Geology and climate conditions affect more humus forms than forest canopies at large scale in temperate forests

Jean-François Ponge, Bernard Jabiol, Jean-Claude Gégout

► To cite this version:

Jean-François Ponge, Bernard Jabiol, Jean-Claude Gégout. Geology and climate conditions affect more humus forms than forest canopies at large scale in temperate forests. *Geoderma*, 2011, 162 (1-2), pp.187-195. 10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.02.003 . hal-00578552

HAL Id: hal-00578552

<https://hal.science/hal-00578552>

Submitted on 21 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Geology and climate conditions affect more humus forms than forest canopies at large scale in temperate forests

Jean-François Ponge^{a,*}, Bernard Jabiou^b, Jean-Claude Gégout^b

^a *Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS UMR 7179, 4 avenue du Petit-Château, 91800 Brunoy, France*

^b *AgroParisTech, INRA UMR 1092, Laboratoire d'Etude des Ressources Forêt Bois (LERFoB), ENGREF, 14 rue Girardet, 54042 Nancy Cedex, France*

ABSTRACT

We investigated by linear gradient analysis (RDA) the relationships between forest humus forms (9 humus forms and the Humus Index) and 148 variables describing geology, climate, soil type, geography and the floristic composition of forest canopies, using 3441 plots of the EcoPlant database covering the whole French territory. Among these variables, geology (alkaline vs acidic substrate) and climate (warm/dry vs cold/rainy) were the major determinants of humus forms, scaling mull humus forms from eumull to dysmull and opposing them to mor/moder, while the contribution of tree canopies was negligible. This trend was verified by partial RDA with environment or abundance of tree species from forest canopy as co-factors. The original position of amphi was confirmed: it was the only humus form not included in the gradient of increasing biological activity ordinated according to climate and geology. Results and possible forecasts of humus forms according to global warming were discussed to the light of existing knowledge.

Keywords: EcoPlant database, humus form, Humus Index, parent rock, soil type, climate, geography, RDA

* Corresponding author. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS UMR 7179, 4 avenue du Petit-Château, 91800 Brunoy, France. Tel. +33 6 78930133; fax: +33 1 60465719. E-mail address: ponge@mnhn.fr (J.F. Ponge).

1. Introduction

The humus form, i.e. the vertical arrangement of organic matter in topsoil horizons, is known to be influenced by biotic (litter amount and quality, soil-dwelling microbial and animal communities) and abiotic factors (climate, parent rock, soil type) according to a variety of key processes which have been reviewed by Ponge (2003). While abiotic factors such as regional climate and geology cannot be back-influenced by humus forms, at least in the short-term (but see Van Breemen, 1993; Marland et al., 2003), biotic factors are tightly linked to humus forms according to feed-back loops (Perry, 1995; Wardle and Lavelle, 1997; Ponge et al., 1999), making distal and proximal causes hard to discern and thus any predictions hardly questionable. In forests, past land use (Koerner et al., 1997; Dupouey et al., 2002) and present-day management options (Hölscher et al., 2001; Godefroid et al., 2005; Van Calster et al., 2007) are known to influence humus forms at the scale of management units. At a more local scale individual trees (Boettcher and Kalisz, 1990; Kuuluvainen et al., 1994; Peltier et al., 2001), forest vegetation (Bernier et al., 1993; Emmer, 1994; Bernier and Ponge, 1994), microtopography (Dwyer and Merriam, 1981) and animal/microbial populations (Gourbière, 1983; Wilcox et al., 2002) stem in a pronounced variation of humus forms and ground floor thickness (Arp and Krause, 1984; Riha et al., 1986; Aubert et al., 2006).

Soil types and humus forms do not vary at the same scale of time (Crocker and Major, 1955; Switzer et al., 1979; Turk et al., 2008), making their causal relationships highly variable in space and time, more especially in forest environments (Kuuluvainen et al., 1993; Ponge et al., 1998, 1999). It has been shown that the thickness of forest floor and the structure of organo-mineral horizons, which are under the paramount influence of ecosystem engineers such as earthworms (Hoogerkamp et al., 1983; Bernier, 1998; Wironen and Moore, 2006; but see Burghouts et al., 1998), can vary according to the age of trees (Aubert et al., 2004; Godefroid et al., 2005; Chauvat et al., 2007), plant successional processes (Leuschner et al., 1993; Emmer and Sevink, 1994; Scheu and Schulz, 1996) and undergo cycles at the scale of centuries in naturally regenerating late-successional forests (Bernier and Ponge, 1994; Sagot et al., 1999; Salmon et al., 2008). Litter quality, resulting from the species composition of forest vegetation (Wolters, 1999; Loranger et al., 2002; Wardle et al., 2003) and conditions of tree

growth (Northup et al., 1995; Hättenschwiler et al., 2003), is known to influence and be influenced by humus forms and associated soil trophic networks (Davies et al., 1964; Nicolai, 1988; Ponge et al., 1999). At last, forest vegetation is locally selected (filtered out from regional pools of species) by forest floor and topsoil properties, combined with species interactions (Daniel and Schmidt, 1972; Goldberg, 1982; Falkengren-Grerup and Tyler, 1993) and in turn it influences the activity of soil organisms, and thus the development of humus forms, through litter and rhizosphere effects (Emmer, 1994; Bradley and Fyles, 1996; Milleret et al., 2009).

While it is difficult to disentangle this network of multiple and often symmetrical causal relationships in the variety of situations observed in a region (Klinka et al., 1990; Kindel and Garay, 2002; Galvan et al., 2008), attempts can be made to discern a limited number of strategies by which plants, microbes and animals can adapt themselves to their physical environment, and adapt it to their own requirements (Read, 1992; Northup et al., 1998; Wardle et al., 2004), stemming in the view of humus forms as ecological attractors (Beisner et al., 2003; Ponge, 2003; Graefe and Beylich, 2006). In this respect, we suggest that multivariate methods using a wide array of sites would be better able to discern a limited array of realistic patterns than comparative studies based on a limited number of selected couples. For instance, it is current tenet that conifers impoverish the topsoil and thus change locally the humus form. However, most comparative studies on existing (non-experimental) stands (Nihlgård, 1971; Gauquelin et al., 1996; Augusto et al., 2003) are flawed by the facts (not controlled by the sampling procedure) that (i) the replacement of a deciduous by a coniferous species is often (although not always) dictated by poor growth of the previous crop, due to low soil fertility or water availability, unfavourable aspect or bad management practices, (ii) the growth rate of timber species and the duration of stand rotation (much shorter for conifers, but decided by the forester) influence the balance between aboveground nutrient immobilisation and below ground nutrient recycling through litter decomposition and mineral weathering (Schlesinger, 1977; Vitousek, 1984; Ulrich, 1987). Studies on well-designed experimental plantations with homogeneous initial conditions conclude to an influence of tree species composition on humus form and litter decomposition (Ovington, 1954; Chapman et al., 1988), while studies on the same tree or litter species in a variety of soil and climate

conditions conclude to a strong influence of abiotic factors (Vitousek et al., 1994; Coûteaux et al., 2002; Titeux and Delvaux, 2009). But what when both biotic and non-biotic factors vary together?

We aimed here at investigating the respective influence of abiotic conditions and tree forest species on the spatial variability of humus forms at the scale of the French continental territory. The use of a large array of forest sites of varying tree composition (including coniferous and deciduous species), soil type, climate, and parent material may help to reveal distal factors acting on humus forms, making realistic predictions possible despite of the ground noise to be expected from the variety of ecological conditions thus analysed in the same data matrix (Bednorz et al., 2000). We used 3441 plots from the phytoecological database EcoPlant (Gégout et al., 2005), covering the whole forested French territory, to investigate relationships between humus forms and environmental conditions. Plots were characterized by:

- Humus form
- Composition of the forest canopy
- Soil type
- Geological substrate
- Climate (rainfall and temperature indices)
- Geography (latitude and longitude, phytoecological region)

Data about soil chemical and physical analyses were discarded, being too fragmentary in the database and thought redundant with soil type and geological substrate (Chaplot et al., 2003). In order to better relate variations of humus forms to environmental factors, we used a Humus Index as a mean to transform the qualitative assessment of humus forms, based on a typology of morphological diagnostic characters (Green et al., 1993; Brêthes et al., 1995), into a numerical scale easier to be treated statistically (Ponge et al., 2002; Ponge and Chevalier, 2006; Lalanne et al., 2008). We used total (Van den Wollenberg, 1977; Kenkel, 2006) and partial redundancy analysis (Borcard et al., 1992; Ter Braak and Wiertz, 1994) to measure the respective contribution to humus form variation of tree canopy composition vs environmental data. Our hypothesis was that the correlation between aboveground

vegetation and topsoil properties, which has been often described at local scales (Emmer, 1994; Ponge et al., 1997; Kounda-Kiki et al., 2006) does not hold at a more regional or even continental scale, where the influence of long-lasting distal factors such as geology and climate prevail over that of short-duration proximal factors such as forest stand composition (Augusto et al., 2003; Sadaka and Ponge, 2003; Zhang et al., 2008), while both factors combine in a nested manner (Coûteaux et al., 1995; Bernier, 1996; Aerts, 1997). Consequences of present-day anthropogenic global changes (Walther et al., 2005; Vetter et al., 2005; Rosenzweig et al., 2008) for protracted trends in the evolution of humus forms will be discussed to the light of present results and existing knowledge.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Data matrix

The complete data matrix crossed 3441 rows (sites) and 148 columns (variables). Sites were adult forest stands distributed over the whole French continental territory, with a sampling density roughly representing the distribution of forested areas (Fig. 1). No attempt was made to compensate for the over- or under-representation of some regions, given that plots were near always located several kilometres apart, thereby alleviating the risk of autocorrelation for humus profiles (Aubert et al., 2006).

Humus forms were classified in nine types according to the French classification system (Brêthes et al., 1995; Jabiol et al., 2007), which allowed the calculation of the Humus Index or HI (Ponge et al., 2002) according to the following scale of decreasing incorporation of organic matter to the mineral soil, ranging from 1 to 8:

1. Eumull (crumby A horizon, OL horizon absent, OF horizon absent, OH horizon absent)
2. Mesomull (crumby A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon absent, OH horizon absent)
3. Oligomull (crumby A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon < 1 cm, OH horizon absent)
4. Dysmull (crumby A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon $\geq$ 1 cm, OH horizon absent)

5. Amphimull (crumbly A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon present, OH horizon present) and Hemimoder (compact A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon present, OH horizon absent): these humus forms are quite different in their diagnostic A horizon but both exhibit intermediate characters between dysmull and eumoder, hence same HI value)
6. Eumoder (compact A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon present, OH horizon ≤ 1 cm)
7. Dysmoder (compact A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon present, OH horizon > 1 cm)
8. Mor (no A horizon, OL horizon present, OF horizon present but with few animal faeces)

In the following text amphimull will be referred to amphi according to Graefe and Beylich (2006), Graefe (2007), Galvan et al. (2008) and Zanella et al. (2009). Humus forms were coded as dummy variables (taking the value 1 or 0 according to presence or absence in a given site of the corresponding humus form). Clearly, the assignment of humus forms to the system of 9 humus forms used for the calculation of HI, was sometimes imperfect. Depending on the time at which data were collected, some older classification systems were used by field collectors. In a few instances, the distinction between hemimoder, dysmull and amphimull, which is based on the presence/absence of an OH horizon and on the structure of the A horizon (Brêthes et al., 1995), could not be done because these forms were confused under the old-fashioned name mull-moder. In these cases, they were arbitrarily assigned to dysmull. In most cases field collectors have been trained to the recognition of diagnostic biological processes (fragmentation of litter, deposition of animal faeces, mixing of mineral with organic matter) by using the field identification booklet by Jabiol et al. (2007).

The composition of forest canopies was described by 88 variables (Appendix) measuring the percent cover of tree species according to a scale from 0 to 6. The environment (geography, climate, geology, soil type) was described by 36 variables (Table 1) to which were added 11 geographical regions, thus totalling 47 variables, 38 of them being dummy variables. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the 11 regions covering the whole continental French territory.

A soil profile was dug under a clump of adult trees with a near complete canopy cover ($\geq 80\%$) at more than 2 m from the nearest trunk. Soil types were classified according to the last version

of the French Référentiel Pédologique (AFES, 2009) and main correspondences with WRB classification (IUSS, 2006) are given in Table 1.

2.2. Data analysis

Data were analysed by RDA (Redundancy Analysis). A total RDA was performed, using both environmental and floristic variables as independent variables ($n = 171$) and variables describing humus forms (9 dummy variables) and the Humus Index (discrete variable) as dependent variables. All variables were centred and reduced (mean = 0, variance = 1) prior to analysis. Partial RDAs were performed using either floristic or environmental variables as co-variables in order to estimate their respective influence on humus forms (and their interaction) and to decompose the total variance according to Borcard et al. (1992). Some particular trends depicted by RDA were verified by classical statistics such as t- and χ^2 -tests and simple linear regression. All statistical treatments were performed with XLSTAT® (Addinsoft, Paris, France) under EXCEL 7® (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA).

3. Results

The first three axes of RDA (F1, F2 and F3) extracted 83% of the explained variance (60% for F1, 15% for F2 and 8% for F3). The variance explained by floristic and environmental data represented 21% of the total variance, but permutation tests (500 permutations of rows and columns) showed that the explanatory value of independent variables was highly significant ($p < 0.0001$). The projection of humus variables in the plane of the first and third canonical axes (Fig. 2) showed that humus forms were distributed along F1 from most active (mull) to less active (moder, mor) forms. Humus forms belonging to the mull group (eumull, mesomull, oligomull, dysmull) were discriminated in the order of increasing accumulated organic matter while those of the moder-mor group (eumoder, dysmoder, mor) were imperfectly discriminated, pointing to other factors than geology, pedology, climate and woody flora that might influence them. Hemimoder was not fully discriminated from

dysmull along F1, both humus forms occupying an intermediate position. The position far from the origin of the Humus Index indicated a good correlation of this numerical variable with the first canonical axis, which was verified by regression analysis (Fig. 3): the Humus Index explained by itself 57% of the variance of F1. Amphi, which occupied an intermediate position along F1, like hemimoder and dysmull, was fully discriminated by the third canonical axis, pointing to a distinct factor explaining this humus form. The second canonical axis did not correspond to any interpretable factor, the parabolic arrangement of sites in the F1-F2 plane (not shown) suggesting a horseshoe (Guttman) effect.

The projection of independent variables in the F1-F3 plane (Fig. 4) showed that points corresponding to tree species were projected near the origin while most environmental variables were projected far from the origin, more especially along F1. Tree canopies had a feeble explanatory value for humus forms, compared with environmental variables, to the exception of *Abies alba* Mill. for amphi. Most prominent relationships with the humus form were displayed by geology: 'alkaline' and 'acid' geological substrates were projected far from the origin on opposite sides of F1 (on 'mull' and 'mor-moder' sides, respectively), while the 'neutral' substrate was projected in an intermediate position, i.e. not far from the origin. Soil types scaled accordingly along F1, from calcisols (hypereutric cambisols) and calcosols (calcaric cambisols) on the 'mull' side to alocrisols (hyperdystric cambisols) and podzosols (podzols) on the 'mor-moder' side. This might suggest a gradient of soil acidity and nutrient availability along F1, but climatic and geographical variables scaled also along the same canonical axis, in particular 'July temperature' and 'Northern continental plains', suggesting that mull (in particular eumull) was associated with milder climate, too, without any discrimination between the respective influences of geology and temperature. This was verified by studying the distribution of humus forms and of the Humus Index according to geological substrates (Fig. 5a) and temperatures of the warmest month (Fig. 5b). The distribution of humus forms, and accordingly the value of the Humus Index (H.I.), changed significantly between 'alkaline' and 'neutral' substrates (H.I. = 2.3 and 4.1, respectively), while they did not differ between 'neutral' and 'acid' substrates (H.I. = 4.1 and 4.3, respectively), which were thus equivalent for the distribution of

humus forms but not for other environmental variables, as suggested by F1 scores of environmental variables (Fig. 4).

Among climate variables, July temperature, and to a lesser extent mean annual temperature, were projected on the ‘mull’ side while annual rainfall and Thornthwaite Aridity Index (which increases with rainfall) were projected on the ‘mor-moder’ side of F1, indicating a combined influence of temperature and precipitation on humus forms, temperature having a prevailing influence as indicated by respective F1 scores. The influence of climate was verified by comparing the distribution of humus forms and the value of the Humus Index in three groups differing by July temperature ($>19^{\circ}\text{C}$, $15-19^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $<15^{\circ}\text{C}$): all differences were significant, indicating that there is a continuous gradient of decreasing presence of mull and increasing presence of mor and moder and a higher Humus Index when the environment becomes colder (Fig. 5b).

The poor influence of tree canopy on humus forms (at the scale of the whole French territory) was verified by classifying the sites in deciduous and coniferous forests (Fig. 5c): differences in the distribution of humus forms between these two groups of contrasted canopy composition were only marginally significant ($P = 0.05$) and their Humus Index did not differ. Mixed forests were not taken into account, being too weakly represented in the EcoPlant database (5%).

The third canonical axis F3 showed that ‘amphi’ was mostly associated with high mountain environments of the Alps and Pyrenees and to a lesser extent to Mediterranean and Aquitaine basins, and with poorly developed soils such as organosols (folic umbrisols), dolomitols (dolomitic cambisols), peyrosols (episkeletic soils), lithosols (leptosols) and arenosols (in decreasing order of association with amphi according to F3 scores). The position of the variable ‘altitude’ on both positive sides of F1 and F3 (Fig. 4) indicated that higher elevation favours either ‘amphi’ or ‘mor-moder’ according to the geological substrate being ‘alkaline’ or ‘acid’, respectively (as shown by the respective projection of these two variables on F3). The projection of the variable ‘latitude’ on the negative side of F3 (i.e. opposed to ‘amphi’) can be explained by the southern localisation of high altitude and Mediterranean sites across the French territory. The respective position of ‘amphi’ and

‘hemimoder’ along F3 also showed that they differed according to ecological factors, although being given the same Humus Index value (5).

Partial RDA showed that tree species composition and abiotic variables did not contribute to the same extent to the distribution of humus forms. When the composition of tree canopy was kept constant, environmental variables explained a significant part (19%) of humus form variation (Monte-Carlo permutation test, Pseudo-F = 0.23, $P < 0.0001$), while when only tree species variables were allowed to vary, a much lower (3%) and insignificant part of humus form variation was explained (Pseudo-F = 0.03, $P = 1$). The interaction between environment and tree species composition was negligible (0.2%). Residual (unexplained) variation amounted to 79%.

4. Discussion

We showed that at the scale of the French continental territory (1000 km from West to East and 1000 km from North to South, elevation from 1 to 2500 m) the distribution of humus forms and the value of the Humus Index were but poorly explained by the composition of the forest canopy, but were affected by geology, climate and associated soil types. This contradicts current tenets about the detrimental influence of coniferous litter on soil biological activity, which has been always demonstrated at a scale at which geology and climate could not vary and interfere with it. Our results point to a decisive influence of large-scale factors such as parent rock, temperature and to a lesser extent rainfall. We are more cautious about the influence of soil conditions on humus forms, shown by the distribution of soil types along the first canonical axis of RDA, given that the development of the soil profile is strongly influenced by soil biology (Bullinger-Weber et al., 2007; Frey et al., 2010), pointing to symmetric influences during the common development of humus forms and soils (Van Breemen, 1993; Ponge, 2003).

The influence of parent rocks on the development of humus forms may be at least partly explained by biogeochemical cycles: the nature of the subsoil influences (1) the quality of litter, in particular its mineral content (Ponge et al., 1997, 1999), and (2) the access of soil-dwelling animals to

nutrients through their direct use of mineral matter (Bernier, 1998). Earthworms, when digging the soil, ingest mineral particles and may contribute to mineral weathering (Carpenter et al., 2007), quite to the same extent as plant roots and their symbionts (Van Breemen et al., 2000). In the sandy context of the Fontainebleau forest, it has been shown that the presence of a limestone table, at a depth not exceeding that available to beech roots, allowed the maintenance of an active mull-forming earthworm population through a litter enriched in calcium (Ponge et al., 1999). Other studies showed that earthworms were able to modify mineral assemblages, thereby increasing the available nutrient pool of the topsoil (Rangel et al., 1999).

The influence of climate (mull associated with more heat) can be understood through the stimulatory influence of temperature on most chemical processes, in particular those involved in organic matter decomposition (Aerts, 1997) and mineral weathering (Turner et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2010). In addition to benefits of heat for their own activity of cold-blooded animals (Briones et al., 2010), mull-forming invertebrates may benefit from the more rapid recycling of elements authorized by an increase in temperature (Zhang et al., 2008), at least in the absence of any limitation by drought (Cortez, 1998) which is often marginal in OF and OH horizons. The association of mor and moder with heavy rainfall can be explained by (1) the intensity of leaching, which favours nutrient losses and thus topsoil impoverishment (Turner et al., 2010), and (2) the association of heavy rainfall with altitude and low temperatures (Roe, 2005).

The poor influence of tree species on humus forms, compared to that of geology and climate, can be explained by the influence of parent material and climate on litter quality. For a given tree species, the composition of leaf or needle foliage may vary according to substrate (Nicolai, 1988; Hättenschwiler et al., 2003) and to a lesser extent according to climate (Aerts, 1997), making palatability and nutritional quality of tree litter more dependent on the environmental context than on the taxonomic level. Bernier (1998) showed that the anecic earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* L. consumed spruce needles from a coniferous selection forest (not planted) and mixed them with the mineral soil, stemming in the building of mull humus. Such results point on possible confusion of negative coniferous effects with the detrimental influence of (1) plantation forests (whether deciduous

or not) to which local animal and microbial communities need time to become, if any, adapted (Hansen, 1999), and (2) associated ground vegetation (Wolters, 1999). In the present database most measurement plots were installed in indigenous forest stands, avoiding young plantations. However, it must be noticed that we found a marginally significant negative correlation between the Humus Index and the species richness of the tree canopy ($r = -0.035$, $P = 0.041$), which could be explained by either or both (1) the favourable effect of tree mixture on litter decomposition and (Chapman et al., 1988; Aubert et al., 2006; but see Wardle et al., 2003), and (2) distal effects of environmental factors on both humus forms and plant diversity (Ponge, 2003; Wardle et al., 2004). A much better negative correlation (the higher the Humus Index the lower the species richness) was observed with ground flora but this relationship held true at the local scale only (Lalanne et al., 2010).

The fact that moder (eumoder and dysmoder) and mor could not be discriminated by the first canonical axis of RDA points to the existence of other possible environmental influences, not taken into account in our data matrix, such as soil chemistry, known to discriminate moder and mor in addition to morphological and biological characters (Wilson et al., 2001). In addition, it must be pointed out that in the French context the mor humus form is rare and never typical.

The particular case of amphi is worth to notice. This humus form, which has been previously confused with dysmull, hemimoder or moder (Brêthes et al., 1995), is associated with highly seasonal mull-forming activity in an otherwise favourable geological context (Tagger et al., 2008; Galvan et al., 2008): the shorter the season during which invertebrate (and microbial) activity is possible, the thicker will be the organic horizon (of moder type) overlying a crumbly A horizon (of mull type). This may happen both at higher elevation and in Mediterranean landscapes when the richness of the substrate (limestone, dolomitic rocks) allows the activity of subterranean animals during less favourable seasons. Although still badly understood and imperfectly described, amphi does not seem in line with the temperature/acidity gradient corresponding to the contrast between mull and mor.

A large part of the variation of humus forms was not explained by the abovementioned factors. The bulk of unexplained variation (79%) could be ascribed to local factors, the influence of

which has been demonstrated in particular cases (detailed in the Introduction), such as ground vegetation, previous land use, management options, canopy density, and the mosaic patchwork of animal and microbial populations. Nonetheless, the fact that geology and climate may explain by themselves a fifth of the total variation of this highly fluctuating compartment of the forest ecosystem may help to discern possible trends in the actual context of global warming. Linear regression analysis ($F = 182$, $P < 0.0001$) showed that the Humus Index (H.I.) was related to July temperature (J) according to the following equation:

$$\text{H.I.} = 9 - 0.3 J$$

According to this linear relationship any increase of 1°C would correspond to a decrease of the Humus Index averaging 0.3 units. This is highly speculative, given what we know about the counteracting influences of litter recalcitrance due to fertilisation with CO_2 (Cotrufo and Ineson, 1996; but see Coûteaux et al., 1999) and anthropogenic acidification and eutrophication (Thimonier et al., 1994). Nonetheless, in the present state of our knowledge, we can hypothesize in accordance with Graefe (2007) that the combined influence of increased litter recalcitrance and acidification (favouring the accumulation of litter) and warming trends and eutrophication (favouring the activity of soil organisms) will extend the domain of amphi, a humus form not fitted with the mull-mor gradient of decreasing litter quality and biological activity associated with climate/trophic constraints (Ponge, 2003).

Acknowledgements

EcoPlant is a phytoecological database financially supported by the Paris Institute of Technology for Life, Food, and Environmental Science (AgroParisTech), the Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME), and the National Office of Forests (ONF) which are greatly acknowledged.

References

- 336 Aerts, R., 1997. Climate, leaf litter chemistry and leaf litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: a
337 triangular relationship. *Oikos* 79, 439-449.
- 338 AFES, 2009. Référentiel Pédologique 2008, coordinated by D. Baize and C.M. Girard. Quae, Paris,
339 France.
- 340 Arp, P.A., Krause, H.H., 1984. The forest floor: lateral variability as revealed by systematic sampling.
341 *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 64, 423-437.
- 342 Aubert, M., Bureau, F., Alard, D., Bardat, J., 2004. Effect of tree mixture on the humic epipedon and
343 vegetation diversity in managed beech forests (Normandy, France). *Can. J. For. Res.* 34, 233-
344 248.
- 345 Aubert, M., Margerie, P., Ernoult, A., Decaëns, T., Bureau, F., 2006. Variability and heterogeneity of
346 humus forms at stand level: comparison between pure beech and mixed beech-hornbeam
347 forest. *Ann. For. Sci.* 63, 177-188.
- 348 Augusto, L., Dupouey, J.L., Ranger, J., 2003. Effects of tree species on understory vegetation and
349 environmental conditions in temperate forests. *Ann. For. Sci.* 60, 823-831.
- 350 Bednorz, F., Reichstein, M., Broll, G., Holtmeier, F.K., Urfer, W., 2000. Humus forms in the forest-
351 alpine tundra ecotone at Stillberg (Dischmatal, Switzerland: spatial heterogeneity and
352 classification. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* 32, 21-29.
- 353 Beisner, B.E., Haydon, D.T., Cuddington, K., 2003. Alternative stable states in ecology. *Front. Ecol.*
354 *Environ.* 1, 376-382.
- 355 Bernier, N., 1996. Altitudinal changes in humus form dynamics in a spruce forest at the montane level.
356 *Plant Soil* 178, 1-28.
- 357 Bernier, N., 1998. Earthworm feeding activity and development of the humus profile. *Biol. Fertil.*
358 *Soils* 26, 215-223.

- 359 Bernier, N., Ponge, J.F., 1994. Humus form dynamics during the sylvogenetic cycle in a mountain
360 spruce forest. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 26, 183-220.
- 361 Bernier, N., Ponge, J.F., André, J., 1993. Comparative study of soil organic layers in two bilberry-
362 spruce forest stands (*Vaccinio-Piceetea*): relation to forest dynamics. *Geoderma* 59, 89-108.
- 363 Boettcher, S.E., Kalisz, P.J., 1990. Single-tree influence on soil properties in the mountains of eastern
364 Kentucky. *Ecology* 71, 1365-1372.
- 365 Borcard, D., Legendre, P., Drapeau, P., 1992. Partialling out the spatial component of ecological
366 variation. *Ecology* 73, 1045-1055.
- 367 Bradley, R.L., Fyles, J.W., 1996. Interactions between tree seedling roots and humus forms in the
368 control of soil C and N cycling. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 23, 70-79.
- 369 Brêthes, A., Brun, J.J., Jabiol, B., Ponge, J.F., Toutain, F., 1995. Classification of forest humus forms:
370 a French proposal. *Ann. Sci. For.* 52, 535-546.
- 371 Briones, M.J.I., Garnett, M.H., Ineson, P., 2010. Soil biology and warming play a key role in the
372 release of 'old C' from organic soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 960-967.
- 373 Bullinger-Weber, G., Le Bayon, R.C., Guenat, C., Gobat, J.M., 2007. Influence of some
374 physicochemical and biological parameters on soil structure formation in alluvial soils. *Eur. J.*
375 *Soil Biol.* 43, 57-70.
- 376 Burghouts, T.B.A., Van Straalen, N.M., Bruijnzeel, L.A., 1998. Spatial heterogeneity of element and
377 litter turnover in a Bornean rain forest. *J. Trop. Ecol.* 14, 477-506.
- 378 Carpenter, D., Hodson, M.E., Eggleton, P., Kirk, C., 2007. Earthworm induced mineral weathering:
379 preliminary results. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 43, S176-S183.
- 380 Chaplot, V., Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., Walter, C., Curmi, P., Cooper, M., 2003. Soil spatial distribution in
381 the Armorican massif, western France: effect of soil-forming factors. *Soil Sci.* 168, 856-868.

- 382 Chapman, K., Whittaker, J.B., Heal, O.W., 1988. Metabolic and faunal activity in litters of tree
383 mixtures compared with pure stands. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 24, 33-40.
- 384 Chauvat, M., Ponge, J.F., Wolters, V., 2007. Humus structure during a spruce forest rotation:
385 quantitative changes and relationship to soil biota. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 58, 625-631.
- 386 Cortez, J., 1998. Field decomposition of leaf litters: relationships between decomposition rates and
387 soil moisture, soil temperature and earthworm activity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 30, 783-793.
- 388 Cotrufo, M.F., Ineson, P., 1996. Elevated CO₂ reduces field decomposition rates of *Betula pendula*
389 (Roth.) leaf litter. *Oecologia* 106, 525-530.
- 390 Coûteaux, M.M., Bottner, P., Berg, B., 1995. Litter decomposition, climate and litter quality. *Trends*
391 *Ecol. Evol.* 10, 63-66.
- 392 Coûteaux, M.M., Kurz, C., Bottner, P., Raschi, A., 1999. Influence of increased atmospheric CO₂
393 concentration on quality of plant material and litter decomposition. *Tree Physiol.* 19, 301-311.
- 394 Coûteaux, M.M., Sarmiento, L., Bottner, P., Acevedo, D., Thiéry, J.M., 2002. Decomposition of
395 standard plant material along an altitudinal transect (65-3968 m) in the tropical Andes. *Soil*
396 *Biol. Biochem.* 34, 69-78.
- 397 Crocker, R.L., Major, J., 1955. Soil development in relation to vegetation and surface age at Glacier
398 Bay, Alaska. *J. Ecol.* 43, 427-448.
- 399 Daniel, T.W., Schmidt, J., 1972. Lethal and nonlethal effects of the organic horizons of forested soils
400 on the germination of seeds from several associated conifer species of the Rocky Mountains.
401 *Can. J. For. Res.* 2, 179-184.
- 402 Davies, R.I., Coulson, C.B., Lewis, D.A., 1964. Polyphenols in plant, humus, and soil. IV. Factors
403 leading to increase in biosynthesis of polyphenols in leaves and their relationship to mull and
404 mor formation. *J. Soil Sci.* 15, 310-318.

- 405 Dupouey, J.L., Dambrine, E., Laffite, J.D., Moares, C., 2002. Irreversible impact of past land use on
406 forest soils and biodiversity. *Ecology* 83, 2978-2984.
- 407 Dwyer, L.M., Merriam, G., 1981. Influence of topographic heterogeneity on deciduous litter
408 decomposition. *Oikos* 37, 228-237.
- 409 Emmer, I.M., 1994. Humus form characteristics in relation to undergrowth vegetation in a *Pinus*
410 *sylvestris* forest. *Acta Oecol.* 15, 677-687.
- 411 Emmer, I.M., Sevink, J., 1994. Temporal and vertical changes in the humus form profile during a
412 primary succession of *Pinus sylvestris*. *Plant Soil* 167, 281-295.
- 413 Falkengren-Grerup, U., Tyler, G., 1993. Soil chemical properties excluding field-layer species from
414 beech forest mor. *Plant Soil* 148, 185-191.
- 415 Frey, B., Rieder, S.R., Brunner, I., Plötze, M., Koetzsch, S., Lapanje, A., Brandl, H., Furrer, G., 2010.
416 Weathering-associated bacteria from the Damma glacier forefield: physiological capabilities
417 and impact on granite dissolution. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 4788-4796.
- 418 Galvan, P., Ponge, J.F., Chersich, S., Zanella, A., 2008. Humus components and soil biogenic
419 structures in Norway spruce ecosystems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72, 548-557.
- 420 Gauquelin, T., Gers, C., Deharveng, L., 1996. Physico-chemical heterogeneity of superficial soil
421 layers in conifer plantations versus original broad leaf forests in Ariège (Pyrenees, France).
422 *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant. Anal.* 27, 2361-2380.
- 423 Gégout, J.C., Coudun, C., Bailly, G., Jabiol, B., 2005. EcoPlant : a forest site database linking floristic
424 data with soil and climate variables. *J. Veg. Sci.* 16, 257-260.
- 425 Godefroid, S., Massant, W., Koedam, N., 2005. Variation in the herb species response and the humus
426 quality across a 200-year chronosequence of beech and oak plantations in Belgium. *Ecography*
427 28, 223-235.

- 428 Goldberg, D.E., 1982. The distribution of evergreen and deciduous trees relative to soil type: an
429 example from the Sierra Madre, Mexico, and a general model. *Ecology* 63, 942-951.
- 430 Gourbière, F., 1983. Pourriture blanche de la litière d'*Abies alba* Mill. II. Répartition spatio-
431 temporelle et activité annuelle des Basidiomycètes du genre *Collybia*. *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol* 20,
432 461-474.
- 433 Graefe, U., 2007. Gibt es in Deutschland die Humusform Amphi? *Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenk. Ges.* 110, 459-
434 460.
- 435 Graefe, U., Beylich, A., 2006. Humus forms as tool for upscaling soil biodiversity data to landscape
436 level? *Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenk. Ges.* 108, 6-7.
- 437 Green, R.N., Trowbridge, R.L., Klinka, K., 1993. Towards a taxonomic classification of humus forms.
438 *For. Sci. Monogr.* 29, 1-49.
- 439 Hansen, R.A., 1999. Red oak litter promotes a microarthropod functional group that accelerates its
440 decomposition. *Plant Soil* 209, 37-45.
- 441 Hättenschwiler, S., Hagerman, A.E., Vitousek, P.M., 2003. Polyphenols in litter from tropical montane
442 forests across a wide range in soil fertility. *Biogeochemistry* 64, 129-148.
- 443 Hölscher, D., Schade, E., Leuschener, C., 2001. Effects of coppicing in temperate deciduous forests on
444 ecosystem nutrient pools and soil fertility. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 2, 155-164.
- 445 Hoogerkamp, M., Rogaar, H., Eijsackers, H.J.P., 1983. Effect of earthworms on grassland on recently
446 reclaimed polder soils in the Netherlands. In: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.), *Earthworm Ecology: from*
447 *Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 85-105.
- 448 IUSS, 2006. IUSS Working Group World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- 449 Jabiol, B., Brêthes, A., Ponge, J.F., Toutain, F., Brun, J.J., 2007. *L'Humus sous toutes ses Formes*,
450 seconde édition. AgroParisTech-ENGREF, Nancy.

- 451 Kenkel, N.C., 2006. On selecting an appropriate multivariate analysis. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 86, 663-676.
- 452 Kindel, A., Garay, I., 2002. Humus form in ecosystems of the Atlantic Forest, Brazil. *Geoderma* 108,
453 101-118.
- 454 Klinka, K., Wang, Q., Carter, R.E., 1990. Relationships among humus forms, forest floor nutrient
455 properties, and understory vegetation. *For. Sci.* 36, 564-581.
- 456 Koerner, W., Dupouey, J.L., Dambrine, E., Benoît, M., 1997. Influence of past land use on the
457 vegetation and soils of present day forest in the Vosges mountains, France. *J. Ecol.* 85, 351-
458 358.
- 459 Kounda-Kiki, C., Vaçulik, A., Ponge, J.F., Sarthou, C., 2006. Humus profiles under main vegetation
460 types in a rock savanna (Nouragues inselberg, French Guiana). *Geoderma* 136, 819-829.
- 461 Kuuluvainen, T., Hokkannen, T.J., Järvinen, E., Pukkala, T., 1993. Factors related to seedling growth
462 in a boreal Scots pine stand: a spatila analysis of a vegetation-soil system. *Can. F. For. Res.*
463 23, 2101-2109.
- 464 Kuuluvainen, T., Hokkannen, T.J., Pukkala, T., Järvinen, E., 1994. A zone-of-influence model to
465 predict the effect of trees on the spatial distribution of understorey vegetation and soil
466 properties in a boreal Scots pine stand. In: Rennolls, K. (Ed.), *Stochastic Spatial Models in*
467 *Forestry*. The University of Greenwich, London, pp. 143-152.
- 468 Lalanne, A., Bardat, J., Lalanne-Amara, F., Gautrot, T., Ponge, J.F., 2008. Opposite responses of
469 vascular plant and moss communities to changes in humus form, as expressed by the Humus
470 Index. *J. Veg. Sci.* 19, 645-652.
- 471 Lalanne, A., Bardat, J., Lalanne-Amara, F., Ponge, J.F., 2010. Local and regional trends in the ground
472 vegetation of beech forests. *Flora* 205, 484-498.

- 473 Leuschner, C., Rode, M.W., Danner, E., Lübke, K., Clauß, C., Margraf, M., Runge, M., 1993. Soil
474 profile alteration and humus accumulation during heathland-forest succession in NW
475 Germany. *Scripta Geobot.* 21, 73-84.
- 476 Loranger, G., Ponge, J.F., Imbert, D., Lavelle, P., 2002. Leaf decomposition in two semi-evergreen
477 tropical forests: influence of litter quality. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 35, 247-252.
- 478 Marland, G., Pielke Sr, R.A., Apps, M., Avissar, R., Betts, R.A., Davis, K.J., Frumhoff, P.C., Jackson,
479 S.T., Joyce, L.A., Kauppi, P., Katzenberger, J., McDicken, K.G., Neilson, R.P., Niles, J.O.,
480 Niyogi, D.S., Norby, R.J., Pena, N., Sampson, N., Xue, Y., 2003. The climatic impacts of land
481 surface change and carbon management, and the implications for climate-change mitigation
482 policy. *Clim. Pol.* 3, 149-157.
- 483 Milleret, R., Le Bayon, R.C., Lamy, F., Gobat, J.M., Boivin, P., 2009. Impact of roots, mycorrhizas
484 and earthworms on soil physical properties as assessed by shrinkage analysis. *J. Hydrol.* 373,
485 499-507.
- 486 Nicolai, V., 1988. Phenolic and mineral content of leaves influences decomposition in European forest
487 ecosystems. *Oecologia* 75, 575-579.
- 488 Nihlgård, B., 1971. Pedological influence of spruce planted on former beech forest soils in Scania,
489 South Sweden. *Oikos* 22, 302-314.
- 490 Northup, R.R., Dahlgren, R.A., McColl, J.G., 1998. Polyphenols as regulators of plant-litter-soil
491 interactions in northern California's pygmy forest: a positive feedback? *Biogeochemistry* 42,
492 189-220.
- 493 Northup, R.R., Dahlgren, R.A., Yu, Z., 1995. Intraspecific variation of conifer phenolic concentration
494 on a marine terrace soil acidity gradient: a new interpretation. *Plant Soil* 171, 255-262.
- 495 Ovington, J.D., 1954. Studies of the development of woodland conditions under different trees. II. The
496 forest floor. *J. Ecol.* 42, 71-80.

- 497 Peltier, A., Ponge, J.F., Jordana, R., Ariño, A., 2001. Humus forms in Mediterranean scrublands with
498 Aleppo pine. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 65, 884-896.
- 499 Perry, D.A., 1995. Self-organizing systems across scales. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 10, 241-244.
- 500 Ponge, J.F., 2003. Humus forms in terrestrial ecosystems: a framework to biodiversity. *Soil Biol.*
501 *Biochem.* 35, 935-945.
- 502 Ponge, J.F., André, J., Zackrisson, O., Bernier, N., Nilsson, M.C., Gallet, C., 1998. The forest
503 regeneration puzzle: biological mechanisms in humus layer and forest vegetation dynamics.
504 *Bioscience* 48, 523-530.
- 505 Ponge, J.F., Arpin, P., Sondag, F., Delecour, F., 1997. Soil fauna and site assessment in beech stands
506 of the Belgian Ardennes. *Can. J. For. Res.* 27, 2053-2064.
- 507 Ponge, J.F., Chevalier, R., 2006. Humus Index as an indicator of forest stand and soil properties. *For.*
508 *Ecol. Manag.* 233, 165-175.
- 509 Ponge, J.F., Chevalier, R., Loussot, P., 2002. Humus Index: an integrated tool for the assessment of
510 forest floor and topsoil properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 1996-2001.
- 511 Ponge, J.F., Patzel, N., Delhay, L., Devigne, E., Levieux, C., Beros, P., Wittebrodt, R., 1999.
512 Interactions between earthworms, litter and trees in an old-growth beech forest. *Biol. Fertil.*
513 *Soils* 29, 360-370.
- 514 Rangel, A.F., Madero, E., Thomas, R.J., Friesen, D.K., Decaëns, T., 1999. Ion exchange properties of
515 casts of the anecic earthworm (*Martiodrilus carimaguensis* Jiménez and Moreno) in a
516 Colombian savanna Oxisol. *Pedobiologia* 43, 795-801.
- 517 Read, D.J., 1992. The mycorrhizal fungal community with special reference to nutrient mobilization.
518 In: Carroll, G.C., Wicklow, D.T. (Eds.), *The Fungal Community*. Dekker, New York, pp. 631-
519 652.

- 520 Riha, S.J., James, B.R., Senesac, G.P., Pallant, E., 1986. Spatial variability of soil pH and organic
521 matter in forest plantations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50, 1347-1352.
- 522 Roe, G.H., 2005. Orographic precipitation. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 33, 645-671.
- 523 Rosenzweig, C., Karoly, D., Vicarelli, M., Neofotis, P., Wu, Q., Casassa, G., Menzel, A., Root, T.L.,
524 Estrella, N., Seguin, B., Tryjanowski, P., Liu, C., Rawlins, S., Imeson, A., 2008. Attributing
525 physical and biological impacts to anthropogenic climate change. *Nature* 453, 353-358.
- 526 Sadaka, N., Ponge, J.F., 2003. Climatic effects on soil trophic networks and the resulting humus
527 profiles in holm oak (*Quercus rotundifolia*) forests in the High Atlas of Morocco as revealed
528 by correspondence analysis. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 54, 767-777.
- 529 Sagot, C., Brun, J.J., Grossi, J.L., Chauchat, J.H., Boudin, G., 1999. Earthworm distribution and
530 humus forms in the development of a semi-natural alpine spruce forest. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 35,
531 163-169.
- 532 Salmon, S., Artuso, N., Frizzera, L., Zampedri, R., 2008. Relationships between soil fauna
533 communities and humus forms: response to forest dynamics and solar radiation. *Soil Biol.*
534 *Biochem.* 40, 1707-1715.
- 535 Scheu, S., Schulz, E., 1996. Secondary succession, soil formation and development of a diverse
536 community of oribatids and saprophagous soil macro-invertebrates. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 5,
537 235-250.
- 538 Schlesinger, W.H., 1977. Carbon balance in terrestrial detritus. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 8, 51-81.
- 539 Switzer, G.L., Shelton, M.G., Nelson, L.E., 1979. Successional development of the forest floor and
540 soil surface on upland sites of the East Gulf coastal plain. *Ecology* 60, 1162-1171.
- 541 Tagger, S., Périsol, C., Criquet, S., Aubert, G., Neville, P., Le Petit, J., Toutain, F., 2008.
542 Characterization of an amphimull under Mediterranean evergreen oak forest (*Quercus ilex*):
543 micromorphological and biodynamic descriptions. *Can. J. For. Res.* 38, 268-277.

- 544 Ter Braak, C.J.F., Wiertz, J., 1994. On the statistical analysis of vegetation change: a wetland affected
545 by water extraction and soil acidification. *J. Veg. Sci.* 5, 361-372.
- 546 Thimonier, A., Dupouey, J.L., Bost, F., Becker, M., 1994. Simultaneous eutrophication and
547 acidification of a forest ecosystem in North-East France. *New Phytol.* 126, 533-539.
- 548 Titeux, H., Delvaux, B., 2009. Experimental study of DOC, nutrients and metals release from fores
549 floors developed under beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) on a Cambisol and a Podzol. *Geoderma*
550 148, 291-298.
- 551 Turk, J.K., Goforth, B.R., Graham, R.C., Kendrick, K.J., 2008. Soil morphology of a debris flow
552 chronosequence in a coniferous forest, southern California, USA. *Geoderma* 146, 157-165.
- 553 Turner, B.F., White, A.F., Brantley, S.L., 2010. Effects of temperature on silicate weathering: solute
554 fluxes and chemical weathering in a temperate rain forest watershed, Jamieson Creek, British
555 Columbia. *Chem. Geol.* 269, 62-78.
- 556 Ulrich, B., 1987. Stability, elasticity, and resilience of terrestrial ecosystems with respect to matter
557 balance. In: Schulze, E.D., Zwölfer, H. (Eds.), *Potentials and Limitations of Ecosystem*
558 *Analysis*. *Ecol. Stud.* 61, 11-49.
- 559 Van Breemen, N., 1993. Soils as biotic constructs favouring net productivity. *Geoderma* 57, 183-211.
- 560 Van Breemen, N., Lundström, U.S., Jongmans, A.G., 2000. Do plants drive podzolization via rock-
561 eating mycorrhizal fungi? *Geoderma* 94, 163-171.
- 562 Van Calster, H., Baeten, L., De Schrijver, A., De Keersmaeker, L., Rogister, J.E., Verheyen, K.,
563 Hermy, M., 2007. Management driven changes (1967-2005) in soil acidity and the
564 understorey plant community following conversion of a coppice-with-standards forest. *For.*
565 *Ecol. Manag.* 241, 258-271.
- 566 Van den Wollenberg, A.L., 1977. Redundancy analysis: an alternative for canonical correlation
567 analysis. *Psychometrika* 42, 207-219.

- 568 Vetter, M., Wirth, C., Böttcher, H., Churkina, G., Schulze, E.D., Wutzler, T., Weber, G., 2005.
 569 Partitioning direct and indirect human-induced effects on carbon sequestration of managed
 570 coniferous forests using model simulations and forest inventories. Glob. Change Biol. 11, 810-
 571 827.
- 572 Vitousek, P.M., 1984. A general theory of forest nutrient dynamics. In: Ågren, G.I. (Ed.), State and
 573 Change of Forest Ecosystems: Indicators in Current Research. Swedish University of
 574 Agricultural Sciences, Department of Ecology and Environmental Research, Report no. 13,
 575 pp. 121-135.
- 576 Vitousek, P.M., Turner, D.R., Parton, W.J., Sanford, R.L., 1994. Litter decomposition on the Mauna
 577 Loa environmental matrix, Hawai'i: patterns, mechanisms, and models. Ecology 75, 418-429.
- 578 Walther, G.R., Berger, S., Sykes, M.T., 2005. An ecological 'footprint' of climate change. Proc. R.
 579 Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 272, 1427-1432.
- 580 Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., Van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H., 2004.
 581 Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. Science 304, 1629-1633.
- 582 Wardle, D.A., Lavelle, P., 1997. Linkages between soil biota, plant litter quality and decomposition.
 583 In: Cadish, G., Giller, K.E. (Eds.), Driven by Nature: Plant Litter Quality and Decomposition.
 584 CAB International, Wallingford, pp. 107-124.
- 585 Wardle, D.A., Nilsson, M.C., Zackrisson, O., Gallet, C., 2003. Determinants of litter mixing effects in
 586 a Swedish boreal forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 35, 827-835.
- 587 Wilcox, C.S., Domínguez, J., Parmelee, R.W., McCartney, D.A., 2002. Soil carbon and nitrogen
 588 dynamics in *Lumbricus terrestris* L. middens in four arable, a pasture, and a forest
 589 ecosystems. Biol. Fertil. Soils 36, 26-34.
- 590 Williams, J.Z., Bandstra, J.Z., Pollard, D., Brantley, S.L., 2010. The temperature dependence of
 591 feldspar dissolution determined using a coupled weathering-climate model for Holocene-aged
 592 loess soils. Geoderma 156, 11-19.

- 593 Wilson, S.McG., Pyatt, D.G., Malcolm, D.C., Connolly, T., 2001. The use of ground vegetation and
594 humus type as indicators of soil nutrient regime for an ecological site classification of British
595 forests. For. Ecol. Manag. 140, 101-116.
- 596 Wironen, M., Moore, T.R., 2006. Exotic earthworm invasion increases soil carbon and nitrogen in an
597 old-growth forest in southern Quebec. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 845-854.
- 598 Wolters, V., 1999. *Allium ursinum* litter triggering decomposition on a beech forest floor: the effect of
599 earthworms. Pedobiologia 43, 528-536.
- 600 Zanella, A., Jabiol, B., Ponge, J.F., Sartori, G., De Waal (R.), Van Delft, B., Graefe, U., Cools, N.,
601 Katzensteiner, K., Hager, H., English, M., Brêthes, A., 2009. Toward a European humus
602 forms reference base. Stud. Trentini Sci. Nat. 85, 145-151.
- 603 Zhang, D., Hui, D., Luo, Y., Zhou, G., 2008. Rates of litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems:
604 global patterns and controlling factors. J. Plant Ecol. 1, 85-93.
- 605

Figure captions

Figure 1. Distribution of the 3441 forest plots selected within the EcoPlant database over the French continental territory.

Figure 2. Total Redundancy Analysis (RDA): projection of dependent variables (9 humus forms as dummy variables and the Humus Index as discrete variable) in the F1-F3 plane of canonical axes

Figure 3. Diagram showing the linear relationship between the Humus Index and the first canonical axis (F1) of total RDA.

Figure 4. Total Redundancy Analysis (RDA): projection of independent variables (148 variables describing geology, climate, soil type, geography and woody flora) in the F1-F3 plane. Environmental variables are described in Table 1. Tree species (listed in the Appendix) were represented as black dots, given their position not far enough from the origin. A more detailed view of this zone, showing plant codes (see Appendix), was inserted for the sake of clarity.

Figure 5. Distribution of humus forms and average values of the Humus Index according to geology (a), mean July temperature (b) and forest types (c).

Table 1. Average values of quantitative variables (geography, climate) and percent occurrence of dummy variables (geology, pedology) used in Redundance Analysis (RDA) in each geographical region covered by the study.

Geographical regions were also included as dummy variables (refer to text for further details)

Variable name	Meaning	Brittany (n = 99)	Northern continental plains (n = 654)	Vosges (n = 472)	Jura (n = 171)	Paris basin (n = 971)	Massif Central (n = 229)	Alps (n = 417)	Pyrenees (n = 151)	Rhone valley (n = 18)	Aquitaine basin (n = 247)	Mediterranean basin (n = 15)
Geography:												
Longitude	Lambert II extended X coordinates (m)	281307	900896	952636	893364	614624	673735	906434	494659	821386	414911	783533
Latitude	Lambert II extended Y coordinates (m)	2397578	2356856	2377920	2227127	2359891	2044778	2067732	1771497	2053854	1907341	1876533
Climate:												
Mean temperature	Mean annual temperature	11°C	10°C	8°C	9°C	10°C	9°C	7°C	10°C	11°C	12°C	13°C
January temperature	Mean January temperature	5°C	1°C	-0.2°C	0.4°C	3°C	1.5°C	-1.3°C	4°C	2.4°C	6°C	5°C
July temperature	Mean July temperature	17°C	19°C	17°C	18°C	18°C	17°C	16°C	18°C	21°C	20°C	22°C
Altitude	Elevation (m)	96	252	617	596	161	743	1277	834	290	157	280
Rainfall	Mean annual rainfall (mm)	894	854	1279	1307	744	1202	1386	1101	938	969	855
Water balance	Rainfall-potential evaporation (May to September) Thornthwaite index	-175	-124	28	69	-183	-30	122	-39	-119	-154	-251
Aridity index	Rainfall:temperature Martonne index	43	43	71	70	37	65	84	55	44	43	38
Parent rock:												
Acid	Acid silicate rocks	52%	41%	91%	9%	66%	74%	30%	57%	39%	73%	13%
Neutral	Weakly acidic silicate rocks	46%	0%	6%	0%	2%	19%	5%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Alkaline	Calcareous rocks	2%	59%	3%	91%	31%	4%	65%	35%	61%	26%	87%
Soil type (AFES):												
Alocrisol	Cambisol (Hyperdystric)	37%	7%	27%	4%	8%	31%	14%	12%	0%	12%	0%
Andosol	Andosol	0%	0.0%	0%	0%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arenosol	Arenosol	1%	1%	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Brunisol	Cambisol	19%	21%	36%	25%	17%	31%	23%	31%	17%	21%	7%
Calcisol	Cambisol (Hyperautric)	0%	8%	1%	17%	8%	1%	12%	15%	11%	13%	27%
Calcisol	Cambisol (Calcaric)	0%	10%	0.4%	14%	6%	0.4%	10%	3%	6%	6%	13%
Colluviosol	RSG (Colluvic)	1%	3%	2%	7%	2%	3%	6%	0%	28%	0.4%	0%
Dolomitisol	Cambisol (Dolomitic)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7%
Fluvisol	Fluvisol	0%	15%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	17%	3%	0%
Histosol	Histosol	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Lithosol	Leptosol	0%	0.3%	1%	0%	0.1%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	13%
Luvisol	Luvisol	2%	6%	0.2%	4%	14%	3%	2%	6%	11%	6%	0%
Neoluvisol	Cambisol (Luvic)	9%	9%	3%	9%	11%	2%	3%	12%	6%	9%	0%
Organosol	Umbrisol (Folic)	0%	0.3%	0.2%	6%	0%	1%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Pelosol	Regosol (Clavic)	0%	3%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Peyrosol	suffix qualifier Episkeletic	0%	0.3%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	5%	0.0%	0.4%	0%
Planosol	Planosol	0%	1%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.4%	0%
Podzsol	Podzol	17%	3%	22%	1%	14%	16%	7%	5%	0%	11%	0%
Rankosol	Leptosol	5%	0.3%	3%	0%	0.2%	6%	2%	2%	0%	0%	7%
Redoxisol	Stagnosol	7%	3%	0.4%	0%	5%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Reductisol	Gleysol	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0.4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Regosol	Regosol	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	1%	7%
Rendisol	Leptosol (Rendzic)	0%	1%	0.2%	6%	1%	0.4%	3%	1%	6%	2%	0%
Rendosol	Leptosol (Rendzic)	0%	5%	0.2%	6%	3%	0%	5%	1%	0%	2%	20%

Appendix. Codes and names of woody plant species used for RDA analysis

Aal	<i>Abies alba</i>
Agr	<i>Abies grandis</i>
Aca	<i>Acer campestre</i>
Amo	<i>Acer monspessulanum</i>
Ama	<i>Acer monspessulanum subsp. martinii</i>
Ane	<i>Acer negundo</i>
Aop	<i>Acer opalus</i>
Apl	<i>Acer platanoides</i>
Aps	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>
Ahi	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>
Aco	<i>Alnus cordata</i>
Agl	<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>
Ain	<i>Alnus incana</i>
Bpe	<i>Betula pendula</i>
Bsp	<i>Betula sp.</i>
Cbe	<i>Carpinus betulus</i>
Csa	<i>Castanea sativa</i>
Cat	<i>Cedrus atlantica</i>
Cse	<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>
Fsy	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>
Fan	<i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i>
Fox	<i>Fraxinus angustifolia subsp. oxycarpa</i>
Fex	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>
For	<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>
Iaq	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>
Jni	<i>Juglans nigra</i>
Jre	<i>Juglans regia</i>
Lde	<i>Larix decidua</i>
Ltu	<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>
Msy	<i>Malus sylvestris</i>
Oca	<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i>
Pab	<i>Picea abies</i>
Psi	<i>Picea sitchensis</i>
Pce	<i>Pinus cembra</i>
Pha	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>
Pni	<i>Pinus nigra</i>
Pla	<i>Pinus nigra subsp. laricio</i>
Pns	<i>Pinus nigra subsp. nigra</i>
Ppi	<i>Pinus pinaster</i>
Ppa	<i>Pinus pinea</i>
Pst	<i>Pinus strobus</i>
Psy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>
Pun	<i>Pinus uncinata</i>
Pac	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i>
Psp	<i>Platanus sp.</i>
Pal	<i>Populus alba</i>
Pca	<i>Populus canescens</i>
Pna	<i>Populus nigra</i>
Pos	<i>Populus sp.</i>
Ptr	<i>Populus tremula</i>
Pxc	<i>Populus x-canadensis</i>
Pav	<i>Prunus avium</i>
Prs	<i>Prunus sp.</i>
Pme	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>
Pco	<i>Pyrus communis</i>
Ppy	<i>Pyrus pyraeaster</i>
Pys	<i>Pyrus sp.</i>
Qce	<i>Quercus cerris</i>
Qhu	<i>Quercus humilis</i>
Qil	<i>Quercus ilex</i>
Qpe	<i>Quercus petraea</i>
Qpy	<i>Quercus pyrenaica</i>
Qro	<i>Quercus robur</i>
Qru	<i>Quercus rubra</i>
Qsp	<i>Quercus sp.</i>
Qsu	<i>Quercus suber</i>
Qca	<i>Quercus x-calvescens</i>
Rps	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>
Sal	<i>Salix alba</i>
Sca	<i>Salix caprea</i>
Ssp	<i>Salix sp.</i>
Sar	<i>Sorbus aria</i>
Sau	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>
Sdo	<i>Sorbus domestica</i>
Sla	<i>Sorbus latifolia</i>
Smo	<i>Sorbus mougeotii</i>
Ssp	<i>Sorbus sp.</i>
Sto	<i>Sorbus torminalis</i>
Sin	<i>Sorbus x-intermedia</i>
Tba	<i>Taxus baccata</i>
Tpl	<i>Thuja plicata</i>
Tco	<i>Tilia cordata</i>
Tpl	<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>
Tvu	<i>Tilia x-vulgaris</i>
Ugl	<i>Ulmus glabra</i>
Ula	<i>Ulmus laevis</i>
Umi	<i>Ulmus minor</i>
Usp	<i>Ulmus sp.</i>

631

632 Fig. 1

633

Fig. 2

637

638 Fig. 3

639

643

644 Fig. 5