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ABSTRACT

Antibiotic treatment of lung infections may lead to the emergence of resistance in the gut flora. Appropriate dosing regimens could mitigate this adverse effect. In gnotobiotic rats harbouring intestinal *E. coli* and *E. faecium* populations, a lung infection by *K. pneumoniae* was instigated with two different sizes of inoculum to represent an early or a late initiation of antibiotic treatment. The rats were treated with marbofloxacin, a third generation fluoroquinolone by a single shot administration or a fractionated regimen over 4 days. Intestinal bacterial populations were monitored during and after treatment. At the infection site bacterial cure without any selection of resistance was observed. Whatever the dosage regimen, fluoroquinolone treatment had a transient negative impact on the gut *E. coli* population but not on that of *E. faecium*. The intestinal flora was colonized by the pathogenic lung bacteria and there was the emergence of intestinal resistant *K. pneumoniae*, occurring more often in animals treated with a single marbofloxacin dose than with the fractionated dose. Bacterial cure without resistance selection at the infection site with fluoroquinolone treatment can be linked to colonization of the digestive tract by the targeted pulmonary bacteria, followed by the emergence of resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance during antibiotic treatment can occur either in the infected organ system and/or in the endogenous normal gut flora (3). Antimicrobial agents including fluoroquinolones can be extensively excreted into the intestinal tract exposing the normal host flora to antimicrobial selective pressure (i.e. inhibition of competing microflora). This may lead to a secondary development of antibiotic-resistant gut organisms (2, 3, 17). *K. pneumoniae* is an important opportunistic pathogen implicated in nosocomial bacterial infections (19). Epidemiological studies have shown that the majority of *K. pneumoniae* infections are often preceded by colonization of the patient’s gastrointestinal tract by the bacteria (9, 10). Recent reports suggest that fluoroquinolone-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates are common in many long-term care facilities and hospitals and are often associated with multidrug-resistant phenotypes (11, 22). The origins of this resistant *K. pneumoniae* gut subpopulation should deserve attention. A possible factor contributing to the emergence of a resistant subpopulation of *K. pneumoniae* in the gut could be an inadequate treatment of a prior *K. pneumoniae* infection with a secondary gut colonization by *K. pneumoniae*. This *K. pneumoniae* strain may then expand in the gut flora due to the selective pressure of the antibiotic reaching the gut lumen. Factors such as the concentration of the antimicrobial in the intestinal tract, the duration of the antimicrobial therapy, and the associated degree of disruption of the microflora may influence the likelihood that *K. pneumoniae* resistant strains will or will not emerge at the gut level (3, 21). In previous studies on rodent models of *E. coli* thigh infection and *K. pneumoniae* lung infection, we showed that the bacterial load at the start of antimicrobial treatment plays an important role in the enrichment of resistant strains at the infection site (4, 8). With a low inoculum, starting antimicrobial treatment early with marbofloxacin, a third generation fluoroquinolone extensively used in veterinary medicine, prevented mutant enrichment at the infection site whereas a late start of the antimicrobial treatment on a large inoculum, led to the enrichment of the resistant mutant subpopulation. Moreover, we showed that the
emergence of resistance was dependant on the total marbofloxacin dose and dosage regimen.

The aim of the present study was to assess, in a *K. pneumoniae* experimental infection model, the impact of different marbofloxacin dosage regimens on the commensal intestinal flora and to test the hypothesis that the critical site of emergence of resistance of a targeted lung pathogen during antibiotic treatment may be not the lung itself but the gut flora. With this as our aim, we developed a model of lung infection in gnotobiotic rats with two inoculum sizes of *K. pneumoniae* each treated with two different marbofloxacin dosage regimens. We chose to work with a gnotobiotic model harbouring a gram positive and a gram negative bacterial population in the intestine.
MATERIALS AND METHODS.

Bacterial strains and antibiotics.

The *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecium* strains used for the establishment of dixenic gut flora in rats came from pig samples from French slaughterhouses (AFSSA, Fougères) and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 43 816 was used for the establishment of the lung infection. Marbofloxacin powder, was kindly provided by Vetoquinol, Lure, France.

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* lung infection.

Germ-free male OFA rats (Charles River, L’arbesle, France), weight 170-200g, were housed individually in different sterile isolators, with a 12-h-light/12-h-dark cycle. Rats were fed ad libitum with an irradiated rodent chow (R03 40, UAR, Villemoisson, France) and were supplied with sterilized distilled water. The germ-free status of the rats was checked immediately after their reception and during the period of acclimatization (see bacteriological procedures). After about one week, the rats were inoculated intragastrically with 1 mL of a saline (0.9% NaCl) suspension of *E. coli* ($10^9$ CFU/mL) strain and 1 mL of a saline (0.9% NaCl) suspension of *E. faecium* ($10^9$ CFU/mL).

The experimental lung infection was produced as previously described (1, 8). Briefly, the trachea was cannulated and the lungs were inoculated with 0.05 mL of a saline suspension (0.9% NaCl) of *K. pneumoniae* containing $2 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL ($10^5$ CFU total, Group A) or $2 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL ($10^8$ CFU total, Group B).

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with accepted standards of animal care under the agreement number A 31909 for animal experimentation from the French Ministry of Agriculture.

Antimicrobial treatment.

Subcutaneous marbofloxacin treatment (Marbocyl$^{\text{ND}}$, Vetoquinol, Lure, France) was started 4 hours (Group A) or 24 hours (Group B) after the lung infection. There were two modalities of
treatment: doses were administered either in one single administration (Groups A1 and B1, 
n = 10 and n = 7 respectively) or the same total dose was fractionated into 4 daily 
administrations over 4 days (Groups A2 and B2, n = 8 and n = 7 respectively). The total 
marbofloxacin dose was 16 mg/kg, for group A and 64 mg/kg for group B. Stool samples 
were collected at day 0, 4 and 7 after the first marbofloxacin administration for bacterial 
analyses. The animals were sacrificed 7 days after the first marbofloxacin administration by 
an intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (Dolethal\textsuperscript{ND}, Vetoquinol, France). The 
lungs were aseptically removed and homogenized in 10 mL of 0.9% NaCl before 
bacteriological analysis.

Bacteriological procedures.

(i) MIC determination. MICs were determined in triplicate for the bacteria by a broth micro 
dilution method according to CLSI references methods.

(ii) Faecal bacteriology. Stool samples were diluted tenfold in distilled water and 
homogenized. The germ-free status was verified on Schaedler agar supplemented with 
sheep blood, Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar supplemented with sheep blood; and Malt 
Extract Agar. During the study, 100 \muL of faecal homogenates collected at days 0, 4 and 7 
after the start of marbofloxacin treatment were plated on Slanetz and Bartley Medium for the 
E. faecium strain, on Mac Conkey Agar for the E. coli strain, and on Mac Conkey Agar 
supplemented with 0.3 \mug/mL of marbofloxacin for resistant E. coli. and colonies were 
counted after 24 hours incubation at 37°C for E. coli and 48 hours for E. faecium. The lowest 
level of detection was 100 CFU/g faeces.

(iii) Lung bacteriology. Lung homogenates were plated on drug-free Mueller Hinton agar 
plates containing 10% activated charcoal and 10% MgSO\textsubscript{4} to enumerate total bacterial 
counts of K. pneumoniae and on Mac Conkey agar supplemented with 0.3 \mug/mL 
marbofloxacin to enumerate bacterial counts of resistant K. pneumoniae. Colonies were
counted after overnight incubation at 37°C. The lowest level of detection was 100 CFU/lung and bacteria were considered eradicated below this level.

**Pharmacokinetics.**

Two satellite groups (Group C and D) of conventional male OFA rats (Charles River, L'arbresle, France), weight 250-270g, were inoculated with 0.05 mL of an inoculum of $2 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL (Group C) or $2 \times 10^{10}$ CFU/mL (Group D) of *K. pneumoniae*. Four hours after the inoculation, group C was given 4 or 16 mg/kg of marbofloxacin by subcutaneous administration. For the group D, subcutaneous marbofloxacin administration was 24 hours after the *K. pneumoniae* inoculation and was 4, 16 or 100 mg/kg. The total amount of excreted faeces was collected over 48 hours. Two to four rats were included per treatment group. Stool samples were stored at -20°C until assayed for marbofloxacin by a high performance liquid chromatography method with fluorescence detection ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 295$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 500$ nm) (Agilent 1100) adapted from Schneider et al. (18). Briefly, for each sample time, faeces were pooled, mixed with 2.5% trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged. The supernatant was added to 1 mL of dichloromethane and mixed for 10 seconds. 0.2 mL of a mixture of MeOH (2%HCl)/H$_2$O (90:10) was added to the organic layer and 100 µL of the supernatant were injected into a C18e (Lichrospher, Merck, 5 µm 125 x 4 mm) column and eluted with a phosphoric acid (0.01M)-triethylamine(0.004M) (pH = 2)/Acetonitrile gradient. The calibration curve of marbofloxacin was established over the concentration range from 550 to 5000 ng/mL with a linear regression model. The accuracy varied from 94.8 to 113.87% and the intra-day and inter-day precision was lower than 10.51% and 7.7% respectively. The limit of quantification was 550 ng/mL. The samples were diluted to ensure that the concentrations fell within the range of the calibration curve.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Susceptibility studies.

The MIC of marbofloxacin was 0.032 µg/mL for both *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* and 2 µg/mL for *E. faecium*.

Faecal Pharmacokinetics.

Bacterial infections have been shown to alter the pharmacokinetics of drugs (5), including fluoroquinolones (7, 13). For this reason, we evaluated the amount of marbofloxacin excreted in the faeces in our model of *K. pneumoniae* lung infection. We observed that the amount of marbofloxacin excreted in the faeces of rats was proportional to the dose within each animal group (A or B), but differed between groups: the percentage of the marbofloxacin dose excreted in faeces was 4-fold less for the animals infected with the large *K. pneumoniae* inoculum than for those infected with the low inoculum (mean = 5% vs. 23% respectively, see Table 1). Consequently, taking into account the fact that groups B received a four times higher marbofloxacin dose than groups A (64 vs. 16 mg/kg), the amount of marbofloxacin excreted in the faeces was approximately the same in the two groups.

Effect of different marbofloxacin dosage regimens on survival rate and on the emergence of resistant *K. pneumoniae* in the lungs.

The amount of *K. pneumoniae* in each rat’s lung and the percentage of animals with resistant *K. pneumoniae* at the end of the experiment for the two initial inoculum sizes and the different dosing regimens are shown in Table 2. All the rats infected with the low *K. pneumoniae* inoculum survived (groups A), and seven days after the start of the antimicrobial treatment, bacteria were not detected in the lungs of all the rats (except two) whatever the dosing regimen. For the rats infected with the high *K. pneumoniae* inoculum (groups B), the survival rate differed slightly according to the dosage regimen and was higher for the animals treated with the fractionated marbofloxacin regimen (group B2) than for animals treated with the one-shot dose (group B1). Nevertheless, the total bacterial population in the surviving...
animals seven days after the start of antimicrobial treatment was almost the same for the two
dosing regimens.

More importantly, whatever the dosage regimen, there were no *K. pneumoniae* resistant to
marbofloxacin at the end of the trial, neither in the lungs of animals infected with the low *K.*
*pneumoniae* inoculum (groups A1 and A2) or in those infected with the large inoculum
(groups B1 and B2). Theses results differ from our previous results showing the emergence
of *K. pneumoniae* resistant to 0.3 µg/mL marbofloxacin in the animals infected by a large
inoculum and treated with 64 mg/kg of marbofloxacin (8). However, the present study was
longer and we observed rat mortality within the large inoculum group (groups B) during the
64 mg/kg marbofloxacin treatment, in contrast to the previous study. This mortality was
probably due to a higher sensitivity to the infection of gnotobiotic animals compared to
conventional rats. The animals that died during the treatment were possibly carriers of
resistant *K. pneumoniae* in the lungs (data not checked).

**Effect of different marbofloxacin dosage regimens on faecal microflora.**

For all animals, whatever the dosage regimen, the *E. faecium* population remained
unchanged during and after the marbofloxacin treatment (Figure 1, panel A). Unfortunately,
an evaluation of a resistant *E. faecium* population was not carried out due to an interaction
between marbofloxacin and Slanetz and Bartley culture medium.

For the *E. coli* population a decrease in the number of isolates was observed during the
treatment in all groups depending on both the marbofloxacin dose and the dosing regimen.
This decrease was followed by an increase to pre-treatment levels after termination of the
therapy (Figure 1, panel B). Seven days after the initiation of marbofloxacin treatment, a
resistant *E. coli* subpopulation (MIC ranging from 0.256 to 2 µg/mL) was found in all
treatment groups. The percentage of rats harbouring resistant intestinal *E. coli* was between
10 and 17% for groups A1, A2 and B2, and only the group B1 (high dose, single shot)
showed a higher percentage at 50% (Table 3). The fact that the amount of marbofloxacin
excreted in the faeces was approximately the same in the two groups (groups A and B) could
explain why the numbers of animals with resistant *E. coli* in their faeces were rather similar for the different groups whatever the marbofloxacin total dose and regimens (single or fractionated administrations, see Table 3). Nevertheless it was difficult to correlate the emergence of resistant *E. coli* with the marbofloxacin concentration in the faeces since marbofloxacin could be highly bound to faecal matter (12).

However, the most important result of this study was the intestinal colonization by the targeted pathogenic bacteria, *K. pneumoniae*, present at the infection site. This *K. pneumoniae* colonization was established throughout the study and seven days after the start of antimicrobial treatment, it was observed in the majority of surviving animals for the groups treated with a single administration (60% and 100% for the low and the high dose respectively) (Table 3 and Figure 1, panel C). Deglutition by the infected animals of *K. pneumoniae* moving out of the lung to the mucus escalator was probably the cause of the colonization of the intestinal tract by *K. pneumoniae*.

More importantly, intestinal colonization by *K. pneumoniae* was accompanied by the emergence in the gut of a *K. pneumoniae* subpopulation resistant to the fluoroquinolone. Faecal *K. pneumoniae* resistant to 0.3 µg/mL marbofloxacin (MIC ranging from 0.512 to 2 µg/mL) were detected in 57% (8/14) of rats receiving a single dose (16 or 64 mg/kg) whatever the dose level (Table 3). For animals receiving the fractionated marbofloxacin administration, only one out of 14 rats carried a resistant *K. pneumoniae* subpopulation in the gut (Table 3). It is noteworthy that emergence of resistant *K. pneumoniae* in the intestinal tract occurred in animals harbouring no resistant *K. pneumoniae* in their lungs. This intestinal colonization by resistant *K. pneumoniae* occurred in 54% of all surviving rats (15/28) and seemed to be slightly more frequent in groups infected with the high inoculum (8/10 i.e. 80%, Table 3) than with the low inoculum (7/18 i.e. 39%, Table 3). The most likely reason is that the probability of colonizing the intestinal tract increases with the inoculum size in the lung (more *K. pneumoniae* and more mucus production).

Furthermore, whatever the size of *K. pneumoniae* pulmonary inoculum, we observed a higher percentage of intestinal colonization by resistant *K. pneumoniae* when the treatment
was a single dose administration of marbofloxacin rather that the fractionated administration
of the same total dose (8/14 i.e. 57% for single vs. 1/14 i.e. 7% for fractionated, Table 3). In a
previous study, we showed that the antibiotic exposure played an important role in the
selection of resistant bacteria at the infection site (8), and in agreement with the present
results, we also showed that the plasma antibiotic exposure with fractionated administration
limited the enrichment of the resistant subpopulation compared with the single administration
of the same total marbofloxacin dose.

However the design of the present study did not enable us to document whether the
emergence of the resistant \textit{K. pneumoniae} subpopulation occurred in the digestive tract or in
the lungs, but some clues suggest the emergence of resistance in the gut. Indeed, we
observed resistant \textit{K. pneumoniae} in the gut of animals harbouring no resistant \textit{K. pneumoniae}
in their lungs. Moreover, for animals infected with the low inoculum and treated
by the single administration of 16 mg/kg of marbofloxacin, the size of the \textit{K. pneumoniae}
population in the gut was higher than the population inoculated into the lungs
(\(8.1 \pm 1.1 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g of faeces vs. } 5 \log_{10} \text{CFU/lung, Table 3}\)), increasing the likelihood of
resistant mutants appearing in this intestinal bacterial population. Therefore the scenario of
the intestinal colonization by wild type \textit{K. pneumoniae} followed by the appearance in the gut
of resistant \textit{K. pneumoniae} mutants secondarily selected by marbofloxacin intestinal
exposure seems more probable than the gut colonization by resistant \textit{K. pneumoniae} of
pulmonary origin.

We are not in a position to estimate the exact antibiotic exposure in the gut; but it is likely that
the dosing regimens that are optimised to achieve clinical success while minimising the
emergence of resistance at the lung infection site may actually be inappropriate in terms of
gut colonization and intestine-located emergence of resistance.

\textbf{Conclusion.}

For the sake of simplicity, the present study was carried out with an artificial intestinal
ecosystem in di-associated gnotobiotic rats, i.e. a system lacking anaerobes that can play an
important role in colonization resistance (20). However, this animal model appears to be relevant to the study of intestinal colonization by resistant bacteria given that anaerobic flora could be decreased in patients by some antimicrobial treatments. Therefore, such a model represents an alternative to classical models of intestinal colonization by resistant pathogenic bacteria, where anaerobic flora are eradicated by clindamycin treatment before colonization challenge (6, 15). To our knowledge, this study is the first using a model of natural gut colonization by pathogenic bacteria from a non-intestinal infection site, and showing the emergence of resistant strains in this new intestinal population during fluoroquinolone treatment of a lung infection. Indeed, usually studies on intestinal colonization use orogastric gavage of bacteria already resistant to the antibiotic (6, 14).

In conclusion, the results of the present study highlight that a fluoroquinolone treatment leading to the bacterial cure and prevention of resistance emerging at the infection site might at the same time lead to the emergence of resistant pathogenic bacteria in the digestive tract secondary to intestinal colonization by wild type pathogens. This could generate a reservoir of resistant pathogens for secondary infections (16, 23). In addition it was shown that such an event is influenced, and thus might be manageable, by the dosage regimen. This is a difficult challenge because antibiotic exposure to prevent the emergence of resistance in a pathogen should not only apply to the target site of infection but should be extended to the gut flora when colonization occurs.
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TABLE 1

Amount of marbofloxacin excreted in the faeces of rats infected with a low or a high inoculum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marbofloxacin dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Marbofloxacin administered (µg, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Amount of marbofloxacin excreted in faeces (µg, mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low inoculum Group A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>835 ± 58</td>
<td>129 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3605 ± 232</td>
<td>896 ± 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>22650 ± 636</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: not determined

TABLE 2.

Amount of *K. pneumoniae* in each rat lung and proportion of animals with resistant *K. pneumoniae* at the end of the experiment for the low (Group A) and the high (Group B) inocula.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total marbofloxacin dose</th>
<th>Low inoculum 16 mg/kg</th>
<th>High inoculum 64 mg/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total marbofloxacin dose</td>
<td>Low inoculum 16 mg/kg</td>
<td>High inoculum 64 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of dead animals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of rats with <em>K. pneumoniae</em> in lungs at the end of experiment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total log10 cfu/lungs (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2 b</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of rats with resistant <em>K. pneumoniae</em> in lungs at the end of experiment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Dead rats are not taken into consideration to calculate the total bacterial population in lungs

b For the calculation of these means, we assigned the value 0 log\(_{10}\) CFU to the lungs in which bacteria were undetectable.
TABLE 3.

Percentage of surviving rats with the intestinal tract colonized by *E. coli* resistant to marbofloxacin, wild-type *K. pneumoniae* or *K. pneumoniae* resistant to marbofloxacin and amount of each population in faeces 4 and 7 days after the start of marbofloxacin treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum size Group</th>
<th>Total marbofloxacin dose and dosing regimen</th>
<th>4 days after initiation of marbofloxacin treatment</th>
<th>7 days after initiation of marbofloxacin treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> Resistant</td>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae Total</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of animals with bacteria in faeces/total no. animals</td>
<td>log₁₀ CFU/g of faeces (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>A1 16 mg/kg - Single</td>
<td>0/10 (0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 16 mg/kg - Fractionated</td>
<td>0/8 (0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>B1 64 mg/kg - Single</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2 64 mg/kg - Fractionated</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only one animal of this group had bacteria in the faeces*
Figure 1. Time course evolution of the levels of the total *E. faecium* (A), *E. coli* (B) and *K. pneumoniae* (C) populations in the faeces of rats (Mean ± SD) before, during and after marbofloxacin treatment. The arrow shows the day of the lung infection.
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