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Abstract

Although the existence of phenological impact on biomass partitioning in the plant is known for many species, it is difficult to quantify this effect and to unravel it from the complex functional processes that interact during plant growth. This work explores the variations of biomass allocated to fruits according to simple changes in the topological and phenological development of Arabidopsis thaliana plants. Four plants of the same genotype (ecotype Columbia) were grown in controlled conditions in growth chamber. Their topological differences were studied using the functional-structural model GreenLab. It showed that when fitting the four plants with a single set of parameters, but each plant being given its own topological structure, half of the biomass variability can be reproduced.

1. Introduction

The existence of a link between plant phenology and biomass allocation, and more particularly reproductive allocation is a well-known phenomenon for many plant species [1][2][3]. For example, using single-mutation lineages of Arabidopsis thaliana, Baker et al. [2] found a pleiotropic covariation between flowering time and sexual allocation. However, this kind of relationship is often difficult to quantify. Moreover, it is crucial to unravel the complex influences of all the interacting processes: genetic or environmental, phenological or functional... This is where modelling can play a role. It provides a simplified framework to analyze plant growth and to test hypotheses.

In order to take into consideration topological variations between plants, models should be able to account for both architectural and functional developments [4]. GreenLab [5][6][7] is such a functional-structural model. It is a dynamic mathematical model that combines a description of plant architecture at the level of organs and computes biomass production and repartition among organs according to a source-sink approach.

This paper explores the variations of biomass allocation in the inflorescence of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. plants (ecotype Columbia) in relationship with topological variations. Although it is not a plant of agronomic interest, Arabidopsis is a perfect model-plant for this kind of study where the environmental and genetic backgrounds need to be carefully controlled. Moreover, its development is similar to other herbaceous ones (e.g. chrysanthemum, canola) so the procedures and the results can be generalized. We first present briefly the model specificities for Arabidopsis, integrating branching patterns. It was calibrated on average target data that were measured on four plants grown in controlled conditions, without environmental stress. The model was then used to investigate the influence of the topological structure of inflorescences on biomass partitioning. Indeed, the compartment demands depend on the number of organs that may appear or expand at each cycle. A sensitivity analysis can reveal which proportion of the biomass variability can be explained by this simple mechanism. In application, the ability of the model to fit the experimental data obtained on four plants with a single set of parameters is tested, plants differing only by their topological structures and elongation delays for the lateral axes of the inflorescences.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental data

Four plants of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. plants, ecotype Columbia (Col-0), were grown in a growth chamber. Seeds were sown with a pipetman in plastic pots (9 cm high, 4.5 cm diameter) filled with a mixture (1:1, v:v) of a loamy soil and organic compost. The water content of the substrate was maintained at a constant level of 0.40 g·g\(^{-1}\) of dry soil. The photoperiod was 12h and light in the growth chamber was provided by a bank of a cool-white fluorescent tubes and sodium lamps. Mean daily cumulative photosynthetic photon flux density in the growth chamber was approx. 8 mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). Mean air temperature was 19.3 °C and air humidity was maintained at approximately 75%. Mean leaf-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD leaf-air) was calculated continuously during the experiment and maintained below 0.8 kPa.

From leaf emergence to the end of vegetative growth, the seedlings were photographed every 2-3 days to follow the rosette development. The projected leaf area was measured using image analysis software (Bioscan-Optimas V 4.10, Edmonds, WA). The rate of phytomer appearance, expansion duration and specific weight of each leaf were recorded from experiments in [10]. Their topologies were recorded by counting and positioning the internodes, leaves and fruits on a schematic drawing. Note that the part situated above the lowest silica for each axis was considered as a single organ, called fruit hereafter. Their organs, including roots, were oven-dried at 80 °C for 4d and then weighted.

2.2. GreenLab model for Arabidopsis thaliana

2.2.1. The model. GreenLab is a generic model of dynamic plant growth whose parameter values are species-dependent. It considers organogenesis, biomass production and allocation at organ scale. A detailed description can be found in [5], [6] and [7]. Some specific features were chosen for Arabidopsis modelling: (i) as the model is used as a tool to analyze the growth processes, observable parameters are input directly from the measurements (in particular for leaf projected area) and (ii) as Arabidopsis inflorescence has a branching architecture with top-down delays, this new topological feature is introduced.

The elementary units for the modelling of organogenesis are phytomers. They can be gathered into categories according to their physiological ages. The physiological age (PA) of a phytomer characterizes the state of differentiation of its apical bud [11]. Each category has its own set of physiological and topological parameters. Concerning Arabidopsis, the basal rosette has been chosen as PA 1 (short internode, one leaf and one lateral bud) and the inflorescence phytomers as PA 2 (long internode, one leaf, one lateral bud and potentially one fruit); see Figure 1.

The model time step is defined according to the thermal time between the appearances of two successive phytomers. Biomass production is computed at each cycle. It is set to be proportional to the projected leaf area, which was input directly from the measurements to avoid biases due to its calculation. The conversion coefficient (R) between absorbed light and produced biomass is a parameter of the model. At each time step, the topological structure of the plant is updated according to the chosen branching rules. The total demand of the plant can be computed as the sum of the individual sink strengths of all existing organs. The biomass increment of an organ is proportional to its sink strength and to the ratio of available biomass over the total demand.

We detail below \( S_o \), the sink strength of an organ of type \( o \), \( o \in \{b, i, f, r\} \), respective indices for blade, internode, fruit and root and of physiological age \( k \). It depends on its chronological age \( i \) and on the axis it belongs to. An axis is characterized by its physiological age \( k \) and the growth cycle \( j \) it appeared at. The sink strength is thus written as:

\[
S_o(k,i,j) = P_o(k) \cdot f_o(i) \cdot d_o(k,i,j) \quad (1)
\]

where \( P_o(k) \) is the sink reference value for organ \( o \) of PA \( k \) and \( f_o(i) \) is an empiric function defining the evolution of the sink value according to its chronological age \( i \). It is defined through three parameters that were estimated from the observations for each leaf rank: \( a_o \) and \( b_o \) defining the curve shape and \( T_o \) which is the expansion duration. In Arabidopsis inflorescences, axes expand bottom-up, following the principle of apical dominance, as defined by Cline [12]. To model this feature, each axis of PA \( k \) appearing at cycle \( j \) is given a delay \( d_o(k,j) \) which is a parameter driving the sink emergence of organs belonging to this axis [13], as in Eq(2):

\[
d_o(k,i,j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i \leq d_o(k,j) \\
1 & \text{else}.
\end{cases} \quad (2)
\]

Geometrical shape of organs is only needed for visualization purpose and have no effect in the model. It is set following simple allometric rules. A detailed study of organ growth rates in length can be found in [14] and geometrical modelling and 3D-visualization in [15].
2.2.2. Identification procedure. The software Digiplante, developed in the lab of applied mathematics at Ecole Centrale Paris [16] was used to estimate the numerical values of GreenLab parameters from experimental data. A non-linear generalized least square algorithm was run; details can be found in [7]. The types of observations are represented in figure 1, on a plant simulated with Digiplante software. They consist in individual organ weights for each phytomer of the main axis and cumulated data by compartment for the lateral axes.

The average topology of inflorescences was simply defined as the average number of organs on each lateral axis. The number of phytomers in the basal rosette was assumed to be constant and the remaining leaves were set to belong to lateral shoots that did not reach their full expansion (only leaves were visible). As biomass weights were measured at compartment level for lateral axes, the average target can easily be defined. Figure 2 shows the fitting results for the fruit masses according to their positions in the inflorescence (the last one is that of the main shoot).

3. Results

3.1. Fitting on average target

![Figure 1. Plant architecture (with PAs) and target measurements to fit the model.](image)

![Figure 2. Comparison between average target data (black symbols) and fitted values (white symbols) for fruit weights.](image)

3.2. Influence of phenological and topological changes on biomass allocation

As shown in Figure 2, there is a high variability in the measurements of fruit masses (up to 100% variation). Although the sources of variations are difficult to detect (the plants were of the same genotype and grown in the same controlled environmental conditions), it is interesting to explore which proportion of biomass variability can be explained by phenological and topological variability in the model. The sensitivity of the model outputs to changes in the inflorescence topology can be illustrated through the simulated results of Table 1. When several organs are concerned (e.g. for internodes on the main stem), the average value is given.

![Table 1: Simulated biomass variation according to topological changes on lateral axes.](image)
either since they have almost finished their expansions when the changes occur. Although the variation of organ weights on the main axis is small, the trend is easily interpretable: increasing the appearance time of lateral fruits reduces the trophic competition that organs of the main axis have to face. The most important variations are to be seen on the lateral biomass compartments. A decrease of one phytomer induces an average increase of the fruit weight of 34%. This is the result of the combination of (i) a decrease in the global demand due to the lacking phytomers and (ii) a highest duration of expansion for the lateral fruits.

It is interesting to take advantage of this high variability of biomass to fit the four plants taking into account their own topological structures. The inflorescence topology was defined for each plant according to the records of the numbers of organs on lateral axes. Then delays were estimated for each axis independently by model inversion. All other parameters of the model were common to the four plants. Their values were found similar to the fitting results on average target (relative difference varies from 0.4% (for R) to 35% for fruit sink). Figure 3 presents the fitting results concerning fruit mass on lateral and main axes (logarithmic scale).

In Figure 3A, there is only one simulated plant to be compared with four observed plants (dotted lines). In Figure 3B, there are four simulated plants sharing the same set of parameters except for their inflorescence topology. The linear regression results show that part of the fruit biomass variability among the plants can be reproduced with variability on organ numbers and appearance delays only. The average variance of measured fruit masses is 1.74 (10^-5 g^2) and the simulated one is 0.95: simulations with estimated parameter values and variations on topology and phenology of the lateral axes produce a variance of fruit biomass which is 52% of the observed one.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The model parameters were estimated using average target data and average topology. Then, the estimated parameters were used to simulate plants with topological differences in their inflorescence architectures. It revealed that a spatial and temporal difference of one phytomer in the lateral axes could induce a variation in mass of 34%. Then a new estimation was done on four plants sharing the same set of parameters and only differing by their topological structures. These topological differences explained 52% of the variance of observed data.

However, this study raises several problems. The first one is to determine the causes of the topological variations among plants that are from the same inbred line and growing in the same controlled conditions. It can be hypothesized that micro-climate fluctuations (hydric, light, temperature), or small differences in seed weight [17], seed dormancy or sowing depth [18] could be the major factors.

Another problem is the determination of the exact axis delays: as they were not measured, they were estimated without constraints and thus their variability could be over-estimated compared to the real one. Instead of considering delays as input parameters of the model, a further step would be to link them to the functional state of the plant. As suggested in Mathieu et al. [6], a possible way would be to link the delay determination to the ratio of biomass supply over demand. This global key variable of the model reflects the trophic competition during plant growth and may thus be chosen as the main variable driving plant plasticity.
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