An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms

T. García-Sanz, J.M. Ruiz-Fernández, M. Ruiz, R. García, M.N. González, M. Pérez

► To cite this version:

T. García-Sanz, J.M. Ruiz-Fernández, M. Ruiz, R. García, M.N. González, et al.. An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2010, 70 (2), pp.189. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.05.001 . hal-00602601

HAL Id: hal-00602601

<https://hal.science/hal-00602601>

Submitted on 23 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms

Authors: T. García-Sanz, J.M. Ruiz-Fernández, M. Ruiz, R. García, M.N. González, M. Pérez

PII: S0141-1136(10)00068-1

DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.05.001)

Reference: MERE 3448

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 26 June 2009

Revised Date: 26 April 2010

Accepted Date: 3 May 2010

Please cite this article as: García-Sanz, T., Ruiz-Fernández, J.M., Ruiz, M., García, R., González, M.N., Pérez, M. An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms, *Marine Environmental Research* (2010), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.05.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms

T. García-Sanz^a
J.M. Ruiz-Fernández^b
M. Ruiz^c
R. García^b
M.N. González^c
M. Pérez^a

^a Departament d'Ecologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avda. Diagonal 645, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain.

^b Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia, Instituto Español de Oceanografía, C/ Varadero, 1, Lo Pagán 30740, San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain.

^c Instituto Canario de Ciencias Marinas, Ctra. Gral. de Taliarte s/n, 35200. Telde, Gran Canaria, Spain.

An evaluation of a macroalgal bioassay tool for assessing the spatial extent of nutrient release from offshore fish farms

T. García-Sanz^a
J.M. Ruiz-Fernández^b
M. Ruiz^c
R. García^b
M.N. González^c
M. Pérez^a

^a Departament d'Ecologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avda.
Diagonal 645, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain.

^b Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia, Instituto Español de Oceanografía, C/ Varadero, 1,
Lo Pagán E-30740, San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain.

^c Instituto Canario de Ciencias Marinas, Ctra. Gral. de Taliarte s/n, E-35200. Telde,
Gran Canaria, Spain.

1 Abstract

2 In this study we develop and evaluate a macroalgal bioassay tool for monitoring the
3 spatial extent of dissolved wastes loaded from offshore fish farms into the marine
4 coastal ecosystem. This tool is based on the analysis of the nitrogen stable isotope ratio
5 ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in tissues of several benthic primary producers (macroalgae and *P. oceanica*
6 epiphytes) incubated, by means of incubation devices, in the water column at increasing
7 distances (from 0 m to 1000 m) from the fish cages. The bioassays were performed in
8 three fish farms situated in different geographical locations (the Canary Islands, Murcia
9 and Catalonia) and we test: the suitability of the different macroalgae species used in
10 relation with their resistance to incubation and their sensitivity to fish-farm wastes and
11 the most appropriate incubation depth (5m or ~20m) and incubation time (2, 4 or 6
12 days) to detect the spatial distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ around fish farms. In general terms, the
13 results showed a significant increment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values towards the fish cages with
14 respect to the reference (initial) and control values for all the species of macroalgae
15 tested except for the red algae *Asparagopsis taxiformis* from Canary Islands. The
16 magnitude and shape of the reported spatial responses varied as a function of the
17 experimental settings analyzed as well as a function of the nutrient regime
18 characteristics of each coastal area. The spatial gradient was more consistent in the
19 shallow part of the water column (5m depth), than in the deeper part (~20m) and was
20 statistically significant after an incubation period of four days. These results confirm the
21 effectiveness and reliability of the method proposed, enabling the spatial extent of
22 nutrients derived from fish farms to be assessed in an effective and simple manner,
23 suitable for use in monitoring programs around offshore fish farms.

24
25
26
27 **Keywords:** Nitrogen Stable isotopes ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$), Fish farms, Macroalgae, Bioassays, Indicators.

1 Introduction

2

3 The use of floating net cages in marine aquaculture has grown steadily over recent years
 4 in the Mediterranean (FAO, 2007). This development has sparked much concern over
 5 the threats posed by fish-farm wastes entering marine ecosystems. Fish farm wastes
 6 increases the concentration of particulate organic matter (POM) and dissolved nutrients
 7 in the surrounding waters (Pitta et al., 1999; Karakassis et al., 2001; Norvdarg and
 8 Johansson, 2002) which has lead to significant alterations of benthic marine
 9 communities, mainly in soft-bottom macro- and meio-benthos (Mazzola et al., 2000;
 10 Mirto et al., 2002) but also in more vulnerable and valuable habitats, particularly
 11 seagrasses (Pergent et al., 1999; Ruiz et al., 2001; Holmer et al., 2003; Pergent-Martini
 12 et al., 2006; Holmer et al., 2008; Ruiz et al, in press). Most of these negative effects
 13 have been reported in shallow and sheltered nearshore environments, and as a
 14 consequence, most of fish farm facilities are presently placed in deeper, offshore waters,
 15 where aquaculture waste is presumed to have a lower resident time thus minimizing the
 16 impact on benthic communities (Wu et al., 1994; McGhie et al., 2000; Alongi et al.,
 17 2003).

18 Despite the reported evidence of environmental degradation caused by aquaculture
 19 activities, there are currently no specific and reliable tools to provide ecologically
 20 meaningful information about the impact of fish-farm wastes on marine ecosystems.
 21 Classic descriptors based on water-column and sediment physicochemical variables (i.e.
 22 DIN, POM, among others) have been systematically used in monitoring programs of
 23 aquaculture impacts to assess the spread of fish-farm wastes in marine environments
 24 (Dosdat et al., 1995; Roque d'Orbcastel et al., 2008). However, these methods have
 25 usually failed to detect nutrient enrichment in receiving waters surrounding fish farms,
 26 thus underestimating the spatial extent of the dissolved fraction of aquaculture wastes
 27 (Jones et al., 2001 and references therein). This lack of response is attributed to the fast
 28 dispersal of dissolved nutrients, its assimilation by organisms or loss to the atmosphere
 29 through volatilization and denitrification (Pitta et al., 1999; Wolanski et al., 2000;
 30 Karakassis et al., 2001; Sarà, 2007). This is especially true in offshore environments
 31 where the dilution and spread of released nutrients is faster than at more confined sites.

Biological indicators (bioindicators) represent an alternative to physical and chemical analyses to assess water quality since they can integrate both persistent and pulsed changes in external nutrient availability over time (Lyngby 1990; Costanzo et al., 2000; Jones et al 2001). Benthic primary producers (macroalgae, seagrasses and epiphytes) are considered excellent potential bioindicators of nutrient availability for several reasons: they are widely distributed and abundant, their biomasses persist over relatively long periods, and they show well-characterized physiological responses to nutrient exposure (Udy and Dennison, 1997; Lyngby et al., 1999). Indeed, the number of studies that use these organisms to assess the influence of external sources of nutrients on coastal ecosystems has recently increased (Fong et al., 1998; Savage and Elmgren, 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Dalsgaard and Krause-Jensen, 2006; Pérez et al., 2008). On the other hand, in the last decade, the analysis of the isotopic nitrogen ratios ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in benthic primary producers has been revealed as a technique that is particularly effective in tracing external nutrient inputs from certain human activities (see Lepoint et al., 2004 for a review, Sarà et al., 2004, 2006). Organic loads from urban wastes and aquaculture are enriched in the heavy nitrogen isotope (N^{15}) relative to natural sources (Jones et al., 2001; Costanzo et al., 2003, 2004; Cole et al., 2004, 2005). This enrichment in N^{15} can be detected in macrophyte tissues exposed to wastes since they show only small or no fractionation during nitrogen uptake and assimilation (Gartner et al., 2002; Cohen and Fong, 2005; Deutsch and Voss, 2006).

The analysis of the nitrogen isotopic signal in benthic vegetation has been applied successfully to determine the spatial scale of the dispersal of aquaculture effluents derived from land-based farms (Jones et al., 2001; Vizzini and Mazzola, 2004). However, the distribution of benthic macrophytic communities along the coast is not continuous and it is depth limited so these communities are usually absent from deeper areas where offshore aquaculture is expanding. This fact restricts the use of this kind of biological indicators to quantify the impact of fish farm effluents in open waters. To overcome this problem some researchers have analyzed variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in samples of macroalgae collected from natural stands and placed in mesh bags staked to sediment at unvegetated bottoms to detect the spatial extent of shrimp-farm (land-based) effluents (Lin and Fong 2008). However, to our knowledge its potential has not still been evaluated in marine offshore fish farms. So, we present here a study that uses pelagic macroalgal bioassays in combination with isotopic analysis to determine the spatial

extent of offshore fish-farm wastes in open waters.

This study represents an assessment of a method based on the analysis of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures in tissues of benthic primary producers (macroalgae and *P. oceanica* epiphytes) used as active bioindicators (i.e. bioassays) to determine the spatial extent of dissolved wastes derived from offshore aquaculture facilities. Three case studies (fish farms) were selected in three geographically separated sites along the Spanish coast to perform this study. In each case, bioassays were deployed by means of incubation devices in the water column at increasing distances from the fish farm facilities to analyse the effects of several key experimental conditions (species of macroalgae, depth of incubation and duration of incubation) on the variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures. In addition, spatial variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were compared with those indicated by other measures such as total nitrogen in plant tissues.

Materials and methods

Study sites

Three offshore fish farms were selected to perform the study. One was located in waters of the Atlantic coast (Tenerife, Canary Islands), and two in the Mediterranean: one in San Pedro del Pinatar (Murcia Region) and the other in L'Ametlla de Mar (Catalonia Region), on the south-eastern and north-eastern coast of the Spanish Peninsula, respectively (Fig. 1). In the Canary Islands, the annual production of the fish farm during the study period was about 375 tonnes. The species farmed here were sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). In Murcia, the annual production of the fish farm was about 350 tonnes. Atlantic blue fin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) was farmed in Murcia. However, this facility was at the southern end of a larger complex of seven fish farms. These farms were concentrated in an area of 1.47 km² and farmed blue fin tuna, sea bream and sea bass with an annual production of 6,760 tonnes. On an annual basis, the fish farm in Catalonia produced about 800 tonnes of sea bream. All fish farms selected were located in areas with soft, unvegetated bottoms between about 20 and 40 m deep, and located more than 1 km from shore. The study was carried out during July and August in 2005.

1 *Experimental design*

2
3 At each study site, macroalgal samples were collected from unpolluted sites one day
4 before the bioassay deployment. Macroalgae collected varied in availability at each
5 study site. As a result of this variation, the red algae *Asparagopsis taxiformis* (Delile)
6 Trevisande Saint-Léon and the brown algae *Stypopodium zonale* (Lamouroux)
7 Papenfuss were used in the Canary Islands study site; the brown algae *Dictyopteris*
8 *polypodioides* (De Candolle) J.V. Lamouroux and the epiphytic community of the
9 seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile were used in the Murcia fish farm, and the
10 brown algae *Cystoseira mediterranea* (Sauvageau) in the study case of Catalonia. After
11 collection, all macroalgae were maintained until the next day in coolers with well-
12 aerated seawater under low light conditions to avoid further physiological stress by light
13 and temperature. Ten sub-samples were separated from the bulk of collected plant
14 material and kept frozen until the time of analysis to obtain reference values for the
15 variables analyzed.

16 The day after sampling, macroalgae pieces (between 25 and 50 g of plant biomass) were
17 placed into incubation devices (comprising plastic 1 cm mesh cylinders measuring 9 cm
18 in diameter and 15 cm in height) and deployed in the water column at sites increasingly
19 further from the fish cages along a 1 km transect in the main current direction (Fig. 2).
20 All the plant material introduced in the devices was alive and in good condition. The
21 direction of the currents during the course of our study was established at each site from
22 data provided by environmental studies available in the respective monitoring programs.

23 The incubation devices were hung along vertical rope lines deployed at 0, 25, 50, 100,
24 200, 500 and 1000 meters from the fish cages, being the largest distance considered as a
25 control site representative of a natural, unpolluted condition. Rope lines were attached
26 to a concrete cube weighing 30 kg on the seabed and were maintained in an erect
27 position with a buoy. Along each rope line, three groups of four cylindrical devices ($n =$
28 4) were hung at two incubation depth (5 m (shallow) and between 15 and 20 m (deep))
29 to assess the effect of the incubation depth on the spatial variation of the nitrogen
30 isotopic signal measured in plant tissues. Bioassays hanging at ~20m depth were always
31 separated from the bottom by at least 5 m.

To evaluate the most adequate incubation time, a group of 4 devices at each depth and each distance (from a total of three groups at each sampling point) was collected at 2, 4 and 6 days after the initial deployment (T2, T4 and T6, respectively). The plant material contained in each device was cleaned with deionized water, stored in plastic bags and kept frozen until analysis.

Light (PAR Irradiance, $\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) profiles were obtained at each incubation distance (and depth) using a LICOR light sensor. No differences in turbidity (k) or in light availability (% SI) existed between incubation distances. Only differences between incubation depths were apparent (Table 1). Thus, like other previous studies have demonstrated (Beveridge et al., 1994; Wu et al., 1994; Mantzavrakos et al., 2007), light availability did not represent an important factor explaining the reported variability of response variables with cage distance. Furthermore, care was taken to avoid the shadow of the cage in the 0 m distance, which was a possible cause of light reduction in this experiment.

Analysis of plant material

Macroalgae samples were dried at 60°C to constant weight for 24 hours, ground using an agate mortar and pestle and preserved in a desiccator at room temperature. From each sample, between 1.8 and 2 mg of dry weight was encapsulated to determine total nitrogen content and the stable isotope ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$).

The isotopic analysis technique was based on the two naturally occurring atomic forms of nitrogen ^{14}N and ^{15}N (Mariotti, 1983). By measuring the ratio of ^{14}N and ^{15}N in dried plant tissue, the relative amount of ^{15}N or $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the plant can be determined as the relative difference between the sample and a worldwide standard (atmospheric N_2) using the following equation (Peterson and Fry, 1987):

$$\delta^{15}\text{N} = ({}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}_{\text{sample}} / {}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000$$

The nitrogen stable isotope ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and total N content (%) were determined using a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus IRMS).

This was carried out after combustion in an elemental analyzer Flash EA from ThermoFinnigan coupled via a ConFlo II from Finnigan MAT with the elemental analyzer. The ratio $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value was expressed in per mil (‰). The internal laboratory standard employed was acetanilide.

Statistical procedures

The effect of distance from fish cages on the dependent variables ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and % N in plant tissues) was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA for each combination of depth and incubation time and for each specie (30 one-way ANOVA for each dependent variable). Before analysis, Cochran's test was used to assess the homogeneity of variances. Differences were considered significant if $p < 0.05$. When significant differences were detected, a *post hoc* pair-wise comparison of means using Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test was performed (Zar, 1999). When variances were homogeneous, results were interpreted at the probability level of 0.01 to reduce the possibility of a Type I error (Underwood, 1997). Statgraphics Plus 5.1 data analysis software package was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Nitrogen Stable Isotope Ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$)

Mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values obtained at the incubation time T2 for each transects distance were variable and close to the reference values (Figs. 3, 4 and 5 and Table 2). Such variability was significant in most cases but was not influenced by the presence of fish cages (Table 3). As the incubation time increased, differences between bioassays and the reference values increased, the maximum being in the fish cage (0 m) and minimum at the control site (i.e. 1000 m). However, the significance and strength of this spatial trend depended on the incubation time, the macroalgae species used and the depth of the bioassay, as is described below.

With regard to the time of incubation, significant spatial trends were detected only in the macroalgae incubated for four and six days (T4 and T6, respectively) (Table 3). In the Canary Islands a spatial gradient was only detected by *S. zonale* at T4 (Fig. 3). In the case of *A. taxiformis* variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ along the bioassays transect in all times of incubations were not related to the spatial pattern described above, probably due to the deteriorated state of the tissues of this alga at the end of the experimental period (pigment loss, pers. Obs.) (Fig. 3). In Catalonia the spatial gradient defined by *C. mediterranea* was evident at T4, while it appeared later (T6) in Murcia for the two species analyzed (Figs. 4 and 5).

On the other hand, macroalgae incubated at 5m depth showed a similar response to those incubated at ~20m depth (Table 3) although the spatial gradient between the fish farm and control sites was more clearly defined in the upper layer of the water column than in the deeper part (e.g. *S. zonale*, *P. oceanica* epiphytes and *C. mediterranea*, Figs. 3-5). All the macroalgae species tested, except for *A. taxiformis*, showed significant spatial trends at 5m depth. At ~20m depth, significant spatial gradients were also found in all macroalgae species, except for *S. zonale* and *P. oceanica* epiphytes (Table 3). Differences between the fish cage (0 m) and control (1000 m) sites were highest for *D. polypodioides* (1.9-fold) and lower for the other species (1.1 to 1.5-fold) (Figs. 3-5). Furthermore, mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in *D. polypodioides* were highest in comparison with initial samples (i.e. references values), even at the control site, with maximum differences in T6 at the 5m depth (Fig. 4).

Total nitrogen (% N) content

The mean N content values obtained at T2 (2 days) were similar to the reference values (Figs. 6, 7 and 8 and Table 2) and there were no significant differences between transect distances, with two exceptions: *P. oceanica* epiphytes at the 5m depth and *C. mediterranea* at both 5m and ~20m depth (Table 4). However, in these cases no clear spatial trend associated with fish cages (Figs. 6, 7 and 8 and Table 4) was detected. In T4 (four days) differences between transect distances were found, such as in *A. taxiformis* at the 5m depth and in *D. polypodioides* and *C. mediterranea* at ~20m depth. From these cases, *D. polypodioides* at ~20m depth was the only species to show a

perceptible spatial gradation. However, at T6 (6 days) significant differences were found between transect distances in almost every species except *S. zonale* and *P. oceanica* epiphytes (at 5m and ~20m depth); *D. polypodioides* and *P. oceanica* epiphytes followed significant trends at the 5m depth, while the same was true for *C. mediterranea* at ~20m depth (Figs. 6, 7 and 8 and Table 4). Differences between the fish cage (0 m) and control (1000 m) sites were greater in Murcia than in Catalonia (1.6-fold and 1.2-fold, respectively).

Discussion

In general terms, the results obtained in this study show a significant increase in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values towards the fish cages with respect to the reference (initial) and control values, in almost all the incubated macroalgae (except in *Asparagopsis taxiformis*), which confirms the validity of the bioassay method employed to detect and characterize spatial gradients of nutrients associated to offshore aquaculture facilities.

This response was similar to the increase in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in benthic primary producers of natural communities under the influence of organic effluents delivered from sewage outfalls (Costanzo et al., 2001) or from land-based aquaculture facilities (Jones et al., 2001, Vizzini and Mazzola, 2004). Contamination from animal wastes is a common cause of ^{15}N enrichment in aquatic environments due to isotopic fractionation during the ammonification and nitrification processes of urea, which is one of the main forms of excreted nitrogen (Macko and Ostrom, 1994). Thus, higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in incubated macroalgae indicate a significant assimilation of dissolved inorganic nitrogen forms (ammonia and nitrates) coming from fish farm wastes. Therefore, decreasing $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature in incubated macrophytes with increasing distance from the fish cages is likely to reflect the dilution of nutrients from the source. This agrees with the high significant negative correlation that we have found between the isotopic signature and the distance from the fish cage for most of the case studies (Table 3).

The spatial pattern found for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was well-defined and significant after four days of incubation (T4) (Table 3). After two days of bioassay deployment (T2), differences in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were not significant and did not show any consistent spatial pattern. In contrast, in

T4 (four days) and T6 (six days) well-defined gradients were found. Then, although nutrients released from fish farms usually show large temporal variability (e.g. Karakassis et al., 2001), an incubation time of 4-6 days was sufficient to integrate temporal, short-term changes in the nutrient regime at increasing distances from fish farms. These findings are consistent with results from other studies where macroalgae assimilated sewage-derived DIN and displayed higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in their tissues at similar times of incubations (Gartner et al., 2002; Costanzo et al., 2004; Deutsch and Voss, 2006).

Not all of the macroalgal species tested showed the same tolerance and ability to survive the experimental bioassay procedures. The red algae *A. taxiformis* used in the bioassays at the Canary Islands site was the most obvious case (Fig. 3). In this species, values of both $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and total N content along transects did not show any relationship with the fish farm effluent. Indeed, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and total N content strongly decreased in relation to reference values (Tables 3 and 4). This is explained by tissue decomposition during the bioassay incubation and indicates the low resistance of this macrophyte to experimental manipulation. The other macroalgae species and *P. oceanica* epiphytes were resistant to manipulation as no signs of deterioration were apparent during incubation and we assumed that they were assimilating nitrogen derived from fish-farm, so they could be considered as good indicators of nitrogen derived from fish farms wastes.

Spatial gradients between the fish farm and control sites were more clearly defined in the upper layer of the water column (i.e. 5 m incubation depth) than in the deeper part (between 15 and 20 m incubation depth), where this gradient was not well-defined (e.g. *P. oceanica* epiphytes; Fig. 4) or non-existent (e.g. *S. zonale*; Fig. 3). This can be attributed to differences in environmental factors as light (Dudley, 2007) or differences in the dispersion patterns of wastes. Macroalgae incubated at ~20m depth are subjected to lower light levels than macroalgae incubated at the 5m depth. This can affect the photosynthetic rates and hence nitrogen assimilation (Lobban and Harrison 1997). On the other hand, our results indicated a higher dispersion of the dissolved inorganic nitrogen forms derived from fish-farm wastes in the superficial layer than in the deeper one. This can be attributed to a high fish density and activity in the upper layer during feeding operations. Also, in summer, a thermocline was established between the two

incubation depths (unpublished data, pers. obs), which prevented effective diffusion and transport of dissolved nutrients towards the deeper layer, so fish farm wastes are more easily dispersed in the superficial layer, and thus detected more easily by the bioassays at the 5m depth than by the 20m depth.

The magnitude of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ enrichment of macrophyte tissues (in relation to reference, initial and control mean values) appeared higher also at 5m than at ~20m depth at all study sites, except in Murcia for *D. polypodioides*. In Murcia study, results show that the dispersion of the fish farm effluent at 5m and ~20m depth reached further than the spatial scale measured in this study (1000 m), especially at the shallower depth, since control bioassays had an isotopic signal higher than those found in reference algae. This is consistent with the larger size of the aquaculture facilities selected in Murcia (see methods), where the annual fish production is 9- and 20-fold higher than in the Catalonia and Canary Islands fish farms, respectively.

The sensitivity of the bioassay and its spatial variability may be also influenced by other factors such as the nutrient regime characteristics of each coastal area and the presence of nutrient sources that differ from those derived from offshore fish farms (Ye et al., 1991; Wu, 1995; Cromey et al., 2002; Vizzini and Mazzola, 2004; Sarà et al., 2006). These factors varied between the three fish farms studied here, which is probably reflected in the results. The variation of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ along the transect was higher in the fish farms of Murcia and the Canary Islands (2.5 -5 ‰), probably due to the oligotrophic character of their waters. This condition, together with the absence of any substantial source of nitrogen in the studied areas, besides fish farms, meant that $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ could be applied as a single tracer to follow the dispersion of the fish farm wastes from source point (Peterson, 1999). In contrast, the variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in Catalonia were lower than those in Murcia and the Canary Islands, mainly because of higher initial $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in natural stands of *C. mediterranea* (6-7 ‰) used as reference values. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values found in *C. mediterranea* in our study site are clearly higher than the background values (3.4 ‰, Pantoja et al., 2002) for dissolved N in the Western Mediterranean and that those found in the genus *Cystoseira* from unpolluted environments (Pinnegar and Polunin, 2000). This could be explained by the high continental influence of the Catalonia fish farm. Coastal waters near continents are enriched in the heavier N isotope transported by runoff from watersheds larger than in islands, and with important urban

and agricultural development (McClelland and Valiela, 1998; Bowen and Valiela, 2008). Consequently, the contribution of fish farm waste to the enhancement of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in incubated algae could have been masked by ambient levels. In these cases the use of the nitrogen stable isotope ratios would not have been as sensitive as in oligotrophic areas to detect dissolved nutrients derived from fish farms (Costanzo et al., 2003).

Total N content in marine plants is commonly considered a potential indicator of the concentration of biologically available nutrients in the environment (Duarte, 1990; Fong et al., 2004). Despite this, it was much less sensitive to detect fish farm waste than $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ probably due to high dispersion rates in offshore fish farms. In our study, total N content was a poor indicator of nutrient release from fish farms; in only three cases % N content increased towards the cages with respect to the reference and control values (*D. polypodioides* and *P. oceanica* epiphytes at 5m depth and *C. mediterranea* at ~20m depth; Table 4). This trend was also reported by Lin and Fong (2008), who reported a much more localized response to nutrient availability in tissue N content than in nitrogen isotope stable ratios in relation to shrimp farm effluents. These authors attributed this response to the fact that nutrient supply was not high enough to induce tissue nitrogen storage (Lin and Fong, 2008), which only occurs when nutrient supply exceeds growth rate (Fong et al., 2004). In our study, the lack of response in tissue nitrogen content could be explained by the same argument; it is likely that the high hydrodynamic conditions in offshore fish farms dilute the nitrogen rapidly. Moreover, the lack of nitrogen response may also be influenced by other aspects related to species-specific physiological traits (Fong et al., 2001, 2003).

In summary, our results confirm the potential of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in macrophytes (more than the classic measures of total N) to track the dispersion of dissolved nutrients derived from aquaculture discharges in offshore sites without benthic vegetation, and especially in oligotrophic waters. Changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values measured in incubated macrophytes along transects has provided clear and reliable characterizations of nutrient gradients associated with the fish farm wastes in 4-6 days (except for *A. taxiformis*), and the wastes are going farther in the upper layer of the water column than in the deeper. Furthermore, the technique is simple and low cost; experimental deployment is very easy to perform and requires very little training making this method a strong candidate for application in monitoring programs.

1 Finally, our results have also highlighted the necessity of an assessment study to
2 determine the appropriate experimental conditions (species of macroalgae, distances
3 from fish farms, incubation depth, etc...) that will ensure the bioassay is successful in
4 indicating the area of the spatial extent of fish farm wastes. The results obtained in this
5 study now make it possible to extensively apply the bioassay to other case studies. A
6 proper spatial replication is able to gather most of the spatial variability caused by
7 currents and other local factors of interest (i.e. more than one site per distance and at
8 least two transects either side of the fish farms). In conclusion, the application of this
9 macroalgal bioassays method has a great potential in determining the spatial scale of the
10 influence of aquaculture wastes on the marine environment, which represents a key
11 aspect for monitoring and management this activity in coastal marine ecosystems.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

Acknowledgements

This study was financed by the “National Plan of Cage Impact of JACUMAR” (Secretaría General de Pesca Marítima del Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación), and by the “Instituto Español de Oceanografía” (IEO). The authors would like to thank Oriol Mascaró for his assistance in field work and Natalia Cortazar for her help in laboratory analyses. Moreover we thank the staff of the three fish farms for their collaboration and support during the experiments. We also thank Maria Lema, from the “Unidad de Técnicas Instrumentales de Análisis” (UTIA) of the “Servizos de Apoio á Investigación” (SAI) (Universidade da Coruña), for support in isotopical analysis.

References

- Alongi, D.M., Chong, V.C., Dixon, P., Sasekumar, A., Tirendi, F., 2003. The influence of fish cage aquaculture on pelagic carbon flow and water chemistry in tidally dominated mangrove estuaries of peninsular Malaysia. *Marine Environmental Research* 55, 313-333.
- Beveridge, M.C.M., Ross L.G., Kelly, L.A., 1994. Aquaculture and biodiversity. *Ambio* 23, 497-502.
- Bowen, J.L., Valiela, I., 2008. Using delta N-15 to assess coupling between watersheds and estuaries in temperate and tropical regions. *Journal of Coastal Research* 24, 804-813.
- Christensen, P.B., Rysgaard, S., Sloth, N.P., Dalsgaard, T., Schwärter, S., 2000. Sediment mineralization, nutrient fluxes, denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium in an estuarine fjord with sea cage trout farms. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 21, 73-84.
- Cohen, R.A., Fong, P., 2005. Experimental evidence supports the use of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ content of the opportunistic green macroalga *Enteromorpha intestinalis* (Chlorophyta) to determine nitrogen sources to estuaries. *Journal of Phycology* 41, 287-293.
- Cole, M.L., Valiela, I., Kroeger, K.D., Tomasky, G.L., Cebrian, J., Wigand, C., McKinney, R.A., Grady, S.P., Carvalho da Silva, M.H., 2004. Assessment of a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotopic method to indicate anthropogenic eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 33, 124-132.
- Cole, M.L., Kroeger, K.D., McClelland, J.W., Valiela, I., 2005. Macrophytes as indicators of land-derived wastewater: Application of a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ method in aquatic systems. *Water Resources Research* 41, W01014.
- Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., 2000. *Gracilaria edulis* (Rhodophyta) as a biological indicator of pulsed nutrients in oligotrophic waters. *Journal of Phycology* 36, 680-685.
- Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., Loneragan, N.R., Thomas, M., 2001. A new approach for detecting and mapping sewage impacts. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42(2), 149-156.
- Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., 2003. Assessing the seasonal influence of sewage and agricultural nutrient inputs in a subtropical river estuary. *Estuaries* 26, 857-865.
- Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., 2004. Assessing the influence and distribution of shrimp pond effluent in a tidal mangrove creek in north-east Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 48, 514-525.
- Cromey, C., Nickell, T., Black, K., Provost, P., Griffiths, C., 2002. Validation of a fish farm waste resuspension model by use of a particulate tracer discharged from a point source in a coastal environment. *Estuaries* 25, 916-929.
- Dalsgaard, T., Krause-Jensen, D., 2006. Monitoring nutrient release from fish farms with macroalgal and phytoplankton bioassays. *Aquaculture* 256, 302-310.
- Deutsch, B., Voss, M., 2006. Anthropogenic nitrogen input traced by means of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in macroalgae: Results from in-situ incubation experiments. *Science of Total Environment* 366, 799-808.
- Dosdat, A., Gaumet, F., Chartois, H., 1995. Marine aquaculture effluent monitoring-methodological approach to the evaluation of nitrogen and phosphorus excretion by fish. *Aquacultural Engineering* 14, 59-84.
- Duarte, C.M., 1990. Seagrass nutrient content. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 67, 201-207.
- Dudley, B.D., 2007. Quantitative ecological impact assessments using natural abundance carbon and nitrogen stable isotope signatures. Thesis: Victoria University of Wellington.
- FAO, 2007. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2007.
- Fong, P., Boyer, K.E., Zedler, J.B., 1998. Developing an indicator of nutrient enrichment in coastal estuaries and lagoons using tissue nitrogen content of the opportunistic alga,

- Enteromorpha intestinalis* (L. Link). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 231, 63-79.
- Fong, P., Kamer, K., Boyer, K.E., Boyle, K.A., 2001. Nutrient content of macroalgae with differing morphologies may indicate sources of nutrients to tropical marine systems. Marine Ecology Progress Series 220, 137-152.
- Fong, P., Boyer, K.E., Kamer, K., Boyle, K.A., 2003. Influence of initial tissue nutrient status of tropical marine algae on response to nitrogen and phosphorus additions. Marine Ecology Progress Series 262, 111-123.
- Fong, P., Fong, J.J., Fong, C.R., 2004. Growth, nutrient storage, and release of dissolved organic nitrogen by *Enteromorpha intestinalis* in response to pulses of nitrogen and phosphorus. Aquatic Botany 78, 83-95.
- Franson, M.A.H., 1985. Method 424-F. In: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 16th ed. APHA, AAWA, and WPCF, Washington, DC, pp. 448-450.
- Gartner, A., Lavery, P., Smit, A.J., 2002. Use of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures of different functional forms of macroalgae and filter-feeders to reveal temporal and spatial patterns in sewage disposal. Marine Ecology Progress Series 235, 63-73.
- Hall, P.O.J., Holby, O., Kollberg, S., Samuelsson, M.O., 1992. Chemical fluxes and mass balances in a marine fish cage farm: IV. Nitrogen. Marine Ecology Progress Series 89, 81-91.
- Holby, O., Hall, P.O.J., 1991. Chemical fluxes and mass balances in a marine fish cage farm. II. Phosphorus. Marine Ecology Progress Series 70, 263-272.
- Holmer, M., Pérez, M., Duarte, C.M., 2003. Benthic primary producers – a neglected environmental problem in Mediterranean maricultures? Marine Pollution Bulletin 46, 1372-1376.
- Holmer, M., Argyrou, M., Dalsgaard, T., Danovaro, R., Díaz-Almela, E., Duarte, C.M., Frederiksen, M., Karakassis, I., Marbà, N., Mirto, S., Pérez, M., Pusceddu, A., Tsapakis, M., in press. Effects of fish farm waste on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows: synthesis and provision of monitoring and management tools. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56 (9), 1618-1629.
- Jones, A.B., O'Donohue, M.J., Udy, J., Dennison, W.C., 2001. Assessing ecological impacts of shrimp and sewage effluent: biological indicators with standard water quality analyses. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 52, 91-109.
- Karakassis, I., Tsapakis, M., Astillan, E., Pitta, P., 2001. Diel variation of nutrients and chlorophyll in the sea bream and sea bass cages in the Mediterranean. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin 10, 278-283.
- Lobban, C.S., Harrison, P.J., 1997. Seaweed Ecology and Physiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lee, K.S., Short, F.T., Burdick, D.M., 2004. Development of a nutrient pollution indicator using the seagrass, *Zostera marina*, along nutrient gradients in three New England estuaries. Aquatic Botany 78, 197-216.
- Lepoint, G., Dauby, P., Gobert, S., 2004. Applications of C and N stable isotopes to ecological and environmental studies in seagrass ecosystems. Marine Pollution Bulletin 49, 887-891.
- Lin, D.T., Fong, P., 2008. Macroalgal bioindicators (growth, tissue N, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) detect nutrient enrichment from shrimp farm effluent entering Opunohu Bay, French Polynesia. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56 (2), 245-249.
- Lyngby, J.E. 1990. Monitoring of nutrient availability and limitation using the marine macroalgae, *Ceramium rubrum* (Huds.) C. Ag. Aquatic Botany 38, 153-161.
- Lyngby, J.E., Mortensen, S., Ahrensberg, N., 1999. Bioassessment techniques for monitoring of eutrophication and nutrient limitation in coastal ecosystems. Marine Pollution Bulletin 39, 212-223.
- Macko, S.A., Ostrom, N.E., 1994. Pollution Studies Using Stable Isotopes, Chapter 3. In 'Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science'. (Eds Lajtha, K. and Michener, R.H.) pp. 45-62. (Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford).

- 1 Mantzavarakos, E., Kornaros, M., Lyberatos, G., Kaspiris, P., 2007. Impacts of a marine fish
2 farm in Argolikos Gulf (Greece) on the water column and the sediment. *Desalination*
3 210, 110-124.
- 4 Mariotti, A., 1983. Atmospheric nitrogen as a reliable standard for natural ^{15}N abundance
5 measurements. *Nature*, 685–687.
- 6 Mazzola, A., Mirto, S., La Rosa, T., Fabiano, M., Danovaro, R., 2000. Fish farming effects on
7 benthic community structure in coastal sediments: analysis of meiofaunal recovery.
8 *Journal of Marine Science* 57, 1454-1461.
- 9 McClelland, J.W., Valiela, I., 1998. Linking nitrogen in estuarine producers to land-derived
10 sources. *Limnology and Oceanography* 43, 577-85.
- 11 McGhie, T.K., Crawford, C.M., Mitchell, I.M., O'Brien, D., 2000. The degradation of fish-cage
12 waste in sediments during fallowing. *Aquaculture* 187, 351–366.
- 13 Mirto, S., La Rosa, T., Gambi, C., Danovaro, R., Mazzola, A., 2002. Nematode community
14 response to fish-farm impact in the western Mediterranean. *Environmental Pollution* 116,
15 203-214.
- 16 Nordvang, L., Johansson, T., 2002. The effects of fish farm effluents on the water quality in the
17 Åland archipelago, Baltic Sea. *Aquacultural Engineering* 25, 253-279.
- 18 Pantoja, S., Repeta, D.J., Sachs, J.P., Sigman, D.M., 2002. Stable isotope constrains on the
19 nitrogen cycle of the Mediterranean Sea water column. *Deep Sea Research Part I:*
20 *Oceanographic Research Papers* 49, 1609-1621.
- 21 Pérez, M., García, T., Ruíz, J.M., Invers, O., 2008. Physiological responses of the seagrass
22 *Posidonia oceanica* as indicators of fish farm impact. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 56,
23 869-879.
- 24 Pergent, G., Mendez, S., Pergent-Martini, C., Pasqualini, V., 1999. Preliminary data on the
25 impact of fish farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean.
26 *Oceanologica Acta* 22, 95-107.
- 27 Pergent-Martini, C., Boudouresque, C.F., Pasqualini, V., Pergent, G., 2006. Impact of fish
28 farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows: a review. *Marine Ecology* 27, 310–
29 319.
- 30 Peterson B.J., 1999. Stable isotopes as tracers of organic matter input and transfer in benthic
31 food webs: a review. *Acta Oecologica* 20, 479-487.
- 32 Peterson, B. J., Fry, B., 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. *Annual Review of Ecology*
33 *and Systematics* 18, 293-320.
- 34 Pinnegar, J.K., Polunin, N.V.C., 2000. Contributions of stable-isotope data to elucidating food
35 webs of Mediterranean rocky littoral fishes. *Oecologia* 122, 399-409.
- 36 Pitta, P., Karakassis, I., Tsapakis, M., Zivanovic, S., 1999. Natural vs. Mariculture induced
37 variability in nutrients and plankton in the Eastern Mediterranean. *Hydrobiologia* 391,
38 181-194.
- 39 Roque d'Orbcastel, E., Blancheton, J.P., Boujard, T., Aubin, J., Moutounet, Y., Przybyla, C.,
40 Belaud, A., 2008. Comparison of two methods for evaluating waste of a flow through
41 trout farm. *Aquaculture* 274, 72-79.
- 42 Ruiz, J.M., Pérez, M., Romero, J., 2001. Effects of fish farm loadings on seagrass (*Posidonia*
43 *oceanica*) distribution, growth and photosynthesis. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42, 749-
44 760.
- 45 Ruiz, J.M., Marco-Méndez, C., Sánchez-Lizaso, J.L., in press. Remote influence of off-shore
46 fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadows. *Marine*
47 *Environmental Research* 69, 118-126.
- 48 Sarà, G., 2007. Aquaculture effects on some physical and chemical properties of the water
49 column: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 23 (3), 251-262.
- 50 Sarà, G., Scilipoti, D., Mazzola, A., Modica, A., 2004. Effects of fish farming waste to
51 sedimentary and particulate organic matter in a southern Mediterranean area (Gulf of
52 Castellammare, Sicily): a multiple stable isotope study ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$). *Aquaculture* 234,
53 199-213.

- 1 Sarà, G., Scilipoti, G., Milazzo, M., Modica, A., 2006. Use of stable isotopes to investigate
2 dispersal of waste from fish farms as a function of hydrodynamics. *Marine Ecology*
3 *Progress Series* 313, 261-270.
- 4 Savage C, Elmgren R., 2004. Macroalgal (*Fucus vesiculosus*) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values trace decrease in
5 sewage influence. *Ecological Applications* 14, 517-26.
- 6 Udy, J.W., Dennison W.C., 1997. Physiological responses of seagrasses used to identify
7 anthropogenic nutrient inputs. *Marine Freshwater Research* 47, 605-614.
- 8 Underwood, A.J., 1997. Experiments in ecology. Their Logical and Interpretation Using
9 Analysis of Variance. Cambridge University Press, p. 504.
- 10 Vezzuli, L., Chelosi, E., Riccardi, G., Fabiano, M., 2002. Bacterial community structure and
11 activity in fish farm sediments of the Ligurian sea (Western Mediterranean).
12 *Aquaculture International* 10, 123-141.
- 13 Vizzini, S., Mazzola, A., 2004. Stable isotope evidence for the environmental impact of a land-
14 based fish farm in the western Mediterranean. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 49, 61-70.
- 15 Wolanski, E., Spagnol, S., Thomas, S., Moore, K., Alongi, D.M., Trott, L., Davidson, A., 2000.
16 Modelling and visualizing the fate of shrimp pond effluent in a mangrove-fringed tidal
17 creek. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science* 50, 85-97.
- 18 Wu R.S.S., 1995. The environmental impact of marine fish culture: Towards a sustainable
19 future. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 31, 159-166.
- 20 Wu, R.S.S., Lam, K. S., Mackay, D. W., Lau, T. C. and Yam, V., 1994. Impact of marine fish
21 farming on water quality and bottom sediment: a case study of the sub-tropical
22 environment. *Marine Environmental Research* 38, 115-145.
- 23 Ye, L.X., Ritz, D.A., Fenton, G.E., Lewis, M.E., 1991. Tracing the influence on sediments of
24 organic waste from a salmonid farm using stable isotope analysis. *Journal of*
25 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 145, 161-174.
- 26 Zar, J.H., 1999. *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, USA, 660 pp.

Caption of figures

Figure 1: Sample sites map.

Figure 2: Diagram of the experimental design developed in this study.

Figure 3: Nitrogen isotopic ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in the two macroalgae analyzed in Canary Islands, *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Stypopodium zonale*. For each species of macroalgae the results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Figure 4: Nitrogen isotopic ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in the two macroalgae analyzed in Murcia, *Dictyopteris polypodioides* and *Posidonia oceanica* epiphytes. For each specie of macroalgae the results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Figure 5: Nitrogen isotopic ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in the macroalgae analyzed in Catalonia, *Cystoseira mediterranea*. The results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Figure 6: Nitrogen content (% N) in the two macroalgae analyzed in Canary Islands, *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Stypopodium zonale*. For each species of macroalgae the results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Figure 7: Nitrogen content (%N) in the two macroalgae analyzed in Murcia, *Dictyopteris polypodioides* and *Posidonia oceanica* epiphytes. For each species of macroalgae the results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Figure 8: Nitrogen content (% N) in the macroalgae analyzed in Catalonia, *Cystoseira mediterranea*. The results for two depths (5m and ~20m) and three times of incubations (T2= 2 days; T4= 4 days; T6= 6 days) at different distances from fish farm are shown. Vertical bars correspond to the standards error of the mean.

Table 1 Vertical attenuation coefficient (k) and superficial irradiance (% SI) values obtained at each incubation distance and depth for the Murcia study case during the incubation experiment.

Distance from fish cages (m)	K (m ⁻¹)		% Superficial Irradiance (μmol quanta m ⁻² s ⁻¹)			
			5 m-bioassays		~20 m-bioassays	
	Mean	ES	Mean	ES	Mean	ES
0	0,054	0,003	77,2	7,7	34,7	4,0
25	0,061	0,001	65,0	2,7	28,3	1,1
50	0,052	0,001	70,9	3,2	31,0	1,6
100	0,055	0,0005	84,2	3,7	34,6	0,3
200	0,057	0,001	62,8	2,1	28,3	1,1
500	0,048	0,001	78,3	3,8	37,6	2,6
1000	0,048	0,0009	79,2	0,5	37,7	0,6

Table 2 Mean $\pm$ SE values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and % N in macroalgae collected from unpolluted sites at each location (reference values).

Site	Specie	Reference values (n=10)	
		$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	% N
Canary Islands	<i>Asparagopsis taxiformis</i>	3.9 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1
	<i>Stypopodium Zonale</i>	2.5 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1
Murcia	<i>Dictyopteris polypodioides</i>	1.7 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1
	<i>P. oceanica</i> epiphytes	3.5 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.04
Catalonia	<i>Cystoseira mediterranea</i>	6.1 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.2

Table 3 Summary of ANOVA one-way results for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of the macroalgae analyzed. *Post hoc* associations are shown in lower-case letters. For the sake of simplicity, only SNK test results for significant differences are shown. H_0 : there are no significant effects between distances. NS = not significant, * $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$.

Site	Specie	Samples		ANOVA one-way (p-value)	df	F-value	SNK -test (distance)						
		Depth	Time				0	25	50	100	200	500	1000
Canary Islands	<i>Asparagopsis taxiformis</i>	5m	T2	ns	27	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	***	27	9.6	a	a	a	a	b	a	a
			T6	ns ⁽¹⁾	27	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		~20m	T2	**	27	4.1	ab	a	a	a	b	ab	ab
			T4	***	26	14.8	b	ab	a	ab	b	b	c
			T6	ns	25	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<i>Styopodium zonale</i>	5m	T2	***	24	6.9	a	a	b	a	a	a	a
			T4	**	27	4.1	a	a	ab	a	a	ab	b
			T6	*	27	3.1	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
		~20m	T2	ns	22	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns	27	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	ns	27	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Murcia	<i>Dictyopteris polypodioides</i>	5m	T2	ns ⁽¹⁾	27	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns	27	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	***	27	15.4	a	ab	a	ab	bc	c	d
		~20m	T2	*** ⁽¹⁾	26	9.3	a	b	b	b	a	b	b
			T4	***	27	27.5	a	b	b	b	b	c	c
			T6	***	26	37.8	a	a	b	b	b	c	d
	<i>Posidonia oceanica epiphytes</i>	5m	T2	***	27	4.6	a	b	b	b	b	b	b
			T4	**	21	4.8	a	ab	b	ab	ab	b	b
			T6	***	23	9.5	a	a	a	a	ab	c	bc
		~20m	T2	***	26	6.2	a	b	b	b	b	b	b
			T4	ns ⁽¹⁾	23	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	***	27	6.4	a	bc	bc	ab	bc	c	c
Catalonia	<i>Cystoseira mediterranea</i>	5m	T2	***	24	9.0	b	a	b	b	a	b	b
			T4	***	25	8.9	a	a	b	b	b		b
			T6	** ⁽¹⁾	27	4.1	a	ab	ab	b	b	b	b
		~20m	T2	***	27	8.8	b	a	ab	b	b	ab	b
			T4	***	24	16.4	a	a	b	ab	c		bc
			T6	ns	25	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) Non homogeneous variances. Significant differences if $p \leq 0.01$

Table 4 Summary of ANOVA one-way results for % N values of the macroalgae analyzed. *Post hoc* associations are shown in lower-case letters. For the sake of simplicity, only SNK test results for significant differences are shown. H_0 : there are no significant effects between distances. NS = not significant, * $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$.

Site	Specie	Samples		ANOVA one-way (p-value)	df	F-value	SNK -test (distance)						
		Depth	Time				0	25	50	100	200	500	1000
Canary Islands	<i>Asparagopsis taxiformis</i>	5m	T2	ns	26	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	** ⁽¹⁾	26	5.5	a	b	b	b	b	b	b
			T6	***	26	20.1	c	bc	bc	bc	a	a	b
		~20m	T2	ns	27	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	**	26	4.5	ab	ab	ab	ab	a	b	b
			T6	**	26	4.6	ab	abc	abc	a	bc	c	abc
	<i>Stypopodium zonale</i>	5m	T2	ns	27	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns ⁽¹⁾	26	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	*	27	3.4	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
		~20m	T2	ns	27	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns	27	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	ns	27	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Murcia	<i>Dictyopteris polypodioides</i>	5m	T2	ns	27	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns ⁽¹⁾	27	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	**	27	4.4	a	ab	ab	a	ab	ab	b
		~20m	T2	ns	27	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	**	27	3.9	ab	ab	ab	a	a	ab	b
			T6	** ⁽¹⁾	27	5.2	abc	a	bc	ab	abc	c	c
	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i> epiphytes	5m	T2	**	27	4.1	ab	ab	ab	a	ab	b	b
			T4	ns	22	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	***	23	7.5	a	ab	ab	bc	bc	c	c
		~20m	T2	ns	27	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T4	ns	23	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	ns	27	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Catalonia	<i>Cystoseira mediterranea</i>	5m	T2	*** ⁽¹⁾	26	9.4	a	b	a	a	a	a	a
			T4	* ⁽¹⁾	25	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			T6	*	27	2.8	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
		~20m	T2	**	27	4.2	ab	a	ab	ab	b	ab	b
			T4	*	22	3.5	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
			T6	*** ⁽¹⁾	26	10.0	a	abc	ab	abc	c	bc	d

(1) Non homogeneous variances. Significant differences if $p \leq 0.01$

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8