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Short title: Dissection of the cpSRP43-Lhcb1 interaction

Synopsis
A chloroplast signal recognition particle (cpSRP) comprising cpSRP54 and cpSRP43 subunits mediates the insertion of light-harvesting proteins into the thylakoid membrane. We dissected its interaction with a full length membrane protein substrate in aqueous solution by insertion of site-specific photoactivatable crosslinkers into in vitro-synthesised Lhcb1. We show that Lhcb1 residues 166-176 crosslink specifically to the cpSRP43 subunit. Some crosslink positions within Lhcb1 are in the ‘L18’ peptide required for targeting of cpSRP substrates, while other crosslinking positions define a new targeting signal in the third transmembrane span. Lhcb1 was not found to crosslink to cpSRP54 at any position, and crosslinking to cpSRP43 is unaffected by the absence of cpSRP54. cpSRP43 thus effectively binds substrates autonomously, and its ability to independently bind an extended, 20+-residue substrate region highlights a major difference to other SRP types where the SRP54 subunit binds to hydrophobic target sequences. The data also show that cpSRP43 can bind to a hydrophobic, 3-membrane span substrate in aqueous solution, presumably reflecting a role for cpSRP in the chloroplast stroma. This mode of action, and the specificity of the cpSRP43-substrate interaction, may be associated with cpSRP's uniquely post-translational mode of action.
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Abbreviations: cpSRP, chloroplast signal recognition particle; LHCP, light-harvesting chlorophyll-binding protein; Lhcb1, major light-harvesting chlorophyll-binding protein of photosystem II.
Introduction

Signal recognition particle (SRP) is a ubiquitous targeting factor that plays a pivotal role in the translocation of proteins into and across membranes. In eukaryotes, SRP is a particle comprising a 7S RNA molecule and 6 protein subunits, including a 54 kDa subunit (SRP54) that binds the hydrophobic signal peptides of nascent proteins that are targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum (reviewed in [1]). A more compact SRP carries out a similar function in bacteria; *Escherichia coli* SRP contains a single protein, Ffh (a homologue of SRP54) and a 4.5S RNA molecule. This complex interacts with FtsY to insert the substrate into the plasma membrane with the assistance of membrane-bound machinery including YidC [2]. As in eukaryotes, membrane proteins are inserted co-translationally.

Chloroplasts also contain an SRP pathway for the targeting of membrane proteins, primarily used for the insertion of a family of abundant thylakoid membrane proteins, namely light-harvesting chlorophyll-binding proteins (LHCPs) of the photosystem I and II light-harvesting complexes (reviewed in [3]). These are synthesized in the cytosol, imported into the chloroplast stroma and then inserted into the thylakoid membrane by a pathway that involves cpSRP, cpFtsY and a membrane-bound homologue of bacterial YidC, termed Alb3 [4-6]. Although clearly related to bacterial SRP pathways, the chloroplast SRP (cpSRP) pathway exhibits a number of unique characteristics. First, cpSRP acts post-translationally, in strict contrast to the obligatorily co-translational systems outlined above. Secondly, cpSRP comprises two subunits, cpSRP54 and cpSRP43, and lacks an RNA molecule. cpSRP54 is homologous to the SRP54 subunits from other SRP systems, but the cpSRP43 subunit is unique to cpSRP [7]. Its presence appears to be connected with the unexpectedly narrow substrate specificity of the chloroplast SRP pathway; whereas the vast majority of membrane proteins are targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum or bacterial plasma membrane by the SRP pathway, only a specific subset of highly abundant LHCPs use the post-translational chloroplast SRP pathway [8,9]. Surprisingly, the remaining thylakoid membrane proteins are inserted by a pathway that does not require any known form of protein targeting apparatus, including the Sec system, cpSRP, FtsY or Alb3 [10,11]. LHCP proteins contain a specific motif that directs them to the SRP pathway and away from the novel ‘spontaneous’ insertion pathway. This is a key 18-residue recognition element for cpSRP43, known as the L18 peptide, that is located between transmembrane (TM) spans 2 and 3 [12,13].

Studies on the structure and function of cpSRP have revealed several points. First, a heterodimeric combination of *E. coli*-expressed cpSRP43 and cpSPR54 is active in promoting Lhcb1 insertion into thylakoids in the presence of cpFtsY [14]. Secondly, it is believed that cpSRP43 contains several discrete domains including four sequential ankyrin repeats [15] located between residues 130 and 254 [16]. These ankyrin repeats (ANK 1-4) have been implicated in protein-protein interactions and are likely to be involved in complex formation. The second repeated structures are chromodomains. Two of these domains (CD2 and 3) are located between residues 271 to 368 at the C-terminus of cpSRP43 [16] and a third chromodomain (CD1) is located between residues 24 and 76 N-terminal to the ankyrin repeats [3]. The structures of all three chromodomains have been solved using triple resonance NMR experiments [17].

More recently, the crystal structure of cpSRP43 has been solved [18], and the cpSRP43 co-crystallised together with bound L18 peptide. This has provided the first information on the mode of interaction between cpSRP and its substrates. In this report we have sought to generate a
detailed understanding of the cpSRP-Lhcb1 interaction using used sensitive, site-specific cross-linkers to probe the nature of this interaction in detail, using full-length, insertion-competent substrate instead of purified L18 peptide. We show that cpSRP43 interacts with an extended region of Lhcb1 that includes residues from the L18 peptide and TM span 3. We also show that this highly specific interaction takes place with equal efficiency in the absence of cpSRP54, suggesting that cpSRP43 is effectively a soluble, stromal factor capable of binding incoming substrate molecules on its own.

Experimental

Protein Expression and Purification
Expression and purification of cpSRP43 and cpSRP54 from Arabidopsis thaliana was performed as described [18]. The construct of cpSRP43 with a C-terminal truncation consisting of CD3 (cpSRP43Δ279) was cloned into pETM11 (kind gift from Gunter Stier, EMBL Heidelberg, Germany). The cpSRP43-Y204A point mutation was generated in the pET24a vector using the QuickChange system (Stratagene). A full-length cDNA clone encoding the precursor of Pea (Pisum sativum) Lhcb1 [19] was used as template to generate amber-stop-codon (TAG) mutations at the at the indicated amino acid positions.

Preparation of suppressor tRNAs and labelled protein, and crosslinking reactions
Lys-tRNA_{amb} and N<sup>ε</sup>-{5-azido-2-nitrobenzoyl}-Lys-tRNA_{amb} (εANB-Lys-tRNA<sub>amb</sub>) were synthesized, aminoaacylated, and modified as described before [20,21]. cDNAs were transcribed in vitro by SP6 polymerase followed by in vitro translation in a wheat germ lysate system in the presence of [35S]methionine at 26°C for 1h [22]. Amber codon suppression reactions included the addition of either Lys-tRNA<sub>amb</sub> or εANB-Lys-tRNA<sub>amb</sub> as performed by McCormick et al. [21]. For cross-linking reactions, a modified wheat germ lysate system was used with glutathione in the place of DTT and with all procedures prior to photolysis performed under dim red safelight in darkroom conditions [23]. Immediately after translation, samples were dialysed for 2 hours into translation buffer [12 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 80 mM KOAc, pH 7.5, 2 mM Mg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]. For crosslinking reactions, double volume reactions were prepared so that one half could be analysed directly by SDS-PAGE leaving the other half for Talon re-purification. Crosslinking reactions were made up to a total 100 µl in 10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 8.0), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and contained 16 µl of translation product and 2.5 µg of purified recombinant cpSRP or subunit thereof where required. Binding between Lhcb1 and cpSRP was performed at 26°C for 30 min and was immediately followed by placing the samples on ice for 10 min in preparation for photo-lysis. Samples were photo-lysed on ice as in [21] but for 3 min using a 100 W mercury arc lamp (UVP) with a band pass filter (UVP) to isolate the UV emission at 365 nm.

Talon re-purification
Post-crosslinking reactions were re-purified using the Talon affinity batch method. Talon resin was washed in 3 times in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 400 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole by centrifugation at 6000 x g for 1 min. 150 µl of the 50% talon suspension was stirred into 50 µl of the post-crosslinking sample. Binding was performed on ice for 1 hour with occasional stirring. Samples were then washed 6 times as before in 800 µl of buffer, and the Talon resin was re-suspended in 30 µl elution buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 400 mM NaCl, 150 mM imidazole] followed by SDS-PAGE sample buffer.
Results

Probing the interactions between Lhcb1 and cpSRP

cpSRP interacts with a discrete set of substrates, but the precise contributions of the cpSRP43 and cpSRP54 subunits have not been determined. In other systems, the SRP54 subunit plays a dominant role in the binding of the targeting signals within substrates, and we expected that cpSRP54 would play an equally prominent role in binding newly-imported LHCP proteins, with cpSRP43 perhaps acting as an ancillary specificity factor. cpSRP43 has been shown to bind purified L18 peptide with high affinity \[18\] but no studies to date have characterised the binding of cpSRP to a full-length, insertion-competent substrate in aqueous solution. The substrate used in this study was Lhcb1, a major component of the photosystem II light-harvesting complex. This protein contains three trans-membrane spans, and the membrane-bound 3-dimensional structure is known in some detail \[24\].

We studied the binding of Lhcb1 to cpSRP subunits using a cross-linking strategy in which UV-photoactivatable cross-linkers were placed at specific positions within Lhcb1. The cross-linker is covalently attached to the Lys side chain of an aminoacylated amber suppressor tRNA (Lys-tRNA\(^\text{amb}\)) producing εANB-Lys-tRNA\(^\text{amb}\). This suppressor tRNA only recognizes amber stop codons during protein synthesis, allowing for the uncharged photo-reactive probe to be inserted at specific residues in the polypeptide during \textit{in vitro} transcription-translation, determined by site-specific mutagenesis of the cDNA template \[21\].

Fig. 1 shows the overall domain structure of Lhcb1 including the transit peptide (TP) that mediates chloroplast import, the three trans-membrane spans (TM1-3) and the L18 peptide (residues 152 to 169) just prior to TM3. Since cpSRP clearly interacts with the L18 region, we focused attention on this region plus the TM spans on either side (TM2 and TM3) with the crosslinker present in 17 different positions throughout this region as shown (positions are arrowed, with numbering from the start of the mature protein). Translation in the presence of εANB-Lys-tRNA\(^\text{amb}\) leads to the presence of crosslinkers at these sites (1 site per experiment). After transcription and translation \textit{in vitro}, translation mixtures were incubated with cpSRP43 and cpSRP54 alone, or in combination, in order to understand the individual contributions of these subunits to cpSRP-substrate interactions. We also used a truncated version of the cpSRP43, termed 43\(\Delta\), in which the third chromodomain is deleted (see Experimental procedures).

Crosslinkers in Lhcb1 at positions 169 and 176 specifically react with cpSRP43

Lhcb1 translation products with single crosslinkers at the 17 indicated positions were tested for interaction with purified cpSRP (or individual subunits), after which the crosslinking reaction was initiated by UV light. Only those showing a positive crosslink are described in detail below, with the Lhcb1 variants denoted by Lhc###, where ### represents the residue number. Some of the strongest crosslinks were obtained with Lhc169 and 176, and these data are shown in Fig. 2. Samples were either analysed directly (‘total’ samples; upper panel) or after passage through a Talon affinity resin to affinity-purify the His-tagged cpSRP plus any covalently bound Lhcb1 photoadduct (lower panel).
Activation of the Lhc169 and 176 crosslinks in buffer alone (-) does not generate specific adducts, with only weak non-specific bands present in the 50 kDa+ region in either the total or Talon panels. The presence of cpSRP43 alone results in the generation of two adducts, of 63 kDa and 76 kDa, while a single strong adduct of 69 kDa is formed in the presence of cpSRP43Δ. The crosslinks to cpSRP43 are denoted X1 and X2, while the crosslink to cpSRP43Δ is denoted XΔ; all three are also indicated by asterisks for clarity. The same photoadducts are formed when SRP54 is also present, strongly indicating that the Lhcb1 variants do not crosslink with cpSRP54, but rather continue to crosslink to cpSRP43. These photoadducts re-purify with the cpSRP during Talon affinity chromatography and are thus believed to be generated by specific interaction between Lhcb1 proteins and cpSRP43. It is unclear why two crosslinked adducts to cpSRP43 are observed; this may indicate the existence of two different conformational states for the Lhcb1-cpSRP43 complex, or of two different crosslinking sites on cpSRP43 reached by the flexible probe that give photoadducts with different electrophoretic mobilities. Alternatively, the X2 adduct may be generated by proteolytic clipping of X1.

Essential controls are shown in Fig. 3. First, Lhc169 was synthesised with Lys present at position 169, rather than the cross-linker (D169K lanes), by including Lys-tRNAamb in the translation system instead of εANB-Lys-tRNAamb. The translation product was incubated with cpSRP43Δ as above, and no cross-linked species was observed in either the presence or absence of UV light. Secondly, the 69 kDa adduct is observed if the cross-linker was present and activated by UV light, but no adduct was generated in the absence of UV light (Lhc169 lanes). The adducts are thus generated by specific interaction between the photo-activated crosslinker and cpSRP43Δ.

Interactions with SRP43 are clustered within the L18 peptide and TM3
We routinely observed adducts to cpSRP43 when the crosslinker was present at residues 166, 169, 174 or 176 in pea Lhcb1. Fig. 4 effectively summarises the extent of the cpSRP43-Lhcb1 interaction region, as assessed using this technique. These constructs were incubated with buffer (-), cpSRP43 alone (43) or cpSRP43Δ (43Δ). Activation of the cross-link again leads to the formation of specific 63 kDa and 76 kDa adducts in the presence of cpSRP43, or a 69 kDa adduct if the cpSRP43Δ variant is present. All four constructs routinely crosslink to cpSRP43 or the truncated form to yield a reproducible pattern of interaction. Fig. 4 also shows that no adducts are observed when the crosslinker is positioned at the opposing end of L18, at position 152, and we obtained identical results when the crosslinker was placed, at position 164, just outside of L18 at 150 and 148, or in TM2 (data not shown). No change in crosslinking pattern was observed when cpSRP54 was included in the reaction (data not shown) and we conclude that (i) residues 166-176 are in intimate contact with SRP43, and (ii), cpSRP43 on its own contains all of the information required to interact strongly and specifically with Lhcb1.

A tyrosine ‘hook’ within cpSRP43 is essential for the binding of the L18 peptide, but not for binding of a second determinant.
Tyrosine 204 within the cpSRP43 structure was previously shown to be crucial in preserving interactions with purified L18 peptide, and we hypothesised that this residue provided a crucial hook-like structure on the binding face of cpSRP43 for interactions with Lhcb1 [18]. We characterised the interaction between the cpSRP43-Y204A mutant and full-length Lhcb1, in both the presence and absence of cpSRP54 (Fig. 5) using the Lhc169 construct. Here, the crosslinking probe is ideally positioned within L18 to probe this interaction and the importance of Tyr204. In
control tests, the reaction mixture lacking cpSRP (-) exhibits neither of the 63 kDa and 76 kDa crosslinks that characterise binding to cpSRP43. These bands are, however, observed in the presence of cpSRP43 (43) or a combination of cpSRP43 and cpSRP54 (43/54). The major 76 kDa crosslink (X1) is indicated and both the 76 kDa and 63 kDa adducts are indicated by asterisks in Fig. 5. In the other control test, where cpSRP43Δ is present (43Δ), the usual 69 kDa crosslink is detected (denoted by XΔ). In the presence of the cpSRP43-Y204A mutant alone (43*), none of these crosslinks is detected, but we detect a band that runs just below the 76 kDa adduct (denoted by arrowhead). This is consistent with crosslinking to the cpSRP43-Y204A mutant, and the slightly increased gel mobility of this adduct almost certainly stems from the fact that the Y204A mutant does not bear a C-terminal 6-His tag, while the non-mutated cpSRP43 does. Assuming that this band does represent the crosslinking of Lhcb1 (position 169) to cpSRP43-Y204A, the interaction between the mutated cpSRP43 and Lhcb1 appears to be stabilised in the presence of cpSRP54, at least to an extent.

Discussion

cpSRP directs the targeting of a select group of LHCPs into the thylakoid membrane, using a mode of action that is entirely post-translational. cpSRP thus has a unique action among the SRP family, since the other forms are generally believed to act co-translationally, and this raises the question of how cpSRP binds newly-imported thylakoid membrane proteins so specifically in the chloroplast stroma. Previous studies have focused on the role of the L18 peptide as a specificity determinant, and this peptide has been shown to bind to a distinct groove within cpSRP43 [18]. However, a wealth of studies on other SRP molecules has pinpointed the cpSRP54 subunit as the key site for initial interaction with substrate targeting signals, which are invariably hydrophobic stretches of amino acids. Consistent with this idea, cpSRP54 has been shown to be capable of crosslinking to isolated TM span regions derived from thylakoid membrane proteins [25], again suggesting a role for this subunit as the initial site of interaction. In this study we have set out to identify sites of interaction between Lhcb1 and cpSRP subunits using a highly specific crosslinking approach. The crosslinkers were inserted into full-length Lhcb1 and this is the first time that the cpSRP-substrate interaction has been dissected using an authentic, full-length substrate. Moreover, the experiments have been carried out in aqueous solution, which we believe more accurately reflects the insertion process by which stromal intermediate forms integrate into thylakoids. Several of the constructs crosslink to cpSRP43 and the results provide information on key areas of the cpSRP mode of action. None of the constructs crosslink to cpSRP54, hence we are unable to shed light on the role of this subunit in substrate binding.

The cpSRP43 interaction data are of interest from several standpoints, the first of which relates to the extent and nature of the Lhcb1-cpSRP43 interaction. The crystal structure of cpSRP43 with bound L18 peptide revealed a complex in which 12 residues of the L18 peptide, corresponding to residues 156-167 within Lhcb1, were clearly defined within a groove in cpSRP43. Here, we have shown that Lhcb1 has close contact with cpSRP43 at positions 166, 169, 174 and 176 within Lhcb1, and this shows that the key area of interaction involves not just the L18 peptide, but also the first section of TM3 in Lhcb1 (see Fig. 1 for schematic of Lhcb1 membrane bound structure). Position 164, within the DPLG motif, did not produce any photoadducts, however this was to be expected as this position has previously been shown to be crucial for L18 binding [18]. Since the crosslinking data were obtained using a full-length Lhcb1 protein rather than a purified peptide, the outcomes were not constrained by the use of selected peptide regions. We are thus confident...
that cpSRP43 interacts with a relatively extended region of Lhcb1, comprising at least 20 residues from amino acids 156 - 176. As emphasised above, the experiments were conducted in aqueous buffer, and we believe that these data mirror the overall interaction that takes place in the chloroplast stroma.

While the structures of L18 residues 156-167 were well-resolved in the cpSRP43 crystal structure, we have no real information on the structure of the TM3 domain that we have now identified as interacting with cpSRP43. However, consideration of the available structural data suggests interesting possibilities. Stengel et al. [18] observed that the structure of cpSRP43-bound L18 peptide resembled, quite closely, the structure of the same region of Lhcb1 in the membrane-bound mature state. In particular, it was noted that a quartet of residues, DPLG, curled around the cpSRP43 tyrosine 'hook' in a manner that closely resembled the way that these residues form around the hydroxyl group of the carotenoid molecule in the membrane bound Lhcb1 structure. This suggests that the cpSRP43-Lhcb1 binding process has evolved to mimic the normal interaction between Lhcb1 and the carotenoid molecule in the thylakoid-inserted form. This may have the advantage of allowing the Lhcb1 to pre-fold in a form that facilitates insertion with the correct topology and structure. Fig 6A shows the 3-dimensional structure of Lhcb1 with the L18 region indicated and the positions of the crosslinking residues (166-176) highlighted. Fig. 6B shows the structure of cpSRP43 with the bound L18 peptide (cyan) as determined by Stengel et al. [18] and TM3 shown modelled on the surface with the overall conformation maintained as in A. We propose that TM3 most likely binds in an additional groove on cpSRP43 as shown, and this would allow the four crosslinking sites to make contact with cpSRP43. The structure is shown rotated in Fig. 6C, which emphasises the discontinuous nature of the L18 and putative TM3 binding sites.

In the study by Stengel et al. [18] it was found that the Tyr204 'hook' on cpSRP43 was essential for binding of the L18 peptide: a Y204A mutant exhibited a massively-reduced binding affinity. While this pinpointed Tyr204 as a key component in the L18 binding reaction, we have shown in this study that the Y204A cpSRP43 mutant does crosslink to full-length Lhcb1, albeit with lower efficiency. This suggests that the Y204A mutant binds Lhcb1 via additional interactions and possibly the TM3 residues identified in this study. A simplistic interpretation may be that the binding of Lhcb1 to cpSRP43 involves recognition of two key determinants within Lhcb1: the L18 peptide (where interaction is dependent on Tyr204) and a second domain involving the first section of TM3. Further studies will be required to determine how and where this second domain binds on the cpSRP43 surface or whether this interaction is via an indirect binding involving cpSRP54.

As well as providing data on the extent to which cpSRP43 interacts with Lhcb1, the data have implications for the importance of this interaction. A notable feature of the crosslinking data is the observation that cpSRP43, on its own, is able to interact specifically with Lhcb1. This applies to all of the crosslinking adducts observed in this study (i.e. those located from residues 166-176). Importantly, both the efficiency and the pattern of the crosslinking to wild type cpSRP43 are entirely unaffected by the presence or absence of cpSRP54. While cpSRP43 has been shown to have a novel chaperone activity, in the sense that it can reverse the aggregation of Lhcb1 [26,27], this is the first demonstration that cpSRP43 is fully able to recognize and bind to insertion-competent, full-length Lhcb1 in the absence of its partner subunit. In turn, this suggests that cpSRP43 is a key component in the initial reaction by which cpSRP binds incoming
substrates. Indeed, our data are consistent with the premise that cpSRP43 is effectively a key initial receptor for LHCP family proteins after import into the chloroplast. It is, however, important to stress that the role of cpSRP54 has yet to be characterised and this subunit may also play an important role in the binding of substrate.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Overall structure of pea Lhcb1 and constructs used in this study. The upper diagram shows the overall structure of pea Lhcb1 with the transit peptide (TP), L18 peptide and 3 TM spans indicated. The TM2-L18-TM3 region has been expanded (lower diagram) to show the primary sequence and the positions of the crosslinkers used in this study (arrowheads). The L18 cpSRP-Lhcb1 interaction area, including the DPLG motif from the cpSRP43 structural study, is denoted by a dotted line, while a solid black line depicts the extended interaction area defined by the crosslinking data in this report.

Figure 2. Crosslinkers in Lhcb1 at positions 169 & 176 react with cpSRP43 in the presence and absence of cpSRP54. Lhcb1 was synthesised with UV-activatable crosslinkers incorporated at positions 169 (within the L18 peptide) and 176 (within TM span 3) as detailed in Materials and Methods. After synthesis the translation product was incubated with buffer (-), cpSRP43 (43), the cpSRP43ACD3 truncation (43Δ), cpSRP54 (54) or cpSRP43 + cpSRP54 combinations as indicated. After incubation, the crosslinker was activated by UV light and samples were analysed directly (upper panel) or after affinity purification of the cpSRP subunits using a Talon affinity matrix (lower panel). Crosslinking photoadducts generated with cpSRP43 are denoted X1 and
X2; adduct XΔ denotes crosslinking product with cpSRP43Δ. The three adducts are indicated by asterisks in the left panel. Mobilities of molecular mass markers (in kDa) are indicated on the left.

Figure 3. Crosslinking to cpSRP43 is dependent on UV illumination and the photo-reactive probe. Lhcb1 was synthesised with lysine at position 169 (D169K panel) or the photoactivatable ANB crosslinker (Lhc169) as indicated. After synthesis, the translation products were incubated with cpSRP54 and cpSRP43Δ and samples were analysed directly (-) or after illumination with UV light (+UV) as indicated.

Figure 4. Interactions with cpSRP43 are clustered within L18 and TM3. Lhcb1 was synthesised with the ANB crosslinker in the positions indicated (152-176). After synthesis, the translation products were incubated with buffer (-), cpSRP43 (43) or cpSRP43ΔCD3 (43Δ). The reactions were then illuminated with UV light and samples were analysed directly (total) or after affinity purification of the SRP subunits using Talon resin (lower panel). Labels as in Figure 2.

Figure 5. A tyrosine ‘hook’ on cpSRP43 is required for efficient crosslinking to Lhcb1. Lchb1 with the ANB crosslinker at position 169 was incubated with buffer (-), cpSRP43 (43) or the Y204A mutated version of cpSRP43 (43*), alone or with cpSRP54, or the cpSRP43ΔCD3 mutant (43Δ). The reactions were then illuminated with UV light and samples were analysed directly. The major, upper crosslink to cpSRP43 is denoted by X1, with the adduct in the Y204A samples is denoted by an arrowhead. Other labels as in Figure 2.

Figure 6. Model for the interaction of Lhcb1 with cpSRP43. Image A shows the 3-dimensional structure of membrane bound Lhcb1 with the L18 peptide indicated and the additional cpSRP43 interaction sites circled. Crosslinked residues (166, 169, 174 and 176) are indicated in red. Other residues analysed as potential crosslinking sites in this study are coloured orange. Image B shows the structure of cpSRP43 with Lhcb1 residues 156-167 of the L18 peptide (L18p, cyan, PDB: 3DEO) bound within a groove as determined by Stengel et al. (2008). Note that the DPLG motif curls around Tyr204 on the cpSRP43 surface. The Lhcb1 TM3 region is shown positioned in an adjacent groove on the surface of cpSRP43 after superposition of the L18-TM structure from the native structure (yellow, PDB: 2BHW, Standfuss et al, 2005). The positions of the crosslinking sites (residues 166, 169, 174 and 176) are denoted by red colouring of side chains. C: as B with the image rotated by 90°. The position of Y204 is indicated by the asterisk.
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