



Efficient and Playful Tools to Teach Unix to New Students

Matthieu Moy

► **To cite this version:**

Matthieu Moy. Efficient and Playful Tools to Teach Unix to New Students. ITiCSE, Jun 2011, Darmstadt, Germany. pp.0. hal-00574783

HAL Id: hal-00574783

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00574783>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Efficient and Playful Tools to Teach Unix to New Students

Matthieu Moy
Grenoble-INP (Ensimag), Verimag UMR 5104
Grenoble, F-38041, France
Matthieu.Moy@grenoble-inp.fr

ABSTRACT

Teaching Unix to new students is a common task in many higher schools. This paper presents an approach to such a course where the students progress autonomously with the help of the teacher. The traditional textbook is complemented with a wiki, and the main thread of the course is a game, in the form of a *treasure hunt*. The course finishes with a *lab exam*, where students have to perform practical manipulations similar to the ones performed during the treasure hunt. The exam is graded fully automatically.

This paper discusses the motivations and advantages of the approach, and gives an overall view of the tools we developed. The tools are available from the web, and open-source, hence re-usable outside the Ensimag.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

K.3.2 [Computers and Education]: Computer and Information Science Education; D.4.m [Operating Systems]: Miscellaneous

General Terms

Human Factors

Keywords

Unix, Education, Exam, Treasure Hunt

1. INTRODUCTION

Ensimag is a french engineering school of computer science and applied mathematics. The computing environment is essentially based on Unix (servers and workstations), which the students have to learn when they enter the school. The students therefore follow a quick unix-learning course at the beginning of the first year.

While this introduction to Unix has sometimes been considered as an unimportant course, we believe it is a fundamental mistake to underestimate its importance: the few

hours taken at the beginning of the year to learn and train with the basics influence the students' productivity for the next 3 years, and even if learning Unix is not a goal in itself, it conditions the success of further courses. This paper discusses the challenges and solutions set up in the Ensimag the last few years to reconsider the introduction to Unix as an important course, to motivate the students and teach them as efficiently as possible.

After detailing the context and our motivations in section 2, we give a quick overview of the course and training material in section 3. The main contributions of this paper are two tools used in the course:

- A set of exercises in the form of a *treasure hunt*, used by the students to train autonomously during the course (presented in section 4).
- A *lab exam* that allows grading the students at the end of the course, with practical manipulations (presented in section 5).

Both tools are published as open-source software, and could be re-used and adapted by other teachers/schools.

2. PARTICULARITIES OF THE UNIX INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATIONS

One challenging aspect of teaching Unix to beginners is the heterogeneity of students. All of them have used a computer prior to entering the school, but around half never used Unix before. On the other hand, a number of them had some exposure to user-friendly Linux distributions, and a small number are already command-line gurus. The difficulty is to let the course be effective to total beginners, while remaining interesting to the other students.

Our way to tackle heterogeneity is twofold. First, we designed the course to let the students learn at their own pace, with a maximum degree of autonomy. This is not hard since we teach them practical aspects first: all the classes are done in the computer rooms, one student per machine. The students essentially follow a textbook plus some on-line exercises, and teachers provide advices and answers to questions. Since the goal of the introduction is really to teach *practice*, we do not enforce team work like in e.g. [3], but ask each student to work individually. Mutual help is appreciated and encouraged, but we do not want a situation where one student holds the keyboard, and another watches without practicing.

We provide the students teaching material containing all the basics, but extensively use remarks targeted to more advanced users. For the most advanced users, we provide additional documentations on various topics and pointers

to external documentations, so that they can start learning concepts that they would otherwise miss, or learn a few months later.

A common example of advanced topic is revision control-system. Most users won't understand the need for one immediately, and we wait for some time before imposing them one. Still, encouraging a handful of students to start using it spreads the knowledge with a network effect: these students will encourage (or force!) their co-workers to use it. In short: teaching useful advanced concepts to advanced users also help beginners in the long run. Another subtle advantage of giving material to advanced users is that it helps keeping them in the machine rooms (as opposed to missing classes they don't need), indirectly promoting mutual help.

The other challenging aspect is the students motivation. Most students come from so-called "classes preparatoires" in the french system, with a huge exam pressure, and many of them expect the engineering school to be easy enough to pass exams without working.

Another issue with students' motivation is the desire to learn Unix and the command-line, as opposed to another operating system (like Windows or the graphical part of Mac OS). We do believe in the pedagogical qualities of Unix and the command-line, since they somehow *force* the user to understand what s/he's doing, but the students often don't understand the need to re-learn the computer basics (file manipulation, launching applications, ...), since they already know it with other paradigms on other platforms. The vast majority of students have a personal laptop with either Windows or Mac OS installed, and some of them wouldn't use Unix at all unless we find the right arguments.

In addition, the organization of the school does not leave room for a lot of teaching hours for this course. We have just 10 hours to teach them all this. For the slowest students, this is far below what would be needed, so we need to convince them to complement the classes with their personal work.

We therefore needed to work a lot on students motivation. The first thing we did was to start with very simple manipulation in a graphical environment, to avoid scaring new students at the first contact. The message perceive by students should be more like "look, you can still do the kind of things you're used to, *but you can also do many others*" than "forget all you know, and then learn". Unix and the command-line should not be the new things to be *scared* of, but the friendly companion which will help them to learn new things. For example, we show them how to surf the web, read their mail, and use a word processor before diving into the command-line. Also, changing the recommended text editor from `vi` to `emacs`, we noticed that students started to actually use the editor we recommended them (at the time when `vi` was recommended, the majority of students was using `nedit!`).

After avoiding to scare students during the first contact, a lot is still to be done to maintain their motivation during the course. One tool for this is the "treasure hunt" game described in section 4, that the students follow all along the course. The basic idea is a sequence of levels, designed such that accessing level $n+1$ requires performing a manipulation described at level n . This creates a little (sane) competition between students, and many of them really have fun while learning.

After setting up this treasure hunt and rewriting the textbook, we had very positive feedback from students and all

of those who completed the game were even thankful for the fun they had. However, less than half of the students did complete the game. Based on this observation, it was clear that we had to complement the course with the other side of students motivation: exams and grading. We therefore designed an exam, essentially based on the same manipulations as the treasure hunt, but for which the questions are independent. We will describe it in details in section 5.

3. BASIC TEACHING MATERIAL

Before detailing the main contributions of the paper, we give an overview of the course, and the teaching material. The teachers do not provide any lecture and only occasionally talks to the whole students group: to give the instructions, and to show a few demos for technical aspects that are better explained live than on a textbook or webpage.

3.1 Textbook

The course starts with the distribution of a textbook ([2], french only). The textbook is made to be read linearly. It was designed to allow multiple levels of reading: remarks for advanced users are identified visually (technically, using \LaTeX macros). Each notion taught by the textbook is illustrated immediately with a small exercise (also marked visually to draw the attention of students).

While previous versions of the textbook used to try being independent from the school, we decided to adapt it deliberately to the school and the course, making frequent references to the school's intranet, the particular configurations of the machines they are using... While this requires more effort from the teachers to maintain the book up to date, we believe this gives a real added value over a random Unix introduction found on the Internet.

Having the textbook in paper form makes reading long text more comfortable than on-screen reading, and the linear structure ensures everybody goes through all the important points.

3.2 EnsiWiki: Students' and Teachers' Wiki

In complement to the textbook, a wiki called EnsiWiki [5] is provided to the students. Historically, it is a merge of a wiki launched by the school and an independent initiative carried out by students. We try to maintain the equilibrium between teachers' and students' contributions (both having full write access).

As opposed to the textbook, the wiki is not meant to be linear. It doesn't have a beginning and an end, but is basically a set of pages with hyperlinks (plus a classification using the category system of MediaWiki). Students read pages that are of interest to them in the order they wish, and of course, add and improve pages as they wish: it's a wiki! Unlike the textbook, the wiki is not just a starting point, but will really accompany the students throughout their studies. It is public, and indexed by web search engines, so searching for information is usually relatively easy.

The duality between the textbook and the wiki can be summarized as follows: as a beginner, the textbook tells the students what they have to learn, but when the students know what they are looking for, the wiki should be able to provide them the information needed.

A positive side-effect of the wiki is that it increases the visibility of the school on the web. Some of the articles are of great quality (including many articles written by students!),

and are very well ranked on popular search engines.

4. TREASURE HUNT

4.1 Principle

As discussed above, the real challenge in this course is not to provide content to students, but to motivate them, and to make sure they work autonomously but efficiently. One tool we developed to accomplish this goal is the *treasure hunt* (called “jeu de piste” in its original version, since the course is in french).

The principle is simple, basically an electronic version of the children’s game: the first level contains instructions to reach the second, which itself contains instructions to get to the third, and so on. It contains 28 levels (plus 7 bonus levels to make sure the geekiest students—and teachers—to have fun too!). It can be seen as a Unix-ish, pedagogical version of *overture-facile* [1].

In theory, this is similar to a set of unrelated exercises, but in practice, this makes a real difference, with at least the following advantages:

The students cannot mistakenly think they completed the exercise. Either they solved the level, and know it, or they didn’t, without half-measure. This is a key point to allow autonomous work. *The students cannot skip an easy exercise.* When practical manipulations are proposed in the textbook, they are easily overlooked as too easy, and skipped. This can result in a false impression of having completed the work with the reasoning “I went through the textbook, that’s all too easy for me, I didn’t need to do the exercises”. *The students cannot skip a hard exercise.* Some levels are purposely hard, and almost unfeasible by beginners without help. The rationale is twofold: first, this encourages the students to help each other (the game itself is not graded, we ask the students not to give answers directly, but co-operation is welcome), and second, it forces students to ask for help to the teacher. Autonomous work doesn’t mean teacherless work: students go through the game at different speeds, but the teacher is indeed very active to answer questions. *Off course, this makes the sequence of exercises funnier than traditional ones.* Students are usually looking forward to reaching the last stage, and reading the textbook is a mandatory step to reach this. Not all students enjoy the fun of the game (at least, not all of them have as much fun playing the game than I had *creating* it!), but on average, the effect on motivation was very positive.

Note that these advantages come with a risk: students blocked at one level would miss the end of the game. It is the teacher’s role to make sure this does not happen, by advising students, and sometimes by making surveys (who started the treasure hunt? Who went past level *X*?...).

4.2 Contents of Levels

The nature of the game requires the instructions for each level to be hidden, and only discoverable by following instructions. We use essentially two kinds of tricks to achieve this:

- Instructions obfuscated with simple encryption schemes, typically variants of rot13. The text is easily available, but can be deciphered only with the instructions.
- Instructions in a file, in a non-listable directory. Files are either in the filesystem of a machine the students have access to (the Unix permission `--x` on directo-

ries allows giving access to files when user know their names), or on a website with directory listing disabled (so, students can easily access a level when they know its URL only).

The game follows the chapters of the textbook. Following are some examples of levels:

Internet: The game starts with a rot13-encoded piece of text. The player is told that rot13 is used, without being told it is. The expected solution is basically to search the web, and find, e.g. <http://rot13.com>, which allows online deciphering very quickly. As with many other levels, the solution of the level gives a few comments on the solution and the way to find it. In this case, the text insists on the need for students and future engineers to be able to quickly find the information.

Next steps include some navigation in the wiki, a script sending an email to the students, so that they are forced to read their email.

Basics: In this section, the students must copy a file from another user’s directory. The file is an obfuscated Ada (the language taught in first year in the Ensimag) source-code that must be compiled and executed to provide instructions.

Useful Applications: This section consists in opening files made for various applications. Students have to compile a \LaTeX file, open an OpenOffice.org file and a PNG image.

Text Editor: Again, students are provided Ada source code. This time, the file is very long, and contains a few syntax errors. Being familiar with a text editor (typically, being able to jump to a given line number) is almost mandatory. Then, another piece of code is given to the students, but split into 3 pieces, in a text file, within the instructions, and in an OpenOffice.org file, to force students to do inter-applications cut-and-paste.

Commands and Tools, and Bash: These two chapters are key ones, where students learn the essentials of the command-line. Players have to use a few commands like `file`, `grep`, `find`, `sort`, `diff`, `tar`, `chmod`, find hidden files, play with input/output redirects (`|`, `<` and `>`) and wildcards.

The hardest level consists in finding the biggest file within a directory (and its sub-directories). Students usually need the help of their teacher, which gives a good opportunity to explain or re-explain the concepts of pipe and the `xargs` command, with a solution along the lines of “`find . -type f | xargs wc -c | sort -n | tail -n 2`”. Not all students really understand the complete command-line, but exposing them once to a complex command gives them a hint on what it’s possible to do with Unix once they master it, and therefore what they would lose by not learning it.

Remote access: This chapter provides a few ways for the students to access a machine remotely, trying to answer the common question “how can I work with the Ensimag’s machines and my personal laptop” with tools like SSH. Students have to fetch a file from a remote machine with `sftp`, and to execute remote commands with `ssh -X`.

Bonus Levels: This section is presented to students as non-mandatory. Beginners are not supposed to be able to solve all levels when they enter the school, but should be able to do so after a few months. Levels include finding information in HTTP headers of a webpage, basic shell-scripting, navigating in the history of a directory managed by the Git revision-control system, using `strace` or navigating in the `/proc/` virtual filesystem, and using SSH private/public keys. The last level gives a pointer to the source code of the

generation scripts. Students are encouraged to contribute new levels (but none actually did up to now).

4.3 Generation Library

The complete set of scripts used to generate the treasure hunt is available on the web, and is open-source. The instructions given to students are in french, but the source code is written and commented in english, with a relatively clean separation between library code and the actual code for each level, so it can easily be adapted to other schools, in other languages.

Technically, the library provides a few source code obfuscation functions (to generate unreadable \LaTeX , Ada or C code), and plain text encoding/decoding. For example, script generating the level about input/output redirects takes the instructions for the next level, encodes it, and provides the students a decoder that will read the encoded instructions on its standard input. It can be found online at the following URL: <http://gitorious.org/unix-training>

4.4 Students Feedback

The feedback from students completing the treasure hunt can be found on EnsiWiki¹. Only 101 students out of 210 provided feedback. We have unfortunately no way to distinguish students who did not provide feedback because they didn't take the time to do it, and ones who didn't because they did not complete the game (the next version of the game will detect automatically when students reach some levels). The result is probably biased towards positive feedback.

Still, the majority of comments are *very* positive. For example, we can count 6 occurrences of "thank you", which is in our experience seldom used in students feedback. The most frequently used words include "good", "friendly", "playfull", "instructive", ... Many students confirm that they did manage to complete the game without having prior knowledge about Unix.

Since the starting point of the game is public, 2nd year and 3rd year students have access to it too. We had several requests to install the necessary files on the servers they use, because they wanted to play, too! Some students even offered to host the game on their club's server.

5. LAB EXAM

Despite the very positive feedback we got from students actually completing the textbook and treasure hunt, this turned out to be insufficient to motivate *all* the students to actually complete the work. We have therefore set up an exam, designed to be very easy for anyone having done the work seriously, and very hard otherwise. Since the whole course is done in computer-rooms, it would make no sense to have a theoretical exam, so the exam is also done on computers, and consists of a set of technical manipulations.

5.1 Principle

The exam is made of a set of questions, highly inspired from the treasure hunt. The questions are independent: instead of giving access to the next level, the manipulation asked provide a key, that is used to answer questions on a web interface.

To fix the ideas, the first (simple) question is "The answer for this question is in the file `c73df134.txt` in your working directory (it is a text file)". The student's account contain a file named `c73df134.txt`, whose content is "The answer is `3d61f5e5`", and the students must copy the string `3d61f5e5` in a web-interface to validate the answers.

The design was done with the following ideas in mind:

Automatic grading: the exam was set up to force the students' work, but should not overload the teachers. Setting up the exam was a rather large one-time effort, but grading should be as simple as executing a script to collect the answers.

Immediate feedback: the obvious problem with automatic grading is that automatic tools do not distinguish "almost correct answers" and "actually correct" ones. To solve this issue, the student get an immediate feedback: when giving a correct answer, the question is validated as correct, and otherwise, the students get an unlimited number of retries. This implies that the answers of the questions have to be impossible to find by trial-and-error.

Hard cheating: students are close to each others in the computer rooms. To avoid easy cheating, the exam is generated on a per-students basis. For almost all questions, the answer is different from a student to the next, even though the technical manipulation required to obtain it is the same. Questions are sorted in a pseudo-random order (which is possible since questions are independent). Also, during the exam, the machine's network is restricted with a firewall.

Simple technologies: since it is used for grading, the robustness of the exam infrastructure is critical. Also, we wanted the infrastructure to be re-usable outside the school. We have therefore chosen the simplest technologies to accomplish this, with a rather unix-ish design: shell-scripts generate the exam, and the web-interface used during the exam is simple PHP+SQL scripts (tested with both MySQL and PostgreSQL). No JavaScript, no external dependencies.

At the beginning of the exam session, the machines are initialized with an account containing only the files needed for the exam. During the exam, the students will have to perform manipulations on this set of files, and will validate the answers through a web-interface (a single web-page showing a text-box and a submit button for each question). The answers are stored in a database, and the grades are extracted from this database at the end of the exam.

To differentiate the answers for each students, the answers are pseudo-random (typically looking like `3d61f5e5`). Actual random would be possible, but with the great drawback of being non-reproducible. Cryptographic hash functions [6] provide an elegant solution to this: we compute the answer to each question as the sha1 sum of the student's login concatenated with the name of the question, and a secret key for each exam. This way, regenerating the exam several times yields the same answers each time (which can be crucial if something goes wrong and the exam has to be regenerated at the last minute...).

5.2 Content of the Exam

The exam contains 28 questions where students are asked to compile Ada and \LaTeX code, to find files in a directory containing hundreds of subdirectories and files, to extract zip, tar and gz compressed files, to play with input/output redirects, find the size of a file, the destination of a sym-

¹http://ensiwiki.ensimag.fr/index.php/Discussion:TP_Unix_-_Jeu_de_pist bolic link, to use `sort`, `grep`, `diff`, `kill`, to use Control-z to

suspend a running executable, to download a file with `sftp`, to connect to an account with `ssh`, ... The exam is feasible in 30 minutes by an expert user, and we let 1 hour to the students, so that most of them do not have time to reach the end. This way, we test students on their speed as well as their skills.

5.3 Demo Mode

During the exam, users are identified with the IP address of their machine, and answers are recorded in a database. We made a variant of the generation scripts that do not use any authentication, and stores answers in PHP session variables (i.e. simple storage based on browser cookies). In this mode, the students can practice with a few questions, getting the same interface as the real one, but their answers are not transmitted to teachers. Such a demo was put online during the course, with trivial questions to get used to the web interface, and a few questions extracted from the actual exam. Some examples are available online². Next year, this demo will be integrated as one level of the treasure hunt.

5.4 Generation Library

As for the treasure hunt, the technical infrastructure behind the lab exam is published as Open Source. We did not publish the full set of questions, to avoid students finding it and publishing ready-made solutions, but this can be distributed in private upon request.

The generation library consists in a set of shell-scripts functions. The user defines two shell functions per questions. These functions will be called once per student. One gives the question, as will be displayed to the student (possibly depending on the student's login), and the other sets up the files as will they will be stored on the student's account. The first argument to this function is the expected answer. For example, the trivial question mentioned in 5.1 is implemented as:

```
desc_question_text () {
    echo "The answer for this question is in the file
<tt>$(hash textfile).txt</tt> in your working directory
(it is a text file)."
```

Notice the use of `hash` to compute the file name. It is provided in the generation library, and implements the pseudo-random based on `sha1sum` described in section 5.1. The same code-obfuscation library as the treasure-hunt is used.

The execution of this script will provide a directory containing one subdirectory per student, with the content of their account (then, other mechanisms have to be used to deploy it on students machine), and an SQL file to initialize the database with questions, and expected answers. We also provide the PHP files needed for the web interface during the exam.

The generation library, and a heavily commented example of exam that serves as documentation, can be found at the following URL: <http://gitorious.org/unix-training>

6. CONCLUSION

²<http://www-verimag.imag.fr/~moy/demos-unix-training/>

We presented the “introduction to Unix” class of the Ensimag. Starting from a relatively standard content based on a textbook, we introduced a wiki, and then two novel tools: the treasure hunt allows learning autonomously in a playful way, and the lab exam ensures the most recalcitrant find a motivation to complete the work.

In the past, we have already set up several lab exams, essentially in programming where the delivery is a program. This one differs from the others in that the skills tested are purely practical, and indeed relatively basic. Hence, we ask various small, independent manipulations. Previous exams have been very successful at motivating/forcing the students work. Prior to this, the practical skills were tested on team work, and many students were relying on their teammates. We believe to have made it much harder for them to fall through the cracks, and generally feel that students are becoming more comfortable with our computing environment.

We do not have students feedback other than their grades as far as the exam is concerned, but the treasure hunt received a very warm feedback. We could already feel the effect of the exam on the presence of students during the classes: the last two ones are non-mandatory, and only 10 to 15 students attended them last year, compared to about 100 (i.e. half) this year! The grades for the exam were surprisingly good. 18% of students got all answers correct, and the average grade was 15.2/20.

The technical infrastructure we developed can be compared to Linuxgym [4], which is based on a complete, modified, Linux server on which the students log in to get problems to solve. The focus of Linuxgym is scripting, while our goal is an introduction to day-to-day use of Unix. We believe the playful aspect of the treasure hunt, and the fact that the hunt is done directly on the student's machines makes it more motivating and more concrete for a first contact, but we will evaluate Linuxgym for the more advanced Unix courses in the school.

The content of the course was tailored for an introduction to Unix. The principle clearly does not apply to theoretical courses, and is probably not applicable as-is in programming courses: both the treasure hunt and the exam take advantage of the fact that each manipulation can be solved in a few minutes, while most interesting programming problems would take hour(s). Still, the concept can probably be adapted to other classes involving practical aspects (network courses would be nice candidates).

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Ouverture facile, flash riddles. Web site. <http://ouverture-facile.com>.
- [2] Ensimag. Introduction à Unix—l'environnement de travail à l'Ensimag. Student's textbook, 2008-2010. (english title: Introduction to Unix—Working environment in the Ensimag, France), <http://www-verimag.imag.fr/~moy/spip/?article74>.
- [3] M. A. S. Hurtado and C. Vivaracho-Pascual. Learning unix in first year of computer engineering. In *ITiCSE*, page 392, 2005.
- [4] A. Solomon. Linuxgym: software to automate formative assessment of unix command-line and scripting skills. In *ITiCSE*, page 353, 2007. Software available online from <http://linuxgym.com/>.

- [5] Teachers and students in the Ensimag. Ensiwiki, 2008-2010. <http://ensiwiki.ensimag.fr/>.
- [6] Wikipedia. Cryptographic hash function — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2010.