An Integrated Bayesian Network Approach to Bloom Initiation

Sandra Johnson, Fiona Fielding, Grant Hamilton, Kerrie Mengersen

► To cite this version:

Sandra Johnson, Fiona Fielding, Grant Hamilton, Kerrie Mengersen. An Integrated Bayesian Network Approach to Bloom Initiation. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2009, 69 (1), pp.27. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.004 . hal-00563092

HAL Id: hal-00563092

<https://hal.science/hal-00563092>

Submitted on 4 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

An Integrated Bayesian Network Approach to *Lyngbya majuscula* Bloom Initiation

Sandra Johnson, Fiona Fielding, Grant Hamilton, Kerrie Mengersen

PII: S0141-1136(09)00103-2

DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.004)

Reference: MERE 3360

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 15 January 2009

Revised Date: 25 July 2009

Accepted Date: 28 July 2009

Please cite this article as: Johnson, S., Fielding, F., Hamilton, G., Mengersen, K., An Integrated Bayesian Network Approach to *Lyngbya majuscula* Bloom Initiation, *Marine Environmental Research* (2009), doi: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.004)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

An Integrated Bayesian Network Approach to *Lyngbya majuscula* Bloom Initiation

Sandra Johnson*, Fiona Fielding, Grant Hamilton, Kerrie Mengersen

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology,
GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia

*Corresponding author. Sandra Johnson, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, QLD 4001,
Australia

Email: sandra.johnson@qut.edu.au

Tel: +61 (0)7 3138 1292, Fax: +61 (0)7 3138 2310

Abstract

Blooms of the cyanobacteria *Lyngbya majuscula* have occurred for decades around the world. However, with the increase in size and frequency of these blooms, coupled with the toxicity of such algae and their increased biomass, they have become substantial environmental and health issues. It is therefore imperative to develop a better understanding of the scientific and management factors impacting on *Lyngbya* bloom initiation. This paper suggests an Integrated Bayesian Network (IBN) approach that facilitates the merger of the research being conducted by various parties on *Lyngbya*. Pivotal to this approach are two Bayesian networks modelling the

management and scientific factors of bloom initiation. The research found that Bayesian networks (BN) and specifically Object Oriented BNs (OOBN) and Dynamic OOBNs facilitate an integrated approach to modelling ecological issues of concern. The merger of multiple models which explore different aspects of the problem through an IBN approach can apply to many multifaceted environmental problems.

Keywords: Bayesian network, cyanobacteria, DOOBN, dynamic, IBN, *Lyngbya majuscula*, object oriented, OOBN.

1 Introduction

Lyngbya majuscula is a cyanobacterium (blue-green algae) occurring naturally in tropical and subtropical coastal areas worldwide (Osborne *et al.*, 2001; Arquitt and Johnstone, 2004; Dennison *et al.*, 1999), including Moreton Bay in Queensland, Australia. *Lyngbya* grows on the sediment or over the seagrass, algae or coral (Dennison and Abal, 1999; Watkinson *et al.*, 2005) and when the conditions are favourable, the algae goes through a rapid growth phase, resulting in a substantial increase in biomass, commonly referred to as a bloom (Ahern *et al.*, 2007; Hamilton *et al.*, 2007c). *Lyngbya* blooms appear to be increasing in both frequency and extent (Dennison and Abal, 1999; Albert *et al.*, 2005; Ahern *et al.*, 2007), which can have major ecological (Stielow and Ballantine, 2003; Paul *et al.*, 2005; Watkinson *et al.*, 2005), health (Osborne *et al.*, 2001; Osborne *et al.*, 2007) and economic consequences (Dennison and Abal, 1999). It is therefore imperative to better

48 understand the scientific and management factors that drive the initiation of *L.*
49 *majuscula* blooms.

50

51 Deception Bay, located in Northern Moreton Bay in Queensland, Australia,
52 has a history of *Lyngbya* blooms (Watkinson *et al.*, 2005; Ahern *et al.*, 2007)
53 and forms a case study for this investigation. With its proximity to Brisbane,
54 Australia's third largest city with an estimated population in 2004 of 1.78
55 million (ABS, 2004), it is a popular tourist destination. The many waterways
56 feeding from intensive and rural agricultural activities into the Bay and its use
57 for commercial and recreational fishing, put pressure on the marine
58 environment and compound the issues resulting from a nuisance algal bloom
59 (Dennison and Abal, 1999).

60

61 A modelling approach was required to identify the high priority research that
62 needed to be undertaken into the poorly known features of *Lyngbya* initiation.
63 Therefore it was necessary to capture and represent all the available data and
64 expert knowledge about the initiation of *Lyngbya* blooms in Deception Bay.
65 This approach had to engage stakeholders, represent the available
66 information at different spatial and temporal scales, identify scientific and
67 management factors affecting *Lyngbya* initiation and quantify the factors and
68 their inter-dependencies. Moreover, the stakeholders were particularly diverse
69 comprising ecologists and scientists familiar with *Lyngbya* and the factors that
70 affect its bloom, state and local government representatives, committee
71 members of local organisations, as well as individuals with an active interest

72 in *Lyngbya*, including a third generation local fisherman with decades of
73 accumulated knowledge of *Lyngbya* blooms in the Bay.

74

75 There are several modelling approaches that could be considered for such a
76 problem, including decision trees, stochastic petri nets and Bayesian
77 networks. A decision tree has a “top-down approach”. The first factor (root
78 node) at the top of the tree is split according to the decision taken. Each
79 subsequent node is then split in a similar way (Janssens *et al.*, 2006). This
80 approach lacked the ability to represent the many interactions between the
81 factors which would be needed to model the initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom. A
82 stochastic petri net (SPN), also known as a place/transition net is used to
83 model concurrent systems (Angeli *et al.*, 2007). Implementing a SPN is not
84 trivial, even with the use of bespoke software. It mandates some statistical
85 knowledge as well as some familiarity with stochastic process theory and
86 Monte Carlo simulation techniques (Goss and Peccoud, 1998). A Bayesian
87 Network (BN) provides a graphical representation of key factors, which are
88 represented as nodes in the diagram and their causal relationships with each
89 other and with the outcome of interest (Borsuk *et al.*, 2006; McCann *et al.*,
90 2006; Jensen and Nielsen, 2007; Uusitalo, 2007) are depicted as directed
91 links or arrows connecting a ‘parent node’ to a ‘child node’, resulting in a
92 directed acyclic graph (DAG) (Saddo *et al.*, 2005; Jensen and Nielsen, 2007;
93 Uusitalo, 2007; Park and Stenstrom, 2008). BNs are better able to portray the
94 complexity of the decision process and the many inter-dependencies between
95 the factors of the decision process (Janssens *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, they are
96 visually appealing, easy to use, comprehend and interact with. For more

97 detailed information about the advantages and disadvantages of BNs and
98 comparisons with alternative statistical methods, we refer the reader to
99 (Wilson *et al.*, 2006; Uusitalo, 2007; Ahmed *et al.*, 2009).

100

101 Bayesian networks have been used successfully to better understand and
102 model many complex environmental problems (Bromley *et al.*, 2005). They
103 facilitate the representation of different management decisions and scenarios
104 that may impact on the environmental issue being modelled and the
105 consequences of these situations and actions (McCann *et al.*, 2006; Uusitalo,
106 2007). However, the focus of many networks is often on a single aspect of the
107 outcome, and multi-faceted inferential needs are most commonly addressed
108 through multiple independent networks. This paper describes an approach to
109 integrating diverse knowledge about *Lyngbya* bloom initiation in the Deception
110 Bay area, by developing an Integrated Bayesian Network (IBN). The IBN
111 comprises a series of BNs designed to conceptualize and quantify the major
112 factors and their pathways contributing to the initiation of *Lyngbya*, from both
113 scientific and management perspectives. In Figure 1 a unified modelling
114 language (UML) use case diagram illustrates the conceptual processes of the
115 *Lyngbya* IBN. To our knowledge an IBN approach has not previously been
116 applied to *Lyngbya* bloom initiation.

117

118

(Place figure 1 here)

119

120 In Section 2 we describe the characteristics of a traditional BN, an object
121 oriented BN (OoBN) and the natural progression to a dynamic OoBN

(DOOBN). We then introduce the integrated BN approach (IBN) which consolidates the information held in various networks and models. We present the results of this approach in Section 3 by applying it to the initiation of *Lyngbya* blooms.

126

127 2 Methods

128 2.1 Bayesian Network (BN)

129 As described in Section 1, a BN visualizes knowledge about an ecological
130 issue of interest with the important factors depicted as nodes in the network.
131 These nodes may be at different temporal and spatial scales and the data
132 represented in the BN may originate from diverse sources such as empirical
133 data, expert opinion and simulation outputs (Saddo *et al.*, 2005; Borsuk *et al.*,
134 2006; McCann *et al.*, 2006; Jensen and Nielsen, 2007; Pollino *et al.*, 2007;
135 Park and Stenstrom, 2008). In the case of the *Lyngbya* network, the outcome
136 of interest is the initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom. Each node of the network is
137 described by a set of states (for example high/medium/low,
138 adequate/inadequate) and quantified by associating a probability table with
139 each node. The probability table is determined by these states and the states
140 of the nodes that influence it. An example is the conditional probability table
141 (CPT) for the *Bottom Current Climate* node, shown in Table 1, which has two
142 states (*Low* and *High*) and has three parent nodes that influence it (*Wind*
143 *Direction*, *Wind Speed* and *Tide*) (Saddo *et al.*, 2005; Pollino *et al.*, 2007; Park
144 and Stenstrom, 2008).

145

(Place Table 1 here)

Two important characteristics of a BN which also simplify probability calculations are directional separation (d-separation) and the assumption of the Markov property (Jensen and Nielsen, 2007). The criterion for d-separation was first proposed by (Pearl, 1988) and an alternative criterion was specified by Lauritzen *et al.*, (1990). If nodes are d-separated then they are conditionally independent (Kjaerulff, 1995, Taroni *et al.*, 2006). The Markov property means that the probability distribution of a variable depends only on its parents. Consequently from the multiplication law of elementary probability theory, the conditional independence (d-separation) and the Markov property enable the probability distribution of a BN with n nodes $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ to be factorized as follows:

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i / Pa(X_i)) \quad \text{where } Pa(X_i) \text{ is the set of parents of node } X_i$$

This greatly simplifies calculations of the joint probability distribution and allows us to focus on each node in turn to combine the expertise and data available for that node and its parents. The BNs described in this paper were developed as part of a larger study of the major factors and their pathways contributing to the initiation of *Lyngbya* blooms. They were constructed in close collaboration with a *Lyngbya* Science Working Group (LSWG) drawn from a range of disciplines and a *Lyngbya* Management Working Group (LMWG) drawn from local and state government and private organisations (Abal *et al.*, 2005).

170 The Science Network focused on nutrient and physical factors that were
171 agreed by the LSWG to be the most influential contributors to the initiation of
172 *Lyngbya*. To construct the Science Network, numerous meetings were
173 convened to determine the most important factors that were believed to have
174 an impact on the ecosystem surrounding Deception Bay. Once the initial
175 structure was agreed upon, the factors were then clearly defined. This was
176 necessary to ensure throughout the process all involved could refer to these
177 definitions to agree that this was indeed the focus of that particular aspect.
178 The initial *Lyngbya* Science BN was then colour coded into six logical groups
179 of coherent nodes. The groups are *Water* (containing nodes *Rain-present*, *No*
180 *prev dry days*, *Groundwater Amount* and *Land Run-off Load*), *Sea Water*
181 (containing *Tide*, *Turbidity* and *Bottom Current Climate*), *Air* (containing nodes
182 *Wind* and *Wind Speed*), *Light* (containing nodes *Light Quantity*, *Light Quality*
183 and *Light Climate*), *Nutrients* (containing nodes *Dissolved Fe Concentration*,
184 *Dissolved Organics*, *Dissolved N Concentration*, *Dissolved P Concentration*,
185 *Particulates (Nutrients)*, *Sediments Nutrient Climate*, *Point Sources* and
186 *Available Nutrient Pool*), and *Lyngbya Algae* (containing only the target node
187 *Bloom Initiation*).

188

189 Thereafter the network was quantified by populating a conditional probability
190 table for each node, based on the factors affecting that node. For example,
191 the probability of low or high *Bottom Current Climate* was determined for
192 different states of its parent nodes, *Wind Direction* (north, south-east or other),
193 *Wind Speed* (low or high) and *Tide* (spring or neap), as shown in Table 1. The
194 CPTs were populated in this way using data obtained from expert elicitation,

195 output from simulation models and statistical models and data obtained from
196 monitoring sites and government agencies. The datasets spanned different
197 time periods ranging from one season to several years, depending on
198 availability and applicability. Meta-data on these datasets were compiled as a
199 key component of the project. The meta-database, comprising the source,
200 ownership, type of data and dates collected, is summarised in a Healthy
201 Waterways report (Fielding *et al.*, 2007), and is available on the organisation's
202 website.

203

204 Validation of the BN was assessed in three ways: through sensitivity analysis,
205 outcomes comparison and scenario testing. Sensitivity analysis is a popular
206 technique in mathematical modelling and the field of decision theory to
207 investigate uncertainty in a model's parameters and their effect on the model
208 output (Hamby, 1994; Coupe *et al.*, 2000). For BNs this means studying the
209 changes in the probabilities of the target node as a result of changes in the
210 network's CPT values (Coupe *et al.*, 2000). In the Science BN the
211 probabilities of one node was varied, while keeping the others fixed, and then
212 observing the changes in the probability of a *Lyngbya* bloom initiation.
213 Sensitivity analysis is considered crucial to model validation and for targeting
214 further research (Hamby, 1994). It is performed on the BN model to reduce
215 uncertainty in the target node and to identify those nodes that have the largest
216 impact on the target node (Hamby, 1994; Coupe *et al.*, 2000). Additional
217 research effort can then be directed to the quantification of those nodes
218 (Bednarski *et al.*, 2004).

219

220 Outcomes comparison involves comparison between external data and model
221 predictions. In the case of the *Lyngbya* Science BN, no such external data
222 were available since all known available data had been used to populate
223 aspects of the BN. Moreover, for any observed *Lyngbya* outbreak, data were
224 not available for the complete set of nodes in the BN model. As a result, a
225 more limited outcomes comparison was undertaken through scenario testing,
226 in which selected scenarios reflected known conditions associated with
227 documented initiation or lack of initiation of *Lyngbya* outbreaks in the last 30
228 years.

229

230 Scenario testing is important to investigate model behaviour for different
231 expert defined scenarios, assessing whether the model behaves as expected
232 in light of past experience and in accordance with current credible research
233 (Laskey, 1995; Bednarski *et al.*, 2004). The expert team therefore nominated
234 scenarios of interest and evidence was entered into the BN to represent these
235 scenarios. The relevant nodes were updated to reflect the proposed scenario
236 and this evidence was propagated through the BN to update the probability of
237 a *Lyngbya* bloom initiation under those conditions (Laskey, 1995; Bednarski *et*
238 *al.*, 2004). For example, evidence of 'best practice' was entered into the BN
239 by setting the *Point sources* node to low. Further sensitivity analysis was then
240 performed on the other nodes in the BN to observe the sensitivity of the target
241 node to changes in node probabilities for that scenario.

242

243 The Management Network focused on management inputs that potentially
244 influence the delivery of nutrients to the Bay and was constructed through a

series of meetings with the LMWG. The potential nutrient sources that were identified by the LMWG were split into point sources (coming from a relatively concentrated area e.g. waste water treatment plants) and diffuse sources (nutrients being contributed to the water catchment from a larger geographical area e.g. grazing land). The management model has evolved into a graphical representation of the catchment area showing the waterways and identifying the location of the sources within the catchment as well as their nutrient contributions. Participants of the LMWG identified the existing, committed and best practice management options for each source. The network was then quantified by assigning probabilities to each node to reflect the probability of low or high nutrient discharge for each source under each management option.

2.2 Object Oriented Bayesian Network (OOBN)

The basic building block in an Object Oriented Bayesian Network (OOBN) is an object, which can be a physical or an abstract entity, or a relationship between two entities. Typically, an entity comprises one or more nodes in a BN that are related in a physical, functional or abstract sense. From a probabilistic point of view, the attributes (nodes and links) are encapsulated in an object and therefore d-separated from the rest of the network.

The definition of classes of objects in OOBNS enables a more generic, reusable network to be described, which can then be used in different contexts. A class is a generic network fragment and when this class is instantiated it is called an object. A class may be instantiated many times (Jensen and Nielsen, 2007). It is not uncommon for several classes to share

270 common substructures. These subclasses can inherit many attributes and
271 behaviours from the parent class, which they can then modify and enhance.
272 The parent class can be viewed as being more abstract than its subclasses
273 with only the important details being retained, whereas subclasses define
274 more specific attributes and behaviours. The ability to create subclasses that
275 inherit properties from another class is a well known and very useful
276 characteristic of object oriented modelling (Koller and Pfeffer, 1997).

277

278 Applying this object oriented approach to BN modelling, an OOBN can be
279 instantiated within another OOBN. An instantiated OOBN is called an *instance*
280 *node* and represents an instance of another network, which in turn could
281 contain instance nodes. Connectivity between these OOBNs is achieved
282 through interface nodes (*input* nodes and/or *output* nodes) (Hugin, 2007;
283 Jensen and Nielsen, 2007). It is clear that OOBNs enable a more structured,
284 hierarchical approach to modelling and consequently the construction of
285 complex and dynamic models (Koller and Pfeffer, 1997; Hepler and Weir,
286 2008).

287

288 The groups of nodes defined in Section 2.1 for the Science Network formed
289 the basis for the creation of OOBN sub-networks, for example the 'Dissolved
290 Elements subnet' and 'Light subnet', respectively. Thereafter the interface
291 nodes were identified and added to the sub-networks to facilitate the transfer
292 of information and evidence into and out of the sub-nets. The OOBN sub-
293 networks were then linked via the interface nodes to recreate the *Lyngbya*
294 Science network. The new structure now facilitated the independent parallel

295 development and interrogation of the sub-networks so that they could be
296 reintegrated into the parent network when they were deemed to be complete.

297 **2.3 Dynamic Object Oriented Bayesian Network (DOOBN)**

298 The temporal behaviour of a network can be represented by time slices, one
299 for each period of interest. The resulting network, consisting of several OOBN
300 time slices, is referred to as a dynamic OOBN (DOOBN) (Kjaerulff, 1995;
301 Weber and Jouffe, 2006). *Lyngbya* blooms in Moreton Bay occur more
302 frequently during the summer months when conditions are more favourable
303 for bloom initiation (Watkinson *et al.*, 2005). Additional statistical modelling
304 was conducted by Hamilton *et al.* (2007a) on the effects of temperature,
305 rainfall and light on *L. majuscula* blooms and the importance of groundwater
306 in stimulating *Lyngbya* blooms has been studied by (Ahern *et al.*, 2006) and
307 was nominated by LSWG as a key node that may exhibit temporal behaviour.
308 It was thus considered that the DOOBN would be better able to predict the
309 probability of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation. A UML use case diagram illustrating
310 the processes involved in creating this DOOBN, is shown in figure 2.

311

312 (Place Figure 2 here)

313

314 The initial static Science BN model used annual averages for rainfall and
315 temperature, but captured some temporal behaviour by introducing a node to
316 represent the previous number of dry days. As directed by the LSWG the
317 *Lyngbya* Science BN was extended to incorporate the temporal nature of *L.*
318 *majuscula* to create a DOOBN with five time slices (one for each of the
319 months of November to March). The DOOBN is therefore able to predict the

probability of a *Lyngbya* bloom initiation by incorporating specific monthly data while also taking into account the influence of the previous month.

Using Bayesian statistical modelling (Hamilton *et al.*, 2007b) investigated the response of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation to temporal factors such as average minimum and maximum monthly temperature, monthly rainfall, average monthly solar exposure and average monthly clear sky (the inverse of cloud cover). One month time lags and interaction terms were also included for rain and minimum temperature. From a total of 890 models evaluated, the single term average minimum monthly temperature model (with an intercept term) had the best predictive behaviour. Rainfall at a lag of one month was the only other variable that appeared in the top five identified models.

2.4 Integrated Bayesian Network (IBN)

We describe here the IBN for the probability of initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom. This network comprises two primary BNs, the Management Network and the Science Network described in Section 2.1, integrated with a Water Catchment simulation model, which was concurrently developed under the *Lyngbya* Programme. The IBN is conceived as a series of steps, in which the Management Network informs about nutrient discharge into the Deception Bay catchment, the Catchment model simulates the movement of these nutrients to the *Lyngbya* site in the Bay, and the Science model then integrates this nutrient information with other factors to determine the probability of initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom.

345 Figure 3 is a UML activity diagram detailing the processes of the IBN for
346 *Lyngbya* bloom initiation. In addition to providing a rich, cohesive model of
347 *Lyngbya* bloom initiation from both a science and management perspectives,
348 an important use of the IBN was for scenario modelling. A set of exemplar
349 scenarios that could impact on nutrient delivery to the *Lyngbya* site was
350 proposed. This included: upgrading point sources from existing to best
351 practice (e.g. eliminating potassium output from sewage treatment plants
352 across the catchment), describing a climate event (e.g. a severe summer
353 storm), and conditions least favourable for bloom initiation (e.g. low
354 temperature and nutrients).

355

356 For each proposed scenario the changes in the level of nutrients or to the
357 factors affecting the initiation of *Lyngbya* in the Science network were
358 assessed. If nutrient loads were changed, the impact on nutrient
359 concentrations across the catchment arising from a management scenario
360 could then be simulated through the Water Catchment model by the
361 application of filters. The E2 software package (eWater CRC, 2007) used to
362 create the Water Catchment model contains several pre-defined filters
363 capable of simulating various complex management actions and adjusting the
364 catchment load output accordingly. For example filters such as percentage
365 removal of a nutrient and nutrient trapping may be chosen. Thereafter the
366 Science Network was updated to reflect the modified nutrient loads and other
367 changes related to the proposed scenario. This evidence was then
368 propagated through the network to yield the probability of initiation of a
369 *Lyngbya* bloom under the specific scenario.

370

371

(Place Figure 3 here)

372

373 The networks in the IBN were developed using a variety of software modelling
374 tools. The conceptual Management Network was visually represented using
375 the BN package Netica® (Norsys, 2007) and then interfaced with the
376 hydrological flow and nutrient load model created in the whole of catchment
377 simulation software package, E2 (eWater CRC, 2007), in order to identify
378 nutrient loads reaching the *Lyngbya* site. The Science Network was
379 developed entirely in Netica® and later in Hugin® (Hugin, 2007) where the
380 network was transformed into a DOOBN by creating time slices (Kjaerulff,
381 1995; Weber and Jouffe, 2006; Jensen and Nielsen, 2007). In summary, the
382 novelty factor here is that although a static BN is unable to ‘communicate’ with
383 another BN, we can transform it to an OOBN to facilitate information flow and
384 linkage to other OOBNs of interest. Thus we can exploit the purpose for which
385 each model was designed to build a more comprehensive model of the
386 environmental issue of concern.

387

388 **3 Results**

389 The static Science BN for initiation of *Lyngbya* is depicted in figure 4 with the
390 nodes representing the factors identified by the LSWG as important in the
391 initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom.

392

393

(Place Figure 4 here)

394

395 Sensitivity analysis of this BN revealed that the seven most influential factors
396 in the Science Network were (in decreasing order of influence): available
397 nutrient pool (dissolved), bottom current climate, sediment nutrients, dissolved
398 iron (Fe), dissolved phosphorous (P), light and temperature. Furthermore
399 scenario modelling consistently identified available nutrient pool as the factor
400 which most heavily influences the probability of initiation of a bloom. Point and
401 diffuse sources deliver nutrients to the bay and this nutrient delivery is
402 affected by management actions at the sources.

403

404 The Science BN was also interrogated using management and climatic
405 scenarios and analysing the effect on the probability of bloom initiation to
406 changes in the various factors. The predicted changes in the probability of a
407 *Lyngbya* bloom initiation as a result of each of the seven most influential
408 factors in isolation, is shown in Table 2. In a 'typical' year, as defined by the
409 LMWG, the probability of a bloom initiation was reported as 28%; this
410 increased significantly during a severe summer storm event to 42%, when
411 *light climate* was optimal and *rain-present* was high. Bloom initiation was a
412 predicted as a certainty (100%) when the *available nutrient pool (dissolved)*
413 was enough, *temperature* was high and *light climate* was optimal. However,
414 when only the *available nutrient pool (dissolved)* was set to 'not enough', the
415 probability of a bloom initiation dropped to 3%, but jumped to 80% when it
416 was changed to 'enough'. Although *bottom current climate* was a key
417 influential factor, changing only this factor caused the probability of bloom
418 initiation to drop to 15% when the *bottom current climate* was 'high' and to
419 increase to 43% when it was 'low'. This is a variation of 28% in the probability

of a bloom initiation and although large, is clearly overshadowed by the 77% variation caused by changes in nutrient availability. Changing iron availability alone increased the probability of a bloom initiation from 21% to 37%. Changing organics availability alone increased the probability of a bloom initiation from 25% to 31%.

(Place Table 2 here)

Next the Science OOBN sub-network (figure 5) was created from the static *Lyngbya* Science BN as outlined in Section 2.2, retaining all the key factors (with the exception of the *No of prev dry days*) and their CPTs from the static BN. As is characteristic of Object Oriented networks, the Science OOBN sub-network includes instances of other sub-networks, shown in figure 5 as rectangles with rounded edges, such as the *Wind subnet* and the *Turbidity subnet*. Input nodes were added to the Science OOBN sub-network as placeholders for the real nodes, *Temperature*, *Rain- present*, *Land Run-off Load* and *Ground Water Amount*. The sub-networks were based on the groups created in the static Science BN to yield standalone networks capable of linking to other networks via the interface nodes (input and output nodes), or being instantiated in other networks. Importantly, providing the interface remains intact, these OOBN sub-networks can be further expanded without affecting the structure of any other networks linking to it. As a consequence we have a powerful concept of parallel development by independent expert teams while retaining the overall cohesive model.

(Place Figure 5 here)

446

447 In collaboration with the LSWG and based on the findings of (Hamilton *et al.*,
448 2007a) as described in Section 2.3, the static *Lyngbya* Science network was
449 adapted in the following manner to incorporate monthly rainfall and
450 temperature data and the lag effect of rainfall on the amount of groundwater
451 and land run-off. First, the lag effect of rainfall on groundwater amount and
452 land run-off was replicated by creating a Rainwater OOBN sub-network as
453 shown in figure 6. In this OOBN, the *Prev Groundwater* and the *Prev Land*
454 *Run-off* are input nodes (double edged eclipse with a broken outer line), which
455 enable connectivity to the previous time slice's *Ground Water Amount* and
456 *Land Run-off* nodes, respectively. The *Rain – present* input node enables the
457 instances of the Rainwater OOBN to be bound to the rainfall relating to that
458 instance, e.g. the November Rainwater OOBN instance will have November's
459 rainfall bound to the *Rain – present* input node. The *Ground Water Amount*
460 and *Land Run-off Load* output nodes (double edged eclipse with a solid outer
461 line) make them visible to other networks and therefore allow them to be
462 bound to input nodes in other networks.

463

(Place Figure 6 here)

465

466 Finally the DOOBN was created with five time slices (figure 7), one time slice
467 for each of the summer months (December to February), one for the end of
468 spring (November) and one for the start of autumn (March) . Every time slice
469 has an instance of the Rainwater and Science sub-networks as well as the

temperature and rainfall nodes for that month. Data from the Bureau of Meteorology was used to quantify the DOOBN, as well as the information contained in the initial static BN.

(Place Figure 7 here)

As can be seen in figure 8, the rainfall information for a particular month is bound to the *Rain present* input node in the Rainwater and Science model sub-network instances for that month and the *Groundwater Amount* and *Land Run-off* output nodes from one month bind to the *Prev Groundwater* and *Prev Land Run-off* input nodes of the following month, respectively.

(Place Figure 8 here)

The point and diffuse nutrient sources contributing to the Management Network for *Lyngbya* initiation included: aquaculture, composting, onsite sewage, poultry, waste disposal, waste water treatment plant, agriculture, artificial development, development and clearing, extractive industries, forestry, grazing, natural vegetation and stormwater. The sources and nutrients identified by the management committee are shown in Table 3.

An extract of the Management Network, which identifies and locates point and diffuse sources of nutrients for the Mellum Creek Sub-catchment, visually represented in Netica®, is shown in figure 9.

(Place Figure 9 here)

Scenario modelling predicted higher probabilities of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation during the summer months and confirmed the temporal nature of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation. Incorporating this behaviour resulted in the DOOBN for *Lyngbya* bloom initiation (figure 7) being developed as outlined above with one month lag effects included for groundwater amount and land run-off.

As shown in figure 10 below, the BN predicts a sharp increase in the probability of initiation of a *Lyngbya* bloom from the end of spring (November) to the first month of summer (December). The increased probability continued during the next two summer months, with a slight fall in autumn (March). Although these predicted probabilities for *Lyngbya* bloom initiation are low, the increased trend in bloom initiation is clearly visible. When evidence of summer rainfall was added to these time slices we observed a more dramatic increase. For example, the probability of a bloom initiation was predicted as 52% when evidence of a summer rainfall event was entered into the December time slice. This compares to 42% in the original static annual BN model.

(Place Figure 10 here)

4 Discussion

This paper describes an Integrated Bayesian Network approach applied to the initiation of *Lyngbya* blooms. The aim was to present the exposition of BN

methodology to a complex ecological problem such as *Lyngbya* bloom initiation and illustrate how it can be used to integrate models for different aspects of the same issue. We have illustrated the process that could be followed to integrate two static BNs and another type of model (such as the E2 model of the Whole of Catchment) to achieve an integrated BN. The IBN approach described here can also be used for investigating other features of this organism, such as growth, biomass and decay, through appropriate changes to the Science Network. These networks are currently being developed. The Integrated Network approach is also conceptually suitable for investigating other outcomes of interest that are impacted by nutrient outputs and water movement in a catchment.

It is noted that it is beyond the scope of the present paper to provide an actual test of the utility of BNs for predicting cyanobacterial blooms. The paper therefore does not include a comparison of the predictions against classical multivariate techniques; a test of the BNs own output reliability, that is, whether the probabilistic estimate of the likelihood the BNs output is correct for the target data set; a clear presentation of exactly what data are being used; a sufficient amount of data to first build and refine the model on one data set and then test it on a previously unseen set of data. However the Science BN, which has been adopted by Healthy Waterways, will be validated through future data collected as part of the next phase of the *Lyngbya* project.

More broadly, the general approach proposed in this paper is applicable to environmental or other outcomes involving both scientific and management

545 considerations. Information arising from expert knowledge, data and research
546 can be formally conceptualized and quantified through Science and
547 Management Networks, and combined into an Integrated Network. Such an
548 approach involves definition of the problem or outcome of interest, agreement
549 as to significant contributing factors and their definitions and pathways which
550 impact on this outcome, and identification and integration of information that
551 allow quantification of these factors and impacts. The benefits of such an
552 approach include a much greater specification of the issue at hand or
553 research focus, buy-in from diverse stakeholders, consolidation and
554 formalisation of information, an audit trail for decision-making and future
555 research, and quantitative outcomes in the form of probability statements
556 about the outcome of interest.

557

558 In the static *Lyngbya* BN (figure 4) similar factors were grouped together and
559 colour coded as a visual aid. The nature of the Science BN enabled a simple
560 conversion of the network to an OOBN (figure 6), with a sub-network for each
561 group of factors and interface nodes providing the communication links to
562 other OOBNs (figure 8). In the same way many complex BNs can be
563 simplified by abstracting the network to a higher level to include sub-networks
564 of logically grouped factors, which in turn can include other sub-networks,
565 thereby having several levels of abstraction. An important feature of the
566 OOBN sub-networks is that they can be developed simultaneously by the
567 various expert groups who are responsible for them. When the sub-networks
568 have been quantified, tested and ratified, they are integrated into the master
569 network containing instances of those sub-networks.

570

571 The extension to a DOOBN not only improved prediction but also enhanced
572 interpretability of the network. The inclusion of time-specific dynamics for
573 temperature and water was more consistent with the conceptual framework of
574 *Lyngbya* behaviour held by both science and management stakeholders.
575 Moreover, it is more straightforward to include expert opinion and data of a
576 temporal nature in this expanded model. It is suggested that for other complex
577 ecological systems, the additional complexity of a DOOBN is more than
578 compensated for by the increased flexibility of representation of information
579 and acceptability of the outputs.

580

581 Finally, the creation of an IBN to combine multiple networks which describe
582 different aspects of an outcome of interest is an effective way of providing a
583 cohesive, quantifiable and auditable tool for better understanding and
584 coordination of multi-faceted environmental problems.

585

586 **Acknowledgements**

587 Financial assistance was provided by the Environmental Protection Agency
588 and Australian Government through the South East Queensland Healthy
589 Waterways Partnership, the ARC Centre for Dynamic Systems and Control,
590 and QUT Institute for Sustainable Resources. We fully acknowledge the
591 contributions of the *Lyngbya* Management Working Group and the *Lyngbya*
592 Science Working Group. For helpful comments on the manuscript we
593 acknowledge Kathleen Ahern, Barry Hart and an anonymous reviewer.

594

595 **References**

596 Abal E G, Greenfield P F, Bunn S E and Tarte D M 2005 Healthy Waterways:

597 Healthy Catchments – An Integrated Research/Management Program

598 to Understand and Reduce Impacts of Sediments and Nutrients on

599 Waterways in Queensland, Australia, *Frontiers of WWW Research and*600 *Development - APWeb 2006*: Springer Berlin / Heidelberg) pp 1126-

601 1135

602 ABS 2004 3222.0 - Population Projections, Australia, 2004 to 2101. Australian

603 Bureau of Statistics)

604 Ahern K S, Ahern C R, Savige G M and Udy J W 2007 Mapping the

605 distribution, biomass and tissue nutrient levels of a marine benthic

606 cyanobacteria bloom (*Lyngbya majuscula*) *Marine and Freshwater*607 *Research* **58** 883-904

608 Ahern K S, Udy J W and Pointon S M 2006 Investigating the potential for

609 groundwater from different vegetation, soil and landuses to stimulate

610 blooms of the cyanobacterium, *Lyngbya majuscula*, in coastal waters611 *Marine and Freshwater Research* **57** 177-186

612 Ahmed B A, Matheny M E, Rice P L, Clarke J R and Ogunyemi O I 2009 A

613 comparison of methods for assessing penetrating trauma on

614 retrospective multi-center data *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* **42**

615 308-316

616 Albert S, O'Neil J M, Udy J W, Ahern K S, O'Sullivan C M and Dennison W C

617 2005 Blooms of the cyanobacterium *Lyngbya majuscula* in coastal

- 618 Queensland, Australia: disparate sites, common factors *Marine*
619 *Pollution Bulletin* **51** 428-437
- 620 Angeli D, De Leenheer P and Sontag E D 2007 A Petri net approach to the
621 study of persistence in chemical reaction networks *Mathematical*
622 *Biosciences* **210** 598-618
- 623 Arquitt S and Johnstone R 2004 A scoping and consensus building model of a
624 toxic blue-green algae bloom *System Dynamics Review* **20** 179-198
- 625 Bednarski M, Cholewa W and Frid W 2004 Identification of sensitivities in
626 Bayesian networks *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* **17**
627 327-335
- 628 Borsuk M E, Reichert P, Peter A, Schager E and Burkhardt-Holm P 2006
629 Assessing the decline of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in Swiss rivers
630 using a Bayesian probability network *Ecological Modelling* **192** 224-244
- 631 Bromley J, Jackson N A, Clymer O J, Giacomello A M and Jensen F V 2005
632 The use of Hugin to develop Bayesian networks as an aid to integrated
633 water resource planning *Environmental Modelling & Software* **20** 231-
634 242
- 635 Coupe V M H, van der Gaag L C and Habbema J D F 2000 Sensitivity
636 analysis: an aid for belief-network quantification *The Knowledge*
637 *Engineering Review* **15** 215-232
- 638 Dennison W C and Abal E G 1999 *Moreton Bay Study: A Scientific Basis for*
639 *the Healthy Waterways: South East Queensland Regional Water*
640 *Quality Management Strategy*)
- 641 Dennison W C, O'Neil J M, Duffy E J, Oliver P E and Shaw G R 1999 Blooms
642 of the cyanobacterium *Lyngbya majuscula* in coastal waters of

- 643 Queensland, Australia *Bulletin de l'Institut Oceanographique, Monaco*
644 **19** 501-506
- 645 eWater CRC 2007 E2 Catchment Modelling Toolkit.
- 646 Fielding F, Alston C, Dwyer M, Hamilton G, Johnson S, McVinish R, Peterson
647 N and Mengersen K 2007 LYNGBYA Task 2.3: Development of an
648 Integrating Framework for the Lyngbya Research and Management
649 Program 2005-2007 Bayesian Belief Networks. (Brisbane, Australia:
650 Healthy Waterways Partnership) pp 1-39
- 651 Goss P J E and Peccoud J 1998 Quantitative Modeling of Stochastic Systems
652 in Molecular Biology by Using Stochastic Petri Nets *Proceedings of the*
653 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **95**
654 6750-6755
- 655 Hamby D M 1994 A Review of Techniques for Parameter Sensitivity Analysis
656 of Environmental Models *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*
657 **32** 135-154
- 658 Hamilton G, McVinish R and Mengersen K 2007a Bayesian model
659 identification and averaging for coastal algal bloom prediction.
- 660 Hamilton G, McVinish R and Mengersen K 2007b Bayesian model
661 identification and averaging for coastal algal bloom prediction
662 *Ecological Applications* in press
- 663 Hamilton G S, Fielding F, Chiffings A W, Hart B T, Johnstone R W and
664 Mengersen K 2007c Investigating the Use of a Bayesian Network to
665 Model the Risk of *Lyngbya majuscula* Bloom Initiation in Deception
666 Bay, Queensland *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* **13** 1271-
667 1279

- 668 Hepler A B and Weir B S 2008 Object-oriented Bayesian networks for
669 paternity cases with allelic dependencies *Forensic Science*
670 *International: Genetics* **2** 166-175
- 671 Hugin 2007 Hugin.
- 672 Janssens D, Wets G, Brijs T, Vanhoof K, Arentze T and Timmermans H 2006
673 Integrating Bayesian networks and decision trees in a sequential rule-
674 based transportation model *European Journal of Operational Research*
675 **175** 16-34
- 676 Jensen F V and Nielsen T D 2007 *Bayesian Networks and Decision Graphs*:
677 Springer Science + Business Media, LLC)
- 678 Kjaerulff U 1995 dHugin - a computational system for dynamic time-sliced
679 Bayesian networks *International Journal of Forecasting* **11** 89-111
- 680 Koller D and Pfeffer A 1997 Object-Oriented Bayesian Networks. In:
681 *Thirteenth Annual Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*
682 *(UAI-97)*, (Providence, Rhode Island pp 302-313
- 683 Laskey K B 1995 Sensitivity Analysis for Probability Assessments in Bayesian
684 Networks *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* **25**
685 901-909
- 686 Lauritzen S L, Dawid A P, Larsen B N and Leimer H G 1990 Independence
687 properties of directed Markov fields *Networks* **20** 491-505
- 688 McCann R K, Marcot B G and Ellis R 2006 Bayesian belief networks:
689 applications in ecology and natural resource management1 *Canadian*
690 *Journal of Forest Research* **36** 3053
- 691 Norsys 2007 Netica.

- 692 Osborne N J, Shaw G R and Webb P M 2007 Health effects of recreational
693 exposure to Moreton Bay, Australia waters during a *Lyngbya majuscula*
694 bloom *Environment International* **33** 309-314
- 695 Osborne N J T, Webb P M and Shaw G R 2001 The toxins of *Lyngbya*
696 *majuscula* and their human and ecological health effects *Environment*
697 *International* **27** 381-392
- 698 Park M-H and Stenstrom M K 2008 Classifying environmentally significant
699 urban land uses with satellite imagery *Journal of Environmental*
700 *Management* **86** 181-192
- 701 Paul V J, Thacker R W, Banks K and Stjepko G 2005 Benthic cyanobacterial
702 bloom impacts the reefs of South (Broward County, USA) *Coral Reefs*
703 **24** 693-697
- 704 Pearl J 1988 *Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems* (San Francisco,
705 California: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc)
- 706 Pollino C A, White A K and Hart B T 2007 Examination of conflicts and
707 improved strategies for the management of an endangered Eucalypt
708 species using Bayesian networks *Ecological Modelling* **201** 37-59
- 709 Saddo A, Letcher R A, Jakemana A J and Newham L T H 2005 A Bayesian
710 decision network approach for assessing the ecological impacts of
711 salinity management *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* **69**
712 162–176
- 713 Stielow S and Ballantine D L 2003 Benthic cyanobacterial, *Micro-coleus*
714 *lyngbyaceus*, blooms in shallow, inshore Puerto Rican seagrass
715 habitats, Caribbean Sea *Harmful Algae* **2** 127-133

- 716 Taroni F, Aitken C, Garbolino P and Biedermann A 2006 *Bayesian Networks*
717 *and Probabilistic Inference in Forensic Science*: John Wiley & Sons,
718 Ltd)
- 719 Uusitalo L 2007 Advantages and challenges of Bayesian networks in
720 environmental modelling *Ecological Modelling* **203** 312-318
- 721 Watkinson A J, O'Neil J M and Dennison W C 2005 Ecophysiology of the
722 marine cyanobacterium, *Lyngbya majuscula* (Oscillatoriaceae) in
723 Moreton Bay, Australia *Harmful Algae* **4** 697-715
- 724 Weber P and Jouffe L 2006 Complex system reliability modelling with
725 Dynamic Object Oriented Bayesian Networks (DOOBN) *Reliability*
726 *Engineering & System Safety* **91** 149-162
- 727 Wilson A G, Graves T L, Hamada M S and Reese C S 2006 Advances in Data
728 Combination, Analysis and Collection for System Reliability
729 Assessment *Statistical Science* **21** 514–531
- 730
- 731

Legends

Figure 1: UML use case diagram of the conceptual processes in the *Lyngbya* bloom initiation Integrated Network

Figure 2: UML use case diagram of the processes for the *Lyngbya* bloom initiation DOOBN

Figure 3: UML activity diagram detailing the processes for the *Lyngbya* bloom initiation IBN

Figure 4: Science Network for *Lyngbya* initiation (Netica®)

Figure 5: Rainwater OOBN sub-network showing two output nodes, *Groundwater Amount* and *Land Run-off Load*, which are then connected to the input nodes *Prev Groundwater* and *Prev Land Run-off* in the next time slice

Figure 6: Science OOBN sub-network

Figure 7: Five time slices forming the DOOBN for *Lyngbya* bloom initiation

Figure 8: Expanded sub-network instances in Hugin®, showing the interface nodes for each instance. The input and output nodes are represented here as ellipses with broken and solid lines, respectively. Also evident are the directed links between the sub-network instances of the same and the next time slice, so that information from one time slice can flow into the next time slice.

Figure 9: Extract of the Management Network for Mellum Creek Sub-catchment, a visual representation of the sub-catchment, showing point and diffuse sources of nutrients. The inset shows the complete Management Network

Figure 10: Probability of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation

Table 1: Conditional probability table for *Bottom Current Climate* node with states *Low* and *High* and parent nodes *Wind Direction* (states *North*, *SE* and *Other*), *Wind Speed* (states *Low* and *High*) and *Tide* (states *Spring* and *Neap*). These nodes, their states, probabilities and relationships are visible in the Bayesian network in figure 4

Wind Direction	Wind Speed	Tide	Low	High
North	Low	Spring	0.33	0.67
North	Low	Neap	0.61	0.39
North	High	Spring	0.43	0.57
North	High	Neap	0.54	0.46
SE	Low	Spring	0.42	0.58
SE	Low	Neap	0.58	0.42
SE	High	Spring	0.37	0.63
SE	High	Neap	0.59	0.41
Other	Low	Spring	0.39	0.61
Other	Low	Neap	0.59	0.41
Other	High	Spring	0.43	0.57
Other	High	Neap	0.50	0.50

765
766 **Table 2:** Changes to the probability of *Lyngbya* bloom initiation for key
767 factors. All possible states for each of the nodes were assessed individually to
768 ascertain the delta effect it had on the probability of a *Lyngbya* bloom
769 initiation.

770

<i>Factor</i>	Change in P(Bloom) (%)
<i>Available Nutrient Pool</i>	77
<i>Bottom Current Climate</i>	28
<i>Sediment Nutrient Climate</i>	17
<i>Dissolved Fe</i>	16
<i>Dissolved P</i>	15
<i>Light Climate</i>	14
<i>Temperature</i>	14

771

772

773 **Table 3:** Point and diffuse sources contributing nutrients to Deception Bay

774

Source	Point(P) or Diffuse (D)	Nitrogen	Phosphorous	Iron	Organics
Aquaculture	P	X	X		
Composting	P	X	X		
Onsite Sewage	P	X	X		
Poultry	P	X	X	X	
Waste Disposal	P	X	X	X	
Waste Water Treatment Plant	P	X	X		
Agriculture	D	X	X	X	X
Artificial Development	D	X	X		
Developing & Clearing	D	X	X	X	X
Extractive	D	X	X		
Forestry	D	X	X	X	X
Grazing	D	X	X		
Natural Vegetation	D	X	X		X
Stormwater	D	X	X		

775

776

Figure 1

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 5

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 2

Figure 3