Simultaneous detection of DNA from ten food allergens by ligation-dependent probe amplification

Karl-Heinz Engel, Anja Demmel, Alexandra Ehlert, Christine Hupfer, Ulrich
Busch

► To cite this version:

Karl-Heinz Engel, Anja Demmel, Alexandra Ehlert, Christine Hupfer, Ulrich Busch. Simultaneous detection of DNA from ten food allergens by ligation-dependent probe amplification. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2009, 26 (04), pp.409-418. 10.1080/02652030802593529 . hal-00577350

HAL Id: hal-00577350

<https://hal.science/hal-00577350>

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Simultaneous detection of DNA from ten food allergens by ligation-dependent probe amplification

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2008-268.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Oct-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Engel, Karl-Heinz; Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Chair of General Food Technology Demmel, Anja; Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Chair of General Food technology Ehlert, Alexandra; Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Chair of General Food technology Hupfer, Christine; Bavarian Health and Food safety Authority Busch, Ulrich; Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority
Methods/Techniques:	Molecular biology - PCR
Additives/Contaminants:	Allergens
Food Types:	Bakery products, Processed foods

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Simultaneous detection of DNA from ten food**
2 **allergens by ligation-dependent probe**
3 **amplification**

4 **Abstract**

5 The simultaneous detection of DNA from different allergenic food
6 ingredients by a ligation-dependent probe amplification (LPA) system is
7 described. The approach allows detection of several targets in a one-tube
8 assay. Synthetic oligonucleotides were designed to detect DNA from peanut,
9 cashews, pecans, pistachios, hazelnuts, sesame seeds, macadamia nuts,
10 almonds, walnuts and brazil nuts. The specificity of the system was tested with
11 DNA from more than 50 plant and animal species. The sensitivity of the method
12 was shown to be suitable to detect allergenic ingredients in the low mg kg⁻¹
13 range. The limit of detection (LOD) for the detection of single allergens in
14 different food matrices was determined to 5 mg kg⁻¹. The novel analytical
15 strategy represents a useful tool for the surveillance of the established
16 legislation on food allergens in the European Union.

18 **Keywords**

19 *Food allergen, tree nuts, peanut, sesame, detection, ligation, PCR*

Introduction

In industrialized countries 1-2 % of adults and up to 8 % of children and adolescents are affected by food allergies (Sicherer and Sampson 2006). The symptoms may range from skin irritations to severe anaphylactic reactions with fatal consequences. Approximately 90% of the adverse reactions observed have been associated with eight food groups: cow's milk, eggs, fish, crustaceans, peanuts, soybeans, tree nuts and wheat. In addition to these major food allergens, a broad spectrum of fruits, vegetables, seeds, spices and meats have been reported to possess allergenic potential (Ellman et al. 2002, Poms et al. 2004, Breiteneder and Ebner 2000).

Taking into account the recommendations of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex Alimentarius Commission 2007), the European Commission amended the European Food Labelling Directive 2000/13/EC by a list of ingredients to be labeled (EC 2000). Currently, Annex IIIa of Directive 2007/68/EC (EC 2007) comprises gluten-containing cereals, crustaceans, molluscs, fish, peanuts, soybeans, eggs, milk and dairy products (including lactose), nuts, celery, mustard, sesame seeds, lupine, sulfite and products thereof. To protect the health of consumers, the declaration of these ingredients has been made mandatory regardless of their amounts in the final product.

Allergens are proteins whose routine food analysis is based on immunological detection using either specific IgE from human serum or antibodies raised in animals. Major challenges are the needs to check for the presence of food allergens at extremely low levels and to detect trace amounts of hidden allergens in composite and processed foods (Poms et al. 2004). PCR-based methods amplifying specific DNA sequences offer alternative tools to the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

detection of allergenic or marker proteins for the species (Goodwin 2004, Poms and Anklam 2004). In most cases, DNA presents a more stable analyte compared to proteins and is less affected by denaturation (Poms and Anklam, 2004). In addition, species-specific sequences allow the discrimination of closely related organisms.

Appropriate PCR assays for the detection and identification of individual food allergens have been developed for cashew nuts (Brzezinski 2006, Ehlert et al. 2008a), celery (Dovicovicova et al. 2004, Stephan et al. 2004, Hupfer et al. 2006), cereals (wheat, barley, rye) (Dahinden et al. 2001, Hernandez et al. 2005, Sandberg et al. 2003), peanuts (Hird et al. 2003, Stephan and Vieths 2004), pistachios (Barbieri and Frigeri 2006) and tree nuts (walnut, pecan, hazelnut) (Holzhauser et al. 2000; Herman et al. 2003; Brezna et al. 2005; Brezna and Kuchta 2007; Germini et al. 2005; Arlorio et al. 2007). Conventional and Real-time PCR methods for the detection of soybean, sesame, mustard, peanut, hazelnut and almond have recently been compared (Pancaldi et al. 2005). At present, only a few Duplex-PCR systems are known allowing the simultaneous detection of peanut and hazelnut or wheat and barley (Ronning et al. 2006; Rossi et al. 2005).

The aim of this study was therefore to develop and to validate a multi-target method for the simultaneous detection of allergens in different food matrices. The application of a ligation-dependent probe amplification (LPA) technique for the simultaneous detection of DNA from peanut, cashew nut, pecan nut, pistachio nut, hazelnut, sesame seeds, macadamia nut, almond, walnut and brazil nut in a single reaction is described. Ligation-dependent PCR was originally introduced to allow the detection of nucleic acid sequences (Belgrader et al. 1997, Carrino 1996, Hsuih et al. 1996). First applications in the

field of medical diagnostics allowed the detection and the relative quantification of up to 40 – 50 target sequences in a single assay (Eldering et al. 2003, Gille et al. 2002, Hogervorst et al. 2003, Schouten et al. 2002, Taylor et al. 2003). The suitability of this method for the event-specific detection and relative quantification of DNA from two genetically modified organisms (GMO) has been demonstrated using commercially available maize and soya standards (Moreano et al. 2006). The technique does not amplify the target sequences, but is rather based on the amplification of products resulting from the ligation of bipartite hybridization probes. The use of this analytical strategy results in a flexible system that can be complemented with further hybridization probes to broaden the range of target sequences to be detected. This approach has been realized by the development of a modular system allowing the simultaneous detection of several GMO targets corresponding to different levels of specificity in a one-tube assay (Ehlert et al. 2008b).

Materials and Methods

Materials and samples

Nut materials, sesame seeds, ingredients of self-prepared walnut cookies and commercial food samples were purchased from local grocery stores. DNA plant and animal materials used for testing the specificity of the method and spiked samples of chocolate, cookies and pesto used to determine the sensitivity were obtained from the Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority (Oberschleißheim, Germany). Chocolate samples had been spiked with peanuts (100, 10, 5, 1 and 0.5 mg/kg) and hazelnuts (20, 10 and 5 mg/kg).

95 Cookies spiked with peanuts contained 100, 10, 5, 1 and 0.5 mg/kg of peanuts
96 and pesto spiked with cashew nuts contained 100, 20, 10, 5, 2 and 1 mg/kg of
97 cashew nuts. In all cases samples of the unspiked material were included in the
98 analysis.

100 *Preparation of walnut cookies spiked with different nuts*

101 Nuts ground with a Thermomixer (Vorwerk, Wuppertal, Germany) as well
102 as the other cookie ingredients were analyzed by LPA to ensure the purities of
103 the starting materials. Two doughs containing 25 % wheat flour, 25 % sugar,
104 25 % butter and 25 % ground nuts (either walnuts only or walnuts spiked with
105 10 % of peanut, hazelnut, pecan and macadamia) were prepared using a food
106 processor (Braun, Germany). The reference cookies containing only walnuts
107 and the cookies with all five nuts were baked separately at 180°C for 10 min
108 and ground afterwards. The concentrations of peanut, hazelnut, pecan and
109 macadamia were adjusted in the spiked cookies to 10000, 1000, 100, 10 and
110 1 mg/kg, respectively, by mixing the corresponding amounts of ground spiked
111 cookies and ground walnut reference cookies in a food processor. The mixtures
112 became fluid due to the high fat contents; however the procedure resulted in
113 visually homogeneous dispersions.

115 *DNA extraction*

116 Each sample (2 g) was mixed with 10 mL CTAB-extraction buffer [2 %
117 (w/v) cetyltrimethylammoniumbromide, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-
118 OH/HCl] and 30 µL proteinase K (20mg/mL) in a 50 mL Falcon-tube and

incubated at 65°C overnight. After 5 min centrifugation at 5000 g, 1000 µL supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube and centrifuged again at 14000 g for 5 min. 500 µL chloroform/isoamylalcohol (Ready Red™, MP Biomedicals, Heidelberg, Germany) was mixed with 700 µL supernatant and centrifuged at 16000 g for 15 min. 500 µL supernatant was added to 500 µL isopropanol (stored at -20°C) and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 30 min. After 15 min centrifugation at 16000 g the supernatant was removed, the pellet was washed with 500 µL ethanol (70 %; stored at -20°C) and centrifuged 5 min at 16000 g. After removal of ethanol the pellet was dried 1 h at 50°C and afterwards diluted in 100 µL TE-buffer (1x). Additionally, the DNA extracts were purified using spin filter columns (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

DNA concentrations were determined fluorimetrically using PicoGreen® dsDNA quantification reagent (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) on a Tecan GENios™ plus reader (Männedorf, Switzerland).

Probes and primers

Probes used for the detection of peanut, cashew nut, pecan nut, pistachio, hazelnut, sesame, macadamia nut, almond, walnut and brazil nut were designed using the Beacon Designer 4.0 software (Premier Biosoft Int., USA) and FastPCR software (University of Helsinki, Finland). The synthesis of the probes was done by Biolegio B.V. (Nijmegen, The Netherlands). Primers are included in the MLPA reagents kit (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Sequences of LPA probes and primers as well as GenBank accession numbers of the selected targets are listed in Table 1.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

Ligation-dependent probe amplification

The LPA reaction was essentially carried out as described by Schouten et al. (Schouten et al. 2002). Hybridization was performed overnight in 0.5 mL reaction vessels using a thermocycler (Mastercycler Gradient, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and 100 ng DNA sample. After 5 min of DNA denaturation at 98 °C, 1.5 µL of MLPA buffer and 1.5 µL of a mixture of the synthesized probes containing 1 fmol of each LPA probe for the detection of peanut, cashew, pecan nut, pistachio, hazelnut, sesame, macadamia nut, almond, walnut and brazil nut, respectively, were added and held at 60 °C for 16 h. Ligation reaction was performed at 54 °C for 15 min after adding 3 µL Ligase-65 buffer A, 3 µL Ligase-65 buffer B, 25 µL H₂O and 1 µL Ligase-65 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). After ligation, reaction mixes were heated for 5 min at 98 °C to inactivate the enzyme.

For amplification of the ligation products 10 µL Polymerase mix with primers, dNTPs, buffer and Polymerase enzyme of the MLPA kit (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) were added to 40 µL ligation reaction mixture at 60 °C. 35 amplification cycles at 95 °C for 30 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec and 72 °C for 60 sec were followed by a final step of 20 min at 72 °C and cooled down to 4 °C.

Fragment length analysis

Fragment length analysis was performed on an ABI PRISM® 310 Genetic Analyzer using capillaries (47 cm), polymer (POP-6™ Performance Optimized Polymer); reagents were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Forster City, USA).

One microliter of the PCR product (pure or diluted) was mixed with 0.3 μL size standard (GeneScan[®]-500 [TAMRA][™]) and 14.7 μL Hi-Di[™] formamide. Prior to analysis, DNA was denatured at 94°C for 3 min and cooled down on ice. Electrokinetic injections were performed at 15 kV for 5 sec. Electrophoretic separations were run at 60°C and 15 kV.

Sequencing

Ligation products were generated and amplified separately prior to sequencing. Primers used for sequencing were identical to those listed in Table 1. FAM-labeling did not interfere with cycle sequencing. Amplified products were cleaned up using a PCR purification kit (QIAquick, Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and used as template for the sequencing PCR. This reaction was performed using a BigDye, Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). One reaction mix (20 μL) contained 2 μL 5x buffer, 4 μL RR-mix, 2 μL primer (10 pmol), 8 μL H₂O and 4 μL template. Reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation (1 min at 96°C), 30 cycle denaturation steps (10 sec at 96°C) and primer annealing (5 sec at 56°C), and a final step (4 min at 60°C).

Purification of the PCR products was carried out following amplification. PCR products (10 μL) were mixed with 16 μL H₂O, 4 μL Na-acetate (3M) and 50 μL EtOH (100%) in a 1.5 mL reaction vessel and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min. EtOH was removed carefully without damaging the precipitated DNA pellet. The pellet was vortexed with 50 μL EtOH and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 min. After carefully removing EtOH, the pellet was allowed to dry at 50°C for 1 hr. Finally, DNA was dissolved in 20 μL H₂O.

Sequencing of the diluted PCR products (10 µL H₂O + 6 µL purified DNA) was carried out on an ABI PRISM® 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Forster City, USA) using 47 cm capillaries and POP-6™ Performance Optimized Polymer. Electrokinetic injections were performed at 2 kV for 30 sec. Runs were carried out at 50°C and 15 kV.

Real-time PCR and ELISA assays

SureFood® Allergen Kits for the qualitative detection of DNA from hazelnut and peanut from Congen Biotechnology GmbH (Berlin, Germany) were used for Real-time PCR analysis. The limits of detection for both kits were indicated to be 10 copies of genomic DNA. For the detection of cashew nut a recently described Real-time PCR system was used (Ehlert et al. 2008a). Ridascreen® enzyme immunoassays for the quantitative analysis of hazelnut and peanut were obtained from r-biopharm AG (Darmstadt, Germany). The limit of detection as indicated by the manufacturer were 2.5 mg/kg hazelnut and peanut, respectively (r-biopharm; 2006, 200x).

Results and Discussion

Design of LPA probes and Choice of target sequences

The principle of ligation-dependent probe amplification reaction as well as hints and rules for the design of LPA probes have been specified in detail (Schouten et al. 2002, Moreano et al. 2006, MRC Holland). The detection of each target sequence requires the design of two probes containing the respective target-specific hybridization sites as well as identical primer binding

1
2
3 216 sites (PBS) at their 5'- or 3'- ends. The LPA system designed in this study uses
4
5 217 the advantages of synthetic oligonucleotides for hybridization as described by
6
7
8 218 Moreano et al. (Moreano et al. 2006).
9

10 219 Different tree nuts (macadamia, cashew, pecan, walnut, brazil nut,
11
12 220 pistachio, almond and hazelnut) as well as peanut and sesame were chosen as
13
14
15 221 examples for the detection of allergenic components in foods by LPA. They are
16
17 222 often contained as hidden allergens in pastries, candies or chocolate and
18
19 223 present potential health risks for allergic persons.
20

21
22 224 Details of the selected target sequences and the GenBank accession
23
24 225 numbers of the LPA primers and probes are listed in Table 1. The probes
25
26 226 mostly detect genes encoding for plant food proteins of the cupin and prolamin
27
28 227 superfamily, respectively, which are known to cause IgE-mediated allergic
29
30 228 reactions. The simultaneous detection of all targets is shown in Figure 1.
31
32

33
34 229 The primer sequences described by Schouten et al. (Schouten et al.
35
36 230 2002) for MLPA analysis were also used in this study. They were tested
37
38 231 regarding their suitability for analyzing foods by database enquiry via NCBI
39
40 232 GenBank and PCR using DNA of different plant species. The use of spacer
41
42 233 sequences between PBS and hybridization sites rendered ligation products with
43
44 234 lengths characteristic for each of the target DNA. Differences of 4 nucleotides
45
46 235 (nt) in length have been shown sufficient for unequivocal determination of the
47
48 236 amplification products using POP-6™ polymer (Ehlert et al. 2008b). Simple
49
50 237 repeats of GT bases were used for the spacer sequences to avoid intra- and
51
52 238 intermolecular hybridizations.
53
54
55
56

57 239 Using this approach, all target signals were sufficiently separated. The
58
59 240 signal obtained for pistachio nut overlapped with one of the standard signals
60

(100 nt); however, owing to the use of different fluorescent dyes for standard and target probes a discrimination of the two signals is possible.

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

Evaluation of target specificity

To avoid cross homologies the specificities of the probe target sequences were first evaluated by BLAST search within NCBI GenBank by Beacon Designer software. Additionally, each probe was checked with DNA extracted from the other target species. The performance of the LPA system was examined using DNA extracts of the ten targets adjusted to 20 ng/μL and different mixtures prepared to simulate composed food products with different nut proportions. No unspecific signals were observed for almond, peanut, pecan, pistachio, hazelnut, sesame, macadamia, walnut and brazil nut probes. Furthermore, the differentiation between the phylogenetically closely related tree nuts pecan and walnut could be achieved. Published sequencing data were used to design the ligation probes with only four different DNA bases (Brezna 2005, Brezna and Kuchta 2007). Cross-reactivity of the cashew probes with other members of the Anacardiaceae family, specifically pistachio and mango, could be eliminated by detailed characterization of the target and a new design of the probes. Different pairs of primer were designed to amplify the target region of the 2s albumin gene (ana o3 allergen). PCR analysis using one of the primer pairs and DNA of cashew, mango and pistachio resulted in specific amplification of a 103 bp DNA section of cashew DNA (Ehlert et al. 2008a). No signals were observed when DNA of pistachio or mango was used in the PCR

reaction (data not shown). The region that was also used for specific detection of cashew DNA by Real-time PCR analysis (Ehlert et al. 2008a) was therefore chosen as hybridization site for the cashew LPA probes.

Subsequently, the specificity of the method was tested by analyzing DNA from organisms related to the selected targets and from other organisms that are to be expected as ingredients of composed foods. Non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA were amplified for verification (Taberlet et al. 1991). The species tested with all LPA probes are listed in Table 2. No false positive signals were observed for peanut, cashew, pecan, pistachio, hazelnut, sesame, macadamia, walnut and brazil nut. The probes developed for the detection of almond DNA also yielded positive results in the presence of DNA from apricot, nectarine, peach and plum due to their phylogenetical relation. Further characterization of the target by sequencing will be necessary to increase the specificity of the almond detection.

[Insert Table 2 about here]

Slight peaks at n-1 and n-2 nucleotides are caused by impurities of the synthesized oligonucleotides that could be reduced by a new synthesis but could not be eliminated completely (data not shown).

The fragment lengths determined for each target were highly reproducible ($SD \pm 0.2$ nt). The observed slight shifts (≤ 1 nt) of the absolute length values (Table 1) are well-known effects arising from differences in the mobilities of the length standards and of the analyzed fragments caused by different labeling dyes and by differences in the structures and base compositions of the DNA (Magnuson et al. 1996, Wenz et al. 1998).

Sensitivity of the LPA system

Due to the lack of appropriate reference materials for the detection of allergens, different food matrices in which the selected plants typically occur were chosen to evaluate the sensitivity of the LPA system. Chocolate was spiked with peanuts and hazelnuts, pesto with cashew nuts, and cookies with peanuts. In addition, walnut cookies spiked with peanuts, hazelnuts, pecan and macadamia nuts were self-prepared. These examples were selected to simulate fraudulent labeling or the unintended contamination of foods with different nuts (Table 3). Starting materials and ingredients were checked for the absence of any target of the LPA system.

[Insert Table 3 about here]

Two independent DNA extractions of the spiked samples were analyzed in duplicate by LPA to determine the limits of detection (LOD). Fragment length analysis was performed with the pure and 1:20 diluted PCR product. The LOD was assessed as the least concentration for which all results were consistently positive. For peanut, hazelnut and cashew nut, limits of detection of 5 mg/kg were determined in the matrices chocolate, cookie and pesto, respectively.

To study the influence of unequal proportions of allergenic components in a food, i.e. high excess of one of the LPA targets in the sample, on the sensitivity of the method, cookies containing 25% (250,000 mg/kg) walnuts were spiked with defined amounts (1 – 10,000 mg/kg) of four other nuts. Due to competitive amplification of the probes during PCR, under these conditions the

added reagents are mainly used to amplify the excess of walnut ligation products. Consequently, the sensitivity for the nuts contained as trace amounts is reduced, resulting in LODs of 1000 mg/kg for peanut, hazelnut, pecan, macadamia and 100 mg/kg for hazelnut, respectively (Table 3).

This inherent feature of the multi-target LPA method has to be taken into account, when traces of targets are to be simultaneously detected in the presence of a high amount of one of the other LPA targets.

The samples used to determine the limits of detection of the LPA method were also analyzed by Real-time PCR and ELISA (Table 3). For the samples analyzed by the commercially available ELISA kits, the LODs determined for hazelnut and peanut were slightly higher than those given by the manufacturer (r-biopharm 2006, 2007). For the LODs determined for these targets by the Real-time PCR methods, such a comparison was not possible because the information given by the manufacturer refers to copies of genomic DNA (Congen, 2006a, 2006b). The limits of detection observed for peanuts, hazelnuts and cashew nuts in chocolate and pesto by LPA were similar to those determined for the tested ELISA and Real-time PCR approaches. The Real-time PCR method applied for the detection of peanuts showed higher sensitivity; however, the application of this kit also resulted in positive signals for the non-spiked walnut reference cookie. Therefore, the reliability of these results remains questionable. The hazelnut ELISA test also showed cross reactivity to walnut (0.001 – 0.036 %); owing to the false-positive results a detection of hazelnut traces in the presence of walnut is not possible with this kit (r-Biopharm 2006, Kniel and Moser 2006).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

342 *Analysis of retail samples*

343 A variety of commercial foods were tested for the presence of the LPA
344 targets. A total of 39 samples from different food categories were analyzed. All
345 DNA extracts were checked for PCR inhibition and amplifiability of DNA prior to
346 LPA analysis by amplification of non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA
347 (Taberlet et al. 1991). Results obtained for spreads, sausages, dressings, dairy
348 products and sweets are shown in Table 4.

349
350 [Insert Table 4 about here]

351
352 The results obtained for the samples “organic mixed nut butter” and
353 “gingerbread” demonstrate the advantages of the developed multi-target LPA
354 method. In both cases the simultaneous detection of the allergenic ingredients
355 declared on the label could be achieved. Figure 1B shows the results obtained
356 for the sample “organic mixed nut butter”.

357 Except for walnuts in a Thuringian sausage, the presence of ingredients
358 that had been declared on the label could be confirmed by LPA analysis in all
359 samples. In addition, information given as part of a precautionary labeling in
360 some of the retail samples could be confirmed or specified. Considering the
361 described reduction in sensitivity for targets contained as trace amounts in the
362 presence of a high amount of one of the other LPA targets, the detection of
363 undeclared allergenic ingredients may be even further improved by analyzing
364 samples with probe mixes that exclude the probes that would amplify the
365 quantitatively dominating and declared allergenic ingredients.

A still existing limitation of the method is the missing differentiation of almond from apricot, nectarine, peach and plum. This became obvious for the sample “gingerbread”: The signal detected for almond could not be assigned unequivocally to almond, because apricot kernels had also been declared in the list of ingredients.

Quantitative conclusions on the contaminations found in the retail samples are difficult, but concentrations at the lower ppm range are likely.

Conclusions

The LPA system for the detection of food allergens was shown to be a specific and sensitive detection method suitable for the simultaneous detection of peanut, cashew, pecan nut, pistachio nut, hazelnut, sesame seeds, macadamia nut, walnut and brazil nut in the lower mg/kg range. The modular system allows the extension to further target sequences of interest. LPA analysis of different food matrices resulted in LODs in the lower ppm range, thus confirming the suitability of the method for allergen detection (Poms et al. 2004, Goodwin 2004, Poms and Anklam 2004). The specificities of the probes targeting almond DNA have to be improved in order to be able to differentiate almond from phylogenetically related species.

The lack of certified reference materials in the field of allergen detection makes development and validation of appropriate methods more difficult. Further reference materials with certified concentrations of analytes are required to gain more information on individual limits of detection of the LPA method in real food products.

References

Arlorio M, Cereti E, Coisson JD, Travaglia F, Martelli A. 2007. Detection of hazelnut (*Corylus spp.*) in processed foods using real-time PCR. Food Control 18(2):140-148.

Barbieri G, Frigeri G. 2006. Identification of hidden allergens: Detection of pistachio traces in mortadella. Food Additives & Contaminants 23(12):1260-1264.

Belgrader P, Barany F, Lubin M. 1997. Detection of nucleic acid sequence differences using coupled ligase detection and polymerase chain reactions. PCT Int. Appl. Patent number 9745559:1-158.

Breiteneder H, Ebner C. 2000. Molecular and biochemical classification of plant-derived food allergens. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 106(1):27-36.

Brzezinski JL. 2006. Detection of cashew nut DNA in spiked baked goods using a Real-Time polymerase chain reaction method. J AOAC International 89(4):1035-1038.

Brezna B, Hudekova L, Kuchta T. 2005. A novel real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method for the detection of walnuts in food. European Food Research and Technology DOI 10.1007/s00217-005-0214-8.

Brezna B, Kuchta T. 2007. A novel real-time polymerase chain reaction method for the detection of pecan nuts in food. European Food Research and Technology DOI 10.1007/s00217-007-0639-3.

Carrino JJ. 1996. Multiplex ligations-dependent amplification using split probe reagents containing common primer binding sites. PCT Int. Appl. Patent number 9615271:1-40.

- 1
2
3 415 Codex Alimentarius Commission. 2007. Food Labelling – Complete Texts. Joint
4
5 416 FAO/WHO Food Standards Program.
6
7
8 417 Congen. 2006a. Kit instruction. Art. No.: S3103, Version 1.1, Berlin, Germany.
9
10 418 Congen. 2006b. Kit instruction. Art. No.: S3102, Version 1.0, Berlin, Germany.
11
12 419 Dahinden I, Von Buren M, Luthy J. 2001. A quantitative competitive PCR
13
14 420 system to detect contamination of wheat, barley or rye in gluten-free food for
15
16 421 coeliac patients. *European Food Research and Technology* 212(2):228–33.
17
18 422 Dovicovicova L, Olexova L, Pangallo D, Siekel P, Kuchta T. 2004. Polymerase
19
20 423 chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of celery (*Apium graveolens*) in food.
21
22 424 *European Food Research and Technology* 218(5):493–95.
23
24 425 EC. 2000. Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council
26
27 426 of 20 March 2000 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States
28
29 427 relating to the labelling, presentation and advertising of foodstuffs. *Official*
30
31 428 *Journal of the European Communities* 06.05.2000, L 109, pp 29-42.
32
33 429 EC. 2007. Commission Directive 2007/68/EC of the European Parliament and
34
35 430 of the Council of 27 November 2007 amending Annex IIIa to Directive
36
37 431 2000/13/EC as regards certain food ingredients. *Official Journal of the*
38
39 432 *European Communities* 28.11.2007, L 310, pp 11-14.
40
41 433 Ehlert A, Hupfer C, Demmel A, Engel KH, Busch U. 2008a. Detection of cashew
42
43 434 nut in foods by a specific real-time PCR method. *Food Analytical Methods*
44
45 435 1(2):136-143.
46
47 436 Ehlert A, Moreano F, Busch U. Engel KH. 2008b. Use of ligation-dependent
48
49 437 probe amplification for the simultaneous detection/ screening of seven different
50
51 438 targets of genetically modified organisms. *European Food Research and*
52
53 439 *Technology* 227(3):805-812.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 440 Eldering E, Spek CA, Aberson HL, Grummels A, Derks IA, de Vos AF,
4
5 441 McElgunn CJ, Schouten JP. 2003. Expression profiling via novel multiplex
6
7 442 assay allows rapid assessment of gene regulation in defined signalling
8
9 443 pathways. *Nucleic Acids Research* 31(23):e153.
10
11
12 444 Ellman LK, Chatchatee P, Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. 2002. Food
13
14 445 hypersensitivity in two groups of children and young adults with atopic
15
16 446 dermatitis evaluated a decade apart. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 13:295-
17
18 447 298.
19
20
21 448 Germini A, Scaravelli E, Lesignoli F, Sforza S, Corradini R, Marchelli R. 2005.
22
23 449 Polymerase chain reaction coupled with peptide nucleic acid high-performance
24
25 450 liquid chromatography for the sensitive detection of traces of potentially
26
27 451 allergenic hazelnut in foodstuffs. *European Food Research and Technology*
28
29 452 220(5–6):619–24.
30
31
32 453 Gille JJP, Hogervorst FBL, Pals G, Wijnen JT, van Schooten RJ, Dommering
33
34 454 CJ, Meijer GA, Craanen ME, Nederlof PM, de Jong D, McElgunn CJ, Schouten
35
36 455 JP, Menko FH. 2002. Genomic deletions of MSH2 and MLH1 in colorectal
37
38 456 cancer families detected by a novel mutation detection approach. *British Journal*
39
40 457 *of Cancer* 87(8):892-897.
41
42
43 458 Goodwin PR. 2004. Food allergen detection methods: a coordinated approach.
44
45 459 *J AOAC International* 87(6):1383-1390.
46
47
48 460 Herman L, De Block J, Viane R. 2003. Detection of hazelnut DNA traces in
49
50 461 chocolate by PCR. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*
51
52 462 38(6):633-640.
53
54
55 463 Hernandez M, Esteve T, Pla M. 2005. Real-time polymerase chain reaction
56
57 464 based assays for quantitative detection of barley, rice, sunflower, and wheat.
58
59 465 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53(18):7003–9.
60

- 466 Hird H, Lloyd J, Goodier R, Brown J, Reece P. 2003. Detection of peanut using
467 real-time polymerase chain reaction. *European Food Research and Technology*
468 217(3):265–68.
- 469 Hogervorst FBL, Nederlof PM, Gille JJP, McElgunn CJ, Grippeling M, Pruntel R,
470 Regnerus R, Van Welsem T, Van Spaendonk R, Menko FH, Kluijdt I, Dommering
471 C, Verhoef S, Schouten JP, Van't Veer LJ, Pals G. 2003. Large genomic
472 deletions and duplications in the BRCA1 gene identified by a novel quantitative
473 method. *Cancer Research* 63(7):1449-1453.
- 474 Holzhauser T, Wangorsch A, Vieths S. 2000. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
475 for detection of potentially allergenic hazelnut residues in complex food
476 matrixes. *European Food Research and Technology* 211(5):360–65.
- 477 Hsuih TC, Park YN, Zaretsky C, Wu F, Tyagi S, Kramer FR, Sperling R, Zhang
478 DY. 1996. Novel, ligation-dependent PCR assay for detection of hepatitis C in
479 serum. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 34(3):501-7.
- 480 Hupfer C, Waiblinger HU, Busch U. 2006. Development and validation of a real-
481 time PCR detection method for celery in food. *European Food Research and*
482 *Technology* 225(3-4):329-335.
- 483 Kniel B, Moser M. 2006. Nachweis allergener Haselnußspuren in Backwaren
484 und in den dafür verwendeten Rohstoffen und Zwischenprodukten.
485 *Getreidetechnologie* 60(6):357-365.
- 486 Magnuson VL, Ally DS, Nylund SJ, Karanjawala ZE, Rayman JB, Knapp JI,
487 Lowe AL, Ghosh S, Collins FS. 1996. Substrate nucleotide-determined non-
488 templated addition of adenine by Taq DNA polymerase: implications for PCR-
489 based genotyping and cloning. *Biotechniques* 21(4):700-9.
- 490 Moreano F, Ehlert A, Busch U, Engel KH. 2006. Ligation-dependent probe
491 amplification for the simultaneous event-specific detection and relative

- quantification of DNA from two genetically modified organisms. *European Food Research and Technology* 222(5-6):479-485.
- MRC Holland [internet]. Available from: http://www.mrc-holland.com/pages/support_desing_synthetic_probespag.html. Accessed 2008 August 21.
- Pancaldi M, Paganelli A, Righini G, Carboni E, Salvi A, Rainieri M, Villa C, Benda S. 2005. Molecular detection of vegetable-derived food allergens. *Ingedienti Alimentari* 4(18):21-27.
- Poms RE, Anklam E. 2004. Polymerase chain reaction techniques for food allergen detection. *J AOAC International* 87(6):1391-1397.
- Poms RE, Klein CL, Anklam E. 2004. Methods for allergen analysis in food: a review. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 21(1):1-31.
- r-Biopharm. 2006. Kit Instruction. Art. No.:R6801, 03-11-18, Darmstadt, Germany.
- r-Biopharm. 2007. Kit Instruction. Art. No.:R6201, 07-03-20, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Ronning SB, Berdal KG, Boydler Andersen C, Holst-Jensen A. 2006. Novel reference gene, PKABA1, used in a duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction for detection and quantitation of wheat and barley-derived DNA. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Chemistry* 54(3):682-87.
- Rossi S, Scaravelli E, Germini A, Corradini R, Fogher C, Marchelli R. 2005. A PNAarray platform for the detection of hidden allergens in foodstuffs. *European Food Research and Technology* DOI 10.1007/s00217-005-0034-x.
- Sandberg M, Lundberg L, Ferm M, Yman IM. 2003. Real Time PCR for the detection and discrimination of cereal contamination on gluten free foods. *European Food Research and Technology* 217(4):344-349.

- 1
2
3 518 Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwiijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G,
4
5 519 2002. Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-
6
7
8 520 dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30(12):e57/1-e57/13.
9
10 521 Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. 2006. 9. Food allergy. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical*
11
12 522 *Immunology* 117(2-Suppl Mini-Primer):S470-475.
13
14 523 Stephan O, Weisz N, Vieths S, Weiser T, Rabe B, Vatterott W. 2004. Protein
15
16 524 quantification, sandwich ELISA, and real-time PCR used to monitor industrial
17
18 525 cleaning procedures for contamination with peanut and celery allergens. *Journal*
19
20 526 *of AOAC International* 87(6):1448–57.
21
22 527 Stephan O, Vieths S. 2004. Development of a real-time PCR and a sandwich
23
24 528 ELISA for detection of potentially allergenic trace amounts of peanut (*Arachis*
25
26 529 *hypogaea*) in processed foods. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Chemistry*
27
28 530 52(12):3754–60.
29
30 531 Taberlet P, Gielly L, Pautou G, Bouvet J. 1991. Universal primers for
31
32 532 amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Molecular*
33
34 533 *Biology* 17(5):1105-1109.
35
36 534 Taylor CF, Charlton RS, Burn J, Sheridan E, Taylor GR. 2003. Genomic
37
38 535 deletions in MSH2 or MLH1 are a frequent cause of hereditary non-polyposis
39
40 536 colorectal cancer: Identification of novel and recurrent deletions by MLPA.
41
42 537 *Human Mutation* 22(6):428-33.
43
44 538 Wenz H, Robertson JM, Menchen S, Oaks F, Demorest DM, Scheibler D,
45
46 539 Rosenblum BB, Wike C, Gilbert DA, Efcavitch JW. 1998. High-precision
47
48 540 genotyping by denaturing capillary electrophoresis. *Genome Research* 8(1):69-
49
50 541 80.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legends

Figure 1. (A) Electropherogram of a sample containing a DNA mixture of peanut, cashew, pecan nut, pistachio nut, hazelnut, sesame, macadamia nut, almond, walnut and brazil nut. (B) Analysis of the commercial sample “organic mixed nut butter”. Electropherogram showing the detection of four tree nuts contained in the sample. Non- assigned signals correspond to the used length standards.

Table 1 Probes and primer

Target / GenBank Accession Nr	Left probe	Right probe	Ligation products (retention time) [nt]
Peanut / L77197	5'- GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG AGCGAG GCAGCAGTGGGA ACTC - 3'	P - 5' – CAAGGAGACAGAAGATGCCAGAG CCTCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	88 (87,10 ± 0,13)
Cashew / AY081853	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG ACTTATTA GATTAATTCAGTGGACTGC - 3'	P - 5' – CATGAAGTGAAGCAGTAGTAGAAGTCT AGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	92 (91,16 ± 0,17)
Pecan nut / DQ156215	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG ACACAATC CCTACTACTTTCACTCCCAGGGA - 3'	P - 5' – CTCAGGTCGAGACATGAGTCCG GGTCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	96 (94,67 ± 0,17)
Pistachio nut / Y07600	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG ACCTGAA CACGGCGAGCACAAAG - 3'	P - 5' – AGGGACTGGTGGAGAAGATCAAAGAC AAgtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	100 (99,37 ± 0,18)
Hazelnut / AF136945	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG AGATCACC AGCAAGTACCACACCAAGG - 3'	P - 5' – GCAACGCTTCAATCAATGAGGAGGA GAgtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	104 (102,75 ± 0,12)
Sesame seeds / AF240006	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG AgtgtgtTGA AGGGAGAGAAAGAGAGGAGGAGCAA - 3'	P - 5' – GAAGAACAGGGACGAGGGCGGATtg tgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	108 (108,11 ± 0,13)
Macadamia nut / AF161883	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG ACTTA ATCAACCGAGACAACAACGAGAGG - 3'	P - 5' – CTCCACATAGCCAAGTTCTTACAGACCA Ttgtgtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	112 (111,07 ± 0,14)
Almond / X65718	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG Agtgtgtgtgt CCATTACAAGTCTCCACCACCACCAC - 3'	P - 5' – CTTCTCCTACTCCTCCAGTCTACTCACC ACCgtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	116 (114,84 ± 0,12)
Walnut / AF066055	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG AgtgtgtgtgtGG CACAATCCCTACTACTTTCACTCCCAGAG - 3'	P - 5' – CATTAGGTCGAGACATGAGTCCGAGGA AGGtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	120 (119,80 ± 0,11)
Brazil nut / M17146	5' – GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGG Agtgtgtgtgtgtgt gtgGAGGAGGAGAACCAGGAGGAGTGTC - 3'	P - 5' – GCGAGCAGATGCAGAGACAGCAGgtgtgt gtgtgtgtgt TCTAGATTGGATCTTGCTGGCAC - 3'	124 (124,84 ± 0,09)
Primer R - unlabeled	5'- GTGCCAGCAAGATCCAATCTAGA - 3'		
Primer F – labeled	FAM – 5'-GGGTTCCCTAAGGGTTGGA - 3'		

Capitals : plant DNA
Bold capitals: primer binding site
 Lowercase fonts: spacer DNA

Table 2 Species used for the determination of the target specificity of the LPA method

plants			animals
anise	garlic	plum (leaf)	beef
apple	ginger	pumkin seed	chicken
apricot (leaf)	lemon grass	raspberry	duck
banana (leaf)	linseed	(leaf and fruit)	turkey
basil	maize	rice	pork
blackberry (leaf)	mango	rye	
cardamom	(pulp and paring)	sour cherry	
seeds	nectarine (leaf)	soya	microorganism
carrot	nutmeg	strawberry	
cinnamon	oregano	(leaf and fruit)	yeast
coconut	parsley	sunflower seed	
coriander	peach	sultana	
cumin	pear	sweet cherry	
curcuma	pepper (black)	wheat	
currant	pimento		
fennel	pine nut		

Table 3 Comparison of limits of detection

Sample	Detection limit [mg/kg]		
	LPA	Real-time PCR	ELISA
Peanut chocolate	5	5	5
Hazelnut chocolate	5	10	10
Peanut-spiked cookie	5	0.5	5
Pesto cashew	5	2	*
Walnut cookies with peanut, hazelnut, pecan, macadamia	1000 (peanut, pecan, macadamia)	1 (peanut)	100 (peanut)
	100 (hazelnut)	10 (hazelnut)	1 (hazelnut)

* no method available

Table 4 Analysis of commercially available samples by LPA

Product	Declared allergenic ingredients	Precautionary labeling	Detection
Spreads			
organic mixed nut butter	peanuts, hazelnuts, cashews, almonds	-	peanut, cashew, hazelnut, almond
nutella	hazelnut 13 %	-	hazelnut
Sausages			
original Thuringian sausage	walnuts	-	n.d.
mortadella with pistachios	pistachios 1%	-	pistachio
Convenience food dressings			
pesto alla Genovese	cashew nuts	-	cashew
saté dressing	peanuts 21%, peanut flavor	-	peanut
pesto goutweed (<i>Aegopodium podagraria</i>)	macadamia nut	-	macadamia nut
Dairy products			
yoghurt with almonds	almonds 2%	-	almond
milkshake pistachio-cocos	pistachio pulp	-	pistachio
Sweets/ Cookies			
chocolate bar	hazelnut 5 %	traces of almond, peanut and other nuts	hazelnut
hazelnut bar	hazelnut mark 3,4%	traces of nuts and other seeds	hazelnut
hazelnut bar with honey	hazelnut 66 %	traces of peanut, sesame or other nuts	hazelnut, peanut
peanut bar with honey	peanut 68 %	traces of sesame or nuts	peanut, hazelnut
sesame seed bar with honey	sesame 68 %	traces of peanut or other nuts	sesame
praline hazelnut	hazelnut 30,5 %, almonds	traces pistachio	hazelnut, almond
praline pistachio	almonds 12,5 %, pistachio 6,5 %	traces hazelnut	almond, pistachio
praline coconut	almonds 5 %	traces egg, hazelnut, pistachio	almond, pistachio
gingerbread	hazelnuts, walnuts, almonds, cashew nuts, apricot kernels	traces of other nuts and kernels	cashew, hazelnut, almond, walnut

Figure 1