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RESCALED MOURRE’S COMMUTATOR THEORY, APPLICATION TO SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH OSCILLATING POTENTIAL

GOLÉNIA, SYLVAIN AND JECKO, THIERRY

Abstract. We present a variant of Mourre’s commutator theory. We apply it to prove the limiting absorption principle for Schrödinger operators with a perturbed Wigner-Von Neumann potential at suitable energies. To our knowledge, this result is new since we allow a long range perturbation of the Wigner-Von Neumann potential. Furthermore, we can show that the usual Mourre theory, based on differential inequalities and on the generator of dilations, cannot apply to our Schrödinger operators.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Basic notions and notation 5
2.1. Regularity. 5
2.2. Pseudodifferential calculus. 7
3. Rescaled Mourre theory. 8
3.1. Reduced resolvent. 9
3.2. Special sequences. 9
3.3. Energy estimates. 11
3.4. Application. 12
4. Perturbed Wigner-Von Neumann potentials. 13
4.1. Definitions and regularity. 13
4.2. Energy localization of oscillations. 14
4.3. Usual Mourre estimate. 16
4.4. Rescaled Mourre estimate. 17
5. Usual Mourre theory. 20
Appendix A. Oscillating terms. 24

Date: December 3, 2010.

Key words and phrases. Mourre’s commutator theory, Mourre estimate, limiting absorption principle, continuous spectrum, Schrödinger operators, Wigner-Von Neumann potential.
1. Introduction

Since its introduction in 1980 (cf., [M]), many papers have shown the power of Mourre’s commutator theory to study the point and continuous spectra of a quite wide class of self-adjoint operators. Among others, we refer to BFS, BCHM, CGH, DJ, FH, CGM1, GG2, HuS, IMP, Sa and to the book ABC. One can also find parameter dependent versions of the theory (a semiclassical one for instance) in RoT, W, WZ. Recently it has been extended to (non self-adjoint) dissipative operators (cf., BG, Roy).

In GJ, we introduced a new approach of Mourre’s commutator theory, which is strongly inspired by results in semiclassical analysis (cf., Bu, CJ, J1, J2). In Ge, Gérard gave a very close approach to ours. These approaches furnished an alternative way to develop the original Mourre Theory and do not use differential inequalities.

The aim of the present paper is to present a new theory, which is quite close to Mourre’s commutator theory but relies on slightly different assumptions. It is inspired by the approaches in GJ and in Ge. It is actually a new theory since we can produce an example for which it applies while the strongest versions of Mourre’s commutator theory (cf., ABC, Sa) with the generator of dilations as conjugate operator cannot be applied to it.

Our example is a perturbation of a Schrödinger operator with a Wigner-Von Neumann potential. Furthermore we can allow a long range perturbation which is not covered by previous results in DMR, ReT1, ReT2. A similar situation is considered in MU but at different energies.

Let us now briefly recall Mourre’s commutator theory and present our results. We need some notation and basic notions (see Subsection 3 for details). We consider two self-adjoint (unbounded) operators $H$ and $A$ acting in some complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\| \cdot \|$ denote the norm of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. With the help of bounded operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$, we study spectral properties of $H$, the spectrum $\sigma(H)$ of which is included in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be open intervals of $\mathbb{R}$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $H \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\mathcal{J})$ if for all $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $\mathcal{J}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{itA} \chi(H) e^{itA} f \in \mathcal{H}$ has the usual $\mathcal{C}^k$ regularity. Denote by $E_\mathcal{I}(H)$ the spectral measure of $H$ above $\mathcal{I}$. We say that the *Mourre estimate* holds true for $H$ on $\mathcal{I}$ if there exist $c > 0$ and a compact operator $K$ such that

$$E_\mathcal{I}(H)[H, iA] E_\mathcal{I}(H) \geq E_\mathcal{I}(H)(c + K) E_\mathcal{I}(H),$$

in the form sense on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. In general, the l.h.s. of (1.1) does not extend, as a form, on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ but it is the case if $H \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathcal{J})$ and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}$ (cf., Sa, Ge). We say that the *strict Mourre estimate* holds true if the Mourre estimate (1.1) holds true
with $K = 0$. In the first case (resp. the second case), it turns out that the point spectrum of $H$ is finite (resp. empty) in compact subintervals $I'$ of $I$ if $H \in C^1_J(A)$ and $I \subset J$. The main aim of Mourre’s commutator theory is to show, when the strict Mourre estimate holds true for $H$ on $I$, the following limiting absorption principle (LAP) on compact subintervals $I'$ of $I$. Given such a $I'$ and $s > 1/2$, we say that the LAP, respectively to the triplet $(I', s, A)$, holds true for $H$ if

$$\text{sup}_{Re z \notin I', \text{Im} z \neq 0} \| \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - z)^{-1}\langle A \rangle^{-s} \| < \infty,$$

where $(t) = (1 + |t|^2)^{1/2}$. In that case, it turns out that the spectrum of $H$ is purely absolutely continuous in $I'$ (cf., Theorem XIII.20 in [RS4]). Notice that (1.2) holds true for $s = 0$ if and only if $I' \cap \sigma(H) = \emptyset$.

In [ABG, Sa], such LAPs are derived under a slightly stronger regularity assumption than $H \in C^1_J(A)$ with $I \subset J$. Actually, stronger results are proved. In particular, in the norm topology of bounded operators, one can defined the boundary values of the resolvent:

$$\text{sup}_{Re z \notin I', \text{Im} z \neq 0} \| \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - z)^{-1}\langle A \rangle^{-s} \| < \infty,$$

(1.3) and show some Hölder continuity for them.

Implicit in (1.3) and explicitely in [Gr], one can derive, using $H \in C^2_J(A)$ with $I \subset J$, the LAP (1.2) on $I' = I' \subset I$ from the Mourre estimate (1.1) with $K = 0$ via a strict, rescaled Mourre estimate:

$$E_{I'}(H)[H, i\varphi(A)]E_I(H) \geq c_1 E_I(H)\langle A \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon} E_I(H),$$

(1.4) where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi$ is some appropriate nonnegative, bounded, smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that the l.h.s. of (1.4) is a well defined form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. It seems that the use of such kind of inequality to derive resolvent estimates appears in [J1] for the first time.

Our new idea is to take the strict, rescaled Mourre estimate (1.4) as starting point, instead of the strict Mourre estimate. This costs actually less regularity of $H$ w.r.t. $A$. Precisely, we show

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $I$ be a bounded, open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and assume that $H \in C^1_J(A)$. Assume that, for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0; \varepsilon_0]$, there exists some real borelian bounded function $\varphi$ such that the strict, rescaled Mourre estimate, i.e. (1.4), holds true. Then, for any $s > 1/2$ and for any closed subinterval $I' \subset I$, the LAP (1.2) for $H$ respectively to $(I', s, A)$ holds true.

**Remark 1.2.** Notice that the LAP (1.2) for $H$ respectively to $(I', s, A)$ implies the LAP (1.2) for $H$ respectively to $(I', s', A)$, for any $s' \geq s$. Therefore, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 for $s$ close to $1/2$.

**Remark 1.3.** Using Gérard’s energy method in [Gr], we can upper bound the size of the l.h.s. of (1.2) in terms of the constant $c_1$ appearing in (1.4). See Corollary 1.7.

Actually Theorem 1.1 will follow from the more general result obtained in Theorem 3.4. The new theory that we present here and that we call “rescaled Mourre theory” is essentially a part of the variant of the Mourre theory in [G, GJ]. As such, it is simpler than the usual Mourre theory (it does not use differential inequalities). However, we do not know if such approach gives continuity results on
the boundary values of the resolvent (1.3). We shall give two (almost equivalent) ways to view the new theory (cf., Subsections 3.2 and 3.3).

As announced above, we want to derive the LAP (1.2) (for some $A$) on carefully chosen intervals $I'$ for a certain class of Schrödinger operators. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $H_0$ be the self-adjoint realization of the Laplacian $-\Delta_x$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$. Given $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $k > 0$, the function $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $W(x) = q|\sin k|x||/|x|$ is called the Wigner-Von Neumann potential. We consider another real valued function $V$ satisfying some long range condition (see Section 3 for details) such that the operator $H_1 := H_0 + W + V$ is self-adjoint on the domain of $H_0$. This is our Schrödinger operator with a perturbed Wigner-Von Neumann potential. It is well known that its essential spectrum is $[0; +\infty)$. Now we look for an interval $I' \subset [0; +\infty]$ on which we can get the LAP (1.2). As operator $A$, it is natural to choose the generator of dilations $A_1$, the self-adjoint realization of $(x \cdot \nabla_x + \nabla_x \cdot x)/(2i)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$. Indeed, when $W$ is absent, such LAPs have been derived. As mentioned above, the pure point spectrum $\sigma_{pp}(H_1)$ of $H_1$ has to be empty in $I'$.

There are many papers on the absence of positive eigenvalue for Schrödinger operators: see [GCI, FHHH2, FT, RS4, CFKS]. They do not apply to the present situation because of the behaviour of the Wigner-Von Neumann $W$. One can even show that $k^2/4$ is actually an eigenvalue of $H_1$ for a well chosen, radial, short range potential $V$ (cf., [RS4] p. 223 and [BI]).

In dimension $d = 1$, the eigenvalue at $k^2/4$ is preserved under suitable perturbation (see [CHM]). Furthermore it is proved in [FH, FHHH] that, if $|q| < k$, the usual Mourre estimate (1.1) holds true on compact intervals $I \subset (0; +\infty)$ and there is no eigenvalue in $[0; +\infty]$, and otherwise that, on compact $I \subset (0; +\infty) \setminus \{k^2/4\}$, no eigenvalue is present and the usual Mourre estimate (1.1) holds true. Actually if $k^2/4$ is an eigenvalue of $H_1$ then the usual Mourre estimates cannot hold true on a compact neighbourhood of $k^2/4$, with the generator of dilatation as conjugate operator. This follows from the arguments of the proof of Corollary 2.6 in [FH]. Thus the eigenvalue $k^2/4$ is a threshold.

In dimension $d \geq 1$, we focus on compact intervals $I \subset (0; k^2/4]$. Using pseudodifferential calculus and recycling arguments from [FH], we prove the usual Mourre estimate (1.1) on such $I$, the operator $A$ being $A_1$, the generator of dilations, yielding the finitness of the pure point spectrum $\sigma_{pp}(H_1)$ in $I$. Then, in Theorem 4.14, we derive a strict, rescaled Mourre estimate (1.3) and show that Theorem 4.13 applies, leading to the LAP (1.2). For short range perturbation $V$, we partially recover results from [DMR, ReT1, ReT2] but, in contrast to these papers, we are able to treat a long range perturbation $V$. In [MU], the LAP for long-range perturbations of a larger class of oscillating potentials is obtained at high enough energies. Applying this result in our situation gives the LAP on intervals above some energy $e > k^2/4$. Finally we show that $H_1$ does not have the required regularity w.r.t. the generator of dilations $A_1$ to apply the usual Mourre theory from [ABG, GGM1, Sa]. For the same reason, the derivation of our strict, rescaled Mourre estimate (1.4) for $H_1$ from the corresponding strict Mourre estimate, i.e. (1.1) with $K = 0$, along the lines in [GCI] is not allowed.

We did not optimize our study of Schrödinger operators with oscillating potential. We believe that we can handle more general perturbations. Because of a difficulty explained in Remark 4.5 below, we did not consider intervals $I$ above $k^2/4$ for $d > 1$. However we believe that a variant of the present theory is applicable in this
case. We think that a general study of long range perturbations of the Schrödinger operator with Wigner-Von Neumann potential is interesting in itself and hope to develop it in a forthcoming paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notation and basic but important notions. In Section 3, we show a stronger version of Theorem 1.1, namely Theorem 3.4. In Section 4, we study Schrödinger operators with perturbed Wigner-Von Neumann potentials. In Subsection 4.3, we derive usual Mourre estimates below the “threshold” $k^2/4$. In Subsection 4.4, we essentially apply Theorem 1.1 to our Schrödinger operators. In Section 5, we prove that they cannot be treated by the usual Mourre theory in [ABG, Sa]. In Appendix A, we prove a key pseudodifferential result to control the behaviour of the Wigner-Von Neumann potential (extending a result by [FH] in dimension one). In Appendix B, we review functional calculus for pseudodifferential operators (cf., [Bo1]). In Appendix C, we establish the boundedness of some operator using interpolation. Finally, in Appendix D, we present, in dimension one, a simpler proof of Lemma 5.4, this lemma being used to show that the regularity assumption of the usual Mourre theory is not satisfied by our Schrödinger operators.

**Acknowledgment:** We thank Jean-Michel Bony, Vladimir Georgescu, Ira Herbst, Andreas Knauf, Nicolas Lerner, and Karel Pravda-Starov for fruitful discussions.

2. Basic notions and notation

In this section, we introduce some notation and recall known results. For details, we refer to [ABG, DG, GJ, Sa] on regularity and to [H3, Bo1, Bo2, BC, L] on pseudodifferential calculus.

2.1. Regularity. In the text, we always use the letter $I$ to denote an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. For such $I$, we denote by $\overline{I}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{I}$) its closure (resp. its interior). The scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $H$ is right linear and $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the corresponding norm and also the norm in $B(H)$, the space of bounded operators on $H$. Let $A$ be a self-adjoint operator. Let $T$ be a closed operator. The form $[T, A]$ is defined on $(D(A) \cap D(T)) \times (D(A) \cap D(T))$ by

\[
(f, [T, A]g) = \langle T^* f, Ag \rangle - \langle Af, Tg \rangle.
\]

If $T$ is a bounded operator on $H$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $T \in C^k(A)$ if, for all $f \in H$, the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{itA}Te^{-itA}f \in H$ has the usual $C^k$ regularity. The following characterization is available.

**Proposition 2.1.** ([ABG]). Let $T \in B(H)$. Are equivalent:

1. $T \in C^1(A)$.
2. The form $[T, A]$ defined on $D(A) \times D(A)$ extends to a bounded form on $H \times H$ associated to a bounded operator also denoted by $\text{ad}^1_A(T) := [T, A]$. 
3. $T$ preserves $D(A)$ and the operator $TA - AT$, defined on $D(A)$, extends to a bounded operator on $H$.

It follows that $T \in C^k(A)$ if and only if the iterated commutators $\text{ad}_A^p(T) := [\text{ad}_A^{p-1}(T), A]$ are bounded for $p \leq k$. In particular, for $T \in C^1(A)$, $T \in C^2(A)$ if and only if $[T, A] \in C^1(A)$. 


Let \( H \) be a self-adjoint operator and \( I \) be an open interval. As in the Introduction (Section 1), we say that \( H \) is locally of class \( C^k(A) \) on \( I \), we write \( H \in C^k_A(I) \), if, for all \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(I) \), \( \varphi(H) \in C^k(A) \).

It turns out that \( T \in C^k(A) \) if and only if, for a \( z \) outside \( \sigma(T) \), the spectrum of \( T \), \( (T-z)^{-1} \in C^k(A) \). It is natural to say that \( H \in C^k(A) \) if \( (H-z)^{-1} \in C^k(A) \) for some \( z \notin \sigma(H) \). In that case, \( (H-z)^{-1} \in C^k(A) \), for all \( z \notin \mathbb{R} \). This regularity is stronger than the local one as asserted in the following

**Proposition 2.2.**  
If \( H \in C^k(A) \) then \( H \in C^k_A(I) \) for all open interval \( I \) of \( \mathbb{R} \).

Next we recall Proposition 2.1 in [3] which gives a sufficient condition to get the \( C^1(A) \) regularity for finite range operators.

**Proposition 2.3.**  
If \( f, g \in D(A) \), then the rank one operator \( |f\rangle \langle g| : h \mapsto \langle g, h \rangle f \) is in \( C^1(A) \)

For \( \rho \in \mathbb{R} \), let \( S^\rho \) be the class of functions \( \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[
(2.2) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad C_k(\varphi) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t|^{-\rho+k} \varphi^{(k)}(t) < \infty.
\]

Here \( \varphi^{(k)} \) denotes the \( k \)th derivative of \( \varphi \). Equipped with the semi-norms defined by (2.2), \( S^\rho \) is a Fréchet space. We recall the following result from [4] on almost analytic extension.

**Proposition 2.4.**  
Let \( \varphi \in S^\rho \) with \( \rho \in \mathbb{R} \). There is a smooth function \( \varphi^C : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \), called an almost analytic extension of \( \varphi \), such that, for all \( l \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
(2.3) \quad \varphi^C|_{\mathbb{R}} = \varphi, \quad |\partial^l \varphi^C(z)| \leq c_1 (\text{Re}(z))^{\rho-l} |\text{Im}(z)|^l,
\]

\[
(2.4) \quad \text{supp } \varphi^C \subset \{ x + iy : |y| \leq c_2(x) \},
\]

\[
(2.5) \quad \varphi^C(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{supp } \varphi.
\]

for constants \( c_1, c_2 \) depending on the semi-norms (2.2) of \( \varphi \) in \( S^\rho \).

Next we recall Helffer-Sjöstrand’s functional calculus (cf., [5], [6]). For \( \rho < 0 \) and \( \varphi \in S^\rho \), the bounded operator \( \varphi(A) \) can be recover by Helffer-Sjöstrand’s formula

\[
(2.6) \quad \varphi(A) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial \varphi^C(z)(z - A)^{-1} dz \land d\sigma,
\]

where the integral exists in the norm topology, by (2.3) with \( l = 1 \). This can be extended as shown in

**Proposition 2.5.**  
Let \( \rho \geq 0 \) and \( \varphi \in S^\rho \). Let \( \chi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( \chi = 1 \) near 0 and \( 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \), and, for \( R > 0 \), let \( \chi_R(t) = \chi(t/R) \). For \( f \in D(A^\rho) \), there exists

\[
(2.7) \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial (\varphi \chi_R)^C(z)(z - A)^{-1} f dz \land d\sigma.
\]

This defines the r.h.s. of (2.7) on \( D(A^\rho) \). On this set, (2.8) holds true (in particular (2.7) does not depend on the choice of \( \chi \).
Notice that, for some $c > 0$ and $s \in [0;1]$, there exists some $C > 0$ such that, for all $z = x + iy \in \{a + ib | 0 < |b| \leq c(a)\}$ (like in (2.4)),

$$\| (A)^s (A - z)^{-1} \| \leq C |x|^s |y|^{-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Observing that the self-adjointness assumption on $B$ is useless, we pick from [34] the following result in two parts.

**Proposition 2.6.** ([34]) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\rho < k$, $\varphi \in S^\rho$, and $B$ be a bounded operator in $C^k(A)$. As forms on $D((A)^{k-1}) \times D((A)^{k-1})$,

$$[\varphi(A), B] = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} \varphi^{(j)}(A) \text{ad}_A^j(B) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_x \varphi^C(z)(z - A)^{-k} \text{ad}_A^k(B)(z - A)^{-1} dz \wedge dx. \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, if $\rho \leq 1$, then $B \in C^1(\varphi(A))$.

The rest of the previous expansion is estimated in

**Proposition 2.7.** ([34]) Let $B \in C^k(A)$ bounded. Let $\varphi \in S^\rho$, with $\rho < k$. Let $I_k(\varphi)$ be the rest of the development of order $k$ (2.9) of $[\varphi(A), B]$, namely (2.10). Let $s, s' \geq 0$ such that $s' < 1$, $s < k$, and $\rho + s + s' < k$. Then, for $\varphi$ staying in a bounded subset of $S^\rho$, $\langle A \rangle^s I_k(\varphi(A))^{s'}$ is bounded and there exists a $A$ and $\varphi$ independent constant $C > 0$ such that $\| \langle A \rangle^s I_k(\varphi(A))^{s'} \| \leq C \| \text{ad}_A^k(B) \|$.

We refer to [34] for some generalization of Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 to the case where $B$ is unbounded and $[A,B]_o$ is bounded.

### 2.2. Pseudodifferential calculus.

In this subsection, we briefly review some basic facts about pseudodifferential calculus that we need in the treatment of our Schrödinger operators. We refer to [13] (Chapters 18.1, 18.4, 18.5, and 18.6) for a traditional study of the subject but also to [301], [302], [303], [304] for a modern and powerful version. Two other results are presented in Appendix A and B, respectively.

Denote by $S(M)$ the Schwartz space on the space $M$ and by $\mathcal{F}$ the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$\mathcal{F} u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) \, dx,$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For test functions $u,v \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\Omega(u,v)$ and $\Omega'(u,v)$ be the functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ defined by

$$\Omega(u,v)(x,\xi) = \mathfrak{F}(x) \mathcal{F} u(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi},$$

$$\Omega'(u,v)(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x-y/2) \mathfrak{F}(x+y/2) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \, dy,$$

respectively. Given a distribution $b \in S'(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$, the formal quantities

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} b(x,\xi) v(x) u(y) \, dx dy d\xi,$$

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} b((x+y)/2,\xi) u(x) u(y) \, dx dy d\xi,$$
are defined by the duality brackets $\langle b, \Omega(u, v) \rangle$ and $\langle b, \Omega'(u, v) \rangle$, respectively. They define continuous operators from $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that we denote by $\text{Op} b(x, D_x)$ and $b^w(x, D_x)$ respectively. Sometimes we simply write $\text{Op} b$ and $b^w$, respectively. Choosing on the phase space $T^* \mathbb{R}^d$ a metric $g$ and a weight function $m$ with appropriate properties (cf., admissible metric and weight in [1]), let $S(m, g)$ be the space of smooth functions on $T^* \mathbb{R}^d$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_k > 0$ so that, for all $x^* = (x, \xi) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^d$, all $(t_1, \cdots, t_k) \in (T^* \mathbb{R}^d)^k$,

\begin{equation}
|a^{(k)}(x^*) \cdot (t_1, \cdots, t_k)| \leq c_k m(x^*) g_{x^*}(t_1)^{1/2} \cdots g_{x^*}(t_k)^{1/2}.
\end{equation}

Here, $a^{(k)}$ denotes the $k$-th derivative of $a$. We equip the space $S(m, g)$ with the semi-norms $\| \cdot \|_{\ell, S(m, g)}$ defined by $\max_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} c_k$, where the $c_k$ are the best constants in (2.11). $S(m, g)$ is a Fréchet space. The space of operators $\text{Op} b(x, D_x)$ (resp. $b^w(x, D_x)$) when $b \in S(m, g)$ has nice properties (cf., [13, 14]). We stick here to the following metrics

\begin{equation}
g_{x^*} := \frac{dx^2}{(x)^2} + \frac{d\xi^2}{(\xi)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad (g_0)_{x^*} := \frac{dx^2}{(x)^2} + \frac{d\xi^2}{(\xi)^2},
\end{equation}

and to weights of the form, for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$,

\begin{equation}
m(x^*) := (x)^p \langle \xi \rangle^q, \quad \text{where} \quad x^* = (x, \xi) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

The gain of the calculus associated to each metric in (2.12) is given by

\begin{equation}
h(x^*) := \langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_0(x^*) = \langle \xi \rangle^{-1},
\end{equation}

respectively. We note that $S(m, g) \subset S(m, g_0)$ with continuous injection. Take weights $m_1, m_2$ as in (2.13), let $\tilde{g}$ be $g$ or $g_0$, and denote by $\tilde{h}$ the gain of $\tilde{g}$. For any $a \in S(m_1, \tilde{g})$ and $b \in S(m_2, \tilde{g})$, there are symbols $a \#_r b \in S(m_1, m_2, \tilde{g})$ and a symbol $a \# b \in S(m_1 m_2, \tilde{g})$ such that $\text{Op} a \text{Op} b = \text{Op} (a \#_r b)$ and $a^w b^w = (a \# b)^w$. The maps $(a, b) \mapsto a \#_r b$ and $(a, b) \mapsto a \# b$ are continuous and so are also $(a, b) \mapsto a \#_r b - ab \in S(m_1 m_2 \tilde{h}, \tilde{g})$ and $(a, b) \mapsto a \# b - ab \in S(m_1 m_2 \tilde{h}, \tilde{g})$. If $a \in S(m_1, \tilde{g})$, there exists $c \in S(m_1, \tilde{g})$ such that $a^w = \text{Op} c$. The maps $a \mapsto c$ and $a \mapsto c - a \in S(m_1 m_2 \tilde{h}, \tilde{g})$ are continuous. If $a \in S(1, \tilde{g})$, $a^w$ and $\text{Op} a$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $a \in S(1, \tilde{g})$,

\begin{equation}
\text{Op} a \text{ is compact} \iff a^w \text{ is compact} \iff \lim_{|x^*| \to \infty} a(x^*) = 0.
\end{equation}

3. Rescaled Mourre theory.

In this section, we present our new strategy to get the LAP [2]. As in [3], (see also [8, 9]), we consider a more general version of the LAP, namely the LAP for the reduced resolvent (see (3.1) below). First we make use of a kind of weighted Weyl sequence introduced in [2], that we call “special sequence”. Then we present an adapted version of the method introduced in [2] and based on energy estimates. Both methods are quite close, the latter having the advantage to give an idea of the size of the l.h.s. of (1.3) (resp. (1.2)).
3.1. **Reduced resolvent.** Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto the pure point spectral subspace of $H$ and $P^{\perp} = 1 - P$. For $s \geq 0$ and $I'$ an interval of $\mathbb{R}$, we say that the reduced LAP, respectively to the triplet $(I', s, A)$, holds true for $H$ if

$$\sup_{\Re z \in I', \Im z \neq 0} \| (A)^{-s} (H - z)^{-1} P^{\perp} (A)^{-s} \| < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ containing $I'$ in its interior. Since $(H - z)^{-1} (1 - E_I(H))$ is uniformly bounded for $\Re(z) \in I'$ and $\Im(z) \neq 0$, $\text{(3.1)}$ is equivalent to the same estimate with $P^{\perp}$ replaced by $E_I(H)P^{\perp}$. If no point spectrum is present in $I'$, then $(H - z)^{-1} E_I(H)P^{\perp} = (H - z)^{-1}$ for $\Re z \in I'$ and $\text{(3.1)}$ is equivalent to the usual LAP $\text{(1.2)}$. In $\text{[CGH]}$ and more recently in $\text{[FMS2]}$, it is shown that the reduced LAP can be derived from the Mourre estimate $\text{(1.1)}$. Here, to get the reduced LAP (3.1) as shown in Theorem 3.4 below, we also start from a convenient strict estimate namely a strict, rescaled, projected Mourre estimate like (3.3). We discuss the possibility to derive it from a more general one in Subsection 3.4. Since we work with projected estimates, we need some regularity of $P^{\perp}$ w.r.t. $A$.

3.2. **Special sequences.** We work in a larger framework.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $C$ be an injective, bounded, self-adjoint operator. Let $I'$ be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$.

1. A **special sequence** $(f_n, z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $H$ associated to $(I', C)$ is a sequence $(f_n, z_n) \in (\mathcal{D}(H) \times \mathbb{C})^\mathbb{N}$ such that, for some $\eta \geq 0$, $\Re(z_n) \in I'$, $\Im(z_n) \to 0$, $\|Cf_n\| \to \eta$, $P^{\perp} f_n = f_n$, $(H - z_n)f_n \in \mathcal{D}(C^{-1})$, and $\|C^{-1}(H - z_n)f_n\| \to 0$. The limit $\eta$ is called the **mass** of the special sequence.

2. The reduced LAP, respectively to $(I', C)$, holds true for $H$ if

$$\sup_{\Re z \in I', \Im z \neq 0} \| C(H - z)^{-1} P^{\perp} C \| < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that $\text{(3.4)}$ for $C = (A)^{-s}$ with $s \in ]1/2; 1[$ gives the LAP $\text{(3.1)}$, thanks to Remark $\text{(3.2)}$.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $I'$ be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $C$ be an injective, bounded, self-adjoint operator such that, for some $\chi$, a bounded, borelian function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\chi = 1$ near $I'$, the operator $C \chi(H) P^{\perp} C^{-1}$ extends to a bounded operator. Let $\theta$ be a borelian function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\theta \chi = \chi$. Then the reduced LAP $\text{(3.4)}$ holds true
if and only if, for all special sequence \((f_n, z_n)_n\) for \(H\) associated to \((\mathcal{I}', C)\) such that 
\(\theta(H)f_n = f_n\) for all \(n\), the corresponding mass is zero.

**Proof.** Assume the LAP true on \(\mathcal{I}'\). Then, for any special sequence \((f_n, z_n)_n\) for \(H\) associated to \((\mathcal{I}', C)\),

\[
\|Cf_n\| \leq \|C(H - z_n)^{-1}P^\perp C\| \cdot \|C^{-1}(H - z_n)f_n\|,
\]

yielding \(\eta = 0\). Now assume the LAP false. Then there exists some sequence \((z_n)\) such that \(\text{Re} z_n \in \mathcal{I}', \text{Im} z_n \to 0\), and \(\|C(H - z_n)^{-1}P^\perp C\| \to \infty\). Since \((H - z_n)^{-1}(1 - \chi)(H)\) is uniformly bounded, we can find nonzero \(u_n \in \mathcal{H}\) and \(0 < \kappa_n \to 0\) such that

\[
\|C(H - z_n)^{-1}\lambda(H)P^\perp Cu_n\| = \|u_n\|/\kappa_n.
\]

We set \(f_n := \kappa_n(H - z_n)^{-1}\lambda(H)P^\perp Cu_n/\|u_n\|\). Notice that \(\theta(H)f_n = P^\perp f_n = f_n\) and \(\|Cf_n\| = 1\). Since \(C\chi(H)P^\perp C^{-1}\) is bounded, \(\lambda(H)P^\perp\) preserves \(\mathcal{D}(C^{-1})\), the image of \(C\). Thus \((H - z_n)f_n \in \mathcal{D}(C^{-1})\) and

\[
\|C^{-1}(H - z_n)f_n\| \leq \kappa_n \cdot \|C^{-1}\lambda(H)P^\perp C\| = o(1). \quad \square
\]

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \(\mathcal{I}', C\) be as in Proposition 3.2. Let \((f_n, z_n)_n\) be a special sequence for a self-adjoint operator \(H\) associated to \((\mathcal{I}', C)\). For any bounded operator \(B\), such that \(CBC^{-1}\) extends to a bounded operator,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle f_n, [H, B]f_n \rangle = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since \((f_n, z_n)_n\) is a special sequence and \(CBC^{-1}\) is bounded, \(\langle (H - z_n)f_n, Bf_n \rangle = o(1)\) and \(\langle (H - z_n)f_n, f_n \rangle = o(1)\), thus \(2\text{Im} z_n\|f_n\|^2 = \text{Im} \langle (H - z_n)f_n, f_n \rangle = o(1)\). Therefore

\[
\langle f_n, [H, iB]f_n \rangle = \langle (H - z_n)f_n, iBf_n \rangle - \langle B^* f_n, i(H - z_n)f_n \rangle = -2\text{Im} z_n \cdot \langle f_n, iBf_n \rangle - 2\text{Im} \langle (H - z_n)f_n, Bf_n \rangle = o(1). \quad \square
\]

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be an open interval and \(\mathcal{I}'\) be a closed subinterval of \(\mathcal{I}\). Let \(B, C\) be two bounded self-adjoint operators, \(C\) being injective. Assume that, for some bounded, borelian function \(\chi\) on \(\mathbb{R}\) with \(\chi = 1\) on \(\mathcal{I}'\) and \(\text{supp} \chi \subset \mathcal{I}\), \(C\chi(H)P^\perp C^{-1}\) and \(CBC^{-1}\) extend to bounded operators. Assume further that the following strict rescaled projected Mourre estimate

\[
P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)[H, iB]E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)P^\perp \geq P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)C^2 E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)P^\perp
\]

holds true. Then the LAP \(1.3\) on \(\mathcal{I}'\) holds true.

**Proof.** Let \((f_n, z_n)_n\) be a special sequence for \(H\) associated to \((\mathcal{I}', C)\) such that 
\(E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)f_n = f_n\) for all \(n\). By Proposition 3.2, it suffices to show that the mass \(\eta\) of the special sequence is zero. Letting \(\theta\) act on both sides on \(f_n\), we get

\[
\langle f_n, [H, iB]f_n \rangle \geq \|Cf_n\|^2.
\]

Now Proposition 3.3 yields \(\eta = 0\). \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Thanks to Remark 1.2, we may assume that \(s \in 1/2, 1\] with \(\varepsilon := 2s - 1 \in [0, \varepsilon_0]\). If, for \(f \in \mathcal{D}(H)\) and for \(E \in \mathcal{I}\), \(Hf = Ef\) then, by \((1.3)\), \(0 \geq c_1 \|(A)^{-(1+s)/2}f\|^2\) and \(f = 0\). Thus \(E_{\mathcal{I}}(H)P^\perp = E_{\mathcal{I}'}(H)\) and \((1.2)\) may be
rewritten as (3.3) with \( B = \varphi(A) \) and \( C = \sqrt{\varepsilon I}(A)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} = \sqrt{\varepsilon I}(A)^{-s} \). Notice that the function of \( A \) bounded \(-1\) extends to a bounded operator. Let \( \chi \in C_c(T) \) such that \( \chi = 1 \) on \( T' \). Since \( \chi(H)P^{\pm} = \chi(H)E_{\pm}(H)P^{\pm} = \chi(H)E_{\pm}(H) = \chi(H) \) and \( H \in C_c(T) \), \( \chi(H)P^{\pm} \in C_c(A) \). By Proposition 2.6, \( [\chi(H)P^{\pm}, \langle A \rangle^s] \) extends to a bounded operator. Thus, so does \( \langle A \rangle^{-s}\chi(H)P^{\pm}(A)^s = \langle A \rangle^{-s}[\chi(H)P^{\pm}, \langle A \rangle^s] + \chi(H)P^{\pm} \). This is also true for \( C\chi(H)P^{\pm} \). By Theorem 3.4, (3.7) holds true. Since \( E_{\pm}(H)P^{\pm} = E_{\pm}(H), (H-z)^{-1}P^{\pm} = (H-z)^{-1} \) for \( \text{Re}z \in T' \). Therefore (3.4) yields (3.7).

3.3. Energy estimates. Here we extend a little bit Gérard’s method in [33]. We work in the general framework of Subsection 3.2 and get the following improvements of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let \( \sigma \in \{-1; 1\} \) and choose a real \( \mu \) such that \( \sigma B' \geq 0 \) with \( B' := B + \mu \). Then
\[
\begin{align*}
\sup_{\text{Re}z \in T', -\text{Im}z > 0} \| C(H-z)^{-1}P^{\pm}C \| & \leq 2 \cdot \| \sigma B' C^{-1} \| \cdot \| C^{-1}\chi(H)P^{\pm}C \| + d^{-1} \cdot |1 - \chi|_{\infty} \cdot \| C \|^2, \\
\| Cu \| & \leq \langle u, [H, iB']u \rangle = 2\text{Im}(B'u, (H-z)u) + 2\text{Im}(u, \sigma B'u),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( | \cdot |_{\infty} \) is the \( L^{\infty} \) norm and \( d \) is the distance between the support of \( 1 - \chi \) and \( T' \).

**Remark 3.6.** Note that, for \( \sigma \) and \( B \) as in Theorem 3.5, one can always take \( \mu = \sigma \| B \| \) to ensure \( \sigma (B + \mu) \geq 0 \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.5.** By functional calculus,
\[
\begin{align*}
\| C(H-z)^{-1}(1 - \chi)(H)P^{\pm}C \| & \leq d^{-1}|1 - \chi|_{\infty} \cdot \| C \|^2.
\end{align*}
\]
For \( f \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( z \in C \) with \(-\text{Im}z > 0\), let \( u = (H-z)^{-1}\chi(H)P^{\pm}Cf \). Notice that \( E_{\pm}(H)P^{\pm}u = u \) by (3.3) and a direct computation,
\[
\begin{align*}
|Cu|^2 & \leq \langle u, [H, iB']u \rangle = 2\text{Im}(B'u, (H-z)u) + 2\text{Im}(u, \sigma B'u),
\end{align*}
\]
since \( \sigma B' \geq 0 \). Recall that \( C\chi(H)P^{\pm}C^{-1} \) is bounded. Thus \( (H-z)u \in D(C^{-1}) \).

In particular, since \( C\chi(H)P^{\pm}C^{-1} = CBC^{-1} + \mu \) is bounded,
\[
\begin{align*}
|Cu|^2 & \leq 2\text{Im}(C\chi(H)P^{\pm}C^{-1}, (H-z)u) \\
& \leq 2\| C\chi(H)P^{\pm}C^{-1} \| \cdot \| C^{-1}(H-z)u \|,
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
|Cu| & \leq 2\| C\chi(H)P^{\pm}C^{-1} \| \cdot \| C^{-1}(H-z)u \|,
\end{align*}
\]
(3.9) follows from (3.8) and (3.9).

**Corollary 3.7.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, take \( s > 1/2, \sigma \in \{-1; 1\}, \) and \( \chi \in C_c(T) \) with \( \chi = 1 \) on \( T' \). Choose a real \( \mu \) such that \( \sigma B' \geq 0 \) with \( B' := \varphi(A) + \mu \). Then the l.h.s. of (3.2) is bounded by the r.h.s. of (3.7) for \( C = \sqrt{\varepsilon I}(A)^{-s} \) with \( 1/2 < s' < 1, 2s' - 1 \leq \varepsilon_0 \), and \( s' \leq s \).

**Proof.** Combine the proof of Theorem 1.1 at the end of Subsection 3.2 with Theorem 3.5. \( \square \)
Corollary 3.8. Let the weight function \( K \) be compact. Then, for any \( c > 0 \), we may assume that (3.1) holds true. By Remark 1.2, we may assume that (3.1) holds true. By Theorem 3.4, we obtain (3.4) with \( I \) replaced by some open interval containing \( c \).

3.4. Application. In practice, it is natural to try to derive a strict, rescaled, projected Mourre estimate (1.4) from a similar estimate containing some compact perturbation. Precisely, (3.3) should follow from (3.10)

\[
P^\perp E_T(H) | H, i\varphi(A) | E_T(H) P^\perp \geq E_T(H) P^\perp (A)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (c + K)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} P^\perp E_T(H),
\]

for some compact operator \( K \) and \( c > 0 \). But to remove the influence of the compact \( K \) using (3.3), we need to commute \( P^\perp E_T(H) \) (or a regularized version of it) through the weight \( (A)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \). We are able to do this in the following situation.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be an open interval. Assume that, for all \( \theta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{I}; \mathbb{C}), P^\perp \theta(H) \in \mathcal{C}^1(A) \). Let \( \varepsilon_0 \in [0; 1] \). Assume further that, for all \( \varepsilon \in [0; \varepsilon_0] \), there exist \( c > 0 \) and a compact operator \( K \) such that, for all \( R \geq 1 \), there exists a real bounded borelian function \( \varphi_R \) such that the rescaled projected Mourre estimate

\[
P^\perp E_T(H) | H, i\varphi_R(A/R) | E_T(H) P^\perp \geq P^\perp E_T(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (c + K)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} E_T(H) P^\perp
\]

holds true. Then, for any \( s > 1/2 \) and for any compact subinterval \( \mathcal{I}' \) of \( \mathcal{I} \), the reduced LAP (3.1) holds true. For \( \varepsilon \), we may assume that \( s \in [1/2; 1] \) such that \( \varepsilon := 2s - 1 \in [0; \varepsilon_0] \).

By compactness of \( \mathcal{I} \), it is sufficient to show that, for any \( \lambda \in \mathcal{I}' \), (3.3) holds true with \( \mathcal{I}' \) replaced by some open interval containing \( \lambda \). It is enough to get (3.3) with (3.1) replaced by (3.3). Since \( K \) in (3.1) is compact, we can use (3.3) to find \( \chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{I}; \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \chi = 1 \) near \( \lambda \) and \( \| P^\perp \chi | H \|^2 (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \) (where \( c \) appears in (3.3)). Let \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) be an open subinterval of \( \mathcal{I}' \) containing \( \lambda \). From (3.1), we get, for all \( R \geq 1 \),

\[
P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) | H, i\varphi_R(A/R) | E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) P^\perp \geq P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (3c/4 + (1 - P^\perp \chi | H)) K (1 - P^\perp \chi | H)) P^\perp (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) P^\perp.
\]

Since \( 1 - P^\perp \chi | H = (1 - \chi) | H + P\chi | H \),

\[
P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (1 - P^\perp \chi | H)) = -P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) [(A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}] P^\perp \chi | H)
\]

\[
= -P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (A/R)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} [(A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}] P^\perp \chi | H)
\]

\[
= -P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \cdot B_R,
\]

where \( \| B_R \| = O(1/R) \) by Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 (with \( k = 1 \)). Taking \( R \) large enough (but fixed), we derive from (3.12) the strict, rescaled, projected Mourre estimate

\[
P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) | H, i\varphi_R(A/R) | E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) P^\perp \geq \frac{c}{2} P^\perp E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) (A/R)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} E_{\mathcal{I}_1}(H) P^\perp.
\]

By Theorem 3.4, we obtain (3.4) with \( C = (A/R)^{-s} \) on some neighbourhood of \( \lambda \), yielding (3.1) with \( A \) replaced by \( A/R \).

In this section, we apply our new theory to some special Schrödinger operators (see Theorem 4.1). As explained in Section 4.1, we want to derive, on suitable intervals, a usual Mourre estimate (in Subsection 4.4) and a rescaled, projected Mourre estimate (in Subsection 4.3) for the Schrödinger operator $H_1$, see (4.2).

4.1. Definitions and regularity. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\| \cdot \|$ the right linear scalar product and the norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of squared integrable, complex functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Recall that $H_0$ is the self-adjoint realization of the Laplace operator $-\Delta$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that the Wigner-Von Neumann potential $W : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $W(x) = q\sin k|x|/|x|$, with $k > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Now we add to $W$ the multiplication operator by the sum $V = V_s + V_t$ of real-valued functions, $V_s$ has short range, and $V_t$ has long range. Precisely we require

**Assumption 4.1.** The functions $V_t$, $(x)V_s$, and the distribution $x \cdot \nabla V_t(x)$ belong to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Under this assumption, the operator $H_1 := H_0 + W + V = -\Delta + q\sin(k|x|)/|x| + V_s + V_t$ is self-adjoint on the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ of $H_0$, namely the Sobolev space $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $P_1$ be the orthogonal projection onto the pure point spectral subspace of $H_1$ and $P_1^+ = 1 - P_1$.

Consider the strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group $\{W_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ acting by:

$$W_t f(x) = e^{dt/2} f(e^t x), \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

This is the $C_0$-group of dilations. A direct computation shows that

$$W_t H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

The generator of this group is the self-adjoint operator $A_1$, given by the closure of $(D_x \cdot x + x \cdot D_x)/2$ on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For these reasons, the operator $A_1$ is called the generator of dilatations.

The form $[W, iA_1]$ (defined on $\mathcal{D}(A_1) \times \mathcal{D}(A_1)$) extends to a bounded form associated to the multiplication operator by the function $W - W_1$, where

$$W_1(x) = qk(\cos k|x|) = (qk/2) \cdot (e^{ik|x|} + e^{-ik|x|}).$$

In particular, $W \in C^1(A_1)$ by Proposition 2.3. Furthermore we have

**Proposition 4.2.** $H_0 \in C^2(A_1)$. Under Assumption 4.1, $H_1 \in C^1(A_1)$.

**Proof.** We use Section 3. As form on $\mathcal{D}(A_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$, $[H_0, iA_1]|_0 = 2H_0$. In particular, (3.4) holds true with $A = A_1$ and $H = H_0$. By (3.4) and Theorem 5.3, $H_0 \in C^1(A_1)$ and $[H_0, iA_1]|_0 = 2H_0$. For $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, $R_0(z) := (H_0 - z)^{-1}$ belongs to $C^1(A_1)$. Using (5.3) with $A = A_1$ and $H = H_0$, we see that the form $[R_0(z), iA_1]|_0$ on $\mathcal{D}(A_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ extends to bounded one. Thus $R_0(z) \in C^2(A_1)$ and $H_0 \in C^2(A_1)$.

Since $\mathcal{D}(H_1) = \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ by Assumption 4.1, $H_1 \in C^1(A_1)$ follows from (4.3) and Theorem 5.2 if (5.2) holds true with $A = A_1$ and $H = H_1$. We consider the
Lemma 4.3. Let \( \chi \) be such that \( 0 < \chi < 1 \) near 0 and \( \chi = 1 \) near infinity. If \( \theta \) is supported in \( \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < \lambda \} \) for \( \lambda > k^2/4 \), then the same smallness as in [FH] is valid as stated in Lemma 4.3. However, if \( \theta \) lives in a small enough compact interval \( I \subset [0; k^2/4] \), then the same smallness as in [FH] is valid as stated in Lemma 4.3 below. The proof combines an idea in [FH] with pseudodifferential calculus (see Subsection 2.2 for notation). In the sequel, we shall write \( \hat{x} \) for \( x/|x| \).

4.2. Energy localization of oscillations. To prepare the derivation of Mourre estimates, we take advantage of some “smallness” of energy localizations of \( W_1 \) of the form \( \theta(W_1)W_1\theta(W_0) \), extending a result by [FH] in dimension one. As seen in [FH], this term is not expected to be small if \( \theta \) is localized near \( k^2/4 \). Using pseudodifferential calculus, one have the same impression if \( d \geq 2 \) and if \( \theta \) is supported in \((-k^2/4; +\infty)\) [see Remark 4.5]. However, if \( \theta \) lives in a small enough compact interval \( I \subset [0; k^2/4] \), then the same smallness as in [FH] is valid as stated in Lemma 4.3 below. The proof combines an idea in [FH] with pseudodifferential calculus (see Subsection 2.2 for notation). In the sequel, we shall write \( \hat{x} \) for \( x/|x| \).

Lemma 4.3. Let \( \lambda \in [0; k^2/4] \). Recall that \( g \) is given by (4.4), and \( W_1 \) by (4.5). Take \( \chi_1 \in C^\infty([0; k^2/4]) \) such that \( \chi_1 = 0 \) near 0 and \( \chi_1 = 1 \) near infinity, and set \( e_{\pm}(x) = \chi_1(x)e_{\pm ik|x|} \). For \( \theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with small enough support about \( \lambda \), there exist symbols \( b_0, b_1, \sigma \in S((x)^{-1}\xi^{-1}, g) \), for \( j \in \{1; 2\} \) and \( \sigma \in \{+,-\} \), such that

\[
\theta(W_1)W_1\theta(W_0) = b_{1,+}e_+ + b_{1,-}e_- + \theta(W_0)(e_+b_{2,+} + e_-b_{2,-}) + b_0^w.
\]

In particular, \( \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon \theta(W_1)W_1\theta(W_0) \) is compact on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), for \( \varepsilon \in [0; 1] \).

Remark 4.4. Since \( \tau W_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), if \( \tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( \tau = 1 \) near 0, Lemma 4.3 holds true when \( W_1 \) is replaced by \( (1-\tau)W_1 \). In dimension \( d = 1 \), this result is proved in [FH], and it also holds true if \( \lambda > k^2/4 \).

Our proof below covers also this case.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We note that \( \theta(W_0) - \theta(W_1)W_1\theta(W_0) = b_0^w \) with \( b_0 \in S\langle(x)^{-1}\xi^{-1}, g \rangle \). By (4.4) and the proof of Proposition 4.4, we can find \( \chi_3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \chi_3 = 0 \) near 0 and \( \chi_3 = 1 \) near infinity, and \( b_{1,\sigma} \in S\langle(x)^{-1}\xi^{-1}, g \rangle \), for \( j \in \{0; 2\} \) and \( \sigma \in \{+,-\} \), such that

\[
2(qk)^{-1}\theta(W_0)\chi_3 W_1\theta(W_0)
= \theta(W_0)\left(\theta(\xi + k\hat{x}^2)\chi_3(x)\right)^w e_+ + \theta(\xi + k\hat{x}^2)\chi_3(x)\right)^w e_-
+ \theta(W_0)\left(b_{0,+}^w e_+ + b_{0,-}^w e_- + e_+ b_{2,+}^w + e_- b_{2,-}^w \right)
= \left(\theta(\xi^2)\theta(\xi + k\hat{x}^2)\chi_3(x)\right)^w e_+ + \theta(\xi^2)\theta(\xi + k\hat{x}^2)\chi_3(x)\right)^w e_-
+ b_{0,+}^w e_+ + b_{0,-}^w e_- + \theta(W_0)(e_+ b_{2,+}^w + e_- b_{2,-}^w),
\]

by composition. Now we choose the support of \( \theta \) small enough about \( \lambda \) such that \( \theta(\xi^2)\theta(\xi + k\hat{x}^2) = 0 \), for all \( x \neq 0 \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \). This is possible since \( 0 \leq \lambda < k^2/4 \), see Figure 1. This yields (4.5).
Proposition 4.8) and of the strict, rescaled Mourre estimate (cf., Subsection 4.4) do not vanish anymore, see Figure 2. In this case, our proofs of the Mourre estimate (cf., 4.5 and 
\[
(\langle | \cdot |^2 \rangle - \langle | - k \tilde{x} |^2 \rangle) \cap [0, k^2/4].
\]
By Appendix 3, \(\langle A_1 \rangle^\epsilon \langle D_x \rangle^{-\epsilon} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\epsilon} \) extends to a bounded operator. For \(b \in S(\langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, g)\), there exists \(b \in S(\langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, g)\) such that \(\langle D_x \rangle^\epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^\epsilon b^w = b^w_1\) and \(b^w\) is compact by (2.13). This implies that \(\langle A_1 \rangle^\epsilon \theta(H_0) W_1 \theta(H_0)\) is compact since we can write \(\theta(H_0) e_+ b^w_{2+} = \theta(H_0) \langle x \rangle^{-1} e_+ \langle x \rangle b^w_{2+}\) with \(\langle x \rangle b^w_{2+}\) bounded and \(\theta(H_0) \langle x \rangle^{-1} = b^w\) with \(b \in S(\langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, g)\).

Remark 4.5. If \(\lambda > k^2/4\) and \(d > 1\), the first two terms on the r.h.s. of (4.8) do not vanish anymore, see Figure 3. In this case, our proofs of the Mourre estimate (cf., Proposition 4.8) and of the strict, rescaled Mourre estimate (cf., Subsection 4.4) do not work.

Figure 1. \(\text{supp } \varphi \subset [0, k^2/4]\).

Figure 2. \(\text{supp } \varphi \subset [k^2/4, +\infty]\).
In dimension $d = 1$, we note that the first two terms on the r.h.s. of (4.8) do vanish as soon as $\lambda \neq k^2/4$. See Figures 1 and 2 and recall that $\xi \in (0, \bar{t})$. We recover a result in [FH].

### 4.3. Usual Mourre estimate.

Now we derive the Mourre estimate (4.6) below $k^2/4$ under the following strengthening of Assumption 4.1.

**Assumption 4.6.** The functions $V_\ell$, $(x)V_\ell$, and the distribution $x \cdot \nabla V_\ell(x)$ belong to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^d)$ and, as operator of multiplication, compact from $H^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d)$.

**Lemma 4.7.** Under Assumption 4.6, $\varphi(H_1) - \varphi(H_0)$ is compact from $H^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d)$, for $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** Using (2.4), one has $(\varphi(H_1) - \varphi(H_0))\langle H_0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_w \varphi^c(z)(z - H_1)^{-1}\langle H_1 \rangle (H_1)^{-1}(W + V_z + V_\ell)(z - H_0)^{-1}\langle H_0 \rangle dz \wedge d\bar{z}$.

For $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, the integrand is compact. Using (2.8), the integral converges in norm. Hence it is also compact. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 4.8.** Under Assumption 4.6, for any open interval $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathbb{T} \subset [0; k^2/4]$, the Mourre estimate $(4.6)$ holds true for $H = H_1$ and $A = A_1$. In particular, the point spectrum $\sigma_{pp}(H_1)$ of $H_1$ is finite in $\mathcal{I}$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show the Mourre estimate on some compact neighborhood of any $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$. Take such a $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ and let $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}; [0, 1])$ such that $\theta = 1$ near $\lambda$. By Lemma 4.3, we can choose the support of $\theta$ such that $\theta(H_0)W_1\theta(H_0)$ is compact. Like in the proof of Proposition 4.2, as form on $\mathcal{D}(H_0) \cap \mathcal{D}(A_1) \times \mathcal{D}(H_0) \cap \mathcal{D}(A_1)$,

$$[H_0 + V_1iA_1] = 2H_0 - x \cdot \nabla V_1 - \nabla \cdot x(x)^{-1}x V_0 - \langle x \rangle V_0(x)^{-1}x \cdot \nabla.$$

We recall that $[W_1iA_1]_\theta = W - W_1$. Hence $[H_1, iA_1]_\theta$ is bounded from $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ to $\mathcal{D}(H_0)^\ast$. Moreover, using Lemma 4.3, we get that the bounded operator $\theta/H_1[H_1, iA_1]_\theta(H_1)$ is equal to $\theta(H_0)(2H_0 - W_1)\theta(H_0)$, up to some compact operator. Then, by choice of the support of $\theta$, there exist $c > 0$ and compact operators $K, K'$ such that

$$\theta(H_1)[H_1, iA_1]_\theta(H_1) \geq c \theta(H_0)^2 + K' \geq c \theta(H_1)^2 + K.$$

This yields the Mourre estimate near $\lambda$. \hfill \Box

As explained in Subsection 5.1, we need some information on possible eigenvalues embedded in the interval on which the LAP takes place. Recall that $P_1$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the pure point spectral subspace of $H_1$.

**Proposition 4.9.** Under Assumption 4.6, take an open interval $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathbb{T} \subset [0; k^2/4]$ such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$, $\ker(H_1 - \mu) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_1)$. Then $E_{\mathbb{T}}(H_1)P_1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(A_1)$.

**Proof.** The validity of the usual Mourre estimate on $\mathcal{I}$ (obtained in Proposition 4.8) ensures that the point spectrum is finite in $\mathcal{I}$. Thus $E_{\mathbb{T}}(H_1)P_1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(A_1)$, by Proposition 4.3. \hfill \Box

We now explain how to check the hypothesis $\ker(H_1 - \mu) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_1)$. The abstract Theorems given in [FMS] do not apply here because of low regularity of $H_1$. 

Lemma 4.10. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap D((x)^{2n}) \) then \( \nabla_x v \in D((x)^n) \).

**Proof.** Define \( \Phi(x) = n \ln(x) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and let \( R > 1 \). Using Green’s formula, we can show that

\[
\int_{|x| \leq R} |\nabla(e^\Phi v)|^2 \, dx = a(R) + Re \int_{|x| \leq R} e^{2\Phi}(-\Delta v + |\nabla\Phi|^2 v) \, dx,
\]

where the term \( a(R) \) contains surface integrals on \( \{|x| = R\} \) and tends to 0 as \( R \to \infty \), thanks to \( v \in D((x)^{2n}) \) and \( v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Since \( v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the last term in (4.7) converges as \( R \to \infty \), yielding \( \nabla(e^\Phi v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Since \( e^\Phi v \nabla \Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \langle x \rangle^\alpha \nabla v = e^\Phi v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.11.** Under Assumption 4.13, let \( u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \lambda \in ]0; k^2/4[ \) such that \( (H_1 - \lambda)u = 0 \). Then \( u \in D(A_1) \). Moreover, if \( V \) is \( u \), then \( u = 0 \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.8, the usual Mourre estimate holds true near \( \lambda \). Thus, one can apply Theorem 2.1 in [14]. Therefore \( u \in D((x)^n) \), for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). By Lemma 4.11, \( D^n u \in D((x)^n) \), for all \( n \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \) with \( |\alpha| \leq 1 \). In particular, \( x \cdot \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( u \in D(A_1) \). If \( V = 0 \), we can apply Theorem 14.7.2 in [12] to \( u \) yielding \( u = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Remark 4.12.** If the potential \( V = V_0 + V_1 \) belongs to \( C^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for some integer \( m > d/2 \) then, by elliptic regularity, any eigenvector \( u \) of \( H_1 \) belongs to \( C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). In particular, by Lemma 4.11, Proposition 1.14 applies to any open interval \( \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \mathcal{I} \subset ]0; k^2/4[ \).

4.4. **Rescaled Mourre estimate.** Here we establish for \( H_1 \) a projected, rescaled Mourre estimate like (3.11) in order to prove a limiting absorption principle (cf., Theorem 4.14). To this end, we use the following assumption, which is stronger than Assumption 4.6.

**Assumption 4.13.** For some \( \rho_0 \in ]0; 1[ \), the functions \( \langle x \rangle^{\rho_0} V_\ell, \langle x \rangle^{1+\rho_0} V_s, \) and the distribution \( \langle x \rangle^{\rho_0} x \cdot \nabla V_\ell(x) \) belong to \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

Now we take an open interval \( \mathcal{I} \subset ]0; k^2/4[ \) such that, for all \( \mu \in \mathcal{I}, \text{Ker}(H_1 - \mu) \subset D(A_1) \). Let \( \theta, \chi, \tau \in C^\infty_c([0; k^2/4[) \) such that \( \tau \chi = \chi, \chi \theta = \theta, \) and \( \theta = 1 \) near \( \mathcal{I} \). Later we shall adjust the size of the support of \( \chi \). By Proposition 4.12 and (3.7), \( \chi(H_1) \in C^4(\mathbb{A}_1) \). Since \( E_{\tau}(H_1) P_{\nu} \in C^4(\mathbb{A}_1) \) by Proposition 4.14, \( \chi(H_1) P_{\nu} = \chi(H_1) - \chi(H_1) E_{\tau}(H_1) P_{\nu} \) belongs to \( C^4(\mathbb{A}_1) \). We claim that, for \( \varepsilon \in ]0; \rho_0[ \),

\[
(\theta(H_1) - \theta(H_0))^{\varepsilon} \text{ is compact.}
\]

For \( z \notin \mathbb{R}, \ (z - H_0)^{-1} = r_z^\nu \) where \( r_z \) satisfies (3.3) with \( m = (\xi^2) \). By composition, we can find, for all \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}, C_{\ell} > 0 \) and \( N_{\ell} \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( z \notin \mathbb{R}, \)

\[
\Vert \langle x \rangle^{-m} \#r_z \#(\langle x \rangle^{\xi^2}) \Vert_{L^\infty([e^{-m(\xi^2)} - 2, 0] \cap \mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\ell} (z)^{N_{\ell} + 1} |\text{Im}(z)|^{\nu - N_{\ell} - 1}.
\]

By Lemma 3.1, \( (\langle x \rangle^{\nu} D_{\nu})^{-\varepsilon} \langle A_1 \rangle^{\varepsilon} \) is bounded. Now since \( \theta(H_1) - \theta(H_0) = \sum_{\ell} \alpha \theta \theta^{\varepsilon}(z - H_1)^{-1}(w + V_0 + V_0)(z - H_0)^{-1} \, dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \)
we see that \((\theta(H_1) - \theta(H_0))\langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon\) is equal to a norm convergent integral of compact operators, thanks to Assumption 4.13, 2.15, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5. This yields (4.8).

Let \(s \in ]1/2; 1[\) and \(\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be defined by

\[
\psi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \langle u \rangle^{-2s} \, du.
\]

Note that \(\psi \in \mathcal{S}^0\). Let \(R \geq 1\). As forms, using the fact that \(H_1 \tau(H_1)\) is a bounded operator and belongs to \(C^1(A_1)\) and using (4.9),

\[
F := P_1^+ \theta(H_1) \langle H_1, iA_1/R \rangle \theta(H_1) P_1^+ = P_1^+ \theta(H_1)(H_1 \tau(H_1), i\psi(A_1/R) \theta(H_1) P_1^+ = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_C \partial_s \psi^C(z) P_1^+ \theta(H_1)(z - A_1/R)^{-1} [H_1 \tau(H_1), iA_1/R] (z - A_1/R)^{-1} \theta(H_1) P_1^+ dz \wedge d\sigma.
\]

Since \(\chi(H_1) P_1^+ \in C^1(A_1)\),

\[
[\chi(H_1) P_1^+, (z - A_1/R)^{-1}] = (z - A_1/R)^{-1} [\chi(H_1) P_1^+, A_1/R] (z - A_1/R)^{-1}.
\]

Using (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), for some uniformly bounded \(B_1\),

\[
F = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_C \partial_s \psi^C(z) P_1^+ \theta(H_1)(z - A_1/R)^{-1} P_1^+ \chi(H_1)[H_1 \tau(H_1), iA_1/R] \chi(H_1) P_1^+(z - A_1/R)^{-1} \theta(H_1) P_1^+ dz \wedge d\sigma
\]

(4.10) + \(P_1^+ \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-\varepsilon} B_1 \langle A_1/R \rangle^{-\varepsilon} \theta(H_1) P_1^+\).

Let \(\varepsilon = \min(\rho_0/2, 1/2) \in ]0, 1[\). Using (1.8),

\[
G := P_1^+ \chi(H_1) [H_1, iA_1/R] \chi(H_1) P_1^+ = P_1^+ \chi(H_1)[H_1, iA_1/R] \chi(H_1) P_1^+ + \chi(H_1) K_1 R^{-1} B_2 \langle A_1/R \rangle^{-\varepsilon} P_1^+ - \tau(H_1) [H_1, iA_1/R] (\chi(H_1) - \chi(H_0)) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon
\]

is uniformly bounded. Similarly,

\[
G = P_1^+ \chi(H_0)[H_1, iA_1/R] \chi(H_0) P_1^+ + P_1^+ \chi(H_1) K_1 R^{-1} B_2 \langle A_1/R \rangle^{-\varepsilon} P_1^+ + \tau(H_0) W \tau(H_0) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon
\]

(4.11) + \(P_1^+ \langle A_1/R \rangle^{-\varepsilon} B_2 K_2 R^{-1} \chi(H_0) P_1^+\),

with \(K_2\) compact. Now we take the support of \(\tau\) small enough such that \(\tau(H_0) W \tau(H_0) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon\) is compact. Writing

\[
\tau(H_0) W \tau(H_0) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon = \tau(H_0) W (x) \cdot b^{w} \cdot \langle x \rangle^{\varepsilon} (D_{2})^{-\varepsilon} \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon
\]

with \(b \in S(\langle x \rangle^{\varepsilon-1}(D_{2})^{-1})\), \(\tau(H_0) W \tau(H_0) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon\) is compact by (2.13) and Lemma [C.3]. Using \(\nabla_x \cdot x = d + x \cdot \nabla_x\),

\[
\tau(H_0) [V_x, iA_1] \tau(H_0) = \tau(H_0) V_x x \cdot \nabla_x \tau(H_0) + d \tau(H_0) V_x \tau(H_0) + \tau(H_0) \nabla_x \cdot V_x x \tau(H_0).
\]

Thus \(\tau(H_0) [V_x, iA_1] \tau(H_0) \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon\) is also compact. Therefore, there exist a compact \(K_3\) and uniformly bounded \(B_3\) such that

\[
\chi(H_0) [W + V_x, iA_1/R] \chi(H_0) = \langle A_1 \rangle^\varepsilon [K_3 \chi(H_0)] B_3(A_1/R)^{-\varepsilon} \chi(H_0).
\]
Inserting this information in (4.11) and using \( \chi(H_0)[H_0, iA_1/R]\chi(H_0) = 2R^{-1}H_0\chi^2(H_0) \), we rewrite (4.10) as

\[
F = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_C \partial_x \psi^\varepsilon(z) P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(z - A_1/R)^{-1} P_1^\varepsilon 2R^{-1} H_0 \chi^2(H_0) \\
+ P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-s} (R^{-2}B_4 + R^{-1}K_4)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon,
\]

(4.12)

with compact \( K_4 \) such that, for some \( c_1 > 0 \),

\[
||K_4|| \leq c_1(||P_1^\varepsilon \chi(H_1)K_1|| + ||K_2\chi(H_0)|| + ||\chi(H_0)K_3||).
\]

Now we commute \((z - A_1/R)^{-1}\) with \(P_1^\varepsilon 2R^{-1}H_0\chi^2(H_0)P_1^\varepsilon\) and use previous arguments to arrive at

\[
F = P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-s} 2R^{-1} H_0 \chi^2(H_0) \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon \\
+ P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-s} (R^{-2}B_4 + R^{-1}K_4)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon,
\]

where \( B_4 \) is uniformly bounded. Using \( \psi(t) = (t)^{-2s} \) and commuting again, this yields

\[
F \geq 2R^{-1} c_2 P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1) \chi^2(H_0) \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon \\
+ P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1) (A_1/R)^{-s} (R^{-2}B_4 + R^{-1}K_4)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon,
\]

(4.13)

Using (4.8) again, we get, with \( K_5 = \chi^2(H_0) - \chi^2(H_1) \) compact,

\[
F \geq 2R^{-1} c_2 P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1) (A_1/R)^{-s} \chi^2(H_1) \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon \\
+ P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1) (A_1/R)^{-s} (R^{-2}B_4 + R^{-1}K_4)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon \\
F \geq 2R^{-1} c_2 P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1) (A_1/R)^{-s} \chi^2(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon \\
+ P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon.
\]

Now we possibly decrease again the support of \( \chi \) to ensure that \( ||K_4|| + ||K_5\chi(H_1)P_1^\varepsilon|| \leq c_2 \) (see (4.13)). Then we choose \( R > 1 \) large enough such that

\[
F \geq (2R)^{-1} c_2 P_1^\varepsilon \theta(H_1)(A_1/R)^{-s} \theta(H_1) P_1^\varepsilon.
\]

Letting act on both sides of this inequality the projector \( E_\varepsilon(H_1) \) and recalling the definition of \( F \), we get the projected, rescaled Mourre estimate (3.3) with \( H = H_1 \), \( P = P_1 \), \( B = \psi(A_1/R) \), and \( C = \sqrt{c_2/(2\varepsilon)}(A_1/R)^{-s} \). By Theorem 3.4, we obtain

**Theorem 4.14.** Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} [0; k^2/4] \) and suppose that Assumption (3.3) is satisfied. Take a small enough, open interval \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z} [0; k^2/4] \) about \( \lambda \) such that, for all \( \mu \in \mathcal{I} \), \( \text{Ker}(H_1 - \mu) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_1) \). Then, for any \( s > 1/2 \) and any interval \( \mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I} \), the reduced LAP (3.3) for \( H_1 \) respectively to \( (\mathcal{I}', s, A_1) \) holds true.

**Remark 4.15.** Of course, a compactness argument shows that we can remove the smallness condition on \( \mathcal{I} \).

In dimension \( d = 1 \), the proof of Theorem 4.14 works also if \( \lambda > k^2/4 \), thanks to Remark 4.4.

If \( \mathcal{I} \) contains an eigenvalue \( \mu \) of \( H_1 \), the condition \( \text{Ker}(H_1 - \mu) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_1) \) is satisfied if \( V = V_s + V_t \) is smooth enough (cf., Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.12).

We also can show an estimate like in (3.7) in Theorem 3.5.
5. Usual Mourre theory.

In this section, we explain why the usual Mourre theory with conjugate operator $A_1$ cannot be applied to $H_1$, our Schrödinger operator with oscillating potential. We have proved that $H_1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(A_1)$ and established a Mourre estimate for $H_1$ w.r.t. $A_1$, see Propositions 4.2 and 4.8. However, in order to apply the standard Mourre theory, one has to prove that $H_1$ is in a better class of regularity w.r.t. $A_1$. In this section, we prove that this is not the case. On the other hand, a consequence of Theorem 4.14 is that under the Assumption 4.13, the operator $H_1$ has no singularly continuous spectrum. By abstract means, see [ABG], there exists a conjugate operator $\tilde{A}$, such that $H_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{A})$ and such that a strict Mourre estimate holds true for $H_1$, w.r.t. $\tilde{A}$, on every interval that contains neither an eigenvalue nor $\{0, k^2/4\}$. It seems very difficult to find explicitly $\tilde{A}$.

We first continue the description of different classes of regularity appearing in the Mourre theory that we began in Subsection 2.1. We refer again to [ABG], [GG1], [GG2] for more details. Recall that a self-adjoint operator $H$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}^1(A)$ if, for some (hence for all) $z \notin \sigma(H)$, the bounded operator $(H-z)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^1(A)$. Lemma 6.2.9 and Theorem 6.2.10 in [ABC] gives the following characterization of this regularity:

**Theorem 5.1.** ([ABC]) Let $A$ and $H$ be two self-adjoint operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $z \notin \sigma(H)$, set $R(z) := (H-z)^{-1}$. The following points are equivalent:

1. $H \in \mathcal{C}^1(A)$.
2. For one (then for all) $z \notin \sigma(H)$, there is a finite $c$ such that
   \begin{equation}
   \langle [Af, R(z)f] - \langle R(z)f, Af] \rangle \leq c \|f\|^2, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(A).
   \end{equation}
3. a. There is a finite $c$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}(H)$:
   \begin{equation}
   \|\langle Af, Hf \rangle - \langle Hf, Af \rangle\| \leq c (\|Hf\|^2 + \|f\|^2).
   \end{equation}
   b. The set $\{f \in \mathcal{D}(A); \, R(z)f \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ and } R(z)f \in \mathcal{D}(A)\}$ is a core for $A$, for some (then for all) $z \notin \sigma(H)$.

Note that the condition (3b) could be uneasy to check, see [GG1]. We mention [GM] [Lemma A.2] to overcome this subtlety. Note that (5.1) yields the commutator $[A, R(z)]$ extends to a bounded operator, in the form sense. We shall denote the extension by $[A, R(z)]_c$. In the same way, from (5.2), the commutator $[H, A]$ extends to a unique element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$ denoted by $[H, A]_c$. Moreover, when $H \in \mathcal{C}^1(A)$,

\begin{equation}
A, (H-z)^{-1}]_c = \frac{(H-z)^{-1}}{\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{D}(H)} \quad [H, A]_c = \frac{(H-z)^{-1}}{\mathcal{D}(H) \leftarrow \mathcal{D}(H) \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}.
\end{equation}

Here we use the Riesz lemma to identify $\mathcal{H}$ with its antidual $\mathcal{H}^*$. It turns out that an easier characterization is available if the domain of $H$ is conserved under the action of the unitary group generated by $A$.

**Theorem 5.2.** ([ABC]) Let $A$ and $H$ be two self-adjoint operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $e^{itH} \mathcal{D}(H) \subset \mathcal{D}(H)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $H \in \mathcal{C}^1(A)$ if and only if (5.2) holds true.
We need to introduce others classes inside $C^1(A)$. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the space of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We say that $T \in C^{1,u}(A)$ if the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{itA}Te^{-itA} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has the usual $C^1$ regularity. We say that $T \in C^{1,1}(A)$ if

\begin{equation}
\int_0^1 \|[[T, e^{itA}], e^{itA}]\| t^{-2} dt < \infty.
\end{equation}

We say that $T \in C^{1+0}(A)$ if $T \in C^1(A)$ and

\begin{equation}
\int_{-1}^1 \|e^{itA}[T, A]e^{-itA}\| |t|^{-1} dt < \infty.
\end{equation}

It turns out that

\begin{equation}
C^2(A) \subset C^{1+0}(A) \subset C^{1,1}(A) \subset C^{1,u}(A) \subset C^1(A).
\end{equation}

Given a self-adjoint operator $H$ and an open interval $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, we consider the corresponding local classes defined by: $H \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$ if, for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I})$, $\varphi(H) \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$. We say that $H \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$ if, for some $z \notin \sigma(H)$, $R(z) \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$. Proposition 2.3 also works for the new classes: for all open interval $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I})$,

\begin{equation}
H \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A) \implies \varphi(H) \in C^1_{\mathcal{I}}(A).
\end{equation}

In $\mathbb{R}^d$, the LAP is obtained for $H \in C^{1}(A)$ and this class is shown to be optimal among the global classes (see the end of Section 7.B). In $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $H \in C^{1+0}_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$, the LAP is obtained on compact subinterval of $\mathcal{I}$. It is expected that the class $C^{1,1}_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$ is sufficient. Section 7.B in $\mathbb{R}^d$ again shows that one cannot use in general a bigger local class to get the LAP. Now we explore the regularity properties of $H_1$ under Assumption 4.6. For any open interval $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, $H_1$ would belong to $C^{1,1}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$ then, by (5.6) and (5.7), $H_1$ would belong to $C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$ for any open interval $\mathcal{I} \subset [0; +\infty[$. If $H_1$ would belong to $C^{1+0}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$ or even to $C^{1,1}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$, for some open interval $\mathcal{I} \subset [0; +\infty[$, then $H_1$ would belong to $C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$ by (5.6). In both cases, this would contradict:

**Proposition 5.3.** Assume Assumption 4.4. For any open subinterval $\mathcal{I}$ of $[0; +\infty[$, $H_1 \notin C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$.

**Proof.** Take such an interval $\mathcal{I}$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I})$. By Proposition 4.4, (4.6), and (5.7), $\varphi(H_0) \in C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$. Assume that $\varphi(H_1) \in C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$. Then $K := \varphi(H_1) - \varphi(H_0) \in C^{1,u}_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1)$ and $K$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$, thanks to Lemma 4.7. Thus

\[
[K, iA_1] = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} e^{-itA_1} Ke^{itA_1} - K
\]

in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+))$ and $[K, iA_1]$ is also compact. So is $B[K, iA_1]B'$, for any $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+))$. This contradicts Lemma 4.4 below.

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume Assumption 4.4. For any open interval $\mathcal{I} \subset [0; +\infty[$, there exist a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{I})$ and bounded operators $B, B'$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ such that $B\varphi(H_1) - \varphi(H_0), iA_1]B'$ is not compact on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$. We refer to Appendix B for a proof of this Lemma for $d = 1$, which does not rely on some pseudo-differential calculus.
At this point, we use pseudodifferential technics and, in particular, results in Appendix A. By Proposition 4.2, in particular, results in Appendix A. By Proposition 4.2 and (2.10), we set 

$$B_1 := [(\varphi(H_1) - \varphi(H_0), iA_1]$$

is bounded. Furthermore, thanks to (2.9) and (2.10), in norm, we have

$$B_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)((z - H_1)^{-1} - (z - H_0)^{-1}, iA_1] dz \wedge d\xi,$$

(5.8)

by the resolvent formula. For \(C, D \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\), we write \(C \simeq D\) if \(C - D\) is a compact on \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\). Since \(H_1, W, V \in C^1(A_i)\), we can expand the previous commutator and get

\([ (z - H_1)^{-1}(W + V) - (z - H_0)^{-1}, iA_1] \simeq (z - H_1)^{-1}[W + V, iA_1]_o(z - H_0)^{-1},\)

see the proof of Proposition 4.8. Furthermore the contribution in (5.8) of the compact correction is absolutely integrable in norm thanks to Assumption 4.6, (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5). This leads to

$$B_1 \simeq \frac{i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)(z - H_1)^{-1}[W + V, iA_1]_o(z - H_0)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi.$$

By Assumption 4.4 and (4.4), we obtain \((z - H_1)^{-1}[W + V, iA_1]_o(z - H_0)^{-1} \simeq -(z - H_1)^{-1}W_1(z - H_0)^{-1}\), thus

$$B_1 \simeq \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)(z - H_1)^{-1}W_1(z - H_0)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi.$$

Using again the resolvent equation, we arrive at:

$$B_1 \simeq \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)(z - H_0)^{-1}W_1(z - H_0)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi.$$  

At this point, we use pseudodifferential technics and, in particular, results in Appendix A. We point out that, in dimension \(d = 1\), one may follow elementary arguments (without pseudodifferential calculus) that we give in Appendix A. Since \((x)^{-1}(H_0)^{-1}\) is compact for \(x \in [0; 1]\), so is also

$$\frac{-i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)(z - H_0)^{-1}W_1(z - H_0)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi,$$

if \(C(x)^\varepsilon\) is bounded, thanks to (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.8) with \(A\) replaced by \(H_0\). Therefore

$$B_1 \simeq \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int \partial_x \varphi^c(z)(z - H_0)^{-1}\chi_1W_1(z - H_0)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi$$

with \(\chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \chi_1 = 0\) near 0, and \(\chi_1 = 1\) near infinity. For \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\), let \(e_\pm(x) = \chi_1(x)e^{\pm ik|x|}\). In particular, by (4.4), \((\chi_1W_1)(x) = kq^{-1}(e_+(x) + e_-(x))\). Now we apply Proposition 4.1 to \(a(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 \in S(|\xi|^2, g)\). By the proof of Proposition 4.1, \(a_\pm\) can be chosen real and \(a_\pm^w\) is self-adjoint. Using the resolvents of \(a_\pm^w\) and \(a^w\), for all \(z \not\in \mathbb{R}\),

$$e_\pm(z - H_0)^{-1} = e_\pm(z - a^w)^{-1} = (z - a^w_\pm)^{-1}e_\pm

+ (z - a^w_\pm)^{-1}(e_\pm b^w_\pm + c^w_\pm e_\pm)(z - a^w)^{-1}.$$  

(5.12)
Using the same argument as in (5.10), we obtain from (5.11)
\[ B_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_{\sigma} \varphi^c(z) (z - H_0)^{-1} (z - a_{w}^{-1} e_{\sigma})^{-1} dz \wedge d\sigma. \]
According to [Bo1] (see Appendix B), \((z - H_0)^{-1} = p_{w}^{-1} \) and \((z - a_{w}^{-1})^{-1} = p_{w}^{-1} \) where the symbols \(p_{w}, p_{\sigma, z}\) belong to \(S((\xi)^{-2}, g)\) and satisfy (B.3) with \(m = (\xi)^{2}\). Using the continuity of the map \(S((\xi)^{-2}, g)^{2} \ni (r, t) \mapsto r#t - rt \in S((\xi)^{-2}, g)\), we can find, for all \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}, C_{\ell} > 0\) and \(N_{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}\) such that
\[ ||p_{w}^{-1} - p_{\sigma, z}||_{S((\xi)^{-4}, g)} \leq C_{\ell}(\xi)^{N_{\ell} + 1} |\text{Im}(\xi)|^{-N_{\ell} - 1}. \]
Using (2.3), (2.4), and (2.7), we see that, for \(\sigma \in \{+, -\}, \)
\[ \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_{\sigma} \varphi^c(z) (p_{w}^{-1} - p_{\sigma, z}) dz \wedge d\sigma \]
converges in \(S((\xi)^{-4}, g) = S((\xi)^{-4}, (\xi)^{-5}, g)\). Thanks to (2.7),
\[ B_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \frac{-i}{2\pi} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_{\sigma} \varphi^c(z) (z - |\xi|^2)^{-1} (z - a_{\sigma}(x, \xi))^{-1} dz \wedge d\sigma \right)^{w} e_{\sigma}. \]
We take \(b \in S(1, g)\) such that \(b \chi_1 = b\). By the previous arguments,
\[ b^{w} B_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_{\sigma} \varphi^c(z) b(x, \xi) (z - |\xi|^2)^{-1} (z - a_{\sigma}(x, \xi))^{-1} dz \wedge d\sigma \right)^{w} \]
(5.13)
\[ \frac{-i}{2\pi} e^{i\sigma |x|}. \]
Now we choose \(\varphi\) with a small enough support near some \(\lambda \in I\) and \(b \in S(1, g)\) such that \(b(x, \xi) = \chi_4(x) b_{0}(\hat{x}, \xi)\), \(\chi_4 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) with \(\chi_4 = 0\) near 0 and \(\chi_4 = 1\) near infinity, \(\varphi(|\xi|^2) b_{0}(\hat{x}, \xi) = \varphi(|\xi + k\hat{x}|^2) b_{0}(\hat{x}, \xi)\), \(b_{0} = 0\) near \(\xi \cdot \hat{x} = \pm k/2\), and such that \(\varphi(|\xi - k\hat{x}|^2) b_{0}(\hat{x}, \xi)\) is nonzero, see Figure 3. In the last requirement, we use the fact that \(I \subset [0; +\infty]\). Note that, on the support of \(b_{0}(\hat{x}, \xi)\) and for \(|x|\) large
enough, \( |\xi|^2 - |\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2 \) does not vanish, for \( \sigma \in \{+, -\} \). In particular, in this region,
\[
(z - |\xi|^2)^{-1}(z - a_\sigma(x, \xi))^{-1}
\]
\[
= (|\xi|^2 - |\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2)^{-1}(z - |\xi|^2)^{-1}(|\xi|^2 - |\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2)(z - a_\sigma(x, \xi))^{-1}
\]
\[
= (|\xi|^2 - |\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2)^{-1}((z - |\xi|^2)^{-1} - (z - a_\sigma(x, \xi))^{-1}).
\]
Inserting this in (5.13) and using the support properties of \( b \) and \( \varphi \),
\[
b^w B_1 \simeq 2^{-1} \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \left( b(x, \xi)(|\xi|^2 - |\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2)^{-1}
\right.
\]
\[
\cdot \left( \varphi(|\xi|^2) - \varphi(|\xi + \sigma \hat{k}|^2) \right)^w e^{i\sigma k|x|}
\]
\[
\simeq -2^{-1} \left( b(x, \xi)(|\xi|^2 - |\xi - \hat{k}|^2)^{-1} \varphi(|\xi - \hat{k}|^2) \right)^w e^{-i|\xi|}. \]
Setting \( B = b^w \) and \( B' = e^{ik|x|} \), we see that \( BB_1 B' \simeq e^w \) where the symbol \( c \in S(1, g) \) and does not tend to 0 at infinity. By (2.13), \( e^w \) and also \( BB_1 B' \) are not compact.

### Appendix A. Oscillating terms.

In our study of Schrödinger operator with a perturbed Wigner-Von Neumann potential (see Section 4), we need a good understanding of operator compositions like \( a^w \chi_1 W_1 \), where \( a \in S(m, g) \), \( g \) given by (2.12), \( m \) given by (2.13), \( W_1 \) given by (4.4), and where \( \chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \chi_1 = 0 \) near 0 and \( \chi_1 = 1 \) near infinity. More precisely, we are looking for an explicit operator \( A \) such that \( a^w \chi_1 W_1 = A + b^w_1 B_1 + b^w_2 B_2 \), where \( B_1, B_2 \) are bounded operators and \( b_1, b_2 \in S(m(x)^{-1}(\xi)^{-1}, g_0) \) (\( g_0 \) given in (2.12)). Notice that \( a \in S(m, g_0) \) and \( \chi_1 W_1 \in S(x)^{-1}, g_0 \). But the symbolic calculus associated to \( g_0 \) is not well suited for our analysis, in particular to guarantee \( b_1, b_2 \in S(m(x)^{-1}(\xi)^{-1}, g_0) \). Taking into account the special form of \( W_1 \), we provide the previous decomposition with \( b_1, b_2 \in S(m(x)^{-1}(\xi)^{-1}, g) \), using standard arguments of pseudodifferential calculus. In Appendix B, we give a simpler result in dimension \( d = 1 \) that essentially follows from facts used in (11).

For \( m \) of the form (2.13), we denote by \( S(m(x)^{-\infty}, g) \) the intersection of all classes \( S(m(x)^k, g) \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). We denote by \( S(-\infty, g) \) the intersection of all classes \( S(m, g) \) with \( m \) satisfying (2.13). It suffices to study \( a^w e^\pm \) where \( e^\pm(x) = \chi_1(x)e^{\pm ik|x|} \). To this end, we shall use the oscillatory integrals defined in Theorem 7.8.2, p. 237, in (11), which actually works for symbols in the classes \( S(m, g) \) we consider here.

We also can view them as tempered distributions. Note that usual operations on integrals (like integration by parts or change of variable) are valid for oscillatory integrals.

**Proposition A.1.** Let \( a \in S(m, g) \) with \( m \) given by (2.13) and \( g \) given by (2.12). Let \( e^\pm \) be the functions defined just above. Then there exist symbols \( a^\pm \in S(m, g) \), \( b^\pm \in S(m(x)^{-\infty}, g) \), and \( c^\pm \in S(mh, g) \) (with \( h \) defined in (2.13)), such that \( e^\pm a^w = a^\pm e^\pm + c^\pm b^\pm e^\pm + e^\pm c^\pm \) and such that \( a^\pm(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi + k|x|^{-1}) \), if \( \chi_1(x) \neq 0 \).
Proof. Let \( \chi_2, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \chi_2 = 0 \) and \( \chi_2 = 0 \) near \( 0, \chi_2 \chi_1 = \chi_1 \), and 
\( \chi_2(1 - \chi_2) = 0 \). Notice that \( \chi_2 \chi_1 = \chi_1 \).
Writing \( e^{\pm A_2} = e^\pm A_2(x + 1 - \chi_2) = 
\) \( e^\pm A_2 e^{\mp ik|x|} e_\pm + e^\pm \chi_2 A_2(1 - \chi_2) \), we get
\[ (A.1) \]
\[
e^\pm A_2 = e^\pm A_2 e^{\mp ik|x|} e_\pm + e^\pm b^w
\]
where \( b := \chi_2 # a \# (1 - \chi_2) \in S(-\infty, g) \), since \( \chi_2(1 - \chi_2) = 0 \). For \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the Schwarz space on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), using an oscillatory integral in the \( \xi \) variable,
\[
f_1(x) := (e^\pm A_2 e^{\mp ik|x|} f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\xi)} a((x+y)/2; \xi) \chi_1(x) e^{\pm ik|x|} f(y) dyd\xi
\]
\[
\cdot \chi_2(y) e^{\mp ik|y|} f(y) dyd\xi = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\xi)\pm ik(|x| - |y|)} a((x+y)/2; \xi) \chi_1(x) f(y) dyd\xi.
\]
We take \( \varepsilon \in [0; 1/4] \) and \( \tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \tau(t) = 1 \) if \( |t| \leq 1 - 4\varepsilon \) and \( \tau(t) = 0 \) if \( |t| \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon \). We insert \( \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) + 1 - \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) \) into the previous expression of \( f_1 \) and call \( f_2 \) (resp. \( f_3 \)) the integral containing \( \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) \) (resp. \( 1 - \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) \)). On the support of \( \chi_1(x) \chi_2(y) \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) \), \( |x-y| \leq (1 - 2\varepsilon) \). We can choose the support of \( \chi_1 \) such that, on the support of \( \chi_1(x) \chi_2(y) \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1}) \), \( |x-y| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)|x| \). In particular, on this support, \( 0 \) does not belong the segment \( [x; y] \) and, for all \( t \in [0; 1] \),
\[ (A.2) \]
\[
u(t; x, y) := |tx + (1 - t)y| \geq |x| - (1 - t)|y - x| \geq \varepsilon |x|.
\]
For \( x \neq y \), \( L_{x,y,D_\xi} e^{i(x-y,\xi)\pm ik(|x| - |y|)} = e^{i(x-y,\xi)\pm ik(|x| - |y|)} \) for \( L_{x,y,D_\xi} = |x - \\
\]
\( y|^{-2}(x - y) \cdot D_\xi \). Thus, for all \( p \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
f_3(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\xi)\pm ik(|x| - |y|)} \chi_1(x) \chi_2(y) (1 - \tau(|x-y|(|x|^{-1})) \chi_2(y)) f(y) dyd\xi
\]
\[
\cdot (L_{x,y,D_\xi}^p a((x+y)/2; \xi)) f(y) dyd\xi
\]
\[ (A.3) \]
\[
(b_\varepsilon^w f)(x),
\]
with \( b_3 \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty, g) \).

Lemma A.2. Take \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( 0 \) does not belong the segment \( [x; y] \). Then,
\[ (A.4) \]
\[
|x - y| = \langle v(1/2; x, y) + r(x, y), x - y \rangle
\]
where \( v(t; x, y) = (tx + (1 - t)y)/|tx + (1 - t)y| \) for \( t \in [0; 1] \), and where
\[ (A.5) \]
\[
r(x, y) := \int ((1 - t)1_{[1/2,1]}(t) - t1_{[0,1/2]}(t)) \partial_t v(t; x, y) dt
\]
satisfies \( |r(x, y)| \leq 2 \).

Proof. It suffices to use the Taylor expansion with integral rest for the function \( u(\cdot; x, y) \) defined in \( (A.2) \) between 0 and 1/2 and between 1/2 and 1. \( \Box \)
By Lemma \ref{lem:2}, we can rewrite \( f_2(x) \) as
\[
 f_2(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{ix-y,\xi}(v(1/2,x,y) + r(x,y))) \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1}) 
\]
\[
 \cdot a((x+y)/2;\xi)f(y) dyd\xi 
\]
\[
 = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\eta)} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1}) 
\]
\[
 \cdot a((x+y)/2;\eta) \mp k(v(1/2,x,y) + r(x,y)))f(y) dyd\xi, 
\]
after the change of variable \( \eta = \xi \mp k(v(1/2,x,y) + r(x,y)) \). Now we use a Taylor expansion of \( a \) with integral rest in the \( \xi \) variable:
\[
a((x+y)/2;\eta) = a((x+y)/2;\eta) \mp k(v(1/2,x,y) + r(x,y)))
\]
\[
 + \int_0^1 dt \left( \nabla_\xi a((x+y)/2;\eta) \mp k(v(1/2,x,y) + r(x,y))) \right) . 
\]

According to this decomposition, we split \( f_2(x) \) in \( f_4(x) + f_5(x) \). Since there exists some \( \delta > 0 \) such that, on the support of \( \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1}), \|(x+y)/2| \geq \delta|x| \), we can find a function \( \chi_3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \chi_3 = 0 \) near 0 and
\[
 \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1})(1 - \chi_3((x+y)/2)) = 0. 
\]
Setting \( a_\pm(x,\eta) = \chi_3(x)a(x,\eta \mp k\eta) \), we obtain that
\[
 f_2(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\eta)} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1}) 
\]
\[
 \cdot a_\pm((x+y)/2;\eta)f(y) dyd\xi + f_5(x) 
\]
\[
 = \chi_1(x)(a_\pm f)(x) + f_5(x) , 
\]
(A.6)
\[
 \text{with } b_2 \in \mathcal{S}(m(x)^{-\infty},g). 
\]
Using the fact that, for all \( x \neq 0 \) and all \( \eta \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d, ||\eta + k\hat{\eta} \mp |\eta|| \leq k \), we see, by a direct computation, that \( a_\pm \in \mathcal{S}(m,g). \)

Now we study \( f_5 \). Given a vector \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \), let \( A(v) = I - \langle v,\cdot \rangle v \) (where \( I \) denotes the identity on \( \mathbb{R}^d \)). If \( 0 \) does not belong to the segment \( [x,y] \), \( \partial_t v(t;x,y) = (u(t;x,y))^{-1}A(v(t;x,y)) \cdot (x-y), \) where \( v(t;x,y) \) (resp. \( u(t;x,y) \)) is defined in Lemma \ref{lem:3} (resp. \ref{lem:4}). Thus, using \ref{lem:2} with \( \kappa(s) := (1-s)1_{[1/2]}(s) - s1_{[0,1/2]}(s) \).
\[
 f_5(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y,\eta)} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y)\tau(|x-y|^{-1}) \int_0^1 dt 
\]
\[
 \cdot \langle \nabla_\xi a((x+y)/2;\eta) \mp k(v(1/2;x,y) + r(x,y))) , 
\]
\[
 k \int_0^1 ds \kappa(s)(u(s;x,y))^{-1}A(v(s;x,y)) \cdot (x-y) 
\]
\[
 \cdot f(y) dyd\xi. 
\]

Denoting by \( A(v)^T \) the transposed of the linear map \( A(v) \) and setting \( \eta_t = \eta \mp k(v(1/2;x,y) + r(x,y)), \)
\[
 \langle \nabla_\xi a((x+y)/2;\eta_t) , A(v(s;x,y)) \cdot (x-y) \rangle 
\]
\[
 = \langle A(v(s;x,y))^T \nabla_\xi a((x+y)/2;\eta_t) , (x-y) \rangle. 
\]
Integrating by parts in the \( \eta \) variable,
\[
f_3(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y\cdot\eta)} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y) \tau(|x-y|^{-1}) \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 ds \\
\cdot \left( (i\langle A(s; x, y)\rangle \nabla_\xi, \nabla_\xi) \alpha \right) ((x+y)/2; \eta_t), \\
\cdot \frac{1}{k\kappa(s)} (u(s; x, y))^{-1} f(y) dxdy .
\]
Writing \( f(y) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(y \cdot \xi)} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) d\xi \), where \( \mathcal{F}f \) denotes the Fourier transform of \( f \),
\[
(A.7) \quad f_3(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x \cdot \xi)} c_0(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) d\xi = (\text{Op} c_0 f)(x)
\]
where \( c_0 \) is defined by the oscillatory integral (in the \( \eta \) variable)
\[
(A.8) \quad c_0(x, \xi) = \int e^{i(x-y \cdot \eta - \xi \cdot \eta)} \rho(x, y; \eta) dyd\eta \quad \text{with}
\]
\[
\rho(x, y; \eta) = \chi_1(x)\chi_2(y) \tau(|x-y|^{-1}) \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 ds (u(s; x, y))^{-1} \\
\cdot \frac{1}{k\kappa(s)} (A(v(s; x, y))\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\xi) \alpha \right) ((x+y)/2; \eta_t) .
\]
Now we insert in (A.8) \( \tau(|\eta - \xi|^{-1}) + 1 - \tau(|\eta - \xi|^{-1}) \) and split \( c_0 \) into \( c_1 + c_2 \). In particular,
\[
c_2(x, \xi) = \int e^{i(x-y \cdot \eta - \xi \cdot \eta)} (1 - \tau(|\eta - \xi|^{-1})) \rho(x, y; \eta) dyd\eta \\
= \int e^{i(x-y \cdot \eta - \xi \cdot \eta)} (1 - \tau(|\eta - \xi|^{-1})) (L_{\xi, \eta} a(\xi, \eta))^{\rho(x, y; \eta)} dyd\eta
\]
for all \( \rho \in \mathbb{N} \). By direct computations, we see that \( c_2 \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty, g) \) and \( c_1 \in \mathcal{S}(mh, g) \).
Since for any symbol \( r \), there exists a symbol \( s \) in the same class such that \( \text{Op} r = s^w \), the equations (A.3), (A.6), and (A.7), yield the desired result. \( \square \)

**Appendix B. Functional calculus for pseudodifferential operators.**

Here we present a result on the functional calculus for pseudodifferential operators associated to the metric \( g \) in (2.12). This result is probably not new but we did not find a proof in the literature. It follows quite directly from arguments in [Bo1] (see also [D]). However we sketch the proof for completeness. We use notions and results from Subsection 2.2.

Recall that, for \( \rho \in \mathbb{R} \), we denote by \( \mathcal{S}^{\rho} \) the set of smooth functions \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(t^{\rho - |\beta|}) \partial_t^\beta \varphi(t)| < \infty \). If we take a real symbol \( a \in \mathcal{S}(m, g) \), then the operator \( a^w \) is self-adjoint on the domain \( \mathcal{D}(a^w) = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+); a^wu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \} \).
In particular, the operator \( \varphi(a^w) \) is well defined by the functional calculus if \( \varphi \) is a borelean function on \( \mathbb{R} \). We assume that \( m \geq 1 \). A real symbol \( a \in \mathcal{S}(m, g) \) is said elliptic if \((i-a)^{-1} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{S}(m^{-1}, g) \).

**Theorem B.1.** Let \( m \geq 1 \) and \( a \in \mathcal{S}(m, g) \) be real and elliptic. Take \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^{\rho} \). Then \( \varphi(a) \in \mathcal{S}(m^\rho, g) \) and there is \( b \in \mathcal{S}(hm^\rho, g) \) such that
\[
(B.1) \quad \varphi(a^w(x, D)) = (\varphi(a))^w(x, D) + b^w(x, D).
\]
Proof. Let \( \rho' \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi \in S^{\rho'}, \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough such that \( 2k > \rho' \). Then \( \psi(t) := \varphi(t)(1 + t^2)^{-k} \) belongs to \( S^{\rho'-2k} \) with \( \rho' - 2k < 0 \). If the result is valid for \( \rho < 0 \), then there exists \( b \in S(hm^{\rho'-2k}, g) \) such that
\[
\varphi(a^w) = \psi(a^w)(1 + (a^w)^2)^k = ((\psi(a))^w + b^w)(1 + (a^w)^2)^k
= (\psi(a)(1 + a^2)^k)^w + c^w + (b\#(1 + a^2)^k)^w = (\varphi(a))^w + d^w
\]
with \( c, d \in S(hm^{\rho'}, g) \), by the composition properties. So it suffices to prove the result for \( \rho < 0 \). Since we can write any function \( \varphi \in S^\rho \), with \( \rho < 0 \), as \( \varphi \vert_{\mathbb{R}} \) with \( \varphi_1 \in S^\rho (-1 \leq \delta < 0) \) and \( \varphi_1 \in S^{\rho'+1} \) (where \( [\rho] \) denotes the integer part of \( \rho \)) and use the previous composition properties, we see by induction that it suffices to establish the result for \(-1 \leq \rho < 0\).

Let \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \). Using the resolvent formula \( (z-a)^{-1} = (i-a)^{-1}(1 + (z-i)(z-a)^{-1}) \), we observe that \( \| (z-a)^{-1} \| \leq m^{-1}(z)||\text{Im}(z)||^{-1} \). Thus, for all \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( C_\ell > 0 \) and \( N_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \), \( \| (z-a)^{-1} \|_{S^\ell} \leq C_\ell(z)^{N_\ell+1}\|\text{Im}(z)\|^{-N_\ell-1} \). Let \( q_\ell = (z-a)^{-1} = \#(z-a)^{-1} - 1 \in S(h, g) \). By an explicit formula given in [Bo2], \( q_\ell \) only depends on the derivative of \( (z-a) \), which are independent of \( z \). Thus one can find, for all \( \ell, C'_\ell > 0 \) and \( N'_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\|q_\ell\|_{S^\ell(h, g)} \leq C'_\ell(z)^{N'_\ell+1}\|\text{Im}(z)\|^{-N'_\ell-1}.
\]
According to \[\text{(B.2)}\], one can prove from the boundedness of commutators of \( (z-a)^{-1} \) with appropriate pseudodifferential operators that this resolvent is equal to \( r^w_\ell \), where the symbol \( r_\ell \) belongs to \( S^{m_{\ell}-1, g} \). Furthermore, the system \( \| . \|_{S^\ell(h, g)} \) for all \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), of semi-norms is equivalent to another one constructed with the help of the previous commutators. Using this, one can find, for all \( \ell, C''_\ell > 0 \) and \( N''_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\|r^w_\ell\|_{S^\ell(h, g)} \leq C''_\ell(z)^{N''_\ell+1}\|\text{Im}(z)\|^{-N''_\ell-1}.
\]
Using \[\text{(B.2)}\] and \[\text{(B.3)}\], we can find, for all \( \ell, C''''_\ell > 0 \) and \( N''''_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\|q_\ell\#r^w_\ell\|_{S^\ell(hm^{\rho-1}g)} \leq C''''_\ell(z)^{N''''_\ell+1}\|\text{Im}(z)\|^{-N''''_\ell-1}.
\]
Now we take \( \varphi \in S^\rho \) with \( -1 \leq \rho < 0 \) and consider some almost analytic extension \( \varphi^C \). Thanks to \[\text{(B.2)}\], \[\text{(B.3)}\], and \[\text{(B.4)}\],
\[
b := \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_C \partial_z \varphi^C(z) q_\ell \#r^w_\ell dz \wedge d\bar{z}
\]
converges in \( S(hm^{\rho-1}g) \), since \( \rho < 0 \). According to the definition of \( q_\ell \), \( (z-a)^{-1}w(z-a)^{-1}w = 1d + q_\ell^w \), therefore \( (z-a)^{-1}w = (z-a)^{-1} + (q_\ell \#r^w_\ell)w \). Using Helffer-Sjöstrand formula \[\text{(2.6)}\], \( (\varphi(a))^w = (\varphi(a))^w + b^w \) with \( b \in S(hm^{\rho-1}g) \subseteq S(hm^\rho g) \), since \( -1 \leq \rho \).

\[\square\]

Appendix C. An interpolation’s argument.

By pseudodifferential calculus, \( A_1^r(\langle D_x \rangle)^{-2}(x)^{-2} \) extends to a bounded operator on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). What about \( A_1^r(\langle D_x \rangle)^{-r}(x)^{-r} \) with \( r > 0 ? \) The same argument is not clear since \( A_1 \) is not elliptic. Indeed its symbols \( (x, \xi) \mapsto x \cdot \xi \) can vanish when \( \xi \neq 0 \). Using interpolation, we show
Lemma C.1. For real $r \geq 0$, $\langle A_1 \rangle^r \langle D_x \rangle^{-r} \langle x \rangle^{-r}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_x)$.

Proof. We prove that, for $r \geq 0$,

$$\exists C_r > 0; \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_x), \|\langle A_1 \rangle^r f\| \leq C_r \|\langle x \rangle^{-r} (D_x)^r f\|.$$  

If $r$ is an integer then $(A_1 + i)^r \langle D_x \rangle^{-r} \langle x \rangle^{-r}$ extends to a bounded operator by the pseudodifferential calculus with the metric $g$ in (2.12). Since $(A_1)^r (A_1 + i)^{-r}$ is bounded, (C.1) holds true when $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For $t, t' \geq 0$, let $H^t_{t'} := \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_x); \|\langle x \rangle^t (D_x)^{t'} f\| < \infty \}$. Now, using (3.7), we infer that the space $H^t_{t'}$ is also the complex interpolated space $[H^0_{t'}, H^r_{t'}/m]$, where $m \geq r$. To be precise, use (3.7) and notice that (3.7) gives that $H(m, g) = H^r_{t'}$, where $g$ given as in (2.12) and $m(x, \xi) = \langle x \rangle^t \langle \xi \rangle^r$. We deduce that (C.1) is true for all $s \geq 0$ by the Riesz-Thorin Theorem. 

□

Appendix D. A simpler argument in dimension $d = 1$.

Here we present a more elementary proof of Lemma 5.4 in dimension $d = 1$. It relies on the following

Lemma D.1. For $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, as bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)$,

$$(z - D_x^2)^{-1} e^{ikx} = e^{ikx} (z - (D_x \pm k)^2)^{-1}.$$  

Proof. As differential operators, $D_x e^{ikx} = e^{ikx} (D_x \pm k)$. Thus, on $H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)$,

$$(D_x^2 - z) e^{ikx} = e^{ikx} ((D_x \pm k)^2 - z).$$

Multiplying on the left and on the right by the convenient resolvent, we get the result. 

□

We first follow the general proof until formula (5.9). By (D.1),

$$B_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \frac{-iq}{4\pi} \int_{\xi} \partial_{\xi} \varphi^\sigma(z) (z - D_x^2)^{-1} (z - (D_x + \sigma k)^2)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi e^{i\sigma kx}.$$  

Choosing the support of $\varphi$ small enough, we can find $\theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\theta$ vanishes near $-k/2$ and $k/2$, $\varphi(\xi^2) \theta(\xi^2) = 0 = \varphi((\xi + k)^2) \theta((\xi + k)^2)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and such that the function $\xi \mapsto \varphi((\xi - k)^2) \theta((\xi - k)^2)$ is nonzero (using that $I \subset [0; +\infty]$). Set $B_1 = \theta(D_x^2)$. Since $\xi^2 - (\xi + k)^2$ and $\xi^2 - (\xi - k)^2$ do not vanish on the support of $\theta(\xi^2)$,

$$BB_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \frac{-iq}{4\pi} \theta(D_x^2) (D_x^2 - (D_x + k\sigma)^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\xi} \varphi^\sigma(z) (z - D_x^2)^{-1} (D_x^2 - (D_x + k\sigma)^2)(z - (D_x + \sigma k)^2)^{-1} dz \wedge d\xi e^{i\sigma kx}.$$  

By the resolvent formula and (2.6),

$$BB_1 \simeq \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \frac{-q}{2 \pi} \theta(D_x^2) (D_x^2 - (D_x + k\sigma)^2)^{-1} \varphi((D_x^2) - \varphi((D_x + \sigma k)^2)) e^{i\sigma kx}.$$  

Using the support properties of $\theta$, we obtain

$$BB_1 \simeq 2^{-1} q \theta(D_x^2) (D_x^2 - (D_x - k)^2)^{-1} \varphi((D_x - k)^2) e^{-ikx}.$$  

Denoting by $B'$ the multiplication operator by $e^{ikx}$, $BB_1 B'$ is, modulo some compact operator, a self-adjoint Fourier multiplier. The spectrum of the latter is given
by the essential range of the function \( \xi \mapsto 2^{-1} \phi(\xi^2)(\xi^2 - (\xi - k)^2)^{-1}\phi((\xi - k)^2) \) (see [RS]). Since this function is non constant and continuous, the spectrum contains an interval and the corresponding operator cannot be compact (cf., [RS]). Thus \( B B_1 B' \) is not compact. This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.4 in dimension \( d = 1 \).
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