Exposure to heterocyclic aromatic amines from consumption of cooked red meat and effect on human cancer risk. A review

Mayte Sanz Alaejos, Venerando González, Ana M. Afonso

► To cite this version:

Mayte Sanz Alaejos, Venerando González, Ana M. Afonso. Exposure to heterocyclic aromatic amines from consumption of cooked red meat and effect on human cancer risk. A review. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2007, 25 (01), pp.2-24. 10.1080/02652030701474235 . hal-00577471

HAL Id: hal-00577471

<https://hal.science/hal-00577471>

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exposure to heterocyclic aromatic amines from consumption of cooked red meat and effect on human cancer risk. A review

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2007-098.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-May-2007
Complete List of Authors:	Sanz Alaejos, Mayte; University of La Laguna, Analytical Chemistry, Nutrition and Food Sciences González, Venerando; University of La Laguna, Analytical Chemistry, Nutrition and Food Science Afonso, Ana; University of La Laguna, Analytical Chemistry, Nutrition and Food Science
Methods/Techniques:	Risk assessment, Toxicology
Additives/Contaminants:	Heterocyclic amines
Food Types:	Animal products – meat, Fish and fish products, Processed foods

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

**Exposure to heterocyclic aromatic amines from consumption of
cooked red meat and effect on human cancer risk - a review**

Maite Sanz Alaejos, Venerando González and Ana María Afonso

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Nutrition and Food Science.

University of La Laguna. 38205-La Laguna (Santa Cruz de Tenerife), Spain.

e-mail: mtsanz@ull.es

Abstract

This review covers the bibliographic data from the last ten years, on the possible carcinogenicity of heterocyclic aromatic amines (HAAs) in humans. Aspects such as red meat intake, cooking methods applied to red meat, and doneness of cooking are discussed from an epidemiological point of view. The role in the HAAs carcinogenicity has been assigned to two main factors, firstly the very high frequency of consumption of red meat, and secondly very darkly browned meats from cooking. However, there are some uncertainties associated with epidemiological results such as the presence of other carcinogens, co-carcinogens and anti-carcinogens in the diet, analytical results on HAAs content in foods, food frequency questionnaires and, mainly, genetic susceptibility to HAAs. It is concluded that there is not sufficient scientific evidence to support the hypothesis that human cancer risk is due specifically to the intake of HAAs in the diet.

Key words: Heterocyclic aromatic amines; intake; human cancer risk

Introduction

To date, more than twenty heterocyclic aromatic amines (HAAs) have been isolated as potent mutagens in the Ames/*Salmonella* test, and have been characterized. Table I shows their structures, chemical and abbreviated names.

Insert Table I about here

These HAAs contain from two to five (generally three) condensed aromatic cycles with one or more nitrogen atoms in their ring system and, usually, one exocyclic amino group. In general, they are formed during heating process of organic products containing nitrogenous compounds, mainly proteins. This formation is mainly temperature-dependent and so HAAs are classified into at least two groups due to the formation process. HAAs formed at temperatures between 100 and

300°C are known as "thermic HAAs", IQ type or aminoimidazoazarenes. The ones formed at higher temperatures, above 300°C, are known as "pyrolytic HAAs" or non-IQ type. Thermic HAAs are generated from the reaction of free amino acids, creatin(in)e and hexosas. The precursor undergoes further dehydration and cyclization to form the observed pyrrole and pyridine derivatives. The heterocyclic pyridines and pyrazines formed in the Maillard reaction between hexose and amino acids then undergo further transformation with participation of Strecker aldehydes and creatin(in)e to produce imidazo-quinoxalines, perhaps through free-radical reactions. However, with temperatures about 225-250°C, these compounds seem to degrade or react with other compounds. On the other hand, the formation takes place through pyrolytic reactions between amino acids and proteins in the case of the non-IQ type. Pyrolysis occurs at temperatures well above 300°C, and produces many reactive fragments through radical reactions. These fragments are believed to condense to form new heterocyclic structures, and pyrolytic mutagens might be formed via free-radical reactions.

HAAs are mutagenic not only for bacteria, but also for some mammalian cell systems and can produce chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in cultured cells. Some of them show higher mutagenic activity in bacteria and certain animals than typical mutagens/carcinogens such as benzo{a}pyrene or aflatoxin B₁. HAAs like harman and norharman are not mutagenic, but enhance the genotoxicity of mutagenic HAAs. In 1993, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 1993) considered eight of the HAAs tested (MeIQ, MeIQx, PhIP, AαC, MeAαC, Trp-P-1, Trp-P-2 and Glu-P-1) as possible human carcinogens (class 2B) and one (IQ) as a probable human carcinogen (class 2A). More recently, in 2002, IQ was included as reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen in the NTP 10th Report on Carcinogens (NTP 2002). Latterly, MeIQ, MeIQx, and PhIP are also listed in the NTP 11th Report on Carcinogens (NTP 2004) as reasonably anticipated to be human carcinogens, together with IQ. These results are based on the sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity and supporting genotoxicity data obtained in long-term animal feeding studies. Although epidemiological evidence suggests that consumption of well-done or grilled meat may be associated with increased cancer risk in humans, the data are

insufficient to support the conclusion that this risk is due specifically to MeIQ, MeIQx, or to PhIP, present in these foods. Case-control studies show very conflicting results. Other case-control studies suggest that any of MeIQ, MeIQx, or PhIP may increase the risk of certain cancers, but they were not associated with other types of cancers. In relation to IQ, published epidemiology studies provide some indication that human cancer risk is related to consumption of broiled or fried foods that may contain IQ and/or other HAAs (NTP 2004). So, a reduction to the exposure of these compounds has been recommended. In any case, it is important to have reliable data on the content of these HAAs in different types of foods prepared in several ways in order to assess the effects associated with their intake.

The present review collects bibliographic data from the last ten years, on the possible relationship between the intakes of certain foods and cancer risk. This paper belongs to a series of reviews on different aspects of heterocyclic amines, such as a) the occurrence and formation of HAAs during the cooking of food, mainly meat and fish products (Sanz Alaejos and Afonso); b) analytical methods applied to HAAs determination in foods (Sanz Alaejos et al. a); and c) HAAs intake, genetic aspects and human cancer risk (Sanz Alaejos et al. b).

Possible relationships between HAAs and human cancer

Epidemiological evidence appears to imply that an excessive consumption of very well-done red meat (HAAs-containing meat products), may induce certain types of cancer (Navarro et al. 2004; Nowell et al. 2002). "Red meat" usually refers to beef, veal, pork, mutton, and lamb. The so-called "processed meat" groups ham, cured or smoked meats, cold cuts, charcuterie, and meat-products.

The introduction of the Ames test in 1975 provided a rapid method to isolate potential carcinogens from food on the basis of their mutagenic activity. If comparing the mutagenic activity in meat samples to the one related to the known HAAs, most samples present mutagenic activity that cannot be exclusively associated to the aromatic amines currently identified. This suggests that additional compounds other than HAAs are present in these foods and need to be investigated,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

particularly those grilled over open flames (Anderson et al. 2005; Balbi et al. 2001; Jägerstad and Skog 2005).

Several epidemiological studies have been performed to test the hypothesis of an association between meat intake and human cancers (Zimmerli et al. 2001). It becomes really difficult to link meat consumption to the HAAs intake in practically all these studies. Many other substances and factors which possibly contribute to the etiologies of these cancers cannot be excluded, e.g. nitrosamines, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), acrylamide, high fat or salt intake, physical activity and others (Anderson et al. 2005; Augustsson et al. 1999; Balbi et al. 2001; De Stefani et al. 1997, 1998a, 2001; Gunter et al. 2005; Jägerstad and Skog 2005; Ji et al. 1995; LeMarchand et al. 1997, 2002; Murtaugh et al. 2004; Navarro et al. 2004; Nowell et al. 2002; Oreglia et al. 2001; Probsttensch et al. 1997; Singh and Fraser 1998; Sinha et al. 1998, 1999, 2001, 2005; Zheng et al. 1998).

Cancer development attributed to HAAs intake is shown in Table II together with some other dietary factors for comparison purposes.

Insert Table II about here

Table III shows the enhancing and protective effects exerted by dietary components on cancer risk development when associated with consumption of foods potentially containing HAAs. Usually, odds ratios (OR) are used. Sometimes relative risks (RR) are used, but in some studies no indication is made.

Insert Table III about here

Animal fat intake has been frequently, but inconsistently, associated to colorectal cancer risk. Intakes of total fat, saturated fat (S), and polyunsaturated fat (P) are not related with the risk of this disease. However, an inverse association is found for the P/S ratio. So, this ratio may be an indicator of colorectal cancer (LeMarchand et al. 1997). The fat content of red meat diminishes with the intensity of cooking. Therefore, potential higher risk associated with well-done beef may be

counterbalanced with the lower fat content of meat. Red and total meat intakes are associated with strong increases in risk of squamous cell laryngeal cancer. This effect disappears after controlling the total fat intake. On the other hand, total fat intake displays a strong association with risk of laryngeal cancer when red meat is also included. Total fat intake combines its effect multiplicatively with tobacco smoking (Oreglia et al. 2001). However, MeIQx consumption was inversely associated with smoking habits in relation to lung cancer. Neither DiMeIQx nor PhIP show an association with smoking categories on lung cancer histology, and probably, are not associated with lung cancer risk (Sinha et al. 2000).

Vitamins C and E, vegetables and fruits are associated with reduced risks of prostate and other cancers in population-based studies (Chan et al. 2005; Chiu et al. 2003; Deneo Pellegrini et al. 1999; De Stefani et al. 1998a; Hu et al. 1999; La Vecchia et al. 1996; Nomura et al. 2003; Sinha et al. 1999). The possible explanation is that vitamins C and E inhibit the nitrosation when nitrosamines or other N-nitroso compounds are present in tissues. Also, fiber and carotene seem to exert a decline in cancer risk (Chiu et al. 2003; Deneo Pellegrini et al. 1999; Hu et al. 1999; Kampman et al. 1995; La Vecchia et al. 1996; Shannon et al. 1996; Singh and Fraser 1998; Slattery et al. 1997). However, in relation to pancreatic cancer, high intakes of grilled/barbecued red meat increase odds ratios, but no significant changes are further detected by adjustment the fruit, vegetables, calories, total fat, or alcohol consumption (Anderson et al. 2002). Although the high meat-low vegetable diet is considered the reference high-risk diet of colorectal cancer, other risk dietary patterns are emerging, such as high intakes of processed meat and refined carbohydrates. The strong association with refined cereal products is consistent with the hypothesis of a role of hyperinsulinism in colorectal carcinogenesis (Boutron-Ruault et al. 1999). Total dietary diversity is not associated with colon cancer (Slattery et al. 1997). However, eating a diet with a great diversity of meats, poultry, fish and eggs is associated with a 50% increase in risk among all the men. Women who eat a diet with a more diversified pattern of vegetables presented, approximately, a 20% lower risk than women who ate a non-diversified diet in vegetables (Slattery et al. 1997).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

There are few epidemiological studies in which an exclusive association between HAAs and human cancer can be assumed.

1. **Red meat intake:** Experts from the World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR, 1997) reviewed data on red meat intake and cancer risk. Those experts concluded that a high intake of red meat *probably* increases the risk of developing colorectal cancer, and *possibly* increases the risk of pancreas, breast, prostate and kidney cancers.

Some reviews on meat consumption and cancer of the large bowel have been published (Norat and Riboli 2001; Truswell 2002). One of these reviews concludes that the relationship between meats and colorectal cancer looks generally weaker than the “probable” status stated by the WCRF in 1997. Nonetheless, it is possible that certain meats or sausages cooked at very high temperature can imply some risk (Truswell 2002). The hypothesis that consumption of red and processed meat increases colorectal cancer risk is reassessed in a meta-analysis of articles published during 1973-1999. Total meat consumption is not significantly associated with colorectal cancer risk (Kato et al. 1997; LeMarchand et al. 2002; Navarro et al. 2003; Norat and Riboli 2001; Sinha et al. 1999, 2005), but high intake of red meat, and particularly of processed meat, is associated with a moderate increase on colorectal cancer risk in all sexes (LeMarchand et al. 2002; Norat and Riboli 2001; Sinha et al. 1999), or only in men (LeMarchand et al. 1997). Sinha et al. (1999) found a higher risk of colorectal adenoma mostly confined to intake of well-done/very well-done red meat and meats cooked at high temperature, such as grilled and, possibly, fried red meat. However, other authors (Navarro et al. 2003) do not find any relation between high consumption of total-, red- and fatty beef meats with colorectal cancer risk. A slight increase in risk is detected for relatively high intake of cold cuts, sausages and bovine viscera, whereas lean beef is associated with a decreased risk (Navarro et al. 2003).

Other investigations show that red meat intake is unrelated to colon cancer (LeMarchand et al. 2002; Sinha et al. 2005) although individuals with low intake present lower risk for rectal cancer (LeMarchand et al. 2002). Three wide studies were performed in Norway (Gaard et al. 1996) and Sweden (Augustsson et al. 1999; Larsson et al. 1996). Although in these countries the HAA

concentrations in the diet are usually lower than in other western European countries, differences are found when high consumption (Augustsson et al. 1999; Larsson et al. 1996) or very high frequency of consuming (Gaard et al. 1996) are studied. So, high frequency of sausages consumption shows a relative risk of colon cancer of 3.5 (95% CI: 1.02-11.9) only for women. The RR for total meat consumption is 1.87. However, both parameters are not statistically significant for men (Gaard et al. 1996). In the prospective study for 61433 Swedish women, with ages between 40 and 75 years, a significant positive association between red meat consumption and risk of distal colon cancer is observed, but not of cancers of proximal colon nor rectum (Larsson et al. 1996). In a case-control study, risks for colon and rectal cancers are lower for the highest estimated intake category than for the lowest intake category of HAAs. Relative risks for each one of the HAAs are similar. Intake of meat and fish together, without considering the cooking method, is associated with increased risk of colon and bladder cancer (Augustsson et al. 1999).

Consumption of white meats is generally associated with lower cancer rates, even when these meats have HAAs contents greater than red meats (Sanz Alaejos and Afonso). Furthermore, chicken eaten without skin seems to decrease colorectal cancer risk (LeMarchand et al. 1997). Red meat is no more implicated than any other meat type (Kampman et al. 1999; LeMarchand et al. 2002; Sinha et al. 2000, 2005).

2. Cooking methods: The influence of cooking methods and cancer risk was also evaluated by the WCRF/AICR (1997). The report established that there is not convincing evidence that any cooking method modifies the risk of any cancer; neither there is evidence of any probably causal relationship. However, high intakes of grilled/barbecued red meat possibly increase the risk of stomach and colorectal cancer (WCRF/AICR, 1997). Higher risks are also observed for methods that require higher temperatures and close contact of the food to the heating source, such as barbecuing or iron-pan cooking and especially for red meats. Iron-pan means a kind of ground cast iron skillet used in Argentina to cook meat without adding oil or fat. No associations are found for boiled meats (Navarro et al. 2004).

However, the results of studies on cooking methods are not consistent. Cooking methods hypothetically considered “hazardous”, such as frying and broiling, were found to increase colorectal cancer risk in some studies but not in others (Jägerstad and Skog 2005; LeMarchand et al. 2002; Navarro et al. 2004; Norat and Riboli 2001). The association between colorectal cancer and total HAAs intake is limited to men, and it is more clearly observed with MeIQx. This relation with MeIQx seems to result partially from an association with pan-fried meat and fish (LeMarchand et al. 2002). Furthermore, MeIQx intake is associated with slightly increased risk of lung cancer. However, DiMeIQx and PhIP intakes show no association (Sinha et al. 2000). When various factors are controlled, such as total-, red-, barbecued- or smoked meat, a statistically significant lung cancer risk associated to MeIQx remains. This MeIQx effect is still present, but no longer statistically significant, when the model includes well-done- or fried red meat. The opposite is also true; the previously significant effects of well-done and fried red meat are no longer significant after adjusting for MeIQx (Terry et al. 2003). Grilling/barbecuing, oven broiling or intakes of well-done meat and fish do not appear to affect cancer risk (LeMarchand et al. 2002; Sinha et al. 2000). The exception appears when a darkly browned surface is preferred but excluding red roasted meat. Roasted chicken and all barbecued meats were associated with a risk for colorectal cancer (Navarro et al. 2004). Pancreatic cancer cases reported high levels of grilled/barbecued meat intake and low levels of broiled meat intake relative to controls. Other meat variables do not show statistically significant associations with risk, neither alter the findings for barbecued meat intake. These meat variables include processed-, total-, total broiled-, total fried-, total red-, or total meat cooked by means other than grilling (Anderson et al. 2002). In a population-based case-control study (Anderson et al. 2005), dietary intake of HAAs and benzo(a)pyrene formed in grilled/barbecued red meat and fried red meat, was studied in relation to pancreatic cancer. Cases, when compared with controls, had higher mean levels of all the carcinogens studied (PhIP, MeIQx, DiMeIQx, and benzo(a)pyrene), as well as total mutagenic activity. The highest ORs were seen in the highest quintiles of intake and, with the exception of MeIQx, there were all statistically significant. Grilled/barbecued red meat intake was a statistically significant predictor of pancreatic

cancer risk. Fried red meat intake also increased risk, but was not statistically significant, because fried meats in general do not contain appreciable concentrations of PAHs (Anderson et al. 2005).

3. **Doneness:** Meat doneness was weakly and inconsistently associated with prostate cancer risk for individual types of meat, but an increased risk was observed for very well-done beefsteak (Norrish et al. 1999). The incidence rates of prostate, pancreas and colon cancers seem to be positively related to exposure to HAAs among men of different races in Los Angeles County (USA). This result can partially explain the higher incidence among blacks with respect to white men in the studied human group, because black men consume higher amounts of well-done meats, bacon, pork and cold cuts (Bogen and Keating 2001). Moreover, an increased risk of breast cancer is observed in healthy women who consume very well-done meats. PhIP is detected in their milks at levels of 59 pg/mL, but not in milk from a vegetarian donor. Preference for well-done red meat is not significantly associated with colon cancer, but seems to increase risk somewhat for rectal cancer (LeMarchand et al. 2002; Sinha et al. 1999). On the other hand, MeIQx, PhIP, and benzo[a]pyrene were associated with a slight increased risk of adenoma of colon, non-advanced adenoma, and single adenomas in a recent and wide population-based case-control study, related to a high intake of well-done red meat, bacon and sausage. However, in a fully adjusted model, total mutagenicity, DiMeIQx, and MeIQx intakes are not associated with colorectal adenoma risk when all adenomas were combined. Rectal cancer is not associated with any of the meat variables, such as meat types or doneness/cooking methods (Sinha et al. 2005). Pancreatic cancer cases reported slightly higher mean levels of intake for total-, total red-, and processed meat, and lower mean levels of intake for white meat, when comparing with controls. The relative amounts of meat intake by doneness levels are not different between cases and controls (Anderson et al. 2002). However, other studies have shown no such association among well-done red meat consumption and cancer (Augustsson et al. 1999; Gunter et al. 2005; Kampman et al. 1999; Norrish et al. 1999; Sinha et al. 2000, 2001).

As conclusion, in general, the three high-temperature cooking methods (pan-frying, oven-broiling and grilling/barbecuing) seem to produce the highest HAAs concentrations. Preparation methods like boiling, oven roasting, deep-frying, and charcoal grilling are generally “milder”, and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

much lower amounts of HAAs are formed. The degree of doneness, which is often closely related to surface browning and total cooking time, is a key issue for HAAs production in cooked meat. The formation of the crust is the result of steady transportation of water and dissolved compounds such as amino acids and creatinine to the surface by capillary flow to the evaporation zone. Thus, the precursors of HAAs are concentrated on or near the surface of the meat where the temperature is highest.

However, there is insufficient scientific evidence to prove that these genotoxic compounds really cause human cancer, and so, no limits have been set for their presence in cooked foods. Nevertheless, the competent authorities in most Western countries recommend minimizing their occurrence. The reasons are the co-existence of other mutagens/carcinogens in foods, and the possibility that the HAAs induce mutations, therefore increasing the sensitivity to tumor promoters. So, some recommendations could be followed: Choose lean cuts. Apply lower temperatures and shorter cooking times. Avoid browning of foods or, at least, remove the crust and charred parts of fried or grilled meat, poultry and fish. Do not allow drippings from meat to become dry before making gravy. To lessen the levels of HAAs produced it may be useful cooking meat and fish together with foodstuffs containing phenolic antioxidants or with tomatoes, carrots or other vegetables rich of carotenoids and antioxidant vitamins.

Uncertainties associated to epidemiological results

The lack of consistency of the results for all types of meats together with the lack of information from cohort studies do not always permit a judgment about the possible differences in the effect of meat on e.g., colon and rectal cancer risks, separately (Norat and Riboli 2001). In any case, the present data are not enough to evaluate the dose response of the possible relationship between HAAs and human cancer, in its widest sense. Besides, a simplistic approach to evaluate only HAAs with respect to cancer risk may not be too appropriate, taking into account that diet is a complex mixture that contains carcinogens, co-carcinogens and anti-carcinogens (Jägerstad and Skog 2005;

Matos and Brandani 2002; Sinha and Rothman 1999; Sugimura et al. 1996). Furthermore, it must be noticed that the development of cancer takes a long time, and so there is a delay of several years between exposure and disease outcome.

Metabolic aspects of HAAs have been reviewed (Gooderham et al. 2001; Sanz Alaejos et al. b). The major pathway for the metabolic activation of HAAs starts with the N-hydroxylation of the exocyclic amino group, catalyzed mainly by cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2). Although these metabolites may directly react with DNA, this N-hydroxylation step is usually followed by sulphation or acetylation by means of sulfotransferase 1A1 (SULT1A1), or N-acetyltransferases (NAT), respectively (Sanz Alaejos et al. b).

Urinary excretion of MeIQx and its metabolites has been also studied (Ji et al. 1994; Lynch et al. 1992; Sinha et al. 1995; Stillwell et al. 1994, 1999a, 1999b; Turesky et al. 1998). MeIQx metabolism and disposition in humans are more strongly influenced by CYP1A2 activity than those of PhIP. Biotransformation of MeIQx via CYP1A2 oxidation to form 8-CH₂OH-MeIQx and the hydroxylamine NHOH-MeIQx, followed by N-2-glucuronidation is a general pathway for the MeIQx metabolism in humans. The formation of both 8-CH₂OH-MeIQx and NHOH-MeIQx is catalyzed by CYP1A2 and may be useful biomarkers of CYP1A2 activity in humans (Turesky et al. 1998). Low levels of total unconjugated MeIQx are associated with high CYP1A2 activity when adjusted for amount of ingested meat, whereas NAT2 activity shows no relationship with the latter (Sinha et al. 1995). However, significant interracial differences are observed for black, white and Asian men. This is because urinary levels of MeIQx are positively associated with intake frequencies of pork, bacon and cold cuts (Ji et al. 1994).

The theoretical cancer risk by HAAs in the Swiss diet is calculated by two different procedures and results in $0.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ or, roughly, 10^{-5} (Zimmerli et al. 2001). If it is assumed that humans may be 10 to 100 times more susceptible to HAAs than male rats, the hypothetical excess cancer risk would be in the order of 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} . Cancer potency estimates for several of the HAAs were as high as one in a 100,000 per ppb in the total diet. Based on HAAs exposures ranges of 0.02 to 83 ppb in the human total diet, and rodent data, Gaylor and Kadlubar (1991) estimated that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the upper limit on cancer risk due to HAAs formed during cooking is on the order of one per 10,000. Although rodents, monkeys and humans have the capacity to activate HAAs, studies using hepatic microsomes demonstrated that humans have a greater capacity to activate HAAs than rodents or cynomolgus monkeys. Human risk, based on linear extrapolation of TD₅₀ calculations from mouse, rat, or primate tumor data, and on mean estimated mutagen exposures for the U.S. population, suggests potential risks of 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻³ (Bogen 1994; Felton et al. 1992; Gaylor and Kadlubar 1991; Layton et al. 1995). Adamson (1996) suggests potential risks of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³. On the other hand, interspecies differences in CYP1A2 enzyme expression and catalytic activities may be significant and must be carefully considered when assessing human health risk (Kim and Guengerich 2005). So, important differences between human and rat CYP1A2 were found in the C8- and N-oxidation of MeIQx (Turesky et al. 2001), and in the levels of expression and catalytic activities for HAAs N-hydroxylation (Turesky et al. 1999), although rat and human CYP1A2 have identical 75% amino acid sequence (Guengerich 1997). The value 10⁻³ is considered as a maximum credible risk (Felton et al. 1992). Similar theoretical cancer risks result from human exposure to other compounds unavoidably present in the diet, such as naturally occurring radionuclides (potassium 40) or excess calories (Zimmerli et al. 2001).

There are several major uncertainties in the present estimation of cancer risk, including human susceptibility, exposure, carcinogenic potencies of individual HAA, as well as possible interactions between different HAAs and other substances normally present in a diversified diet. The most likely target organs for most HAAs are the liver and the gastrointestinal tract. Nevertheless, in Switzerland the cancer mortality trends for these organs (gastric and colon cancers) show a permanent slight decrease, although meat, fish and alcohol consumption have greatly increased in Switzerland over the last 50 years, and milk intake (including yogurt) has decreased (Zimmerli et al. 2001).

A major trouble of the epidemiological studies is the obtaining of a correct estimation for the exposure to HAAs (Alexander et al. 2002; Keating and Bogen 2001; Keating et al. 1999; Sinha and Rothman 1997; Skog 2002). Dietary assessment in combination with analytical data on HAAs levels

in various foods must be used. In this sense, food frequency questionnaires including not only the type and amount of foods consumed but also the cooking methods and the level of doneness are required. There are several flaws including bias, inconsistent reporting, difficulty in quantifying cooking doneness by such methods, and the day-to-day variation in the diet. Moreover, HAAs belong to a class of numerous compounds and the quantification of each of them in a large number and variety of food samples is not an easy task. Consequently, it is not surprising that bibliographic data are very incomplete (Sanz Alaejos and Afonso). Table IV shows some estimates for HAAs mean intakes in various countries. The dispersion of the results is evident, and there are scarce data referred to individual HAAs.

Insert Table IV about here

Some attempts have been made to develop biomarkers in order to overcome some of the problems associated with the use of questionnaires in the assessment of HAAs exposure. Biomarkers of diet promise to provide a more accurate measure of dietary intake. They are more objective because they do not rely on the subject's memory when being interviewed, or on the accuracy of recording the food diary. The use of biomarkers does not solve the problem of exposure estimation back in time for years, since HAAs do not accumulate in body tissues. Hence, HAAs in body fluids or tissues would only give a measure of recent exposure (Frandsen et al. 2002; Lynch et al. 1992; Stillwell et al. 1997; Strickland et al. 2001; Wild et al. 2001). However, these short-term markers are useful tools to study mechanisms of action of HAAs, and to validate questionnaires (Sinha 2002). So, Peters et al. (2003) when combining the measurements of urinary mutagenicity and the estimated intake of meat-derived mutagenicity, found a stronger positive association with risk for colorectal adenomas than did either variable separately, when comparing the highest with the lowest quintile of combined mutagenicity (OR=5.6; 95% CI=2.3-13.9). In consequence, urinary mutagenicity may be considered a useful adjunct to questionnaire data and may have value as a direct biomarker of exposure and/or risk for colorectal adenoma (Peters et al.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2003). Also, the formation of both 8-CH₂OH-MeIQx and NHOH-MeIQx may be useful biomarkers of CYP1A2 activity in humans (Turesky et al. 1998).

On the other hand, protein adducts in blood and HAAs in hair are biomarkers reflecting longer-term exposure. The use of PhIP (and other HAAs) in hair is attractive. It would integrate the dose over several months depending on the length of the hair, which grows approximately 1 cm per month. Hair is easy to sample and store for the purpose of later analysis in prospective studies on the relationship between HAAs exposure and cancer (Alexander et al. 2002; Kobayashi et al. 2005).

A main problem when using literature data related to HAAs contained in cooked dishes is that many experiments have been performed under unspecified cooking conditions, or using high cooking temperatures during a long time to maximize the HAAs production. This last case can lead to a non-representative form of the usual way of cooking meats by the general population in a certain country. The relation between the degree of doneness and the surface browning may differ because some people fry their meats at a high temperature for a short time to get a brown surface but the interior is not so cooked through, while others fry their meats at low temperatures but during a longer time. This can lead to the same degree of surface browning but very different HAAs amounts. The colored photos are sometimes used to assess the preference of the consumer on the degree of doneness and, indirectly, the amount of HAAs (Alexander et al. 2002; Keating and Bogen 2001; Keating et al. 1999; Sinha and Rothman 1997; Skog 2002). The results seem to lead to an underestimation of exposure (Skog 2002). However, HAAs levels in home-cooked meat samples are significantly different when samples are visually classified for doneness, but not when self-reported doneness preference is used to classify doneness or to categorize samples (Keating et al. 2000). HAAs levels in meats obtained from homes vary considerably from the laboratory data. In a study (Knize et al. 2002), samples were taken from volunteers responding in survey that they preferred their meat well-done or very well-done, leading to expect high HAAs exposures in these households. But, surprisingly, in that collection of meats samples, approximately 25% of the samples had undetectable amounts of MeIQx or PhIP. Besides, although well-done chicken breast prepared in the laboratory may contain large amounts of PhIP, a survey of flame-grilled meat

1
2
3
4 samples cooked in private homes showed PhIP levels in beefsteak and chicken breast that were
5
6 not significantly different. The extremely high PhIP levels reported in some studies of grilled chicken
7
8 are not observed in home-cooked samples (Knize et al. 2002). Considerable differences between
9
10 equivalent products from different manufacturers are found in many cases. In addition, the
11
12 terminology used for different cooking methods varies around the world. In Argentina and Uruguay
13
14 the term “roasting/broiling” does not expose foods directly to flame, whereas “grilling” may use a hot
15
16 surface (i.e., a pre-heated heavy iron plate), or a grill directly above burning coals (Matos and
17
18 Brandani 2002; Navarro et al. 2004). Cooking practices and eating habits differ between different
19
20 populations, and conclusions drawn from one population may not be applicable to another
21
22 (Alexander et al. 2002; Ferguson 2002; Keating and Bogen 2001, 2004; Keating et al. 1999; Matos
23
24 and Brandani 2002; Sinha and Rothman 1997; Skog 2002). In some countries pan residues are
25
26 used for preparing gravies, and pan residues contain substantial amounts of HAAs compared with
27
28 the corresponding food item. Besides, meat extracts and bouillon (cubes) contribute to the HAAs
29
30 intake. Therefore, it is important to establish databases on HAAs content in cooked foods that are
31
32 representative for the eating habits of the population being studied, and taking into account each
33
34 ingredient of the recipe, spices, condiments, etc. The dishes should be prepared in a way that
35
36 reflects regular household and restaurant cooking conditions.

37
38 Really, recent advances in the analytical instrumentation, concretely in LC-MS and GC-MS,
39
40 have greatly facilitated the ability to measure HAAs in foods, as well as HAAs and their metabolites
41
42 in urine of meat eaters. Nevertheless, the accurate determination of HAAs is a difficult analytical
43
44 task since traces of these compounds have to be determined in highly complex food matrices. This
45
46 problem can only be solved by combining both elaborate sample preparation steps with selective
47
48 separation steps, and then followed by sensitive detection methods to quantify low levels of HAAs.
49
50 Tedious clean-up procedures that include extraction, purification, and pre-concentration steps,
51
52 followed by a separation technique, such as liquid or gas chromatography and capillary
53
54 electrophoresis are usually used. The main detection systems used are UV, fluorescence,
55
56 electrochemical and MS (Sanz Alaejos et al. a). In addition, the different analytical methods applied
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

might not be comparable. Some problems in determining appropriate estimates of extraction recovery rates must also be taken into account. Some HAAs or HAAs derivatives can bind with other food components. All of these formed compounds cannot be extracted from food by the usual extraction methods and, therefore, the dietary assessment of genotoxic compounds may be underestimated. Therefore, different extraction procedures have to be applied to cooked and uncooked meat, before and after enzymatic proteolysis. Consequently, the use of absolute or relative values of HAAs content in food can lead to erroneous conclusions when possible cancer risks are established for the intake of these foods.

Divergent results can be due to several causes, one of them is the meat type and the ingredients added. Also, analytical results on HAAs content in foods obtained under unspecified cooking conditions or at high cooking temperatures may be not representative. It should be very convenient: a) to establish databases on HAAs content in cooked foods that are representative for the eating habits of the population being studied, and taking into account each ingredient of the recipe; b) to record the inside and outside food appearance in the food frequency questionnaires, instead of simply recording the “doneness level”; c) to consider the possible role of HAAs in the cancer development in conjunction with PAHs and other toxic compounds; and d) to use biomarkers in order to determine the HAAs exposure.

References

Adamson RH, Thorgeirsson UP, Sugimura T. 1996. Extrapolation of heterocyclic amine carcinogenesis data from rodents and nonhuman primates to humans. Archives of Toxicology Supplement 18:303-318.

Alexander J, Reistad R, Hegstad S, Frandsen H, Ingebrigtsen K, Paulsen JE, Becher G. 2002. Biomarkers of exposure to heterocyclic amines: approaches to improve the exposure assessment. Food and Chemical Toxicology 40:1131-1137.

- Anderson KE, Kadlubar FF, Kulldorff M, Harnack L, Gross M, Lang NP, Barber C, Rothman N, Sinha R. 2005. Dietary intake of heterocyclic amines and benzo(a)pyrene: Associations with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 14:2261-2265.
- Anderson KE, Sinha R, Kulldorff M, Gross M, Lang NP, Barber C, Harnack L, DiMagno E, Bliss R, Kadlubar FF. 2002. Meat intake and cooking techniques: associations with pancreatic cancer. *Mutation Research* 506-507:225-231.
- Augustsson K, Skog K, Jägerstad M, Dickman PW, Steineck G. 1999. Dietary heterocyclic amines and cancer of the colon, rectum, bladder, and kidney: a population-based study. *The Lancet* 353:703-707.
- Augustsson K, Skog K, Jägerstad M, Steineck G. 1997. Assessment of the human exposure to heterocyclic amines. *Carcinogenesis* 18:1931-1935.
- Balbi JC, Larrinaga MT, De Stefani E, Mendilaharsu M, Boffetta P, Brennan P. 2001. Food and risk of bladder cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention* 10:453-458.
- Barrett JH, Smith G, Waxman R, Gooderham N, Lightfoot T, Garner RC, Augustsson K, Wolf CR, Bishop DT, Forman D. 2003. Investigation of interaction between N-acetyltransferase 2 and heterocyclic amines as potential risk factors for colorectal cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 24:275-282.
- Bogen KT. 1994. Cancer potencies of heterocyclic amines found in cooked foods. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 32:505-515.
- Bogen KT, Keating GA. 2001. US dietary exposures to heterocyclic amines. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 11:155-168.
- Bosetti C, La Vecchia C, Talamini R, Negri E, Levi F, Dal Maso L, Franceschi S. 2002a. Food groups and laryngeal cancer risk: a case-control study from Italy and Switzerland. *International Journal of Cancer* 100:355-360.
- Bosetti C, La Vecchia C, Talamini R, Simonato L, Zambon P, Negri E, Trichopoulos D, Lagiou P, Bardini R, Franceschi S. 2000. Food groups and risk of squamous cell esophageal cancer in northern Italy. *International Journal of Cancer* 87:289-294.

Bosetti C, Negri E, Franceschi S, Pelucchi C, Talamini R, Montella M, Conti E, La Vecchia C. 2001. Diet and ovarian cancer risk: a case-control study in Italy. *International Journal of Cancer* 93:911-915.

Bosetti C, Talamini R, Levi F, Negri E, Franceschi S, Airoldi L, La Vecchia C. 2002b. Fried foods: a risk factor for laryngeal cancer? *British Journal of Cancer* 87:1230-1233.

Boutron-Ruault MC, Senesse P, Faivre J, Chatelain N, Belghiti C, Meance S. 1999. Food as risk factors for colorectal cancer: a case-control study in Burgundy (France). *European Journal of Cancer Prevention* 8:229-235.

Butler LM, Sinha R, Millikan RC, Martin CF, Newman B, Gammon MD, Ammerman AS, Sandler RS. 2003. Heterocyclic amines, meat intake, and association with colon cancer in a population-based study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 157:434-445.

Chan JM, Gann PH, Giovannucci EL. 2005. Role of diet in prostate cancer development and progression. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 23:8152-8160.

Chao A, Thun MJ, Connell CJ, McCullough ML, Jacobs EJ, Flanders WD, Rodríguez C, Sinha R, Calle EE. 2005. Meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer. *JAMA* 293:172-182.

Chiu BCH, Ji BT, Dai Q, Gridley G, McLaughlin JK, Gao YT, Fraumeni JF, Chow WH. 2003. Dietary factors and risk of colon cancer in Shanghai (China). *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 12:201-208.

Dai Q, Shu XO, Jin F, Gao YT, Ruan ZX, Zheng W. 2002. Consumption of animal foods, cooking methods and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 11:801-808.

Deneo Pellegrini H, De Stefani E, Ronco A, Mendilaharsu M. 1999. Food, nutrients and prostate cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *British Journal of Cancer* 80:591-597.

Deneo Pellegrini H, De Stefani E, Ronco A, Mendilaharsu M, Carzoglio K. 1996. Meat consumption and risk of lung cancer: a case-control study from Uruguay. *Lung Cancer* 14:195-205.

De Stefani E, Fierro L, Mendilaharsu M, Ronco A, Larrinaga MT, Balbi JC, Alonso S. 1998a. Meat intake, "mate" drinking and renal cell cancer in Uruguay: a case-control study. *British Journal of Cancer* 78:1239-1243.

- De Stefani E, Ronco A, Brennan P, Boffetta P. 2001. Meat consumption and risk of stomach cancer in Uruguay: a case-control study. *Nutrition and Cancer* 40:103-107.
- De Stefani E, Ronco A, Mendilaharsu M, Deneo Pellegrini H. 1998b. Case-control study on the role of heterocyclic amines in the ethiology of upper aerodigestive cancers in Uruguay. *Nutrition and Cancer* 32:43-48.
- De Stefani E, Ronco A, Mendilaharsu M, Guidobono M, Deneo Pellegrini H. 1997. Meat intake, heterocyclic amines and risk of breast cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 6:573-581.
- Felton JS, Knize MG, Roper M, Fultz E, Shen NH, Turteltaub KW. 1992. Chemical analysis, prevention, and low-level dosimetry of heterocyclic amines from cooked food. *Cancer Research* 52:S2103-S2107.
- Ferguson LR. 2002. Meat consumption, cancer risk and population groups within New Zealand. *Mutation Research* 506-507:215-224.
- Franceschi S, Favero A, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Conti E, Montella M, Giacosa A, Nanni O, Decarli A. 1997. Food groups and risk of colorectal cancer in Italy. *International Journal of Cancer* 72:56-61.
- Frandsen H, Frederiksen H, Alexander J. 2002. 2-Amino-1-methyl-6-(5-hydroxy-)phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (5-OH-PhIP), a biomarker for the genotoxic dose of the heterocyclic amine 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP). *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:1125-1130.
- Gaard M, Tretli S, Loken EB. 1996. Dietary factors and risk of colon cancer: a prospective study of 50,535 young Norwegian men and women. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention* 5:445-454.
- Galeone C, Pelucchi C, Talamini R, Levi F, Bosetti C, Negri E, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C. 2005. Role of fried foods on oral/pharyngeal and oesophageal cancers. *British Journal of Cancer* 92:2065-2069.
- Gaylor DW, Kadlubar F. 1991. Quantitative cancer risk assessment of heterocyclic amines in cooked foods. In: Hayatsu H, Hayatsu H, editors. *Mutagens in food: detection and prevention*. Boca Ratón FL: CCR Press. p 229-236.

Gooderham NJ, Murray S, Lynch AM, Yadollahi-Farsani M, Zhao K, Boobis AR, Davies DS. 2001. Food-derived heterocyclic amine mutagens: Variable metabolism and significance to humans. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* 29:529-534.

Guengerich FP. 1997. Comparison of catalytic selectivity of cytochrome P450 subfamily members from different species. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 106:161-182.

Gunter MJ, Probst-Hensch NM, Cortessis VK, Kulldorff M, Haile RW, Sinha R. 2005. Meat intake, cooking-related mutagens and risk of colorectal adenoma in a sigmoidoscopy-based case-control study. *Carcinogenesis* 26:637-642.

Hu JF, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Chatenoud L, Bosetti C, Jia XY, Liu RZ, Huang GR, Bi DZ, Wang CX. 1999. Diet and brain cancer in adults: a case-control study in northeast China. *International Journal of Cancer* 81:20-23.

International Agency for Research on Cancer. 1993. Some Naturally Occurring Substances. In: *IARC Monographs of the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans. Food Items and Constituents: Heterocyclic aromatic amines and mycotoxins*. Lyon. Vol. 56.

Jägerstad M, Skog K. 2005. Genotoxicity of heat-processed foods. *Mutation Research* 574:156-172.

Ji BT, Chow WH, Gridley G, McLaughlin JK, Dai Q, Wacholder S, Hatch MC, Gao YT, Fraumeni JF. 1995. Dietary factors and the risk of pancreatic cancer. A case-control study in Shanghai, China. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 4:885-893.

Ji H, Yu MC, Stillwell WG, Skipper PL, Ross RK, Henderson BE, Tannenbaum SR. 1994. Urinary excretion of 2-amino-3,8-dimethyl-imidazo[4,5-f]-quinoxaline in white, black, and Asian men in Los Angeles County. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 3:407-411.

Kampman E, Slattery ML, Bigler J, Leppert M, Samowitz W, Caan BJ, Potter JD. 1999. Meat consumption, genetic susceptibility, and colon cancer risk: a United States multicenter case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 8:15-24.

- Kampman E, Verhoeven D, Sloots L, VantVeer P. 1995. Vegetable and animal products as determinants of colon-cancer risk in Dutch men and women. *Cancer Causes & Control* 6:225-234.
- Kato I, Akhmedkhanov A, Koenig K, Toniolo PG, Shore RE, Riboli E. 1997. Prospective study of diet and female colorectal cancer: The New York University women's health study. *Nutrition and Cancer* 28:276-281.
- Keating GA, Bogen KT. 2001. Methods for estimating heterocyclic amine concentrations in cooked meats in the US diet. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 39:29-43.
- Keating GA, Bogen KT. 2004. Estimates of heterocyclic amine intake in the US population. *Journal of Chromatography B* 802:127-133.
- Keating GA, Layton DW, Felton JS. 1999. Factors determining dietary intakes of heterocyclic amines in cooked foods. *Mutation Research* 443:149-156.
- Keating GA, Sinha R, Layton D, Salmon CP, Knize MG, Bogen KT, Lynch CF, Alavanja M. 2000. Comparison of heterocyclic amines levels in home-cooked meats with exposure indicators (United States). *Cancer Causes & Control* 11:731-739.
- Kim D, Guengerich FP. 2005. Cytochrome P450 activation of arylamines and heterocyclic amines. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 45:27-49.
- Knize MG, Kulp KS, Salmon CP, Keating GA, Felton JS. 2002. Factors affecting human heterocyclic amine intake and the metabolism of PhIP. *Mutation Research* 506-507:153-162.
- Kobayashi M, Hanaoka T, Hashimoto H, Tsugane S. 2005. 2-Amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP) level in human hair as biomarker for dietary grilled/stir-fried meat and fish intake. *Mutation Research* 588:136-142.
- Larsson SC, Rafter J, Holmberg L, Bergkvist L, Wolk A. 2005. Red meat consumption and risk of cancers of the proximal colon, distal colon and rectum. The Swedish Mammography Cohort. *International Journal of Cancer* 113:829-834.

La Vecchia C, Ferraroni M, Mezzetti M, Enard L, Negri E, Franceschi S, Decarli A. 1996. Attributable risks for colorectal cancer in northern Italy. *International Journal of Cancer* 66:60-64.

Layton DW, Bogen KT, Knize MG, Hatch FT, Johnson VM, Felton JS. 1995. Cancer risk of heterocyclic amines in cooked foods. An analysis and implications for research. *Carcinogenesis* 16:39-52.

LeMarchand L, Hankin JH, Pierce LM, Sinha R, Nerurkar PV, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN, Donlon T, Seifried A, Custer LJ, Lum-Jones A, Chang W. 2002. Well-done red meat, metabolic phenotypes and colorectal cancer in Hawaii. *Mutation Research* 506-507:205-214.

LeMarchand L, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Kolonel LN, Lyu LC. 1997. A case-control study of diet and colorectal cancer in a multiethnic population in Hawaii (United States): lipids and foods of animal origin. *Cancer Causes & Control* 8:637-648.

Levi F, Pasche C, La Vecchia C, Lucchini F, Franceschi S. 1999. Food groups and colorectal cancer risk. *British Journal of Cancer* 79:1283-1287.

Levi F, Pasche C, La Vecchia C, Lucchini F, Franceschi S, Monnier P. 1998. Food groups and risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer. *International Journal of Cancer* 77:705-709.

Lynch AM, Knize MG, Boobis AR, Gooderham NJ, Davies DS, Murray S. 1992. Intra- and inter-individual variability in systemic exposure in humans to 2-amino-3,8-dimethyl-imidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline and 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine, carcinogens present in cooked beef. *Cancer Research* 52:6216-6223.

Matos E, Brandani A. 2002. Review on meat consumption and cancer in South America. *Mutation Research* 506-507:243-249.

Murtaugh MA, Ma KN, Sweeny C, Caan BJ, Slattery ML. 2004. Meat consumption patterns and preparation, genetic variants of metabolic enzymes, and their association with rectal cancer in men and women. *Journal of Nutrition* 134:776-784.

Navarro A, Díaz MP, Muñoz SE, Lantieri MJ, Eynard AR. 2003. Characterization of meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer in Córdoba, Argentina. *Nutrition* 19:7-10.

- 1
2
3
4 Navarro A, Muñoz SE, Lantieri MJ, Díaz MP, Cristaldo PE, de Fabro SP, Eynard AR. 2004. Meat
5 cooking habits and risk of colorectal cancer in Córdoba, Argentina. *Nutrition* 20:873-877.
6
7
8 Nomura AMY, Hankin JH, Kolonel LN, Wilkens LR, Goodman MT, Stemmermann GN. 2003. Case-
9 control study of diet and other risk factors for gastric cancer in Hawaii (United States). *Cancer*
10 *Causes & Control* 14:547-558.
11
12
13 Norat T and Riboli E. 2001. Meat consumption and colorectal cancer: a review of epidemiologic
14 evidence. *Nutrition Reviews* 59:37-47.
15
16
17 Norrish AE, Ferguson LR, Knize MG, Felton JS, Sharpe SJ, Jackson RT. 1999. Heterocyclic amine
18 content of cooked meat and risk of prostate cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*
19 91:2038-2044.
20
21
22
23 Nowell S, Coles B, Sinha R, MacLeod S, Ratnasinghe DL, Stotts C, Kadlubar FF, Ambrosone CB,
24 Lang NP. 2002. Analysis of total meat intake and exposure to individual heterocyclic amines in
25 a case-control study of colorectal cancer: contribution of metabolic variation to risk. *Mutation*
26 *Research* 506-507:175-185.
27
28
29
30 Nowell S, Ratnasinghe DL, Ambrosone CB, Williams S, Teague-Ross T, Trimble L, Runnels G,
31 Carrol A, Green B, Stone A, Johnson D, Greene G, Kadlubar FF, Lang NP. 2004. Association
32 of SULT1A1 phenotype and genotype with prostate cancer risk in African-Americans and
33 Caucasians. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 13:270-276.
34
35
36
37 NTP Report on Carcinogens, Tenth Edition. 2002. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
38 Public Health Service. National Toxicology Program.
39
40
41
42 NTP Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition. 2004. U.S. Department of Health and Human
43 Services. Public Health Service. National Toxicology Program. p. 135-138.
44
45
46
47 Olsson V, Skog K, Lundström K, Jagēstad M. 2005. Colour photographs for estimation of
48 heterocyclic amine intake from fried pork chops of different RN genotypes indicate large
49 variations. *Food Quality and Preference* 16:91-101.
50
51
52
53 Oreglia F, De Stefani E, Boffetta P, Brennan P, Deneo Pellegrini H. 2001. Meat, fat and risk of
54 laryngeal cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *Oral Oncology* 37:141-145.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Peters U, DeMarini DM, Sinha R, Brooks LR, Warren SH, Chatterjee N, Rothman N. 2003. Urinary mutagenicity and colorectal adenoma risk. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 12:1253-1256.

Pietinen P, Malila N, Virtanen M, Hartman TJ, Tangrea JA, Albanes D, Virtamo J. 1999. Diet and risk of colorectal cancer in a cohort of Finnish men. *Cancer Causes & Control* 10:387-396.

Probsttensch NM, Sinha R, Longnecker MP, Witte JS, Ingles SA, Frankl HD, Lee ER, Haile RW. 1997. Meat preparation and colorectal adenomas in a large sigmoidoscopy-based case-control study in California (United States). *Cancer Causes & Control* 8:175-183.

Sanz Alaejos M, Afonso AM. Content of heterocyclic aromatic amines in foods. Submitted for publication.

Sanz Alaejos M, Ayala JH, González V, Afonso AM. Analytical methods applied to the heterocyclic aromatic amines determination in foods. Submitted for publication a.

Sanz Alaejos M, Pino V, Afonso AM. Heterocyclic aromatic amines intake, genetic aspects and human cancer risk. Submitted for publication b.

Seow A, Poh WT, Teh M, Eng P, Wang YT, Tan WC, Yu MC, Lee HP. 2000. Fumes from meat cooking and lung cancer risk in Chinese women. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 9:1215-1221.

Shannon J, White E, Shattuck AL, Potter JD. 1996. Relationship of food groups and water intake to colon cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 5:495-502.

Singh PN, Fraser GE. 1998. Dietary risk factors for colon cancer in a low-risk population. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 148:761-774.

Sinha R. 2002. An epidemiologic approach to studying heterocyclic amines. *Mutation Research* 506-507:197-204.

Sinha R, Chow WH, Kulldorff M, Denobile J, Butler J, García-Closas M, Weil R, Hoover RN, Rothman N. 1999. Well-done, grilled red meat increases the risk of colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Research* 59:4320-4324.

- 1
2
3
4 Sinha R, Kulldorff M, Chow WH, Denobile J, Rothman N. 2001. Dietary intake of heterocyclic
5 amines, meat-derived mutagenic activity, and the risk of colorectal adenomas. *Cancer*
6 *Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 10:559-562.
7
8
9
10 Sinha R, Kulldorff M, Curtin J, Brown CC, Alavanja MCR, Swanson CA. 1998. Fried, well-done red
11 meat and risk of lung cancer in women (United States). *Cancer Causes & Control* 9:621-630.
12
13 Sinha R, Kulldorff M, Swanson CA, Curtin J, Brownson RC, Alavanja MCR. 2000. Dietary
14 heterocyclic amines and the risk of lung cancer among Missouri women. *Cancer Research*
15 60:3753-3756.
16
17
18
19 Sinha R, Peters U, Cross AJ, Kulldorff M, Weissfeld JL, Pinsky PF, Rothman N, Hayes RB. 2005.
20 Meat, meat cooking methods and preservation, and risk for colorectal adenoma. *Cancer*
21 *Research* 65:8034-8041.
22
23 Sinha R, Rothman N. 1997. Exposure assessment of heterocyclic amines (HCAs) in epidemiologic
24 studies. *Mutation Research* 376:195-202.
25
26 Sinha R, Rothman N. 1999. Role of well-done, grilled red meat, heterocyclic amines (HCAs) in the
27 etiology of human cancer. *Cancer Letters* 143:189-194.
28
29 Sinha R, Rothman N, Mark SD, Murray S, Brown ED, Levander OA, Davies DS, Lang NP, Kadlubar
30 FF, Hoover RN. 1995. Lower levels of urinary 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline
31 (MeIQx) in humans with higher CYP1A2 activity. *Carcinogenesis* 16:2859-2861.
32
33 Skog K. 2002. Problems associated with the determination of heterocyclic amines in cooked foods
34 and human exposure. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:1197-1203.
35
36 Slattery ML, Berry TD, Potter J, Caan B. 1997. Diet diversity, diet composition, and risk of colon
37 cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes & Control* 8:872-882.
38
39 Stillwell WG, Kidd LCR, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR, Sinha R. 1997. Urinary excretion of
40 unmetabolized and phase II conjugates of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine
41 and 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline in humans: relationship to cytochrome
42 P4501A2 and N-acetyltransferase activity. *Cancer Research* 57:3457-3464.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- Stillwell WG, Turesky RJ, Gross GA, Skipper PL, Tannenbaum SR. 1994. Human urinary excretion of sulfamate and glucuronide conjugates of 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx). *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 3:399-405.
- Stillwell WG, Turesky RJ, Sinha R, Skipper PL, Tannenbaum SR. 1999a. Biomonitoring of heterocyclic aromatic amines in human urine. *Cancer Letters* 143:145-148.
- Stillwell WG, Turesky RJ, Sinha R, Tannenbaum SR. 1999b. N-oxidative metabolism of 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx) in humans: excretion of the N-2-glucuronide conjugate of 2-hydroxyamino-MeIQx in urine. *Cancer Research* 59:5154-5159.
- Strickland PT, Qian Z, Friesen MD, Rothman N, Sinha R. 2001. Measurement of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP) in acid-hydrolyzed urine by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *Biomarkers* 6:313-325.
- Sugimura T, Nagao M, Wakabayashi K. 1996. Carcinogenicity of food mutagens. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 104:429-433.
- Tavani A, La Vecchia C, Gallus S, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Levi F, Negri E. 2000. Red meat intake and cancer risk: a study in Italy. *International Journal of Cancer* 86:425-428.
- Terry PD, Lagergren J, Wolk A, Steineck G, Nyren O. 2003. Dietary intake of heterocyclic amines and cancers of the oesophagus and gastric cardia. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 12:940-944.
- Tiemersma EW, Kampman E, de Mesquita HBB, Bunschoten A, van Schothorst EM, Kok FJ, Kromhout D. 2002. Meat consumption, cigarette smoking, and genetic susceptibility in the ethiology of colorectal cancer: results from a Dutch prospective study. *Cancer Causes & Control* 13:383-393.
- Tiemersma EW, Voskuil DW, Bunschoten A, Hogendoorn EA, Witteman BJM, Nagengast FM, Glatt H, Kok FJ, Kampman E. 2004. Risk of colorectal adenomas in relation to meat consumption, meat preparation, and genetic susceptibility in a Dutch population. *Cancer Causes & Control* 15:225-236.

- Truswell AS. 2002. Meat consumption and cancer of the large bowel. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 56:S19-S24.
- Turesky RJ, Constable A, Fay LB, Guengerich FP. 1999. Interspecies differences in metabolism of heterocyclic amines by rat and human P450 1A2. *Cancer Letters* 143:109-112.
- Turesky RJ, Garner RC, Welti DH, Richoz J, Leveson SH, Dingley KH, Turteltaub KW, Fay LB. 1998. Metabolism of the food-borne mutagen 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx) in humans. *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 11:217-225.
- Turesky RJ, Parisod V, Huynh-Ba T, Langouët S, Guengerich FP. 2001. Regioselective differences in C8 and N-oxidation of 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline by human and rat liver microsomes and cytochromes P450 1A2. *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 14:901-911.
- Wakabayashi K, Totsuka Y, Fukutome K, Oguri A, Ushiyama H, Sugimura T. 1997. Human exposure to mutagenic/carcinogenic heterocyclic amines and co-mutagenic β -carbolines. *Mutation Research* 376:253-259.
- Ward MH, Sinha R, Heineman EF, Rothman N, Markin R, Weisenburger DD, Correa P, Zahm SH. 1997. Risk of adenocarcinoma of the stomach and oesophagus with meat cooking method and doneness preference. *International Journal of Cancer* 71:14-19.
- WCRF/AICR. 1997. In: *Food, Nutrition and the Prevention of Cancer: A Global Perspective*. World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research. Washington, DC.
- Wild CP, Andersson C, O'Brien NM, Wilson L, Woods JA. 2001. A critical evaluation of the application of biomarkers in epidemiological studies on diet and health. *British Journal of Nutrition* 86:S37-S53.
- Zhang SM, Hunter DJ, Rosner BA, Colditz GA, Fuchs CS, Speizer FE, Willett WC. 1999. Dietary fat and protein in relation to risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among women. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 91:1751-1758.
- Zheng W, Gustafson DR, Sinha R, Cerham JR, Moore D, Hong CP, Anderson KE, Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Folsom AR. 1998. Well-done meat intake and the risk of breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 90:1724-1729.

Zimmerli B, Rhyn P, Zoller O, Schlatter J. 2001. Occurrence of heterocyclic aromatic amines in the Swiss diet: analytical method, exposure estimation and risk assessment. Food Additives & Contaminants 18:533-551.

For Peer Review Only

Table I. Classification of HAAs

Chemical name	Abbreviated name	Structure
ISOLATED THERMIC HAAs: AMINOIMIDAZOAZARENES		
Imidazopyridine derivatives		
2-amino-1,6-dimethylimidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	DMIP	
2-amino-1,5,6-trimethylimidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	1,5,6-TMIP	
2-amino-3,5,6-trimethylimidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	3,5,6-TMIP	
2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	PhIP	
2-amino-1-methyl-6-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-imidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	4'-OH-PhIP	
2-amino-1,6-dimethyl-furo(3,2-e)imidazo(4,5-b)-pyridine	IFP	
Imidazoquinoline derivatives		
2-amino-3-methyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoline	IQ	
2-amino-3,4-dimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoline	MeIQ	

Imidazoquinoxaline derivatives		
2-amino-3-methyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	IQx	
2-amino-3,4-dimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	4-MelQx	
2-amino-3,8-dimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	8-MelQx	
2-amino-3,7,8-trimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	7,8-DiMelQx	
2-amino-3,4,8-trimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	4,8-DiMelQx	
2-amino-4-hydroxymethyl-3,8-dimethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	4-CH2OH-8-MelQx	
2-amino-3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-imidazo(4,5-f)-quinoxaline	TriMelQx	
2-amino-1,7,9-trimethyl-imidazo(4,5-g)-quinoxaline	7,9-DiMelgQx	

ISOLATED PYROLYTIC HAAs: CARBOLINES		
Phenylpyridine derivatives		
2-amino-5-phenylpyridine	Phe-P-1
Pyridoindole derivatives:		
α – carbolines		
2-amino-9H-pyrido(2,3-b)indole	A α C
2-amino-3-methyl-9H-pyrido(2,3-b)indole	MeA α C
β – carbolines		
1-methyl-9H-pyrido(3,4-b)indole	Harman
9H-pyrido(3,4-b)indole	Nor-harman
γ – carbolines		
3-amino-1-methyl-5H-pyrido(4,3-b)indole	Trp-P-2
3-amino-1,4-dimethyl-5H-pyrido(4,3-b)-indole	Trp-P-1

Pyridoimidazole derivatives		
δ – carbolines		
2-aminodipyrido(1,2- α :3',2'-d)imidazole	Glu-P-2
2-amino-6-methyldipyrido(1,2- α :3',2'-d)imidazole	Glu-P-1
Tetraazafluoranthene derivatives		
4-amino-6-methyl-1H-2,5,10,10b-tetraazafluoranthene	Orn-P-1
Benzimidazole derivatives		
4-amino-1,6-dimethyl-2-methylamino-1H,6H-pyrrolo(3,4-f)benzimidazole-5,7-dione	Cre-P-1
Carbazole derivatives		
3,4-cyclopenteno-pyrido(3,2-a)carbazole	Lys-P-1

Table II. Influence of HAAs consumption on cancer risk development

Cancer sites	Cases	Controls	Odds ratios	95% confidence interval	Intakes (observations)	Geographical location	Ref.
Aero-digestive	140	286	Non significant		Fried meat, white meat	Uruguay	De Stefani et al. 1998
			2.0	1.0-4.3	Broiled meat		
			2.2	1.1-4.2	Total HAAs		
			2.8	1.4-6.0	Red meat		
Bladder	273	553	0.8	0.5-1.5	Total meat and fish	Sweden	Augustsson et al. 1999
			1.2	0.7-2.1	HAAs or PhIP		
			5.0	3.3-7.6	(Current smoking)		
	144	576	Non significant		Well-done fried meat	Uruguay	Balbi et al. 2001
			0.38	0.23-0.64	Fruits, cooked vegetables, potatoes, cheese		
			4.04	2.24-7.27	Barbecued meat, salted meat, fried eggs, N-nitroso compounds		
Breast	352	382	3.34	1.85-6.02	For IQ, in red meat, total meat, beef, fried meat (OR: 2.6 for PhIP)	Uruguay	De Stefani et al. 1997
			5.3	2.8-10.2	Fried red meat		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

	273	657	1.54	0.96-2.47	Very well-done hamburger	USA (Iowa)	Zheng et al. 1998
			1.64	0.92-2.93	Very well-done bacon		
			2.21	1.30-3.77	Very well-done beef steaks		
			4.64	1.36-15.70	Very well-done meats		
Colon	352	553	0.6	0.4-1.0	HAAs	Sweden	Augustsson et al. 1999
			0.9	0.5-1.4	Total meat and fish		
			1.0	0.7-1.4	(current smoking)		
	1542	1860	0.9		Red and white meat	USA	Kampman et al. 1999
			1.2	0.9-1.5	Well-done red meat		
			1.4	1.0-1.9	Processed meat (men)		
	2474	34817	1.11	0.97-1.27	DiMeIQx; red meat (processed, roasted)	USA	Sinha et al. 2005
			1.17	1.01-1.35	PhIP; red meat (processed, roasted)		
			1.18	1.01-1.38	MeIQx; red meat (processed, roasted)		
			1.18	1.02-1.35	Benzo(a)pyrene; red meat (processed, roasted)		

	513	513	0.8	0.5-1.2	Grilled/barbecued meat and fish	USA (Hawaii)	LeMarchand et al. 2002
			0.9	0.6-1.3	Well-done meat and fish		
			1.0	0.7-1.5	Total red meat; red meat, well- or very well-done		
			1.3	0.8-1.9	Pan-fried/oven broiled meat and fish		
	289	289	1.0	0.6-1.1	MeIQx (men)		
			1.0	0.6-1.6	PhIP (men)		
			1.1	0.7-1.7	4,8-DiMeIQx (men)		
	620	1038	1.7	1.2-2.5	Well-, very well-done red meat	USA (North Caroline)	Butler et al. 2003
			1.8-2.0		4,8-DiMeIQx		
			2.0	1.3-3.2	Red meat, pan-fried red meat		
Colorectal	88	200	0.74	0.52-1.05	Boiling/stewing white meat, >21.6 g/day	Argentina (Córdoba)	Navarro et al. 2004
	87	200	0.93	0.65-1.34	Boiling/stewing red meat, >31.2 g/day		
	110	199	1.08	0.76-1.54	Roasted red meat, darkly browned		
	148		2.19	1.53-3.12	Roasted white meat, darkly browned		
	128		1.42	1.00-2.02	Fried white meat, darkly browned		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

	145	200	1.74	1.23-2.45	Fried red meat, darkly browned		
	64	198	1.51	0.98-2.32	All meats and methods, lightly browned		
	189	202	4.57	3.10-6.73	All meats and methods, darkly browned		
	133	199	1.91	1.33-2.74	Barbecued white meat, darkly browned		
	176	200	2.85	1.97-4.10	Barbecued red meat, darkly browned		
	167	200	2.44	1.71-3.47	Iron-pan cooked red meat, darkly browned		
	102	537	0.5	0.2-1.07	Poultry and fish (women)	Germany	Tiemersma et al.
			2.7	1.1-6.7	Red meat (men)		2002
	431	433	1.2	0.8-1.9	Meat	Germany	Tiemersma et al.
							2004
	500	500	1.51	1.03-2.23	Total meat	United Kingdom	Barrett et al.
			1.97	1.30-2.98	Red meat		2003
	3696	34817	0.92	0.78-1.09	Broiled red meat	USA	Sinha et al. 2005
			0.95	0.74-1.22	Pan-fried red meat		
			0.99	0.80-1.23	Grilled meat		
			1.03	0.91-1.16	White meat		

			1.04	0.90-1.19	Processed red meat with N-nitroso compounds		
			1.05	0.92-1.22	Total meat		
			1.07	0.92-1.24	Red meat		
			1.14	1.00-1.30	High intake of bacon and sausages		
			1.21	1.06-1.37	Well-done red meat, as hamburgers, hot-dogs, sausages, bacon (HAAs+PAHs)		
	32	100	1.75	0.78-4.05	MeIQx low exposure	USA (Arkansas and Tennessee)	Nowell et al. 2002
	53	79	4.09	1.94-9.08	MeIQx high exposure		
	54	80	4.36	2.08-9.60	Well-, very well-done red meat		
			0.8	0.4-1.4	Total HAAs (women)	USA (Hawaii)	LeMarchand et al. 2002
			1.8	1.1-3.1	Total HAAs (men)		
	146	228	1.04	0.98-1.09	Total meat	USA (Maryland)	Sinha et al. 1999
			0.19	0.01-2.86	Microwaved red meat		
			0.94	0.74-1.20	Broiled red meat		
			1.01	0.79-1.28	Baked red meat		
			1.10	0.96-1.26	Rare-, medium-done red meat		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

			2.11	0.90-4.92	Very well-done red meat		
			1.15	0.97-1.36	Pan-fried red meat		
			1.22	0.92-1.61	Well-, very well-done pan-fried red meat		
			1.26	1.06-1.50	Grilled/barbecued red meat		
			1.85	1.24-2.75	Well-, very well-done grilled/barbecued red meat		
	146	228	1.11	1.03-1.19	Red meat	USA (Maryland, Missouri)	Sinha et al. 1999, 2001
			1.29	1.08-1.54	Well-, very well-done red meat		
	146	228	2.1	1.0-4.3	MeIQx	USA (Missouri)	Sinha et al. 2001
			2.2	1.2-4.1	4,8-DiMeIQx		
			2.5	1.1-5.5	PhIP		
			3.1	1.4-6.8	HAAs		
Colorectal adenomas	488	488	2.2	1.1-4.3	Fried red meat, darkly browned (high frequent intake)	USA (California)	Probsttensch et al. 1997
	565	565	Non significant		Well-done red meat (HAAs)	USA (California, southern)	Gunter et al. 2005

Esophagus (squamous cell)	150	745	2.4	1.2-4.8	Fried meats, pan juices	Sweden	Terry et al. 2003
Esophagus (adenocarcinoma)	157		0.7	0.4-1.2	High intake of MeIQx, 4,8-DiMeIQx, and PhIP		
Gastric cardia (adenocarcinoma)	233		1.3	0.8-2.1			
Kidney	138	553	1.0	0.5-1.9	HAAs or total meat and fish	Sweden	Augustsson et al. 1999
			1.3	0.8-2.1	(Current smoking)		
	121	243	0.78	0.45-1.39	Processed meat	Uruguay	De Stefani et al. 1998
			2.07	1.03-4.19	High intake of barbecued meat		
			2.18	1.14-4.19	PhIP (>15.6 ng/d)		
			3.42	1.76-6.65	High intake of red meat		
Lung	303	765	1.0	0.5-2.4	Stir-fried meat less often than daily (smokers, women)	China	Seow et al. 2000
			2.7	1.3-5.5	Stir-fried meat daily (smokers, women)		
			3.7	1.8-7.5	Stir-fried meat daily (kitchen fumes with oily fumes during cooking, women)		
			4.3	2.7-6.8	Fumes from meat cooking (ex-smokers, women)		
			5.0	3.4-7.3	Fumes from meat cooking (current smokers, women)		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

	256	284	Significant		Red meat, fried meat	Uruguay	Deneo Pellegrini et al. 1996
	593	623	1.5	1.1-2.1	Fried or well-done red meat (women)	USA (Missouri)	Sinha et al. 1998
			1.6	1.1-2.4	Total meat (women)		
			1.8	1.2-2.7	Red meat (women)		
	593	623	1.3	0.9-1.9	Fried or well-done red meat (women)	USA (Missouri)	Sinha et al. 2000
			1.4	1.0-1.9	Total meat or red meat (women)		
			1.5	1.1-2.0	Barbecued red meat or smoked meat (women)		
			0.9	0.8-1.1	PhIP (women)		
			1.2	0.9-1.6	DiMeIQx (women)		
			1.5	1.1-2.0	MeIQx (women)		
	343	200	1.0	0.7-1.5	MeIQx (women, heavy smokers)		
	203	340	2.1	1.3-3.3	MeIQx (women, light/moderate smokers)		
	47	83	3.6	1.3-10.3	MeIQx (women, non smokers)		
Lung, squamous cell carcinoma			1.9	1.2-3.1	MeIQx (women)		
Lung, other histological types			1.6	1.1-2.5	MeIQx (women)		

Pancreas (exocrine)	193	674	1.5	0.9-2.7	MeIQx; well-done barbecued meat and pan-fried meat	USA	Anderson et al. 2005
			1.8	1.0-3.1	PhIP; well-done barbecued meat and pan-fried meat		
			2.0	1.2-3.5	DiMeIQx; well-done barbecued meat and pan-fried meat		
			2.2	1.2-4.0	Benzo{a}pyrene; well-done barbecued meat and pan-fried meat		
			2.4	1.3-4.3	Mutagenic activity; well-done barbecued meat and pan-fried meat		
Pancreas	193	674	0.7	0.4-1.2	Broiled red meat	USA (upper Midwest area)	Anderson et al. 2002
			1.4	0.7-2.6	Fried red meat		
			2.2	1.4-3.4	Grilled/barbecued red meat		
Prostate	317	480	Non significant		Meat doneness and major HAAs	New Zealand	Norrish et al. 1999
			Weakly significant		IFP		
			1.68	1.02-2.77	Very well-done beef steaks		
	464	459	1.68	1.20-2.36	Well-done meat	USA	Nowell et al. 2004
Rectum	249	553	0.7	0.4-1.1	HAAs	Sweden	Augustsson et al. 1999
			1.0	0.6-1.6	Total meat and fish		
			0.9	0.6-1.4	(Current smoking)		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

	688	34817	Non significant		PhIP, MeIQx, DiMeIQx, benzo{a}pyrene	USA	Sinha et al. 2005
	952	1205	1.33	0.98-1.79	Well-done red meat (men)	USA (California and Utah)	Murtaugh et al. 2004
			1.37	0.98-1.92	Mutagen index (men)		
	210	210	0.8	0.4-1.6	Oven broiled, grilled/barbecued meat and fish	USA (Hawaii)	LeMarchand et al. 2002
			1.2	0.7-2.0	Well-done meat and fish		
			1.5	0.9-2.4	Well-, very well-done red meat		
			1.6	1.0-2.5	Pan-fried meat and fish		
			1.7	1.0-3.0	Total red meat		
	137	137	1.7	0.3-3.8	PhIP (men)		
			2.7	1.1-6.3	4,8-DiMeIQx (men)		
			3.1	1.3-7.7	MeIQx (men)		
Stomach	123	282	1.7	0.7-4.0	Total meat and proteins, total energy and total fat	Uruguay	De Stefani et al. 2001
			1.9	1.1-3.5	Energy adjusted for high intake of processed meat		
			4.6	2.3-9.0	Total meat		
			Non significant		Fried, barbecued, salted meat and PhIP		

Table III. Influence of foods/nutrients intake on cancer risk development

Cancer sites	Higher risk (odds ratio)	Protective effect (odds ratio)	No association (odds ratio)	Geographical location	Ref.
Bladder	Red meat (1.6)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Brain	Salted vegetables, salted fish	Vegetables, fruit, fresh fish, poultry, Ca, vitamins E, C, β -carotene		China (Northeast)	Hu et al. 1999
Breast	Well-done deep-fried red meat (1.92), freshwater fish	Non-hydrogenated soybean oil (0.48)		China (Shanghai)	Dai et al. 2002
	Red meat (1.2)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Colon	High intake of preserved animal or vegetal foods (men: 2.0; women: 2.7), eggs (1.3), fish (men: 1.7), red meat (1.5)	Fresh fruit (0.6), vitamins E, C, β -carotene, fiber	Fish (women: 1.2)	China (Shanghai)	Chiu et al. 2003
	Fresh red meat (women: 2.35), high	Vegetables (0.4)	Fresh red meat (men: 0.89)	Germany	Kampman et al.

consumption of red meat and low consumption of vegetables and fruits (women: 3.1)				1995
Red meat (1.9)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Sausages (women 3.5)		Meat, meat stews, roasted meat, fish, milk, fat, energy, fiber, Ca	Norway	Gaard et al. 1996
For distal colon: High intake (≥ 94 g) of red meat, (women, prospective study: 2.22)	Poultry	Broiled red meat (1.03), fish. For rectum or proximal colon: red meat	Sweden	Larsson et al. 2005
For distal colon: Long-term consumption of red meat (1.53), or processed meat (1.50)	Poultry, fish		USA	Chao et al. 2005
Diverse diet with greater proportion of meat, fish, poultry and eggs (men: 1.5); or with greater proportion of refined grain products (men: 1.7)	Diverse diet with greater proportion of fruits, vegetables or whole grains (women: 0.7)	Diverse diet	USA (California, Minnesota, Utah)	Slattery et al. 1997

	For distal colon: total meat (men: 2.20)	Vegetables and fruits (women: 0.48), cereals (women: 0.47; men: 0.43), dairy products (women: 0.40), water (women: 0.55; men: 0.68)	Total meat (women: 0.94; men: 1.17), red meat (women: 0.72; men: 1.48)	USA (Seattle)	Shannon et al. 1996
Colorectal	Bovine viscera (1.73), cold cuts/sausages (1.47)	Lean beef (0.67)	Red meat (0.63), total meats (0.70), white meat (0.73),	Argentina (Córdoba)	Navarro et al. 2003
			Red meat; fried or processed meats; fruits, vegetables	Finland	Pietinen et al. 1999
	Processed meat (2.4); offal (2.1); refined cereal products (rice, pasta, pastry), delicatessen, butter, pates (1.7)		Fish (1.5); fresh meat (1.2); eggs (1.1); dairy products (1.0)	France (Burgundy)	Boutron-Ruault et al. 1999
	Bread/cereal (1.7); refined sugar (1.4); potatoes (1.2); cakes/desserts (1.1)	Vegetables, fruit, fish	Meat (white, red or processed), eggs	Italy	Franceschi et al. 1997
	Red meat (1.6)	Vitamin C, β -carotene	Processed meat	Italy (Northern)	La Vecchia et al. 1996
	Red meat (1.03-2.22)	Poultry	Fish	Sweden	Larsson et al. 2005

	Red meat (1.54); refined grain (1.32); pork or processed meat (1.27)	Vegetables, fruit, garlic, whole grain, coffee		Switzerland (Canton of Vaud)	Levi et al. 1999
	White meat (3.29), red meat (1.90), total meat (1.85)	Legume (0.53)		USA (California) (Seventh Day Adventist)	Singh and Fraser 1998
		Protein	Total calories, total fat, subclasses of fat, carbohydrates, dietary fiber	USA (Florida, New York)	Kato et al. 1997
	Eggs (men: 2.7; women: 2.3), processed meat (men: 2.3), red meat (1.6)	Chicken without skin	Fat-trimmed red meat, fish; total fat, saturated fat, polyunsaturated fat	USA (Hawaii)	LeMarchand et al. 1997
	Well-/very well-done red meat (fried or grilled/barbecued)	Vegetables (0.7), fruit/fruit juice (0.8), microwaved red meat (0.19)	Broiled red meat (0.94), total meat, red meat, grilled/barbecued red meat (rare/medium done), pan-fried red meat (rare/medium done), fat, alcoholic beverages	USA (Maryland)	Zheng et al. 1998
Endometrium	Red meat (1.5)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Esophagus			Red meat		

	Soups, butter	Vegetables, fruit, olive oil, pasta, poultry		Italy (Northern)	Bosetti et al. 2000
			Fried foods (1.16)	Italy, Switzerland	Galeone et al. 2005
	Pan-fried red or processed meat; pan juices	Barbecued meat, vitamin C, fiber	Total meat, red meat, white meat, fish	Sweden	Terry et al. 2003
	Red meat, barbecued/grilled		Doneness level; beef, chicken, or pork (broiled or fried)	USA (Nebraska)	Ward et al. 1997
Gall bladder			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Gastric	Red meat (1.6)				
	Processed meat, bacon	Vegetables (vitamins E, C, β -carotene, folate)	Vegetables with processed meat, or with bacon	USA (Hawaii)	Nomura et al. 2003
	Barbecued/grilling well-done meat (3.2), red meat		Beef, chicken or pork (broiled or fried)	USA (Nebraska)	Ward et al. 1997
Kidney			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
	Red meat (3.4), protein (2.2),	Vegetables (0.5)	Salted meat, processed meat, milk	Uruguay	De Stefani et al.

	barbecued meat (2.1), fruits (1.7)				1998a
Larynx			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
	Red meat or fried fish (3.1), fried eggs or fried potatoes (1.9), processed meat (1.7), fried meat (1.6)	Vegetables, fruit, olive oil		Italy (Northern), Switzerland (Canton of Vaud)	Bosetti et al. 2002a, b
	Total fat from red meat (7.05), red and total meat (3.32)			Uruguay	Oreglia et al. 2001
Liver			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Lymphoma (Hodgkin's and non Hodgkin's disease)			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Lymphoma (non Hodgkin's)	Trans-unsaturated fat (2.4); beef, pork, or lamb (2.2)		Broiled or barbecued red meat	USA (Massachusetts)	Zhang et al. 1999
Myeloma			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al.

					2000
Oral cavity/pharynx			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
			Fried foods (1.11)	Italy, Switzerland	Galeone et al. 2005
	Pork or processed meat (3.2), eggs (2.3), red meat (2.1)	Vegetables, fruit, milk, fish		Switzerland (Canton of Vaud)	Levi et al. 1998
Ovary	Red meat (1.53)	Vegetables, fish		Italy	Bosetti et al. 2001
	Red meat (1.3)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Pancreas	Deep-fried, grilled, cured, or smoked foods		Preserved animal foods, fresh red meat, organ meat, poultry	China (Shanghai)	Ji et al. 1995
	Red meat (1.6)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
Prostate			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000

	Red meat (2.0), total energy (1.9), total fat and desserts (1.8)	Vegetables and fruit (0.5), vitamin E (0.6), vitamin C (0.4)		Uruguay	Deneo Pellegrini et al. 1999
Rectum	Red meat (1.7)			Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000
	High intake (≥ 94 g) of red meat (women, prospective study: 1.28)	Poultry	Fish	Sweden	Larsson et al. 2005
	Red meat (1.71)			USA	Chao et al. 2005
Thyroid			Red meat	Italy (Northern)	Tavani et al. 2000

Table IV. Estimated mean intakes of HAAs.

Geographic location	ng/person/day	ng/kg body mass/day	Ref.
Japan	5-300 (PhIP) 300-3900 (MeIQx)		Wakabayashi et al. 1997
Sweden	160 (PhIP: 72) (MeIQx: 72) (DiMeIQx: 16)	2.3	Augustsson et al. 1997
	77		Augustsson et al. 1999
	8.53		Olsson et al. 2005
Switzerland	330	5	Zimmerli et al. 2001
USA (2001)		1.4-2.1 (PhIP: 1.1-1.6) (MeIQx: 0.29-0.47) (DiMeIQx: 0.021-0.031)	Sinha et al. 2001
	455	9	Bogen and Keating 2001
USA (Missouri) (1998)	70 g red meat/day		Sinha et al. 1998
	(PhIP: 158.3) (MeIQx: 52.1) (DiMeIQx: 3.5)		Sinha et al. 2000