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ABSTRACT
The biological data regarding the signalling pathways often consider single pathways or a small number of them. We propose a methodology for composing this kind of data in a coherent framework, in order to be able to investigate a bigger number of signalling pathways. We specify a biological system by means of a set of stoichiometric-like equations resembling the essential features of molecular interactions. We represent these equations by a timed concurrent constraint (ntcc) language, which can deal with partial information and the time for a reaction to occur. We describe a freely available prototypical implementation of our framework.

1. INTRODUCTION
Cellular signalling pathways consist in groups of interacting proteins belonging to various functional classes, e.g. receptors, adaptor proteins and kinases [4]. Each pathway is specialized in sensing and transducing particular environmental signals such as growth factors, hormones, cytokines, light and nutrients. Misfunctioning or defects in signalling pathways are often associated with important diseases e.g. diabetes, immune disorders and cancer [4, 7]. In living cells individual signalling pathways do not act in isolation. Rather, they cross talk due to the presence of components shared by different pathways or positive and negative feedback loops that form complex interaction networks. Thus, the overall behavior of a cell embedded in a given environment should be seen as the integrated response to a variety of sensed signals coming from the outside [4, 1]. Understanding the dynamics underlying the functioning of whole cellular signalling networks is a task that is far from being accomplished. Unfortunately, nowadays wet-lab techniques are still not adequate to cope with this kind of “systemic” investigation. Moreover, the available information results to be heterogenous as it is obtained through different experimental techniques and comes from variable contexts. So, it results particularly difficult to organize the existing information in a coherent framework taking also into account the dynamics of the interactions, in order to gain knowledge at the network level. To address the problem of data integration and of heterogeneity, we present a new methodology for modelling molecular interaction networks, which allows to organize the existing knowledge in a compositional fashion. We aim at exploiting this method for describing and simulating the behavior of a big number of signalling pathways. Our modelling technique takes into account the fact that several features of the biological system may be undetermined or affected by measuring mistakes [5]. Our language allows us to represent partial information and to model explicitly the time which is necessary for a reaction to occur. In this paper we use our methodology by modeling and simulating some well known signalling pathways, namely the TNFα, EGF, and insulin signalling pathways.

2. CONCURRENT CONSTRAINT CALCULI
Concurrent system, i.e. systems whose components act simultaneously and potentially interact with each other, are ubiquitous in several domains and applications, as in biological and physical systems, mobile systems, Internet, etc. Due to their complex forms of interaction, concurrent systems are difficult to specify and reason out. In computer science, process calculi have been proposed to rise this challenge. They provide a mathematical language where concurrent systems can be modelled and their behaviour can be formally described and predicted. Timed Concurrent Constraint Programming (ntcc) [13] is a simple but powerful model for concurrency aiming at modeling reactive systems, i.e., those whose components interact among each other and with the environment. Here, interactions are modeled by means of constraints accumulated in a common store. A constraint c, e.g., \( x \geq 10 \), represents a piece of (partial) information upon which processes may act. Processes in ntcc can change the state of the system by telling information, and
synchronize by asking information: A process \( \text{tell}(c) \) adds \( c \) to the store, thus making it available to the other processes. A process \( \sum \text{when } c_i \text{ do } P \) non-deterministically chooses one of the \( P_i \) whose corresponding guard \( c_i \) can be deduced from the store. The chosen alternative, if any, precludes the others. A process \( P \parallel Q \) denotes \( P \) and \( Q \) running concurrently possibly “communicating” via the common store. Processes in ntcc can be also executed along time-units or time-intervals: A process next \( P \) delays the execution of \( P \) to the next time-unit, and the replication \( !P \) means unboundedly many copies of \( P \) but one at a time. We refer the reader to [13] for a detailed account of the syntax and the operational semantics of the ntcc calculus.

### 3. A COMPOSITIONAL MODEL

A biochemical pathway can be represented as a set of interconnected biochemical reaction in which the product of a reaction is the reactant of another one. A signaling pathway is a biochemical pathway supporting the transduction of signals in a biological cell: molecules present in the external environment can affect the cellular behaviour linked to a specific receptor on the cell membrane and triggering the biochemical reactions of the receptor-dependent signaling pathways. For example, the equation

\[
\text{Insulin} + \text{Insulin Receptor} \rightarrow \text{Insulin Receptor}_P
\]

represents the reaction of one insuline molecule and its receptor (IR). This reaction causes the (auto)phosphorilation of the receptor molecule, and triggers the following events of the signal transduction pathway. Here we abstracted away various details regarding chemical features, such as reaction rate dependence from the temperature. These approximations are consistent with the in-vitro experiments, where the temperature is kept constant. The reaction rate will be considered at simulation time as stochastic parameters.

In general, we shall represent the signaling pathway by a finite set of equations \( r_1, ..., r_m \) of the form

\[
r_j: \sum a_{ij} A_i \rightarrow \sum b_{ij}
\]

(1)

The constants \( a_{ij}, ..., a_{in} \) and \( b_{ij}, ..., b_{in} \) are the stoichiometric coefficients. Therefore, \( a_1 A_1, a_2 A_2, ..., a_n A_n \) are reactants that interact (and are consumed) yielding to the products \( b_1 A_1, b_2 A_2, ..., b_n A_n \). We also assume that each equation \( r_j \) has associated a duration \( \text{dur}(r_j) \) defining the number of time-units required for that reaction to produce the components on the right-hand side.

Our idea is to represent this set of equations as ntcc processes. This will provide a runnable specification of the system in which we can formally specify the time required for a reaction to occur. Furthermore, due to the constraint-based nature of ntcc, and the ability of dealing with partial information, we will be able to specify systems where we do not have a complete description of it (e.g., if we only have a partial information about the concentration of the reactants involved). Moreover, models specified in this language can be easily composed due to the parallel composition operator, thus yielding the description of more complex phenomena. Finally, another advantage of using ntcc, is that it is endowed with a logic semantics [13], thus providing a valuable tool for the verification of properties of the modelled system.

### 3.1 The model in ntcc

The model we propose consists of three different components: A process to choose the rule (equation) to be applied in each time-unit; the processes modelling the equations and the processes changing the state of the concentrations of each reactant/product according to the equation applied.

We assume a set of equations as in Equation 1. In the sequel, the variables \( x_1, ..., x_n \) represent the current concentrations of the components \( A_1, ..., A_n \) respectively.

The process choose below, selects non-deterministically one of the equations \( (eq = j) \) s.t. the current concentration of each component is higher than the reactant necessities for the equation to take place (e.g., \( x_i^j \geq a_{ij} \)).

\[
\text{choose} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{when } x_i^j \geq a_{ij} \land \bigwedge x_n^j \geq a_{ij} \text{ do } \text{tell}(eq = j)
\]

Improvements here can be done by adding the stochastic information about the reaction rate of each equation (if it is known). In this case, the non-deterministic operator is replaced by a probabilistic choice (see e.g., [11]).

A reaction (equation) \( r_j \) is modelled by a process binding the variables \( x_i^j \) and \( x_n^j \) to \( a_{ij} \) and \( b_{ij} \) respectively. This variables determine how the concentration of the component \( A_i \) must be affected due to the application of the equation: \( a_{ij} \) units are consumed and \( b_{ij} \) units are produced.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{equation}_j & \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{when } eq = j \text{ do } \\
\text{next tell}(x_i^j = a_{ij} \land \ldots \land x_n^j = a_{ij}) \parallel \\
\text{next } \text{dur}(r_j) \text{ tell}(x_i^j = b_{ij} \land \ldots \land x_n^j = b_{ij})
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that the concentration of the products \( b_{ij} \) is incremented \( \text{dur}(r_j) \) time-units later.

Finally, the whole system looks like this:

\[
\text{system} \overset{\text{def}}{=} !\left( \text{state} \parallel \text{choose} \parallel \text{equation}_1 \parallel \ldots \parallel \text{equation}_n \right)
\]

The process state computes the current concentration of the components according to their concentrations in the previous time-unit and the variables \( x_i^j \) and \( x_n^j \) explained above. It also sets the initial concentration of the components that are parameters of the simulation. We omit the definition of this process for the sake of presentation.

### 3.1.1 A simulation tool: BioWayS

We have implemented a simulation tool based on our model, which we have called BioWayS and which is freely available on the web. This tool is based on a interpreter of the ntcc calculus written in the Oz Languages (http://www.mozart-oz.org/).

BioWayS takes as input a text file containing the initial parameters of the simulation as well as the description of the equations. Then, it computes the final stores of each time-unit and outputs the number of equation applied and the concentration of each component. In the following sections we shall show some examples of simulations executed in this tool. More examples and the description of the input and output files can be found at http://lix.polytechnique.fr/~colarte/bioways/.

### 4. IN SILICO EXPERIMENTATION

We used our modelling technique to study some interconnected cellular signalling pathways related to three receptors: Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor I (TNFR1), Insulin...
4.1 The model

The set of signalling pathways composing the network indicated above was considered. Using a system-level approach, our aim was to build a model based on the information available in the literature, adequate for describing the measured data and, eventually, to make predictions regarding the modeled system. Our model network is composed by 37 different kind of molecules (nodes) and 48 equations (interactions). Each interaction is rendered by a “reaction rule” resembling the corresponding chemical equation. The input for a simulation run is composed by the list of rules (i.e. the model of the network) and a set of parameters specifying the number of copies for each involved molecule (i.e. the amount of reacting molecules) and the length of the computation (i.e. the time length of the experiment).

For each rule it is possible to specify a parameter indicating the amount of time needed for the corresponding reaction to occur. This parameter corresponds to the first passage time and is evaluated according to [14]. Note that to estimate the first passage time for an enzymatically catalysed reaction, it is not necessary to know the details regarding the reaction’s mechanism and, thus, the kinetic constants related to each elementary step. Instead, the needed data are the enzyme and substrate(s) concentrations, the enzyme-substrate(s) “affinity constant(s)” and the overall (macroscopic or apparent) rate constant. The first two parameters were taken from the literature or determined heuristically letting their initial amounts ranging on reasonably realistic intervals estimated by comparison with similar cases. The last parameter was estimated using Kinfer (http://www.cosbi.eu/index.php/research/prototypes/kinfer) and literature data. The choice of this approach reflects the need of building a “biochemically consistent” model using correctly the available data to avoid common misconceptions, e.g., to use the apparent rate constant instead of the microscopic rate constant. This mistake is often made in works relying on the Gillespie’s Stochastic Simulation Algorithm (SSA) [9] for the (stochastic) simulation of biochemical pathways. Gillespie’s SSA, needs the knowledge of the elementary rate constants, which are often impossible to measure and evaluate. To circumvent this problem, apparent rate constants are typically used. This introduces a heavy approximation with unpredictable effects on the results of simulations.

In our framework, for each step of the computation, BioWayS chooses non deterministically one rule and simulates the occurrence of a chemical reaction. When a reaction occurs, reactant molecules are “consumed” and new copies of other molecules are eventually “produced” according to the corresponding rule. After a computation, initial and final states differ for the number of copies of each molecule.

4.2 Experiments and results

The in silico experiments were performed by simulating the model described above through BioWayS. In the first experimental session we tuned the model and tested its viability by comparing the simulations outputs with the corresponding wet-lab data reported in [8]. The in silico experiments were performed under different initial conditions mimicking the presence of different combinations of insulin, TNFα and EGF in the extracellular environment. For each combination of these three molecules, simulations differing in time length were performed to obtain time courses of the measured values, i.e., the amount of the various kind of molecules at the end of each computation. Some of these results are presented in Figure 1. We compared the “real” and “virtual” time series through the $X^2$ test (p > 0.05). In all the tested cases, the $X^2$ test showed that our results are consistent with wet-lab data.

For further validating our proposal, we studied particular cases of cross-talk amongst the considered signaling pathways. It is known [3] that the pathways regulated by TNFR1 and IR interact, negatively influencing each other signaling dynamics. The effects of this cross-talk can be observed through proteomic techniques monitoring the amount of phosphorylated Insulin Receptor Substrate 1 (IRS1). IRS1 is specifically phosphorylated on tyrosine and serine residues. Tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS1 is performed by IR when it is bound to insulin and activates IR-dependent signaling pathways. Phosphorylation on serine residues decreases the activity of IRS1 and prevents tyrosine phosphorylation. This chemical modification occurs when certain signaling pathways, such as TNFR dependent pathways, are active and leads to the inactivation of IR-dependent signaling pathways. While the relationship between IR and IRS1 is structurally well characterized (IRS1 directly interacts with IR) the same cannot be said for TNFR and IRS1. Actually the model presented in Sec. 4.1 does not reproduce insulin/TNFα interference. This may depend on the fact that in [8] is used a cell culture line (namely human colon adenocarcinoma cells, ATCC) which, being cancerous cells, may not function as the non-cancerous ones such as adipocytes. Our hypothesis was that our model, being shaped on the findings reported in [8], does not mimic “correctly” the insulin-TNFα interactions.
interactions. Some experimental evidences indicate a possible pathway responsible of this cross-talk [3]. To test our hypothesis, we inserted compositionally this pathway in our model. We then performed a new session of in silico experiments by simulating the updated model through BioWayS mimicking the presence of insulin, TNFα or both in the extracellular environment. The results of these experiments (see Figure 2) show that the trend of in silico behavior resembles what happens for the real counterpart.

5. RELATED WORK AND CONCLUSIONS

Petri Nets (PN), both in their classical version [10] and in their stochastic ones [15] are one of the first approaches which has been used for modelling living systems. Our formalism should be easier to use as a programming language. Pathway Logic (PL) [16] is a symbolic approach to the modelling and analysis of biological systems which is based on rewriting logic [12]. Our methodology should have the advantage of modelling the time of reactions and treat in a natural way partial information. The language BIOCHAM was introduced in [6], and was designed for being very close to the classical rules biologists use to describe biochemical reactions. We believe that we can model different sets of reaction rules by exploiting the fact that we do not require precise stoichiometric data. We use constraints for representing partial information. A programming language similar to ours has been used for representing Biological systems in [2]. [2] considers a language which is suitable for modelling hybrid systems, i.e., system where continuos and discrete time is considered. Some other major differences are as follows. We mainly focus on deriving a simple representation of equations, which can be easily used by non expert users. We model time duration of reactions as well as partial information, like [2], but we present a new methodology which allows to compose several pathways and find results which normally requires the availability of more refined data.

As future work we plan to extend the language and add the possibility to specify the probability to choose a rule, thus introducing the possibility of making stochastic computations as in [11]. We would also like to make use of the declarative nature of PLCC as formulae in temporal logic [13] to verify properties of the modelled systems. Another extension concerns the possibility to model hybrid systems as in [2].
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