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Abstract

Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ is a copper-free layered perovskite superconductor with the tetragonal K$_2$NiF$_4$-type structure. The precise nature of the pairing in the superconducting state of this material is still under debate. In this paper, we report about crystal growth and characterization of this compound. The crystals were grown by a floating zone technique using a light furnace equipped with double elliptical mirrors starting from off-stoichiometric Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. The crystals have been checked by X-ray diffraction and microanalysis. The superconducting properties were measured by AC-susceptibility, magnetization and specific heat. High crystalline quality centimetre-sized crystals have been grown and best crystals exhibit superconducting transitions at T$_c$ = 1.3K (typical dimensions: 4.5mm diameter and 70mm length with the (0 0 1) axis perpendicular to growth direction). The unconventional superconductors are characterized by a drastic impurity effect on the superconducting properties. We try to establish a correlation between the defect concentration and the physical properties of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$.
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1. Introduction

Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ still appears to be the only non cuprate layered-perovskite superconductor known to date. Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ shares the same K$_2$NiF$_4$ structure as the La-based cuprates superconductors. This structure can be built up by three perovskite monolayers stacked along the resulting c-axis where the layers 1 and 3 are SrRuO$_3$ perovskite strontium-centred units, while layer 2 is ruthenium-centred. Removing two RuO$_2$ layers lead to the K$_2$NiF$_4$ type structure [1–3]. For n = 1; this compound is a member of the Ruddlesden–Popper series: Sr$_{n+1}$Ru$_n$O$_{3n+1}$ which includes Sr$_3$Ru$_2$O$_7$ and SrRuO$_3$, respectively, for n = 2 and $\infty$.

Research works performed, since its physical properties study [4,5], have firmly shown unconventional superconducting properties at almost T$_c$ = 1.5K: As the heavy fermions systems,
Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ is not s-wave type superconductor [6]. The main consequence is the non-magnetic impurity effect at very low concentration, which sharply drops the superconducting temperature to zero [7,8].

In this paper, we report about the crystal growth experiment using the floating zone technique at ambient pressure in an image furnace. The single crystals are characterized by electronic microprobe analysis, X-ray powder and Laue diffraction, their mosaic has been measured by synchrotron diffraction.

The important problem of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$, in the sample preparation, is to obtain the superconducting state which appears to be extremely brittle due to a drastic impurity effect. The superconducting properties were measured by specific heat, alternative- current susceptibility using a standard mutual-inductance technique in a 3He refrigerator and the magnetization measurements were performed on a SQUID magnetometer.

We discuss the relation between the superconducting properties and the presence of various defects in Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystals.

2. Experimental procedure

Single crystals were grown by the floating zone method. Feed and seed rods were prepared by high temperature solid state reaction. Powders of SrCO$_3$ (5N) and RuO$_2$ (3N) were used as starting materials. Due to the high volatility of RuO$_2$ during the growth process, the molar starting composition was 2:1.15 for SrCO$_3$ and RuO$_2$, respectively. The mixture was twice hydrostatically pressed at 10 kbars and sintered in air at 1300°C for 24 h with an intermediate grinding to improve the sample homogeneity. The cylindered samples were placed on rods of the same chemical composition to prevent contamination from the alumina crucible. The final rods dimensions were 5mm length for 80mm long.

A non commercial double-elliptical light furnace (equipped with two 1500W halogen lamps) has been used for growing the Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystals. The atmosphere was composed of high quality oxygen (40%) and argon (60%) at room pressure. The feeding rod and the seed were inversely rotated at 10 rpm. To limit the RuO$_2$ evaporation, the growth speed was typically kept between 2 and 4 cm/h.

3. Results

Several single crystals with typical size of 4–5mm diameter and 10–70mm length were successfully grown. During each process, two large 180° opposite shiny facets were developed parallel to the growth direction (Fig. 1). Each growth has been checked by powder X-ray diffraction on crushed
small parts of the crystal.

![Image of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystal](image)

*Fig. 1. Photo of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystal with a shiny facet perpendicular to the [0 0 1] direction.*

The structural model of the K2NiF4-type structure (space group I4/mmm) has been used for the Rietveld refinements [9]. The following lattice constants were obtained: $a = b = 3.873$ Å and $c = 12.745$ Å which are in agreement with those measured on the polycrystalline samples and from earlier results [10,11]. The single crystalline state has been checked by X-ray Laue back scattering. The shiny facets on the crystal surface, developed during the growth, correspond to a cleavage plane perpendicular to the [0 0 1] direction. Centimetre-sized single crystal (5 X 70 mm) has been checked by neutron diffraction in ILL (Grenoble, France) for further experiments. A mosaic of 0.61 have been measured, for the whole volume, from a rocking curve on the (0 0 2) Bragg reflection. The same experiment, carried out at ESRF (Grenoble, France) by X-ray diffraction, (on a much smaller part of the crystal volume) gave a mosaic of 0.041 (Fig. 2).

To optimize the physical properties of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$, several parameters have been adjusted. First we studied, with a fixed rods chemical stoichiometry, the influence of the atmosphere composition at room pressure. A wide range of gas mixture has been tested: from 6% to 100% of oxygen in argon. It appeared that this parameter is not relevant to the superconducting properties of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. We concluded that the oxygen partial pressure did not affect both the RuO$_2$ evaporation level during the melt and the final oxygen stoichiometry of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. It has to be noted that annealing the crystals at different temperature under various atmospheres never improved the superconducting properties of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ too. The molar ratio of the starting material was 2:1.15 for, respectively, SrCO$_3$ and RuO$_2$. 
Fig. 2. (110) Bragg reflection measured at ESRF. The calculated mosaic is 0.041.

To compensate precisely the RuO$_2$ loss during melt, the growth rate has to be carefully adjusted to obtain a final pure phase. For this chemical composition, a fixed rods diameter (i.e. a constant volume of the melt) and a fixed rotation speed, we studied the influence of the pulling speed of the growth on the phase purity. The optimal speed, giving the best critical temperature, was 4 cm/h, Fig. 3 shows the influence of the growth speed on the superconducting temperature measured by AC-susceptibility.

Fig. 3. Influence of the growth speed on the superconductivity.
This rather rapid growth of the crystal was necessary to optimize the RuO$_2$ stoichiometry. This could probably produce internal defects in the crystal but the measured mosaic on the crystals being very fairly good and more curiously annealing never improved the superconducting temperature. Due to the increase of the RuO$_2$ concentration in the starting polycrystalline materials, and in regards to the pseudo-binary diagram (SrO–RuO$_2$), it is possible to form Sr$_3$Ru$_2$O$_7$ and SrRuO$_3$ as impurity phases or epitaxial-like intergrowth.

With Sr$_2$RuO$_4$, those two last materials are in a crystallographic relation according to the Ruddlesden–Popper series. A very small amount of these phases could be detected by X-ray diffraction if the excess of RuO$_2$ was not compensated by its evaporation during the growth (pulling speed dependent). However, AC-susceptibility measurements revealed no Tc dependence with a very tiny quantity of Sr$_3$Ru$_2$O$_7$. On the other hand, in some crystals, magnetization measurements revealed traces of a ferromagnetic phase with an ordering Curie temperature of 165 K. This was the signature of the presence of SrRuO$_3$ in the material; even if it could not be detected by X-ray diffraction and MEB measurements. This ferromagnetic phase affects drastically the superconducting properties of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. Fig. 4 represents the magnetization versus temperature for three different Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystals, their superconducting temperatures are given in insert. For the non superconducting sample, grown with a starting composition of 2:1.33, the calculated ferromagnetic mass, assuming that the whole magnetic signal corresponded to SrRuO$_3$, was 0.4% of the sample.
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Fig. 4. Magnetization versus temperature for three different Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystals.

For the crystal with Tc $= 0.78$ K, the decrease of the superconducting properties could be
explained by the presence of SrRuO$_3$ intergrowth undetectable by classical analysis (Fig. 5). For the crystal with the good Tc of 1.23 K, the amount of SrRuO$_3$ was negligible (04 ppm). In the optimal crystal growth conditions, we obtained the superconducting properties which are described in Fig. 6, measured on a centimetre-sized Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystal. The AC-susceptibility and the specific heat experiments showed a bulk transition at Tc = 1.31K with a width less than 0.2 K.

Fig. 5. High resolution transmission electronic microscopy of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ crystal. SrRuO$_3$ have been detected in epitaxial growth. The Fourier transformed calculated pictures of each region are given in insert.

Fig. 6. AC-susceptibility and the specific heat measurements on centimetre-size as-grown Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystal.
4. Conclusion

We obtained high purity Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ single crystals by the floating zone technique in a light furnace with a sharp Tc up to 1.31K measured on centimetre sized samples. For the highest Tc, the optimal starting composition of the rods was with 15% RuO$_2$ in excess and the growth speed of 4 cm/h. We observed that a very small amount of SrRuO$_3$ is able to destroy the superconductivity of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. Large single crystals with homogeneous superconducting properties for the whole volume have been obtained which allowed inelastic neutron scattering experiments [12–14].
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