The use of stable isotope analyses from skin biopsy samples to assess trophic relationships of sympatric delphinids off Moorea (French Polynesia)

Jeremy Kiszka^{1,*}, Marc Oremus^{2,3}, Pierre Richard¹, Michael Poole⁴, Vincent Ridoux^{1,5}

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 5 46507648; fax: +33 5 46449945; E-mail address: jeremy.kiszka@wanadoo.fr (J. Kiszka)

¹ Littoral ENvironnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 6250 (CNRS-Université de la Rochelle). Institut du Littoral et de l'Environnement. 2, rue Olympe de Gouges, 17000 La Rochelle, France.

² University of Auckland, School of Biological Sciences, 3A Symonds Street, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand.

³ Centre de Recherches Insulaires et Observatoire de l'Environnement, BP 1013 Papetoai, Moorea, French Polynesia.

⁴ Marine Mammal Research Program, BP698, 98728 Maharepa, Moorea, French Polynesia.

⁵ Centre de Recherche sur les Mammifères Marins, Université de la Rochelle, 23 avenue Albert Einstein, F-17071 La Rochelle cedex, France.

Abstract

Defining trophic relationships among organisms of a community is critical in ecology. However, the access to data is sometimes difficult, particularly in remote environments. Ecological niche segregation among the most common delphinid species was investigated: the spinner dolphin (*Stenella longirostris*), the roughed-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*), the short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), and the melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*). Resource partitioning was explored by analysing $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (reflecting foraging habitats) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotopes (reflecting trophic level) from skin biopsies collected around Moorea from July to October 2002 to 2004. Results revealed that spinner dolphins had the lowest trophic level. The three other species had similar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures. The most significant result is the differentiation of *S. longirostris* from *S. bredanensis* and *G. macrorhynchus* but not from the *P. electra*. For the latter three species, some degrees of overlap were apparent. For *S. longirostris*, *S. bredanensis* and *G. macrorhynchus*, variation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotope was not significant between sexes. This study suggests that stable isotopes reveal some degree of segregation and overlap within this delphinid community. However, fine-scale segregation processes may be concealed by stable isotope analyses, meaning that traditional dietary analyses investigations are complementary in answering questions related to niche segregation.

Keywords: delphinids, ecological niche, stable isotopes, carbon, nitrogen, biopsy samples, Moorea, French Polynesia, South Pacific.

1. Introduction

Sympatric species with similar ecological requirements can compete for resources and thus their coexistence requires some degree of habitat and resource segregation (Pianka, 1974). Indeed, similar species that co-occur are thought to compete for resources unless they occupy different physical locations and/or feed on different prey. A shared resource in limited supply will bring about competition between members of the same species (intra-specific competition) or between individuals of different species (inter-specific competition) (Roughgarden, 1976).

Oceanic delphinids belong to 35 species worldwide (Jefferson *et al.*, 2008). Many of them, have similar morphological characteristics, feeding habits and habitat preferences. This phenomenon has been documented around tropical oceanic islands, where delphinid diversity and biomass is generally high and where closely-related species co-occur (Gross *et al.*, 2009). Around these islands, high cetacean diversity may be explained by the presence of a wide range of marine habitats in close proximity to one another (Kiszka *et al.*, 2007). In addition, oceanic islands appear to constitute areas of particular density of top predators due to an “island mass” effect. Similar to continental margins, insular slopes of islands potentially provide more abundant resources in the oligotrophic tropical marine environment (Guilmartin & Revelante, 1974). This situation of sympatry suggests that fine-scale mechanisms allow for the partitioning of habitats and/or resources. A study of the tropical delphinid community around the island of Mayotte, in the Comoros Archipelago (south-western Indian Ocean), has shown that the ecological niches of the delphinids occurring there do not overlap (Gross *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, these species capture prey at different depths of the water column, where prey communities are segregated according to species and size. In other areas, such as the Bahamas, the cetacean community shares habitat and resources but only during the season when prey abundance is sufficient to support its needs, while competitive exclusion exists for the rest of the year (MacLeod *et al.*, 2004). On the other

hand, top predators may overlap in their feeding habits due to low productivity of tropical waters (Cherel *et al.*, 2008). If these shared resources are limited quantitatively, inter-species competition can occur.

The dietary ecology of cetaceans and their trophic level can be determined using different methods. The most extensively used consist in analysing the stomach contents of dead animals. However, the specimens required for performing such analyses are often unavailable. The use of naturally occurring nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes has provided alternative information from which to better understand top predator feeding ecology, including marine mammals (Hobson & Welch, 1992; Abend & Smith, 1995; Das *et al.*, 2003; Zhao *et al.*, 2004; Gross *et al.*, 2009). This approach is generally considered as complementary to stomach content studies as it integrates feeding habits on a longer-term basis. Various tissues, having varying temporal resolution (turnover rates), may be used in stable isotope analyses, including skin (Gross *et al.*, 2009). Turnover rate for this tissue has been estimated for the beluga whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*; St Aubin *et al.*, 1990) and the common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*; Hicks *et al.*, 1985). The estimated time required for cell migration, from the basal lamina to the outermost surface, is at least two months. The carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$, expressed hereafter as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of a consumer reflect those of its diet, with a slight retention of the heavier isotope and excretion of the lighter one (Das *et al.*, 2003). As a consequence, tissues will be enriched with heavy isotopes at every trophic level (1‰ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and 3‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$). The minor stepwise trophic enrichment of the carbon-isotope ratio limits its use in assessing trophic levels but enhances its use in tracking carbon sources through a food chain. The carbon isotope ratio of secondary and tertiary consumers should thus reflect the source of carbon at the base of their food chain (Kelly, 2000).

95 Moorea, a volcanic tropical island in French Polynesia (South Pacific), is characterized by
96 the presence of many species of cetaceans, including several resident odontocetes, mostly
97 delphinids (Poole, 1993, 1995; Oremus *et al.*, 2007). At least thirteen species of dolphins may
98 coexist around the island. Of these, the most common are the spinner dolphin (*Stenella*
99 *longirostris*), the rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*), the short-finned pilot whale
100 (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*) and the melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*) (Gannier,
101 2000). The present study aimed to investigate ecological niche partitioning in the dolphin
102 community of Moorea, especially for the spinner dolphin, the rough-toothed dolphin, the short-
103 finned pilot whale and the melon-headed whale. We concentrated on these four species as they
104 can be found within the same proximity around the island, in closely-related habitats within a
105 small area and at all seasons (Poole, 1993). We hypothesised that these four species have
106 different feeding niches that could be reflected in diverging stable isotope signatures. We also
107 investigated some potential segregation processes that may occur intra-specifically, especially
108 between sexes. Resource partitioning between sexes has been documented for a number of
109 species, including mammals such as the giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*) and several primate
110 species (Beier, 1987; Young & Isbell, 1991). Sexual segregation in foraging habitats has also
111 been documented for some marine mammals, such as the grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) (Breed
112 *et al.*, 2006). Females may use higher quality food, especially during gestation and lactation;
113 therefore, it is often assumed that the energetic costs are greater for females than they are for
114 males (Key & Ross, 1999). This could result in diverging stable isotope signatures if females
115 develop sex-specific foraging strategies to fulfil their elevated energy requirements. On the other
116 hand, in dimorphic species, such as long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*), males seem
117 to have higher energetic needs (due to their larger size and weight) and potentially higher diving

capabilities, and consequently use larger and deeper-living prey than females (Desportes & Mouritsen, 1993). As a consequence, males may have a higher trophic level than females.

In order to answer the question of niche segregation among the four most common dolphin species around Moorea Island, and intra-specifically between sexes, we analysed $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotopes from skin biopsies collected from 2002 to 2004.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Study area

Moorea (17°30'S, 149°50'W) is a high volcanic island of the Society Archipelago (134 km²), French Polynesia, located in the central South Pacific (Figure 1). The island is almost entirely surrounded by a barrier reef which delimits a lagoon system connected to the open ocean by twelve passes varying in width and depth. Depth drops to more than 1000m just 2 to 3 km outside the barrier reef. All species are usually observed outside the barrier reef, except the spinner dolphin (*Stenella longirostris*) which commonly enters the lagoon through passes during daytime (Poole, 1995) and feed in the open ocean only at night (Norris *et al.*, 1994).

2.2 Sample collection

Samples were collected from 2002 to 2004, during small-boat-based surveys (2002, n = 107; 2003, n = 32, 2004, n = 63), in sea conditions not exceeding Beaufort 3. Most of the observation effort concentrated in austral winter (July – October). Efforts were made to survey the entire coastline. However, the targeted species during these surveys were the spinner dolphin and the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), and efforts were primarily concentrated in nearshore waters (i.e., within 500 m from the barrier reef or within the lagoon), where these species are preferentially distributed during daytime (Poole, 1995; 2002). Therefore, it must be

noted that search efforts were not optimal for encounters of more oceanic species. During each encounter with dolphins, geographical position was recorded, group size was estimated by visual counts, and photographs were taken using a digital camera equipped with a 70-300 mm lens. Skin samples for genetic analyses were collected from adult dolphins using a small stainless-steel biopsy dart fired from a modified veterinary capture rifle equipped with a variable pressure valve (Krützen *et al.*, 2002). Behavioural responses to biopsy attempts were recorded and reported in Oremus (2008). Level of short-term responses was low for all species and similar to that reported elsewhere (e.g. Krützen *et al.*, 2002). All samples were preserved in 70% ethanol and stored at –20°C for subsequent analysis.

2.3 Stable isotope analyses

Blubber and skin were separated for each biopsy. Stable isotope analyses were only performed on the skin. The ethanol was evaporated at 45°C over 48 h and the samples were ground and freeze-dried (Hobson *et al.*, 1997). The preservative used (ethanol) was the most suitable that could be used due to logistical constraints. Ethanol storage may have variable and organism-dependent effects on stable isotope signatures, generally higher on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values than on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Kaehler & Pakhomov, 2001). It does not affect stable isotope signatures in freshwater zooplankton and benthic macroinvertebrates (Syväranta *et al.*, 2008), bird eggs, blood and muscle (Hobson *et al.*, 1997; Gloutney & Hobson, 1998). The increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values is generally considered to be due to the extraction of some lipids but because lipids are depleted in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, they have anyway to be extracted to avoid a bias in the isotopic signature of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (De Niro & Epstein, 1978; Tieszen *et al.*, 1983), that likely cancels any potential effect of storage in ethanol. Lipid extraction was done by shaking (1 h at room temperature) in cyclohexane (C_6H_{12}), and subsequent centrifugation prior to analysis. After drying, small sub-samples (0.35

to 0.45 mg + 0.001 mg) were prepared for analysis. Stable isotope measurements were performed with a continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (Delta V Advantage, Thermo Scientific, Germany) coupled to an elemental analyser (Flash EA1112 Thermo Scientific, Italy). Results are expressed in δ notation relative to PeeDee Belemnite and atmospheric N₂ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, respectively, according to the equation

$$\delta X = \left[\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000$$

where X is ^{13}C or ^{15}N and R is the isotope ratio $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ or $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$, respectively. Replicate measurements of internal laboratory standards (acetanilide) indicated that measurement errors were <0.1‰ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Percent C and N elemental composition of tissues were obtained using the elemental analyzer and used to calculate the sample C:N ratio, indicating good lipid removal efficiency when <4.

2.4 Species identification and molecular sexing

Species sampled for this study were identified visually and confirmed using photographic and genetic evidences. Mitochondrial DNA control region were sequenced for all samples, as reported in Oremus *et al.* (2007), and sequences were submitted to the program DNA-surveillance v. 3.01 (Ross *et al.*, 2003) to determine species identity. Sex was identified by co-amplification of the male-specific *sry* gene and ZFX positive control gene (Gilson *et al.*, 1998).

2.5 Data analysis

Differences of stable isotopes signatures of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ among species were tested using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Pairwise tests to compare $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values between species were performed using Mann-Whitney-*U* tests. Variability of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

signatures among sexes was also tested using U tests. For all statistical analyses, a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ was used.

3. Results

3.1 Sampling

We collected skin samples from 91 delphinids: spinner dolphin ($N = 40$; 29 males and 11 females), rough-toothed dolphin ($N = 35$; 23 males and 12 females), short-finned pilot whale ($N = 12$; 6 males and 5 females) and melon-headed whale ($N = 4$; not sexed). All samples were collected during the same season, i.e. austral winter (July to October). All sampled individuals were considered to be adults based on their size.

3.2 Resource partitioning

The distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values is given in Figure 2. Overall, overlap among species is present, and intra-specific variability appears high. However, significant statistical differences were found among the four species for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($H = 24$; $df = 3$; $P < 0.001$) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values ($H = 37$; $df = 3$; $P < 0.001$). Even with the melon-headed whale removed from the analysis, differences remained significant (for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $H = 12.3$; $df = 2$; $P = 0.001$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $H = 13$; $df = 2$; $P = 0.002$). Short-finned pilot whales had the highest $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures, spinner dolphins had the lowest $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Figure 2), and melon-headed whales had the lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures. Rough-toothed dolphins had an intermediate position between spinner dolphins and short-finned pilot whales. At a finer scale, when looking at differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures between species, some degrees of overlap and differentiation can be observed (Table 1). In $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, differences were not significant between the spinner dolphin and the melon-headed whale nor

between the rough-toothed dolphin and the short-finned pilot whale. For other pairwise comparisons of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, statistically significant differences were found. For $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, overlap was statistically significant between the melon-headed whale, the rough-toothed dolphin and the short-finned pilot whale. The spinner dolphin could not be differentiated from the melon-headed whale but differed significantly from the rough-toothed dolphin and short-finned pilot whale.

3.3 Differences between sexes

We tested differences in stable isotope signatures between males and females, both for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, in all species except the melon-headed whale; in the latter case, sample size was too small and sex data unavailable. Box plots (Figure 4) show stable isotope median values, 50, 75 percentiles and outliers of the three species. Male spinner dolphins and rough-toothed dolphins seem to have a lower trophic position than females. In addition, both species seem to feed on less ^{13}C -enriched preys (Figure 4). An opposite situation was found for short-finned pilot whales, with males having higher mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures. For the short-finned pilot whale, males seem to have a wider range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures (Figure 4). Conversely, females had a wider range of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. However, none of the differences were statistically significant (spinner dolphins: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $U = 59$; $P = 0.236$; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $U = 33$; $P = 0.203$, rough-toothed dolphin: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $U = 51$; $P = 0.266$; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $U = 57$; $P = 0.409$ and short-finned pilot whales: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $U = 50$; $P = 0.193$; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $U = 13$; $P = 0.074$).

4. Discussion

4.1 General comments

Stable isotope approaches are powerful analytical tools to link the foraging ecology of top predators with habitat, diving behaviour and diet (Das *et al.*, 2003; Zhao *et al.*, 2004). This has been shown for a number of taxa, including seabirds (Cherel *et al.*, 2008), sharks (Comi *et al.*, 2005), pinnipeds (Zhao *et al.*, 2004) and cetaceans such as tropical delphinids (Gross *et al.*, 2009). These results were the first describing the isotopic niches of sympatric delphinids in French Polynesia; they can be used in the future to examine seasonal, year-to-year or long term variation in delphinid trophic ecology in the region. Lack of significance in some comparisons may partly result from insufficient sample size. Analytical resolution was $<0.1\text{‰}$ as shown by replicate measurements of internal laboratory standards, and delipidation was successfully carried out on all samples since C:N ratios were always <4 . Carbon sources and reference levels of nitrogen were not investigated in this work; hence isotopic data can only be interpreted in terms of relative values among the four species studied.

4.2 Isotopic niche segregation

Very few other studies have attempted to address issues regarding ecological segregation in delphinid assemblages by analysing isotopic signature in skin biopsies (Gross *et al.*, 2009), but more work has been done on communities of other marine top predators including sharks, large teleost fishes, seabirds and marine mammals (Hobson & Welch, 1992; Abend & Smith, 1995; Das *et al.*, 2003; Zhao *et al.*, 2004; Domi *et al.*, 2005; Ménard *et al.*, 2007; Cherel *et al.*, 2008; Jaeger, 2009). In top predator communities, significant habitat partitioning has been found in polar communities, such as in pinnipeds from the Antarctic (Zhao *et al.*, 2004). Conversely, in tropical sympatric seabirds, significant overlap of feeding niches has been found at the community level (Cherel *et al.*, 2008). This may be laid to the low productivity of tropical oligotrophic waters, leading top predators to share the same feeding resources. However,

significant differences in isotopic niches were found in delphinids from the tropical island of Mayotte, in the south-western Indian Ocean (Gross *et al.*, 2009). In the Southern Hemisphere, clearly structured latitudinal carbon isoscapes have been found from the Antarctic to the subtropical zones (Jaeger, 2009). This structured shape of latitudinal isoscapes may not exist in tropical waters, mainly due to the oligotrophic nature of these waters. However, around oceanic islands such as Mayotte, clear differences of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were observed between the three delphinid genera investigated, which could be interpreted by the structured nature of marine habitats (high carbon gradients from coastal to oceanic surface waters; Kiszka *et al.*, unpublished data) around the island, from lagonal to oceanic waters. In addition, diving predators such as small cetaceans use resources at varying depth, where carbon gradients are significant (from the surface to bottom, where organic matter accumulates and provides carbon sources). In conclusion, investigating trophic relationships of predators living in a structured system (such as around an oceanic island) and feeding at varying depth may result in diverging isotopic niches, while in surface feeders like seabirds, feeding in the homogenous oceanic system may result in low to no difference in isotopic niches.

In our study, overall analyses show some degrees of niche partitioning among the four species investigated, the most significant result being the differentiation in trophic level revealed by $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values among spinner dolphin, rough-toothed dolphin and short-finned pilot whale, but not between spinner and melon-headed whale. In terms of foraging habitats, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were significantly different between all species except two pairs of species that could not be discriminated: spinner dolphin/melon-headed whale and rough-toothed dolphin/short-finned pilot whale. No significant intra-specific difference was found between sexes. Finally, it must be kept in mind that differences in isotopic signatures are informative, whereas similarities do not necessarily imply that species share a similar trophic niche; indeed different foraging strategies

may result in similar isotopic signatures. If stable isotope signatures and preys of two predators are effectively similar, other segregation processes may occur, such as differential spatial and temporal use of habitat and resources. Published studies from other areas in the Pacific suggest that the community of delphinids around Moorea is likely to feed on pelagic and oceanic prey. The spinner dolphin had the lowest trophic level and, with the melon-headed whale, the lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values. The spinner dolphin feeds primarily on mesopelagic fishes and squids at night (Norris *et al.*, 1994; Dolar *et al.*, 2003). Vertical distribution of the prey items summarized from published literature indicate that spinner dolphins forage in the upper 200 meters and probably occasionally as deep as 400 meters (Dolar *et al.*, 2003). Melon-headed whales are oceanic predators, mostly feeding on mesopelagic fishes and cephalopods throughout their range (Brownell *et al.*, 2009). When considering the vertical distribution of these prey groups, melon-headed whales probably forage in the upper 700 meters (Young, 1978). Like spinner dolphins, melon-headed whales seem to feed at night during vertical migrations of their preys, while they rest and socialize during daytime near oceanic islands (Brownell *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, the two species may share some similar features of habitat and resource use, although at Moorea, melon-headed whales do not use inshore and nearshore waters like spinner dolphins do. This possible overlap was confirmed in this study, as stable isotope signatures were not significantly distinguishable. Note that this absence of significant difference could be due to small sample size for melon-headed whales. However, around the island of Mayotte (with similar habitats to those around Moorea) in the south-western Indian Ocean, stable isotope analyses on skin samples revealed significant differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values with similar sample size than in our study (Gross *et al.*, 2009). Melon-headed whales were characterised by significantly higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Gross *et al.*, 2009). In our study, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures were very similar between spinner dolphins and melon-headed whales, suggesting they prey on species with similar $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures

306 or even similar prey. This could suggest that their prey are not limited quantitatively, allowing
307 the two species to feed on similar resources without deleterious competition for one or the other.
308 However, despite no statistical evidences, some differences in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values suggest that
309 melon-headed whale has a higher trophic level, and may probably feed on prey of higher trophic
310 position (probably larger prey). The feeding ecology of the rough-toothed dolphin is poorly
311 known, but it is known to feed on cephalopods (Aguiar dos Santos & Haimovici, 2001), deep
312 water fishes (Miyazaki & Perrin, 1994) and occasionally on coastal prey (Shallenberger, 1981).
313 Foraging on flying fishes and other surface fish has been regularly observed off Moorea (Oremus
314 & Poole, personal observations). In the eastern tropical Pacific, rough-toothed dolphins regularly
315 feed on dolphin fish (*Coryphaena hippurus*; Pitman & Stinchcomb, 2002). Short-finned pilot
316 whales are deep-water cephalopod predators (Hernandez-Garcia & Martin, 1994). Recent
317 evidence suggests that short-finned pilot whales can dive to depths reaching 1,000 meters on
318 occasion (Aguilar Soto *et al.*, 2008). Based on the available literature, making comparisons of the
319 diet of the rough-toothed dolphin and the short-finned pilot whale appears highly hazardous,
320 given the limited existing information. However, the latter seem to feed deeper in the water
321 column. In our results, the rough-toothed dolphin and the short-finned pilot whale were not
322 statistically different in their stable isotope signatures. However, *S. brenadensis* had lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
323 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures than those in short-finned pilot whales. The later may feed on more carbon-
324 enriched prey. As there is a bottom-surface gradient of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, with higher carbon values from the
325 sea bottom than at the surface (Hobson, 1999), higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values observed in short-finned pilot
326 whales could be due to their preference for prey occurring deeper in the water column, in closer
327 proximity to bottom organic matter sources. This is consistent with published literature
328 describing general ecology, prey preferences and diving behaviour of short-finned pilot whales
329 (Aguilar Soto *et al.*, 2008; Hernandez-Garcia & Martin, 1994). The lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values observed

in the rough-toothed dolphin may be attributed to its preference for preys of a lower trophic position than those consumed by pilot whales.

Overall, when looking at biometrical data of predators from our study (Jefferson *et al.*, 2008), we observe that there is a correlation between trophic position and body size. Larger predators have a higher trophic level in this delphinid community, which is consistent with many other species communities.

Difference in feeding ecology between genders has been documented in delphinids (Desportes & Mouritsen, 1993). From our dataset, we did not observed statistically significant gender-specific variations of stable isotope signatures. However, mean values of carbon and nitrogen isotope values were higher for female spinner and rough-toothed dolphins. On the contrary, carbon and nitrogen isotope values were higher in male short-finned pilot whales. In other words, the feeding niches of delphinids from Moorea may not differ according to sex. However, some segregation processes may be not detected through the use of stable isotopes, and traditional dietary analyses may answer this question. Detailed studies of diving behaviour may also contribute to assessing gender-specific variation in feeding strategies. However, at least for short-finned pilot whales, our sample size was small, which could conceal potential differences related to sex. Indeed, it is known that males in sexually dimorphic species such as long-finned pilot whales feed on larger prey, and potentially have a higher trophic level (Desportes & Mouritsen, 1993). Nevertheless, no detailed studies of the diet of short-finned pilot whales have been published, and such gender-specific variation may not occur in this species.

Overall, this study

5. Conclusions

When conventional dietary studies cannot be undertaken, such as around Moorea where dead animals from strandings and bycatch are unavailable, the use of stable isotopes can be recommended to assess trophic relationships in a community of cetacean predators, especially delphinids. The delphinids around Moorea seem to have different feeding niches, although statistical analyses do not always show significant differences among species. To complement the interpretation of these first results, documenting accurate data on local carbon sources and reference levels of nitrogen by analysing the isotopic content of particulate organic material that constitute the basis of the local food webs and/or of a range of putative prey taxa from coastal to oceanic habitats, would help in characterizing the three-dimensional isoscape (Jaeger, 2009) in which this assemblage of sympatric dolphins dwells. Additionally, any information on the diet, diving behaviour, activity budget and micro-scale spatial distribution of the four dolphin species constituting this community would considerably improve the potential for interpreting stable isotope data.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Gael Guillou for carrying out the mass spectrometer analyses. Florence Caurant, Aurore Aubail and Hlne Peltier (University of La Rochelle, LIENSs) provided helpful comments on the early version of the manuscript and technical support. We also thank Jeff Seminoff and Bill Perrin (NOAA) for their critical comments on the early version of the manuscript.

References

375 Abend, A.G., Smith, T.D., 1995. Differences in ratios of stable isotopes of nitrogen in long-
 376 finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) in the western and eastern North Atlantic. ICES J. Mar.
 377 Sci. 52, 837-841.

378 Aguiar dos Santos, R., Haimovici, M., 2001. Cephalopods in the diet of marine mammals
 379 stranded or incidentally caught along southeastern and southern Brazil (21 – 34°S). Fish. Res. 52,
 380 99-112.

381 Aguilar Soto, N., Johnson, M.P., Madsen, P.T., Díaz, F., Domínguez, I., Brito, A., Tyack, P.,
 382 2008. Cheetahs of the deep sea: deep foraging sprints in short-finned pilot whales off Tenerife
 383 (Canary Islands). J. Anim. Ecol., 77: 936-947.

384 Beier, P., 1987. Sex differences in quality of white-tailed deer diets. J. Mammal. 68, 323-329.

385 Breed, G.A., Bowen, W.D., McMillan, J.I. & Leonard, M.L., 2006. Sexual segregation of
 386 seasonal foraging habitat in a non-migratory marine mammal. Proc. Royal Soc. B 273, 2319-
 387 2326.

388 Brownell, R.L., Ralls, K., Baumann-Pickering, S., Poole, M.M., 2009. Behavior of melon-headed
 389 whales, *Peponocephala electra*, near oceanic islands. Mar. Mam. Sci. 25, 639-658.

390 Cherel, Y., Le Corre, M., Jaquemet, S., Ménard, F., Richard, P., Weimerskirch, H., 2008.
 391 Resource partitioning within a tropical seabird community: new information from stable isotopes.
 392 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 366, 281-291.

393 Das, K., Lepoint, G., Leroy, Y., Bouquegneau, J.M., 2003. Marine mammals from the southern
 394 North Sea: feeding ecology data from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 263,
 395 287-298.

396 De Niro, M.J., Epstein, S., 1978. Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in
 397 animals. Geo. Cosmo. Acta 42, 495-506.

398 Desportes, G., Mouritsen, R., 1993. Preliminary results on the diet of long-finned pilot whales off
 399 the Faroe Islands. Rep.Int. Whal. Comm. (Special issue 14), 305-324.

400 Dolar, M.L.L., Walker, W.A., Kooyman, G.L., Perrin, W.F., 2003. Comparative feeding ecology
 401 of spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) and Fraser's dolphins (*Lagenodelphis hosei*) in the
 402 Sulu Sea. Mar. Mam. Sci. 19, 1-19.

403 Domi, N., Bouquegneau, J.-M., Das, K., 2005. Feeding ecology of five commercial shark species
 404 of the Celtic Sea through stable isotope and trace metal analysis. Mar. Env. Res. 60, 551-569.

405 Gannier, A., 2000. Distribution of cetaceans off the Society Islands (French Polynesia) as
 406 obtained from dedicated surveys. Aquat. Mamm. 26, 111-126.

407 Gilson, A., Syvanen, M., Levine, K., Banks, J., 1998. Deer gender determination by polymerase
 408 chain reaction: validation study and application to tissues, bloodstains, and hair forensic samples
 409 from California. Cal. Fish Game 84, 159-169.

410 Gloutney, M.L., Hobson, K.A., 1998. Field preservation techniques for the analysis of stable-
 411 carbon and nitrogen isotopes in eggs. J. Field. Ornitho. 69, 223-237.

412 Gross, A., Kiszka, J., Van Canneyt, O., Richard, P., Ridoux, V., 2009. A preliminary study of
 413 habitat and resource partitioning among co-occurring tropical dolphins around Mayotte,
 414 southwest Indian Ocean. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. 84, 367-374.

415 Hernández-García, V., Martín, V., 1994. Cephalopods in the diet of two short-finned pilot whales
 416 *Globicephala macrohynchus* Gray 1846 in the Canary Islands Area. International Council for the
 417 Exploration of the sea. C.M. 1994/K: Shellfish Committee.

418 Hicks, B.D., St Aubin, D.J., Geraci, J.R., Brown, W.R., 1985. Epidermal growth in the bottlenose
 419 dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*. J. Invest. Dermatol. 85, 60-63.

420 Hobson, K.A., Welch, H.E., 1992. Determination of trophic relationships within a high Arctic
 421 marine food web using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 84, 9-18.

422 Hobson, K.A., 1999. Tracing origins and migration of wildlife using stable isotopes: a review.
 423 Oecologia 120, 314-326.

424 Hobson, K.A., Gibbs, H.L., Gloutney, M.L., 1997. Preservation of blood and tissue samples for
 425 stable-carbon and stable-nitrogen isotope analysis. Can. J. Zool. 75, 1720-1723.

426 Jaeger, A., 2009. Etude isotopique des variations saisonnière et à long terme de l'écologie
 427 alimentaire des oiseaux marins de l'océan Austral. PhD dissertation, University of Paris VI.

428 Jefferson, T.A., Fertl, D., Michael, M., Fagin, T.D., 2006. An unusual encounter with a mixed
 429 school of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) and rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno*
 430 *bredanensis*) at Rota, northern Mariana Islands. Micronesica 38, 239-244.

431 Jefferson, T.A., Webber, A.M., Pitman, R.L., 2008. Marine mammals of the world. A
 432 comprehensive guide to their identification. Elsevier, Oxford, United Kingdom.

433 Kaehler, S., Pakhomov, E.A., 2001. Effect of storage and preservation on the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
 434 signatures of selected marine organisms. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 219, 299-304.

435 Kelly, J.F., 2000. Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in the study of avian and mammalian
 436 trophic ecology. Can. J. Zool. 78, 1-27.

437 Key, C., Ross, C., 1999. Sex differences in energy expenditure in non-human primates. Proc.
 438 Royal Soc. B 266, 2479-2485.

439 Kiszka, J., Ersts, P.J., Ridoux, V. 2007. Cetacean diversity around the Mozambique Channel
 440 island of Mayotte (Comoros archipelago). J. Cet. Res. Manag. 9, 105-109.

441 Krützen M., Barré, L.M., Möller, L.M., Heithaus, M.R., Simmer, C., Sherwin, W.B., 2002. A
 442 biopsy system for small cetaceans: darting success and wound healing in *Tursiops* spp. Marine
 443 Mar. Mam. Sci. 18, 863-878.

444 MacLeod, C.D., Hauser, N., Peckman, H., 2004. Diversity, relative density and structure of the
 445 cetacean community in summer months east of Great Abaco, Bahamas. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK
 446 84, 469-474.

447 Ménard, F., Lorrain, A., Potier, M., Marsac, F., 2007. Isotopic evidence of distinct feeding
 448 ecologies and movement patterns in two migratory predators (yellowfin tuna and swordfish) in
 449 the western Indian Ocean. Mar. Biol. 153, 141-152.

450 Miyazaki, N., Perrin, W.F., 1994. Rough-toothed dolphin *Steno bredanensis* (Lesson, 1828). Pp
 451 1–21. In Ridgway, S. H. and R. J. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Vol. 5. The first
 452 book of dolphins. Academic Press. London.

453 Norris, K.S., Dohl, T.P., 1980. Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, *Stenella longirostris*.
 454 Fish. Bull. 77: 821–849.

455 Norris, K.S., Würsig, B., Wells, R. S., Würsig, M., 1994. The Hawaiian Spinner Dolphin,
 456 University of California Press, Berkeley, 408 pp.

457 Oremus, M., 2008. Genetic and demographic investigation of population structure and social
 458 system in four delphinid species. PhD thesis, University of Auckland.

459 Oremus, M., Poole, M.M., Steel, D., Baker, C.S., 2007. Isolation and interchange among insular
 460 spinner dolphin communities in the South Pacific revealed by individual identification and
 461 genetic diversity. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 336, 275-289.

462 Pianka, E.R., 1974. Niche overlap and diffuse competition. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 2141-
 463 2145.

464 Pitman, R.L., Stinchcomb, C., 2002. Rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) as predators of
 465 Mahimahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*). Pacific Science 56, 447-450.

466 Poole, M.M., 1993. A sighting and stranding network in French Polynesia, 1988-1993 Tenth
 467 Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. Galveston, Texas.

468 Poole, M.M., 1995. Aspects of the behavioral ecology of spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*)
 469 in the nearshore waters of Mo'orea, French Polynesia. PhD thesis, University of California, Santa
 470 Cruz.

471 Poole, M.M., 2002. Occurrence of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in French
 472 Polynesia in 1988-2001. Report SC/54/H14 to the Scientific Committee of the International
 473 Whaling Commission.

474 Ross, H.A., Lento, G.M., Dalebout, M.L., Goode, M., Ewing, G., McLaren, P., Rodrigo, A.G.,
 475 Lavery, S., Baker, C.S., 2003. DNA Surveillance: Web-based molecular identification of whales,
 476 dolphins and porpoises. *J. Heredity*, 94: 111-114.

477 Roughgarden, J., 1976. Resource partitioning among competing species: a co-evolutionary
 478 approach. *Theor. Pop. Biol.* 9, 388-424.

479 St Aubin, D.J., Smith, T.G., Geraci, J., 1990. Seasonal epidermal molt in beluga, *Delphinapterus*
 480 *leucas*. *Can. J. Zool.* 68, 359-367.

481 Syväranta, J., Vesala, S., Rask, M., Ruuhijärvi, J., Jones, R.I., 2008. Evaluating the utility of
 482 stable isotope analyses in archived freshwater sample materials. *Hydrobiologia* 600, 121-130.

483 Tieszen, L.L., Boutton, T.W., Tesdahl, K.G., Slade, N.A., 1983. Fractionation and turnover of
 484 stable carbon isotopes in animal tissues: implications for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis of diet. *Oecologia* 57, 32-
 485 37.

486 Young, R.E., 1978. Vertical distribution and photosensitive vesicles of pelagic cephalopods from
 487 Hawaiian waters. *Fish. Bull.* 76, 583-615.

488 Young, T.P., Isbell, L.A., 1991. Sex differences in giraffe feeding ecology: energetic and social
 489 constraints. *Ethol.* 87, 79-89.

490 Zhao, L., Castellini, M.A., Mau, T.L. & Trumble, S.J., 2004. Trophic interactions of Antarctic
 491 seals as determined by stable isotope signatures. *Pol. Biol.* 27, 368-373.

Table 1: Pairwise Mann-Whitney U test p values for each pairs of delphinid species in carbon and nitrogen. Values in bold are significant, meaning that the two species do not overlap in their isotopic signatures. *Stenella longirostris*: spinner dolphin; *Peponocephala electra*: melon-headed whale; *Steno bredanensis*: rough-toothed dolphin; *Globicephala macrorhynchus*: short-finned pilot whale.

Figure 1: Location of the study area.

Figure 2: Stable isotope distribution ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in ‰) in delphinid skin tissues from Moorea. *Stenella longirostris* (n = 40), *Steno bredanensis* (n = 35), *Globicephala macrorhynchus* (n = 12) and *Peponocephala electra* (n = 4).

Figure 3: Stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in ‰) in delphinid skin tissues from Moorea. Graphs show average values and standard deviations. *Stenella longirostris* (n = 40), *Steno bredanensis* (n = 35) and *Globicephala macrorhynchus* (n = 12) and *Peponocephala electra* (n = 4).

Figure 4: Stable isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in ‰) median values, 50, 75 percentiles and outliers of *Stenella longirostris* (n = 40), *Steno bredanensis* (n = 35) and *Globicephala macrorhynchus* (n = 12) males versus females.

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	<i>Peponocephala electra</i>	<i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i>	<i>Steno bredanensis</i>
<i>Stenella longirostris</i>	0.394	0.0001	0.0001
<i>Steno bredanensis</i>	0.005	0.131	
<i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i>	0.006		
$\delta^{15}\text{N}$			
<i>Stenella longirostris</i>	0.09	0.00001	0.00004
<i>Steno bredanensis</i>	0.235	0.06	
<i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i>	0.07		

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551