Residential Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Generator Fuelled by Ethanol: Cell, Stack, and System Modelling with a Preliminary Experiment

Andrea Lanzini, Massimo Santarelli, Gianmichele Orsello

► To cite this version:

Andrea Lanzini, Massimo Santarelli, Gianmichele Orsello. Residential Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Generator Fuelled by Ethanol: Cell, Stack, and System Modelling with a Preliminary Experiment. Fuel Cells, 2010, 10 (4), pp.654. 10.1002/fuce.201000004 . hal-00552373

HAL Id: hal-00552373

<https://hal.science/hal-00552373>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Residential Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Generator Fuelled by Ethanol: Cell, Stack, and System Modelling with a Preliminary Experiment

Journal:	<i>Fuel Cells</i>
Manuscript ID:	fuce.201000004.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Mar-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Lanzini, Andrea; Politecnico di Torino, Energetics Santarelli, Massimo; Politecnico di Torino, Energetics Orsello, Gianmichele; Turbocare Spa
Keywords:	Ejector, Ethanol, Recirculation, Tubular, System Modelling

Residential Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Generator Fuelled by Ethanol: Cell, Stack, and System Modelling with a Preliminary Experiment

Andrea Lanzini^a, Massimo Santarelli^a, Gianmichele Orsello^b

^a Department of Energy, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy

^b TurboCare spa, Turin, Italy

Andrea Lanzini Department of Energy, Politecnico di Torino, Corso
Duca degli Abruzzi 24 -10129, Torino, Italy, phone: +38 011
0904523, fax: + 39 0110904499, andrea.lanzini@polito.it

Massimo Santarelli Department of Energy, Politecnico di Torino, Corso
Duca degli Abruzzi 24 – 10129, Torino, Italy, phone: +38 011
090 44 87, fax: + 39 0110904499, massimo.santarelli@polito.it

Gianmichele Orsello Turbocare Spa, Corso Romania 661 – 10156, Torino,
Italy, phone: +39 011 005 9931,
gianmichele.orsello@siemens.com

Abstract

The flexibility and feasibility of a 5 kW SOFC generator designed for natural gas and fuelled by a non-conventional liquid fuel such ethanol is analysed. A complete generator model is implemented to predict and determine the main criticalities when ethanol fuel is adoperated. The main Balance-of-Plant (BoP) units considered are the reformer, the recirculation system based on an ejector, the tubular cells bundles constituting the stack unit, the after-burner zone and the air blower. The electrical and global efficiencies achieved at nominal operating conditions show how ethanol

Deleted: as

Deleted: model of the

Deleted: used

Deleted: as a fuel

Deleted: components

Deleted: the stack with

Deleted: a

Deleted: point are calculated,

Deleted: showing

maintains generator performance good, while only slightly reducing the system AC efficiency from 48% (achieved by natural gas) to 45%. The effectiveness and flexibility of the recirculation system when changing the fuel is also verified since a safe steam-to-carbon ratio (STCR) is established after the fuel is switched from natural gas ethanol. The stack thermal management is analysed in detail and related to the system performances, showing how a high endothermic fuel reforming reaction is required to maintain the overall system efficiency. A preliminary experiment with ethanol feeding the Siemens generator is finally presented. The system response to the new fuel is monitored by several measured parameters and the system regulation is explained.

Deleted:

Deleted: demonstrated

Deleted: ,

Deleted: because

Deleted: STCR (

Deleted:)

Deleted: when ethanol fuel is used

Deleted: Finally, details on t

Deleted: are

Deleted: presented

Deleted: in order

Deleted: on

Deleted: in

Deleted: als

Deleted: o

1. Introduction

Natural gas (NG) is considered the major fuel for SOFCs due to its widespread availability, well-known catalytic reforming techniques to produce hydrogen and carbon monoxide, and reduced costs. Several SOFC systems running on natural gas are already installed, and some of them have already achieved several operating hours with high conversion efficiency rates for electricity production [1,2]. Still, natural gas is a fossil fuel, and its consumption to produce energy implies having a net balance of CO₂ emissions. One attractive feature of SOFC technology is the possibility to operate on different fuels. The relatively high operating temperature permits to have an integrated plant for converting hydrocarbons or alcohols into H₂ and CO, which are subsequently electro-oxidised in a SOFC to produce electricity).

Deleted: means

Deleted: because

Deleted: t

Deleted: makes favourable

Deleted: (species can be readily

Deleted: an

Deleted: device

Among the others, fuels that can be derived from a biological path (e.g. biogases or bio-fuels) are of great interest to assure a sustainable energy production since the net carbon dioxide balance emissions is in principle zero. Of course, depending on the particular fuel composition, a proper device for the catalytic reforming (preferably internally integrated into the stack) has to be selected in order to assure both an adequate conversion of the hydrocarbons or alcohols, as well as to prevent carbon deposition on the anode side of the cells.

Deleted: because

Deleted: would be

Deleted: in

The physical status of a fuel at environmental pressure and temperature is also a feature to consider when selecting a fuel. The general advantage of liquid fuels is the high specific energy density, which translates directly into easier and more convenient storage and transportation. Especially for maritime transportation and aircrafts (where the fuel volume storage is a significant parameter), liquid fuels are of a great interest. One available option is the use of alcohols (e.g. methanol, ethanol, DME) in an SOFC generator with internal or in-stack fuel reforming to provide power to high energy-demanding auxiliary units [3]. Methanol is a good candidate, since it is readily available, but usually a fossil fuel such as natural gas or coal is used as the initial feedstock. Moreover, methanol is toxic and special handling and safety issues must be considered. Ethanol is a very promising candidate as well, since it can be produced from renewable resources and has no toxicity issues.

In general, an important issue arising when considering bio-fuels, either gaseous or liquid, is how much energy is actually spent to produce a certain available amount fuel starting from the biomass; the debate was and still is very intense, particularly regarding the ethanol production. In fact, the Well-to-Tank (WTT) value of the first-generation bio-ethanol, is around 1.51 MJ/MJ (mean value obtained from different production pathways), which is still far from the values reached by the traditional fossil fuels (e.g. 0.19 for natural gas) [4]. It has recently been reported [5] that corn ethanol is energy-efficient, as indicated by an energy output/input ratio of 1.34, while other studies state that producing ethanol requires more energy than that stored in the final fuel [6]. Of course, this clearly depends strongly on the specific production pathway selected and the type of starting biomass.

There is still of course a great opportunity to improve the production and distribution paths of bio-fuels, and the second generation is developing fast. In addition, bio-ethanol could reach a good performance level in terms of the «generalised» Tank-to-Wheel (TTW) ratio. As shown in the results of this paper, a good electric and CHP efficiency can be reached when a SOFC is used as the device converting the bio-fuel into electricity and heat.

- Deleted: convenience
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: some particular but wide fields such as
- Deleted: or on-board
- Deleted: Therefore, one possibility
- Deleted: provided
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: zone
- Deleted: favourabl
- Deleted: e
- Deleted: because

- Deleted: because
- Deleted: of course

- Deleted: An
- Deleted: question
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: both
- Deleted: gas
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: th
- Deleted: e
- Deleted: starting from the available
- Deleted: biomass
- Deleted: is
- Deleted: especially for
- Deleted: n fact

The consolidated bio-ethanol production technology essentially uses biomass waste as the raw material. Most of the worldwide production relies on the microbial fermentation of sugars (prior eventually the hydrolysis of the starch-containing compounds to the corresponding sugar).

The standard path from sugar (glucose) follows the basic overall reaction:

Sugarcane (wide production and use in Brazil) or corn (especially in the US) can be used as feedstock biomass and the two have different ethanol yields per kg of biomass.

Through hydrolysis, a cellulosic biomass is feasible as well, since enzymes capable of hydrolysing cellulose and preparing it for fermentation have been widely discovered and selected. This technology could turn a number of cellulose-containing agricultural by-products such as corn cobs, straw, and sawdust into renewable energy resources. Some enzymes are able to hydrolyse agricultural residues such as corn stover, wheat straw, and sugar cane bagasse as well as energy crops such as switchgrass into fermentable sugars [7].

Ethanol is also relatively easy to convert in hydrogen and carbon monoxide through catalytic steam reforming (which is already generally performed in large SOFC systems to convert natural gas).

When bio-ethanol is coupled with a high-energy conversion system, such as an SOFC, a complete sustainable and efficient electricity production is available. For these reasons, an SOFC system fuelled by ethanol is an option worthy of consideration.

Deleted: There is great opportunity for improvement in the production and distribution paths of the bio-fuels, especially considering the use of *second generation* typologies. Also, bio-ethanol could reach a good performance level in terms of the «generalised» Tank-to-Wheel (TTW) ratio, because, as described below, a good electric and CHP efficiency can be reached if ethanol would be used in an SOFC plant. ¶ It has recently been reported [5] that corn ethanol is energy-efficient, as indicated by an energy output/input ratio of 1.34, while other studies state that producing ethanol requires more energy than that stored in the final fuel [6]. Of course, this clearly depends strongly on the specific production pathway selected and the type of starting biomass. ¶ Nevertheless,

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: manufacturing

Deleted: and the production techniques have been consolidated through the years.

Deleted: or

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 6 pt

Deleted: $C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 2CH_3CH_2OH + 2CO_2$.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: In general, ethanol production from biomass with biochemical processes makes it quite an attractive fuel, provided that a sustainable pathway is carefully selected. For non-biological production, e

Deleted: ¶ If

Deleted: pathway can arise

Deleted: n

2. Scope of this study

The main purpose of this study is to establish a detailed and complete modelling framework of an SOFC generator that is able to consider both hydrocarbons and alcohols as fuel inlet. The model is validated against the real generator operating with tubular cells and running on natural gas.

System simulations are performed to show how ethanol could be used to efficiently produce energy (electricity and heat) using an SOFC device as power generator. An experiment with ethanol fuel feeding the real generator is finally performed to check the validity of the simulation results and

Deleted: ¶ ¶

Deleted: model

Deleted: s

Deleted: , along with its

Deleted: validation

Deleted: a

Deleted: that

Deleted: s

Deleted: In this study, we

Deleted: aim also to show how

Deleted: device as

support the main findings. In particular, the generator fuel-flexibility is checked with particular concern to the ejector-based recirculation system and the stack thermal management.

- Deleted: ¶
Moreover, the high
- Deleted: of an SOFC generator
- Deleted: shown
- Deleted: , even
- Deleted: when running on a recirculator-based ejector system with the new fuel.
- Deleted: solution

3. Balance-of-Plant of the SOFC system

In this section, the reference case (a generator fuelled by natural gas) and the proposed alternative (a generator running on ethanol fuel) are compared together. A wide range of topics related to the operation with a non-conventional fuel are discussed. For both feeding cases, the BoP is maintained the same; in this way, the fuel flexibility of the generator is assessed while the system design and configuration are kept unchanged.

- Deleted: In
- Deleted: essentially
- Deleted: evaluated
- Deleted: considering
- Deleted: fixed the

A complete system model of a 5 kW SOFC generator was developed in the present work. The main modules considered are the fuel processing zone (with a thermally integrated in-stack reformer), the stack unit, the after-burner zone, the ejector-based recirculation system and the cathode air blower.

- Deleted: module
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: ventilator
- Deleted: , and the recirculation system based on an ejector.
- Deleted: has been
- Deleted: SOFC

The SOFC system described is representative of the SFCα6 Siemens Fuel Cell design, of which a prototype unit was installed and has been running at Turbocare Spa (Torino) since April 2006.

- Deleted: has been
- Deleted: SOFC

The stack consists of 88 tubular cells with a 75 cm active length. The cell design and materials are well known and are reported in [8]. The cells are packed together in a 4 bundles arrangement, each one consisting of 22 tubular cells. Within every bundle, two strings of 11 series-connected cells are connected together in parallel. According to this electrical connection, the voltages produced by the 4 bundles are summed together to provide the overall stack voltage.

- Deleted: stacked
- Deleted: a

Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa

The generator has a nominal AC electric power around 3-3.5 kW_{el}, with a respective DC stack current of 120-150 A and a voltage of 29-26.5 V. The peak power is 5 kW DC. The nominal thermal recovered power is in the range of 2.5-3.1 kW_{th}. The nominal operating temperature of the stack is 970 °C. A scheme of the BoP main modules is presented in Figure 2.

Natural gas is the designed fuel and can be provided directly from the industrial grid, where it is available at pressure of approximately 5 bars. A valve reduces the pressure to a value of 3 bars just

- Deleted: (NG)
- Deleted: pipelines
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: an

before the generator inlet. As the first step, the fuel enters the desulphuriser, a two reactor beds connected in series, the first one filled with zeolites and the second one with activated carbon. After the cleaning stage, the NG enters the ejector at a pressure that is dependent on the nominal flow. A maximum NG flow of 30 SLPM with an inlet pressure of 2.75 bars is achievable at the ejector inlet. The fuel flow is heated as it is piped inside the hot-box of the system: measurements provide an inlet ejector temperature of around 700°C. Inside the ejector, the primary fuel (NG) entrains part of the anodic exhaust due to fluid-dynamics effects. By mixing with the latter flow, NG is additionally heated. The recirculation factor of the ejector, and especially the exhaust composition, are strictly dependent on the main operative parameters of the system, which are essentially the Fuel Utilisation (FU) and the current load requested to the stack.

Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed

In the system BoP is included an auxiliary steam line used mainly for the start-up and partial load operation of the generator. Under these circumstances, the NG flow entering the generator is much reduced from the nominal value, and is unable to entrain water (steam) enough from the anode exhaust to accomplish the reforming reactions. Additional steam is therefore externally added to the stack to guarantee a safe operation in terms of carbon-deposition (that could affect both the reformer and the cells catalytic activity and long-term integrity). With regards to the ethanol feeding case study, the steam line is actually used to pump the ethanol into the ejector. This option was selected since it represented the most convenient and easy way to feed the stack with a liquid fuel. A benefit of dealing with a liquid fuel is that the energy required to pump the fuel into the ejector at an adequate pressure is a negligible fraction of the overall stack power output. Thus, the ethanol was pumped at room temperature as a liquid towards the ejector and the stack. Before reaching the ejector, the steamer available was used to vaporise it. A more detailed description is reported in the experimental section of this paper.

4. Cell, stack and system modelling of the 5 kW Generator

- Deleted: inlet into the
- Deleted: First
- Deleted: natural gas
- Deleted: (
- Deleted:)
- Deleted: a
- Deleted: at the ejector inlet
- Deleted: In the reference case, the NG flow is provided from the industrial grid. A
- Deleted: is present
- Deleted: and shut-down procedure
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: , and in case the
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: In fact, in this case it
- Deleted: enough
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: recirculated
- Deleted: for
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: and a
- Deleted: from
- Deleted: has to be added in order
- Deleted: to operate
- Deleted: ly
- Deleted: in terms of carbon deposition
- Deleted: Referring now
- Deleted: to
- Deleted: This is quite
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: procedure
- Deleted: , because ethanol is a liquid at room temperature. Another
- Deleted: pumping
- Deleted: energy to reach the pressure required by the ejector
- Deleted: Of course t
- Deleted: has to be vaporised, and this step is accomplished in the steamer heat exchanger
- Deleted: (a
- Deleted:)
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: S
- Deleted: S

4.1 Tubular cell model

Deleted: C
Deleted: M
Deleted: a

Deleted: By atmospheric plasma-spraying the
Deleted: a

Deleted: \n
Deleted: in

Deleted: where

Deleted: also

The Siemens Generator employs cathode-supported tubular cells. The cathode support consists of a 2.2 mm thick doped LaMnO₃ layer fabricated by extrusion-sintering. The inner diameter is ~22 cm.

The YSZ electrolyte (40 μm), the Ni-YSZ anode (100 μm) and the doped LaCrO₃ interconnector (100 μm) layer are successively deposited onto the cathode surface by atmospheric plasma-spraying technique. A thin protective interlayer of CeO₂ is deposited between the cathode and the electrolyte using an impregnation technique.

The cathode tube is continuous, generating a seal-less design, where air is always contained on the inside of the cells. Each cell has an uncovered portion of cathode (where no electrolyte or anode are deposited) where the interconnector layer is placed. A nickel felt is attached onto this layer to provide electrical connection with the other stack cells.

Once the cell geometry and materials are defined, the cell voltage characteristics have been modelled as a function of the current load with a detailed polarisation model. Many models of SOFC single cells exist in the literature; those used here as references are reported in [9-11].

The Nernst potential has been calculated as:

$$V_{Nernst} = \frac{R \cdot T}{4 \cdot F} \log \left(\frac{p_{O_2,cat}^{channel}}{p_{O_2,an}^{channel}} \right), \quad (2)$$

where the oxygen partial pressures are evaluated respectively in the fuel and air channels, before the gas mixture enters the diffusion zone inside the porous electrodes. The losses arising from the diffusion of reacting and produced species inside the anode and cathode layers are evaluated as concentration losses, as later described.

Ohmic losses occur due to the flow of electrons or ionic species inside the cells. The electron paths inside the cells' active layers due to the particular cylinder shape of the cells have been calculated, along with ohmic losses arising in the Ni-felts and the interconnector contact layers between one

cell and another. The path is circumferential inside the anode and cathode, and radial into the electrolyte, interconnector and felt. The formulas used are those proposed in [12]:

$$\eta_{ohm}^{tot} = \eta_{ohm,an} + \eta_{ohm,cat} + \eta_{ohm,ely} + \eta_{ohm,int\,er} + \eta_{ohm,felt}, \quad (3)$$

$$\eta_{ohm,an} + \eta_{ohm,cat} = j \cdot \frac{\pi D}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_{an}}{l_{an}} + \frac{\rho_{cat}}{l_{cat}} \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi D}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$\eta_{ely} = j \cdot \rho_{ely} \cdot l_{ely} \quad (5)$$

$$\eta_{ohm,int\,er} + \eta_{ohm,felt} = j \cdot \pi D \cdot \left(\rho_{int\,er} \frac{l_{int\,er}}{w_{int\,er}} + \rho_{felt} \frac{l_{felt}}{w_{felt}} \right) \quad (6)$$

Figure 3 shows a schematic view of the electrons migrating from the Ni-felt of a cell to that of the subsequent cell, passing through the anode, cathode and electrolyte layer. The current collector zones (interconnector + felt) are located at each semicircle. A uniform current distribution inside the tube is assumed.

Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell

The anodic concentration losses are evaluated with the flowing expression:

$$\eta_{diff,an} = -\frac{RT}{2F} \log \left(\frac{p_{H_2,an}^{int} \cdot p_{H_2O,an}^{channel}}{p_{H_2,an}^{channel} \cdot p_{H_2O,an}^{int}} \right) \quad (7)$$

An analogous expression was derived for the CO diffusion, being this species considered electrochemical active as well as H₂ in the proposed electrochemical model.

The Fick's law is solved at the anode to account for the partial pressure change of the gas species diffusing through the electrode along the anode thickness direction [13]:

$$N_i = -D_i^{eff} \frac{P}{RT} \nabla y_i \quad (8)$$

Since a multi-species gas diffusion is present, the diffusion coefficient of each species inside the mixture is calculated as reported in [14]:

Deleted: the

Deleted: following as

Deleted: $\eta_{ely} = j \cdot \rho_{ely} \cdot l_{ely}$ (5)
$$\eta_{ohm,int\,er} + \eta_{ohm,felt} = j \cdot \pi D \cdot \left(\rho_{int\,er} \frac{l_{int\,er}}{w_{int\,er}} + \rho_{felt} \frac{l_{felt}}{w_{felt}} \right) \quad (6)$$

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 177.6 pt, First line: 34.8 pt

Deleted: cell tube

Deleted: polarisations

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 17 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: using

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶

$$N_i = -D_i^{eff} \frac{P}{RT} \nabla y_i \quad (7)$$

Deleted: In the anode,

Deleted: . T

Deleted: defined

$$D_i^{eff} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} \left[\frac{1 - \alpha_{i,m} \cdot y_i}{D_{i,m}} + \frac{1}{D_i^K} \right]^{-1} \quad (9)$$

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $D_i^{eff} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} \left[\frac{1 - \alpha_{i,m} \cdot y_i}{D_{i,m}} + \frac{1}{D_i^K} \right]^{-1}$. (8)

Deleted: where

Deleted: given by

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

$$\alpha_{i,m} = 1 - \left(\frac{M_i}{\sum_k^{n_{species}} M_k / n_{species}} \right) \quad (10)$$

Deleted: $\alpha_{i,m} = 1 - \left(\frac{M_i}{\sum_k^{n_{species}} M_k / n_{species}} \right)$. (9)¶ and

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $D_{i,m} = \frac{1 - y_i}{\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{y_j}{D_{ij}}}$. (10)¶

Deleted: ,

Deleted: so

Deleted: a

Deleted: required

Deleted: kind of

Deleted: when

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: .

Deleted: $p_{O_2,cat}^{int} = \frac{p_{cat}^{tot}}{\delta_{O_2}} - \left(\frac{p_{cat}^{tot}}{\delta_{O_2}} - p_{O_2}^{chan} \right)$. . (11) . ¶

Formatted: Justified, Tabs: 240.95 pt, Centered + 423.75 pt, Left

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $\frac{1}{D_{O_2}^{eff}} = \frac{\tau_{cat}}{\varepsilon_{cat}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{D_{O_2,K}} + \frac{1}{D_{O_2-N_2}^{eff}} \right)$. . (12)¶

Deleted: $\delta_{O_2} = \frac{D_{O_2,K}^{eff}}{D_{O_2,K}^{eff} + D_{O_2-N_2}^{eff}}$. . (13)

$\alpha_{i,m}$ is a dimensionless parameter defined as:

where

$$D_{i,m} = \frac{1 - y_i}{\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{y_j}{D_{ij}}}$$

The dusty-gas model is used at the cathode side [15]. The most significant concentration loss occurs here due to the higher thickness of the cathode compared to the anode layer. An higher accuracy is sought when in evaluating this overpotential. The superiority of dusty-gas model with respect to the

Fick's law has been reported in literature [16]. The equation for oxygen partial pressure at the cathode/electrolyte interface, considering O₂ self-diffusion into the cathode layer, takes the following form once solved:

$$p_{O_2,cat}^{int} = \frac{p_{cat}^{tot}}{\delta_{O_2}} - \left(\frac{p_{cat}^{tot}}{\delta_{O_2}} - p_{O_2}^{channel} \right) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{\delta_{O_2} \cdot R \cdot T \cdot j \cdot l_{cat}}{4F \cdot D_{O_2}^{eff} \cdot p_{cat}^{tot}} \right) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{D_{O_2}^{eff}} = \frac{\tau_{cat}}{\varepsilon_{cat}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{D_{O_2,K}} + \frac{1}{D_{O_2-N_2}} \right) N_i = -D_i^{eff} \frac{p}{RT} \nabla y_i \quad (13)$$

$$\delta_{O_2} = \frac{D_{O_2,K}^{eff}}{D_{O_2,K}^{eff} + D_{O_2-N_2}^{eff}} \quad (14)$$

The binary diffusion and Knudsen diffusion coefficients have been calculated according to the Chapman-Enskog kinetic theory [17]. For a low-density gas, the binary coefficients are calculated as:

$$D_{AB} = 0.0018583 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{T^{3/2}}{p \sigma_{AB}^2 \Omega_{DAB}} \quad (15)$$

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $D_{AB} = 0.0018583 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{T^{3/2}}{p \sigma_{AB}^2 \Omega_{DAB}}$

Deleted: (14)

Formatted: Centered

Deleted: A

where p is the total pressure (in atm), $\sigma_{AB} = (\sigma_A + \sigma_B)/2$ is the collision diameter (in Å) and Ω_{DAB} is the 'collision integral' for diffusion, based on the Lennard-Jones potential that can be obtained from the dimensionless temperature kT/ε_{AB} , where ε_{AB} is the Lennard-Jones parameter for the energy of molecular interaction, which is expressed in ergs.

Deleted: Ω_{DAB} is the 'collision integral' for diffusion, based on the Lennard-Jones potential that can be obtained from the dimensionless temperature kT/ε_{AB} , where ε_{AB} is the Lennard-Jones parameter for the energy of molecular interaction, which is expressed in ergs.

The Knudsen diffusion coefficients are calculated as:

$$D_{AK} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{8 \cdot R \cdot T}{\pi \cdot M_A} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} r_{pore} \quad (16)$$

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $D_{AK} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{8 \cdot R \cdot T}{\pi \cdot M_A} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} r_{pore}$

... (15)

Deleted: expressed by

The effective diffusion coefficients are evaluated using the Bousanquet formula, multiplying the binary and Knudsen coefficients for the porosity over tortuosity factor.

The cathodic diffusion overpotential is defined as:

$$\eta_{diff,cat} = -\frac{RT}{4F} \log \left(\frac{p_{O2,cat}^{int}}{p_{O2,cat}^{channel}} \right) \quad (17)$$

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $\eta_{diff,cat}$

Deleted: $\eta_{diff,cat} = -\frac{RT}{4F} \log \left(\frac{p_{O2,cat}^{int}}{p_{O2,cat}^{channel}} \right)$

... (16)

Table 1 - Parameters for the cell electrochemical model

The activation overpotentials are derived from the Butler-Volmer equation, which was obtained in its explicit form by means of the hyperbolic sine approximation. The anodic exchange current has been calculated as reported in [18]:

$$j_{0,an} = \gamma_{an} \cdot \left(\frac{p_{H2,eq}}{p_{ox,an}} \right) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{E_{act,an}}{R \cdot T} \right) N_i = -D_i^{eff} \frac{p}{RT} \nabla y_i \quad (18)$$

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $j_{0,an}$

Deleted: $j_{0,an} = \gamma_{an} \cdot \left(\frac{p_{H2,eq}}{p_{ox,an}} \right) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{E_{act,an}}{R \cdot T} \right) N_i$

... (17)

Deleted: $j_{0,cat} = \gamma_{cat} \cdot (p_{O2,cat}^{int})^{0.25} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{E_{act,cat}}{R \cdot T} \right)$

... (18)

Deleted: is then

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $\eta_{act,an} + \eta_{act,cat} = \frac{RT}{2F} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{j}{j_{0,an}} \right) + \frac{RT}{4F} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{j}{j_{0,cat}} \right)$

... (19)

The sum of the anodic and cathodic overpotentials holds the following expression:

$$\eta_{act,an} + \eta_{act,cat} = \frac{RT}{2F} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{j}{j_{0,an}} \right) + \frac{RT}{4F} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{j}{j_{0,cat}} \right) \quad (20)$$

The total cell polarisation can finally be written as:

$$V(j) = V_{Nernst} - \eta_{ohm}^{tot} - \eta_{act,an} - \eta_{act,cat} - \eta_{diff,an} - \eta_{diff,cat} \quad (21)$$

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 7 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $V(j) = V_{Nernst} - \eta_{ohm}^{tot} + \dots$ (20)

Deleted: giving

Deleted: under

Deleted: is

Deleted: Because

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

Deleted: available

Deleted: to provide current through electrochemical oxidation at the anod

Deleted: e

Deleted: ratio

Deleted: of

Deleted: has been

Deleted: assumed

Deleted: to

Deleted: reacting

Deleted: in the stack

Deleted: fully

Deleted: several times

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 12 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 12 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 12 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 12 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 12 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: $I_{H_2,i} + I_{CO,i} = \frac{I_{TOT}}{N}$

Formatted: Left

Deleted: (21)

Formatted: ... [1]

Formatted: ... [2]

Deleted: ... [3]

The set of equations (Eqs. 2-21) provided permits to evaluate the cell polarisation behaviour as a function of the current load requested. The present model was solved for a 1D discretised domain of the tubular cell (see Figure 4). The domain has been divided into equidistant sectors along the tube length, and the polarisation model is solved for each discretised tube element. Because the gases in each solved tube section diffuse in a direction orthogonal to the fuel flow in the channel, a quasi-2-D model is derived. An uniform current distribution along the tube length is assumed. Since both the H_2 and CO coming from the reforming of CH_4 are considered as being electrochemical active species, a value of 3 was assumed as the one expressing that ratio between H_2 and CO reacted to provide the overall current requested. This empirical assumption agrees with the literature [11], and in our case it is supported also by the chromatographic analysis of the stack outlet gas, which were performed routinely during the generator operation.

Along the tube, the anodic and cathodic gas mixtures change composition according to Faraday's law. At the generic tube element along the domain, the following equations hold:

$$I_{H_2,i} + I_{CO,i} = \frac{I_{CELL}}{N} \quad (22)$$
$$n_{H_2,i+1} = n_{H_2,i} - I_{H_2,i} = n_{H_2,i} - \frac{3/4 \cdot (I_{CELL}/N)}{2 \cdot F} \quad (23)$$
$$n_{H_2O,i+1} = n_{H_2O,i} + \frac{3/4 \cdot (I_{CELL}/N)}{2 \cdot F} \quad (24)$$
$$n_{CO,i+1} = n_{CO,i} - (I_{CELL}/N - I_{H_2,i}) = n_{CO,i} - \frac{1/4 \cdot (I_{CELL}/N)}{2F} \quad (25)$$
$$n_{CO_2,i+1} = n_{CO_2,i} + \frac{1/4 \cdot (I_{CELL}/N)}{2 \cdot F} \quad (26)$$
$$n_{O_2,i+1} = n_{O_2,i} - \frac{(I_{CELL}/N)}{4 \cdot F} \quad (27)$$

Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell

The value representative of the cell voltage is the mean of the voltage values calculated at each discretised sector (or element) along the tube length.

In Table 1 the main geometrical, microstructural and electrochemical parameters used as an input to solve the tubular cell model are reported.

4.2 Stack model

Each tubular cell is assumed to work under the same identical conditions to the other cells inside the stack and with the same performances. Thus, the polarisation model presented in Section 4.1 is solved just for one cell and the results obtained are extended to every cell in the stack. As mentioned in Section 3, the total number of cells is 88, and they are stacked together in bundles of 22 cells each. A schematic view of the electrical connection among the cells inside a bundle is reported in Figure 5. The terminal cells at both sides of the bundle are welded to current-collecting metallic sheets. Referring to the electrical arrangement described before, the same current is flowing in each bundle being all connected together in series. Therefore the overall stack current output is the double of that flowing in each cell. When measuring the generator stack voltage (the only voltage measurement available for the real generator), the cells average voltage can be obtained by dividing the former voltage by 44 (which is half of the total number of cells within the stack).

Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle

The stack is air-cooled, which means that air in excess to the stoichiometric quantity needed for the electrochemical reactions is used to cool the stack. The stack thermal balance is solved to evaluate the stoichiometric air excess.

The heat internally produced by the stack is calculated as:

$$Q_{stack} = Q_{react} + Q_{loss} = T_{stack} \cdot \Delta S_{stack} + Q_{loss} \quad (28)$$

The two heat source terms in Eq. 28 are respectively defined as:

$$\begin{cases} T_{stack} \cdot \Delta S_{stack} = \Delta H_{stack} - \Delta G_{stack} \\ Q_{loss} = I_{stack} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta G_{fuel(H_2, CO)}^{ox}(T_{stack}, y_{fuel})}{2 \cdot F} - V(I) \right) \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

12

Formatted: Indent: Left: 18 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: M

Deleted: inside the stack

Deleted: in

Deleted: §

Deleted: is just

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and the results

Deleted: extended

Deleted: §

Deleted: of

Deleted: the

Deleted: a

Deleted: bundle is the same, because

Deleted: the latter

Deleted: are

Deleted: The

Deleted: therefore

Deleted: passin

Deleted: g

Deleted: A

Deleted: can

Deleted: stack

Deleted: output

Formatted: Left

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 7 pt

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶

$Q_{stack} = Q_{react} + Q_{loss} = T_{stack} \cdot \Delta S_{stack} + Q_{loss}$

(27)

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: 6

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

The first equation refers to the entropic heat of reaction, arising from the electrochemical oxidation of H₂ and CO in H₂O and CO₂ respectively, while the second term is related to the irreversibilities originated by the cells' overvoltages.

The air excess ratio is the parameter used to control the stack temperature; it can be calculated solving the energy balance equations around a control volume representative of the stack itself; the following expression is obtained for the air excess ratio:

$$\lambda_{air} = \frac{Q_{stack} + Q_{ref} + Q_{fuel}}{n_{air,stech} \cdot c_{p,air} (T_{stack} - T_{air,inlet})} \quad (30)$$

The stack air inlet temperature has been set to 750 °C, as measured during the real generator operation. A particular feature of the Siemens BoP is the air pre-heating zone, which is integrated into the stack 'hot-box'. The cathode inlet air enters the generator at the upper side. As the air heads down towards the stack, it encounters the after-burner unit, just located at the top of generator. In this way, the inlet air, before entering the stack, is preheated to the temperature mentioned before.

4.3 System model

Several works on the modelling of a complete SOFC system are available in literature. Notably are those reported in [19-25]; among these, only Riensche et al. [19,20], Marsano et al. [21], Milewski et al. [22] and Campanari [23] have included an ejector model in their simulations. Of these, just Marsano included a detailed fluid-dynamic description of the ejector-based recirculation system, and accounted in his model for a detailed ejector geometry.

The other equations required to describe the generator behaviour consist of mass and energy balances throughout each component of the BoP, coupled to the electrochemical balances and reactions previously described for the stack (which are basically the Faraday's law and an electrochemical polarisation model of the tubular cell). A simple combustion model for the after-burner is used for the stack exhaust burning.

- Deleted: ¶ [4]
- Deleted: expression
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: is linked to the thermal [5]
- Deleted: simply
- Deleted: by applying
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: to
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
- Deleted: ¶ [6]
- Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0 pt
- Deleted: (29)
- Formatted [7]
- Deleted: in the stack
- Deleted: real operation of the generator
- Deleted: comes from
- Deleted: stack
- Deleted: upper side
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: As
- Deleted: the air
- Deleted: flows
- Deleted: through the top area of [8]
- Deleted: where
- Deleted: zone
- Deleted: is located, it
- Deleted: mentioned above
- Deleted: before reaching the cell [9]
- Deleted: System model
- Formatted: Font: Italic
- Formatted [10]
- Formatted: Font: Italic
- Deleted: Examples
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: can be found
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: 3
- Deleted: only
- Deleted: and Milewski et al. [21]
- Deleted: n
- Deleted: . In this manner, a
- Deleted: model
- Deleted: is solved
- Deleted: used for describing

Deleted: Because

Deleted: available

Deleted: from

Deleted: 4

Deleted: 5

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: model

Deleted: on

Deleted: inside

Deleted: for SOFCs applications

Deleted: 6

Deleted: -

Deleted: 29

Deleted: 6

Deleted: 7

Deleted: fuel

Deleted: flow

Deleted: In this way t

Since gas mixtures are generally present throughout the system (namely CH_4 , $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$, H_2 , CO , H_2O , CO_2 , O_2 , N_2), detailed polynomial fitting functions for the specific heats, enthalpies, entropies and Gibbs function values are considered according to the thermochemical data available from the literature [26, 27].

4.3.1 Ejector

The ejector is one of the most important components of the BoP since a proper management of anode recirculation mechanism is crucial to safely operate the generator. The ejector is required to provide the reformer with a sufficient amount of steam, which has to be enough to fully reformat the NG hydrocarbons (of which CH_4 is the most abundant) as well as to avoid carbon deposition on the catalyst present in the reformer or in the piping within the generator. The ejector behaviour for SOFC applications is well described in [21, 28, 30]. The fluid-dynamic equations used in this work are those proposed by Zhu et al. [28, 29]. The ejector has a nozzle where the fuel (natural gas or NGEtOH/ H_2O as in our case) is injected at a relatively high pressure and accelerated at sonic velocities ($\text{Ma} > 1$). The fuel flow ('primary flow') is thus able to entrain a secondary flow, which is a fraction of the total anodic exhaust produced into the stack. The entrainment is mainly due to the combined effects of viscous forces and a local pressure differential occurring at the nozzle outlet between the primary and the secondary flows. The model considered solves the conservation equations (of mass, momentum and energy) at the main sections of the ejector. The assumption of the fuel reaching a $\text{Ma} > 1$ at the nozzle outlet is used to determine the velocity profile of the secondary flow between the primary/secondary streams boundary and the wall. This assumption was verified observing that the inlet fuel pressure measured at the nozzle inlet was always such to enable a critical flow through the nozzle, which indeed means having a $\text{Ma} = 1$ at the nozzle throat. Further detail on this aspects are nicely reported in the extensive work on ejectors developed by De Chant [31]. The mass flow of the entrained secondary stream is finally determined integrating the radial velocity profile over the available flow area and multiplying it over the density [28].

Deleted: T

Deleted: 3

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶
$$\omega = \frac{n_s}{n_p} = \frac{f_{recirc} \cdot n_{exhaust}}{n_{fuel}} \dots ($$

30)¶
Because

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶
Deleted: $G_{fuel} = \sqrt{f_{loss} \cdot k \cdot R} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{k+1} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}} \cdot \rho_{fuel} \cdot A_t \cdot \sqrt{T_{fuel}}$
(31)¶

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt

Deleted: Substituting

Deleted: the

Deleted: of

Deleted: as

Besides the fuel nozzle area, the ejector includes a mixing zone, where the two flows have time and space enough to get homogeneous before entering the reformer/stack zone, and a diffuser which converts part of the dynamic pressure of the flow into static pressure in order to overcome the reformer and stack fuel compartment pressure drops. A schematic design of the ejector is reported in Figure 6.

Figure 6 - Ejector scheme

The primary flow is accelerated in the nozzle (just convergent in our design) to obtain high entrainment ratios of the secondary flow: the anodic exhaust. The molar entrainment ratio of the ejector is hence defined as the ratio between the recirculated flow (a fraction of the total anodic exhaust) and the incoming fresh fuel:

$$\omega = \frac{n_s}{n_p} = \frac{f_{recirc} \cdot n_{exhaust}}{n_{fuel}} \quad (31)$$

Since an ejector is generally designed to operate at supersonic speeds, the inlet primary fuel flow passing through the nozzle can be expressed by the following relation:

$$G_{fuel} = \sqrt{f_{loss} \cdot k \cdot R} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{k+1} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}} \cdot \rho_{fuel} \cdot A_t \cdot \sqrt{T_{fuel}} \quad (32)$$

The previous equation expresses the critical mass flowing into a nozzle of a defined geometry (where A_t represents the throat area of the nozzle), once provided the thermodynamic properties of the fluid. By substituting the ideal gas law into the density term, the pressure term becomes explicit. As stated before, the ejector has the task of providing enough steam for reforming the NG. Rather than using an external water source, the steam produced by the electrochemical oxidation of H_2 is internally “recycled”, and part of it is transferred from the stack zone to the reformer zone. At the top of the anodic chamber containing the tubular cells, a duct is placed in such a way to permit part of the anodic exhaust to reach the ejector. The exhaust anodic gas consists of a mixture of unspent fuel (H_2 , CO), whose quantity depends on the FU of the generator, and by-products of the

electrochemical reactions (H_2O , CO_2). Since the driving force to entrain the exhaust gas is provided by the accelerated primary fuel (NG) through the ejector nozzle, once the ejector geometry is fixed, the fraction of the anodic exhaust recirculated depends on its molar composition and on the thermodynamic state and mass flow of the primary stream.

Deleted: Because

Deleted: when

Deleted: of

Deleted: fluid along with its mass flow

Deleted: ¶

4.3.2 Reformer and after-burner

Deleted: hydrocarbons

The component where the reforming of NG takes place to produce hydrogen and carbon monoxide is the so-called 'in-stack reformer'. The reformer is placed just between the two central bundles of the generator. The BoP has been designed in such a way that the high endothermic reforming reactions receive heat directly from the bundles where heat sources are actually located. The heat exchanged between the reformer and the stack bundles is predominately ruled by radiative phenomena. Since the reformer is receiving heat from the stack, its average temperature is always lower than that of the stack. In our calculations, the reforming equilibrium reactions have been carried out at a temperature of 800 °C. This temperature can be regarded as an average between the reformer inlet and outlet temperatures.

Deleted: is basically the

Deleted: mean of

Deleted: find

Deleted: our

Deleted: was used

Deleted: this

Deleted: study

Deleted: , as reported by gas analysis measurements

Deleted: .

Deleted: of the CH_4

Deleted: ont

Deleted: o

Deleted: all of

Deleted: available

Deleted: almost

Deleted: in reacting with

Deleted: basically

Deleted: A

Deleted: therefore

Deleted: (

Deleted: it does not for

Deleted: .

Deleted: is

Deleted: in a significant amount

Deleted:)

A chemical equilibrium software provided by NASA [24], based on the minimisation of the Gibbs free energy, has been used to determine the equilibrium composition of the flow entering the reformer (fresh fuel + anodic recirculated flow). The NASA code, written in FORTRAN, has been built into a Matlab© code, which we developed to solve all of the equations reported in the present work.

The reformer is catalysed by Ni-Al-Mg pellets through which the fuel mixture flows. In the real plant operation, up to 95% of the methane fuel is known to be converted inside the reformer. The rest is converted directly over the cells' anode surface. In our calculations, the methane is allowed to react entirely in the reformer. The reformer is treated as being completely selective towards H_2O in the methane conversion reactions, while the CO_2 remains unreacted. In this way, a full steam reforming reaction takes place, while dry-reforming is completely inhibited even if the CO_2 is available, as significant fraction in the recirculated flow, CH_4 is by itself thermodynamically more

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

favoured to choose steam rather than CO₂, if both available, for its conversion in H₂ and CO, but of
course is the catalyst chosen inside the reformer that determines the reactions taking place inside it.
Our assumption is therefore supported by the particular catalyst known to be available in the
reformer. The reformer selectivity has been intrinsically taken into account in our model as a non-
reacting CO₂ was constrained within the equilibrium routine solved for calculating the reformer
outlet composition.

The fraction of the anodic exhaust that is not recirculated join the exhaust air in the upper part of the
stack module; the after-burner-zone.

The after-burner section is the region where the hot exhaust cathodic air and the non-recirculated
fraction of the anodic exhaust mix together in a combustion chamber. What actually burns are the
H₂ and CO not consumed by the electrochemical reactions within the stack. The combustion is
therefore strictly related to the generator FU. The combustion has been modelled again by a tool
available within the NASA program, CEA [24], assuming a constant volume and pressure
combustion, which is highly representative of what actually takes place in the after-burner region of
the generator.

A final consideration has to be made for some internal combustion of H₂ and CO inside the stack,
which introduces the concept of fuel consumption (FC). Inside a stack, some air leaks into the
anode chamber due to imperfect sealing between the anode and cathode compartments. This
phenomenon is due to the alumina structure holding the tubes in place, which is not completely gas-
tight. The FC is defined as the overall fraction of H₂ and CO consumed inside the stack, either by
electrochemical or combustion processes, while the FU just takes into account the electrochemical
consumption. For the 5 kW Generator, the FC is estimated to be around 3% higher than the FU.

Once the leak is fixed, the fuel flow and the operating FU automatically determine the overall
amount of H₂ and CO burning inside the stack. This kind of direct internal combustion inside the
stack has a detrimental effect not just in terms of wasted fuel, but also in terms of thermal
management of the stack itself. The combusted H₂ and CO generate more heat that if they were

- Deleted: likely
- Deleted:
- Deleted: reforming
- Formatted: Subscript
- Deleted: but
- Deleted: is
- Deleted: especially sensitive to the catalysts chosen inside the reformer
- Deleted: that play an important role in selecting one reaction over another
- Deleted: of the reformer
- Deleted: "
- Deleted: "
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: equilibrium calculation
- Deleted: performed in the model,
- Deleted: imposed as a constraint
- Deleted: solving
- Deleted: mixes
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: :
- Deleted: is
- Deleted: fraction of CO and
- Deleted: ; therefore, t
- Deleted: operating FU of the
- Deleted: present in
- Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

- Deleted: fixed
- Deleted: is

Deleted: when they are

converted electrochemically. We accounted for this phenomenon in our model, and its effect on the air excess is not negligible. Actually taking into account this aspect, the calculated air excess ratio was in a better agreement with the value experienced by the generator during its real operation.

Deleted: at all.

Deleted: , considering

Deleted: that

Deleted: real

4.4 Model validation

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

The complete system model has been validated against experimental data available from the 5 kW Siemens generator. The output stack voltage provided by the model matches that of the real system at an operation point within the nominal range of the generator (120 A of stack current and an FU of 85%), as well as in a wide interval of off-design points, with a stack current ranging from 100 to 170 A. The voltages predicted by the model reproduce the experimental ones with a maximum relative error of 2%.

Deleted: whole

Deleted: for the

The model has been validated for the reference case (NG feeding) also verifying a close match between the modelled and the real generator in terms of other significant operating, such as λ_{air} , W_{th} , f_{recirc} and $STCR$, which were either available from the BoP design or directly measured during the generator operational life.

Deleted: with respect to

Deleted:) and

Deleted: considering

Deleted: values measured on the generator SFC5u6, specifically $W_{el,DC}$.

Deleted: .

In particular, the excess air ratio requested by the stack to keep its temperature constant at 970 °C was calculated by the model with value very close to the real measured one (3.47 against an experimental value of 3.34, at 120 A).

Deleted: needed

Deleted: generator

Deleted: obtained by the model

Deleted: was very close to that o

Deleted: f the real generator

Deleted: ¶
¶

5 System simulation results

The model described in the previous section was solved iteratively as to compute the ejector module, an initial guess over the anode recirculated molar fraction was necessary. At each iteration step, the exhaust molar composition is corrected and updated until convergence is reached. A schematic diagram of the iteration step within the system model is shown in Figure 7.

Deleted: ;

Deleted: 4

Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust

As a concluding remark on this section, each flow cooling or heating inside the generator 'hot-box' has always been accounted for in such a way as to preserve the consistency of the energy conservation principle.

5.2 System modelling of the 5kW generator fuelled by ethanol

The simulation results for the ethanol feeding are reported in Figure 9. Ethanol is provided to the stack through a pump that extracts the liquid fuel from a reservoir tank and conveys it to a vaporiser (steamer of the actual BoP). The power absorbed by this component is a negligible fraction of the electric output of the plant. A favourable feature of dealing with liquid fuels is the possibility of having a pump instead of a compressor to flow the fuel into the ejector, with an associated enthalpy change much lower than that, required when compressing a gas.

Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding

By comparing the two flow sheets in Figures 8 and 9, it can be concluded that the ethanol feeding produces overall system performances comparable with those achieved with the reference natural gas fuel. The net AC efficiency drops of about 3 points when the fuel is switched from natural gas to pure ethanol. An higher LHV to produce the same overall quantity of stack current is required by the ethanol fuel. This accounts for ~1.5% of efficiency loss. The remaining loss arises from the higher power consumption of the blower due to the increased air excess of the ethanol-fuelled stack.

The local Nernst voltage curves for NG and EtOH under a stack current of 120 A are reported in Figure 10. The curves have of course a similar trend, where the voltage drop observed is related to the gradual consumption along the tube of the reactive moles due to the electrochemical reactions occurring in the cell. Apart from the terminal region of the tube, the Nernst cell voltage is always higher for ethanol than for methane. The lower STCR achieved with ethanol means a less-diluted anode fuel which consequently brings a slower descent of the open circuit voltage as the fuel reactive moles (H_2 and CO) are depleted along the tubular cell channel. Nevertheless, at the tube

Deleted: a general

Deleted: energy conservation inside the model

Deleted: a

Deleted: stack

Deleted: 10

Deleted: Actually, a

Deleted: potential positive

Deleted: a

Deleted: generator

Deleted: since the

Deleted:

Deleted: associated with compressing a liquid is

Deleted: an that

Deleted: by

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 9

Deleted: 10

Deleted: is just

Deleted: percentage

Deleted: lower

Deleted: is used instead of natural gas

Deleted: is required for producing

Deleted: with

Deleted: as compared to NG

Deleted: a

Deleted: comes

Deleted: Nevertheless, the polarisation of the stack when ethanol fuel is feeding the generator is actually slightly higher than for NG. Under the hypothesis of a proper reforming of ethanol, the fuel quantity in both feeding cases is such as to preserve the overall H_2 -equivalents entering the system. Thus, the Nernst voltage of the stack should not be affected significantly. This aspect has been analysed in more detail by (... [11])

Deleted: polarisation

Deleted: with

Deleted: the

Deleted: same

Deleted: for

Deleted: taking place over

Deleted: Except for

Deleted: in

Deleted: The reason for this is that a

Deleted: is

Deleted: and a

Deleted: causes

end the lower local FU obtained with the ethanol feeding is responsible for the more pronounced local Nernst voltage drop (see section 5.3 for a detailed explanation of the local FU).

Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding

Deleted: Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding

With reference to the ethanol feeding case, the ejector recirculation system is able in principle to provide enough water for the reforming reaction, thus avoiding the need for an external water source; as explained with further detail later in this section, the lower STCR achieved by the ethanol fuel is essentially due to a different exhaust molar composition (richer in CO) established in the anodic exhaust.

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: The

Deleted: in the ethanol case is in principle able

Formatted: Normal

The lowered STCR achieved is enough to avoid carbon deposition from equilibrium thermodynamic considerations, but its value is just located on the lower bound of a safety range, which generally lies between 2 and 2.5. A possible way to compensate for a too low STCR, without changing the ejector design, could be directly mixing some water with the ethanol feeding stream. Since water and ethanol have very similar vaporisation points, they could be easily mixed together when in the liquid phase, and subsequently vaporised and conveyed together to the ejector nozzle (this will be actually the solution adopted by the Authors in the experimental part of this work).

Deleted: the STCR

Deleted: is just

Deleted: at

Deleted: the

Deleted: generally

From the simulations results obtained, a mass entrainment ratio of ~8 is obtained with NG, while a much lower value of ~3 is obtained with EtOH. The main reason is that when EtOH is used as a fuel, the primary flow in the ejector nozzle is almost doubled on a mass basis, consequently the available flow area for the secondary stream is significantly decreased, and eventually a reduced entrained secondary flow is obtained (values of $11.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ and $7.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ are respectively obtained for NG and EtOH).

Deleted: The reason for the lower STCR is related to the lowered recirculation factor achieved in the ejector (0.52 versus 0.65 with NG); in addition to this, a different exhaust molar composition (richer in CO) can lower the STCR (this aspect is discussed further on this paper with more details). The lowered STCR is enough to avoid carbon deposition, from a thermodynamic point of view.

Formatted: Superscript

The following considerations explain with some more detail why the primary flow increases while changing the inlet fuel. Ethanol and natural gas have a molecular weight ratio of 46:16, while 2:3 is the ratio established to produce the same amount of electricity regardless of the fuel used (for a

better clarification on this peculiar feature see Section 6.1 as well). These two ratios should be multiplied together to get the NG:EtOH mass flow inlet ratio. A value close to 2 is obtained, exactly meaning that an almost doubled mass flow passing through the ejector nozzle is established when the fuel is changed from NG to EtOH.

We have now clarified the reasons behind a different mass entrainment ratio between the NG and the EtOH fuels. Nevertheless, the ejector entrainment ratio would be more meaningful if expressed on a molar basis, being the STCR defined on the very same basis. Under the assumption (quite realistic according to our calculations) that the average molecular weight of the anodic exhaust is identical between NG and EtOH, the mass entrainment ratio achieved for each fuel can be related to the molar one by simply multiplying the former ratio for the molar ratio of the two fuels (which is 2:3, according to the strategy of keeping constant the electricity produced by the generator and thus the H₂-equivalents number entering the stack). If the value obtained is multiplied over the ratio of secondary mass flows established by each fuel (which is 7.65:11, as reported before), a value close to 1 is now found, meaning that eventually almost the same molar entrainment ratio is obtained for both the fuel feeding cases, consistently also to what reported in Figure 8 and 9.

In Table 2 the molar entrainment ratios and the molar compositions of the recirculated flow for both NG and EtOH are reported. The water entrained by the ejector normalised to the molar flow of fuel entering into the stack is reported as well: from now on this parameter will be defined as the H₂O stoichiometry of the ejector. Otherwise, the STCR is defined according to the following formula:

$$STCR = \frac{y_{H_2O} \cdot n_{an,recirc}}{n_{fuel} + y_{CO} \cdot n_{an,recirc}} \quad (33)$$

The difference between the two parameters lies in that the STCR accounts also for the CO in its definition, being this species potentially able to produce carbon deposition as well as CH₄.

As shown in Table 2, and provided that methane and ethanol require the same stoichiometric amount of water in the reforming process, the H₂O stoichiometry achieved for both fuels is

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: Referring to the lower entrainment achieved in the ejector with ethanol fuel, a simple explanation is that a lower mass flow (compared to the reference NG) is entering into the fuel nozzle and thus less driving force is available to entrain the secondary flow. A possible way to compensate for this, without changing the ejector design, could be to provide some kind of 'support flow' into the nozzle. Since water and ethanol have very similar vaporisation points, they could be mixed and vaporised together, and then conveyed to the nozzle. In this case the STCR would raise for two reasons: i) a direct one, which of course is due to the extra water present into the ethanol feeding, ii) an indirect one, which is the increase of the entrainment ratio due to the augmented flow entering the nozzle.

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Deleted: 1

Deleted: in

Deleted: feeding cases

Deleted: also calculated and

Deleted: stoichs

Deleted: Instead

Deleted: , t

Deleted: as the

Deleted:

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

... [12]

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: (36)¶

Formatted: Tabs: 460.7 pt, Left

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: For the fuels consider ... [13]

Deleted: is

Deleted: is also accounted for ... [14]

Deleted: recognising it as

Deleted: a

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: 1

Deleted: considering

Deleted: procoess

Deleted: stoichs

Deleted: in

Deleted: feeding cases

Deleted: are

essentially the same. The fact of having a lower STCR for the ethanol is only due to the higher amount of CO present in its anode exhaust gas, as already mentioned before.

Table 2 - Molar compositions of the recirculated flow entrained by the ejector and total steam fraction recirculated normalised to the primary flow entering the ejector itself

The power ratio is ~ 1 for the ethanol fuel, meaning that almost the same amounts of electrical and thermal powers are generated. The air excess λ is almost 40% higher than the NG reference fuel, due to an overall less endothermic reaction in the reformer zone. This aspect is further analysed and explained in more detail in the next section, where some experimental evidence is brought to show how the reformer increases its mean temperature due to the presence of a less endothermic reaction when ethanol replaces NG flow.

- Deleted: feeding
- Deleted: produced
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: which is ascribed
- Deleted: shown
- Deleted: . In this experiment,
- Deleted: ¶

5.3 Local FU of the generator

An useful and meaningful parameter to monitor within the stack is the local FU achieved. The values caclualted for the NG and EtOH are respectively 78.0% and 82.4%.

- Deleted: It is interesting to evaluate
- Deleted:
- Deleted:
- Deleted: by each of the two feeding cases
- Deleted: :
- Deleted: with the ethanol fuel and 78% with natural gas. The local FU can be also directly related to the polarisation difference observed between the NG and EtOH feeding cases. ¶
- Deleted: the

In what following, we briefly derive an expression of local FU in terms of the other significant parameters of the generator.

The local FU is defined as:

$$FU_{local} = \frac{I_{TOT}/2F}{n_{H_2,CO}^+} = \frac{FU \cdot (1 - f_{recirc})}{1 - FU \cdot f_{recirc}}$$

(34)

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

The expression in Eq. 34 is derived considering that the molar flow reaching the cell ($n_{H_2+CO}^+$) in terms of H₂ and CO is the sum of two contributions: i) the inlet methane converted in the reformer, and ii) the H₂ and CO present in the recirculated anodic flow. This term is then written as:

- Deleted: ¶
- $$FU_{local} = \frac{I_{TOT}/2F}{n_{H_2,CO}^+} = \frac{FU \cdot (1 - f_{recirc})}{1 - FU \cdot f_{recirc}}$$

(32)¶
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: (in terms of H₂ and CO) r
- Deleted:
- Deleted: The
- Deleted: overall reactive species can be written as
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
- Deleted: $n_{H_2,CO}^+ = n_{H_2,CO}^{CH_4} + f_{recirc}$

(34)¶

$$n_{H_2,CO}^+ = n_{H_2,CO}^{CH_4} + f_{recirc} \cdot n_{H_2,CO}^+ \cdot (1 - FU_{local})$$

(35)

The global FU is defined as:

$$FU = \frac{I_{TOT}}{2F} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{H_2,CO}^{CH_4}} \quad (36)$$

Combining Eqs. 35 and 36, yields the expression reported in Eq. 34,

The local FU is useful to define the fuel utilisation which is effectively experienced by a stack where an anodic exhaust 'recycling' (or recirculation) is carried out. Since the ethanol-fuelled generator brings a lower recirculation fraction of the anodic exhaust, a less diluted fuel enters the stack. This has a positive effect on the Nernst voltage (as pointed out before). At the same time, the Nernst voltage is sensitive to the FU (the latter increasing, the former decreasing). The local Nernst voltage behaviour for the ethanol fuel (as observed in Figure 10) brings with it exactly these two contrasting effects (higher local FU, but with a less diluted fuel).

6 The preliminary experiment with ethanol fuel

6.1 Experimental

The SFC 5 kW system has been tested for few hours with a mixture of ethanol and water. During the experiment, the ethanol mixture has been progressively replacing the NG nominal flow. The latter can be calculated once the stack DC current, operating FU and fuel composition are known. The overall fuel flow required by the stack at a specified current load and FU is given by the following formula:

$$n_{FUEL} = \frac{(I_{stack}/2) \cdot n_{cells}}{2 \cdot F} \cdot \frac{1}{H_{2,eq}^{reform} \cdot FU} \quad (37)$$

In the above expression, the stack current is related to the molar flow of inlet fuel. The H₂-equivalents is a value characteristic of each fuel or fuel mixture. By definition, the number of H₂-equivalents expresses how many reactive moles are obtained by an un-reformed fuel mol undertaking a complete steam reforming reaction:

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶

$$FU = \frac{I_{TOT}}{2F} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{H_2,CO}^{CH_4}}$$

(35)¶

¶ 3-...4...5

... [15]

Deleted: defines ...that is...in which...achieves ..., and ...an ...e of FU causes it to ...e...In this sense, t...generates ...two ..., but... which sum together and finally produce an overall positive effect on the Nernst voltage (as observed in Figure 10)...¶
Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding... [16]

Deleted: Experiments: 5 kW SOFC with

Deleted: description

Deleted: several ...In the experimental time period...substituted ...to ...NG flow...According to the standard procedure employed while operating the generator, ...t...is...determined ...upon DC ... load..., FU of the generator, and type of fuel entering it. ¶
For simplicity, we refer here just to methane as a fuel (even if the real fuel is natural gas); the methane...is th... [17]

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 17 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

$$Deleted: n_{CH_4} = \frac{(I_{stack}/2) \cdot n_{cell}}{2 \cdot F} \quad (37)¶$$

¶
a ...reactive species...Two factors can modify Eq. 37: i) the effective fuel consumption (FC), which is greater than the FU, since some air leakage occurs inside the stack (the denominator of Eq. 37 should in fact be FC instead of FU); ii) the stack NG flow is not pure methane, thus the effective inlet molar composition of the fuel gas should be taken into account to calculate the exact number of H₂-equivalents. The modifications due to these two effects are relatively low, because the air leaks inside the generator are rather low and the relative error committed in considering just methane as the fuel flow is lower than 1% when evaluating H_{2,eq}. ¶
number ... of fuels; it has to be taken into account to determine the primary inlet fuel necessary to satisfy a certain... [18]

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 7 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted ... [19]

Deleted: , upon...stack fuel ...removed and ...an ... mixture,...always ...an overall fuel mixture that was able to provide the stack with the same amount of equivalent reactive moles of H₂ of the nominal NG flow, while undertaking a full steam-reforming ... [20]

Deleted: Taking ...a closer observation at the..., we hav...e ... [21]

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 6 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted ... [22]

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: CH₄ + H₂O → 3H₂ + CO, (ΔH_{react} (298 K) = 206.33 kJ mol⁻¹) (39)¶
C₂H₅OH + H₂O → 4H₂ + 2CO, (ΔH_{react} (298 K) = 256.79 kJ mol⁻¹). (40)¶
that a ..., when fully steam-refor... [23]

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: moles ...per mole of steam-reformed...is ...ratio ...es..., thus maintaining the number of H₂-equivalents constant. ... [24]

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: /...in-stack ... [25]

Deleted: imposed ...to be ...reforming required ...for a NG...feeding than for an... feeding...aspect ...fully evident in... ...4.7 instead ...of ...with N... [26]

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: In terms of the ethanol fuel mixture..., t...chosen ...with respect to...carbo ... [27]

NG is a mixture of various hydrocarbons plus some N₂ and CO₂ in small amounts. The composition varies from site to site, and significant differences in the methane content subsist over the different countries. From the data records on the NG composition available in Turbocare Spa (IT – Torino), the gas from the grid contained on average a methane fraction of ~ 91-92%.

For simplicity, we always considered the NG as composed of just methane in all our simulations. This of course leads to some inaccuracy in the results obtained. Nevertheless the error was estimated to be less than 1% in the evaluation of the system efficiencies.

The basic idea under which part of the nominal NG flow has been replaced with EtOH, was to provide the generator always with the same amount of H₂-equivalents. The reforming reactions of methane and ethanol are respectively the following:

It is trivial to see how 1 mole of fully steam-reformed ethanol gives 6 H₂-equivalent reactive moles (H₂+CO) compared to the 4 provided by the methane undertaking the same kind of reforming reaction. The 6:4 ratio obtained is also the one driving the switch from NG to ethanol fuel.

Looking at the reactions listed above, the enthalpy reaction for EtOH reforming is higher than for CH₄; but, since for each CH₄ mole just 4:6 EtOH moles are needed, the heat absorbed by the fuel reforming changes with this ratio as well. Considering that the H₂-equivalent moles are kept the same in both feeding cases, the heat of the reforming reactions for NG is 1.2 higher than that required to reformat EtOH. Therefore, fuelling the stack with ethanol reduces the heat sink of the reforming reaction. As a direct consequence, a higher air mass flow is necessary to keep the temperature constant inside the various cell bundles (this aspect is also clear from the system simulation results reported in Figures 8 and 9, where the EtOH feeding case requires a λ_{air} of 5 against a 3.5 value attained by NG).

The ethanol was not flowed pure to the stack, rather an EtOH/H₂O mixture was used. The water/ethanol ratio has been set in order to operate the system in safe conditions towards the carbon

deposition. In Figure 11, using the CEA chemical equilibrium software [24], the mixture equilibrium composition as a function of temperature are plotted for a liquid volumetric EtOH/H₂O ratio of 60/40 (the ratio shifts to 33.3/66.7 with the species in the gas phase). The graph shows how the water content in the mixture is already enough to suppress carbon deposition at temperatures above 600 °C.

Deleted: 8

Deleted: by means of

Deleted: a

Deleted: s

Deleted: against

Deleted: , referring to the species in the liquid state (

Deleted: in the

Deleted: It can be seen

Deleted:

Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures

At the stack's nominal operating condition (120 Amps), the ethanol supplied was ~ 3.5 SLPM, while the total EtOH/H₂O mixture was almost 11 SLPM; the NG flow was correspondingly decreased to less than 6 SLPM.

Deleted: simultaneously

The EtOH/H₂O mixture has been supplied through the steam line of the generator; this line was already installed from the beginning in the BoP to provide external steam during start-up and shut-down procedures and at off-load operation. Under these circumstances, either the anodic recirculation flow rich in H₂O or CO₂ is not available or low to guarantee a safe steam reforming of the NG. The external water reservoir was with and the liquid EtOH/H₂O mixture. The latter was vaporised in the steamer, and pumped to the stack ejector inlet with a volumetric pump. A schematic view of the experiment set-up is shown in Figure 12.

Deleted: of the system

Deleted: when

Deleted: , or generally when the load is too low to electrochemically provide an internal steam production

Deleted:

Deleted: steamer

Deleted: has been filled

Deleted: both water and

Deleted:

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: either in a liquid state or

Deleted: by electric heating

Deleted: then

Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows

The choice of passing the EtOH/H₂O mixture into the ejector is worthy of discussion because the steam already present in the EtOH mixture is enough to suppress carbon deposition at the reformer temperature, as mentioned before. Figure 13 reports the carbon boundary of the ethanol steam reforming reaction calculated using again thermodynamic equilibrium considerations. At 700 °C, 2 mol of H₂O are sufficient to suppress carbon deposition, while even less are required as the temperature grows. Since this was a preliminary experiment, our choice was motivated by safety, meaning that a high amount of H₂O to suppress carbon deposition was voluntarily injected along with the EtOH fuel. The extra steam provided with the exhaust flow recirculated by the ejector

Deleted: It can be seen that a

Deleted: Because

satisfied our safe operation requirement. In addition, it has been reported that ethanol steam-reforming in H_2 and CO is more difficult than methane reforming [32]. Obviously this extra-safe operating condition reduced stack performances, since an excessively high water dilution of reformed fuel negatively affects the Nernst voltage of the fuel mixture entering the stack anode compartment as well as increases the cell overvoltages. This point is discussed with more detail in the next section, where the experimental results are reported.

Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming

6.2 Results

In Figure 14, the NG and EtOH flow are plotted along with the measured ejector primary pressure. As already described before, the fuel feeding strategy was to gradually substitute the NG flow with EtOH while keeping constant the H_2 -equivalents produced respectively by the two fuels, and assuming a complete steam reforming reaction occurring. Thus the mixture entering the ejector (primary flow) consisted partly of NG from the grid and partly vaporised EtOH/ H_2O . A complete substitution of NG with ethanol maintaining the nominal stack current load was not possible due to a limitation on the maximum capacity of the pump extracting the liquid fuel from the tank. A maximum EtOH volumetric flow of 15 cc min^{-1} was achieved. In terms of H_2 -equivalents, at the maximum EtOH feeding, half of the generator current load was provided by ethanol, while the remaining half was covered by NG.

Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/ H_2O mixture feeding

In Figure 14, the volumetric flows of NG and EtOH (60% vol. of EtOH tank mixture) are plotted against the volumetric EtOH/ H_2O mixture pumped from the liquid reservoir tank. The graph reports the approximate behaviour of the water finally present in the EtOH/ H_2O /NG conveyed into the stack during the experiment. The initial water content in the primary fuel is due to the water already present inside the EtOH/ H_2O feeding tank. After the ejector, due to the mixing with the recirculated fraction of the anodic exhaust, the overall water content further increases. The hypothesis that the

- Deleted: Also
- Deleted: production of
- Deleted: when using ethanol than when using methane
- Deleted: 0
- Deleted: , as also
- Deleted: later
- Deleted: along with
- Deleted: Experimental result
- Deleted: against time, and
- Deleted: is reported
- Deleted: in feeding the stack
- Deleted: ethanol
- Deleted: number of equivalent hydrogen
- Formatted: Subscript
- Deleted: reactive moles producible
- Deleted: Due to the maximum capacity of the pump extracting the liquid from the tank, a maximum volumetric flow of 15 cc min^{-1} of EtOH mixture was achieved
- Deleted: while also maintaining the nominal stack current load
- Deleted: With respect to
- Deleted: by
- Deleted: and the remaining half by ethanol.
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: versus
- Formatted: Subscript
- Deleted: ing of the latter tank mixtur
- Deleted: e
- Deleted: aims to give
- Deleted:
- Deleted: The ini
- Deleted: tial
- Deleted:
- Deleted: total
- Deleted: increased

normalised molar steam flow entrained by the primary flow is constant was assumed. In Figure 15, the H_2O stoichiometry behaviour is plotted against an increasing EtOH/ H_2O feeding. As stated before, the H_2O stoichiometry represents the actual steam available in the final mixture flowing into the ejector over the stoichiometric water needed for the steam reforming reaction of the NG or EtOH (it should be noted that both fuels need only 1 mol of H_2O for accomplishing the conversion reactions).

The hypothesis made can be only partially justified from what is reported in Table 2, where very similar values for the entrainment ratios and steam-to-fuel ratios are achieved regardless of the fuel. In the experiment, the ethanol is already pre-mixed with some water from the liquid reservoir tank. With respect to the the pure ethanol feeding modelled before, now we have an even further increased mass flow entering the fuel nozzle. Such an increased mass flow could actually prevent a proper entrainment of the secondary flow. This is the reason why the assumption of a constant molar entrainment ratio of the ejector during the ethanol experiment, can not certainly considered a conservative one. The H_2O stoichiometry value reported in Figure 15 is probably somewhat higher than the value actually experienced by the reformer.

Figure 15 - Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack

According to Figure 15, and to our recommendations about the not-conservative feature of our assumption on the ejector entrainment during the experiment, the steam excess in the fuel flow entering the stack could be regarded as only slightly increased to respect of the nominal NG feeding. This aspect should produce a negative effect on the stack voltage, as already outlined, which is indeed confirmed by the experimental data in terms of a decrease of the stack voltage. In Figure 16, it is evident how the stack voltage reaches a minimum when the EtOH/ H_2O mixture is at the maximum level. The voltage loss is quite limited, though: less than 1 V is lost when partly switching the fuel from NG to EtOH as in our experiment. During the experiment, the stack current was kept constant managing the generator control system to force the stack to provide a set amount of current. The system demonstrated the ability to convert the new fuel into H_2 and CO and to

Deleted: was made

Deleted: This assumption means that the ejector is working in a rather flat area of its characteristic curve, where the recirculation factor is almost constant (flat) when varying the inlet primary flow

Deleted: . Such a

Deleted: could

Deleted: also

Deleted: presented

Deleted: I

Deleted: quite

Deleted: similar

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: In Figure 15, the H_2O stoichs' behaviour is plotted against an increasing EtOH/ H_2O feeding. As stated before, the H_2O stoichs represent the excess steam present in the final mixture flow that exits the ejector with respect to the stoichiometric water needed for the steam reforming reaction of the NG or ethanol fuel (it should be noted that both need 1 mol of H_2O to reform one mol of CH_4 or EtOH, respectively). ¶

Deleted: stoichs

Deleted: T

Deleted: total

Deleted: s

Deleted: due to water present in the EtOH flow

Deleted: , which increases during the ethanol experiment as well

Deleted: 5

Deleted: gives

Deleted: value

Deleted: by

Deleted: of the generator so as

Deleted: the

provide the requested current. This represents only a preliminary result and longer experiments should be carried out to fully demonstrate the fuel flexibility of the generator. The durability of the reformer against a new fuel and the possible formation of carbon deposits in a long-term period are aspects that should addressed with more detail in the future.

Deleted: especially be assessed

Deleted: The reformer is a component to be carefully selected and maybe re-considered in the current system design in order to guarantee stability against fuels different from NG.

The 1 V loss could be also partially ascribed to a not proper or full conversion of the EtOH stream inside the reformer and the stack. Nevertheless, the increased water dilution of the fuel seems to be a much more plausible explanation. If a not proper catalytic conversion of ethanol was occurring, a more pronounced stack voltage loss and instable generator behaviour would have been observed.

Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H2O feeding experiment

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Heading 1, Left

Deleted: 6

In Figure 17, the voltage behaviour for each stack string is reported (each bundle is divided into two strings electrically connected in parallel for a total number of 4 bundles inside the stack: the 4 voltages for the generator that can be read in the system data logger are representative of each bundle voltage). The zoom is now on a wider time interval than in Figure 16. Two EtOH testing phases can be distinguished. The first one was just a short trail, while the second one is the experiment discussed and reported in Figure 16. Referring again to Figure 17, it is interesting to see to what extent the string voltages were perturbed each time the ethanol fuel began to flow into the stack.

Deleted: was used

Deleted: 3

Deleted: is

Deleted: experiment that is

Deleted:

Deleted: In

Deleted: also quite

Deleted: clear how

Deleted: was

Deleted: when

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Line spacing: Double

Deleted: in

Deleted: and current

Deleted: It can be seen that t

Deleted: the text

Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltages during the EtOH/H2O feeding experiment

In Figure 18, on the same time interval as Figure 17, the stack current and voltage are reported during the experiment. As mentioned before, the current was kept constant during the experiment. The increase in the last part of it occurs when the EtOH flow has already reached its maximum and the NG nominal flow is restored. Regarding the behaviour of the generator control system, the current is generally defined as an external input that the stack is forced to match. However, when the voltage goes down below the set value, the control system automatically reduces the current requested to the stack. In Figure 18 is shown how the current was effectively kept constant during

Deleted: tries

Deleted: In

Deleted: 6, it can be seen that

Deleted: the voltage

Deleted: constant

the ethanol feeding to value only slightly lower than that achieved by NG before the EtOH feeding perturbations.

Deleted: long ..., but ...the va [...] [28]

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour

Figure 19 reports the temperature drop across the reformer as measured during the ethanol feeding.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

The temperature decreases across this component due to the endothermic reforming reactions occurring in it. Since the steam reforming of EtOH normalised to the H₂-equivalents is somewhat less endothermic than that of methane (as previously predicted by the system modelling results as well as by simple thermodynamic calculations on the enthalpies of reaction), a less pronounced temperature drop is indeed observed in the reformer, providing experimental evidence to our previous calculations. It is worth to note how the temperature drop is the lowest when the EtOH feeding is the highest, as we expected.

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: decrease...s [...] [29]

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: that occurs

Formatted

Deleted: and also... [...] [30]

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: y

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: diminished

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: ly...confirming [...] [31]

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: the ...predictions [...] [32]

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: also evident that

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: (basically more ethanol reforming is occurring than methane reforming).

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: s...various...during the ethanol experiment are...reformer ...is diminishe...d...About ...is shown to that happens...therefore [...] [33]

Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment

In Figure 20, the temperature behaviour of different regions inside the generator is reported. For the reasons already discussed before, the temperature drop across the reformer decreases when ethanol replaces methane. Regarding the absolute temperature of the inlet reformer, it increases. This temperature is related to the mixing of the fresh fuel and the recirculated flow occurring in the ejector. Such an increase could be explained by a hotter recirculated exhaust coming from the stack.

Deleted: -...Temperature [...] [34]

Figure 20 - Generator temperatures behaviour during the ethanol experiment

The air stoichiometry (air excess ratio) is reported in the same graph. The generator control system varies this parameter in such a way as to keep the stack temperature constant. Since a less endothermic reforming reaction is taking place, the stack temperature would increase if the air excess ratio would remain the same when switching the fuel from methane to ethanol. This effect is avoided by the control system increasing the air flow into the stack, leading to an augmented cooling of the generator. The air flow in the generator (and consequently the air stoichiometry

Deleted: also ...since this is the parameter managing the stack temperature. ...behaves ...and the reformer is thermally integrated into the stack, ...it makes sense that the ... should increase...increased...Actually, t...so stoichs [...] [35]

number) is also regulated by the control system to avoid an excessively high temperature increase in the after-burner zone. As reported in Figure 20, when ethanol was provided to the stack, the burner temperature increased by ~ 30 °C. The increased air flow in the stack could therefore have occurred to compensate for this phenomenon. From Figure 20, and according to the temperatures and air flow behaviours measured, the second option looks like as the more plausible. In fact, there is some evidence on how the after burner temperature compensation brought an extra-cooling of the stack at the end of the experiment.

Figure 21 shows how the measured AC efficiency of the system varies during the ethanol experiment. The efficiency reaches its minimum when the ethanol flow is at its maximum, following the behaviour of the voltage. The decrease is only around 1%, underlining how ethanol could substitute methane without any appreciable system performance modifications.

Figure 21 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment

Conclusions

An SOFC tubular generator has been studied and modelled in detail. The main system components and the stack polarisation behaviour have been accounted for within the model. Among the system components, particular consideration was dedicated to the ejector and its role inside the generator as a whole.

The ejector flexibility to a fuel such as ethanol has been theoretically predicted. A safe generator operation could be guaranteed in terms of STCR with the new fuel. The thermal management of stack and its link to the reforming of the inlet fuel has been clearly established: it has been shown how a fuel alternative to NG could be used successfully, without significantly degrading the system performance, provided that a high endothermic conversion of the fuel within the reformer occurs.

According to our analysis, a multi-fuel generator running both on natural gas and ethanol could be feasible. Concerning the actual BoP, the only critical aspect identified is represented by the catalyst

- Deleted: not only for keeping the stack temperature constant at a desired set-point, but also
- Deleted: 18
- Deleted: as
- Deleted: , so t
- Deleted: could be actually
- Deleted: attributed to this
- Deleted: as well
- Deleted: . I
- Deleted: From the
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: of temperatures and air flow
- Deleted: and reported in Figure 18
- Deleted: , it looks like
- Deleted: is the
- Deleted:
- Deleted: one: the air flow has been growing more to compensate for the high temperature reached in the after-burner rather than to control the stack temperature
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: It can be seen in Figure 18
- Deleted: that
- Deleted: the stack is indeed extra-cooled
- Deleted: In Figure 21, it is also interesting to observe how the inlet reformer temperature behaves similarly to that of the after-burner. The reformer temperature is governed by the fuel inlet temperature and the anodic exhaust temperature. If we consider the fuel inlet temperature to be constant, the anodic exhaust temperature is the one really affecting and governing that of the inlet reformer zone. We should also consider that the anodic exhaust has basically the same temperature of the stack at ... [37]
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: considered
- Deleted: in
- Deleted: attention
- Deleted: has been paid
- Deleted: The local FU has been ... [38]
- Deleted: and it has been shown how a
- Deleted: of the system
- Deleted: Based on
- Deleted: multi-fuel
- Deleted: on
- Deleted: One issue to note is th ... [39]

Deleted: for

Deleted: system o

[illegible]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Nomenclature

A_t	throat area of fuel nozzle inside the ejector / m^2
BoP	balance-of-plant
D	mean tubular cell mean diameter / m
D_i	diffusion coefficient of species i / $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
D_i^K	Knudsen diffusion coefficient of species i / $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
E	activation energy / J mol^{-1}
EtOH	abbreviation for ethanol
F	Faraday constant / 96485 C s^{-1}
f_{loss}	frictional loss coefficient
F_{recirc}	recirculated fraction of the anodic exhaust
FU	(global) fuel utilisation (referring to the inlet NG flow)
FU_{local}	effective fuel utilisation experienced by the stack
$G_{\text{an,exhaust}}$	exhaust anodic flow exiting the stack / kg s^{-1}
G_{fuel}	fuel flow into the ejector / kg s^{-1}
G_{ref}	mixed fuel flow entering the reformer / kg s^{-1}
$G_{\text{stack,inlet}}$	reformed fuel flow entering the stack anode side / kg s^{-1}
$H_{2,\text{eq}}$	H_2 -equivalents
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{stoichiometry}}$	moles of H_2O (steam) over stoichiometric moles of H_2O for reforming a selected fuel
I	electric current / A
I_{CELL}	electric current of a single cell / A
I_{TOT}	total current requested/produced by all the stack cells ($= n_{\text{cells}} \cdot I_{\text{cell}}$) / A
j	current density / A cm^{-2}
$j_{0,\text{an}}$	anodic exchange current density / A cm^{-2}
$j_{0,\text{cat}}$	cathodic exchange current density / A cm^{-2}
k	isentropic coefficient of the ideal gas
l	cell layer thickness / m
LHV	low heating value / W
M	molecular weight of species i / g mol^{-1}
Ma	Mach number
n_{cells}	total number of tubular cells inside the stack ($= 88$)
N	total number of discretised steps for solving the 1D cell domain
NG	abbreviation for natural gas
n_i	molar flow of species i / mol s^{-1}
p	absolute pressure / Pa
Q_{comb}	heat generated from the combustion of exhaust H_2 and CO in the after-burner / W
Q_{evap}	heat required to evaporate the liquid fuel in the tank / W
Q_{fuel}	heat required to heat the fuel at the stack temperature / W
Q_{loss}	heat generated by irreversibilities of the stack polarisation / W
Q_{react}	heat generated by the oxidation of H_2 and CO inside the stack / W
Q_{ref}	heat required by the reformer / W
Q_{stack}	overall internally heat produced by the stack / W
r	mean pore radius / m
SLPM	standard litre per minute
STCR	steam-to-carbon ratio
T_{stack}	operating temperature of the stack / $^{\circ}\text{C}$
V	voltage / V
w	interconnector width / m

Formatted: English (U.K.), Lowered by 6 pt

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: stoichs

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: for evaporating

Deleted: ¶

W_{th}	available thermal power form the stack / W
y_i	molar fraction of species i
ε	electrode porosity
η	cell overvoltage / V
λ_{air}	air excess
ρ	gas density (kg m^{-3}) / electronic resistivity (ohm m) in Eqs. 3-6
τ	electrode tortuosity
ω	ejector molar entrainment ratio

Subscripts and superscripts

<i>act</i>	activation
<i>an</i>	anode
<i>cat</i>	cathode
<i>channel</i>	gas channel (outside the electrodes diffusion layer)
<i>diff</i>	diffusion
<i>ely</i>	electrolyte
<i>eq</i>	equivalent
<i>felt</i>	Ni-felt
<i>int</i>	interface (electrode/electrolyte)
<i>inter</i>	interconnector
<i>ohm</i>	ohmic
<i>ox</i>	oxidant
<i>pore</i>	electrode pore
<i>recirc</i>	recirculation

Deleted: K . , Knusden

Formatted: Left

References

[1] S.C. Singhal, *Solid State Ionics* **2005**, 135(1-4) 305-313.

[2] M. Gariglio, M., F. De Benedictis, M. Santarelli, M. Cali, G. Orsello, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2009**, 34(10) 4661-4668.

[3] S.L. Douvartzides, F.A. Coutelieris, A.K. Demin, P.E. Tsiakaras, *AIChE J.* **2003**, 49(1) 248-257.

[4] H. Shapouri, J.A. Duffield, M. Wang, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Chief Economist, Office of Energy Policy and New Uses, Agricultural Economic Report No. 813 **2002**.

[5] CONCAWE, EUCAR, JRC/IES, **2007**, "Well-To-Wheels analysis of future automotive fuels and powertrains in the European context: Well-To-Wheels Report", http://ies.jrc.ec.europa.eu/uploads/media/WTW_Report_010307.pdf.

[6] D. Pimentel, T.W. Patzek, *Natural Resources Research* **2005**, 14(1) 65-76.

[7] Y. Sun, J. Cheng, *Bioresour. Technol.* **2002**, 83(1) 1-11.

[8] P.W. Li, M.K. Chyu, *J. Power Sources* **2003**, 124(2) 487-498.

[9] P. Costamagna, P. Costa, V. Antonucci, *Electrochim. Acta* **1998**, 43(3-4) 375-394.

[10] P. Aguiar, C.S. Adjiman, N.P. Brandon, 2004, *J. Power Sources* **2004**, 138(1-2) 120-136.

[11] R. Suwanwarangkul, E. Croiset, E. Entchev, S. Charojrochkul, S., M.D. Pritzker, M.W. Fowler, P.L. Douglas, S. Chewathanakup, H. Mahaudom, *J. Power Sources* **2006**, 161(1), 308-322.

[12] K. Tanaka, C. Wen, K. Yamada, *Fuel* **2000**, 79 1493-1507.

[13] H. Yakabe, M. Hishinuma, M. Uratani, Y. Matsuzaki, I. Yasuda *J. Power Sources* **2000**, 86(1-2) 423-431.

[14] F.N. Cayan, S.R. Pakalapati, S.R., F. Elizalde-Blancas, I. Celik, I., *J. Power Sources* **2009**, 192(2) 467-474.

Deleted: S.C., 2000, "Advance	[40]
Formatted: Bullets and Num	[41]
Deleted: ¶	
Formatted	[42]
Formatted	[43]
Formatted: Bullets and Num	[44]
Deleted: , F., ..., ...M.,	[45]
Formatted	[46]
Deleted: G.,	
Formatted	[47]
Deleted: , "Experimental activ	[48]
Formatted	[49]
Formatted	[50]
Deleted: S.L., ..., F.A.,	[51]
Deleted: A...K...,	[52]
Formatted	[53]
Deleted: , P.E.,	
Formatted	[54]
Deleted:	
Formatted	[55]
Deleted: "Fuel Options for sol	[56]
Formatted	[57]
Formatted	[58]
Deleted: H., ...J.A., ...M., 200	[59]
Formatted	[60]
Deleted: "The Energy Balance	[61]
Deleted: ,	
Formatted	[62]
Deleted: D., ...T.W., 2005, "E	[63]
Formatted	[64]
Deleted: Y., ...J., 2002, "Hyc	[65]
Formatted	[66]
Deleted: Technology	
Formatted	[67]
Deleted: P.W., ..., M.K., 2003	[68]
Formatted	[69]
Deleted: , pp.	
Deleted: P.,	
Formatted	[70]
Deleted: P., ..., 1998, "Micro-n	[71]
Formatted	[72]
Deleted: ica	
Formatted	[73]
Deleted: P., ...C.S., ...N.P., ...	[74]
Formatted	[75]
Deleted: urnal of	
Formatted	[76]
Deleted: R., ...E., ...E., ...M.I.	[77]
Formatted	[78]
Deleted: , ...	[79]
Deleted: Design and evaluatio	[80]
Formatted	[81]
Deleted: 79 (	
Formatted	[82]
Deleted:)	
Formatted	[83]
	[84]
	[85]
Formatted	[86]

- [15] S.H. Chan, K.A. Khor, Z.T. Xia, J. Power Sources 2001, 93(1-2) 130-140.
- [16] R. Suwanwarangkul, E. Croiset, M.W. Fowler, P.L. Douglas, E. Entchev, M.A. Douglas, J. Power Sources 2003, 122(1), 9-16.
- [17] R.B. Bird, W. E. Stewart; E.N. Lightfoot, Transport Phenomena, 2 ed. New York, Wiley, 2005.
- [18] P. Costamagna, A. Selimovic, M. Del Borghi, G. Agnew, Chemical Engineering Journal 2004, 102(1), 61-69.
- [19] E. Riensche, U. Stimming, G. Unverzag, J. Power Sources 1998, 73(2) 251-256.
- [20] E. Riensche, J. Meusinger, U. Stimming, G. Unverzag, G., J. Power Sources 1998, 71(1-2) 306-314.
- [21] F. Marsano, L. Magistri, A.F. Massardo, J. Power Sources 2004, 129 216-228.
- [22] J. Milewski, A. Miller, J. Sałaciński, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2007, 32(6) 687-698.
- [23] Campanari S., J. Power Sources 2001, 92(1-2) 26-34.
- [24] J. Van herle, Y. Membrez, O. Bucheli, J. Power Sources 2004, 127(1-2) 300-312.
- [25] Y. Yi, A.D. Rao, J. Brouwer, G.S. Samuelsen, J. Power Sources 2005, 144(1) 67-76.
- [26] S. Gordon, B.J. McBride, Computer program for calculation of complex chemical equilibrium compositions and applications I. Analysis 1994, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland.
- [27] R.C. Reid, J.M. Prausnitz, T.K. Sherwood, The properties of gas and liquids 1977, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [28] Y. Zhu Y., W. Cai, C. Wen, Y. Li, J. Power Sources 2007, 173 437-449.
- [29] Y. Zhu Y., W. Cai, Y. Li, C. Wen, J. Power Sources 2008, 185(2) 1122-1130.
- [30] M.L. Ferrari, A. Traverso, L. Magistri, A.F. Massardo, J. Power Sources 2005, 149 22-32.
- [31] L.J. De Chant, Combined numerical/analytical perturbation solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for aerodynamic ejector/mixer nozzle flows 1998, NASA Contractor Report 1998-207406.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[32] [N. Laosiripojana](#), [S. Assabumrungrat](#), [Y. Zhu](#), [Y. W. Cai](#), [C. Wen](#), [Y. Li](#), *J. Power Sources* **2007**, 163(2) 943-951.

Deleted: N.,

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Deleted: , S., 2007, "Catalytic steam reforming of methane, methanol, and ethanol over Ni/YSZ: The possible use of these fuels in internal reforming SOFC", Journal of Power Sources

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

For Peer Review

List of figures

Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa.....	5
Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed.....	6
Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell.....	8
Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell.....	11
Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle.....	12
Figure 6 - Ejector scheme.....	15
Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust.....	18
Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case.....	19
Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding.....	20
Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding.....	21
Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures.....	26
Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows.....	26
Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming.....	27
Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H ₂ O mixture feeding.....	27
Figure 15 - Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack.....	28
Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H ₂ O feeding experiment.....	29
Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltages during the EtOH/H ₂ O feeding experiment.....	29
Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour.....	30
Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment.....	30
Figure 20 – Generator temperatures behaviour during the ethanol experiment.....	30
Figure 21 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment.....	31

List of tables

Table 1 - Parameters for the cell electrochemical model.....	10
Table 2 - Molar compositions of the recirculated flow entrained by the ejector and total steam fraction recirculated normalised to the primary flow entering the ejector itself.....	22

Deleted: 29

Deleted: Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa . 5¶
 Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed . 6¶
 Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell . 8¶
 Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell . 11¶
 Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle . 12¶
 Figure 6 - Ejector scheme . 15¶
 Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust . 18¶
 Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case . 19¶
 Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding . 20¶
 Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding . 21¶
 Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures . 26¶
 Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows . 26¶
 Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming . 27¶
 Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H₂O mixture feeding . 27¶
 Figure 15 - Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack . 28¶
 Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment . 29¶
 Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltages during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment . 29¶
 Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour . 29¶
 Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment . 30¶
 Figure 20 - Temperature behaviour during the ethanol experiment . 30¶
 Figure 21 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment . 31¶
 Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa . 5¶
 Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed . 6¶
 Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell . 8¶
 Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell . 11¶
 Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle . 12¶
 Figure 6 - Ejector scheme . 15¶
 Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust . 18¶
 Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case . 19¶
 Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding . 20¶
 Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding . 24¶
 Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different tempera ... [135]

Page 11: [1] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 6:25:00 PM

(21)

$$n_{H_2,i+1} = n_{H_2,i} - I_{H_2,i} = n_{H_2,i} - \frac{3/4 \cdot (I_{CELL} / N)}{2 \cdot F} \quad (22)$$

$$n_{H_2O,i+1} = n_{H_2O,i} + \frac{3/4 I_{CELL}}{2 \cdot F} \quad (23)$$

$$n_{CO,i+1} = n_{CO,i} - (I_{CELL} / N - I_{H_2,i}) = n_{CO,i} - \frac{1/4 I_{CELL}}{2F} \quad (24)$$

$$n_{CO_2,i+1} = n_{CO_2,i} + \frac{1/4 I_{CELL}}{2 \cdot F} \quad (25)$$

$$n_{O_2,i+1} = n_{O_2,i} - \frac{I_{CELL}}{4 \cdot F} \quad (26)$$

Page 11: [2] Formatted andrea 3/6/2010 7:10:00 PM

Heading 1, Left, Indent: Left: 0 pt, Automatically adjust right indent when grid is defined, Line spacing: single, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Page 11: [3] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 7:10:00 PM

Page 13: [4] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 7:16:00 PM

$$\begin{cases} T_{stack} \cdot \Delta S_{stack} = \Delta H_{stack} - \Delta G_{stack} \\ Q_{loss} = I_{stack} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta G_{fuel(H_2,CO)}^{ox}(T_{stack}, y_{fuel})}{2 \cdot F} - V(I) \right) \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Page 13: [5] Deleted andrea 3/20/2010 1:16:00 PM

is linked to the thermal balance of the stack

Page 13: [6] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 7:58:00 PM

$$\lambda_{air} = \frac{Q_{stack} + Q_{ref} + Q_{fuel}}{n_{air,stech} \cdot c_{p,air} (T_{stack} - T_{air,inlet})}$$

Page 13: [7] Formatted andrea 3/20/2010 1:25:00 PM

Justified, Don't adjust right indent when grid is defined, Line spacing: Double, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Page 13: [8] Deleted andrea 3/20/2010 1:23:00 PM

through the top area of the generator

Page 13: [9] Deleted andrea 3/20/2010 1:25:00 PM

before reaching the cells.

Page 13: [10] Formatted andrea 3/29/2010 4:11:00 PM

List Paragraph, Justified, Don't adjust right indent when grid is defined, Line spacing: Double, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 18 pt + Tab after: 0 pt + Indent at: 36 pt, Don't

Page 20: [11] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 6:36:00 PM

Nevertheless, the polarisation of the stack when ethanol fuel is feeding the generator is actually slightly higher than for NG. Under the hypothesis of a proper reforming of ethanol, the fuel quantity in both feeding cases is such as to preserve the overall H₂-equivalents entering the system. Thus, the Nernst voltage of the stack should not be affected significantly. This aspect has been analysed in more detail by drawing the Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (which corresponds to the cell tube length) for both fuel cases.

Page 22: [12] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:01:00 PM

$$STCR = \frac{y_{H_2O} \cdot n_{an,recirc}}{n_{fuel} + y_{CO} \cdot n_{an,recirc}} .$$

Page 22: [13] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 10:34:00 PM

For the fuels considered here, t

Page 22: [14] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 10:35:00 PM

is also accounted for in the STCR number

Page 24: [15] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:03:00 PM

$$FU = \frac{\frac{I_{TOT}}{2F}}{n_{H_2,CO}^{CH_4}} \quad (35)$$

Page 24: [15] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:02:00 PM

3-

Page 24: [15] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:02:00 PM

4

Page 24: [15] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:02:00 PM

5

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:10:00 PM

defines

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:10:00 PM

that is

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:10:00 PM

in which

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:14:00 PM

achieves

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:15:00 PM

, and

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:14:00 PM

an

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:15:00 PM

e of FU causes it to

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:15:00 PM

e

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:12:00 PM
In this sense, t

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:11:00 PM
generates

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:12:00 PM
two

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:12:00 PM
, but

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:12:00 PM

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:13:00 PM
which sum together and finally produce an overall positive effect on the Nernst voltage
(as observed in Figure 10)

Page 24: [16] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:13:00 PM
.

**Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell
tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding**

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:17:00 PM
several

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:17:00 PM
In the experimental time period

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:18:00 PM
substituted

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:18:00 PM
to

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:30:00 AM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

NG flow

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:29:00 AM

According to the standard procedure employed while operating the generator,

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:30:00 AM

t

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:32:00 AM

is

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:32:00 AM

determined

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:30:00 AM

upon

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:31:00 AM

DC

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:33:00 AM

load

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:19:00 PM

, FU of the generator, and type of fuel entering it.

For simplicity, we refer here just to methane as a fuel (even if the real fuel is natural gas);

the methane

Page 24: [17] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:20:00 PM

is thus

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 8:04:00 PM

$$n_{CH_4} = \frac{(I_{stack} / 2) \cdot n_{cells}}{2 \cdot F} \frac{1}{H_{2,eq}^{reforming} \cdot FU} \quad . \quad (37)$$

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:34:00 AM

a

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:35:00 AM
reactive species

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:35:00 AM
Two factors can modify Eq. 37: i) the effective fuel consumption (FC), which is greater than the FU, since some air leakage occurs inside the stack (the denominator of Eq. 37 should in fact be FC instead of FU); ii) the stack NG flow is not pure methane, thus the effective inlet molar composition of the fuel gas should be taken into account to calculate the exact number of H_2 -equivalents. The modifications due to these two effects are relatively low, because the air leaks inside the generator are rather low and the relative error committed in considering just methane as the fuel flow is lower than 1% when evaluating $H_{2,eq}$.

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:36:00 AM
number

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:36:00 AM
of fuels; it has to be taken into account to determine the primary inlet fuel necessary to satisfy a certain stack current of the generator.

Page 24: [18] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:36:00 AM

Page 25: [19] Formatted andrea 3/28/2010 7:13:00 PM
Subscript

Page 25: [19] Formatted andrea 3/28/2010 7:13:00 PM
Subscript

Page 25: [20] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:38:00 AM
, upon

Page 25: [20] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:38:00 AM
stack fuel

Page 25: [20] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:38:00 AM
removed and

Page 25: [20] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 12:38:00 AM**

an

Page 25: [20] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 12:38:00 AM**

mixture,

Page 25: [20] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 6:53:00 PM**

always

Page 25: [20] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 12:39:00 AM**

an overall fuel mixture that was able to provide the stack with the same amount of
equivalent reactive moles of H₂ of the nominal NG flow, while undertaking a full steam-
reforming

Page 25: [21] Deleted **andrea** **3/6/2010 8:13:00 PM**

Taking

Page 25: [21] Deleted **andrea** **3/8/2010 10:42:00 AM**

a closer observation at the

Page 25: [21] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 12:40:00 AM**

, we hav

Page 25: [21] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 12:40:00 AM**

e

Page 25: [22] Formatted **andrea** **3/8/2010 10:41:00 AM**

English (U.K.), Lowered by 6 pt

Page 25: [22] Formatted **andrea** **3/6/2010 8:12:00 PM**

English (U.K.)

Page 25: [22] Formatted **andrea** **3/8/2010 10:41:00 AM**

Formatted

Page 25: [23] Deleted **andrea** **3/6/2010 8:12:00 PM**

(39)

(40)

Page 25: [23] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:43:00 AM

that a

Page 25: [23] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:43:00 AM

, when fully steam-reformed

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:44:00 AM

moles

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:44:00 AM

per mole of steam-reformed

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:44:00 AM

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:42:00 AM

is

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:42:00 AM

ratio

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:44:00 AM

es

Page 25: [24] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 12:42:00 AM

, thus maintaining the number of H₂-equivalents constant.

Page 25: [25] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 10:45:00 AM

/

Page 25: [25] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 6:54:00 PM

in-stack

Page 25: [26] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 6:54:00 PM

imposed

Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:54:00 PM
to be		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:55:00 PM
reforming		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:55:00 PM
required		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:55:00 PM
for a NG		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:55:00 PM
feeding than for an		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 6:55:00 PM
feeding		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:44:00 AM
aspect		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:44:00 AM
fully evident in		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:44:00 AM
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:24:00 PM
4.7 instead		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:24:00 PM
of		
Page 25: [26] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:44:00 AM
with NG		
Page 25: [27] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:45:00 AM
In terms of the ethanol fuel mixture		
Page 25: [27] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:47:00 AM

, t

Page 25: [27] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:47:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

chosen

Page 25: [27] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 12:47:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

with respect to

Page 25: [27] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:25:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

carbo

Page 30: [28] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:54:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

long

Page 30: [28] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:54:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

, but

Page 30: [28] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:55:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

the value

Page 30: [28] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:53:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

Page 30: [29] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:26:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

decrease

Page 30: [29] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:26:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

s

Page 30: [30] Formatted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:26:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

English (U.K.)

Page 30: [30] Formatted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:26:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

English (U.K.)

Page 30: [30] Formatted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:38:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	----------------------

Subscript

Page 30: [30] Formatted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:30:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

English (U.K.)

Page 30: [31] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:38:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

and also

Page 30: [31] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 7:38:00 PM

Page 30: [32] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:30:00 PM

Page 30: [32] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:30:00 PM

Page 30: [33] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:30:00 PM

Page 30: [33] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:30:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:28:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:27:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:27:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 7:40:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:28:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:27:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 7:40:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/28/2010 7:40:00 PM

Page 30: [34] Deleted andrea 3/27/2010 11:28:00 PM

that happens

Page 30: [34] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:28:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

therefore

Page 30: [35] Deleted	andrea	3/29/2010 4:05:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

-

Page 30: [35] Deleted	andrea	3/29/2010 4:05:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

Temperature

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:55:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

also

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:33:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

since this is the parameter managing the stack temperature.

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:34:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

behaves

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:36:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

and the reformer is thermally integrated into the stack,

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:35:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

it makes sense that the

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

should increase

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/27/2010 11:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

increased

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/28/2010 7:41:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

Actually, t

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:58:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

so

Page 30: [36] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 8:16:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	---------------------

stoichs

Page 31: [37] Deleted **andrea** **3/28/2010 7:49:00 PM**

In Figure 21, it is also interesting to observe how the inlet reformer temperature behaves similarly to that of the after-burner. The reformer temperature is governed by the fuel inlet temperature and the anodic exhaust temperature. If we consider the fuel inlet temperature to be constant, the anodic exhaust temperature is the one really affecting and governing that of the inlet reformer zone. We should also consider that the anodic exhaust has basically the same temperature of the stack at the upper region. That is why there is a correlation between the stack upper temperature and the after-burner temperature. Thus, we can conclude that the latter temperature depends on what comes from the stack in terms of anodic exhaust, and the observed matching trend between the inlet reformer and after-burner zone temperatures finds its explanation. Of course a gap subsists between the two, and it is due to the combustion taking place in the after-burner.

Figure 21 - After-burner and stack temperature behaviour

Page 31: [38] Deleted **andrea** **3/27/2010 5:59:00 PM**

The local FU has been evaluated for both fuel feeding cases and as related to stack performances.

Page 31: [39] Deleted **andrea** **3/27/2010 6:03:00 PM**

One issue to note is that with respect to the current system design,

Page 35: [40] Deleted **andrea** **3/8/2010 1:03:00 PM**

S.C., 2000, “Advances in solid oxide fuel cell technology”, Solid State Ionics, **135** (1-4) 305-313.

Page 35: [41] Change **andrea** **3/8/2010 1:06:00 PM**

Formatted Bullets and Numbering

Page 35: [42] Formatted **andrea** **3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM**

Font: Italic

Page 35: [42] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:04:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [42] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:05:00 PM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 35: [43] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:06:00 PM
Font: (Default) Times New Roman, (Asian) MS Mincho		
Page 35: [44] Change	Unknown	
Formatted Bullets and Numbering		
Page 35: [45] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:05:00 PM
, F.,		
Page 35: [45] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:05:00 PM
,		
Page 35: [45] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:05:00 PM
M.,		
Page 35: [46] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
Font: 12 pt, Italic, English (U.K.), Do not check spelling or grammar		
Page 35: [47] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:06:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [48] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:06:00 PM
, “Experimental activity on two tubular solid oxide fuel cell cogeneration plants in a real industrial environment”, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy,		
Page 35: [49] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:06:00 PM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 35: [50] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
French (France)		
Page 35: [51] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
S.L.		
Page 35: [51] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
,		
Page 35: [51] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
F.A.,		
Page 35: [52] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
A		

Page 35: [52] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
K		
Page 35: [52] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
,		
Page 35: [53] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
French (France)		
Page 35: [54] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:08:00 PM
Font: Italic		
Page 35: [55] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:18:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [56] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:08:00 PM
“Fuel Options for solid oxide fuel cells: a thermodynamic analysis”, AIChE Journal,		
Page 35: [57] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:07:00 PM
French (France)		
Page 35: [58] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:08:00 PM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 35: [59] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:08:00 PM
H.,		
Page 35: [59] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:09:00 PM
J.A.,		
Page 35: [59] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:08:00 PM
M., 2002,		
Page 35: [60] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:10:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [61] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:09:00 PM
“The Energy Balance of Corn Ethanol: An Update”, U.S. Department of Agriculture,		
Office of the Chief Economist, Office of Energy Policy and New Uses,		
Agricultural Economic Report No. 813		
Page 35: [62] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:12:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [63] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:13:00 PM
D.,		

Page 35: [63] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:13:00 PM
T.W., 2005, "Ethanol Production Using Corn, Switchgrass, and Wood, Biodiesel
Production Using Soybean and Sunflower,

Page 35: [64] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
Font: Italic

Page 35: [64] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:13:00 PM
Font: Bold

Page 35: [64] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:13:00 PM
Font: Not Bold

Page 35: [65] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:13:00 PM
Y.,

Page 35: [65] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
J., 2002, "Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review",

Page 35: [65] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:18:00 PM
Bioresource

Page 35: [66] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
Font: Italic

Page 35: [66] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
Font: Italic

Page 35: [67] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
Font: Bold

Page 35: [67] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:14:00 PM
Font: Not Bold

Page 35: [68] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:20:00 PM
P.W.,

Page 35: [68] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:20:00 PM
, M.K., 2003,

Page 35: [68] Deleted andrea 3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
"Simulation of the chemical/electrochemical reactions and heat/mass transfer for a
tubular SOFC in a stack", *Journal of*

Page 35: [69] Formatted andrea 3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
Font: Italic

Page 35: [69] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [69] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 35: [70] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
English (U.K.)		
Page 35: [71] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:16:00 PM
P.,		
Page 35: [71] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
1998, "Micro-modelling of solid oxide fuel cell electrodes",		
Page 35: [72] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:19:00 PM
Font: Italic		
Page 35: [72] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
Font: Italic, Italian (Italy)		
Page 35: [73] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
Font: Italic, Italian (Italy)		
Page 35: [73] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [73] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:16:00 PM
Italian (Italy)		
Page 35: [73] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
Font: Not Bold, Italian (Italy)		
Page 35: [73] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:17:00 PM
Italian (Italy)		
Page 35: [74] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:20:00 PM
P.,		
Page 35: [74] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:20:00 PM
C.S.,		
Page 35: [74] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:20:00 PM
N.P.,		
Page 35: [74] Deleted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:21:00 PM
"Anode-supported intermediate temperature direct internal reforming solid oxide fuel		
cell. I: model-based steady-state performance",		
Page 35: [75] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:21:00 PM

Font: Italic

Page 35: [76] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 35: [76] Formatted	andrea	3/8/2010 1:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	---------------------

Font: Not Bold

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

R.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

E.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

E.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

M.D.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

M.W.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

P.L.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:16:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

S.,

Page 35: [77] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:17:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

, H., 2006, "Experimental and modeling study of solid oxide fuel cell operating with

syngas fuel", Journal of Power Sources,

Page 35: [78] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:17:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: Not Bold

Page 35: [79] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:17:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

,

Page 35: [79] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:17:00 AM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Page 35: [80] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:17:00 AM
<i>Design and evaluation of combined cycle system with solid oxide fuel cell and gas turbine,</i>		
Page 35: [81] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:18:00 AM
Font: Italic		
Page 35: [82] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:18:00 AM
Font: Bold		
Page 35: [83] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:25:00 AM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 35: [84] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:18:00 AM
, Evaluation and modelingof performance of anode-supported solid oxide fuel cell,		
Journal of Power Sources		
Page 35: [84] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:18:00 AM
2000		
Page 35: [85] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:20:00 AM
F.N.,		
Page 35: [85] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:21:00 AM
F.,		
Page 35: [85] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:21:00 AM
Page 35: [85] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:21:00 AM
2009, “On modeling multi-component diffusion inside the porous anode of solid		
oxide fuel cells using Fick's model,		
Page 35: [85] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:21:00 AM
Journal of Power Sources		
Page 35: [86] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:21:00 AM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 36: [87] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

S. H.,

Page 36: [87] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

K.A.,

Page 36: [87] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

Z.T.

Page 36: [87] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

“A complete polarization model of a solid oxide fuel cell and its sensitivity to the
change of cell component thickness”, Journal of Power Sources,

Page 36: [87] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

Page 36: [88] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:22:00 AM

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:23:00 AM

R.,

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:23:00 AM

E.,

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:23:00 AM

M.W.,

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:23:00 AM

P.L.,

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:23:00 AM

E.,

Page 36: [89] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:24:00 AM

M.A., 2003, “Performance comparison of Fick’s, dusty-gas and Stefan–Maxwell
models to predict the concentration overpotential of a SOFC anode”, Journal of
Power Sources,

Page 36: [90] Formatted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:24:00 AM**

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [91] Formatted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:24:00 AM**

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [92] Formatted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:25:00 AM**

Font: Bold

Page 36: [93] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:25:00 AM**

P.,

Page 36: [93] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:26:00 AM**

A.,

Page 36: [93] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:26:00 AM**

Page 36: [93] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:26:00 AM**

M.,

Page 36: [93] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:26:00 AM**

G., 2004, “Electrochemical model of the integrated planar solid oxide fuel cell (IP-SOFC)”,

Page 36: [94] Formatted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:45:00 AM**

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [95] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:33:00 AM**

E.,

Page 36: [95] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:33:00 AM**

U.,

Page 36: [95] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM**

G., 1998, “Optimization of a 200 kW SOFC cogeneration power plant: Part I: Variation of process parameters”, Journal of Power Sources

Page 36: [96] Formatted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM**

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [97] Deleted **andrea** **3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM**

E.,

Page 36: [97] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM
J.,		
Page 36: [97] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM
U.,		
Page 36: [97] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM
1998, "Optimization of a 200 kW SOFC cogeneration power plant. Part II: variation of the flowsheet", Journal of Power Sources		
Page 36: [98] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:34:00 AM
Font: Not Bold		
Page 36: [99] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:37:00 AM
Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [100] Formatted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:50:00 PM
Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 18 pt + Tab after: 47.25 pt + Indent at: 47.25 pt		
Page 36: [101] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:37:00 AM
Font: Not Bold, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [101] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:37:00 AM
Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [102] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:37:00 AM
English (U.K.)		
Page 36: [102] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:40:00 AM
Font: Bold		
Page 36: [103] Formatted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:49:00 PM
Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Font color: Auto, English (U.K.), Do not check spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [104] Change	andrea	3/6/2010 7:48:00 PM
Formatted Bullets and Numbering		
Page 36: [105] Formatted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:49:00 PM
Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Font color: Auto, English (U.K.), Do not check spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [105] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
Font: Bold		
Page 36: [105] Formatted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:49:00 PM
Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [105] Formatted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:49:00 PM
Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [106] Deleted	andrea	3/6/2010 7:53:00 PM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Milewski, J., Miller, A., Sałaciński, J., 2007, “Off-design analysis of SOFC hybrid system”, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 32(6) 687-698.

V

Page 36: [106] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

J.,

Page 36: [106] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Y.,

Page 36: [106] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

O.,

Page 36: [107] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
--------------------------	--------	-----------------------

English (U.K.)

Page 36: [107] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
--------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: Bold, English (U.K.)

Page 36: [107] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
--------------------------	--------	-----------------------

English (U.K.)

Page 36: [108] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:38:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

2004, “Biogas as a fuel source for SOFC co-generators”, Journal of Power Sources,

Page 36: [109] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:39:00 AM
--------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [110] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:42:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Y.,

Page 36: [110] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:42:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

A.D.,

Page 36: [110] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:42:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Page 36: [110] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:42:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

J.,

Page 36: [110] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:42:00 AM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

, G.S.

Page 36: [110] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:40:00 AM

2005, "Fuel flexibility study of an integrated 25 kW SOFC reformer system",

Page 36: [111] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:40:00 AM

English (U.K.)

Page 36: [111] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:40:00 AM

Font: Bold, Not Italic

Page 36: [112] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:40:00 AM

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [113] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

S.,

Page 36: [113] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

B.J., 1994, "

Page 36: [114] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:33:00 AM

Font: Bold

Page 36: [115] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:33:00 AM

"

Page 36: [115] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:33:00 AM

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Page 36: [116] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

R.C.,

Page 36: [116] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

J.M.,

Page 36: [116] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

, T.K.

Page 36: [116] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

1977, "

Page 36: [117] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:41:00 AM

Font: Bold

Page 36: [118] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

W.,

Page 36: [118] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

C.,

Page 36: [119] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

Font: Bold, Not Italic

Page 36: [120] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

Y., 2007, “Fuel ejector design and simulation model for anodic recirculation SOFC system”, Journal of Power Sources

Page 36: [121] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [122] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:43:00 AM

Zhu, Y., Cai, W., Li, Y., Wen, C., 2008, “Anode gas recirculation behavior of a fuel ejector in hybrid solid oxide fuel cell systems: Performance evaluation in three operational modes”, Journal of Power Sources

Page 36: [123] Formatted andrea 3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM

Font: Not Bold

Page 36: [124] Formatted andrea 3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM

ASME podstawowy, Automatically adjust right indent when grid is defined, Line spacing: Double, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 18 pt + Tab after: 47.25 pt + Indent at: 47.25 pt, Adjust sp

Page 36: [125] Deleted andrea 3/6/2010 7:50:00 PM

Marsano, F., Magistri, L., Massardo, A.F., 2004, “Ejector performance influence on a solid oxide fuel cell anodic recirculation system”, Journal of Power Sources, 129 216-228.

Page 36: [126] Formatted andrea 3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM

Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

Page 36: [126] Formatted andrea 3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM

Font: 12 pt, Italian (Italy)

Page 36: [127] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM

M.L.,

Page 36: [127] Deleted andrea 3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM

A.,

Page 36: [127] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
L.,		
Page 36: [127] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 12:50:00 PM
, A.F.,		
Page 36: [128] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
Font: 12 pt, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [129] Deleted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
2005, "Influence of the anodic recirculation transient behaviour on the SOFC hybrid		
system performance", Journal of Power Sources		
Page 36: [130] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
Font: 12 pt, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [130] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [130] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
Font: 12 pt, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [131] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
Font: 12 pt, Italian (Italy)		
Page 36: [132] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:44:00 AM
English (U.K.)		
Page 36: [133] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left +		
Aligned at: 18 pt + Tab after: 47.25 pt + Indent at: 47.25 pt		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Font: (Default) Times, (Asian) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check		
spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Font: (Default) Times, (Asian) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check		
spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Font: (Default) Times, (Asian) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check		
spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/11/2010 11:45:00 AM
Font: Bold		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Font: (Default) Times, (Asian) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (U.K.), Do not check		
spelling or grammar		
Page 36: [134] Formatted	andrea	3/7/2010 4:49:00 PM
Font: 12 pt		

[Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa](#)5

[Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed](#)6

[Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell](#)8

[Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell](#) 11

[Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle](#) 12

[Figure 6 - Ejector scheme](#) 15

[Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust](#) 18

[Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case](#) 19

[Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding](#) 20

[Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel \(cell tube length\) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding](#) 21

[Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures](#) 26

[Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows](#) 26

[Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming](#) 27

[Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H₂O mixture feeding](#) 27

[Figure 15 - Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack](#) 28

[Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment](#) 29

[Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltages during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment](#) 29

[Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour](#) 29

[Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment](#) 30

[Figure 20 - Temperature behaviour during the ethanol experiment](#) 30

[Figure 21 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment](#) 31

[Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa](#)5

[Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed](#)6

[Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell](#)8

[Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell](#) 11

[Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle](#) 12

[Figure 6 - Ejector scheme](#) 15

[Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust](#) 18

[Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case](#) 19

[Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding](#) 20

Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding.....	24
Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures	26
Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows	26
Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming	27
Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H ₂ O mixture feeding	27
Figure 15 - Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack	28
Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H ₂ O feeding experiment	28
Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltages during the EtOH/H ₂ O feeding experiment	29
Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour	29
Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment	29
Figure 20 - Temperature behaviour during the ethanol experiment	30
Figure 21 - After-burner and stack temperature behaviour	31
Figure 22 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment	31
Figure 1 - Installation of the 5 kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa	5
Figure 2 - Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analysed	6
Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in cell tube	8
Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell	11
Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle	12
Figure 6 - Ejector scheme	14
Figure 7 - Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust	18
Figure 8 - Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas reference case	18
Figure 9 - Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding	20
Figure 10 - Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding.....	23
Figure 11 - Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures	25
Figure 12 - Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows	25
Figure 13 - Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam reforming	26
Figure 14 - Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H ₂ O mixture feeding	26
Figure 15 - Water stoichs in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack	27
Figure 16 - Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H ₂ O feeding experiment	27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[Figure 17 - Comparison of string voltage during the EtOH/H2O feeding experiment](#).....28

[Figure 18 - Stack voltage and current behaviour](#)28

[Figure 19 - Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment](#)29

[Figure 20 - Temperature behaviour during the ethanol experiment](#)29

[Figure 21 - After-burner and stack temperature behaviour](#)30

[Figure 22 - Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment](#)30

For Peer Review

Table 1. Molar compositions of the recirculated flow entrained by the ejector and total steam fraction recirculated normalized to the primary flow entering the ejector itself

Ejector volumetric entrainment ratio 'NG feeding':				5.53
Anodic molar exhaust composition:				
H ₂ [%]	CO [%]	H ₂ O [%]	CO ₂ [%]	
16	4	52	28	
Mol(H ₂ O)/Mol(fuel_in):		2.9		
Ejector volumetric entrainment ratio 'EtOH feeding':				5.39
Anodic molar exhaust composition:				
H ₂ [%]	CO [%]	H ₂ O [%]	CO ₂ [%]	
5	13	56	26	
Mol(H ₂ O)/Mol(fuel_in):		3.0		

Tubular Cell - Model Parameters

Cathode inner diameter / mm	21.7
Mean tube diameter / mm	24
Cathode thickness / μm	2200
Electrolyte thickness / μm	40
Anode thickness / μm	100
Interconnector thickness / μm	100
Interconnector width / cm	1.3
Ni-felt thickness / cm	0.5
Tubular cell length / cm	75
Cell active area / cm^2	400
$\rho_{el,cat} / \Omega\text{m}$	$0.008114 \times \exp(500/T)$
$\rho_{el,ely} / \Omega\text{m}$	$0.00294 \times \exp(10350/T)$
$\rho_{el,an} / \Omega\text{m}$	$0.00298 \times \exp(-1392/T)$
$\rho_{el,inter} / \Omega\text{m}$	$0.1256 \times \exp(4690/T)$
$\rho_{el,felt} / \Omega\text{m}$	-
$\gamma_{an} / \text{A m}^{-2}$	7×10^8
$\gamma_{cat} / \text{A m}^{-2}$	5.5×10^8
$E_{act,an} / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	100
$E_{act,cat} / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	120
ε_{an} (anode porosity)	0.3
τ_{an} (anode tortuosity)	5
ε_{cat} (cathode porosity)	0.4
τ_{cat} (cathode tortuosity)	4
$r_{pore,an}$ (mean cathode pores radius)	3
$r_{pore,cat}$ (mean cathode pores radius)	15

Table 2 – Parameters for the cell electrochemical model

Figure 1. Installation of the 5kW Siemens Generator in Turbocare Spa.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 2. Basic BoP design of the SOFC generator analyzed

Figure 3 – Ohmic losses in the tubular cell

Figure 4 - Fuel and air flows along the tubular cell

Figure 5 - Cells arrangement inside a bundle

Figure 6. Ejector scheme
254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Figure 7. Schematic sheet describing the iteration step for determining the molar composition of the anodic exhaust
701x533mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Figure 8. Detailed flow-sheet with natural gas (reference case)

Figure 9. Detailed flow-sheet with ethanol feeding

Figure 10. Comparison of the calculated Nernst voltage along the fuel channel (cell tube length) for both natural gas and ethanol feeding

Figure 11. Equilibrium compositions of an ethanol/water mixture in a volumetric ratio 60/40 at different temperatures

Figure 12. Schematic view of the 5 kW Generator to respect of the fuel and air feeding flows

Figure 13. Carbon boundary for the ethanol steam-reforming

Figure 14. Ejector pressure increase during EtOH/H2O mixture feeding

Figure 15. Water stoichiometry in the NG/EtOH mixture reaching the stack

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 16. Behaviour of stack voltage during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment

Figure 17. Comparison of string voltage during the EtOH/H₂O feeding experiment

Figure 18. Stack voltage and current behaviour during the EtOH experiment

Figure 19. Reformer temperature drop during the ethanol experiment

Figure 20. Generator temperatures behaviour during the ethanol experiment

Figure 21. Generator electrical AC efficiency behaviour during the EtOH experiment