Multiphoton ionisation of atoms with soft x-ray pulses

M Richter¹, S V Bobashev², A A Sorokin^{1,2,3} and K Tiedtke³

¹ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Abbestraße 2-12, 10587 Berlin, Germany

² Ioffe Physico-Technical Inst., Polytekhnicheskaya 26, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia

³ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Notkestraße 85, 22603 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail: mathias.richter@ptb.de

Abstract. The new soft x-ray Free-Electron Laser in Hamburg (FLASH) has opened the doorway to totally new experiments of materials research on nanometer and femtosecond scales. However, the mechanisms of photon-matter interaction are not well understood under the conditions of ultra-high photon intensities in conjunction with short wavelengths. In this context, we have quantitatively investigated nonlinear photoionisation of rare gas atoms at FLASH by ion mass-to-charge spectroscopy and, thus, also the limits for the application of gas-ionisation detectors for the characterisation of x-ray lasers. By strong beam focusing, we have achieved irradiance levels beyond $10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ at about 40 eV photon energy and up to $10^{16} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ in the Extreme Ultra-Violet (EUV) at about 90 eV. Here, surprisingly high degrees of photoionisation were observed on Xe atoms. By comparison with other rare gas targets, it emerged that the excitation of inner-shell resonances might play a significant role on the degree of atomic perturbation by the radiation field.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Rm, 32.80.Fb, 41.60.Cr, 42.50.Hz

1. Introduction

The development of x-ray lasers has been a vision of the science community for many years. In 2005 it became reality when the Free-electron LASer in Hamburg (FLASH) started user operation in the soft x-ray regime [1, 2]. Together with the SCSS test accelerator at Spring-8 in Japan starting in 2007 [3] and the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) in Stanford starting recently [4], soft and hard x-ray laser sources are now available for the photon energy range from 20 eV to a few keV. These Free Electron Laser (FEL) facilities are based on Self-Amplified Spontaneous Emission (SASE) [5] and provide pulse energies up to 100 μ J within pulse durations of a few up to 300 fs, i.e. at peak power values of more than 1 GW. The highly intense and ultra-short soft and hard x-ray pulses allow, in particular, time-resolved studies of materials to be performed on femtosecond scales. In this context, the dynamics of chemical reactions on surfaces or within biological systems are scheduled to be investigated by microscopic snapshots using a focused FEL beam [6-9]. However, focusing increases the energy flux density, i.e. the irradiance of the laser field. At FLASH, irradiance levels up to 10^{16} W cm^{-2} have already been achieved [10]. Here, photon-matter interaction may be affected by nonlinear processes as known from optical radiation [11, 12].

At high photon energies, nonlinear dynamics represent, however, a largely unknown territory. It concerns, in particular, the photoionisation and photoelectron emission process which is of fundamental importance on materials research in the x-ray regime [13]. Thus, studies on photon-matter interaction at high photon energy and intensity are significant in this context for the interpretation of experimental results obtained with the current and future x-ray lasers in fields like plasma physics, new materials, femtochemistry, and biochemical structure and dynamics. For the investigation of nonlinear processes from a fundamental point of view, such as multiphoton excitation and ionisation, gas-phase experiments are well suited, because the influence from the nearest neighbours may be detected by varying the gas pressure and can largely be suppressed by working at a sufficiently low target density. At FLASH, experiments on nonlinear processes were performed on small molecules and rare gases by ion Time-Of-Flight (TOF) mass/charge spectroscopy [10, 14-18], electron spectroscopy [19], and ion recoil momentum spectroscopy using a so-called reaction microscope [20-24]. This paper on ion TOF spectroscopy on rare gas atoms is related to the development of photon diagnostic tools for X-ray lasers that are based on gas-phase photoionisation [14, 25-28]. The work was performed within a scientific cooperation between the Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), the Ioffe Institute St. Petersburg, and the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany's national metrology institute.

2. Experiment

Figure 1 shows the different focusing geometries that were applied for our experiments. For the measurements at photon energies around 40 eV, the 15- μm focus (FWHM) of the beamline BL2 at FLASH was used (figure 1a) [14]. The FEL beam was introduced into a homogeneous gas target at moderate pressures from 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} Pa to avoid any space charge or other effects from neighbouring atoms. Generated photoions were extracted towards the TOF spectrometer entrance aperture by a homogenous electric field. The aperture width of 1 mm along the beam ensured photoion collection exclusively from the focus region with a Rayleigh length larger than 1 mm. The ions were detected by means of an open multiplier whose efficiency was tested to be linear in the range from 1 to 10^6 particles detected per FEL pulse. The whole apparatus could be moved ± 2 cm along the FEL beam in order to vary the beam cross section and, thus, the mean irradiance within the interaction volume accepted by the TOF spectrometer.

During our measurements in the EUV at photon energies between 90 and 93 eV, the FEL beam was focused by means of spherical Mo-Si multilayer mirrors at a 20 cm distance from the TOF interaction volume, as shown in figure 1b and figure 1c. Profiting from optical technology development in the field of EUV Lithography (EUVL), a mirror reflectance in the order of 68% under normal incidence was achieved [29] and microfoci of 3 to 5 μm in diameter (FWHM) could be realised in back-reflexion geometry [10, 17]. As a result, the irradiance levels were higher by one to two orders of magnitude than during our measurements in the BL2 focus. In the first EUV measurement geometry, the FEL was directly focused (Figure 1b) while in the second, the BL2 focus was de-magnified by the multilayer mirror (figure 1c). In both cases, an aperture stop of 1.5 mm in height was used in combination with a spectrometer entrance aperture of 1.0 mm in height to prevent ion signals from the incident unfocused radiation. Moreover, the aperture width along the FEL beam was reduced, compared to the measurements in the BL2 focus, to about 0.3 mm to account for the much shorter Rayleigh length. Instead of the apparatus, the mirror was moved along the FEL beam to vary the beam cross section and the irradiance within the interaction volume. Similar geometries for photoionisation experiments on atoms at ultra-high irradiance have meanwhile been realised also at the SCSS test accelerator at Spring-8 [30].

For our experiments, emphasis was laid on the quantitative characterisation of the FEL radiation. Online detection of absolute pulse energy in the range from 1 to 100 μJ was performed by using Gas-Monitor Detectors (GMDs) [25, 26]. Their absolute calibration was performed in the PTB laboratory at the electron storage ring BESSY II and at PTB's new Metrology Light Source (MLS) in Berlin-

Adlershof [31, 32]. FEL beam diameters in the micrometer regime were determined applying a nonlinear target depletion effect [14]. FEL pulse duration in the range from 10 to 40 fs was estimated from the spectral distribution and confirmed by an autocorrelation technique [27, 28]. Thus, the irradiance values up to $10^{16} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ achieved could be determined traceable to SI units with 25% relative standard uncertainty.

Some of our data were normalised to the number N of target atoms within the interaction volume V . N was determined with, again, relative standard uncertainties in the order of 25% according to $N = pV/kT$ at which the (homogeneous) target gas pressure p and the temperature T were measured by means of a calibrated spinning rotor vacuum gauge and a calibrated Pt100 resistance thermometer, respectively. k denotes the Boltzmann constant. The interaction volume V was calculated, for each individual spectrometer-mirror distance, according to $V = z\pi d^2/4$ with the respective FEL beam diameter d (determined via target depletion [14]) and the width of the spectrometer entrance aperture along the FEL beam z . Due to our homogeneous ion extraction field, the latter represents a measure for the length of the interaction volume along the FEL beam.

3. Target depletion

Target depletion occurs if a considerable percentage of the targets within the interaction volume is ionised by a single photon pulse which may happen at the new X-ray laser sources. While for the first photons within a laser pulse, 100% of the targets are available to be photoionised, the target number may already be strongly reduced for the last photons of a pulse, which explains this type of nonlinearity. In the simplified case of a rectangular beam profile, the number N_{ion} of ions generated per pulse, normalised to the number N of target atoms within the interaction volume, is described by an exponential saturation function of the photon number per pulse N_{ph} [14]:

$$\frac{N_{ion}}{N} = 1 - \exp\left(-N_{ph} \frac{\sigma}{A}\right) \quad (1)$$

Hence, saturation due to target depletion depends on the photoionisation cross section σ and the FEL beam cross section A only. Figure 2 shows an example of such a saturation curve which we have obtained on Ne atoms in the BL2 focus of FLASH (figure 1a) at the photon energy of 38.0 eV. The effect was used as a new method to derive FEL beam diameters and, from that, focus size and beam waist [14, 33]. For this purpose, the absolute photon number per pulse was measured with the aid of a calibrated GMD, and the measured saturation curves were fitted by equation 1, using the known one-photon single ionisation cross section of Ne $\sigma(38.0 \text{ eV}) = (8.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ [34].

4. Sequential and simultaneous multiphoton ionisation

If a considerable percentage of the targets within the interaction volume is ionised by a single photon pulse, the generated ions represent a new sort of target which can be further ionised within the same pulse. In particular, sequences of photoionisation processes may occur as long as the photon pulse duration is short enough, so that the atomic and ionic targets do not drop out of the interaction volume:

This type of sequential multiphoton ionisation is restricted to photon energies above the respective ionisation thresholds. As an example, the sequential two-photon double ionisation was studied at FLASH, firstly on molecular nitrogen at the photon energy of 38.0 eV [15] and later on Ne atoms in the same spectral range [16]. These experiments have demonstrated that (soft) X-ray lasers like FLASH allow the investigation of ions that are prepared by the highly intense photon pulses themselves, i.e. as intermediate targets from initial atomic or molecular targets in a first step of a photoionisation sequence according to equation 2. This idea was applied to determine photoionisation cross sections for different ion species, e.g. of Ne: the one-photon single ionisation cross section of $\text{Ne}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ne}^{2+}$ (second step in figure 3(b)) and the simultaneous two-photon single ionisation of $\text{Ne}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ne}^{3+}$ (third step in figure 3(c)), at 42.8 eV photon energy, and the two-photon single ionisation cross section of $\text{Ne}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ne}^{2+}$ at 38.4 eV photon energy (second step in figure 3(d)) [16]. The latter process was, however, probably affected by a $2p \rightarrow 4s$ resonance at 38.2 eV [35] so that it may be interpreted as a two step rather than a single step two-photon ionisation. Resonant multiphoton processes via $\text{Ne}^+ 2p \rightarrow n\ell$ resonance states were particularly studied also between 41 and 42 eV photon energy [18].

In table 1, absolute cross section values are listed that were derived from the dependence of the Ne^{2+} to Ne^+ and Ne^{3+} to Ne^{2+} ion-yield ratios on photon exposure N_{ph}/A and, with $\hbar\omega$ and Δt denoting photon energy and FEL pulse duration, irradiance $E = (N_{ph}/A) \cdot (\hbar\omega/\Delta t)$. For this purpose, the data points were fitted, as shown in figure 4, by applying the general power law of perturbation theory for the rate of n-photon processes:

$$\dot{N}^{(n)} = N \sigma^{(n)} \left(\frac{E}{\hbar\omega} \right)^n \quad (3)$$

with the respective generalised n-photon ionisation cross sections $\sigma^{(n)}$ and the number N of (intermediate) targets within the interaction volume.

Table 1 also comprises the value of $(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-52} \text{ cm}^4\text{s}$ for the simultaneous two-photon double ionisation cross section of $\text{He} \rightarrow \text{He}^{2+}$ at 42.8 eV photon energy, evaluated from the measured He^{2+} to He^+ ion-yield ratio which is shown in figure 4, too [16]. The simultaneous two-photon double ionisation of He has been a showcase of considerable interest during the last few years demonstrated by numerous theoretical papers (see, e.g., [36-44] and references therein). A satisfying calculation of the corresponding generalised cross section from first principles, however represents a challenge, because the results differ by almost one order of magnitude as shown in figure 5. The experimental data, estimated from a high-harmonic generation (HHG) experiment performed at 41.8eV [45, 46] and resulting from our study performed at 42.8 eV at FLASH [16], lie within the two main groups of theoretical values. Good agreement with the experimental results has been achieved, so far, in the more recent work by Ivanov and Kheifets [43].

The strength of simultaneous multiphoton ionisation depends on powers of irradiance E and, hence, also on the pulse duration Δt . The latter may, therefore, be experimentally determined by means of an autocorrelation technique [45]. Splitting an FEL pulse with the temporal pulse shape $f(t)$ into two parts with the irradiance amplitudes E_1 and E_2 and a temporal delay of t' ($E(t) = E_1 f(t) + E_2 f(t - t')$), one obtains, according to equation 3, for a simultaneous two-photon process:

$$\frac{N^{(2)}(t')}{N^{(2)}(t' \rightarrow \infty)} - 1 \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) f(t - t') dt \quad (4)$$

from which the pulse shape function $f(t)$ and, with that, the pulse duration may be derived. Figure 6 shows the result of a corresponding autocorrelation experiment performed at FLASH on the simultaneous two-photon double ionisation of He at 51.8 eV photon energy [28]. The Gaussian fit to the data points yields an autocorrelation width of 39 fs and the pulse duration of (28 ± 5) fs.

5. Multiphoton ionisation and strong-field phenomena in the EUV

Our FLASH results of nonlinear photoionisation presented in sections 3 and 4 can be well explained in terms of target depletion and multiphoton schemes. In the EUV, however, exceptional behaviour of light-matter interaction was found. With the aid of a high-quality industrial grade spherical Si/Mo multilayer mirror, of the type used in EUV-lithography [29], we have realised focal spots of 3 to 5 μm in diameter at photon energies from 90 to 93 eV and, hence, irradiance levels up to $10^{16} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ [10, 17]. The experiments were performed on rare gases in the set-up geometries shown in figures 1b and 1c. In the case of Xe, the situation is rather complex. Figure 7 shows the nonlinear appearance of

higher charge states with increasing EUV irradiance E obtained in the set-up geometry of figure 1b at 93 eV photon energy [10]. At $E = 7.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, Xe^{21+} occurs whose generation, starting from neutral Xe, requires a total energy of at least 5 keV. Plasma effects like electron impact from neighbouring atoms can be excluded, because the spectra do not depend on the target density. This means that almost 60 EUV photons at the energy of 93 eV must have been absorbed by an individual atom within the estimated FLASH pulse duration in the EUV of 10 to 20 fs.

In a first theoretical study on our Xe results, the high degree of photoionisation was explained by a sequence of one- and multiphoton ionisation processes according to equation 2 in which an ion created in a preceding step represents the target for a subsequent step [47]. This approach has to consider at least 19 steps to generate Xe^{21+} from atomic Xe which is related to 19 coupled differential rate equations with 19 individual multiphoton ionisation cross sections. The latter were not calculated from first principles but obtained through a technique of scaling, with occasional adjustment to the experimental data. The work represents, thus, rather a theoretical interpretation of the experimental results than an *ab initio* calculation.

On the other hand, in the spectral range of optical radiation, strong-field phenomena are often described also by so-called non-perturbative theories [11, 12]. Their applicability depends on the ponderomotive energy U_p :

$$U_p / \text{eV} \approx 1.44 \times \frac{E / (10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2})}{(\hbar\omega / \text{eV})^2} \quad (5)$$

which is the quiver energy transferred to a quasi-free electron by the oscillating field of an electromagnetic wave. However, U_p decreases with the square of the photon energy $\hbar\omega$ and is, thus, by far too low to explain multiphoton ionisation in the EUV at $E = 7.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$.

In order to obtain a deeper insight into the photoionisation process in the EUV at irradiance levels beyond $10^{15} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, we have, in a further experimental study, compared Xe with Ne, Ar, and Kr [17]. The gases were investigated at FLASH in the set-up geometry of figure 1c under equivalent conditions at 90.5 eV photon energy. Figure 9 shows the corresponding ion TOF spectra. At irradiance levels in the range from 1.5 to $1.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, the highest charge state observable with our experiments is 7+ for Ne, Ar, and Kr but 14+ in the case of Xe.

The particular behaviour of Xe observed when irradiated by ultra-high intensities in the EUV is also summarised in table 2. The minimum number $n_{\min}$ of EUV photons with 90.5 eV photon energy,

which must have been absorbed within a single FEL pulse by an individual atom to deliver the amount of energy for the highest charge state observable, is listed in the 4th row. It strongly varies from $n_{\min} = 5$ for Kr and Ar, $n_{\min} = 8$ for Ne, and $n_{\min} = 22$ for Xe. This is obviously reflected by the respective one-photon ionisation cross section values for 90.5 eV photons which are listed in the last row of table 2. In the case of Xe, the photoionisation cross section is strongly enhanced by the so-called giant $4d \rightarrow \epsilon f$ continuum resonance and amounts to $24 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$.

On the role of the $4d$ giant resonance for the interpretation of our results, a controversial discussion has started recently [47]. In comparison to the other rare gases, it is a particular feature of Xe and arises in the photon energy range from about 85 to 115 eV [48]. For many years, this resonance has represented a prime example of the impact of electron correlation on inner-shell photoionisation at low irradiance [49, 50]. The idea that the strength and nature of this resonance may also drive, at high irradiance, direct multiphoton excitation from the inner $4d$ shell constitutes the core of the controversy. However, future theoretical work and more advanced experiments may decide between the two proposals: (1) The $4d \rightarrow \epsilon f$ resonance plays a role just at the beginning of a sequence of one- and multiphoton ionisation processes by one-photon single-electron $4d$ excitation with subsequent Auger decay [47] or (2) the strong electron correlation within the $4d$ shell leads to a collective resonance resulting, at high irradiance, to multiphoton multiple-electron excitation in the $4d$ shell with subsequent decay [17].

6. Conclusion

From our gas-phase experiments performed in focused beams at FLASH, it emerges out that the photoionisation of matter is strongly affected by inner-atomic nonlinear behaviour at irradiance levels beyond $10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$. Most of the results we have obtained on rare gases can be well interpreted in terms of sequences of one-photon and multiphoton processes. How to explain the high charge states of up to 21+ observed at EUV irradiance levels between 10^{15} and $10^{16} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ on Xe atoms remains, however, still an open question. A perturbative approach seems to be challenging in this context, because nearly 50 photons have to be considered interacting with a single atom within 10 to 20 fs. Non-perturbative theories based on the ponderomotive motion of quasi-free electrons as developed for optical femtosecond pulses are not applicable either. Thus, further theoretical investigations, in particular, into the role of inner-shell (giant) resonances and collective effects on photoionisation in the high-intensity short wavelength regime, seem to be necessary. From the experimental side, the application of electron and fluorescence spectroscopy at FLASH, at the SCSS test accelerator at

Spring-8, at LCLS, or the upcoming XFELs in Japan and Hamburg, will certainly make a major contribution in this context.

The investigation of multiphoton processes on atoms at the new x-ray laser sources has at least two interesting perspectives. The first concerns the influence of nonlinear processes on photon-matter interaction and the mechanisms of x-ray induced destruction of materials by electronic excitation, in general. This aspect is significant for all x-ray laser applications in various fields of materials research. The second concerns a fascinating new field of fundamental science at the limits of a quantum mechanical and a semi-classical description of photoionisation.

Acknowledgement

We thank the FLASH team for the very successful operation of the FEL; we also thank T. Feigl, J. Feldhaus, M. Martins, R. Mitzner, J. Schneider, B. Sonntag, G. Ulm, and H. Zacharias for continuous support and many helpful discussions; support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) is gratefully appreciated.

References

- [1] Ayvazyan V *et al* 2005 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **37** 297
- [2] Ackermann W *et al* 2007 *Nat. Photonics* **1** 336
- [3] Shintake T *et al* 2008 *Nature Photon.* **2** 555
- [4] ScienceNOW, April 21, 2009
- [5] Ayvazyan V *et al* 2002 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **20** 149
- [6] O'Shea P G and Freund H P 2001 *Science* **292** 1583
- [7] Kapteyn H C and Ditmire T 2002 *Nature* **420** 467
- [8] Feldhaus J, Arthur J and Hastings J B 2005 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **38** S799
- [9] Bostedt C *et al* 2009 *Kai Siegbahn Memorial Volume of Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **601** 108
- [10] Sorokin A A *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 213002
- [11] Protopapas M, Keitel C H and Knight P L 1997 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **60** 389
- [12] Delone N and Krainov V 2000 *Multiphoton Processes in Atoms* (New York: Springer)
- [13] Siegbahn K, 1997 *X-rays in Natural and Life Sciences*, in: Haase A, Landwehr G and Umbach E (Eds.) *Röntgen Centennial* (Singapore: World Scientific) 397
- [14] Sorokin A A *et al* 2006 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89** 221114
- [15] Sorokin A A *et al* 2006 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **39** L299
- [16] Sorokin A A *et al* 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **75** 051402(R)
- [17] Richter M, *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 163002
- [18] Martins M *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. A* **80** 023411
- [19] Nagasono M *et al* 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **75** 051406(R)
- [20] Moshhammer R *et al* 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 203001
- [21] Rudenko A *et al* 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 073003
- [22] Jiang Y H 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 123002
- [23] Kurka M *et al* 2009 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **42** 141002
- [24] Jiang Y H *et al* 2009 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **42** 134012
- [25] Richter M *et al* 2003 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **83** 2970
- [26] Tiedtke K *et al* 2008 *J. Appl. Phys.* **103**, 094511
- [27] Mitzner R *et al* 2008 *Opt. Express* **16**, 19909
- [28] Mitzner R *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 025402
- [29] Feigl T, Yulin S, Benoit N and Kaiser N 2006 *Microelectron. Eng.* **83** 703
- [30] Motomura K *et al* 2009 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **42** 221003
- [31] Beckhoff B *et al* 2009 *Phys. Status Solidi B* **246** 1415
- [32] Saito N *et al* 2010 *Metrologia* **47** 21
- [33] Wellhöfer M *et al* 2007 *J. Opt. A* **9**, 749

- [34] Bizau J M and Wuilleumier F J 1995 *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **71** 205
- [35] Kramida A E *et al* 2006 *Phys. Scr.* **74** 156
- [36] Feng L and van der Hart H W 2003 *J. Phys. B* **36** L1
- [37] Laulan S, Bachau H 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 013409
- [38] Fomouuo E, Kamta G L, Edah G and Piraux B 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 063409
- [39] Nikolopoulos L A A and Lambropoulos P 2007 *J. Phys. B* **40** 1347
- [40] Horner D A *et al* 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 030701(R)
- [41] Ivanov I A and Kheifets A S 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **75** 033411
- [42] Feist J *et al* 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **77** 043420
- [43] Ivanov I A and Kheifets A S 2008 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **41** 095002
- [44] Piraux B, Fomouuo E, Antoine P and Bachau H 2008 *J. Phys. Conf. Series* **141** 012013
- [45] Nabekawa Y *et al* 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 043001
- [46] Hasegawa H *et al* 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **71** 023407
- [47] Makris M G, Lambropoulos P and Mihelic A 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 033002
- [48] Becker U and Shirley D A (Eds.) 1996 *VUV and Soft X-Ray Photoionization* (New York: Plenum Press) and references therein
- [49] Connerade J P, Esteva J M and Karnatak R C 1987 *Giant Resonances in Atoms, Molecules, and Solids* (New York: Plenum Press) and references therein
- [50] Amusia M Ya and Connerade J P 2000 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **63** 41

Table 1. Cross section values measured for different one- and two-photon ionisation processes on atomic, molecular, and ionic targets [15, 16, 18].

Photoionisation process	Cross section
One-photon single ionisation/dissociation of N_2^+ at 38.0 eV photon energy	$(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$
One-photon single ionisation of Ne^+ at 41.2 eV photon energy	$(3.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$
One-photon single ionisation of Ne^+ at 42.8 eV photon energy	$(7.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$
Two-photon single ionisation of Ne^+ at 38.4 eV photon energy	$(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-50} \text{ cm}^4\text{s}$
Two-photon single ionisation of Ne^{2+} at 42.8 eV photon energy	$(3.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-51} \text{ cm}^4\text{s}$
Two-photon double ionisation of He at 42.8 eV photon energy	$(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-52} \text{ cm}^4\text{s}$

Table 2. Highest charge state q_{max}^+ observed at irradiance levels in the range from 1.5 to $1.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, ionisation energy I required to reach this state starting from the atomic ground state, corresponding minimum number n_{min} of EUV photons of 90.5 eV photon energy which must have been absorbed within a single FEL pulse by an individual atom to deliver this amount of energy, and one-photon ionisation cross section σ at 90.5 eV photon energy for the rare gases Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe, respectively [17].

Gas	q_{max}^+	I / eV	n_{min}	$\sigma / 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$
Ne	7+	715	8	4.4
Ar	7+	434	5	1.35
Kr	7+	383	5	0.55
Xe	14+	1930	22	24

Figure captions

Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of the experimental set-ups as used for ion Time-Of-Flight (TOF) spectroscopy on atoms at FLASH: (a) in the focus of beamline BL2 [14-16]; (b) in the focus of a spherical EUV multilayer mirror [10]; (c) in the de-magnified BL2 focus using a spherical EUV multilayer mirror [17].

Figure 2. Number of Ne^+ ions generated per FEL pulse from Ne atoms measured as a function of the photon number per pulse at the photon energy of 38.0 eV (open symbols). The solid line represents a fit curve according to equation 1. The dashed line depicts a linear dependence for comparison [14].

Figure 3. Energy diagram and photoionisation schemes for neon: one-photon single ionisation (a), sequential two-photon double ionisation (b), and four-photon triple ionisation (c) by 42.8 eV photons and three-photon double ionisation (d) by 38.4 eV photons. The dashed lines indicate virtual and/or resonant states.

Figure 4. Ion-yield ratios as a function of mean pulse irradiance and photon exposure measured at 42.8 and 38.4 eV photon energy [16]. The lines represent fit curves according to equation 3.

Figure 5. Two-photon double ionisation cross section of He as measured at FLASH [16] and using an HHG source [45, 46]. Some theoretical predictions are shown for comparison: $\bullet\bullet\bullet$ [39], $\blacklozenge\blacklozenge$ [38], $\triangle\triangle\triangle$ [43], $\square\square\square$ [37], $\diamond\diamond\diamond$ [36], $\circ\circ\circ$ [39].

Figure 6. Double ionisation signal of He measured at 51.8 eV photon energy as a function of the time delay between two autocorrelator pulses (dots). The solid line represents a Gaussian function with 39 fs FWHM yielding a pulse duration at FLASH of (28 ± 5) fs. The dashed line represents a simulation with a three-pulse structure for the temporal pulse shape of the FEL [27, 28].

Figure 7. Ion time-of-flight (TOF) mass/charge spectra of Xe taken at the photon energy of 93 eV and different pulse irradiance levels [10].

Figure 8. Relative Xe^{q+} ion signal intensities as a function of the peak irradiance for different charge states $1 \leq q \leq 15$ at the photon energy of 93 eV. The symbols show the experimental data obtained at FLASH [10] whose initial slopes are shown by the dashed lines (for $q \leq 9$). The solid lines represent model calculations within the framework of a sequential multiphoton scheme [47].

Figure 1 (Fig01_Setups.png)

Figure 2 (Fig02_NeonSat.png)

Neon

Energy / eV

Figure 3 (Fig03_NeonEneregieScheme.png)

Figure 4 (Fig04_HeNeIntDep.png)

Figure 5 (Fig05_HeCrossSection.png)

Figure 6 (Fig06_HeAutocorr.png)

Figure 7 (Fig07_XeTOF.png)

Figure 8 (Fig08_XeIntDep_rev.png)

Figure 9 (Fig09_RareGasTOF.png)