

# Non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in meat in cattle reared under organic, intensive or conventional production systems

Isabel Blanco-Penedo, Marta López Alonso, Marta Miranda, Joaquin Hernández, José Luis Benedito, Richard Frederick Shore

## ▶ To cite this version:

Isabel Blanco-Penedo, Marta López Alonso, Marta Miranda, Joaquin Hernández, José Luis Benedito, et al.. Non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in meat in cattle reared under organic, intensive or conventional production systems. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2009, 27 (01), pp.36-42. 10.1080/02652030903161598 hal-00573901

## HAL Id: hal-00573901 https://hal.science/hal-00573901

Submitted on 5 Mar 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**



#### Non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in meat in cattle reared under organic, intensive or conventional production systems

Journal:	Food Additives and Contaminants
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2009-043.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Jun-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Blanco-Penedo, Isabel; University of Santiago de Compostela, Animal Pathology Alonso, Marta; University of Santiago de Compostela, Animal Pathology Miranda, Marta; University of Santiago de Compostela, Ciencias Clínicas Veterinarias Hernández, Joaquin; University of Santiago de Compostela, Animal Pathology Benedito, José; University of Santiago de Compostela, Animal Pathology Shore, Richard; Lancaster Environment Centre, c Centre for Ecology & Hydrology
Methods/Techniques:	Metals analysis - ICP, Toxicology - animal study
Additives/Contaminants:	Toxic elements, Trace elements
Food Types:	Animal products – meat

### SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# Non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in meat in cattle reared under organic, intensive or conventional production systems

I. Blanco-Penedo<sup>a</sup>, M. López-Alonso<sup>a\*</sup>, M. Miranda<sup>b</sup>, J. Hernández<sup>a</sup>, J.L. Benedito<sup>a</sup>,
 R.F. Shore<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Departamento de Patoloxía Animal,
Facultade de Veterinaria, 27002 Lugo, Spain. <sup>b</sup>Universidade de Santiago de
Compostela, Ciencias Clínicas Veterinarias, Facultade de Veterinaria, 27002 Lugo,
Spain. <sup>c</sup>Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library
Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4AP, UK.

#### 10 Abstract

We evaluated if differences in non-essential and essential trace element accumulation in beef-cattle reared under different systems (including organic, conventional and intensive management) were reflected in the meat derived from these animals. Diaphragm muscle from 166 calves from nine farms were analysed. Muscle cadmium concentrations were low (<10 µg/kg wet wt.) and muscle arsenic, mercury and lead concentrations were below limits of detection (<12, 2 and 3  $\mu$ g/kg respectively) in most (77-97%) samples; there were no significant differences between farms. Essential trace element concentrations in muscle were generally within adequate physiological ranges and, although they varied significantly between farms, this was not apparently related to management practices. There were no significant correlations in element concentrations between muscle and liver or kidney (organ concentrations that better reflect exposure), except for cobalt 

2 3	<b>n</b> n	(positive acceptation) and zine (possitive acceptation). Non acceptial and acceptial
4	23	(positive association) and zinc (negative association). Non-essential and essential
5 6 7	24	trace element concentrations in muscle in our study animals thus did not generally
8 9	25	reflect differences in exposure. This is particularly relevant for animals reared in
10 11	26	systems (such as organic farms) where cattle are exposed to somewhat higher
12 13	27	levels of non-essential elements (probably due to soil ingestion when grazing) but
14 15 16	28	also can suffer from mineral deficiencies.
17 18 19 20	29	
21 22 23	30	Keywords: beef cattle; farming systems; non-essential elements; essential trace
24 25 26	31	elements; muscle; nutrition.
26 27 28 29	32	
30 31 32	33	*Corresponding author: M López-Alonso. E-mail: marta.lopez.alonso@usc.es.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	34	
58 59 60		2

#### 35 Introduction

There have been various food scares over the past decade that has involved meat products. These include Salmonella in chicken, antibiotics in pork, Escherichia coli and banned growth promoters in beef, and the BSE crisis (Tarrant, 1998; Gambelli et al. 2003). These have contributed to a growing consumer awareness of food production methods that do not use chemicals and that are more in harmony with the natural environment. This, together with promotion of the importance of healthy food, has contributed to the recent rapid development of organic farming in the European Union (Vaarst and Hovi, 2004; von Borell and von Sorensen, 2004; Willer and Yussefi, 2006). Organic farming practices represent an alternative to the progressive intensification that has occurred in conventional animal production. 

The quality of organic beef is affected by the production system used, particularly with respect to the grazing and exercise regimes which are integral components of any organic beef production system (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005). It is well documented that grazing cattle involuntarily ingest a certain amount of soil (up to 18%; Thornton and Abrahams, 1983) which can lead to a significant exposure to non-essential elements and other toxic compounds (such as pesticides, microbiological toxins, and medicinal products) that may be present in the soil (Falandysz et al., 1994; Sharpe and Livesey, 2005). This exposure scenario has also been described for other livestock species, such as organically-reared pigs (Linden et al. 2001) and hens (Kijlstra 2004). However, home-grown feed, and accompanying restrictions on mineral supplementation, can also lead to dietary deficiencies in organically farmed animals (Falandysz, 1993; Vaarst and Hovi, 

58 2004). In particular, restrictions on feed supplements and prophylactic parasite 59 control in organic beef production can lead to mineral deficiencies (Roderick and 60 Hovi, 1999; MacNaeidhe, 2001) and result in poor body condition and production. 61 Thus, there are potential conflicts between practices that are employed to ensure 62 food safety and promote more "natural" livestock production and those used to 63 enhance animal welfare (Vaarst and Hovi, 2004).

In terms of meat quality, different feeding systems have been studied to assess product quality in relation to post-mortem proteolysis, tenderness, meat flavour (Andersen et al. 2005) and chemical residues—mainly hormones and antibiotics (Smith et al. 1997). Although it has been well documented that farm practices can significantly affect assimilation by cattle of non-essential elements in key organs such as the liver and kidneys (López-Alonso et al. 2000), there is no information, as far as we are aware, on how can such practices affect non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in muscle, the main cattle product eaten by people. 

We have recently examined how the assimilation of non-essential and essential trace element concentrations differs in the liver and kidneys of beef cattle in NW Spain between farms that vary in their production systems, particularly in the extent to which they graze cattle on pasture and provide dietary concentrates (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2008, 2009). Calves that were from farms which largely or exclusively grazed livestock on pasture and provided little or no mineral supplementation had the highest tissue concentrations of non-essential elements. We hypothesise that this is because pasture-grazed cattle ingest soil particles to

which non-essential elements adhere. There was also evidence of some essential
trace element deficiency in cattle from farms that use low amounts of concentrates
and do not provide mineral supplements. The objective of the current study was to
evaluate if differences in non-essential and essential trace element accumulation
by cattle that are related to farm production practices are reflected in meat destined
for human consumption.

#### 88 Material and methods

#### 89 Farm selection

Farms were selected from the districts of Baralla (B), Montederramo (M) and Vilalba (V) in Galicia (NW Spain). In each district, a conventional (C), intensive (I) and organic (O) farm from the same neighbourhood were selected. The farms were similar in most respects other than their grazing and supplementary feeding regimes. Detailed information including farm size, feeding regime, other husbandry and management practices, and non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in soils and diet (forage and concentrate feed) have been summarised elsewhere (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2008, 2009). 

#### 98 Sample collection

A sample of diaphragm muscle (about 200g) was collected at the time of slaughter,
which was when animals were between 7 and 10 months old. Samples were

packed in plastic bags, immediately placed on ice, transported to the laboratory,
then stored at -18°C until processing.

#### 103 Sample analysis

Approximately 2 g sub-samples were digested in 5 ml of concentrated nitric acid (Suprapur grade, Merck) and 2 ml of 30% w/v hydrogen peroxide in a microwave digestion system (Milestone, Ethos Plus; Italy). Digested samples were transferred to polypropylene sample tubes and diluted to 25 ml with ultra-pure water.

Elements present at very low concentrations (arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), mercury (Hg) and lead (Pb)) were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; VGElemental PlasmaQuad SOption) whereas elements that occurred at higher concentrations (copper (Cu), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo), selenium (Se) and zinc (Zn)) were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-OES; Perkin Elmer Optima 4300 DV). An analytical quality control programme was applied throughout the study. Blanks were run alongside samples and concentrations in samples were blank corrected as necessary. The limits of detection in the acid digest were calculated as three times the standard deviation of the reagent blanks (Table 1) and were based on the mean sample weight analysed. 

120 Analytical Quality assurance

Analytical recoveries were determined from a Certified Reference Material (Pig
kidney CRM 186, BCR Reference Materials, Belgium) that was analysed alongside

S V CR

unknowns. There was generally good agreement between the measured and certified or indicative values (Table 1). The CRM was not certified for Co and Mo and analytical recoveries were determined for these elements using samples spiked at a concentration that gave absorbance values some 2-10 times greater than the normal levels in muscle. Mean recoveries were 89% and 96% respectively. The precision of the analytical method, calculated as the relative standard deviation (RSD) of Co and Mo concentrations in 10 digests of the same sample, were between 5.8 and 9.3 %. 

#### 131 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done using the program SPSS for Windows (v.15.0). Non-detectable concentrations were assigned a value of half the detection limit when calculating mean element concentrations in muscle. Data were tested using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and were generally not normally distributed. They were therefore log-transformed before analysis, and average concentrations are therefore given as geometric means.

One-way Analysis of Variance followed by Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) *post-hoc* tests were used to test for differences in non-essential and essential trace element concentrations between farms. The significance of correlations between the levels of non-essential and essential trace elements in muscle and liver and kidney in each farm were calculated using Spearman rank correlation analysis. In all cases statistical significance was taken to be indicated by p<0.05.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Non-essential element concentrations in muscle from cattle from different farms are presented in Table 2. Overall, concentrations were low, and with the exception of Cd, most samples (96.6 % for As and Hg, 77.3% for Pb) had levels which were not detectable. The remainder of samples had concentrations close to the detection limit. Cd concentrations were all below 10 µg/kg wet weight. In general, non-essential element residues in meat in this study were similar to those described in previous studies in cattle in NW Spain (López-Alonso et al. 2000; 2004) and were within the range of those described in other countries (for review see López-Alonso et al. 2000). None of the samples analysed in the current study exceeded the maximum admissible levels for Cd and Pb (0.050 and 0.01 mg/kg fresh weight respectively) established by the European Commission (2001). The European Commission has not established statutory limits for As and Hg but Hg residues, when detected, were 1000-fold lower than those allowed in fish (European Commission 2001). 

No significant differences were found between farms in muscle Cd concentrations (mean values ranged from 3.16 to 4.07 µg/kg wet weight) nor in the proportion of samples with detectable residues for the other non-essential elements (Table 2). There was no significant association between Cd concentrations in muscle and those in the liver or kidney (Table 3). This is broadly consistent with findings elsewhere that suggest that muscle concentrations of non-essential elements, except perhaps for As, are not closely related to the level of exposure, (Vreman et al. 1988). 

Page 9 of 24

Essential trace element concentrations in muscle from cattle from different farms are presented in Figure 1. In general, and with the exception of Se, essential trace element concentrations in cattle muscle were within the ranges described by Puls (1994) as adequate and were similar to those reported for cattle muscle in most other countries (for review see Jorhem et al. 1989; López-Alonso et al. 2000). In contrast. Se concentrations in cattle from all farms in the current study were above the normal range (0.070-0.150 mg/kg wet weight; Puls 1994) and were higher than concentrations reported in cattle elsewhere (Jorhem et al. 1989). Indeed, most animals in the present study had muscle Se concentrations within the high (0.250-0.500 mg/kg) or toxic (0.500-1.500 mg/kg) range. However, the ranges for essential element concentrations proposed by Puls (1994) are not comprehensive and do not take into account possible differences between different types of muscle. Comparison of results from separate studies superficially suggest that essential trace element concentrations in muscle vary 2-3 fold between cattle from different countries (Jorhem et al. 1989; López-Alonso et al. 2000) even when essential trace element concentrations in the liver and kidneys are comparable and within the adequate physiological range. However, differences in muscle element concentrations more likely reflected differences in the type of muscle analysed. For example, cattle diaphragm has been found to contain nearly twice the Cu and Se concentration of pectoral muscle (López-Alonso et al. 2000; López-Alonso *unpublished data*), perhaps reflecting greater metabolic activity in the diaphragm.

There were statistically significant differences between farms for most essential
 trace element concentrations in muscle (Figure 1). As with inter-farm differences in

liver and kidney concentrations (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2009), there was no evidence of any specific pattern of differences between regions or different types of farm. Cattle from the organic farm in Montederramo (MO) had the lowest mean Co, Cu, Ni and Se concentrations found in our study. This was the only farm where a high proportion of animals had hepatic Co and Se concentrations that were within the marginal or deficient range, and (together with the organic farm in Baralla (BO)), had calves with hepatic Cu concentrations that were below the range considered adequate (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2009). Calves from the MO farm also had significantly higher Zn muscle concentrations than cattle from other farms although, surprisingly, calves from the MO farm had the lowest mean Zn hepatic and renal concentrations that we recorded (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2009). The highest mean concentrations in muscle of most of the essential trace elements (Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo and Se) were in cattle from the intensive farm in Vilalba (VI), although it did not correspond with a higher intake of these elements in the diet or higher concentrations in the liver or kidney (Blanco-Penedo et al. 2009). 

When analysing the relationship between essential trace element concentrations in muscle and those in the liver or kidney (data from Blanco-Penedo et al. 2009), the only significant positive association was for Co; muscle Co concentrations were positively associated with Co concentrations in both liver and kidney (Figure 2; Table 3). There was also a significant negative association between Zn concentrations in the muscle and those in the liver and kidney (Table 3). Taking into account that essential trace element concentrations in the liver, and to a lesser extent in the kidney, are the best indicators of the mineral status in calves (López-

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**

Alonso et al. 2000), our results indicate that, at least under the conditions of our study, essential trace element concentration in muscle is generally not indicative of mineral status. This is presumably because muscle, unlike the liver and kidney, does not have essential trace element storage capacity. At adequate dietary intakes, mineral concentrations in muscle may be most closely related to protein synthesis and the predominant type of metabolism in the muscle (Schricker et al. 1982).

Although our results did suggest that muscle Co might correlate with Co status, this has not been found elsewhere. Van Ryssen et al. (1987) compared tissue Co concentrations in cattle given little or no dietary Co supplementation (Co requirement in cattle is 0.1 mg/kg DM: NRC 2001) with those in cattle given large Co supplements (10 and 40 mg/kg DM). The authors found that although liver and pancreatic Co concentrations significantly increased with Co supplementation (increase from 7.60 to 11.15 mg/kg dry weight in liver, and from 7.88 to 10.69 mg/kg dry weight in the pancreas), there was no concomitant increase in muscle Co (8.36 vs 8.56 mg/kg dry weight). It is possible that the low dietary levels of Co in cattle in our study were below those necessary to maximise metabolic activity (although normal or adequate Co concentrations have not been established for muscle; Puls 1994) and so Co concentrations in the muscle of cattle from farms where Co nutrition was better may have significantly increased alongside concentrations in the liver and kidney. 

The negative association that we found between Zn concentrations in the muscle
and those in the liver and kidney (Figure 3) was also surprising. In a previous study

in cattle with adequate Zn status in NW Spain (López Alonso 1999), Zn concentrations in the liver and kidney were strongly and positively correlated (as in this study;  $R_s=0.801$ , p<0.01) but there was no significant association between muscle Zn and either liver or kidney Zn. In cattle, Zn tissue concentrations are efficiently regulated by homeostatic mechanisms and, once optimal physiological concentrations (30 mg/kg DM: NRC 2001) are reached. Zn supplementation has no significant effect on Zn muscle levels (Kessler et al. 2003). Differences in tissue Zn concentrations between cattle receiving adequate Zn dietary concentrations could be due to factors such as age, sex, or production class (milk or beef cattle) (Puschner et al. 2004). It is also possible that other dietary components may influence the distribution of zinc in the body. For example other elements such as Cu and Cd have similar chemical and physical properties to Zn and compete for metabolic binding sites in metallothioneins (López-Alonso et al. 2002). It is possible that the negative association between Zn concentrations in the muscle and liver and kidney in the current study may be due, at least in part, to some of these confounding factors. 

#### **Conclusions**

Our results indicate that differences in non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in the liver and kidney of cattle from different production systems on farms in Galicia are not reflected in the non-essential and essential trace element content in the meat. This is especially relevant for animals reared in systems (such

2		
3 4	259	as organic farms) where weaned calves are exclusively reared by being grazed on
5 6	260	pasture and, as a result, accumulate elevated hepatic and renal non-essential
7 8 9	261	element levels (probably due to soil ingestion) but also can suffer from mineral
10 11	262	deficiencies.
12 13 14 15	263	
16 17 18	264	Acknowledgements
19 20 21	265	This study was supported by the Xunta de Galicia (Spain)
22 23	266	(PGIDT02RA6261001PR). I. B. P. is a recipient of a research fellowship (AP2003-
24 25 26	267	3835) from the Ministry of Science of Spain. We thank Nieves Muñoz for statistical
27 28	268	assistance.
29 30 31 32	269	
33 34 35	270	References
36 37 38	271	Andersen HJ, Oksbjerg N, Young JF, Therkildsen M. 2005. Feeding and meat
39 40	272	quality- a future approach. Meat Sci. 70:543-554.
41 42 43	273	Blanco-Penedo I, Benedito JL, Shore RF, Miranda M, Castillo C, López-Alonso M.
44 45	274	2008. Farming factors affecting the accumulation of toxic chemical elements in
46 47 48	275	calves in NW Spain. Submitted
49 50 51	276	Blanco-Penedo I, Shore RF, Miranda M, Benedito JL, López-Alonso M. 2009.
52 53	277	Factors affecting trace element status in calves in NW Spain. Livest Sci. 123(2-
54 55 56	278	3):198-208.
57 58		
59 60		13

European Commission 2001. Commission Regulation EEC466/2001. Setting Maximum Levels for certain contaminants in Foodstuffs. Commission of the European Communities, Brussels.

Falandysz J. 1993. Some toxic and essential trace metals in swine from Northern
Poland. Sci Total Environ. 136:193-204.

Falandysz J, Kotecka W, Kannan K. 1994. Mercury, lead, cadmium, manganese,
copper, iron and zinc concentrations in poultry and sheep from the northern part of
Poland. Sci Total Environ. 141:51-57.

Gambelli D, Naspetti S, Vairo D. 2003. Why are consumers buying organic meat
and milk? A qualitative study of the Italian market. Proceeding of the 1st SAFO
Workshop; 5-7 September; Florence, Italy.

Jorhem L, Sundström B, Astrand C, Haegglund G. 1989. The levels of zinc, manganese, selenium, chromium, nickel, cobalt, and aluminium in the meat, liver and kidney of Swedish pigs and cattle. Z Lebensmi Unters Forsch. 188:39-44.

Kessler J, Morel I, Dufey P-A, Gutzwiller A, Stern A, Geyer H. 2003. Effect of
organic zinc resources on performance, zinc status and carcass, meat and claw
quality in fattening bulls. Livest Sci. 81:161-171.

Kijlstra A. 2004. The role of organic and free poultry production systems on the
dioxin levels in eggs. Proceedings of the 3rd SAFO Workshop, 16–18 September
2004, Falenty. Reading.

2	
3 4 5	
5	
6	
7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
11	
14	
10	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
22	
22 23 24 25	
24	
25	
26	
26 27	
$\gamma o$	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
37 38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Linden A, Andersson K, Oskarsson A. 2001. Cadmium in organic and conventional
pig production. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 40:425-431.

301 López Alonso M. 1999. Estudio de los principales elementos contaminantes en
302 Ganado vacuno de Galicia. PhD thesis, [Spain]: University of Santiago de
303 Compostela.

López-Alonso M, Benedito JL, Miranda M, Castillo C, Hernández J, Shore RF. 2000. Toxic and trace elements in liver, kidney and meat from cattle slaughtered in Galicia (NW Spain). Food Addit Contam. 17:447-457.

López-Alonso M, Benedito JL, Miranda M, Castillo C, Hernández J, Shore RF.
2002. Contribution of cattle products to dietary intake of trace and toxic elements in
Galicia, Spain. Food Addit Contam. 19:533-541.

López-Alonso M, Prieto Montaña F, Miranda M, Castillo C, Hernández J, Benedito JL. 2004. Interactions between toxic (As, Cd, Hg and Pb) and nutritional essential (Ca, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Se, Zn) elements in the tissues of cattle from NW Spain. BioMetals 17:389-397.

MacNaeidhe FS. 2001. Pasture management and composition as a means of minimizing mineral disorders in organic livestock. Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> NAHWOA Workshop; November; Rodding, Denmark.

NRC (National Research Council) (2001). Nutrient requirements of Beef Cattle. 7<sup>th</sup>
 revised edn. National Academic Press, Washington DC.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
9 10 11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
26 27 28	
28	
29	
30	
31	
31 32 33 34	
33	
24	
34	
35 36	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
<del>5</del> 0	
51	
51	
52 53	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Nielsen BK, Thamsborg SM. 2005. Welfare, health and product guality in organic 319 beef production: A Danish perspective. Livest Sci. 94:41-50. 320 321 Puls R. 1994. Mineral levels in animal health. Clearbrook: Sherpa International. 322 Puschner B, Choi Y-K, Tegzes JH, Thurmond MC. 2004. Influence of age, sex, and 323 production class on liver zinc concentration in calves. J Vet Diagn Invest. 16:278-324 282. 325 Roderick S, Hovi M. 1999. Animal health and welfare in organic livestock systems: identification of constraints and priorities. A report to MAFF p. 65. 326 Schricker BR, Miller DD, Stouffer JR. 1982. Content of zinc in selected muscles 327 from beef, pork, and lamb. J Food Sci. 47:1020-1020. 328 Sharpe RT, Livesey CT. 2005. Surveillance of suspect animal toxicoses with 329 330 potential food safety implications in England and Wales between 1990 and 2002. Vet Rec. 157:465-469. 331 Smith GC, Heaton KL, Sofos JN, Tatum JD, Aaronson MJ, Clayton RP. 1997. 332 Residues of antibiotics, hormones and pesticides in conventional, natural and 333 organic beef. J Muscle Foods. 8:157-172. 334

Tarrant PV. 1998. Some recent advances and future priorities in research for the
 meat industry. Meat Sci. 49:S1-S16.

Thornton I, Abrahams P. 1983. Soil ingestion—a major pathway of heavy metals
into livestock grazing contaminated land. Sci Total Environ. 28:287–294.

2		
3 4	339	Vaarst M, Hovi M. (2004). Organic livestock production and food quality: a review
5 6 7	340	of current status and future challenges. Proceedings of the 2nd SAFO Workshop;
7 8 9 10	341	March 2004; Witzenhausen, Germany.
11 12	342	Van Ryssen JBJ, Miller WJ, Gentry RP, Neathery MW. 1987. Effect of added
13 14	343	dietary cobalt on metabolism and distribution of radioactive selenium and stable
15 16 17 18	344	minerals. J Dairy Sci. 70:639-644.
19 20	345	von Borell E, von Sorensen JT. 2004. Organic livestock production in Europe:
21 22	346	aims, rules and trends with special emphasis on animal health and welfare. Livest
23 24 25 26	347	Sci. 90:3-9.
27 28	348	Vreman K, van der Veen NG, van der Molen EJ, de Ruig WG. 1988. Transfer of
29 30	349	cadmium, lead, mercury and arsenic from feed into tissues of fattening bulls:
31 32 33 34	350	chemical and pathological data. Neth J Agr Sci. 36:327-338.
35 36	351	Willer M, Yussefi M. 2006. The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and
37 38	352	Emerging Trends. IFOAM, Bonn.
39 40 41 42		

Table 1. Results of the analytical quality control programme used in the determination of non-essential and essential trace elements 

Element	Blank (n=16)	Detection limit	Certified Reference Material	
	Mean ± SD	(µg/g)	certified levels	analysed levels
	(µg/l)		mean $\pm$ SD (mg/kg)	mean $\pm$ SD (mg/kg)
As	0.98 ± 0.3	0.012	0.063 ± 0.009	0.069 ± 0.006
Со	0.04 ± 0.2	0.076		0.151 ± 0.054
Cr	0.5 ± 0.26	0.010	(0.058-0.142)	0.198 ± 0.043
Cd	0.10 ± 0.03	0.001	2.710 ± 0.150	2.711 ± 0.122
Cu	3 ± 1.9	0.072	31.9±0.4	29.1 ± 1.48
Fe	29.5 ± 1.66	0.063	299±10	283 ± 15.8
Hg	0.15 ± 0.05	0.002	1.970 ± 0.040	1.852 ± 0.111
Mn	0.69 ± 1.00	0.038	8.5±0.3	7.85 ± 0.51
Мо	0.58 ± 0.36	0.014		3.39 ± 0.29
Ni	0.95 ± 0.05	0.035	(0.420)	0.544 ±0.256
Pb	0.235 ± 0.09	0.003	0.306 ± 0.011	0.318 ± 0.041
Se	16.6 ± 8.33	0.317	10.3±0.5	11.9 ± 1.07
Zn	22.2 ± 2.50	0.095	128±3	128 ± 6.73
numbers in parentheses are indicative values				

#### Page 19 of 24

1

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
2 3 4 5 6	
6	
7	
8	
õ	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
24	
31	
32	
33	
34	
25	
35	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
57	
58	
59	

60

Table 2. Non-essential (As, Cd, Hg and Pb) element concentrations in muscle
(µg/kg wet weight) in cattle in our study. Abbreviations for farms are as follows B:
Baralla, M: Montederramo, V: Vilalba, C: Conventional, I: Intensive, O: Organic

Farm		As	Cd	Hg	Pb
BC	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>13 (13)</td><td>13</td><td>13 (13)</td><td>13 (1</td></ld)<>	13 (13)	13	13 (13)	13 (1
	Geometric mean	ND	3.73	ND	1.
	Range	ND-ND	2.10-6.13	ND-ND	ND-15
BI	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>14 (13)</td><td>14</td><td>14 (14)</td><td>14 (1</td></ld)<>	14 (13)	14	14 (14)	14 (1
	Geometric mean	6.83	3.48	ND	1.
	Range	ND-28.1	1.82-5.62	ND-ND	ND-1
во	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>14 (14)</td><td>14</td><td>14 (13)</td><td>14 (1</td></ld)<>	14 (14)	14	14 (13)	14 (1
	Geometric mean	ŇĎ	3.62	<b>1</b> .06	2.
	Range	ND-ND	2.28-6.60	ND-5.56	ND-18
МС	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>14 (14)</td><td>14</td><td>14 (13)</td><td>14 (*</td></ld)<>	14 (14)	14	14 (13)	14 (*
	Geometric mean	ŇĎ	3.16	1.03	2.
	Range	ND-ND	1.87-4.86	ND-3.30	ND-1
MI	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>13 (11)</td><td>13</td><td>13 (13)</td><td>13</td></ld)<>	13 (11)	13	13 (13)	13
	Geometric mean	6.95	3.57	ND	2.
	Range	ND-14.5	2.16-7.05	ND-ND	ND-5.
МО	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>8 (7)</td><td>8</td><td>8(8)</td><td>8</td></ld)<>	8 (7)	8	8(8)	8
	Geometric mean	6.82	3.20	ŇĎ	1.
	Range	ND-14.5	2.02-5.81	ND-ND	ND-1
VC	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>14 (14)</td><td>14</td><td>14 (14)</td><td>14 (*</td></ld)<>	14 (14)	14	14 (14)	14 (*
	Geometric mean	ND	4.07	ND	2
	Range	ND-ND	2.76-7.21	ND-ND	ND-8
VI	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>14 (14)</td><td>13</td><td>14 (13)</td><td>14 (<sup>.</sup></td></ld)<>	14 (14)	13	14 (13)	14 ( <sup>.</sup>
	Geometric mean	ND	3.59	1.07	2
	Range	ND-ND	1.72-5.67	ND-5.78	ND-1
VO	N ( <ld)< td=""><td>15 (15)</td><td>15</td><td>15 (14)</td><td>15 (<sup>-</sup></td></ld)<>	15 (15)	15	15 (14)	15 ( <sup>-</sup>
	Geometric mean	ND	4.02	1.05	2
	Range	ND-ND	1.76-8.93	ND-5.22	ND-7.

Table 3. Rank correlations between mean non-essential and essential trace element concentrations in muscle and liver and kidney in each farm in cattle in our study. Results are expressed as Spearman rank correlations coefficient and probability (\*p<0.05, \* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001). Correlations have been not calculated for As, Hg and Pb because most samples had not detectable concentrations

Element (N)	Muscle <i>vs.</i> liver	Muscle <i>vs.</i> kidney
Cd (118)	-0.247	-0.165
Co (119)	0.875 **	0.913 ***
Cr (119)	-0.089	-0.367
Cu (164)	0.120	0.594
Fe (166)	-0.074	-0.137
Ni (119)	0.224	0.535
Mn (165)	0.608	0.325
Mo (165)	0.133	-0.587
Se (166)	0.256	-0.104
Zn (166)	-0.837 **	-0.704 *

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tfac Email: fac@tandf.co.uk

**Figure captions** 

Figure 1. Bar chart showing essential trace element concentrations in muscle (expressed as geometric means and geometric standard error) in the farms in our study. Abbreviations for farms are as follows B: Baralla, M: Montederramo, V: Vilalba, C: Conventional, I: Intensive, O: Organic. Different letters denote statistically significant differences between farms at p<0.05.

Figure 2. Scatterplot showing the relationship between Co concentrations in the muscle and liver and kidney.

Figure 3. Scatterplot showing the relationship between Zn concentrations in the muscle and liver and kidney.





