 m) and inside the lagoon, because of the high variability of abundance among species in these regions. For example, in oceanic waters, delphinids have a significantly higher abundance (defined by group size) than beaked whales and sperm whales. Conversely, on the outer- reef slope, species richness is lower (five species) but abundance there is more similar among species, making the outer-reef slope community more diverse.] A previous description of cetacean diversity around Mayotte by Kiszka et al. (2007) documented the presence of 17 species, of which 15 were odontocetes. There was also an unsubstantiated sighting (photographic evidence lacking) of a ginkgo-toothed beaked whale Mesoplodon ginkgodens. Our study did not confirm the presence of M. ginkgodens but added the pygmy sperm whale Kogia breviceps to the species list for Mayotte waters. In addition, the killer whale Orcinus orca has been observed on several occasions by whale-watching operators in the recent years (N Bertrand, Sea Blue Safari, pers. comm.). The species richness of the odontocete community around Mayotte is high relative to other tropical islands and archipelagos such as La Réunion in the Mascarenes (eight odontocete species; Dulau-Drouot et al. 2008), Great Abaco in northern Bahamas (seven odontocete species; MacLeod et al. 2004), Aldabra in southern Seychelles (12 odontocete species; Hermans and Pistorius 2008), and the whole Hawaiian archipelago (14 odontocete species; Baird et al. 2003). However, certain oceanic species that have been recorded in the South-West Indian Ocean have not yet been recorded around Mayotte. These include Cuvier's beaked whale Ziphius cavirostris, the rough-toothed dolphin Steno bredanensis and the striped dolphin Stenella coeruleoalba. Their absence in this study may be an artefact of lower observation effort undertaken in offshore waters. Overall, the high diversity of odontocetes recorded around the island may be linked to the diversity of habitat types encountered there, especially in comparison to other oceanic islands that do not have lagoon or/and extended coral complexes. However, it remains difficult to compare study areas directly, because the number of species recorded is also linked to the spatial and temporal distribution of effort.

255256

257

Detailed descriptions of the distributions of four delphinid around Mayotte are provided by Gross et al. (2009). Our study confirms that around the island, the Indo-Pacific bottlenose

dolphin has a coastal and shallow-water distribution. The coastal affinity of this species has been documented in other areas of the south-west Indian Ocean, such as at La Réunion (Dulau-Drouot et al. 2008) and off the south coast of Zanzibar (Stensland et al. 2006). Another species documented in our study, the Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin, was encountered infrequently, which precluded detailed analysis of its distribution and habitat characteristics. Along the outer-reef slope, spinner and pantropical spotted dolphins were encountered regularly; these were the most abundant cetacean species found around Mayotte. A comparative habitat analysis by Gross et al. (2009) confirmed that these two species overlap in their distributions, as well as in their isotopic niches, which could indicate possible competition between these sibling species. Habitat features of spinner dolphin around Mayotte are slightly different from those in other areas. In French Polynesia, Hawaii, and the Maldivian atolls, these dolphins enter atolls, sheltered bays and lagoons through reef channels in the morning and leave in the afternoon to feed overnight (Würsig et al. 1994, Anderson 2005, Gannier and Petiau 2006). Around Mayotte, the spinner dolphins under study generally inhabited the outer-reef slope, within a greater depth range than has been previously reported (Norris et al 1994, Gannier and Petiau 2006). In addition, their mean school size of 72.8 animals was slightly higher than in other areas, such as La Réunion (mean = 51.2) and in the Maldives (mean = 58.2) (Anderson 2005). The reasons why spinner dolphins do not regularly use lagoonal waters around Mayotte, even though the habitat conditions appear to be ideal for this species, remain uncertain. One possibility is that they are excluded from the lagoon by the presence of Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins, a larger. and possibly more dominant, territorial species. Pantropical spotted dolphins demonstrate a wide range of distribution and habitat characteristics around Mayotte, utilising both shallow and oceanic waters along the outer-reef slope. This species was most frequently observed close to the reef on the outer-reef slope. In Golfo Dulce, along the Pacific coast of Costa Rica, pantropical spotted dolphin occur in shallow waters (mean = 92.7 m; Cubero-Pardo 2007), whereas at La Réunion, the species is only encountered in relatively deep waters (mean = 881 m; Dulau-Drouot et al. 2008).

286287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

Melon-headed whales have been reported at a number of island groups, including the Hawaii archipelago, the Philippines, French Polynesia and in the Indian Ocean (Gannier 2000, 2002, Baird et al. 2003, Anderson 2005, Dolar et al. 2006, Kiszka et al. 2007, 2010, Dulau-Drouot et al. 2008, and Brownell et al. 2009). They are generally accepted as having a global distribution, preferring deep tropical and warm-temperate waters (Perryman 2002). In contrast to areas such as Hawaii, La Réunion and the Gulf of Mexico, melon-headed whales around Mayotte were encountered in shallower waters, in the vicinity of the barrier reef where they appeared to engage in resting/socialising behaviour. This daylight behaviour,

which has been observed in other areas throughout the species' range (Brownell et al. 2009), suggests that melon-headed whales use shallower waters to rest and socialise, but feed in deeper waters (probably on the slope).

Several large oceanic delphinids (e.g. Risso's dolphin, short-finned pilot whale, false killer whale), beaked whales (e.g. Blainville's beaked whale) and sperm whales (sperm whale, dwarf and pygmy sperm whales) were encountered during our study, but relatively infrequently. These species are found throughout the south-west Indian Ocean (Leatherwood and Donovan 1991, Kiszka et al. 2009), preferring the slope and oceanic waters (Baird et al. 2003, Whitehead 2003, MacLeod and Zuur 2005). However, the encounter rate for Blainville's beaked whales was particularly high around Mayotte (0.09 groups h⁻¹ in waters >500 m), similar to the rate as observed off Little Bahama Bank in the Caribbean (0.07 groups h⁻¹, MacLeod and Zuur 2005) but higher than in the main Hawaiian Islands (0.012 groups h⁻¹; RW Baird, pers. comm. Cascadia Research Collective). The abundance of beaked whales encountered around Mayotte could be attributed to the number of broad submarine canyons that deeply incise the outer slope of the island, which may concentrate the main prey of these teuthophageous predators (MacLeod et al. 2003, Audru et al. 2006).

It is evident that the outer-reef slope is of primary importance in terms of density and diversity of odontocetes around Mayotte. This particular habitat (or collection of habitats) provides resting and foraging areas for several species, such as spinner dolphins, pantropical spotted dolphins and melon-headed whales (Norris and Dohl 1979, Würsig et al. 1994, Brownell et al. 2009). Many oceanic species also make regular incursions into these habitats, including the short-finned pilot whale, which have been observed in close proximity to the barrier reef around Mayotte while resting. Shallow waters that provide protected areas with few predators, in close proximity to oceanic foraging habitats, apparently provides an attractive environment for cetaceans. The affinity of cetaceans for the outer reef slope suggests probable dependence of the cetaceans on coral reef systems as major feeding and resting areas. The current decline of coral reefs, both at the global and regional scale in the western Indian Ocean (MacClanahan et al. 2007), should be considered as a possible long-term loss of toothed cetacean habitat.

Conclusion

The lagoon and adjacent outer-slope waters of Mayotte support a high diversity of toothed cetaceans, particularly delphinids. This community includes coastal, semi-pelagic/oceanic and oceanic species. The high diversity of species combined with the sizes of aggregations

underline the importance of Mayotte to cetaceans. It is noteworthy that there is a large overlap in the distribution of several delphinid, especially in species living along the outer-reef slope, as shown by Gross et al. (2009). Because species should occupy their own niche, some fine-scale segregation processes should occur, which need to be assessed through indepth habitat analyses. Our results provide important, previously unavailable, descriptive information that is critical for conservation and management efforts. Human activities, especially maritime traffic fishing pressure and disturbances from commercial whale and dolphin watching activities, are escalating in the coastal and lagoon waters of Mayotte. Further effort is needed to assess the spatial and temporal interactions between maritime human activities and cetaceans around this rapidly developing island.

Acknowledgements — Funding for field work was provided by the Ministère de l'Energie, l'Ecologie, le Développement Durable et de l'Aménagement du Territoire (MEEDDAT) and the Collectivité Départementale de Mayotte (CDM). Data were collected during a programme conducted by the Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage (ONCFS) and the Agriculture and Forestry Office (Direction de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt). We thank Robert L Brownell and Colin MacLeod for their helpful comments on the early version of the manuscript. We also thank Robin Rolland, Alban Jamon, Julien Wickel, Wilfrid Fousse, Ismaël Ousseni (DAF), Sarah Caceres, Franck Charlier, Denis Girou (ONCFS), Didier Fray (CDM) and the personnel of Brigade Nature (CDM/ONCFS) for assistance in the field. Colin MacLeod, Robert L. Brownell and Robin W. Baird are thanked for providing helpful comments on the earlier versions of the manuscript. The valuable comments of Sal Cerchio and one anonymous reviewer are appreciated.

References

Anderson RC. 2005. Observations of cetaceans in the Maldives, 1990-2002. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 7: 119-135.

Au DWK, Perryman WL. 1985. Dolphin habitats in the eastern tropical Pacific. *Fishery Bulletin, Washington* 83: 623-643.

Audru JC, Guennoc P, Thinon I, Abellard O. 2006. Bathymay: la structure sous-marine de Mayotte révélée par l'imagerie multifaisceaux. *Geoscience* 338: 1240-1249.

Baird RW, McSweeney DJ, Webster DL, Gorgone AM, Ligon AD. 2003. Studies of odontocete population structure in Hawaiian waters: results of a survey through the main Hawaiian Islands in May and June 2003. Report prepared under contract no.AB133F-02-CN-0106 from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Western Administrative Support Center, Seattle, USA.

Ballance LT, Pitman RL. 1998. Cetaceans in the western tropical Indian Ocean: distribution, relative
abundance and comparison with cetacean communities of two other tropical ecosystems.
Marine Mammal Science 14: 429-459.
Bearzi M. 2005. Aspects of the ecology and behaviour of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in
Santa Monica Bay, California. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7: 75-83.
Begon M, Townsend CR, Harper JL (eds). 2009. Ecology: from individuals to ecosystems (4th edn),
Blackwell Publishing, Victoria, Australia.
Brownell RL, Ralls K, Baumann-Pickering S, Poole MM. 2009. Behavior of melon-headed whales,
Peponocephala electra, near oceanic islands. Marine Mammal Science 25: 639-658.
Cañadas A, Sagarminaga R, Garcia-Tiscar S. 2002. Cetacean distribution related to depth and slope
in the Mediterranean waters of southern Spain. Deep-Sea Research I 49: 2053-2073.
Chapman JL, Reiss MJ (eds). 1999. Ecology: principles and applications (2nd edn). Cambridge, UK:
Cambridge University Press.
Corkeron PJ. 1990. Aspects of the behavioral ecology of inshore dolphins <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> and
Sousa chinensis in Moreton Bay, Australia. In: Leatherwood S, Reeves RR (eds), The
bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, CA: Academic Press. pp 285–293.
Cubero-Pardo P. 2007. Environmental factors governing the distribution of the bottlenose (<i>Tursiops</i>
truncatus) and the spotted dolphin (Stenella attenuata) in Golfe Duce, South Pacific, off Costa
Rica. Invest. Mar Valparaiso . 35: 15-23.
Dawbin WH. 1966. The seasonal migratory cycle of humpback whales. In: Norris KS (ed.), Whales,
dolphins, and porpoises. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp 145–70.
dolphilis, and porposes. Berkeley. Offiversity of California Fress. pp 143–70.
Dolar ML, Perrin WF, Taylor BT, Kooyman GT, Alava MNR. 2006. Abundance and distributional
ecology of cetaceans in the central Philippines. Journal of Cetacean Research and
Management 8: 93-111.
Managorion 6. 66 TTT.
Dulau-Drouot V, Boucaud V, Rota B. 2008. Cetacean diversity off La Réunion (France). Journal of the
Marine Biological Association UK 88: 1263-1272.
Gannier A. 2000. Distribution of cetaceans off the Society Islands (French Polynesia) as obtained from
dedicated surveys. Aquatic Mammals 26: 111-126.

411	
412	Gannier A. 2002. Cetaceans of the Marquesas Islands (French Polynesia): distribution and relative
413	abundance as obtained from a small boat dedicated survey. Aquatic Mammals 28: 198-210.
414	
415	Gannier A. 2005. Summer distribution and relative abundance of delphinids in the Mediterranean Sea
416	Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie) 60: 223-238.
417	
418	Gannier A, Petiau E. 2006. Environmental variables affecting the residence of spinner dolphins
419	(Stenella longirostris) in the Bay of Tahiti (French Polynesia). Aquatic Mammals 32: 202-211.
420	
421	Gross A, Kiszka J, Van Canneyt O, Richard P, Ridoux V. 2009. A preliminary study of habitat and
422	resource partitioning among co-occurring tropical dolphins around Mayotte, southwest Indian
423	Ocean. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 84: 367-374.
424	
425	Guilmartin M, Revelante N. 1974. The island mass effect on the phytoplankton and primary production
426	of the Hawaiian islands. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 16: 181-204
427	
428	Guissamulo A, Cockcroft VG. 2004. Ecology and population estimates of Indo-Pacific humpback
429	dolphins (Sousa chinensis) in Maputo Bay, Mozambique. Aquatic Mammals 30: 94-102.
430	
431	Hermans A, Pistorius PA. 2008. Marine mammal diversity in the remote waters of Aldabra atoll
432	southern Seychelles. Atoll Research Bulletin 564: 1-7.
433	
434	Jefferson TA, Barros NB. 1997. Peponocephala electra. Mammalian Species 553: 1-6.
435	
436	Jefferson TA, Karczmarski L. 2001. Sousa chinensis. Mammalian Species 655: 1-9.
437	
438	Karczmarski L, Cockcroft VG, MacLahan A. 2000. Habitat use and preferences of Indo-Pacific
439	humpback dolphins Sousa chinensis in Algoa Bay, South Africa. Marine Mammal Science 16
440	65-79.
441	
442	Kiszka J, Ersts PJ, Ridoux V. 2007. Cetacean diversity around the Mozambique Channel island of
443	Mayotte (Comoros archipelago). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 9: 105-109.
444	
445	Kiszka J, Muir CE, Poonian C, Cox TM, Amir OA, Bourjea J, Razafindrakoto Y, Wambiji N, Bristol N
446	2008. Marine mammal bycatch in the southwest Indian Ocean: review and need for a
447	comprehensive status assessment. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 7
448	119-136.
440	

430	Riszka J, Pusineri C. 2009. Activity budgets of tropical dolprins around mayotte. Report of Universite
451	de la Rochelle, Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage and Collectivité
452	Départementale de Mayotte. Mamoudzou, Mayotte (France). 21pp
453	
454	Kiszka J, Berggren P, Rosenbaum HC, Cerchio S, Rowat D, Drouot-Dulau V, Razafindrakoto Y, Vely
455	M, Guissamulo A. 2009. Cetaceans in the southwest Indian Ocean: a review of diversity,
456	distribution and conservation issues. Report SC/61/O18 to the Scientific Committee of the
457	International Whaling Commission.
458	
459	Kiszka J, Vely M, Breysse O. 2010. Preliminary account of cetacean diversity and humpback whale
460	(Megaptera novaeangliae) group characteristics around the Union of the Comoros
461	(Mozambique Channel). Mammalia 74: 51-56.
462	
463	Leatherwood S, Donovan GP. 1991. Cetaceans and cetacean research in the Indian Ocean Sanctuary
464	In: Leatherwood S, Donovan GP (eds), Cetaceans and cetacean research in the Indian Ocean
465	sanctuary. Marine Mammal Technical Report No 3, Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP.
466	
467	Levine LA, Dayton PK. 2009. Ecological theory and continental margins: where shallow meets deep.
468	Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24: 606-617.
469	
470	MacClanahan TR, Ateweberhan M, Sebastian CR, Graham AJ, Wilson SK, Guillaume MMM,
471	Bruggemann JH. 2007. Western Indian Ocean coral communities: bleaching responses and
472	susceptibility to extinction. Marine Ecology Progress Series 337: 1-13.
473	
474	MacLeod CD, Santos MB, Pierce GJ. 2003. Review of data on diets of beaked whales: evidence of
475	niche separation and geographic segregation. Journal of the Marine Biological Association UK
476	83: 651-665.
477	
478	MacLeod CD, Hauser N, Peckman H. 2004. Diversity, relative density and structure of the cetacean
479	community in summer months east of Great Abaco, Bahamas. Journal of the Marine Biological
480	Association UK 84: 469-474.
481	
482	MacLeod CD, Zuur AF. 2005. Habitat utilization by Blainville's beaked whales of Great Abaco,
483	northern Bahamas, in relation to seabed topography. Marine Biology 174: 1-11.
484	
485	Mann J. 1999. Behavioral sampling methods for cetaceans: a review and critique. Marine Mammal
486	Science 15: 102-122.
487	

488	Maze-Foley K, Mullin K. 2006. Cetaceans in the oceanic northern gulf of Mexico: distributions, group
489	sizes and inter-specific associations. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 8:
490	203-213.
491	
492	Moreno IB, Zerbini AN, Danilewicz D, de Oliveira Santos MC, Simões Lopes PC, Lailson-Brito Jose,
493	Azevedo AF. 2005. Habitat characteristics of dolphins of the genus Stenella (Cetacea:
494	Delphinidae) in the southwest Atlantic Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 300: 229-240.
495	
496	Norris KS (ed.). 1991. Dolphin day. The life and times of the spinner dolphin. New York: Norton.
497	
498	Norris KS, Dohl TP. 1979. Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, Stenella longirostris. Fishery
499	Bulletin, Washington 77: 821-849.
500	
501	Norris KS, Würsig B, Wells RS, Würsig M, Brownlee SM, Johnson C, Solow J. 1985. The behavior of
502	the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, Stenella longirostris. Southwestern Fisheries Center
503	Administation Report. LJ-85-06C.
504	
505	Peddemors VM. 1999. Delphinids in southern Africa: a review of their distribution, status and life
506	history. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 1: 157-165.
507	
508	Perryman WL. 2002. Melon-headed whale Peponocephala electra Gray, 1846. In: Perrin WF, Wursig
509	B, Thewissen JGM (eds), <i>Encyclopedia of marine mammals</i> . San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
510	pp 733–734.
511	
512	Psarakos S, Herzing D, Marten K. 2003. Mixed-species associations between pantropical spotted
513	dolphins (Stenella attenuata) and spinner dolphins (Stenella longirostris) off Oahu, Hawaii.
514	Aquatic Mammals 29: 390-395.
515	·
516	Quod JP, Naim O, Abdourazi F. 2000. The Comoros archipelago. In: Sheppard C (ed.). Seas at the
517	Millennium: an environmental evaluation. Oxford: Pergamon Press. pp 243-252.
518	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
519	Redfern JV, Barlow J, Ballance LT, Gerrodette T, Becker EA. 2008. Absence of scale dependence in
520	dolphin-habitat models for the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series
521	363: 1-14.
522	
523	Rosenbaum HC. 2003. Marine mammals of Madagascar. In: Godman S, Bengston J (eds), The
524	natural history of Madagascar. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp 213-216.
525	

26	Ross GJB, Cockcroft VG. 1990. Comments on Australian bottlenose dolphins and taxonomic stock of
527	Tursiops aduncus (Ehrenburg, 1832). In: Leatherwood S, Reeves R (eds). The bottlenose
528	dolphin. San Diego: Academic Press, 653 pp.
529	
530	Ross GJB, Heinsohn GE, Cockcroft VGC. 1994. Humpack dolphins Sousa chinensis (Osbeck, 1765),
531	Sousa plumbea (Cuvier, 1829) and Sousa teuszii (Kukenthal, 1892). In: Ridway SH, Harrison
532	R (eds), Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. pp 23-42.
533	
534	Scott MD, Shivers SJ. 1990. Distribution and herd structure of bottlenose dolphins in the eastern
535	tropical Pacific Ocean. In: Leatherwood S, Reeves RR (ed.), The bottlenose dolphin. San
536	Diego: Academic Press. pp 387–402.
537	
538	Shane SH. 1990. Behaviour and ecology of the bottlenose dolphin at Sanibel Island, Florida. In: In:
539	Leatherwood S, Reeves RR (ed.), The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego: Academic Press. pp
540	245-265.
541	
542	Smolker RA, Richards AF, Connor RC, Pepper JW. 1992. Sex differences in patterns of association
543	among Indian Ocean bottlenose dolphins. Behaviour 123: 38-69.
544	
545	Stensland E, Särnblad A, Carlén I, Bignert A, Berggren P. 2006. Abundance, distribution and
546	behavioral ecology of Indo-Pacific bottlenose (Tursiops aduncus) and humpback (Sousa
547	chinensis) dolphins off the south coast of Zanzibar. Marine Mammal Science 22: 667-682.
548	
549	Thiele D, Chester ET, Gill PC. 2000. Cetacean distribution in eastern Antarctica (80-150€) during
550	austral summer of 1995/1996. Deep-Sea Research II 47: 2543-2572.
551	
552	Whitehead H (ed.). 2003. Sperm whales: social evolution in the ocean. Chicago, USA: University
553	Chicago Press.
554	
555	Würsig B, Wells RS, Norris KS, Würsig M. 1994. A spinner dolphin's day. In: Norris KS, Würsig B,
556	Wells RS, Würsig M (eds) The Hawaiian Spinner Dolphin London, University of California
557	Press. pp 65-102.
558	
559	Würsig B, Lynn SK, Jefferson TA, Mullin KD. 1998. Behaviour of cetaceans in the Northern Gulf of
660	Mexico relative to survey ships and aircraft. Aquatic Mammals 24: 41-50.
661	
562	
563	
564	
565	

Table 1: The number of sightings, cumulative number of individuals and group size characteristics of cetaceans encountered around Mayotte from July 2004 to June 2006

Species	Common name	Number of sightings	Frequency sightings (%)	Number of individuals (cumulative)	Frequency of cumulated individuals (%)	Mean group size	Range	SD
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	177	48.5	9 242	59.7	72.8	3–500	87.1
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	85	23.3	2 553	16.5	70.9	3–300	71.9
	Indo-Pacific bottlenose			414	2.7			
Tursiops aduncus	dolphin	64	17.5			6.5	1–15	3.5
Peponephala electra	Melon-headed whale	9	2.5	2 590	16.7	287.8	140-450	84.2
	Indo-Pacific Humpback			17	0.1			
Sousa chinensis	dolphin	7	1.9			2.4	1–3	0.8
Mesoplodon densirostris	Blainville's beaked whale	6	1.6	14	0.1	2.3	1–5	1.6
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	5	1.4	44	0.3	8.8	2–20	6.8
	Common bottlenose				1			
Tursiops truncatus	dolphin	2	0.5	160		80	40–120	-
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	2	0.5	250	1.6	125	100–150	_
Kogia sima	Dwarf sperm whale	2	0.5	3	0.01	1.5	1–3	_
Kogia breviceps	Pygmy sperm whale	1	0.3	6	0.03	6	_	_
Mesoplodon pacificus	Longman's beaked whale	1	0.3	1	0.006	1	_	_
Physeter macrocephalus	Sperm whale	1	0.3	11	0.07	11	_	_
Globicephala macrorhynchus	Long-finned pilot whale	1	0.3	60	0.4	60	_	-
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	1	0.3	120	0.8	120	_	_
Feresa attenuata	Pygmy killer whale	1	0.3	4	0.03	4	_	_
TOTAL		365	100	15 489	100	53.8	1–500	

Table 2: Search effort, number of sightings and encounter rates (in parentheses) of cetaceans encountered around Mayotte, July 2004-June 2006

		Number of sightings								
Geographic zone	Effort (h)	Bottlenose and humpback dolphins	Spinner dolphin	Pantropical spotted dolphin	Melon- headed whale	Large toothed whales	Other delphinids			
Eastern slope	48.2	0	50 (1.04)	19 (0.39)	2 (0.04)	0	0			
Iris Bank	46	10 (0.22)	40 (0.87)	20 (0.43)	1 (0.02)	0	0			
North-eastern lagoon	75.7	12/3 (0.2)	0	1 (0.01)	0	0	0			
Northern slope	14.4	0	6 (0.42)	2 (0.14)	0	0	1 (0.07)			
Northern lagoon	32.5	6 (0.18)	13 (0.4)	3 (0.09)	0	0	0			
South-eastern lagoon	52.6	12/2 (0.25)	2 (0.04)	2 (0.04)	0	0	0			
Southern slope	23.7	0/1 (0.04)	46 (1.94)	22 (0.93)	0	0	0			
Southern lagoon	56.8	16/1 (0.28)	6 (0.11)	2 (0.04)	0	0	0			
Western slope	4.8	0	1 (0.21)	1 (0.21)	1 (0.21)	0	1 (0.21)			
Western lagoon	22	8 (0.36)	2 (0.09)	1 (0.05)	0	0	0			
Total	376.8	64/7 (0.18)	166 (0.44)	73 (0.19)	4 (0.01)	0	2 (0.01)			
Entire survey area	441.9	64/7 (0.16)	177 (0.40)	85 (0.19)	9 (0.02)	11 (0.02)	12 (0.03)			

 Table 3: Depth preferences of the most frequently sighted cetacean species around Mayotte from July 2004-June 2006

		Donth (m)						
Species	Common name	Depth (m)						
		Mean	SD	Range	Median	Q1	Q3	
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	123.7	187.3	3–1 335	54	25	128.5	
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	193.7	255.7	5–1 301	74	24	268.3	
Tursiops aduncus	Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin	23	16	1–57	21	10	35	
Peponephala electra	Melon-headed whale	383	286.4	20-845	400	118	560	
Tursiops aduncus	Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	17	7.7	7–28	14	12	22.5	
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	1 150	385	762–1 784	1 121	953	1 129	
Mesoplodon densirostris	Blainville's beaked whale	1 000	365.5	482-1 524	1 070	782.5	1 143.5	

Figure legends

Figure 1: Mayotte Island, showing (a) the subareas defined for encounter rate calculations and (b) spatial representation of search effort during July 2004-June 2006

Figure 2: Spatial distribution of (a) spinner dolphins *Stenella longirostris* and (b) pantropical spotted dolphins *Stenella attenuata* encountered around Mayotte during July 2004-June 2006, in relation to search effort

Figure 3: Spatial distribution of (a) coastal dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus* and *Sousa chinensis*), (b) oceanic dolphins and (c) the large toothed whales encountered around Mayotte during July 2004-June 2006, in relation to search effort




Figure 1




Figure 2

