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Numerical simulation of spilling breaking waves is still a very challenging aim to achieve since small interface deformations, air entrainment and vorticity generation are involved during the early stage of the breaking of the wave. High mesh grid resolutions and appropriate numerical methods are required to capture accurately the length scales of the complex mechanisms responsible for the start of the breaking (small plunging jet, white foam, etc.). Numerical works usually showed better agreements when simulating plunging breaking waves than the spilling case compared with available experimental data. Kimmoun and Branger (2007) recently experimented surf-zone breaking waves. Detailed pictures showed a short spilling event occurred at the crest of the waves, before degenerating into strong plunging breaker. This work is devoted to the qualitative comparison of our numerical results with the experimental observations, as we will focus on capturing and describing the spilling phase experimented.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Simulating the air entrainment phenomenon generated by breaking waves remains a major challenge for modern CFD tools (Sakaï et al. 1986, Christensen et al. 2002, Christensen 2006, Watanabe 2005, Lubin et al. 2006b). Numerous problems motivated by fundamental research and applications, from environmental and coastal engineering sciences, require accurate description of wave breaking. Highly complex hydrodynamic features are usually encountered in the surf zone: transition from irrotational flow motion to high frequency turbulence, interacting with large- and small-scale interface deformations, from overturning and breaking of the waves to complex fractioning and coalescence of bubbles and droplets (Miller 1976, Bonmarin 1989). A broad range of relevant length and time scales is thus involved in this multiphase turbulent flow, making it extremely complicated to investigate experimentally and numerically (Battjes 1988).

Kimmoun and Branger (2007) recently experimented surf-zone breaking waves. Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) experimental techniques were improved to be able to calculate velocities and void fractions in the aerated regions. Detailed pictures showed a short spilling event occurred at the crest of the waves, before degenerating into strong plunging breaker. Numerical works usually showed better agreements when simulating plunging breaking waves than the spilling case compared with available experimental data. Fine mesh grid resolutions and appropriate numerical methods are required to describe accurately the length scales of the interface deformations experimentally measured (plunging jet, white foam, etc.).

The aim of this paper is to simulate this unsteady two-phase wave breaking motion using a LES method to gain a further understanding of the complicated features of the flow. We aim at describing accurately the free-surface behavior, as we will focus on capturing and describing the spilling phase experimented by Kimmoun and Branger (2007).

**MODEL AND NUMERICAL METHODS**

We solve the Navier-Stokes equations in air and water, coupled with a subgrid scale turbulence model. The numerical tool is well suited to deal with strong interface deformations occurring during wave breaking, for example, and with turbulence modeling in the presence of a free-surface in a more general way.

**Governing equations**

An incompressible multiphase flow between non-miscible fluids can be described by the Navier-Stokes equations in their multiphase form. The governing equations for the Large Eddy Simulation (LES) of an incompressible fluid flow are classically derived by applying a convolution filter to the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations. In the single fluid formulation of the problem, a phase function $C$, or “color” function, is used to locate the different fluids standing $C = 0$ in the outer medium, $C = 1$ in the considered medium. The interface between two media is repaired by the discontinuity of $C$.

---
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between 0 and 1. In practice, \( C = 0.5 \) is used to characterize this surface. The resulting set of equations reads (Eqs. 1–4):

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0
\]

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) = \rho \mathbf{g} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left( \left( \mu + \mu_\tau \right) (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T) \right) + \mathbf{F} - \frac{\mu}{K} \mathbf{u}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla C = 0
\]

with velocity \( \mathbf{u} \) and pressure \( p \), assuming \( \mathbf{g} \) as the gravity vector, \( \rho \) as the density, \( \mu \) as the viscosity, \( \mu_\tau \) as the turbulent viscosity, \( t \) as the time and \( \mathbf{F} \) as the superficial tension volume force.

The magnitudes of the physical characteristics of the fluids are defined according to \( C \) in a continuous manner as:

\[
\rho = C \rho_i + (1-C) \rho_0
\]

\[
\mu = C \mu_i + (1-C) \mu_0
\]

where \( \rho_0, \rho_i, \mu_0 \) and \( \mu_i \) are the densities and viscosities of fluid 0 and \( i \) respectively.

To deal with solid obstacles within the numerical domain, it is possible to use multi-grid domains, but it is often much simpler to implement the Brinkman theory, then considering the numerical domain as a unique porous medium. The permeability coefficient \( K \) defines the capability of a porous medium to let pass the fluids more or less freely through it. A real porous medium is modeled with intermediate values of \( K \). If this permeability coefficient is large (\( K \to + \infty \)), the medium is equivalent to a fluid. If it is zero, we can model an impermeable solid. It is then possible to model moving rigid boundaries or complex geometries. To take this coefficient \( K \) into account in our system of equations, we thus add an extra term, called Darcy term, \( (\mu / K) \mathbf{u} \).

The turbulent viscosity \( \mu_\tau \) is calculated with the Mixed Scale model (Sagaut 1998), which has proved its accuracy for geophysical flows (Lubin 2004; Helluy et al. 2005; Lubin et al. 2006b, Lubin et al. 2010a-2010b). Based on the review of Lubin and Caltagirone (2010), we find that the most widely used subgrid scale model is the Smagorinsky model. However, it has been proved to be much too dissipative (Sagaut 1998). In spite of its negative aspects, its simplicity is still widely appreciated.

We use the procedure of regular and irregular wave generation developed by Lin and Liu (1999b).

Model (Eqs. 1 to 4) describes the entire hydrodynamics and geometrical processes involved in the motion of multiphase media.

Numerical methods

The time discretization is implicit and the equations are discretized on a staggered grid thanks to the finite volume method. A dual grid, or underlying grid (Rudman 1998), is used to gain an improved accuracy for the interface description, the mesh grid size being divided by two in each direction for the interface tracking. This technique also allows to avoid the interpolations of the physical characteristics on the staggered grids, since the color function is defined on each point where viscosities and densities are needed.

The interface tracking is achieved by a Volume Of Fluid method (VOF), which is able to handle interface reconnections without interface reconstruction. The explicit Total Variation Decreasing (TVD) Lax-Wendroff (LW) scheme of LeVeque (1992) is used to solve directly the interface evolutions without the reconstruction of \( C \) (Eq. 3). Lin and Liu (1999a) gave a complete overview and discussion of the different numerical techniques that have been used for the interface tracking in numerical simulations of breaking waves.

The numerical code has already been extensively verified and validated through numerous test-cases including mesh refinement analysis. All the references and details concerning the numerical methods can be found in Vincent et al. (2003) and Lubin et al. (2006b).
LARGE EDDY SIMULATIONS OF THE BREAKING WAVES

Based on the numerical methods detailed in the previous section, Lubin et al. (2006a) presented
the results obtained for the numerical LES of 2D and 3D regular waves shoaling and breaking over
a sloping beach, compared with the experimental results from Kimmoun et al. (2004). A spilling/plunging
breaking event was expected to occur according to the experimental measurements, but the numerical
results showed discrepancies, due to the coarse mesh grid resolution (the mesh grid sizes were
\( \Delta t = 1.10^{-2} \text{ m} \) and \( \Delta z_{\text{min}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} \)). Indeed, the main observed differences were that the first
short spilling event was missed and the dislocation of the gas pockets into small bubbles could not be
simulated, even if, in the numerical results, the gas pockets corresponded to some air-water mixing
zones observed in the experimental pictures. New experiments have been performed and will be used
for comparisons (Kimmoun and Branger 2007).

Description of the experimental configuration

The experiments were performed in the École Centrale wave tank in Marseille. The glass-
windowed tank is 17 m long and 0.65 m wide. The water depth was set at \( d = 0.705 \text{ m} \). The 1/15
sloping beach was about 13 m long, starting at about 4 m away from the wavemaker. The length of the
surf zone was about 3 m. Camera PIV measurements were done in fourteen different locations from the
incipient breaking location up to the swash zone. Fifth order Stokes waves were generated,
corresponding to the analytical solution developed by Fenton (1985). The wave period was \( T = 1.275 \text{ s} \)
and wave amplitude before the sloping beach was \( a \approx 0.057 \text{ m} \). The wavelength was \( L \approx 2.4 \text{ m} \) and the
measured height at breaking was \( H_b \approx 0.14 \text{ m} \). The waves are observed to start breaking at about
2.50 m away from the shoreline, or 12.275 m away from the wavemaker. A sketch of a wave breaking
event is displayed by Kimmoun and Branger (2007). For comparison, the wave parameters considered
in the experimental studies are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave parameters used in the successive experimental studies of spilling/plunging breaking waves.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d ) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimmoun et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimmoun and Branger (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wave starts breaking showing a brief spilling phase, the white cap has been observed to be
about 1 mm high. Then a jet of liquid is rapidly ejected from the wave crest and the overturning wave
fronts curl forward. A first splash-up is generated when the jet of liquid hits the front face of the wave.
We can then see a large amount of air entrained with foam and bubbles. Some other splash-ups are then
generated. A roller propagates towards the shoreline, with a great air-water mixing area. It can be seen
that the bubbles are generated in the upper part of the water column, and are advected towards the
bottom with a slight slanting axis. The volume of entrained bubbles decreases gradually till the wave
crosses the shoreline, runs up before coming back.

This is in agreement with the general description of Peregrine (1983), for example. More details of
the experimental apparatus are given by Kimmoun and Branger (2007).

Initial and boundary conditions

The computational domain is 15 m long and 1 m high (Fig. 1). The sloping beach starts at
\( x = 3.5 \text{ m} \), the source function being located at \( x_s = 3.5 \text{ m} \) and \( z_s = 0.3675 \text{ m} \). The center of the source
region is at \( d/2 \), right above the toe of the sloping beach to save computational time. The numerical
beach is considered as an impermeable solid obstacle, the permeability coefficient \( K \) being initialized at
zero (Eq. 2). The source region is 0.06 m wide and 0.0735 m high. The area and the location of the
source function have been designed applying the rules described by Lin and Liu (1999b). 522 000 mesh
grid points are used to discretize the numerical domain, with nonuniform grids in both directions
(\( \Delta z_{\text{min}} \approx 1.10^{-3} \text{ m} \) and \( \Delta x_{\text{min}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} \)). These values have to be divided by two, in both
directions, for the free surface description thanks to the dual grid. The time step is chosen to ensure a
Courant-Friedrichs-Levy condition less than 1, necessary for the explicit advection of the free surface.
The calculation is made with the densities and the viscosities of air and water (\( \rho_a = 1.1768 \text{ kg.m}^{-3} \) and
\( \rho_w = 1000 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}, \mu_a = 1.85 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg.m}^{-1}.s^{-1} \) and \( \mu_w = 1\times10^{-3} \text{ kg.m}^{-1}.s^{-1} \)).
Figure 1. Numerical domain configuration. The small rectangular box is the wave generator, located right above the toe of the sloping beach. The dashed line shows the initial water depth. The gray box on the left side of the numerical domain is a sponge layer. The slanted line shows the sloping beach.

Thanks to the internal wavemaker procedure, two wave trains are generated and propagate in opposite directions towards the both ends of the numerical domain (Fig. 2). An open boundary condition is thus set at the left side of the numerical domain to let the outgoing wave exit the numerical domain.

Figure 2. Regular waves generation. The small rectangular box is the wave generator, located right above the toe of the sloping beach. The free-surface profile corresponds to C = 0.5.

In order to ensure that no numerical reflection occurs at the left side of the numerical domain, a sponge layer is set in addition to the open boundary condition. It consists in a region where the permeability coefficient \( K \) is chosen such that the outgoing wave train is properly attenuated before reaching the open boundary. Fifteen breaking waves have been simulated. Only instantaneous quantities are presented and discussed. The numerical parameters used by Lubin et al. (2006a) are shown for comparison in Table 2.

| Table 2. Numerical parameters used in the successive numerical studies of spilling/plunging breaking waves. The mesh grid sizes are divided by two thanks to the dual grid (interface capturing). |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Lubin et al. (2006a) | Present study | Lubin et al. (2006a) | Present study |
| Numerical domain | Mesh grid points | \( \Delta x_{\text{min}} \) | \( \Delta z_{\text{min}} \) | Numerical domain | Mesh grid points | \( \Delta x_{\text{min}} \) | \( \Delta z_{\text{min}} \) |
| 20 m x 1.2 m | 520 000 | \( 1.10^{-2} \) m | \( 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m | 15 m x 1 m | 522 000 | \( 1.10^{-3} \) m | \( 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m |
Results and discussion

Spilling breakers are observed to start as a small zone of bubbles on the forward side of the crest (Duncan 2001), then spreading downslope. Most of the forward face then becomes a turbulent flow region. Experimentally, the white cap is about 1 mm thick, as shown in Fig. 3.

Figure 3. Spilling breaker initiation. Experimental picture from Kimmoun and Branger (2007).

Duncan (2001) reported that, for long wavelengths as we considered here, spilling breakers can be initiated by a small jet at the crest of the wave, creating a small turbulent patch of fluid well above the mean water level.

Numerically, the mesh grid refinement is chosen to be able to capture this spilling phase initiation. In Figs. 4, we present the free-surface evolution, corresponding to the 10th breaking wave. The shoreline is located at \( x_S = 14.075 \) m. The wave height at breaking is \( H_b = 13 \) cm, compared with the experimental value \( H_b = 14 \) cm. The numerical breaking point is located at \( x_b \approx 358 \) cm away from the shoreline, compared with the experimental value \( x_b \approx 250 \) cm. So, we slightly underpredict the wave height at breaking and waves break earlier than experimentally observed.

Once the front face of the crest steepens and becomes vertical (Fig. 4 a), a thin jet of water is indeed observed to be projected (Fig. 4 b). In the numerical results, the spilling phase thus starts as a very weak plunging breaking wave, with a small tongue of water thrown from the crest developing and free-falling down forward into a characteristic overturning motion. Once the jet is ejected from, it hits the water at the plunge point, very near to the crest of the wave. The plunging jet entraps a small gas pocket (Fig. 4 c). The resulting splash is directed down the wave leading to a spilling breaker (Fig. 4 d).

White foam, consisting of a turbulent mixing of air bubbles and water (Fig. 3), should appear at the wave crest and spill down the front face of the propagating wave (Duncan 2001, Kimmoun and Branger 2007). However, compared with the 1 mm thick layer of foam initiating the experimental spilling wave from Kimmoun and Branger (2007), we observe numerically that the entrapped gas pockets are about 5 mm thick. For comparison, Fig. 4c shows the free-surface at corresponds to the experimental picture shown in Fig. 3. A good agreement between the free-surface topology can be seen, but the numerical picture only shows a single macro-bubble, instead of a white patch of small bubbles. The jet appearing at the wave crest at the early stage of the breaking initiation is clearly very small (Fig. 3b), about 1-cm high, but it is probably thicker than experimentally. This discrepancy has already been highlighted by Lubin et al. (2006b) and being attributed to numerical diffusion.
Figure 4. Breaking wave evolution with velocity field in water. Tenth breaking wave spilling phase initiation. The free-surface profile corresponds to $C = 0.5$. 
Then, the spilling breaker transitions in a strong plunging breaker. High velocities are located near the free-surface, due the jet-splash cycles, during the bore propagation. The free-surface is distorted and very dynamic (Fig. 5). The bore running-up the beach meets at some point the flow running-down from the previous broken wave.

Nevertheless, some differences appear again. Experimentally, air cavities entrapped during splash-up cycles are observed to be quickly fragmented into large plumes of bubbles (Miller 1976, Bonmarin 1989). The dislocation of the gas pockets into small bubbles cannot be simulated, even if, in the numerical results, the gas pockets correspond to some air-water mixing zones observed in the experimental pictures. The discrepancy is mainly due to the mesh grid resolution, which is still too coarse to be able to capture this flow feature. Indeed, the order of magnitude of bubble radii is usually $10^{-4} \, m$ (Dean and Stokes 2002), whereas our mesh grid resolution is $\Delta x_{\min} \approx 5.10^{-4} \, m$ and $\Delta z_{\min} \approx 1.25 \times 10^{-3} \, m$ thanks to the dual grid used for the interface capturing. We are then able to track the largest gas pockets and bubbles greater than 1 mm, as illustrated in Fig. 5 where a large variety of length scales can be observed. Turbulence is associated with air entrainment, which is responsible for wave energy damping in the surf zone. In the experiments, it appears that the entrained air bubbles are contained mostly in the large structures and diffused towards the bottom due to the eddies. The rate of energy dissipation is increased with the bubble penetration depth and strong vertical motions are induced by the rising air bubbles.

![Figure 5. Breaking wave evolution with velocity field in water. Tenth breaking wave spilling phase initiation. The free-surface profile corresponds to $C = 0.5$.](image)

Considering these simulations as a validation step, our numerical model gives very satisfactory and encouraging results for this 2D configuration.

Further efforts are required to increase the mesh grid resolution to improve the free-surface description and reduce the numerical diffusion. Parallel computations are now undertaken to reduce the calculation time.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We focused on describing the spilling phase of the experiments detailed by Kimmoun and Branger (2007). The numerical results presented in this paper concerns instantaneous quantities, simulating 2D regular waves breaking over a sloping beach. Our model was found to be reliable to describe correctly the complicated two-phase flow interactions that happen when waves break. The breaking process, in terms of wave overturning and splash-up occurrence, is in accordance with the general observations given in the literature. The air entrainment can be described, which is important as it plays a great role in the energy dissipation process. The interest of the numerical approach is to provide a complete and accurate description of free-surface and velocity evolutions in both air and water media during the
breaking of the waves, which must lead to the understanding of energy dissipation and turbulent flow structures generation processes. Nevertheless, wave breaking is a 3D two-phase turbulent problem, so the 2D numerical results presented here consisted in a first attempt.
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