A scaffold of accessory subunits links the peripheral arm and the distal proton pumping module of mitochondrial complex I

Heike Angerer, Klaus Zwicker, Zibiernisha Wumaier, Lucie Sokolova, Heinrich Heide, Mirco Steger, Silke Kaiser, Esther Nübel, Bernhard Brutschy, Michael Radermacher, et al.

► To cite this version:

Heike Angerer, Klaus Zwicker, Zibiernisha Wumaier, Lucie Sokolova, Heinrich Heide, et al.. A scaffold of accessory subunits links the peripheral arm and the distal proton pumping module of mitochondrial complex I. *Biochemical Journal*, 2011, 437 (2), pp.279-288. 10.1042/BJ20110359 . hal-00605259

HAL Id: hal-00605259

<https://hal.science/hal-00605259>

Submitted on 1 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A scaffold of accessory subunits links the peripheral arm and the distal proton pumping module of mitochondrial complex I

Heike ANGERER*, Klaus ZWICKER*, Zibiernisha WUMAIER*, Lucie SOKOLOVA†, Heinrich HEIDE*, Mirco STEGER*, Silke KAISER*, Esther NÜBEL*, Bernhard BRUTSCHY†, Michael RADERMACHER§, Ulrich BRANDT*, Volker ZICKERMANN*¹

* Molecular Bioenergetics Group, Medical School, Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt "Macromolecular Complexes", Center for Membrane Proteomics, Goethe-University, D-60590 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

† Institute of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt "Macromolecular Complexes", Center for Membrane Proteomics, Goethe University, D-60439 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

§ University of Vermont, College of Medicine, Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Burlington, Vermont 05405, USA

¹ Correspondence may be addressed to V. Zickermann (email: Zickermann@zbc.kgu.de)

Short title: Topology of complex I

Synopsis

Mitochondrial NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) is a very large membrane protein complex with a central function in energy metabolism. Complex I from the aerobic yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* comprises 14 central subunits that harbour the bioenergetic core functions and at least 28 accessory subunits. Despite progress in structure determination the position of individual accessory subunits in the enzyme complex remains largely unknown.

Proteomic analysis of subcomplex I₈ revealed that it lacked eleven subunits including the central subunits ND1 and ND3 forming the interface between the peripheral and the membrane arm in bacterial complex I. This unexpected observation provided insight into the structural organization of the connection between the two major parts of mitochondrial complex I. Combining recent structural information, biochemical evidence on the assignment of individual subunits to the subdomains of complex I and sequence based predictions for the targeting of subunits to different mitochondrial compartments, we derived a model for the arrangement of the subunits in the membrane arm of mitochondrial complex I.

Keywords: membrane protein, mitochondria, NADH dehydrogenase, protein import, respiratory chain, subcomplex

Abbreviations: ESI-MS, electrospray ionisation- mass spectrometry; FMN, flavin-mononucleotide; LILBID-MS, laser induced liquid bead ion desorption mass spectrometry; HAR, hexaammineruthenium(III)-chloride; DBQ, decylubiquinone; DDM, β -D-dodecyl-maltoside, DQA, 2-decyl-4-quinazolinylamine; LDAO, lauryl dimethylamine oxide; Q₁, ubiquinone-1; STMD, single transmembrane domain

INTRODUCTION

Proton pumping NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I, EC 1.6.5.3) is the largest membrane protein complex in the respiratory chain of many bacteria and mitochondria [1-3]. Coupling the two-electron transfer reaction from NADH to ubiquinone to the vectorial translocation of four protons, mitochondrial complex I provides a major portion of the proton motive force that drives ATP synthesis. The enzyme is a source of reactive oxygen species and has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a number of human neurodegenerative diseases [4].

Mitochondrial complex I is composed of more than 40 different subunits subdivided into central and accessory subunits. The fourteen central subunits carry out the bioenergetic function of complex I and are conserved throughout species, from bacteria to mammals. They can be assigned to three functional modules [3] that harbour the bioenergetic core functions NADH oxidation (N-module), ubiquinone reduction (Q-module) and proton translocation (P-module). The substrate binding sites are connected by a chain of iron-sulfur clusters. The function of the accessory subunits of mitochondrial complex I is largely unknown. Individual subunits were suggested to play a role in assembly, regulation, and stabilization of the complex [3;5-7]. In complex I from the strictly aerobic yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* so far 28 accessory subunits with a total mass of 421.0 kDa were identified corresponding to 43.7 % of the total mass of 963.7 kDa [8](Nübel et al., in preparation).

Electron microscopy revealed that complex I from mammalian and fungal mitochondria like that from eubacteria is L-shaped with a hydrophilic peripheral arm and a hydrophobic membrane arm [9]. Recently, X-ray crystallographic analysis provided insight into the overall architecture of prokaryotic [10] and eukaryotic [11] complex I at intermediate resolution. The X-ray structure of a bacterial peripheral arm fragment had been solved earlier at up to 3.1 Å resolution [12]. It comprises the N- and the Q-module that together perform all the redox-chemistry. As had been suggested before [13;14], the terminal iron-sulfur cluster N₂ that is the immediate electron donor for ubiquinone, was found at a remarkable distance from the membrane domain [10;11]. The membrane arm or P-module is subdivided into a proximal (P_P) and a distal (P_D) domain [11]. Several lines of evidence suggest that both parts of the P-module contribute to proton translocation. An elongated presumably α -helical element that is laterally associated with the membrane arm was discovered that connects the proximal and distal domains of the P-module and has been suggested to play a key role in energy transmission within the membrane arm [10;11]. Overall, the relative arrangement of functional modules in complex I indicates a spatial separation of redox chemistry and proton translocation essentially excluding direct mechanisms of energy transfer. It rather suggests that complex I operates by a conformational coupling mechanism.

Complex I from many bacteria is too unstable to be prepared in intact form. This has only been achieved with complexes from *Escherichia coli* [15], *Paracoccus denitrificans* [16] and some thermophilic eubacteria [10;17]. Complex I purified from these species still tends to disintegrate very easily into the peripheral arm comprising the Q- and N-modules and the membrane integral P-module. Also the compositions of several subcomplexes that have been prepared biochemically from bovine complex I by applying mild chaotropic conditions [18-21] reflect the modular architecture of complex I, although there are some notable discrepancies. Subcomplex I α comprises the Q- and N-module of the peripheral arm and a sector of the P_P-module of the membrane arm while subcomplex I β essentially corresponds to the distal membrane arm module P_D. Further disassembly of subcomplex I α leads to formation of subcomplex I λ that is more hydrophilic but still contains two accessory subunits with predicted transmembrane helices.

A subcomplex similar to bovine subcomplex I λ has been generated previously by disintegration of complex I from the strictly aerobic yeast *Y. lipolytica* [22]. Here we extended these studies and prepared a new type of subcomplex still comprising the Q-, N- and P_D-module of complex I, but lacking a significant portion of the P_P-module. Our results suggest that a rather stable connection must exist in mitochondrial complex I between the peripheral arm and the distal membrane arm domain that involves specific accessory subunits. Combining available structural information, biochemical data,

and sequence analysis, we have derived a topological model for the arrangement of the central and accessory subunits in the membrane arm of complex I.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of subcomplex I δ from *Y. lipolytica*, catalytic activity and EPR spectroscopy

Complex I from *Y. lipolytica* strain PIPO (His-tagged 30-kDa subunit) was prepared as described [23]. A strain variant of PIPO expressing subunit NUJM-Strep-tagII (His-tagged 30-kDa subunit and StrepII-tagged NUJM) was generated as described elsewhere (Nübel et al., in preparation). Subcomplex I δ was prepared by incubation of 2 mg purified complex I in 5 mL incubation buffer (20 mM NaP_i, 400 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 % LDAO, pH 7.2) on ice for 2 h. The sample was loaded on a Ni-NTA column and washed with 12 column volumes LDAO-washing buffer (20 mM NaP_i, 400 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1 % LDAO, pH 7.2). The detergent was exchanged to β -dodecylmaltoside (DDM) with 9 column volumes DDM-washing buffer (20 mM NaP_i pH, 400 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.025 % DDM, pH 7.2). Subcomplex I δ was eluted with 7 column volumes DDM-elution buffer (20 mM NaP_i, 400 mM NaCl, 140 mM imidazole, 0.025 % DDM, pH 7.2). The concentrated sample (Amicon concentrator 50 kDa cut off, Millipore) was applied to gel filtration (TSK 4000 7.8 x 30) in gel filtration buffer (20 mM Na-Mops, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.025 % DDM, pH 7.2) and concentrated to 15 mg/mL final protein concentration. Protein concentration was determined according to a modified Lowry protocol using bovine serum albumin as standard protein.

NADH:HAR, NADH:DBQ, and NADH:Q₁ oxidoreductase activity was measured essentially as described in [24]. X-band EPR spectra were obtained essentially as described in [25].

Electrophoresis

Blue-Native PAGE of *Y. lipolytica* mitochondria was performed using DDM (1 g/g protein) as detergent according to [26]. Molecular masses of complex I, complex V and complex III-dimer from *Y. lipolytica* mitochondrial membranes were assigned as described [27].

Subunits of protein complexes were separated by doubled SDS-PAGE (dSDS-PAGE) that was carried out as reported previously [28] with the minor change that protein samples were reduced using 130 mM DTT. Briefly, lanes from 1D SDS-PAGE gels (10 % polyacrylamide, 6 M urea) were incubated in acidic solution containing 100 mM Tris, 150 mM HCl, pH < 2 for 30 min and analysed by second dimension SDS-PAGE using 16 % polyacrylamide.

ELISA and identification of subunit NUPM by western blot analysis and LC-MS/MS

The standard ELISA using alkaline conditions for binding of complex I or subcomplex I δ to polystyrene microtiter plates were carried out as described [13]. Primary monoclonal antibodies against several subunits were used for subunit identification (anti-49-kDa and anti-PSST, anti-NUPM, two different anti-NESM antibodies and anti-NIAM) [13](Zickermann, unpublished).

We used a three-dimensional electrophoresis technique (BNE followed by dSDS-PAGE) to separate the protein subunits of complex I from *Y. lipolytica* and to characterize the monoclonal antibody 31A8. To prepare complex I for BN-PAGE, 0.3 g/g DDM was added to one mg of chromatographically purified complex I [26]. The repurified complex I band was excised from the BN-gel and the subunits were separated using dSDS-PAGE. Following electroblotting of the dSDS-PAGE gels on polyvinylidene difluoride membranes [26], monoclonal antibody 31A8 was used for

immunological detection. A gel piece from a duplicate Commassie-stained gel was cut out for LC-MS/MS analysis revealing binding of monoclonal antibody 31A8 to subunit NUPM.

Mass Spectrometry

Laser Induced Liquid Bead Ion Desorption Mass Spectrometry (LILBID-MS) was done as described previously [29]. Protein bands were analyzed by Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS) after dSDS- or BN-PAGE. Gel spots were excised and in gel digested with trypsin as essentially described by Collins et al. [30]. In addition complete purified complex I was digested in solution (see Supplementary Table III). Briefly, about 70 μ g of complex I were reduced with DTT (5 mM) and alkylated with iodoacetamide (15 mM) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer and subsequently digested overnight with 1 μ g of trypsin or chymotrypsin in 0.01% ProteaseMAX^{TM} surfactant (w/v) (Promega). The peptides were extracted from the digest using cation exchange. The dried peptides were redissolved in 5% acetonitrile/0.5% formic acid for subsequent analysis by ESI-LC-MS/MS.

The extracted peptides were separated on a nano-HPLC column (PicoTip^{TM}, New Objective), packed with reversed phase silica (Hypersil Gold C₁₈, 3 μ m, Thermo Scientific) and analysed by a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer as described [31], except that gradients were adapted to the complexity of the sample.

Electron microscopy

Complex I decorated with monoclonal antibody anti-NUPM, 31A8, was analyzed by electron microscopy and image processing. The sample was first diluted to 0.48 mg/ml (buffer: 25 mM Na/Mops pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl, 0.025% DDM) and mixed with a two-fold molar excess of antibody. After dilution to 0.03 mg/ml, the mixture was applied to carbon coated 400 Mesh copper grids and deep stain embedded with NanoW (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) [32;33]. The micrographs were scanned on a SCAI flatbed scanner (Z/I Imaging Corporation, Huntsville, AL) with a calibrated pixel size of 4.02 Å on the specimen scale. 755 single particle images were boxed out of the micrographs, using as only criterion that the particles showed the approximate size of complex I and were separated from their neighbor particles, without differentiation between labeled and unlabeled protein. The boxed particles were processed by centration followed by iterations of rotational translational alignments [34] correspondence analysis [35] classification [36], and multireference alignments, classification being the final step.

Sequence analysis

Prediction of N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequences (MTS) was done using the MITOPROT algorithm [37]. Alignments were done with default parameters using the program ClustalW2[38]. For prediction of transmembrane segments we used the programs TMHMM and hmmtop [39;40].

RESULTS

Subcomplex I δ lacks part of the proximal P-module

Exposure of purified complex I to 1% of the zwitterionic detergent lauryl dimethylamine oxide (LDAO) reproducibly resulted in formation of a defined subcomplex as determined by Blue-Native (BN)-PAGE (Fig. 1A) and size exclusion chromatography (Fig. 1B). Expanding the established nomenclature of biochemically prepared subcomplexes [18;20] we termed the new subcomplex I δ . We noted that in BN-PAGE the subcomplex migrated as a rather diffuse band and exhibited a pronounced tendency to form oligomers. The subunit composition of monomer and oligomers was found to be essentially identical (see below, Supplementary Table II). A total mass of ~850 kDa at peak maximum

can be deduced from a series of prominent peaks in non-destructive laser induced liquid bead ion desorption mass spectrometry (LILBID-MS) at ultrasoft conditions (Fig. 1C). As shown previously detergents and phospholipids are still bound to the ionized complexes under these conditions and the mass of the protein portion is 40-50 kDa lower [8], corresponding to the lower mass onset of the peak at about 808 kDa (blue ticks, Fig. 1C).

Consistent with a reduction in molecular mass the specific NADH:hexammineruthenium (HAR) oxidoreductase activity of the subcomplex I δ was $90 \pm 4 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ as compared to $65 \pm 2 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ for purified holo-complex I. The non-physiological assay is indicative for a functional N-Module, as it solely depends on the presence of an intact NADH-oxidation site and FMN [41]. In contrast, inhibitor-sensitive NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase activity using ubiquinone analogs such as decylubiquinone (DBQ) or ubiquinone-1 (Q_1) was completely abolished in the subcomplex and could not be recovered by addition of lipids (see Supplementary Table I). This suggested that either the Q-module itself or the access path to its Q-binding pocket was affected. EPR spectroscopy indicated that a largely intact peripheral arm was present in the subcomplex (Fig. 2). The spectral signatures of iron-sulfur clusters N1, N3 and N4 appeared essentially unchanged. However, iron-sulfur cluster N2 showed a slight distortion in peak shape of the g_z signal and a shift of the g_{xy} signal suggesting a somewhat modified environment for this redox center as observed previously for bovine subcomplex I λ [19].

Next we asked which of the central subunits had been retained in the subcomplex. Determining the subunit composition of a membrane integral multiprotein complex is a difficult task and we therefore applied three complementary techniques, dSDS-PAGE, LILBID-MS, and ESI-MS/MS of individual subunits and, if necessary, in addition immunological and molecular genetics approaches. Please note that we use bovine nomenclature for central subunits throughout (Table I). Fig. 3 shows silver-stained dSDS-PAGE and Fig. 4 LILBID-subunit spectra of complex I and subcomplex I δ . LILBID mass spectrometry at high laser intensity dissociates non-covalently bound subunits of enzyme complexes and generates a complete mass fingerprint in a single experiment [8]. The analysis of these data and the results from ESI-MS/MS analysis of subcomplex I δ monomer and oligomers excised from BN-gels are summarized in supplementary Table II. By all three techniques, the seven central subunits of the peripheral arm and subunits ND4 and ND5 of the membrane were detected in the subcomplex, while the hydrophobic subunits ND1, ND3 were clearly absent (Table I). The presence of the 49-kDa and PSST subunit was further confirmed by ELISA (see Supplementary Fig. 1). In intact complex I, subunits ND6 and ND4L could only be detected reliably by LILBID-MS. However, for ND6 ($M_r = 20.76$ kDa) this required shifting the almost identical mass of accessory subunit NUJM ($M_r = 20.83$ kDa) by a strep-tag. This separated the two peaks in the spectrum of the tagged complex I and allowed unambiguous identification of both subunits and indicated that ND6 was not missing in the subcomplex (Fig. 4, insert). In contrast, the LILBID-spectra showed that ND4L had been removed. The spot for subunit ND2 partially overlapped with that of subunit ND4 in dSDS-PAGE, but it appeared that this subunit was at least markedly reduced in the subcomplex (Fig. 3). This was confirmed by LILBID-MS showing that subunit ND2 had been removed partially by the LDAO treatment (Fig. 4, peak 9).

With subunits ND1, ND3 and ND4L a significant portion of the proximal part of the P $_p$ -module was completely missing in the subcomplex (Table I). As subunit ND1 is the central subunit that according to the recently published X-ray structural analysis of bacterial complex I [10] forms the major connection between the membrane arm and the peripheral arm, we concluded that in mitochondrial complex I another connection between the two parts must exist. Electron microscopic analysis of subcomplex I δ showed particles of the expected size with a three-domain substructure. Unfortunately, the very high flexibility of the particles limited the 3D structural analysis of the complex to very low resolutions of $\sim 60 \text{ \AA}$ only (results not shown), precluding a more detailed interpretation.

Subcomplex I δ lacks seven accessory subunits

To determine which of the accessory subunits were missing in the subcomplex we again applied dSDS-PAGE, LILBID-mass spectrometry, and ESI-MS/MS (Figs. 3 and 4; see Supplementary Table

II). For most proteins the results obtained with the three methods complemented each other. E.g. subunits with similar masses that resulted in overlapping peaks in LILBID-MS, were well enough separated in dSDS-PAGE. Only in the case of subunit NUJM obtaining an unambiguous result required using the complex I variant where this subunit was modified with a strep-tag. As discussed above subunit NUJM has a molecular mass almost identical to that of the central subunit ND6 resulting in overlapping peaks in LILBID-MS. In dSDS-PAGE the spot for subunit NUJM overlapped with that of subunit PSST making it again impossible to decide whether it was present in subcomplex I δ . The spot for strep-tagged subunit NUJM was shifted away from that of subunit PSST but now partly overlapped with the spot corresponding to subunit NUPM (Fig. 3, insert 1). However, as subunit NUPM was detached by the LDAO treatment, as clearly evident from LILBID-MS, the remaining spot at this position could be assigned unambiguously to strep-tagged NUJM subunit in subcomplex I δ (Fig. 3, insert 2, and Western Blot data not shown). Peptides indicating the presence of subunit NUXM were found in the oligomeric form of subcomplex I δ by ESI-MS/MS. Employing ESI-MS/MS we confirmed the presence of the recently discovered subunit NUUM in the holo-enzyme and in subcomplex I δ [42]. However, we derived a different splice pattern for this subunit with a longer N-terminal sequence (see Supplementary Table III).

To further confirm the presence or absence of individual subunits, ELISA experiments using specific monoclonal antibodies against the NUPM, NIAM and NESM subunits were performed. In contrast to the anti-NUPM antibody all other applied antibodies detected the respective subunit in the subcomplex (see Supplementary Table II and Supplementary Fig. 1). Overall, the evidence generated by dSDS-PAGE, LILBID-, BN-PAGE/ESI-mass spectrometry and ELISA on the subunit composition of complete complex I and subcomplex I δ indicated that the seven accessory subunits NUPM, NUXM, NB6M, NIPM, NIMM, NI9M and ST1 were detached by the LDAO treatment of holo-complex I (Table I).

Subunit ND2 is present in a subpopulation of subcomplex I δ

The total mass of the subunits not found in subcomplex I δ was 177.4 kDa. This corresponds to a residual mass of the subcomplex of 786.3 kDa. As we have indications for the presence of at least one small yet uncharacterized subunit (Nübel et al., in preparation) this fits well to the total mass of ~808 kDa determined experimentally by LILBID-MS (blue ticks, Fig. 1C). However, this includes 53.3 kDa of subunit ND2 that was found to be present only in a fraction of the subcomplex I δ sample. Closer inspection revealed that indeed a second peak series corresponding to a mass of ~755 kDa was present in the LILBID-MS spectra obtained in ultrasoft mode (red ticks, Fig. 1C). The difference of the experimentally determined masses is consistent with a subcomplex that in addition to the other ten subunits had lost subunit ND2. However, the peak intensities for the 808 kDa species suggested a much higher fraction of complexes containing this subunit, than was expected based on LILBID-MS single subunit spectra and dSDS-PAGE (Figs. 3 and 4). A straightforward explanation for this discrepancy is that the subcomplexes lacking subunit ND2 were much less stable under the conditions of the LILBID experiment and for the most part were disintegrated even in the ultrasoft LILBID-mode making the 808 kDa subcomplex the dominant species in the spectra. The observed series of peaks indicative of a mass of 413 kDa can be consistently explained by decomposition of laser irradiation sensitive subcomplex I δ into the peripheral arm (green ticks, Q/N module) and smaller fragments that are not resolved in the spectrum. We conclude that subunit ND2 was present only in a smaller fraction of the sample that however was more resistant towards laser irradiation and thus appeared as the major spectral component.

The arrangement of the accessory subunits can be deduced by combining biochemical structural and sequence data.

Subcomplex I δ lacked those central subunits of the membrane arm that according to the X-ray data [10;11] form the major interface with the peripheral arm. Yet it was stable enough to be prepared

biochemically suggesting that most likely accessory subunits are involved in connecting the two major parts of mitochondrial complex I. We therefore compiled the available information on the 28 accessory subunits of *Y. lipolytica* complex I to assign them to the different domains (Table I) and find out how they may stabilize the core of central subunits of the P-module and how the P-module could be interconnected with the peripheral arm.

From their presence in the hydrophilic subcomplex I α [18-20;22] seven hydrophilic accessory subunits could be assigned to the Q- and N-module of the peripheral arm (Table I).

The sulfurtransferase subunit ST1 is not predicted to be imported into mitochondria (Table I) and therefore most likely resides in the intermembrane space. Because it is only loosely attached to complex I and has not been found in other species [43] we did not consider it here any further.

Of the remaining 20 accessory subunits 12 contain at least one predicted transmembrane segment identifying them as integral membrane proteins of the P-module [6] (Table I). We then reasoned that a high probability for mitochondrial import and the presence of a mitochondrial targeting sequence should indicate that a subunit resides on the matrix side of the membrane arm. This was clearly the case for the acyl carrier protein like subunits ACPM1 and ACPM2. For subunit NI2M the MITOPROT algorithm predicts a targeting sequence and a 100% import probability. However, we could unambiguously identify the N-terminal peptide of the precursor protein just lacking the initial methionine by ESI-MS/MS (Supplementary Table III). We concluded that NI2M was also imported into the mitochondrial matrix, but that for some unknown reason the targeting sequence was not cleaved off. For the remaining five accessory subunits the MITOPROT algorithm predicted a very low import probability score and no mitochondrial targeting sequence. This suggested that they are probably located on the side of the membrane arm facing the intermembrane space. Proteins imported to the intermembrane space are characterized by internal targeting signals and specific patterns of cysteine residues [44]. Indeed, three of the subunits, NUPM, NIPM, and NB8M, exhibited canonical twin C_xC motifs (see Supplementary Fig. 2). This indicated import to the intermembrane space via the Mia40 pathway [45]. In subunit NUPM the twin C_xC motif is repeated. Also subunit NIDM may be imported via this pathway, although it contains only one pair of cysteine residues with a spacing of ten residues. NB4M contains no cysteine motif and it remained unclear how it reaches the intermembrane space side of complex I.

Next we assigned the 20 accessory subunits of the membrane arm to the different subdomains defined by X-ray structural analysis [11]. With the exception of subunits NEBM, NUXM, NUNM, and NUUM all subunits have orthologs in bovine complex I. Thus information from biochemically prepared subcomplexes I α , I β and I λ of the bovine enzyme [18-20;22] subcomplexes of *Y. lipolytica* [22] (Dröse et al, submitted and Nübel et al, in preparation) and the proteomic analysis of subcomplex I δ reported here was included in this analysis.

Bovine subcomplex I β corresponds to the P_D-module of the membrane arm comprising central subunits ND4 and ND5 [18;21]. As it contains homologues of the single transmembrane domain (STMD) subunits [6] NIAM, NESM and NB2M and the hydrophilic accessory subunits NIDM, NI2M, and NB8M we can assign these subunits to the distal membrane arm module of *Y. lipolytica* complex I (Fig. 5). Bovine subunit B15, the homologue of *Y. lipolytica* NB5M, is detected in subcomplexes I β and I α , but it is, like STMD subunit NUNM absent in a *Y. lipolytica* subcomplex comprising the peripheral arm and the P_P-module (Nübel et al., in preparation). This suggested that these two subunits are located in the P_D-module, with subunit NB5M residing near its interface with the P_P-module. The homologue of the transmembrane subunit NUJM has been found in bovine subcomplex I λ suggesting a direct connection with the peripheral arm. On the other hand, deletion of subunit NB8M in *Y. lipolytica* complex I was found to generate a subcomplex concomitantly lacking the P_D module and subunit NUJM (Dröse et al, submitted). We thus assigned a position in the P_D module at the interface to the peripheral arm and the P_P module. Considering the absence of intermembrane space subunit NIPM in the two *Y. lipolytica* subcomplexes described above (Nübel et al, in preparation; Dröse et al, submitted) while the bovine homologue has been assigned to subcomplex I α [20] we suggest a position at the interface of the P_P and P_D module. In contrast to all other species analysed so far complex I from *Y. lipolytica* contains two subunits qualifying as mitochondrial acyl carrier proteins. ACPM1 is more similar to the bovine ortholog SDAP that was also detected in subcomplexes I β and

I α . In the *Y. lipolytica* subcomplex lacking the P_D-module (Nübel et al., in preparation), ACPM1 is retained and ACPM2 is missing. We thus assigned ACPM1 to the P_P-module and ACPM2 to the P_D-module and propose that they reside at the interface between of the two domains. Taken together, we concluded that the P_D-module consists of 14 proteins, the two central subunits ND4 and ND5, seven accessory subunits with single or multiple transmembrane helices, and two hydrophilic accessory subunits at the matrix side and three at the intermembrane space side of complex I (Fig. 5).

Including subunit ACPM1, this leaves five central and eight accessory subunits for the P_P-module. The results obtained here by proteomic analysis of subcomplex I δ provided further information on the arrangement of these subunits within the P_P-module. The absence of the four membrane integral subunits NUXM, NB6M, NIMM, NI9M and the cysteine-containing subunit NUPM from subcomplex I δ identified these proteins, together with the central subunits ND1, ND3 and ND4L, as constituents of the proximal part of the P_P-module located directly under the peripheral arm (Fig. 5). The predicted position of subunit NUPM at the intermembrane space side of complex I could be confirmed by electron microscopy. The monoclonal antibody 31A8 of the IgG subtype had been shown to bind with high affinity to complex I from *Y. lipolytica* in native and denaturing ELISA variants [46]. However, it failed to recognize any complex I polypeptide in a standard denaturing dSDS-PAGE/Western blot analysis. In contrast, under milder BN-dSDS-PAGE (3D-PAGE) conditions the integrity of the presumably discontinuous epitope was sufficiently conserved to allow binding of the antibody to the NUPM subunit (Fig. 3, insert 3). The identity of the corresponding spot in dSDS-PAGE was confirmed by ESI-MS/MS (data not shown). When we analyzed the co-complex of complex I and antibody 31A8 by electron microscopic single particle analysis (Fig. 6), the mass corresponding to the antibody was clearly connected to the proximal end of the membrane arm on the intermembrane space side. This confirmed the predicted position of subunit NUPM. Together with the central subunits ND6 and ND2, the remaining two accessory subunits, the membrane integral subunit NEBM and the subunit NB4M on the intermembrane space side, could be assigned to the part of the P_P-module oriented towards the P_D-module (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Despite recent progress in X-ray crystallographic analysis of mitochondrial complex I [11] much of the architecture of this membrane integral multiprotein complex of >40 different subunits remains unknown. Notably, the arrangement of the numerous accessory subunits, and how it may relate to the function and stability of complex I remained obscure. Here we report the preparation and proteomic analysis of subcomplex I δ of complex I providing clues on how the peripheral and the membrane arm are connected.

The most remarkable feature of subcomplex I δ was that it lacked subunits ND1 and ND3. From X-ray structural analysis of bacterial complex I [10] and cross linking experiments [47] it seemed that these two central subunits are the only proteins of the membrane arm making direct connection to the peripheral arm via the 49-kDa and PSST subunits where the extended ubiquinone binding pocket has been located by site-directed mutagenesis [48]. The observation that electron transfer to ubiquinone was completely abolished and that the EPR signature of the electron donor for ubiquinone, iron-sulfur cluster N2 was slightly altered in subcomplex I δ fits to inhibitor labelling studies suggesting that ND1 might be important for guiding ubiquinone to the active site [49;50]. The fact that subcomplex I δ still contained the complete Q- and N-module and much of the P-module (Fig. 5B) indicated that additional connections between the two arms of mitochondrial complex I must exist.

To obtain further insight into the arrangement of the accessory subunits we performed a sequence based analysis to predict the import pathways and the sub-compartment localization of each subunit (Table I). Remarkably, three complex I subunits, NUPM, NIPM and NB8M contain CX₉C motifs that are a hallmark of proteins imported to the intermembrane space by the Mia40 pathway [45;51] (see Supplementary Fig. 2). The sulfhydryl oxidase Erv1 and the redox-activated import acceptor Mia40 form a disulfide relay system which is located in the intermembrane space of mitochondria from fungi, plants and animals. *Y. lipolytica* protein YALI0E21373g (Genolevures data bank) is similar to

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mia40 (Uniprot accession number P36046) and protein YALI0D25894g (Genolevures data bank) is similar to *S. cerevisiae* Erv1 Flavin-linked sulfhydryl oxidase (P27882) indicating the presence of Erv1 and Mia40 as part of a disulfide relay system in *Y. lipolytica* that promotes retention of imported proteins in the intermembrane space. We consider it remarkable that coordination of complex I assembly not only involves two genomes, as complex I subunits are encoded by nuclear and mitochondrial DNA, but also multiple import pathways that direct nuclear encoded subunits to either the matrix or intermembrane space side.

Based on the predictions derived from this sequence analysis and the results obtained for subcomplex I δ together with earlier results obtained with other subcomplexes of complex I from bovine heart and *Y. lipolytica*, we developed a model for the arrangement of the central and accessory subunit of the membrane arm or P-module of complex I (Fig. 5). We compared our model with the electron density map of complex I from *Y. lipolytica* (Fig. 7) [11]. In line with our model electron density on the matrix side of the membrane arm is rather limited and concentrated in the distal membrane arm protrusion (DMP) that had been already identified by electron microscopy [52]. The DMP may in part correspond to subunit NI2M and the N-terminal hydrophilic domains of STMD subunits NIAM and NESM [6]. In contrast, a prominent layer of electron density at the intermembrane space side harbours a number of elongated density features probably corresponding to α -helices oriented in parallel to the membrane plane (Fig. 7). This fits well to our prediction that subunits NUPM, NB4M, NIPM, NB8M, and NIDM are arranged along this side of complex I. We propose that this ensemble of accessory subunits functions as a platform stabilizing the membrane arm that is connected via the peripherally arranged transmembrane segments of the eleven membrane integral accessory subunits to the matrix side of complex I. Thereby, these accessory subunits seem to form a scaffold around the central subunits of the P-module. Our observation that the P δ -module stayed connected to the peripheral arm in subcomplex I δ even in the absence of subunit ND2, suggested that subunit NUJM may be of particular importance to keep the peripheral arm connected to the residual membrane arm. Remarkably, this scaffold of accessory subunits seemed to be partially retained even under conditions that detached several central subunits from the membrane arm. It is tempting to speculate that the pronounced sensitivity of the central subunits in the proximal membrane arm domain towards disintegration reflects a functionally relevant flexibility inherent to a conformational coupling mechanism.

Author contribution

H.A. designed and performed research, produced complex I and subcomplex samples, performed activity assays, BN-PAGE, dSDS-PAGE, ELISA and alignments, analyzed data, wrote the paper; K.Z. performed EPR spectroscopy; Z.W. performed western blot from 3D-PAGE; E.N. identified subunit NEBM; H.H. and M.S. performed ESI-MS/MS, analyzed data; S.K. provided NUJM-streptag; B.B. and L.S. performed LILBID-MS, analyzed data; M.R. performed EM single particle analysis; U.B. and V.Z. analyzed data, wrote the paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ilka Wittig and Hermann Schagger for fruitful discussions and Andrea Duchene, Karin Siegmund, Maximilian Mattil and Gudrun Beyer for excellent technical assistance. We thank Stefan Kerscher for analysis of the splice pattern of subunit NUUM.

Funding

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, grant ZI 552/3-1 to V.Z., the Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt "Macromolecular Complexes" at the Goethe University Frankfurt (EXC115) and NIH grant 2RO1 GM068650 to M.R..

References

- 1 Yagi, T. and Matsuno-Yagi, A. (2003) The proton-translocating NADH-quinone oxidoreductase in the respiratory chain: the secret unlocked. *Biochemistry*, **42**, 2266-2274.
- 2 Zickermann, V., Kerscher, S., Zwicker, K., Tocilescu, M.A., Radermacher, M. and Brandt, U. (2009) Architecture of complex I and its implications for electron transfer and proton pumping. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics*, **1787**, 574-583.
- 3 Brandt, U. (2006) Energy converting NADH : Quinone oxidoreductase (Complex I). *Annu.Rev.Biochem.*, **75**, 69-92.
- 4 Lin, M.T. and Beal, M.F. (2006) Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature*, **443**, 787-795.
- 5 McKenzie, M. and Ryan, M.T. (2010) Assembly factors of human mitochondrial complex I and their defects in disease. *IUBMB Life*, **62**, 497-502.
- 6 Zickermann, V., Angerer, H., Ding, M., Nübel, E. and Brandt, U. (2010) Small single transmembrane domain (STMD) proteins organize the hydrophobic subunits of large membrane protein complexes. *FEBS Lett.*, **584**, 2516-2525.
- 7 Hirst, J., Carroll, J., Fearnley, I.M., Shannon, R.J. and Walker, J.E. (2003) The nuclear encoded subunits of complex I from bovine heart mitochondria. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, **1604**, 135-150.
- 8 Morgner, N., Zickermann, V., Kerscher, S., Wittig, I., Abdrakhmanova, A., Barth, H.D., Brutschy, B. and Brandt, U. (2008) Subunit mass fingerprinting of mitochondrial complex I. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics*, **1777**, 1384-1391.
- 9 Clason, T., Ruiz, T., Schagger, H., Peng, G., Zickermann, V., Brandt, U., Michel, H. and Radermacher, M. (2010) The structure of eukaryotic and prokaryotic complex I. *J.Struct.Biol.*, **169**, 81-88.
- 10 Efremov, R.G., Baradaran, R. and Sazanov, L.A. (2010) The architecture of respiratory complex I. *Nature*, **465**, 441-445.
- 11 Hunte, C., Zickermann, V. and Brandt, U. (2010) Functional modules and structural basis of conformational coupling in mitochondrial complex I. *Science*, **329**, 448-451.
- 12 Sazanov, L.A. and Hinchliffe, P. (2006) Structure of the hydrophilic domain of respiratory complex I from *Thermus thermophilus*. *Science*, **311**, 1430-1436.
- 13 Zickermann, V., Bostina, M., Hunte, C., Ruiz, T., Radermacher, M. and Brandt, U. (2003) Functional implications from an unexpected position of the 49 kDa subunit of complex I. *J.Biol.Chem.*, **278**, 29072-29078.
- 14 Clason, T., Zickermann, V., Ruiz, T., Brandt, U. and Radermacher, M. (2007) Direct localization of the 51 and 24 kDa subunits of mitochondrial complex I by three-dimensional difference imaging. *J.Struct.Biol.*, **159**, 433-442.
- 15 Leif, H., Sled, V.D., Ohnishi, T., Weiss, H. and Friedrich, T. (1995) Isolation and characterization of the proton-translocating NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase from *Escherichia coli*. *Eur.J.Biochem.*, **230**, 538-548.

- 16 Yip, C.Y., Harbour, M.E., Jayawardena, K., Fearnley, I.M. and Sazanov, L.A. (2010) Evolution of respiratory complex I: 'supernumerary' subunits are present in the {alpha}-proteobacterial enzyme. *J Biol Chem.*, **286**, 5023-33
- 17 Peng, G., Fritzsche, G., Zickermann, V., Schagger, H., Mentele, R., Lottspeich, F., Bostina, M., Radermacher, M., Huber, R., Stetter, K.O. and Michel, H. (2003) Isolation, characterization and electron microscopic single particle analysis of the NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) from the hyperthermophilic eubacterium *Aquifex aeolicus*. *Biochemistry*, **42**, 3032-3039.
- 18 Finel, M., Skehel, J.M., Albracht, S.P.J., Fearnley, I.M. and Walker, J.E. (1992) Resolution of NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase from bovine heart mitochondria into two subcomplexes, one of which contains the redox centers of the enzyme. *Biochemistry*, **31**, 11425-11434.
- 19 Finel, M., Majander, A.S., Tyynelä, J., de Jong, A.M.P., Albracht, S.P.J. and Wikström, M.K.F. (1994) Isolation and characterisation of subcomplexes of the mitochondrial NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I). *Eur.J.Biochem.*, **226**, 237-242.
- 20 Carroll, J., Fearnley, I.M., Shannon, R.J., Hirst, J. and Walker, J.E. (2003) Analysis of the subunit composition of complex I from bovine heart mitochondria. *Mol.Cell.Proteomics*, **2**, 117-126.
- 21 Sazanov, L.A., Peak-Chew, S.Y., Fearnley, I.M. and Walker, J.E. (2000) Resolution of the membrane domain of bovine complex I into subcomplexes: implications for the structural organization of the enzyme. *Biochemistry*, **39**, 7229-7235.
- 22 Abdrakhmanova, A., Zickermann, V., Bostina, M., Radermacher, M., Schagger, H., Kerscher, S. and Brandt, U. (2004) Subunit composition of mitochondrial complex I from the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, **1658**, 148-156.
- 23 Kashani-Poor, N., Kerscher, S., Zickermann, V. and Brandt, U. (2001) Efficient large scale purification of his-tagged proton translocating NADH : ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) from the strictly aerobic yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, **1504**, 363-370.
- 24 Grgic, L., Zwicker, K., Kashani-Poor, N., Kerscher, S. and Brandt, U. (2004) Functional significance of conserved histidines and arginines in the 49 kDa subunit of mitochondrial complex I. *J.Biol.Chem.*, **279**, 21193-21199.
- 25 Tocilescu, M.A., Fendel, U., Zwicker, K., Kerscher, S. and Brandt, U. (2007) Exploring the ubiquinone binding cavity of respiratory complex I. *J.Biol.Chem.*, **282**, 29514-29520.
- 26 Wittig, I., Velours, J., Stuart, R. and Schagger, H. (2008) Characterization of domain interfaces in monomeric and dimeric ATP synthase. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, **7**, 995-1004.
- 27 Nübel, E., Wittig, I., Kerscher, S., Brandt, U. and Schagger, H. (2009) Two-dimensional native electrophoretic analysis of respiratory supercomplexes from *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Proteomics*, **9**, 2408-18
- 28 Rais, I., Karas, M. and Schagger, H. (2004) Two-dimensional electrophoresis for the isolation of integral membrane proteins and mass spectrometric identification. *Proteomics*, **4**, 2567-2571.
- 29 Morgner, N., Barth, H.D. and Brutschy, B. (2006) A new way to detect noncovalently bonded complexes of biomolecules from liquid micro-droplets by laser mass spectrometry. *Austral.J.Chem.*, **59**, 109-114.
- 30 Collins, M.O., Yu, J.S. and Choudhary, J.S. (2008) Analysis protein complexes by 1D-SDS-PAGE and tandem mass spectrometry. *nature protocols*. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.123

- 31 Schafer,A., Zick,M., Kief,J., Steger,M., Heide,H., Duvezin-Caubet,S., Neupert,W. and Reichert,A.S. (2010) Intramembrane proteolysis of Mgm1 by the mitochondrial rhomboid protease is highly promiscuous regarding the sequence of the cleaved hydrophobic segment. *J Mol Biol*, **401**, 182-193.
- 32 Stoops,J.K., Kolodziej,S.J., Schroeter,J.P., Bretauiere,J.P. and Wakil,S.J. (1992) Structure-function relationships of the fatty acid synthase: negative stain, cryo -electron microscopy, and image analysis studies of the end views of the structure. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA*, **89**, 6585-6589.
- 33 Ruiz,T. and Radermacher,M. (2006) Three-dimensional analysis of single particles by electron microscopy: sample preparation and data acquisition. *Methods Mol Biol*, **319**, 403-425.
- 34 Radermacher,M. (1997) Radon transform techniques for alignment and 3D reconstruction from random projections. *Scanning Microscopy*, **11**, 171-177.
- 35 van Heel,M. and Frank,J. (1981) Use of multivariate statistics in analysing the images of biological macromolecules. *Ultramicroscopy*, **6**, 187-194.
- 36 Diday, E. (1971) La methode de nuees dynamiques. *Rev.Stat.Appl.* (19), 19-33.
- 37 Claros,M.G. and Vincens,P. (1996) Computational method to predict mitochondrially imported proteins and their targeting sequences. *Eur.J.Biochem.*, **241**, 779-786.
- 38 Larkin,M.A., Blackshields,G., Brown,N.P., Chenna,R., McGettigan,P.A., McWilliam,H., Valentin,F., Wallace,I.M., Wilm,A., Lopez,R., Thompson,J.D., Gibson,T.J. and Higgins,D.G. (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics*, **23**, 2947-2948.
- 39 Krogh,A., Larsson,B., von Heijne,G. and Sonnhammer,E.L. (2001) Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J.Mol.Biol.*, **305**, 567-580.
- 40 Tusnady,G.E. and Simon,I. (2001) The HMMTOP transmembrane topology prediction server. *Bioinformatics*, **17**, 849-850.
- 41 Gavrikova,E.V., Grivennikova,V.G., Sled,V.D., Ohnishi,T. and Vinogradov,A.D. (1995) Kinetics of the mitochondrial three-subunit NADH dehydrogenase interaction with hexammineruthenium(III). *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, **1230**, 23-30.
- 42 Bridges,H.R., Fearnley,I.M. and Hirst,J. (2010) The subunit composition of mitochondrial NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) from *Pichia pastoris*. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, **9**, 2318-2326.
- 43 Abdrakhmanova,A., Dobrynin,K., Zwicker,K., Kerscher,S. and Brandt,U. (2005) Functional sulfurtransferase is associated with mitochondrial complex I from *Yarrowia lipolytica*, but is not required for assembly of its iron-sulfur clusters. *FEBS Lett.*, **579**, 6781-6785.
- 44 Herrmann,J.M. and Koehl,R. (2007) Catch me if you can! Oxidative protein trapping in the intermembrane space of mitochondria. *Journal of Cell Biology*, **176**, 559-563.
- 45 Cavallaro,G. (2010) Genome-wide analysis of eukaryotic twin CX(9)C proteins. *Mol Biosyst.* **6**, 2459-70
- 46 Zickermann,V., Wumaier,Z., Wrzesniewska,B., Hunte,C. and Schagger,H. (2010) Native immunoblotting of blue native gels to identify conformation-specific antibodies. *Proteomics*, **10**, 159-163.

- 47 Kao, M.C., Matsuno-Yagi, A. and Yagi, T. (2004) Subunit proximity in the H⁺-translocating NADH-quinone oxidoreductase probed by zero-length cross-linking. *Biochemistry*, **43**, 3750-3755.
- 48 Tocilescu, M.A., Zickermann, V., Zwicker, K. and Brandt, U. (2010) Quinone binding and reduction by respiratory complex I. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1797**, 1883-90.
- 49 Schuler, F. and Casida, J.E. (2001) Functional coupling of PSST and ND1 subunits in NADH : ubiquinone oxidoreductase established by photoaffinity labeling. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1506**, 79-87.
- 50 Murai, M., Ishihara, A., Nishioka, T., Yagi, T. and Miyoshi, H. (2007) The ND1 subunit constructs the inhibitor binding domain in bovine heart mitochondrial complex I. *Biochemistry*, **46**, 6409-6416.
- 51 Szklarczyk, R., Wanschers, B.F., Nabuurs, S.B., Nouws, J., Nijtmans, L.G. and Huynen, M.A. (2011) NDUFB7 and NDUFA8 are located at the intermembrane surface of complex I. *FEBS Lett*, **585**, 737-743.
- 52 Radermacher, M., Ruiz, T., Clason, T., Benjamin, S., Brandt, U. and Zickermann, V. (2006) The three-dimensional structure of complex I from *Yarrowia lipolytica*: A highly dynamic enzyme. *J. Struct. Biol.*, **154**, 269-279.
- 53 Rosenthal, P.B. and Henderson, R. (2003) Optimal determination of particle orientation, absolute hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *J Mol Biol*, **333**, 721-745.

Table I: Subunits of complex I from *Y. lipolytica* highlighting proteins missing in subcomplex Iδ (shaded in grey)

	Subunit	Ortholog	M_r	M_r	Import probability ^b	Targeting Sequence		Remark	Module ^c	Localization
			<i>B. taurus</i> Precursor ^a	Mature ^a		Predicted ^b	Experimental			
			Da	Da	%					
1	NUAM	75-kDa	78,997	75,199	98	yes	yes [22]	2 Fe ₄ S ₄ ; 1 Fe ₂ S ₂	Q/N	matrix
2	NUBM	51-kDa	53,756	51,660	99	yes	yes [22]	FMN, NADH, Fe ₄ S ₄	Q/N	matrix
3	NUCM	49-kDa	52,430	49,945	100	yes	yes [22]	Q-binding	Q/N	matrix
4	NUGM	30-kDa	33,595	30,476 ^j	96	yes	yes [22]	His-tag	Q/N	matrix
5	NUHM	24-kDa	27,216	24,069	99	yes	yes [22]	Fe ₂ S ₂	Q/N	matrix
6	NUIM	TYKY	25,651	22,321	97	yes	yes [22]	2 Fe ₄ S ₄	Q/N	matrix
7	NUKM	PSST	23,430	20,426	67	yes	yes [22]	Q-binding, Fe ₄ S ₄	Q/N	matrix
8	NU1M	ND1		38,348				encoded by mtDNA	P _P	membrane integral
9	NU2M	ND2		53,332				encoded by mtDNA	P _P	membrane integral
10	NU3M	ND3		14,471				encoded by mtDNA	P _P	membrane integral
11	NU4M	ND4		54,481				encoded by mtDNA	P _D	membrane integral
12	NU5M	ND5		73,705				encoded by mtDNA	P _D	membrane integral
13	NU6M	ND6		20,758				encoded by mtDNA	P _P	membrane integral
14	NULM	ND4L		9,811				encoded by mtDNA	P _P	membrane integral

THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BJ20110359

15	NUEM	39-kDa	42,706	40,434	74	no	yes[22]	NADPH	Q/N	matrix
16	ST1		34,621	34,490	27	no	no	- Met ^d	unknown	unknown
17	NESM	ESSS	28,436	23,438	87	yes	yes[22]	STMD ^e	P _D	membrane integral
18	NUJM	B14.7	20,828	20,696	40	no		- Met?, 2 TMH ^f , opt. + StreptagII	P _D	membrane integral
19	NUZM	B14.5a		19,750	51	yes	no [22]		Q/N	matrix
20	NUPM	PGIV	19,327	19,196	5	no	no	Mia-Cx _y C ^g , - Met	P _P	intermembrane side
21	NUXM			18,565	10	no		2 TMH, Zn ²⁺ - motif ^h	P _P	membrane integral
22	N7BM	B17.2		16,153	97	no			Q/N	matrix
23	NUYM	AQDQ	18,671	15,940	98	yes	yes [22]		Q/N	matrix
24	NUFM	B13	16,635	15,573	91	yes	yes [22]		Q/N	matrix
25	NIAM	ASHI	17,307	14,642	100	yes	yes [22]	STMD	P _D	membrane integral
26	NB4M	B14	14,758	14,627	5	no		- Met	P _P	intermembrane side
27	NB6M	B16.6	14,091	13,960	2	no	no	- Met, STMD	P _P	membrane integral
28	NUNM	-	15,795	13,301	94	yes		STMD	P _D	membrane integral
29	NUMM	13-kDa	15,129	13,117 ^b	97	yes			Q/N	matrix
30	NI2M	B22	12,880	12,749	100	yes	no	- Met	P _D	matrix side
31	NB8M	B18	11,199	11,068	1	no	no	Mia-Cx _y C, - Met[22]	P _D	intermembrane side
32	NIDM	PDSW	11,021	10,890	1	no	no	Mia-Cx _y C, - Met	P _D	intermembrane side

33	NB5M	B15	10,479	10,348	2	no	no	- Met[22], STMD	P _D	membrane integral
34	ACPM2	SDAP	14,429	10,092 ^{b,k}	100	yes		PP-HD ⁱ	P _D	matrix side
35	NIPM	PFFD	10,019	9,887	5	yes	no	Mia-Cx _y C, - Met	P _D	intermembrane side
36	NIMM	MWFE	9,793	9,662	9	yes	no	STMD, - Met	P _P	membrane integral
37	NUUM		9,783	9,652	13	yes	no	STMD, - Met	P _D	membrane integral
38	ACPM1	SDAP	12,041	9,636 ^{b,k}	100	yes		PP-HD ⁱ	P _P	matrix side
39	NI8M	B8	9,604	9,473	76	yes		- Met?	Q/N	matrix
40	NI9M	B9		8,981	12	yes	no	STMD	P _P	membrane integral
41	NEBM		8,048	7,917	93	yes	no	- Met, STMD	P _P	membrane integral
42	NB2M	B12	6,936	6,805	77	no	no	- Met, STMD	P _D	membrane integral

^a Taken from [8] except for additional subunits NUUM, NEBM; and subunits ST1, NUMM, NI2M, NIPM and NI9M for which a corrected M_r based on new ESI-MS/MS is given. Note that for calculation of the total mass of complexes comprising the intact N- and Q-module 2.918 kDa have to be added for the mass of the eight iron-sulfur clusters and one FMN; for complexes containing subunit NUEM 0.745 kDa have to be added to account for tightly bound NADPH [8].

^b Probability for import into mitochondria and presence of a targeting sequence was calculate using the MITOPROT algorithm [37].

^c The assignment is based on previous studies with biochemically prepared subcomplexes of bovine heart and *Y. lipolytica* complex I [22;52].

^d -Met, N-terminal methionine is cleaved off; a question mark indicates that this processing has not been confirmed experimentally.

^e STMD, single transmembrane domain protein [6].

^f TMH, transmembrane helices as predicted by the TMHMM 2.0 algorithm (<http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM>).

^g These proteins contain a cysteine-motif characteristic for proteins imported into the intermembrane space via the Mia-pathway [44].

^h NUXM contains a Zn²⁺-binding motif (HSIAGLFIFPW) in the C-terminal loop region (PROSITE, Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics).

ⁱ PP-HD, Phosphopantetheine-hydroxy-tetradecanoate

^j includes M_r of His-tag

^k includes of PP-HD (M_r=565 Da)

Figure legends

Figure 1 Exposure of native complex I to LDAO generates subcomplex I δ

(A) BN-PAGE of solubilized mitochondrial membranes from *Y. lipolytica* (left lane) and subcomplex I δ (right lane). The migration behavior of subcomplex I δ (I δ) indicated a lower mass compared with native complex I (CI). Note that complex I subunit ST1 is detached under BN-PAGE conditions resulting in a total mass of ~930 kDa for the control; complex V monomer (CV, ~580 kDa), complex III dimer (CIII₂, ~420 kDa). The bands in the high molecular weight range in the right lane were identified as oligomers of subcomplex I δ (n I δ). (B) Subcomplex I δ (light grey) eluted as a symmetrical peak with slightly increased retention volume compared with native complex I (black) in size exclusion chromatography. (C) Non-destructive LILBID-MS analysis of subcomplex I δ at low laser intensity. A prominent peak series indicated m/z values (I δ + ND2, blue) of ~850 kDa including detergent and lipids. As for native complex I the protein mass was found to be 40-50 kDa offset from the peak maximum and best approximated by evaluating m/z values of the left peak edges the mass of subcomplex I δ + ND2 was determined to be ~808 kDa (blue ticks). A second peak series corresponding to ~755 kDa was assigned to subcomplex I δ without ND2 (I δ , red ticks). A third peak series of ~413 kDa can be assigned to the peripheral arm (Q/N-module, green ticks) that is generated by disintegration of laser irradiation sensitive subcomplex I δ (red) (see text for details).

Figure 2 EPR spectra of complex I and subcomplex I δ

Spectra were recorded using the following parameters: microwave frequency 9.47 GHz, modulation amplitude 0.64 mT, modulation frequency 100 kHz. Complex I or subcomplex I δ (15 mg/ml) were reduced with NADH (2 mM) and the spectra were recorded at 12 K. *Organic radical signal, probably representing an impurity.

Figure 3 dSDS-PAGE of purified complex I (A) and subcomplex I δ (B)

Subunits missing in subcomplex I δ are highlighted by a circle. In the subcomplex an extra spot was visible near the 49-kDa subunit (49-kDa Δ N). It was identified by ESI-MS/MS as a degradation product of the 49-kDa subunit lacking a 3 kDa fragment at the N-terminus. Inserts 1 and 2 show the section of a dSDS-gel around subunit NUJM of complex I or subcomplex I δ prepared from a strain carrying a strep-tagged version of this subunit. Insert 3 shows a section of a Coomassie-stained BN-dSDS-PAGE gel of complex I (left) and the corresponding Western blot (right). Subunit NUPM was recognized by monoclonal antibody (mab) 31A8 (anti-NUPM). Note that the assignment for some of the accessory subunits was updated and is therefore different from [22].

Figure 4 LILBID mass fingerprint spectra of complex I and subcomplex I δ

The peaks are numbered according to Table I. Insert, detail from mass spectra of a complex I variant carrying a strep-tag on subunit NUJM (grey, dashed line) and the corresponding subcomplex I δ (black, dashed line). The mass shift permitted to identify subunits NUJM (18) and ND6 (13) in the subcomplex (asterisks). Taking into account new evidence on C-terminal peptides and prediction of targeting sequences (Table I) we reassigned the peaks for subunits NUMM and NI9M (compare [8]). The peak at 7.7 kDa previously assigned to NI9M remains unassigned. To account for these changes and the newly discovered subunits NUUM and NEBM, the assignment of peaks 27 to 29 and peaks 37 to 42 was updated to match decreasing molecular masses of the corresponding subunits. The spectrum of subcomplex I δ exhibited a few additional peaks that correspond either to higher charged species of the 75-kDa (1), 51-kDa (2) and 49-kDa (3) or to the truncated version of the 49-kDa subunit (3 Δ N, see Figure 3).

Figure 5 Subunit arrangement in complex I (A) and subcomplex I δ (B) from *Y. lipolytica*

Accessory subunits form an extended scaffold and connect the peripheral arm (Q/N-module, white) and the membrane arm (P_p-module, light grey; P_D-module, dark grey). See text for details. The long helical transmission element [11] implicated in conformational energy transfer is shown in light grey. In the subcomplex subunit ND2 is shown transparent, because it was present in substoichiometric

amounts. Subunit ST1 is not shown. The positions of subunits NB4M, NIDM and NI2M have not been confirmed experimentally.

Figure 6   Localization of the NUPM subunit on the intermembrane space side of the membrane arm

Two-dimensional average of single particle images of complex I decorated with monoclonal antibody 31A8 against the NUPM subunit. Alignment and classification of 749 images yielded six major classes. Class 3, shown here and containing 16% (118) of the particles, most clearly shows the bound antibody. The resolution of the average is 30 Å as measured by the Fourier Ring Correlation with a cutoff criterion of 0.3 [53]. Scale bar, 100 Å.

Figure 7   Membrane arm of mitochondrial complex I

Section from electron density map (blue mesh) of *Y. lipolytica* complex I and structural model for transmembrane segments (yellow) [11]. The contour line of a complex I monomer is tentatively indicated by a dashed blue line; the red line separates P_P and P_D-module; DMP, distal membrane arm protrusion. A prominent continuous layer of extrinsic protein mass is attached to the intermembrane space side (IMS) of the membrane arm (compare Fig. 5).