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This study presents the development of an improved technique for viscosity measurements under high pressure. The apparatus is based on the principle of the falling ball viscometer, implemented in a high-pressure autoclave fitted with visualisation windows. The originality here is that the balls fall through a tube open at both ends with a diameter slightly greater than that of the balls, allowing a simplified modelling and numerical simulation. A numerical approach has been used for viscosity determination. Calculations have been made with COMSOL Multiphysics® with the laminar Navier–Stokes model for Newtonian mixtures. It includes the specific hydrodynamic effects without the need for a calibration fluid. However, validation experiments were carried out at atmospheric pressure with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at 298, 308 and 318 K and with cocoa butter at 313 and 353 K, with values of viscosity in the range from 1.4 to 45.4 mPa s. Comparative measurements with literature data have been conducted with cocoa butter saturated with carbon dioxide at 313 and 353 K and for pressures ranging from 0.1 to 25 MPa. At 313 K, viscosity varies from 45.4 mPa s to 3.1 mPa s while at 353 K it varies from 12.4 to 1.9 mPa s. For both isotherms tested, within the range 0–15 MPa, the higher the CO₂ dissolution in the cocoa butter, the lower the viscosity. However, this decrease in viscosity is more pronounced at the lowest temperature. Above 15 MPa the CO₂ dissolution effect on viscosity becomes insignificant, i.e. within the experimental error, due to a counter effect linked with the high hydrostatic pressure. Furthermore, the limits of use of this method have been determined. This technique is revealed as reliable and can therefore be used with other binary systems.

1. Introduction

Supercritical fluid processes are clean and efficient alternatives to conventional methods in several fields such as extraction, crystallization, chromatography and material processing [1]. Supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO₂) is often selected as a solvent for food and pharmaceuticals processing. As a matter of fact, knowledge of physical properties of binary mixtures over an extended range of temperatures and pressures is essential for process design. This can often require the development or the modification of characterization techniques and a previous work focussed on measurement and modelling of thermodynamical properties such as solubility has already been published [2,3]. It has been extended to other types of properties such as transport. For instance, the viscosity of fluid mixtures is also required to determine pressure drops in piping systems [4], to understand the molecular interactions in separation processes involving heat and mass transfer such as extraction and fractionation of biomaterials. The viscosity of a material is again needed for the design and optimization of polymer processes such as extrusion [5], foaming [6,7], synthesis reactions [8] and gas-assisted injection moulding [9]. In all applications, the addition of a miscible dense fluid such as scCO₂ will tend to enhance transport properties. Various methods can be used to measure the viscosity of fluids under high pressure: capillary [10,11], vibrating wire [12], quartz [13], rotational [14] and falling body [15–25] viscometers. The latter have been used to measure the high-pressure viscosity of hydrocarbons [15,16], oils [17,18] and binaries composed of CO₂ and polymer solutions [19–21] or organic solvents [22–25]. Various shapes and sizes of falling body could be used, such as a needle, a cylinder or a sphere, chosen because of the simplicity of the viscosity calculations. A hard sphere, initially at rest...
and falling in a tube filled with fluid is often considered. The sphere (or ball) accelerates until it reaches a constant velocity, named terminal velocity, when the drag and buoyant forces exerted on the sphere balance the gravitational force. Assuming that the fluid is Newtonian and incompressible, and that the flow is stationary, laminar and axial symmetric, the fluid viscosity, \( \mu_f \), is given by Stokes’ law according:

\[
\mu_f = K \frac{2R_b^2 \rho_f (\rho_f - \rho_b)}{9 \nu_b} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \nu_b \) is the ball velocity, \( R_b \) is the ball radius, \( \mu_f \) is the fluid viscosity, and \( \rho_b \) and \( \rho_f \) are the fluid and ball densities, respectively. Eq. (1) is a modified Stokes’ law where \( K \) is an empirical parameter that takes into account the wall and end effects. Usually, it is determined experimentally for a given temperature with a fluid for which the viscosity is well referenced. Several authors [26,27] have proposed semi-empirical correlations for this correction factor.

In this paper, an improved high-pressure falling ball viscometer is described. This includes a presentation of the apparatus, of the image analysis treatment, which gives the terminal velocity value, of the modeling of a falling ball inside this viscometer and of the simulation using Comsol Multiphysics® software. The latter gives the value of the mixture viscosity corresponding to the terminal velocity measured.

The objective here is to give as complete as possible an overview of this viscosity measurement method, including its experimental and modeling aspects, validation results at atmospheric pressure, its application to a CO2-saturated mixture, its assets and its limitations. Viscosity determination under atmospheric pressure was performed for an organic solvent, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and for a natural product, cocoa butter. The CO2-saturated mixture was based on cocoa butter.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials

DMSO (purity 99%, w/w) was obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Because of its hygrosopic nature, a 1-h drying step, under vacuum, was carried out in the characterization device itself, before each experiment. Carbon dioxide (purity 99.995%, w/w) was purchased from Air liquide S.A. (Paris, France). Cocoa butter (CB) was obtained from Gerkens Cacao B.V. (Wormer, The Netherlands). Cocoa butter, the fat of chocolate, is a complex natural product based on cocoa butter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TG</th>
<th>Weight fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OLO</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOD</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POO</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOO</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Composition in triglycerides (TG) of cocoa butter.
2.2. Experimental set-up and procedure

A schematic diagram of the viscometer is shown in Fig. 1. The apparatus has been designed to carry out investigations under pressures and temperatures up to respectively 44 MPa and 473.15 K. The stainless steel autoclave with a capacity of 2.6 dm³ (Top-Industrie, Vaux le-Pénil, France) is previously loaded with approximately 1.5–2 dm³ of liquid phase, e.g. melted CB or DMSO, and heated to the desired temperature. It is equipped with eight sapphire windows (SW), 20 mm diameter, for visualization purpose using a liquid cold lighting device (model CLS150X, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and preheated by a heat exchanger (Separex, Vaux le-Pénil, France) before flowing into a stirred autoclave. The axis of the magnetic stirrer is equipped with six 45°-inclined blades, 45 mm diameter (Top-Industrie, Vaux-le-Pénil, France), and the stirring speed is 800 rpm. Then, a syringe pump (Model ISCO 100HLX, Teledyne Isco, Inc, Lincoln, USA) previously filled with the liquid phase, melted CB or DMSO, is connected to the autoclave and preheated by a heat exchanger (Separex, Champignelles, France) before flowing into a stirred autoclave. Upon dissolution of supercritical CO₂, the liquid phase expands and the liquid level increases. The syringe pump is then used to immerse totally the glass tube. The ball velocity is measured at the middle height of the tube. To adjust the fluid level without overfilling the autoclave, small amounts of liquid phase can be withdrawn (or added) by the syringe pump. The pressure and temperature inside the viscometer are kept constant within ±0.1 MPa and ±0.10 K. During all these steps, the fluid is stirred in order to enhance the mass transfer and to speed up the saturation of the liquid phase. Once the equilibrium is reached, 24 h later, stirring is stopped and viscosity measurements can be performed. During these measurements, pressure and temperature are kept constant.

Measurements consist in recording through a sapphire window (SW3) the fall of an aluminium ball (2.0000 ± 0.0005 mm diameter at 298.15 K, Valette & Gaurand Industrie, La Talaudière, France) through the glass tube open at both ends (2.10 ± 0.01 mm inner diameter at 298.15 K, 200 mm length, Verres Wagner, Toulouse, France). Although the rugosity of the inner tube surface has not been determined, the optical quality of the glass ensures a smooth enough surface. The dilatation effects have been calculated using Comsol Multiphysics® on the basis of the linear thermal expansion coefficient for aluminium and optical glass, in the range 298.15–353.15 K. The resulting tube inner radius decrease is 41 nm and the ball radius increase is 1.27 μm. These effects have then been neglected. However, another ball material such as quartz or ruby could have been a better choice, with a ball dilatation being fifty times lower. But the size tolerance of the corresponding available balls is greater than the dilatation effect of aluminium. As for the glass tube, it is fastened to a metal rod fixed to the top of the autoclave and its position is adjusted by means of micrometer screws. It has been previously levelled by means of a plumb line inserted through the tube. The verticality has been checked before and after each experiment.

The ball velocity is measured at the middle height of the tube. Following the time course of the ball position has showed that the speed is constant and therefore equals the falling terminal velocity. The density of the aluminium balls (2723.3 ± 0.9 kg m⁻³) was previously determined with a helium pycnometer (model Accupyc 1330, Micromeritics, Verneuil-en-Halatte, France). Other materials for balls have been tested such as steel and a polymer, polyacetal. The use of a steel ball was inappropriate due to too high its density (7800 kg m⁻³). Due to diffusion of CO₂ into polyacetal balls, this material could not be used either. The ball distributor (ball capacity: 60, home-made) releases single-use balls, one at a time, by means of an electrical impulse applied to the solenoid (Fig. 1 (a) and (b)). The ball release is manually induced and the first two balls are discarded because their role is simply to allow the evacuation of the fluid that may not be saturated. As the tube is open at both ends and the radius difference between the ball and the tube is only 50 μm, the fall of one or two balls is enough to replace all the internal fluid by the saturated mixture. Moreover, repeated velocity measurements have showed no variation suggesting that the fluid composition and density remained unchanged. Afterwards, at least five consecutive balls are released in order to perform five different terminal velocity measurements. A ball is released once the previous ball has reached the outlet of the glass tube. The reproducibility of the velocity measurement has then been checked.

The key features for the viscosity determination are the ball terminal velocity, the fluid density and the ball density. The ter-
minal velocity values are obtained from the analysis of the images taken with a high-speed digital camera, using a software developed with the Image Processing Toolbox of Matlab®. The fluid density has previously been measured with a vibrating U-tube densimeter (Model DMA-HPM, Anton-Paar, Graz, Austria). The experimental set-up and its calibration have been described in previous studies [25,28]. Density data of the cocoa butter saturated with CO₂, which is the fluid density at given pressure and temperature, have been interpolated using the empirical Tait equation [29]:

$$\rho_f(T, P) = \rho_f(T_0, P_0) \left( \frac{2(T+P)\beta P}{T_0+P_0} \right)^{C_1}$$

(2)

with:

$$D(T) = D_0 + D_1 T + D_2 T^2$$

(3)

where $$\rho_f$$ is the fluid density at given pressure and temperature. $$D_0$$, $$D_1$$, $$D_2$$ and C are fitting parameters and are equal to 7915.5, −51.1 K⁻¹, 0.847 K⁻² and 0.505 respectively. This empirical model has been used to fit experimental density of CO₂-saturated cocoa butter which has been measured at 313.15, 323.15 and 353.15 K.

2.3. Viscosity calculation

2.3.1. Initialization model: a simplified model

This model is based on theoretical considerations combined with simplification hypotheses. It allows the determination of an approximate value of the viscosity from the ball velocity and the terminal velocity, a stationary flow for the fluid is established. The problem is solved in the accelerating reference frame of the ball on the two-dimensional axial symmetric domain shown in Fig. 3, with $$\eta_1 = 0$$ for $$t = 0$$. The partial differential equations (PDE) system in this two-dimensional configuration includes the laminar Navier–Stokes equation for a Newtonian fluid and the continuity equation for an incompressible fluid:

$$\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \rho \eta (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \eta &= \nabla \cdot (\sigma + \nabla \eta) + f_v \\
\nabla \cdot \eta &= 0 
\end{align*}$$

(5)

where $$f_v$$ is the volume force exerted on the fluid, and where the viscous stress tensor has the following Newtonian expression:

$$\sigma_V = \mu \left( \nabla \eta + (\nabla \eta)^T \right)$$

(6)

The problem is solved in the accelerating reference frame of the ball. In this system the volume force is:

$$f_v = -\rho \frac{dv_b}{dt} e_z - \rho_b e_z$$

(7)

2.3.2. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model

The fluid flow in the tube has been modelled using the laminar Navier–Stokes model implemented in software Comsol Multiphysics® 3.4. CFD simulations have been carried out in the reference frame of the ball on the two-dimensional axial symmetric domain shown in Fig. 3, with $$\eta_1 = 0$$ for $$t = 0$$. The different boundaries of the system (hatched area) and details of the refined mesh for the CFD simulations.

This model does not account for wall effects: it does not matter here because it is only used to initialize numerical calculations that will lead to the precise value of the fluid viscosity $$\mu_f$$. In addition, the fluid viscosities calculated by Eq. (1) with $$K = 1$$ (Stokes’ law in infinite medium) and Eq. (4), are significantly different. For the same fluid viscosity, the ratio of the ball velocities calculated from Eq. (1) with $$K = 1$$ and Eq. (4) is about 240, showing the relevance of using a confined flow implying very low Reynolds numbers. Therefore, the validity range for the model is guaranteed and low velocities permit to set-up visual techniques in a much easier way.
where \( \dot{d}_{\text{ball}} \) is the acceleration of the ball, which is described according to:

\[
\frac{d \dot{d}_{\text{ball}}}{dt} = (F^{(R)}_{f/b} + m_{\text{ball}}g) \cdot e_z
\]

with:

\[
F^{(R)}_{f/b} = F^{(P)}_{f/b} + F^{(V)}_{f/b}
\]

where \( F^{(P)}_{f/b} \) is the total force (viscous force \( F^{(V)}_{f/b} \) plus pressure force \( F^{(P)}_{f/b} \)) that the fluid exerts on the ball and is calculated by integrating the normal component of the stress tensor over the surface of the ball:

\[
F^{(P)}_{f/b} = \int_{S_b} (-P \mathbf{I} + \sigma \mathbf{n}) \cdot dS
\]

Boundaries are shown in Fig. 3. Boundary \( B_1 \) represents the ball surface on which there is a no-slip condition. Boundaries \( B_2 \) and \( B_3 \) correspond to the axial symmetry of the tube. Boundary \( B_4 \) is the tube inlet: it is an open boundary at a fixed pressure. Boundary \( B_5 \) is the tube outlet: it is an open boundary at a fixed pressure, equal to the pressure at \( B_4 \) increased by the hydrostatic pressure due to the height of the tube. Boundary \( B_6 \) corresponds to the inner surface of the tube: in the reference frame of the ball, this surface has a vertical velocity that is calculated at each time step by the integration of the ordinary differential Eq. (8).

Due to the fact that the ball is taken into account in the geometry of the system and to the finite element method used here, all effects and especially wall effects are considered in the CFD calculation. This is the main difference between the initialization model and the CFD calculation, leading to the variations observed in the values of viscosity given by both methods.

### 2.4. Validation of the experimental technique

A well-defined binary mixture had to be selected in order to validate the technique. In addition, this work was part of a more extended study and this validation had to be simultaneously undertaken for other characteristic techniques such as high-pressure density, \( \text{CO}_2 \) solubility and volumetric expansion measurements [25]. From a literature survey, we selected a few potential candidates. Among them, \( \text{CO}_2 \)-DMSO mixture appeared to be the most appropriate because of its low toxicity, low volatility and because it was the most documented in literature. Moreover, the range of the above-cited properties was possible within the operating range that could be explored for the binary \( \text{CO}_2 \)-cocoa butter. This is however not true for viscosity for which no values neither for DMSO under pressurized \( \text{CO}_2 \) nor for the other candidates could be found. Furthermore, since the apparatus has been designed to operate under \( \text{CO}_2 \) pressure, which has especially no effect on the size of both the glass tube and the aluminium ball, atmospheric comparative measurements could be chosen to validate the technique.

The experimental technique has been validated at atmospheric pressure by comparing the viscosities of pure DMSO at 298.15, 308.15 and 318.15 K with literature data [31] and with rheometer measurements (Fig. 4) done with a dynamic rotational rheometer (Haake Rheostress 600, Thermo electron, Germany) with cone-plate geometry (1° angle cone and 60 mm diameter). Shear stress was recorded according to shear rate, which was varied from 300 to 1000 s\(^{-1}\), at a fixed temperature. Fig. 4 shows that validation measurements with pure DMSO are in good agreement with the literature and the standard rheological measurements. Moreover, whatever the temperature, measurements with the rotational rheometer have shown a linear evolution of shear stress according to shear rate and the correlation coefficient remains higher than 0.9992. These measurements validate the assumption of a Newtonian behaviour of DMSO.

In addition, CB viscosity was measured with the falling ball viscometer for temperatures from 313.15 to 353.15 K and at atmospheric pressure (Table 2). The results obtained were compared with literature data [13] and rheological measurements (Fig. 5). The latter have shown again a linear evolution of shear stress according to shear rate whatever the temperature. Correlation coefficient remains higher than 0.9999. CB is then a Newtonian fluid. Moreover, the logarithm of the viscosity increases linearly with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T ) (K)</th>
<th>( \rho_f ) (kg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( \nu_f ) (mm s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \mu_{\text{sys}} ) (mPa s)</th>
<th>( \mu_f ) (mPa s)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>( Re )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>894.97</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>42.20</td>
<td>45.38 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>888.28</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>32.29 ± 0.88</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>881.59</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>22.91 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>874.90</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>17.54 ± 1.06</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>868.18</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>12.45 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reciprocal temperature. As reminded by Peter and Jakob [32], the corresponding slope is proportional to the activation energy for the change in molecular structure. Considering that CB could be represented by one molecule as a pseudo-component, the deduced activation energy is 27.94 kJ/mol. Fig. 5 shows that all measurements are in agreement. Hence, it confirms that the falling ball viscometer is a reliable technique.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Results obtained for CO$_2$-saturated cocoa butter

Viscosities were measured for scCO$_2$-saturated CB mixture for pressures ranging from 0.1 to 25 MPa at 313.15 and 353.15 K and compared to the results of Venter et al. [13] who performed viscosity measurements using a vibrating quartz viscometer (Figs. 6 and 7).

It can be seen that the viscosity decreases drastically with pressure in a first step. This behaviour has been already observed for binary mixtures [33]. The magnitude of this decrease is 93% at 313.15 K and 85% at 353.15 K. This can be seen in Fig. 8, where the viscosity is plotted against the pressure but also against the CO$_2$ solubility in cocoa butter. These solubility values have been obtained in previous experiments and are expressed in mass fraction [28]. The maximal viscosity reduction is reached at approximately 15 MPa as observed by Venter et al. [13]. This viscosity decrease can be attributed to the increasing CO$_2$ dissolution into the melted media with the pressure (Fig. 8) – this effect being amplified at 313.15 K because of a higher CO$_2$ dissolution at this lower temperature. Beyond 10 MPa, the drop in viscosity slows down and eventually no longer evolves above 15 MPa. This is the reason why the two viscosity curves become closer with the rise in pressure and finally almost identical above 15 MPa. In other words, at pressures below 15 MPa the CO$_2$ dissolution governs the viscosity decrease, whereas at higher pressures, this effect may be counterbalanced by the effect of hydrostatic pressure. The effect of CO$_2$ dissolution in fats on several thermodynamic properties has already been documented, for instance on melting temperature which diminishes at high pressures [34]. It is also noticeable that, as a consequence of the above interpretation at the highest CO$_2$ dissolution levels, the temperature effect on viscosity is far less significant. However, the above interpretation cannot be generalized because the mixture behaviour highly depends on interactions between molecules, which may differ according to the chain length and double bonds number of the fatty acids [32].

As for literature results, Venter et al. used the vibrating quartz technology [13]. It involves the torsional vibration of a cylindrical crystal and can be used in a wide range of viscosities, between 0.01 and 10$^4$ mPa s [13]. The viscous forces exerted by the fluid modify the resonance frequency of the submerged quartz crystal. The signal processing enables to deduce the fluid viscosity from intrinsic characteristics of the crystal (mass and surface). This technology appears to be a straighter method for viscosity determination than the falling ball viscometer. However, no information is given concerning the need for calibration and the calculation assumptions: the limits and the reliability of this technique are unknown.

![Fig. 5. Viscosity of liquid cocoa butter as a function of temperature. Comparison of the falling ball viscometer (FBV) with literature data and rheometer measurements at atmospheric pressure.](image)

![Fig. 6. Viscosity of CO$_2$-saturated cocoa butter as a function of pressure at 313.15 K.](image)

![Fig. 7. Viscosity of CO$_2$-saturated cocoa butter as a function of pressure at 353.15 K.](image)
Concerning the falling ball viscometer, it requires (i) the terminal velocity, (ii) the fluid density and (iii) a CFD simulation. Measurements have been repeated thrice: each one implies one set of five falling ball velocity measurements, which are performed with a single loading of the autoclave. A good precision (±3%) and a good reproducibility with relative standard deviation (RSD) ranging between 0.3% and 2.9% (Tables 3 and 4) have been obtained:

$$\text{RSD} = 100 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mu_{i} - \bar{\mu})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Fig. 9 displays the magnification of the CFD simulation results nearby the ball. This simulation uses the experimental conditions given in the last line of Table 3. The color plot represents isovelocity values and the velocity field is materialized by arrow plots at three different depths: \(z = -R_b, R_b, 2R_b\). The velocity profiles at different distances from the ball are shown in Fig. 10. The fluid flow, one ball radius away from the ball, is already similar to the theoretical Poiseuille flow. Furthermore, the mean velocity of the fluid, constant all along the tube, is not far from the corrected value. In addition, the relative average deviation of the viscosity between the CFD and the initialization model, defined by Eq. (4), is close to 7% for all temperatures. This is another evidence that the equipment characteristics have not been modified by the change in temperature.

### 3.2. Discussion on the falling ball viscometer technique: benefits and limitations

The main assets of this FBV technique are as follows. The FBV does not require any calibration. Indeed, there is no need to determine a constant including several physical parameters linked to the apparatus or to operating conditions such as temperature. All these parameters are explicitly taken into account in the CFD model. In addition, wall and ends effects are intrinsic to the CFD model: the mesh is refined enough in the restricted region between the ball and the inner tube to take them into account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(P (\text{MPa}))</th>
<th>(x_{\text{CO}_2} (%, \text{w/w}))</th>
<th>(\rho_f (\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}))</th>
<th>(v_b (\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\mu_{\text{exit}} (\text{mPa} \cdot \text{s}))</th>
<th>(\bar{\mu}_f (\text{mPa} \cdot \text{s}))</th>
<th>(\text{RSD} (%))</th>
<th>(Re)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>894.97</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>42.20</td>
<td>45.38 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>902.88</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>18.12 ± 0.75</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>909.89</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>8.62 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>911.25</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>920.10</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>925.12</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>930.93</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

Viscosity of CO\(_2\)-saturated cocoa butter by falling ball viscometry at 313 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(P (\text{MPa}))</th>
<th>(x_{\text{CO}_2} (%, \text{w/w}))</th>
<th>(\rho_f (\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}))</th>
<th>(v_b (\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\mu_{\text{exit}} (\text{mPa} \cdot \text{s}))</th>
<th>(\bar{\mu}_f (\text{mPa} \cdot \text{s}))</th>
<th>(\text{RSD} (%))</th>
<th>(Re)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>868.18</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>12.45 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>873.05</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.51 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>876.89</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>883.15</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>884.14</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>887.72</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Viscosity of CO\(_2\)-saturated cocoa butter by falling ball viscometry at 353 K.
Fig. 9. Magnification of the result of CFD simulation using the operating conditions of the Table 3 at $P = 25.22$ MPa. Isovelocities (color plot) and velocity field (arrow plots) at $z = -R_b, R_b, 2R_b$.

The technique proposed is based on the falling of a ball through a tube opened at both ends with a diameter slightly greater than that of the balls. Hence, there is no upflow of liquid from beneath the ball as it is falling. This induces a simplified model compared to the case of a tube closed at the bottom end. In particular, the tube is long enough (tube length to radius ratio is 200) to allow considering a fixed mesh in the referential frame of the ball with a moving inner tube wall.

Sizes of the tube and of the ball have been chosen so that the flow remains laminar, stationary and axial symmetric. For a ball falling in an infinite medium, this flow features correspond to a Reynolds number lower than 210. For a confined flow, as in the present case, this number is greater, but nevertheless the value of 210 has been considered. Hence, CFD modelling can be performed in two-dimensional and axial symmetric space. This induces a limited number of elements for the mesh (15,000 rather than $2.10^6$ for a three-dimensional space) and then a reduced calculating time (around 10 min for each experiment). Furthermore, the viscosity value deduced from the initialization model, which is used as a guessed-value in the CFD calculation, is accurate enough to allow a timesaving calculation.

An investigation of the limits inherent to this technique has been achieved: maximal terminal velocity for our optical device, stationary, laminar and axial symmetric flow limitation ($Re < 210$) and terminal velocity not reached yet at the visualization height.

First, the criteria for the optical limit are the minimal number of images giving a precise value of speed, which is 100, and sufficient image sharpness. As our camera capture speed is 500 fps and the visual height is 7 mm, the maximal velocity of the falling ball is $v_{opt} = 3.5\, \text{m/s}$. In terms of viscosity value, given by Eq. (4), and considering Reynolds number, given by Eq. (A.13), this limitation becomes:

$$\mu_f \geq \frac{1}{6} \frac{(\rho_b - \rho_f) g R^2_b R}{v_{opt} L_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

The fluid viscosity and density domain for which the measurements cannot be performed with the falling ball viscometer for aluminium balls of 2 mm diameter is represented by the curve (1) in Fig. 11.

This optical device implies that the medium be transparent. It is an obvious drawback of this technique but it could be overcome by changing the detection device. In fact, an electromagnetic detection device is being studied. This kind of device has already been used in opaque media such as vegetable oil [17] or hydrocarbon mixtures [16].

Second, the model hypotheses, axial symmetry and stationary flow, require to maintain the Reynolds number below $Re_{max} = 210$. This leads to the second limit (curve (2) in Fig. 11):

$$\mu_f \geq \frac{1}{3} \frac{(\rho_b - \rho_f) g R^2_b R}{Re_{max} L_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

Fig. 10. Comparison between the velocity profiles at different distances from the ball and the Poiseuille’s profile.

Fig. 11. The four operating limitations of the falling ball viscometer.
Third, the flow has to be stationary at the visualization height \( L_i/2 \). Therefore, by considering a safety margin:

\[
v_r \tau_r \leq \frac{L_i}{3}
\]

with:

\[
\tau_r = \frac{(2 \rho_b + \rho_f) R_b^2}{9 \mu_f}
\]

where \( R_b \) is the ball radius, \( \mu_f \) is the fluid viscosity and \( \rho_f \) and \( \rho_b \) are respectively the fluid and ball densities.

Eq. (15) gives the classical relaxation time \( \tau_r \) [35], which is the time for a ball to reach its terminal velocity in an infinite medium at low Reynolds number (\( Re < 210 \)). Together with Eqs. (4) and (14), this relation leads to the third limit for the viscosity (curve (3) in Fig. 11):

\[
\mu_f \geq \frac{1}{3} \frac{R_b}{L_i} \left( \frac{\rho_b - \rho_f}{\rho_f + \frac{1}{3} \rho_f} \right) \frac{g R_b^3}{2}
\]

The fourth and last limit concerns the ball material: its density has to be greater than that of the fluid (curve (4) in Fig. 11). In addition, it has to be non-dilatable and the scCO\(_2\) must not dissolve into it.

Anyway, this viscometer can be used in a wide range of viscosities. For high levels of viscosity, the falling ball time may be long but changing the ball material for a denser one could reduce it.

Finally, for aluminium balls, the two first limits are the most restrictive and it can be seen in Eqs. (12) and (13) that the greater the ball density and radius, the more reduced the operating domain.

4. Conclusion

A falling ball viscometer has been designed to perform viscosity measurements of a liquid phase. This technique can be applied at high pressure to any liquid in equilibrium with a vapour phase.

The original feature of this falling ball viscometer technique consists of a tube opened at both ends. This specificity enables a first viscosity expression (the initialization model) that does not require any adjustable parameter and gives satisfying viscosity estimation. Second, CFD modelling and simulation performed for an accurate viscosity determination are simplified and shortened. This technique requires either the knowledge or an assumption of the rheological behaviour of the fluid. Molten cocoa butter behaving as a Newtonian fluid, the hypothesis of the same rheological behaviour under pressure was made. As in all velocity-based measurement of viscosity, this technique also requires the knowledge of the fluid density.

This viscometer has been validated at atmospheric pressure with DMSO and cocoa butter at 298.15, 308.15 and 318.15 K. Comparative measurements with literature data have been achieved on cocoa butter saturated with CO\(_2\), at 313.15 and 353.15 K and between 0.1 and 25 MPa. All the measurements are in good agreement with literature results obtained with a cocoa butter from the same manufacturer but with a different technique.

For both isotherms tested, in the range 0–15 MPa, results show that, the higher the CO\(_2\) dissolution in the cocoa butter, the lower the viscosity. The viscosity no longer evolves beyond 15 MPa. The maximum relative decrease in viscosity is 93% at 313.15 K and 85% at 353.15 K. The drop in viscosity is more pronounced at 313.15 K because of the greater CO\(_2\) dissolution. The viscosity of both isotherms becomes closer and almost identical beyond 15 MPa. The lowest values are 1.9 mPa s at 313.15 K and 3.1 mPa s at 353.15 K. At the highest CO\(_2\) dissolution levels, the temperature effect is therefore minimised. In addition, at pressures below 15 MPa the CO\(_2\) dissolution governs the viscosity decrease, whereas at higher pressures, this effect may be counterbalanced by the effect of hydrostatic pressure and viscosity no longer evolves.

Given the good reliability of the technique, its implementation in other systems such as organic solvent-CO\(_2\) [25] polymer-CO\(_2\) [8] and ionic liquid-CO\(_2\) is under investigation.

The limitations of the technique have also been evidenced. The device enabled viscosity measurements down to 0.4 mPa s, due to the operating conditions and to the flow regime that has been modelled, which must be laminar, axial symmetric and stationary. This value is strongly dependent on the radii of the ball and the tube, and on the ball density. In addition, for fluids whose density is lower than 1200 kg m\(^{-3}\), the prevailing limitation is the ball velocity, which has to be lower than 35 mm s\(^{-1}\). This is due to the optical device and could be easily overcome by changing this device by a camera with higher resolution and speed. Obviously this technique is also restricted to transparent media. To extend it to opaque media, the velocity measurement device should be changed.
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Appendix A.

The forces exerted on the fluid flowing through the volume \( V_f \) (hatched part in Fig. 12) of surface \( S_f \) are the pressure force, the gravity force and the viscous friction force.

When the ball reaches its terminal velocity, the flow is stationary and the momentum balance expression is:

\[
\int_{S_f} \rho_f v_f (v_f \cdot n) dS = \int_{S_f} -P_n dS + \int_{S_f} \rho_f g dV + \int_{S_f} \sigma \cdot n dS \quad (A.1)
\]

where the surface \( S_f \) of the considered system splits into four domains shown in Fig. 12:

\( S_f = S_t \cup S_o \cup S_a \cup S_b \quad (A.2) \)

Since the flow is stationary, the terms \( \eta f n \) on \( S_t \) and \( \eta f n \) on \( S_o \) are presumed to be opposite. Furthermore, \( \eta f n \) is null on \( S_a \). Lastly, as the ball does not roll, the velocity of the fluid on the surface \( S_b \) is constant and equal to \( -v_f n \). So, in Eq. (A.1), the first term corresponding to the “in-out” momentum balance is null according to the Gauss’ theorem:

\[
\int_{S_o} \rho f (v_f \cdot n) dS = \int_{S_o} (-\rho f v_f n) \cdot (-v_f n \cdot n) dS = 0 \quad (A.3)
\]

In the same way, the second term in Eq. (A.1) splits into four terms on each surface. The resultant force of the terms on \( S_t \) and \( S_a \) corresponds to the hydrostatic pressure force assuming that the fluid is nearly motionless outside the tube. On \( S_b \), the resultant force is null because of the axial symmetry hypothesis. So this pressure force balance becomes:

\[
\int_{S_t} -P_n dS = \left( \rho_f g L_v \right) \pi R_b^2 n_d S_f - F^{(p)} / f_b = \rho_f g V_t e_b - F^{(p)} / f_b \quad (A.5)
\]

where \( F^{(p)} / f_b \) is the pressure force that the fluid exerts on the ball.
The third term in Eq. (A.1) corresponds to the gravity forces:
\[
\int_{V_f} \rho_f g \, dv = -\rho_f g e_z (V_f - V_b) \tag{A.6}
\]

The last term in Eq. (A.1) corresponds to the viscous force:
\[
\int_{S_b} \sigma_{V} \cdot n \, dS = \int_{S_b} \sigma_{V} \cdot e_z \, dS - \int_{S_o} \sigma_{V} \cdot e_z \, dS = -F^{(V)}_{f/b} - F^{(V)}_{f/t} \tag{A.7}
\]

The integrals of \( \sigma_{V} \cdot n \) on the domain \( S_b \) and \( S_o \) are assumed to be opposite. This could be demonstrated in the case of a Newtonian fluid (Eq. 6) since it has been already supposed that \( \nu_1 \cdot n \) on \( S_b \) and on \( S_o \) are opposite.

Thus, after simplifications, the Eq. (A.1) of the momentum balance for the fluid is reduced to:
\[
\rho_f g V_f e_z - F^{(V)}_{f/b} - F^{(V)}_{f/t} = 0 \tag{A.8}
\]

According to the fundamental principle of dynamics applied to a ball that falls at constant velocity without rolling:
\[
\rho_b g V_b e_z = F^{(V)}_{f/t} \tag{A.9}
\]

Thus, the combination of Eqs. (A.8) and (A.9) leads to:
\[
F^{(V)}_{f/t} = - (\rho_b - \rho_f) g V_b e_z \tag{A.10}
\]

In addition the viscous resultant force that the fluid exerts on the tube is linked to the definition of the friction factor \( f \) of flow in circular tubes:
\[
\left| F^{(V)}_{f/t} \right| = (2\pi R_l k) \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_f v^2 \right) f \tag{A.11}
\]

Given that the ball diameter (2 mm) is negligible compared to the tube length (0.2 m), Eq. (A.11) applies all along the tube. The Poiseuille laminar flow implies that the friction factor is linked to the Reynolds number according to the following correlation:
\[
f = \frac{16}{Re} \tag{A.12}
\]

with:
\[
Re = \frac{2\rho_f R_l v_f}{\mu_f} \tag{A.13}
\]

The mean flow rate, far from the ball, is assumed to be the same as the flow rate of the fluid pushed by the falling ball acting as a piston:
\[
\rho_f v_f (\pi R^2_l) = \rho_b v_b (\pi R^2_b) \tag{A.14}
\]

Thus, after simplifications, Eqs. (A.10)–(A.14) lead to:
\[
\mu_f = \frac{1}{6} \left( \rho_b - \rho_f \right) g R_l R^2_b \tag{A.15}
\]
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