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Hybrid Force/Position Control applied to Automated Guiding Tasks at the Microscale

Kanty Rabenorosoa, Student Member, IEEE, Cédric Clévy, Member, IEEE, Philippe Lutz, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Force feedback control constitutes a promising solution for achieving fully automated micro-assembly. In this paper, we report the study of force feedback control used during guiding task. An experimental setup with two sensorized fingers is proposed. It enables to grasp a micropart of 2 mm x 50 µm x 50 µm in size and to estimate the lateral contact force. A simulator is developed to take into account the gripping forces evolution during a lateral perturbation. External hybrid force/position control is chosen for performing automated guiding tasks. Experimental results demonstrate the ability of the implemented controller and suitable design of microsystems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Development of complex microsystems is increasing in accordance with the need on MEMS/MOEMS. To fabricate them, the use of micro-assembly constitutes a promising approach [1], [2], [3] because it notably enables to integrate heterogeneous components issued from incompatible microfabrication processes. At the microscale or even the nanoscale, the use of force control gives helpful information for performing tasks. In fact, fully position based already shows very promising results but does not enable to take into account interaction forces [4] and surface forces like pull-off forces [5] that are greatly influential at the microscale. In addition, it is constrained by difficulty to integrate sensors with high resolution. Force control constitutes an approach with less constraints in workspace and recent developments of force sensor provide tools with the suitable force range (gripping forces, adhesion forces, pull-off forces) for the micromanipulation of microparts (a volume of manipulated microparts often smaller than 0.1 mm³). Interaction forces range is estimated from hundreds of nanoNewtons to a few milliNewtons.

In this aim, microrobotic operations like pushing, gripping, fine alignment, insertion directed by force control are currently investigated [4], [6], [7], [8] and results show the efficiency of tasks based on force control. Due to the recent developments of force sensor in the range of milliNewtons with submicrometric resolution, problems of signal to noise ratio, volume of the sensor, and integration of sensors in the micro-assembly station, there are no relevant works which proposed force feedback control for automated micro-assembly tasks. Considering the guiding task presented in our previous works [9], gripping forces enable to guarantee the stability of a manipulated micropart between both fingers of a microgripper during its guiding in a rail. This kind of task is useful for automated assembly of reconfigurable micro-optical bench presented in [3] but can be applied to various other applications. The model of gripping forces perturbed by lateral contact force was presented. It was shown that the microgripper based on two sensorized fingers enables to measure the lateral contact force between the micropart and the rail. Additionally, the control strategy is established for ensuring that the micropart does not slide or rotate when the lateral contact happens during the guiding. Controlling the position along the rail and the contact force between a grasped micropart and a rail side can be achieved by hybrid force/position control. Our previous works have validated the estimation of lateral contact force, the control strategy, and the control scheme [9]. The objective of this paper is to pursue this promising approach and the control scheme is investigated in order to perform automated guiding task. Hybrid force/position control scheme has been previously studied at the macroscale through torque input control [10] and through inner position control [11], [12]. As far as goes our knowledge, no study has been done for ensuring automated tasks based on this scheme at the microscale. Micropositioning stages that are used on microrobotic manipulator are, in most cases, position controlled. Thus, an external hybrid force/position control based on inner position control is relevant. A complete automated guiding task using external hybrid force/position control is proposed in these works through a simulator development and experimental investigations.

Therefore, an experimental setup based on two sensorized fingers for achieving guiding task is presented. The model of gripping force evolution during lateral contact force is integrated on Matlab-Simulink model. The study of hybrid force/position control is proposed by simulation of the guiding task. Experimental investigations on hybrid control in the considered force range is proposed. This paper is organized as follows: in section II, the experimental setup to achieve guiding tasks is presented. Model integration and simulation results are detailed in section III. In section IV, experimental results are presented and comparison to simulation results is discussed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

In this section, the experimental setup is proposed for performing guiding task (see Fig. 1). It is based on two force sensors from FemtoTools with measuring range ± 2000
µN. Each finger consisting in force sensor works like a jaw of the microgripper and is mounted on $X_{1,2}Y_{1,2}Z_{1,2}$ linear stages. The control of the position of fingers along $Y$ enables to open/close the resulting microgripper. The manipulated micropart is 2000 µm x 50 µm x 50 µm in size. The rail is mounted on a microrobotic structure composed of $X_sY_sZ_s$ coarse positioning, $Y_p$ large range but fine positioning, $X_nY_nZ_n$ fine positioning, and $\theta$ rotation. The large range positioning stage is a P625.1CD from Physik Instrumente with 500 µm travel range and 1.4 nm in resolution. The fine positioning stage is a P-611.3 NanoCube with 100 µm range and 1 nm in resolution. The rotation stage is a SmarAct SR-3610-S with 1.1 µo of resolution. These three devices are sensorized and closed loop controlled. The rail width is adjustable from 0 µm to 1 mm enabling to set up the axial play between the guided micropart and the rail.

Considering the micropart manipulated with this microgripper, initial gripping forces applied by each finger are named preload and noted $F_{y10}, F_{y20}$. They enable to hold the micropart between fingers of the microgripper. The displacement along $X$ enables to position the micropart to the desired position into the rail. When the contact between the rail and the micropart appears, the rail position along $Y$ has to be corrected for canceling the force generated by the contact to preserve the stability and position of the micropart hold by the microgripper. In the following this force is named lateral contact force. It has three components: $F_x, F_y, F_z$ and we consider that $F_x$ and $F_z$ are smaller than $F_y$. In addition, coupling measurements are negligible, thus, $F_y$ can be estimated through static force equilibrium along $Y$ giving $F_y = F_{y2} - F_{y1}$. In the following, the microgripper is fixed and the center of the microgripper is defined by a coordinate frame $X_{micropart}Y_{micropart}Z_{micropart}$. The guiding task is performed by actuating $X_n$ to move forward and by moving $Y_n$ for correcting when the contact happens (see Fig. 2). $Y_p$ is used for creating a perturbation for simulating misalignment of the rail and the guiding axis, nonlinearity of the move forward stage, and the microfabrication tolerance. $X_{rail}Y_{rail}Z_{rail}$ is the coordinate frame of the rail.

### III. Simulation Investigation

In this section, the model of gripping forces evolution when the contact appears (see Fig. 3) is integrated in a Matlab-Simulink model. A hybrid force/position control is proposed and simulator results are presented. These simulation results constitute reference data which will be compared to experimental investigations. Indeed the simulator is based on a reliable and precise model. The simulator facilitates the investigation of parameters influence and reduces the measurement procedures which are difficult at the microscale.

#### A. Model integration

1) Static evolution of gripping forces during the lateral contact: When a contact between the manipulated micropart and the rail appears, gripping forces change according to the contact force. The micropart displacement depends on the contact type between the probe and the micropart. Considering the planar micropart and the plan surface of the microgripper, it was shown in [9] that gripping forces evolution depend on several parameters: microgripper compliance $K_x, K_y, K_z$, microgripper fingers thickness $e_p$, distance of contact $\ell$, preload force... Gripping forces evolution for static case is integrated in a Matlab-Simulink model and takes into...
account the rail width and the micropart width. Results are shown in Fig. 4 with 20 µm rail width, 10 µm micropart width and 200 µN preload. In this simulator based on Matlab-Simulink model, a white noise has been added to signals to make the study closer to the reality (noise has to be taken into account from the beginning of studies at the microscale).

When the perturbation is introduced, the positive contact force appears at \( t = 0.55 \) s and the situation corresponds to Step 3 in Fig. 3.

2) Dynamic behavior: In accordance of the microrobotic structure, the dynamic behavior is investigated and integrated to the simulator. Firstly, the dynamic response of the Nanocube (P-611.3) along \( X \) and \( Y \) is identified using OE (Output Error) model. Transfert functions are obtained and integrated to the simulator (1).

\[
N_Y(s) = N_X(s) = \frac{0.005s^2 + 21.62s + 2212}{s^2 + 66.21s + 2212} \tag{1}
\]

Secondly, the dynamic behavior of the large positioning range P625.1CD is also identified and his resonant frequency with the structure is determined at 35 Hz (2). The simulator result integrating these dynamic models is shown in Fig. 5 with 20 µm rail width, 10 µm micropart width, and 200 µN preload.

\[
H_{P625.1CD}(s) = \frac{57.07s + 3383}{s^2 + 233.3s + 3383} \tag{2}
\]

B. Hybrid force/position control for achieving guiding task

The guiding task is automated by using an external hybrid force/position control. In this aim, the proposed block diagram (Fig. 6) enables to control the position in \( X \) (move forward) and to remove the contact in \( Y \). Indeed, \( X_d = [X, Y, Z] \) is the input position of the 3 DOF robot, \( F_d \) is the input contact force (\( F_d = 0 \) in our case). The matrix of selection \( S \) enables the position control along \( X \) and \( Z \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For avoiding the sliding or rotation of micropart during the contact, it is required to stop the motion along \( X \) and to reduce the contact force under the limit shown in [9] (about 30 µN). The block \( E \) is the “enable control” which stops the motion along \( X \) if the lateral contact force is bigger than this fixed limit. The details of \( E \) are shown in Fig. 7. Position

![Fig. 4. Simulation of the system response in quasi-static: (1) Lateral contact force estimated by \( F_y = F_{y2} - F_{y1} \), (2) gripping forces, and (3) micropart and rail position integrated to the simulator based on Matlab-Simulink model.](image)

![Fig. 5. Simulation of the system response in dynamic \( (Y_n) \): (1) lateral contact force estimation \( (F_y = F_{y2} - F_{y1}) \), (2) gripping forces evolution \( (F_{y1}, F_{y2}) \), (3) micropart position compared to the rail position along \( Y \).](image)

![Fig. 6. Block diagram of the external hybrid force/position control during the guiding task.](image)

![Fig. 7. Detailed of enable control.](image)
Control Laws (PLC) are Proportional Integral controllers. Investigations are focused on the Force Control law (FCL).

C. Simulator results

The use of Incremental Control is proposed for ensuring the control of the contact force. The study is done for different kind of perturbations. The complete system is not considered to be linear time invariant (LTI) due to the play between the micropart and the rail. Thus, conventional studies based on LTI theories are not relevant.

1) Incremental control: In the robotic field, the use of this controller enables easy and fast set up of parameters and reduces risks of breaking microparts or manipulator. It is composed of a dead zone for rejecting the sensor noise measurement (15 µN), the sign operator indicates the direction of the increment, and the memory operation enables the relative positioning. Details are given in Fig. 8.

This controller enables to set the velocity of the correction $V_{corr}$ in accordance with the sampling frequency $F_{sampling}$ and the increment $Step_{incr}$. It can be calculated by $V_{corr} = F_{sampling} \cdot Step_{incr}$.

2) Dynamic behavior: The system response to dynamic perturbations is investigated. The perturbation is generated by introducing a step of 20 µm from $Y_p$ and the system reaction is observed in Fig. 9. The velocity of the correction is fixed at 100 µm/s ($F_{sampling}$=1000 Hz and $Step_{incr}$= 0.1 µm). The incremental control stability is also shown.

The increase of velocity correction induces the reduction of time for canceling the perturbation. This time is limited by the stage dynamic and the smallest time is about 35 ms. $Step_{incr}$ has to be smaller than the play between the rail and the micropart for avoiding a contact apparition on the opposite side of the rail and the start of instabilities. The effectiveness of “enable control” is observed in Fig. 9-(3) -(4), the move forward along $X$ is stopped when the contact force is bigger than the fixed limit (15 µN).

3) Ramp tracking: We have applied a ramp for simulating an alignment default between the rail and the move forward axis. The result is shown in Fig. 10 with 10 µm/s ramp slope. It is observed that $Y_p$ introduces the ramp perturbation and $Y_{rail}$ is maintained at certain distance of $Y_{micropart}$ for ensuring the contact force limit generated by a dead zone (15 µN). The increase of gripping forces compared to the preload is estimated about 15% guaranteeing the stability of the micropart between microgripper fingers.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, experimental investigations are performed when the micropart is grasped with a defined preload. Observed behaviors during simulation will be checked and controller performances (enable control, correction velocity, increment step, sampling frequency) have to be validated. Firstly, the rail width is measured. Thus, the incremental control is used like a force control law in the external hybrid
force/position control and perturbation effects are presented.

A. Rail width measurement

For measuring the rail width, a displacement of the substrate is generated with a fixed velocity and the contact force evolution is observed. The rail width corresponds to the micropart width added with the distance of two contact sides (see Fig. 11). The result of the rail width measurement is shown in Fig. 12. This result is used for illustrating the rail position and the micropart position in the following.

![Fig. 11](image)

**Fig. 11.** Setup measurement of the rail width: (1) right side contact, (2) left side contact.

![Fig. 12](image)

**Fig. 12.** Experimental measurement of rail width. Contacts happen at point A and B, the micropart width is 50 \( \mu \text{m} \), thus the rail width is 139 \( \pm 2 \) \( \mu \text{m} \). (1) lateral contact force estimation \( F_y = F_{y2} - F_{y1} \), (2) displacement along \( Y \).

B. Incremental Control

The objective is to apply this controller on the force control law. The proposed incremental control is implemented on a 1103 Dspace board with a sampling frequency \( F_{\text{sampling}} = 100\text{Hz} \). The perturbation is generated by actuating \( Y_p \) and different step \( \text{Step}_{\text{incr}} \) are tested and the effectiveness of the control based on contact force estimation is validated. Results show that the time for canceling the perturbation is about 900 ms (\( \text{Step}_{\text{incr}} = 0.275 \) \( \mu \text{m} \), \( F_{\text{sampling}} = 100\text{Hz} \)). The displacement of the rail along \( X \) with a velocity of 1.90 \( \mu \text{m/s} \) and 0.55 \( \mu \text{m/s} \) is performed.

The increase of velocity correction induces the reduction of this time and it is measured that it can reach 40 ms. The obtained value is in the same order of the simulation results (35 ms). The effect of the enable control is checked with the force limit fixed at 15 \( \mu \text{N} \). System behaviors observed experimentally correspond to the simulation results.

C. Dynamic perturbation at each side of the rail

The robustness of the guiding task control is tested by introducing a dynamic perturbation during the task. Left side contact and right side contact are generated successively during the move forward motion. The controller is already on at the beginning of the task. The fixed limit is here at 15 \( \mu \text{N} \). Results are shown in Fig. 13.

![Fig. 13](image)

**Fig. 13.** Experimentation of a guiding task: (1) lateral contact force estimation \( F_y = F_{y2} - F_{y1} \), (2) gripping forces evolution for left side and right side contact, (3) move forward displacement with 4 \( \mu \text{m/s} \) velocity, (4) micropart position compared to the rail position along \( Y \) when left side and right side contact happen.

D. Ramp tracking

For simulating an alignment default between the rail and the move forward axis, we introduce a ramp by moving \( Y_p \). During this phase, the controller is always on and can work directly. Results are shown in Fig. 14.

Considering the perturbation displacement and the move forward displacement, an equivalent angle \( \alpha \) of misalignment is estimated to 26.4° by \( \alpha = \tan^{-1}(\Delta Y_p/\Delta X_n) \). It is observed that when the contact occurs, the estimated force gradually increases up to the fixed limit. The controller begins the correction for maintaining this force under the authorized limit. The micropart position is slightly out of the rail during this phase due to the offset contact force induced by the dead zone. If the contact appears in the other side, this offset contact force is about -15 \( \mu \text{N} \). We can also observe that during the guiding task, gripping forces are maintained.
in the tolerable range which enables to avoid the risk of breaking microparts, guarantee the stability of micropart between microgripper fingers. The increase compared to the preload is estimated to 7.9% for 15 μN offset contact force. The position target along X is reached without micropart sliding thus the task is achieved.

V. CONCLUSION
A study of force feedback based guiding task is proposed in this paper. The lateral contact force is estimated by using two sensorized fingers and is used in an external hybrid force/position control. A simulator development based on Matlab-Simulink model is investigated and the use of this simulator enables to set parameters of the controller. It prevents for breaking a part of the system if the control is unstable. The effectiveness of the incremental controller is validated and it was shown that the time for canceling a step perturbation can reach the corrector stage dynamic (≈ 35 ms). This controller depends on sampling frequency and step increment: the magnitude of this step has to be smaller than the play for ensuring the stability. An experimental setup is developed to perform the guiding task. A micropart of 2 mm x 50 μm x 50 μm in size is grasped, the incremental controller is validated by rejecting perturbations at each side of the rail. A ramp tracking with 2 μm/s slope which corresponds to a misalignment (equivalent angle about 26.4°) between the rail and the guiding axis is performed. The slight increase of gripping forces during the task authorizes to perform it with fragile microparts and enables to ensure the stability of the micropart during the guiding (and therefore to ensure the relative positioning between the micropart and the microgripper frame). This whole study shows that the use of hybrid force/position control for achieving automated micro-assembly tasks constitutes an encouraging strategy. The developed simulator constitutes an interesting tool for investigating control domain and will be upgraded by introducing pull-off forces. The estimated contact force is done for 1 DOF and additional force information will be studied for performing complex automated assembly. Results obtained in this work can be extended to others tasks like insertion and micropart position control during joining indeed internal constraints of the glue generates undesired displacements.
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