Micellar electrokinetic chromatography for the determination of cortisol in urine samples in view of biomedical studies

Ilona Olędzka

► To cite this version:

Ilona Olędzka. Micellar electrokinetic chromatography for the determination of cortisol in urine samples in view of biomedical studies. *Electrophoresis*, 2010, 31 (14), pp.2356. 10.1002/elps.201000144 . hal-00599464

HAL Id: hal-00599464

<https://hal.science/hal-00599464>

Submitted on 10 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Micellar electrokinetic chromatography for the
determination of cortisol in urine samples in view of
biomedical studies**

Journal:	<i>Electrophoresis</i>
Manuscript ID:	elps.201000144.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Short Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Apr-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Olędzka, Ilona; Medical University, Pharmaceutical Chemistry
Keywords:	cortisol, micellar-electrokinetic capillary chromatography, biomarker of stress

**Micellar electrokinetic chromatography for the determination of cortisol
in urine samples in view of biomedical studies**

Ilona Olędzka*, Alina Plenis, Lucyna Konieczna, Piotr Kowalski, Tomasz Bączek

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Medical University of Gdańsk, Hallera 107, 80-416, Gdańsk, Poland

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +48 58 3493136; Fax: +48 58 3493130;

e-mail: ilona@gumed.edu.pl

Keywords: cortisol, micellar-electrokinetic capillary chromatography, biomarker of stress

Abstract

Micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatographic (MEKC) method used for determination of cortisol in urine was developed and elaborated. In turn, the measurements of urinary free cortisol provided the diagnostic information for excess adrenal production of cortisol. MEKC realized by the addition of anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) to the buffer solution, was demonstrated as to be the appropriate mode for the separation of cortisol and dexamethasone used as internal standard. A buffer solution composed of 10 mM sodium tetraborate and 50 mM SDS at pH 8.8 was used. The MEKC assay was evaluated by analyzing a series of urine samples containing cortisol in variable concentrations. The proposed method was validated for specificity, linearity, limits of detection and quantitation, precision and trueness. The quantitation limit for cortisol equaled 5 ng/ml. The method was selective, and reliable for identity and enable to detect changes of endogenous levels of cortisol in urine under different stress situations.

1 Introduction

Cortisol is the major glucocorticoid produced by the adrenal glands in humans. It regulates a myriad of biological function and has anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects. Moreover, it was found that it is also a biological biomarker of stress, anxiety and depression. Urinary free cortisol (UFC) reflects the fraction of nonprotein bound plasma cortisol. It is commonly and perhaps uncritically assumed that only free cortisol is the biologically active fraction. Furthermore, measurements of UFC is important in the diagnosis and management of adrenal disorders [1].

Several methods for the determination of cortisol in various types of biological samples (plasma, serum, urine, saliva) have been described in recent years, unfortunately all of them possess also several limitations [2-11]. The measuring cortisol in saliva has many advantages including the easy of sampling. It is stress-free, non-invasive, and allows for frequent and rapid sampling [2, 4, 8, 10]. However, salivary cortisol levels are only 50-70% of serum free cortisol levels due to the conversion of cortisol to cortisone by 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 activity in saliva [7]. On the other hand, the sampling of blood may itself induce stress, and the sample represents a cumulative response [7, 11]. Kartsova et al. [9] studied the MEKC with UV detection for determining corticosteroids in biological fluids such as, blood, serum and urine, with the use of various versions of online preconcentration. However, the method employed a labor intensive manual liquid/liquid extraction procedure with methylene chloride (chloroform). Despite the successful use of halogenated solvents, such as dichloromethane and chloroform, this solvents should be avoided when new methods are developed, since these solvents are banned from use in a number of countries because of their negative effects on the environment.

In clinical laboratories, steroid hormones in urine are usually analyzed by immunological techniques [8, 10], high-performance liquid chromatography [3, 6, 12-14], gas chromatography (GC) [15], liquid chromatography (LC) [16-19], liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [20], thin-layer chromatography (TLC) [21] and capillary electrophoresis (CE) [9, 22-25]. The immunoassay methods are rapid and simple, but the authors of a large number of publication point to the fact that most immunoassay applications for urinary free cortisol do not measure cortisol alone, but also the interfering material with apparently cortisol metabolites or adrenally derived cortisol prevailing. Although the HPLC method does not suffer from cross-reactivity between the different corticosteroids, the resolution of the method is low and rather large volumes of eluents are required. The application of MEKC to urine samples is potentially difficult due to the low sensitivity for absorbance detection, clogging of capillaries and current errors. However, through pretreatment of samples the concentrations of the analytes can be increased sufficiently for clinical applications. Since its introduction in 1984 by Terabe et al. [26], micellar electrokinetic chromatography has gained wide popularity. It has been used for the separation of various hydrophobic compounds such as steroids. In MEKC anionic or cationic surfactants were added at a higher concentration than the critical micelle concentration (CMC) to the electrolyte solution, forming a pseudo-stationary micellar phase. The migration order for neutral analytes is related to the hydrophobicity of the analyte: more hydrophobic analytes migrate slower than less hydrophobic ones. The migration time of the neutral analyte is limited between the migration time of electroosmotic flow (EOF) and of the micelle. Steroids, which are electrically neutral and high lipophilic drugs, can be separated by using MEKC mode [25-30]. Nishi et al. [27] were the first to demonstrate the applicability of MEKC for separating various corticosteroids on a fused-silica capillary using a sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-bile salts mixed micelle system. Addition of the organic modifiers such

as bile salts to SDS was found to be essential in achieving separation of steroids in a mixture because its influence of resolution. Valbuena et al. [25] were developed anionic-zwitterionic mixed micelles in micellar electrokinetic separation of clinically relevant steroids in serum and urine on a fused-silica capillary. A SDS/SB3-12 (sodium dodecyl sulphate/ N-dodecyl-N,N-dimethyl-3-ammonio-1-propanesulfonate) mixed micellar system allows to achieve baseline separation of eight steroids in less than 10 min and the detection limit of 1-5 µg/ml. Abubaker et al. [23] were separated of six structurally similar, hydrophobic steroids by MEKC with dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) as cationic surfactant. They achieved a detection limit of 500 ng/ml for each steroid in urine samples. Noé et al. [30] evaluated and optimized the separation buffers for the determination of corticosteroids with MEKC. Baseline separation at long migration times was achieved with a phosphate-tetraborate buffer (pH 9.0, 50 mM) containing 50 mM each of SDS, dehydrocholic acid sodium salt and glycodeoxycholic acid sodium salt. Bile salts can be used as micelle forming agent solely or in combination with other micelle forming substances. The analysis time was 40 min and limit of detection was 20 µg/ml. Kartsova et al. [9] were compared characteristic of HPLC and MEKC in determining of corticosteroids. The results shown, that the detection limit in HPLC without preconcentration is lower than in MEKC, and equaled 20 µg/l and 50 µg/l, respectively. But with preconcentration procedure the detection limit was similar in both methods, 2 and 3 µg/l, respectively. The analysis time is shorter in MEKC (15 min) compared with HPLC (34 min). In turn, Rao et al. [22] developed MEKC with SDS and solid-phase extraction for determination of a urinary free cortisol. The extraction was accomplished in 10-15 min with a recovery of 89-94%. According to the authors, cortisol at these physiological levels can be easily detected by subjecting a 15 ml of urine sample. The detection limit of free cortisol in urine, as described the authors, was 10 µg/l with SPE compared to 500 µg/l without SPE.

To summarize a portion of the research on steroid hormone MEKC analysis published during the last decade, our opinion is that, although many systematic investigations have been carried out to establish new analytical methods for these compounds in complex biological matrices, there are still problems in analyzing at the trace levels.

In the present study, a solid-phase extraction (SPE) coupled MEKC with UV detection as a potential analytical method for the separation of urinary free cortisol was elaborated. The main advantages of MEKC are high efficiency, speed, solvent saving and minimal sample requirement (sample size 10-30 nl injected). Our work proposes an easy and rapid method using MEKC with UV detector to determine cortisol in human urine. The UV detector has been the most popular and useful, whereas mass spectrometry (MS) has been less frequently applied in common laboratories due to the high instrumentation cost. Since most commercial CE instruments are equipped with UV-Vis detectors, many applications have been published using absorbance detection. Researchers applying UV detection have mostly used the method of pre-concentration of following large volume of urine, namely 2 ml [9], 10 ml [24] and 15 ml [22]. For that reason we decided to develop 15 ml volume sample. This manner allowed to us to determine this hormone in real samples, because the concentration of free steroids in biological fluids are at the level of ng/ml. Due to steroids are non-volatile and unstable in high temperature the GC is rarely used in clinical practice. In turn, thanks to steroids have UV absorption at 254 nm, they should be easily detect with UV detectors. Due to the path length sensitivity of absorbance measurements, several attempts have been made to improve detection limits. Moreover, to improve detection of cortisol, in our paper, preconcentration of urine samples has been employed. Validation aspects such as linearity, specificity, repeatability, precision, trueness and sensitivity were successfully assessed. Additionally, the application of MEKC method to screen for level of cortisol in human urine samples was also investigated in this study.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

The buffer solution for CE analysis was prepared from sodium tetraborate decahydrate and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Merck, Germany). Cortisol (11 β ,17 α ,21-trihydroxypregn-4-ene-3,20-dione) and dexamethasone (9 α -Fluoro-11 β ,17 α ,21-trihydroxy-16 α -methylpregn-1,4-dien-3,20-dione) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and were of a minimum purity of 99%. Each of steroids was accurately weighed, dissolved in methanol and diluted to an appropriate concentration. All solutions of the analytes were stored at 4°C until analysis to avoid decomposition.

Dichloromethane, acetone and methanol were obtained from Merck (Germany). Highly pure water was obtained from Milli-Q equipment (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.2 Apparatus

Analysis was done using a Beckman P/ACE 2100 (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA) equipped with Gold software for data analysis, selectable fixed-wavelength UV detector and an autosampler. The capillary cartridge contained a 75 μ m i.d. unmodified silica capillary, 57 cm total length and 51 cm effective length to the detector. The voltage was maintained at 20 kV. Detection of steroids was performed at 254 nm (wavelength of absorption maximum). The background electrolyte for electrophoretic separation consisted of 50 mM SDS and 10 mM sodium tetraborate (pH = 8.8). Analytes were introduced into the capillary at anode via a 5 s, whereas the detector was set on the cathode end of the capillary. In order to equilibrate the capillary and minimize hysteresis effects, the capillary was

regenerated between each run by treatment with 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (0.3 min), next with methanol (0.7 min), then with regeneration solution 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (1 min) and finally with triple distilled water (1 min). Each day before analysis the system was first purged with regeneration solution for 5 min, followed triple distilled water for 10 min and the working buffer solution for next 10 min.

2.3 Sample preparation

The urine was obtained from adult volunteers and frozen at -20°C. Before extraction samples were left to thaw and equilibrate to room temperature. Cortisol levels were standardized by correction for creatinine excretion, with results expressed as cortisol-to-creatinine ratio. The amount of cortisol in the urine reflects the average cortisol concentration in the blood at the time that the urine was formed. However, this measurement is affected by the concentration of the urine. Due to creatinine is a product of muscle metabolism and it is normally lost in the urine at a relatively steady rate, the ratio of cortisol to creatinine in the urine can be used to account for the effect of urine concentration. Simple stress may cause a mild increase in urinary cortisol/creatinine ratio. The presence of other illnesses may also result in increased cortisol production by the adrenal glands and thereby increase this ratio [8, 13, 16]. The level of creatinine in urine samples of subjects was studied, because the increased value indicate of renal failure and/or a reduced glomerular filtration. It may cause an increase (or decrease) the contents of designated substances in urine.

Creatinine in urine was investigated using a diagnostic kit for the determination of creatinine PZ CORMAY (Lublin, Poland). The colorimetric method based on reaction with picric acid, according to the methodology supplied, was used.

Urine samples (15 ml) were spiked with 500 ng/ml dexamethasone (internal standard) and various concentrations (5-400 ng/ml) of cortisol. Preconcentration of cortisol from urine

samples was achieved by using extraction disc cartridges (Merck, LiChrolut RP-18, 500 mg). The samples were rapidly passed through the SPE cartridges, preconditioned with 5 ml of methanol and washed twice with 5 ml of deionized water, using vacuum. After passing the respective spiked urine samples, the discs were washed with a mixture acetone/water ratio of 25:75 (v/v). The final elution of steroids was achieved using twice 2 ml methanol. Next the solvent was evaporated to dryness at 45°C in a water bath and the extracted steroids were reconstituted with 0.1 ml of 2 mM sodium tetraborate decahydrate, centrifuged for 7 min at 3000 rpm and injected into CE system.

As a control, unspiked blank urine was also carried through the extraction procedure.

3 Results and discussion

The aim of this study was to develop a MEKC technique as an alternative method in routine analysis for determination of endogenous low-hydrophylic steroid hormone. To detect physiological levels of cortisol ranged 50-200 ng/ml, preconcentration of urine samples is necessary. In developing the method for the determination of cortisol in the urine the study start by analyzing 1 ml of urine and then 3, 5 and 10 ml. However, in each case after the extraction of the urine achieved LOD and LOQ limits were not satisfactory. It was not possible to detect cortisol levels in the full range of physiological concentrations. Cortisol at these physiological levels can be easily detected by subjecting a 15 ml of urine sample to the sample clean-up and preconcentration.

3.1 Optimization of sample extraction

Biological samples are often too complex to permit analysis by direct injection and require the removal of endogenous compounds. Extraction methods are attractive for two

reasons [31]. They selectively collect the analyte of interest plus a part of the endogenous components, whereas the other endogenous components are removed. Secondly, the analyte can simultaneously be concentrated by one or two orders of magnitude. The main disadvantages of extraction techniques are the time and effort they require and the potential of losses of the analyte. In order to isolate the test compound from the urine extraction the following procedure were investigated: liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and solid phase extraction (SPE). In LLE hydrophobic sample components (i.e., cortisol) are extracted with a water-immiscible organic phase [14]. The various organic solvents as eluting solvents were used in this study (such as, ethyl acetate and dichloromethane). After extraction, the solvents can be evaporated and the residue reconstituted in a suitable buffer. The use of large amounts of organic solvents in LLE is a disadvantages as far as environmental and health aspects are concerned. During the solid phase extraction the sample was passed over a disposable column with nonpolar filling LC-18 by which some of the analytes was retained. After preconditioning of the sorbent the sample was applied. The sorbent was then selectively washed to remove undesirable components without the loss of the analyte of interest. The analytes are then eluted using a minimum of solvent which can be evaporated and redissolved in a buffer. Moreover, the SPE, now the most widely used, has many advantages such as the possibility of isolation and concentration of volatile and non-volatile analytes, which has a positive effect on further analysis and allows the lower limit of detection and determination of test compounds. In addition, it allows the storage of test compounds adsorbed on the sorbent for a long time and eliminates the formation of emulsions that occur during the extraction LLE. An important advantage is the reduction in the use of organic solvents [22]. Additionally, SPE can be easily incorporated into automated analytical procedures, which can lead to greater trueness and precision, as well as greater laboratory productivity. As a result, SPE has gained popularity in analytical labs, whereas the use of LLE is waning. The results of

1
2
3 extraction efficiency of cortisol after various organic solvents used as eluents were presented
4
5 in Table 1.
6

7
8 The influence of extraction type on the extraction efficiency was tested on urine
9
10 samples spiked to level 20, 100 and 400 ng/ml of analytes. Different sorbent and organic
11
12 solvents were tested for clean-up of the sample extract. Precipitation of organic material and
13
14 extraction of cortisol was achieved by adding methanol, dichloromethane and acetonitrile to
15
16 urine samples. The research has been shown that, the best extraction procedure based on using
17
18 methanol. Best overall recovery for the hormone was obtained on C₁₈ sorbents. The extraction
19
20 efficiency after using methanol and C₁₈ sorbents equaled 103.4% for 20 ng/ml, 97.6% for 100
21
22 ng/ml and 100.4% for 400 ng/ml. LLE was initially evaluated but the extract were found to be
23
24 too dirty for MECK. The important reasons for the assay SPE are the cleaner background and
25
26 higher recovery of cortisol and internal standard than after LLE, therefore SPE was proposed
27
28 for removing the matrix of the sample. Recoveries (mean $\pm$ SD) were also determined for the
29
30 internal standard after SPE with methanol extraction procedure and being for concentration
31
32 500 ng/ml $86.9 \pm 7.9\%$.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **3.2 Optimization of running buffer**

42

43
44 The constitution of the running buffer solution, including buffer composition,
45
46 concentration and pH value, was considered as an important factor in MEKC because of its
47
48 influence on the separation. The most commonly used surfactant is sodium dodecyl sulfate
49
50 (SDS) which is an anionic surfactant and is attracted by the anode. However, the EOF causes
51
52 the micelles to migrate to the cathode, in a slower rate than the bulk of the buffer. The
53
54 different velocities of the EOF and the micellar phase permit electrophoretic separations, and
55
56 provide a way to resolve charged as well as neutral molecules. Neutral solutes partition
57
58 between the micelles and the background electrolyte, and are separated solely on
59
60

1
2
3 chromatographic basis, whereas for ionic solutes separation is based on chromatography and
4
5 electrophoresis. The micelles can be considered as a moving pseudostationary phase.
6
7 Selectivity in MEKC is dependent on the concentration of the micelle-forming agent, the
8
9 buffer pH and the use of additives, including organic modifiers and salts. It is important that
10
11 surfactants present in concentration below the CMC also affect the mobility of analytes due to
12
13 complexation of the analyte with one or more surfactant molecules [23, 25, 30]. SDS was
14
15 added into the running buffer in this study. The SDS micelles enhanced solubility of the
16
17 analytes and offered good resolution. The influence of the SDS concentration in the optimized
18
19 tetraborate buffer was further evaluated for the resolution of the cortisol and dexamethasone
20
21 (I.S.). The concentration of the micelle forming agent (SDS) was tested from 10 to 50 mM.
22
23 Raising the concentration of SDS in buffer resulted in a increase of migration times for
24
25 compounds of interest. At a concentration of 50 mM of SDS the peaks were separated to the
26
27 baseline. The neutral components of the test sample such as steroids are separated because of
28
29 different distribution coefficients between a polar aqueous buffer and nonpolar micelles.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Second important parameter for MEKC separation is the pH of the buffer system.
37
38 We studied the separation of cortisol in both alkaline and acidic buffer under conditions of
39
40 MEKC with the use of SDS as micelle forming agent. In theory, the separation of steroids,
41
42 being neutral molecules, should be independent of pH [9]. However, at basic pH the increased
43
44 EOF reduced migration times of steroids but gave better efficiencies for resolved steroids
45
46 when compared to the ones obtained at pH below 7. In alkaline pH the elution order of
47
48 steroids corresponds to increasing hydrophobicity of the analytes. On the contrary, it is
49
50 inverted in an acidic medium more hydrophobic steroids are eluted sooner. Reduced EOF
51
52 under acidic conditions allows partitioning for a longer time period, thereby resulting in
53
54 baseline separation of steroids. The migration time reached a minimum at pH 8.8 and then
55
56 started to increase at more alkaline pH. At pH greater than 10 significantly loss in resolution.
57
58
59
60

The influence on migration time and separation efficiency of the organic modifier methanol (10-20%) added to buffer solution was also investigated. Generally, addition of methanol to the buffer resulted in decrease of separation efficiency. The using of number of borate and phosphate buffers and various concentration of SDS was investigated and the results were presented in Table 2. The exemplary electropherograms showing the effect of pH value in buffer on electrophoretic times and shape of cortisol and dexamethasone are depicted in Figure 1.

Finally, the 10 mM borate buffer with the addition of 50 mM SDS (pH= 8.8) was chosen as a running buffer for the subsequent experiments.

3.3 Optimization of instrument parameters

The effect of separation voltage on resolution was investigated at voltage range of 10-25 kV. As expected, increase of voltage shortened the migration time and sharpened the peak shape. On the other hand, higher voltage resulted in higher CE currents and Joule heating which led to baseline noise and falling separation efficiency. A voltage of 20 kV was selected as a good compromise between resolution and migration time.

The UV absorption spectra of cortisol and dexamethasone were examined in order to optimize the detection wavelength. The research indicated that, only a very limited number of solvents have low UV cut-off in combination with good steroid solvability. Water has a cut-off below 210 nm, but does not dissolve the steroids. An alternative may be acetonitrile or diethyl ether, as they easily dissolve a number of polar steroids and also have UV cut-offs around or below 210 nm. Hexane and cyclohexane are even better with UV transparency down towards 200 nm. The most commonly used solvents are lower unbranched aliphatic alcohols such as ethanol and methanol, as they readily dissolve most steroids and offer UV arounds 205 nm [22, 25, 32]. Our experience has shown that cortisol and the internal standard

(dexamethasone) dissolve in running buffer containing methanol show maximum absorbance at a wavelength of 254 nm. For this reason, this wavelength detector has been selected for further study as optimal.

Hydrodynamic injection mode was used for introducing the samples into the capillary. The injection time of sample (2-20 s) was tested to achieve the required sensitivity for the separation of cortisol and dexamethasone. It was observed that 5 s was enough to allow good resolution for the analytes studied and maximum peak enhancement. Longer injections caused an increase of peak signal, however, at the same time, a decrease of migration time and deterioration of separation efficiency was observed.

Moreover, it was observed that decreasing the capillary temperature to 16°C or increasing it to 30°C deteriorated resolution. Best resolution was achieved at 25°C.

3.4 Validation of the method

The developed methods were then subjected to method validation according to GLP guidelines. Migration times, peak areas and peak heights were measured with a data processor. The validation characteristics which should be considered comprised trueness, precision, specificity, detection limit, quantification limit, linearity and stability. These characteristics are summarized in Tables 3-5.

Specificity

Specificity was determined on the basis of blank and extract samples. Electropherograms obtained from extracts of blank urine and urine spiked with cortisol are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The blank urine samples were obtained in following step. Then four grams of activated charcoal were added to 100 ml urine and mixed using a

magnetic stirrer for 2 h. The mixture was then centrifuged for 2 h at 3000 rpm. After centrifugation the supernatant urine was filtered using a glass filter (grade 4).

No interferences were observed during the electrophoretic run of the urine samples in the area where steroid or internal standard peaks appear. Additionally, the specificity of method have been confirmed by the identification of steroid peaks and internal standard in term of the migration time and UV spectrum.

Linearity

Although different statistical tests, like *e.g.*, such as the F-value of the Lack-of-fit (LOF) test and Mandel's fitting test have been recently suggested to evaluate the goodness of fit of the calibration curves because of the disputable or even controversial position of the correlation coefficient r as linearity indicators [33-35], that last indicator is still the most often used in the practice. It is considered as commonly used parameter for evaluation of linearity, and curves with $r \geq 0.995$ are usually treated as linear. For this reason the proposed method could be linear in the range of concentration from 5 to 400 ng/ml. Method linearity ($n = 6$) for cortisol were determined by the addition of cortisol to charcoal-stripped urine over the range of 5-400 ng/ml. Each sample was analyzed according the extraction procedure and the concentration were calculated from a calibration curve. The calibration curves were constructed by plotting the analyte/IS peak high ratio (y) against analyte concentrations (x). The correlation coefficient $r = 0.998$ and the $r^2 = 0.996$, suggesting that our development method has good linearity.

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)

The limit of detection (LOD) was determined with a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 and equaled about 2 ng/ml. The method gave the detection limit enough to determination the

cortisol levels in human urine (50-200 ng/ml). The limit of quantitation (LOQ), defined as the lowest concentration level at which the assay was validated, was found to be 5 ng/ml.

Precision

The precision of method was determined by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) for the repeated measurements. Repeatability data were obtained repeating the same experiment, and on the same day and in the same laboratory. The precision for repeatability ranged from 4.3% for 20 ng/ml to 0.9% for 400 ng/ml. The intermediate precision data were obtained by repeating the reproducibility experiment on a different day with newly prepared samples, buffer solution for CE. Good precision (no more than 10%) was demonstrated in independent assays performed in different days (Table 4).

The SPE procedure was estimated by determination of percent recoveries of cortisol from urine at three concentration 20, 100, 400 ng/ml. The relative standard deviation (RSD) by both LLE and SPE procedures were presented in Table 1. In turn, the precision of the data of the chosen extraction procedure (SPE methanol) such as mean measured concentration $\pm$ SD, recovery and RSD were shown in Table 4. The results confirmed the high repeatability and reproducibility of our method with RDS between 0.9-4.3% and 1.2-10.8%, respectively.

Trueness

Samples were prepared by addition of known amounts of cortisol. The expected concentration was calculated as endogenous and plus spiked concentration and the percent recovery equaled (found concentration/expected concentration) x 100. The mean recovery was 103.7%.

Stability

Freeze-thaw stability was studied using urine samples at three different concentration were (20, 100 and 200 ng/ml). Test indicated that cortisol in urine samples were stable for at one month when stored at -20°C (Table 5).

3.5 Application to the real urine sample

As an application, real urine samples from 29 healthy male volunteers, aged 18-28 years were collected and investigated by the method presented here. Their average age was 23 ± 5 years, body weight of 73.6 ± 17.1 kg and height of 168 ± 5.8 cm (average $\pm$ standard deviation). Urinary creatinine levels from 29 healthy volunteers was measured in all samples using a diagnostic kit for the determination of creatinine PZ CORMAY (Lublin, Poland). The obtained results indicated that the concentration of creatinine in urine samples were between 0.91 and 1.37 mg/dl. It confirmed that no volunteer possessed a dysfunction of kidney. All samples were collected in polyethylene urine containers and then frozen at -20°C. Urinary free cortisol levels found and measured by MEKC expressed as cortisol-to-creatinine ratio are presented in Table 6. Concentrations of cortisol in the urine of most volunteers fit within the physiological range, 50-200 ng/ml. The average concentration equaled 160.8 ± 76.7 ng/ml. Few volunteers indicated concentrations exceeded established standards and could be testified to the increased susceptibility to stress and diseases of the existence of hormone. However, the final diagnosis requires additional research. The electropherograms of real urine samples from volunteer 8 (Figure 4) and volunteers 16 (Figure 5) were presented.

The results of MEKC method established in this paper could be successfully applied to the determination of the stress biomarker in the urine samples. This method is especially convenient for analysis of number of samples.

4 Conclusions

The results reported here indicated the applicability of MEKC method for determination of cortisol in human urine. Among the presented publications, developed MEKC-UV [9, 22, 24], our experience allowed to achieve the lowest limit of detection and extraction procedure with methanol as the eluent, giving the high recoveries of 97.5-104.9%. It was evidenced that the proposed technique could be the method of choice because, as described earlier, it is faster, cheaper, more accurate than other MEKC-UV methods and it may be applied also to large amounts of urine samples successfully. It provides also great potential because urine is biological matrix readily obtainable through noninvasive collection procedures.

The method is automated, simple, rapid, sensitive and can be applied easily to the analysis of urine samples. One important drawback of CE could lay in detectability, because of the low sample injection volume and the short optical path-length. Due to the extremely small diameter of capillary, volumes of the order of nanoliters are typically injected. For the same reason, the light path at the on-capillary detector window is very short, reducing the signal produced by the sample. On the other hand, CE offer greater separation efficiency, significantly reduced analysis time and operating costs in comparison to liquid chromatographic methods. For instance, the buffer solution used in MEKC are typically water-based and when properly refrigerated can be stored for long periods of time.

In conclusion, the analytical procedure developed in this work is fast, specific, precise, reproducible and sensitive, although simple UV detection was used. The extraction of the analytes is based on a simple extraction step. Finally, data was generated more quickly because of easy of the process and its potential to be automated. The present analysis method

can also be proposed for clinical application.

5 References

- [1] Letellier, M., Levesque, A., Daigle, F., *Clin. Biochem.* 1997, 30 (6), 473-477
- [2] Hellhammer, D. H., Wüst, S., Kudielka, B. M., *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 2009, 34, 163-171
- [3] Shi, H., Xu, X., Ding, Y., Liu, S., Li, L., Kang, W., *Anal. Biochem.*, 2009, 387, 178-183
- [4] Neary, J. P., Malbon, L., McKenzie, D.C., *J. Sci. Med. Sport*, 2002, 5 (2), 108-114
- [5] Kinoshita, H., Okabayashi, M., Kaneko, M., Yasuda, M., Abe, K., Machida, A., Ohkubo, T., Kamata, T., Yakushiji, F., *J. Altern. Complement. Med.*, 2009, 15(4), 439-443
- [6] Newsome, S., Chen, K., Hoang, J., Wilson, J.D., Potter, J.M., Hickman, P.E., *Inter. Med. Journal*, 2008, 38, 178-182
- [7] Levine, A., Zagoory-Sharon, O., Feldman, R., Lewis, J.G., Weller, A., *Physiol. Behav.*, 2007, 90, 43-53
- [8] Beerda, B., Schilder, M.B.H., Janssen, N.S.C.R.M., Mol, J.A., *Horm. Behav.*, 1996, 30, 272-279
- [9] Kartsova, L.A., Bessonova, E.A., *J. Anal. Chem.*, 2007, 62(1), 68-75
- [10] Yao, J.K., Moss, H.B., Kirillova, G.P., *Clin. Biochem.*, 1998, 31(3), 187-190
- [11] Kushnir, M.M., Neilson, R., Roberts, W.L., Rockwood, A.L., *Clin. Biochem.*, 2004, 37, 357-362
- [12] Furuta, T., Mori, Ch., Suzuki, A., Shibasaki, H., Yokokawa, A., Kasuya, Y., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2004, 801, 165-171

- [13] Gatti, R., Cappellin, E., Zecchin, B., Antonelli, G., Spinella, P., Mantero, F., De Palo, E.F., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2005, 824, 51-56
- [14] Sharef, O.A., Feely, J., Kavanagh, P.V., Scott, K.R., Sharma S.C., *Biomed. Chromatogr.*, 2007, 21, 1201-1206
- [15] Antignac, J.P., Monteau, F., Negriolli, J., Andre, F., Le Bizec, B., *Chromatographia*, 2004, 59, 13-22
- [16] Barrett, Y.Ch., Akinsanya, B., Chang, S-Y., Vesterqvist, O., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2005, 821, 159-165
- [17] Jönsson, B.A.G., Malmberg, B., Amilon, A., Garde, A.H., Ørbæk, P., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2003, 784, 63-68
- [18] Kataoka, H., Matsuura, E., Mitani, K., *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2007, 44, 160-165
- [19] AbuRuz, S., Millership, J., Heaney, L., McElnay, J., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2003, 798, 193-201
- [20] Wear, J.E., Owen, L.J., Duxbury, K., Keevil, B.G., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2007, 858, 27-31
- [21] Fenske, M., *Chromatographia*, 2000, 52, 810-814
- [22] Rao, L.V., Petersen, J.R., Bissell, M.G., Okorodudu, A.O., Mohammad, A.A., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 1999, 730, 123-128
- [23] Abubaker, M.A., Petersen, J.R., Bissell, M.G., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 1995, 674, 31-38
- [24] Rao, L.V., Petersen, J.R., Bissell, M.G., Okorodudu, A.O., Mohammad, A.A., *Clin. Chem.*, 1997, 43, 1801-1803
- [25] Valbuena, G.A., Rao, L.V., Petersen, J.R., Okorodudu, A.O., Bissell, M.G., Mohammad, A.A., *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1997, 781, 467-474
- [26] Terabe, S., Otsuka, K., Ichikawa, K., Tsuchiya, A., Ando, T., *Anal. Chem.*, 1984, 56, 111-113

- [27] Nishi, H., *J Chromatogr. A*, 1997, 780, 243-264
- [28] Wiedmer, S.K., Siren, H., Riekkola, M-L., *Electrophoresis*, 1997, 18, 1861-1864
- [29] Shen, H-J., Lin, Ch-H., *Electrophoresis*, 2006, 27, 1255-1362
- [30] Noé, S., Böhler, J., Keller, E., Frahm, A.W., *J. Pharm. And Biomed. Anal.*, 1998, 18, 911-918
- [31] Boone, C.M., Waterval, J.C.M., Lingeman, H., Ensing, K., Underberg, W.J.M., *J. Pharm. And Biomed. Anal.*, 1999, 20, 831-863
- [32] Appelblad, P., Irgum, K., *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2002, 955, 151-182
- [33] Van Loco, J., Elskens, M., Croux, Ch., Beernaert, H., *Accred. Qual. Assur.*, 2002, 7, 281-285
- [34] Van Loco, J., in Caroli, S., The determination of chemical elements in food. Application for atomic and mass spectrometry. Chapter 6, Method validation for food analysis: concepts and use of statistical techniques, Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2007, pp. 138-143.
- [35] Araujo, P., *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2009, 877, 2224-2234

Table 1. Analytical extraction efficiency test of cortisol after various extraction solvents.

<i>Nominal concentration [ng/ml]</i>	<i>Measured [ng/ml] (n=6) (mean±SD)</i>	<i>Recovery [%]</i>	<i>RSD[%]</i>
LLE (ethyl acetate)			
20	16.5 ± 0.4	82.5	2.4
100	86.7 ± 2.1	86.7	2.4
400	375.3 ± 7.6	93.8	2.0
LLE (dichloromethane)			
20	18.1 ± 0.2	90.5	1.1
100	94.06 ± 2.1	94.1	2.2
400	396.1 ± 4.2	99.0	1.1
SPE (dichloromethane)			
20	19.0 ± 0.1	95.1	0.8
100	98.9 ± 1.5	98.9	1.5
400	395.3 ± 6.6	98.8	1.7
SPE (methanol)			
20	19.5 ± 0.8	97.5	4.3
100	104.9 ± 3.5	104.9	3.3
400	402.2 ± 3.8	100.5	0.9
SPE (acetonitrile)			
20	18.6 ± 0.1	93.3	0.8
100	94.2 ± 1.5	94.3	1.6
400	395.0 ± 5.6	98.7	1.4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. The influence of the pH of running buffer on electrophoretic resolution.

Buffer composition	Conclusion
sodium tetraborate (25 mM) SDS (10 mM)	the concentration of SDS is below critical micellar concentration; low resolution of peaks
sodium tetraborate (25 mM) SDS (25 mM) methanol 10 or 20%	adding the organic modifier to the buffer solution resulted in an increase of migration time of cortisol and IS and in concentration 20% resulted co-eluting both substances; moreover the concentration of tetraborate is to higher and caused voltage disorder
sodium tetraborate (10 mM) SDS (25 mM)	the peaks to wide and non-symmetrical
sodium tetraborate (20 mM) sodium dihydrophosphate (20 mM) SDS (25 mM)	decrease of pH buffer caused reduction of electroosmotic flow and lengthen of migration time of analyzed substances
sodium dihydrophosphate (10 mM) SDS (25 mM)	the acidic pH of buffer has not an influence for improve of detectability and migration times of analyzed substances were lengthen above 10 minutes.
sodium tetraborate (10 mM) SDS (50 mM)	the best results were obtained using this buffer

Table 3. Summary of validation data for cortisol obtained with MEKC method.

<i>Parameters</i>	
Linear range (ng/ml)	5 - 400
Slope $\pm$ SD	0.00185 ± 0.00005
Intercept $\pm$ SD	0.0253 ± 0.008
Correlation coefficient	0.9998
N	6
LOD (ng/ml)	2.0
LOQ (ng/ml)	5.0
Total separation time (min)	8.0
Cortisol migration time (min)	5.1
I.S. migration time (min)	5.6

Table 4. Assay validation results obtained from repeatability and reproducibility experiments on analysis of cortisol by MEKC.

<i>Nominal concentration [ng/ml]</i>	<i>Mean concentration [ng/ml] n=6</i>	<i>Precision, as RSD [%]</i>	<i>Trueness, as recovery [%]</i>	<i>Absolute recovery [%]</i>
REPEATABILITY				
20	19.5 ± 0.8	4.3	97.5	103.7 ± 5.4
100	104.9 ± 3.5	3.3	104.9	
400	402.2 ± 3.8	0.9	100.5	
REPRODUCIBILITY				
20	20.9 ± 2.3	10.8	104.5	
100	103.5 ± 4.3	4.1	103.5	
400	400.6 ± 4.8	1.2	100.1	

Table 5. Results for processed urine sample freeze-thaw cycles during one month.

<i>Urine cortisol concentration [ng/ml] n=6</i>		
<i>Spiked</i>	<i>Initial</i>	<i>After freeze-thaw cycle</i>
20	22.8 (± 0.8)	21.7(± 1.9)
100	104.5 (± 1.5)	99.1 (± 1.3)
200	207.3 (± 3.9)	202.8 (± 4.3)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 6. Urinary cortisol levels.

<i>Number of samples</i>	<i>Measured concentration of cortisol [ng/ml]</i>	<i>Number of samples</i>	<i>Measured concentration of cortisol [ng/ml]</i>
1	111.2	16	64.7
2	58.8	17	225.2
3	98.8	18	151.7
4	132.2	19	93.9
5	299.8	20	112.3
6	126.9	21	245.2
7	103.1	22	78.2
8	135.5	23	207.4
9	75.5	24	208.5
10	126.3	25	202.5
11	256.6	26	213.3
12	87.4	27	339.3
13	249.0	28	120.9
14	162.5	29	272.3
15	105.2		

Figure 1. Effect of pH value in buffer on electrophoretic times and shape of cortisol and dexamethasone.

Figure 2. Representative electropherogram of a blank urine.

Figure 3. Representative electropherogram of a urine samples spiked with (1) cortisol 40 ng/ml and (2) dexamethasone (I.S.) 500 ng/ml.

Figure 4. Urine sample from volunteer nr 8.

Figure 5. Urine sample from volunteer nr 16.