A study of thermal decomposition and combustion products of disposable polyethylene terephthalate (PET) plastic using the High Resolution Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, Selected Ion flow Tube Mass Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

Journal:	<i>Molecular Physics</i>
Manuscript ID:	TMPH-2008-0043.R1
Manuscript Type:	Full Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Mar-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Sovová, Kristina; Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry Ferus, Martin; Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry Matulkova, Irena; J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry, Chemical Physics Španil, Patrik; Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry Dryahina, Kseniya; Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry Dvořák, Otto; Fire Technical Institute Civis, Svatopluk; J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry, Chemical Physics
Keywords:	Polyethylene terephthalate, Combustion, High Resolution FTIR Spectroscopy, GC-MS, SIFT MS

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

**A study of thermal decomposition and combustion products of disposable
polyethylene terephthalate (PET) plastic using the High Resolution Fourier
Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, Selected Ion flow Tube Mass
Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry**

For Peer Review Only

A study of thermal decomposition and combustion products of disposable polyethylene terephthalate (PET) plastic using the High Resolution Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, Selected Ion flow Tube Mass Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

Kristýna Sovová¹, Martin Ferus^{1,2}, Irena Matulková¹, Patrik Španěl¹, Kseniya Dryahina¹, Otto Dvořák³ and Svatopluk Civiš^{✉1}

¹*J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Dolejškova 3, 182 23 Prague 8, Czech Republic*

²*Institute of Physics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic*

³*Fire Technical Institute, Písková 42, 143 00, Prague 4, Czech Republic*

Abstract

The industrial production of poly (ethylene terephthalate), PET, continues to increase and thus it is important to understand the composition of fumes resulting from its disposal as a part of incinerated waste. In this study samples of PET material were combusted in a furnace corresponding to the German standard DIN 53 436 at the temperatures of 500 °C, 800 °C (in an air flow) and also uncontrolled burning in air. The gaseous products were then analysed using three different analytical methods: high resolution Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS) and gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Carbon dioxide, methane, ethylene, acetylene, formaldehyde (methanal) and acetaldehyde (ethanal) were detected by FTIR. Water, methane, acetaldehyde, ethylene, formaldehyde, methanol, acetone, benzene, terephthalic acid, styrene (ethenylbenzene), ethanol, toluene (methylbenzene), xylene (dimethylbenzene), ethylbenzene, naphthalene, biphenyl and phenol concentrations were all quantified by both SIFT-MS and GC-MS. Additionally, the fumes resulting from uncontrolled combustion in air were analysed by FTIR which resolves the rotation-vibration structure of the absorption bands of formaldehyde (2779.90 and 2778.48 cm⁻¹) and propane, which was identified from characteristic vibrations of CH₃ groups at 2977.00 and 2962.00 cm⁻¹. The spectra were compared to the reference standards.

Keywords: Polyethylene terephthalate, PET, Combustion, High Resolution FTIR Spectroscopy, GC-MS, SIFT MS

✉ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Phone: +420-266053275, Fax: +420-286591766, email: civis@jh-inst.cas.cz

1. Introduction

The waste production is a fundamental problem from the environmental as well as the economic point of view. Approximately 15 million tonnes of the used plastic waste are generated every year across Europe. Of this volume, a mere 7% [1-3] is recycled and the remainder is deposited or incinerated [4]. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET, systematic name poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediylloxycarbonyl-1,4-phenylenecarbonyl)) is a highly thermally stable polymer with many uses, most frequently as packaging material for beverages [5] in the form of the well known PET bottles. The ideal way of dealing with PET waste is recycling. One of the possible recycling methods for PET is a controlled thermal degradation of PET into oligomers with olefin and carboxylic end-groups [6] including terephthalic acid whilst yielding minimal amounts of carbonaceous residue [3,7]. The terephthalic acid thus obtained together with other oligomers can subsequently be used in polymerisation for the production of recycled materials. Alternatively, there are two commercially available depolymerisation methods (methanolysis and glycolysis) available for PET recycling. Both of these methods reduce PET to either a monomer or the original raw materials which can be purified and subsequently re-reacted into “new” PET for use in a food-contact application, packing for detergents, cosmetics, high-quality carpets, foils, car spare parts or pillow filling for allergic persons [8].

It is worth noting that some polymers are not suitable for recycling, one example being polyethylene, PE, and sometimes for logistic and other reasons PET is not separated from the mixed communal waste. Thus the incineration of carbon-rich wastes is becoming an increasingly attractive alternative to the deposition in landfills, because as much as 90% reduction in volume [3,9] is achieved. There is a German standard DIN 53 436 [10] in place concerning the combustion of PET and any other plastic materials, which sets the maximum permitted amounts of the waste products in the fumes and also gives methods for the testing

of their toxicity.

Thermal stability and possible further applications of the degradation products of PET material have been widely-studied. Kinetic studies of PET combustion in the presence of various amounts of oxygen and with the temperatures ranging between 25 and 800 °C using TGA have recently been conducted [4,11,12]. Other studies have been carried out to identify the products of the thermal decomposition in the temperature range of 270-370 °C in a N₂ inert atmosphere using the MALDI, ¹H and ¹³C NMR methods [13]. Infrared spectroscopy has previously been used to study the products of PET thermal degradation [14] and also to study the hydro-thermal degradation under higher pressure [7,15]. Recently, PET degradation by the laser ablation was studied using AAS spectrometry, infrared spectroscopy, Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) and electron microscopy methods [16]. In one interesting experiment, PET material was also irradiated with Ar⁺ ions and studied using the Rutherford Backscattering (RBS) and Elastic Recoil Detection (ERD) techniques [17].

High-resolution Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy has not so far been exploited to study the products of PET thermal decomposition and combustion. Therefore, this paper presents the results of high-resolution FTIR characterisation of these products, paving the way for wider research into the degradation of other polymers. The same samples were also studied using selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry, SIFT-MS. This mass spectrometry method is based on the chemical ionisation in a flow tube and is chiefly suitable for absolute quantifications of trace amounts of volatile organic compounds in air in the presence of water vapour. SIFT-MS has been used in various fields of research [18] but this is the first time it has been utilised to study products of polymer combustion. The main objective of this study was to compare the products of thermal decomposition of PET at 500 °C, controlled combustion at 800 °C and fumes from uncontrolled flame in air as a simulation of a imperfect PET incineration.

2. Experimental

2.1 The thermally uncontrolled combustion of PET

The material from a transparent PET bottle with a volume of 1.5 L was used for a combustion experiment mimicking burning of PET in stoves or home central heating boilers. The exact temperature of the combustion cannot be defined in this situation but it is worthy noting that the inflammation point of PET is 600 °C. A PET bottle was cut into strips of 1 x 2 cm in size and the weight of about 2 g. The gaseous products generated were pumped directly into a vacuum line and trapped in a liquid nitrogen trap and later released into the FTIR absorption cell.

2.2 The thermally controlled thermal decomposition and combustion

For the controlled thermal decomposition and combustion experiments a sample of a polyethylene terephthalate film ("TENOLAN"), from which PET bottles are made, was used. This time, the material was thermally decomposed and combusted at two temperature (500 °C and 800 °C) in the presence of air in an apparatus corresponding to the German standard DIN 53 436. The testing apparatus was set up according to DIN 53 436 (see diagram shown in Figure 1) and consisted of a quartz-glass combustion tube of 1 m length and 40 mm diameter, terminated with ball-and-socket ground joints, a quartz-glass boat of 400 mm length (15 + 1) mm diameter wall thickness 1.7 mm holding the sample and a circular furnace of 100 mm width encircling the tube. A motor with a helix gear moved the furnace along the tube at the speed of 1 cm/min. The temperature of the furnace was maintained by a regulation module which also controls the furnace motor. The glazed front wall enabled the direct observation of the flow of the fumes during the process. The compressed air from the laboratory mains passed through a pre-drying cartridge with crystalline CaCl_2 and a general-purpose flow meter, calibrated in the desired range of flows. The air flow was regulated using a needle

valve to a flow of 150 L/hr. Note that this flow entered the tube in the opposite direction to the furnace movement and thus the sample was always exposed to a supply of clean air. The PET samples were supported inside the quartz glass combustion tube by a quartz glass boat, and heated to the preset temperatures 500 °C and 800 °C. Resulting fumes containing the thermal decomposition and combustion products were introduced via a connecting adapter into a 5 L mixing vessel (placed inside a box thermostat with forced circulation) in which they were kept at 130 °C.

Two samples of PET foil of 0.15 mm thickness were cut into 400 x 20 mm strips and placed inside the combustion furnace boat always in five layers. The first sample of mass 6.41 g was subjected to the flameless thermal decomposition at the temperature of 500 °C and the second sample of mass 6.28 g was combusted in flame at the temperature of 800 °C. As a part of the routine protocol elementary composition of the samples was determined as: C 62.86 % w/w; H 4.36 % w/w; N 0.12 % w/w and the stoichiometric ratio of weight of combusted oxygen to the weight of fuel calculated accordingly to ISO TR 9122 was $\Psi = 2.0928$. Samples of fumes containing combustion products were taken directly from the mixing vessel into glass volumes with PTF stoppers. These glass volumes were transported and their content was analysed using FTIR, GC-MS and SIFT-MS.

2.3 FTIR spectroscopy

The gaseous products of the uncontrolled and controlled combustion of PET samples (at 500 °C, 800 °C) were released into a vacuum line and trapped in a liquid nitrogen trap. An absorption cell was filled to the pressure of 5.5 Torr by slow re-heating of this trap. The length of the absorption cell was 36.5 cm and the diameter was 5 cm. The spectra were obtained at an ambient temperature using the Bruker IFS 120 HR spectrometer in the spectral range of 500-7000 cm^{-1} . In order to cover the near-infrared (NIR) range a halogen lamp, a

CaF₂ beam splitter and InSb detector were used and to cover the middle-IR (MIR) spectral region a glow bar source, KBr beam splitter and HgCdTe detector were applied. Fifty scans of the spectra were acquired at the resolution of 0.02 cm⁻¹ and 0.014 cm⁻¹ using the Blackmann-Harris apodisation function.

2.4 GC-MS

The gas chromatograph with a mass spectrometer detector, GC-MS, an instrument used for this study was “Trace GC Ultra-DSQ II” (Thermo Electron Corporation). The parameters of the Rxi™ 5 ms (manufactured by Restek) chromatographic column were: 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm. The temperature of the SL/S injector was 235 °C, the liner diameter was 5 mm and the achieved temperature range was 37-300 °C.

Samples of gases originating from the controlled thermal decomposition and combustion in the quartz combustion furnace were taken directly from its exhaust at the end of the combustion tube. The sampling method used a 500 µL gas-tight syringe preheated to 60 °C. The standard method of the direct Head Space analysis was applied. The samples were dosed at 60 °C. The volume of the sample dose was always 500 µL for both temperatures (500 °C and 800 °C). The individual peak assignments seen in the chromatographs were based on the measurements of standards and their comparison with NIST '05 Mass Spectral Libraries for Xcalibur [18].

2.5 SIFT-MS

Selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry, (SIFT-MS), is a technique for a simultaneous real-time quantification of several trace gases in air and exhaled breath. It relies on the chemical ionization of the trace gas molecules in air/breath samples introduced into helium carrier gas, using H₃O⁺, NO⁺ and O₂⁺ reagent (precursor). Reactions between the precursor ions and the trace gas molecules proceed for an accurately defined time, the

precursor and product ions being detected and counted by a downstream mass spectrometer. The absolute concentrations of trace gases in single breath exhalation can be determined by SIFT-MS down to parts-per-billion (ppb) levels, obviating sample collection into bags or onto traps [19]. The calibration using chemical standards is not routinely required, as the concentrations are calculated using the known reaction rate constants and the measured flow rates and pressures [20]. For this study samples of the gaseous products of controlled decomposition and combustion were collected in 6 L glass vessels at the atmospheric pressure, kept at an ambient temperature of 20 °C and introduced into the Profile 3 SIFT-MS instrument (manufactured by Instrument Science Limited, Crewe, UK) via a heated calibrated capillary and full scan mass spectra were obtained by repeated full scans for all three precursor ions. The concentrations of main compounds identified on the mass spectra were calculated from the precursor and product ion count rates, the known carrier gas and sample flow rates and flow tube pressure, according to the general method for the calculation of absolute trace gas concentrations in air from selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry data [20]. The rate coefficients were taken from the kinetic library supplied with the SIFT-MS instrument where available or estimated theoretically [19]. The accuracy of quantification in this complex mixture is estimated to be a factor of about two, +100% -50% of estimated absolute error. The relative precision of the measurement, however, is better estimated at about +/- 30%. Thus the results provide an order of magnitude of information about the concentrations of the main components of the thermal decomposition and combustion products in the sampled fumes.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 FTIR spectroscopy

In the NIR and MIR spectra of fumes from the uncontrolled combustion (Figure 2), we

successfully identified a homologous series of saturated hydrocarbons, which were assigned on the basis of stretching vibrational and rotational modes of C-H groups of methane (3019 cm^{-1}), ethane (2985 , 2969 and 2954 cm^{-1}) and propane (2977 and 2962 cm^{-1}); the unsaturated hydrocarbon ethene, which was verified by the existence of vibrational and rotational bands of the rocking modes of the C-H group at 949 cm^{-1} , and acetylene, whose stretching vibrational and rotational modes of the C-H group were observed at 3374 and 3289 cm^{-1} and deformation vibrational and rotational bands of the same group at 730 cm^{-1} . The group of aldehydes was represented by acetaldehyde and formaldehyde, whose stretching vibrational and rotational modes of C-H groups lay in the FTIR spectrum at 2715 cm^{-1} (acetaldehyde) and 2780 and 2778 cm^{-1} (formaldehyde). The above-listed analysis of the IR spectra was performed using the individual vibrational and rotational lines listed in the HITRAN database [21] and using our database in a Bruker OPUS computer program.

Spectra and the analyses of the products of thermal decomposition of PET in a quartz furnace corresponding to the DIN standard, at the temperature of $500\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (see Figure 3a) were similar to uncontrolled combustion. Thus in the FTIR spectra of products of PET decomposed at $500\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, we have identified methane, ethane, ethyne, formaldehyde, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide and water. Figure 3b shows that the PET combustion at $800\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (i.e. above the inflammation point) is a process of more efficient burning and the main combustion products are carbon oxides and water. Carbohydrates and aldehydes appear only in concentrations that are lower than the detection limit of our FTIR method.

The main advantage of using the high-resolution FTIR method in comparison to the more commonly used low resolution FTIR spectroscopy (resolution $1\text{-}5\text{ cm}^{-1}$) is that it allows resolving the detailed structure of the vibrational bands, which often overlap in the spectra of complicated gaseous mixtures. With our high-resolution method, we can for example differentiate the stretching rotation-vibration lines of C-H bonds in propane from the

stretching rotation-vibration lines of C-H in methane (see Figure 4).

3.2 GC-MS

Table 1 contains the analytic comparison of gaseous components obtained from the thermal decomposition at a temperature of 500 °C with the combustion products obtained at 800 °C. Twenty three organic molecules were identified at 500 °C, and at 800 °C, there were twenty one different compounds. The characteristic groups of GC-MS analysis were aromatic compounds, derivatives of benzoic acid, group of phthalates, biphenyles and others. Common products such as styrene, toluene and naphthalene were found in both samples. From the relative abundance of each identified compound in the chromatograms (see Figure 5 and 6) it is evident that their abundance at the temperature of 800 °C is lower than in the case of 500 °C. This trend was confirmed also by SIFT-MS analysis (see the next section and Table 2 there). Exceptions were biphenyle (peak number 17) and naphthalene (peak number 13), their relative abundance was higher in the 800 °C sample.

3.3 SIFT-MS

An analysis of the gaseous products originating from the controlled decomposition and combustion at 500 °C and 800 °C was carried out using three precursor reagent ions H_3O^+ , NO^+ and O_2^+ . Several compounds were identified from the characteristic ions present in the H_3O^+ spectra (see Figure 7). Additionally, the spectra obtained with NO^+ and O_2^+ precursors were used to quantify those compounds which do not react with the H_3O^+ precursors. The O_2^+ spectra were only of a limited value because of the complexity of the mixture and overlap of multiple fragmentation patterns. This has prevented unambiguous identification of more complex organic compounds, however quantification of several hydrocarbons was possible. The compounds identified on the SIFT-MS spectra are listed in Table 2 together with the characteristic product ions and their concentrations calculated for the two temperatures. SIFT-

MS can be also used to quantify the concentration of water vapour in the fumes [22] and the results are given in Table 2.

To illustrate how the ions observed on the spectra are related to the compounds present in the analysed gaseous mixture, we will outline the main features of ion chemistry which are involved. The H_3O^+ precursor ions are known to react with unsaturated hydrocarbon alkenes (C_nH_{2n}) and alkynes (C_nH_{2n-2}) [22] and with aromatic hydrocarbons by proton transfer leading to the formation of the MH^+ product ions.

Such proton transfer also occurs with aldehydes, ketones, small alcohols and many other compounds, as is known from many previous studies [22]. However for styrene and biphenyl, (identified by GC-MS, see Section 3.2) there was so far no knowledge of the SIFT-MS product ions. Thus, we have carried out a quick study of the ion chemistry of these two compounds and found that proton transfer is indeed the only process occurring for both styrene and biphenyl and no fragmentation occurs. In fact all products of H_3O^+ reactions identified in table 2 are protonated molecules MH^+ . The aromatic hydrocarbons were responsible for the following ions in the H_3O^+ mass spectra: m/z 79 (benzene), 93 (toluene) and 107 (xylene, ethylbenzene) and for the ions at m/z 78, 92 and 106 in the NO^+ spectra. The ions in the H_3O^+ mass spectra at m/z 31 and 49 ($MH^+ \cdot H_2O$) are due to the presence of formaldehyde and the ions at m/z 45 and 89 are characteristic of acetaldehyde. The ions at m/z 89 are proton bound acetaldehyde dimers ($MH^+ \cdot M$). The ions at m/z 33 and 47 are protonated methanol and ethanol, the ions at m/z 59 and 77 correspond to acetone as is confirmed by the presence of ions at m/z 88 ($NO^+ \cdot M$) in the NO^+ spectrum.

However, it must be noted that H_3O^+ ions do not transfer protons to saturated alkanes and O_2^+ precursors may be used for their identification. This is exemplified in the case of methane:

This reaction is unusually slow, the rate coefficient being only $5.2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [23]. Thus the product ions of the reaction (2) are seen in O_2^+ spectra at m/z 47 as characteristic product ions of methane. Ethylene reacts with O_2^+ by the charge transfer, thus forming product ion at m/z 28.

Aromatic hydrocarbons undergo charge transfer with both NO^+ and O_2^+ precursors, thus forming molecular ions M^+ . Using a combination of H_3O^+ and NO^+ ions was thus appropriate for the reliable quantification of aromatic hydrocarbons in the fume gas samples.

The inspection of Table 2 reveals that in general concentrations of organic products are lower at the higher combustion temperature of 800 °C than at decomposition temperature of 500 °C. This conforms to the results of previous studies [4,9]. The exception to this trend is the behaviour of naphthalene and biphenyl, which are seen to be more concentrated in the products of higher temperature combustion. Also there is significantly more water vapour present in the mixture obtained at 800 °C. In the present study it was not possible to quantify CO_2 using SIFT-MS because the characteristic ion $\text{CO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ overlaps hydrated protonated acetaldehyde at m/z 63, thus exclusion of CO_2 from table 2 does not imply its absence.

4. Conclusions

In this study, high resolution FTIR spectroscopy was used for the first time to analyse products of PET thermal decomposition and combustion. The spectra of a series of these obtained products were measured even under the conditions where their spectral rotation-vibration bands overlap. The results show that high resolution FTIR spectroscopy can hardly compete with methods based on mass detection in cases where trace amounts of heavier organic molecules are to be identified. This is because the rotation-vibration spectra of heavy molecules in their gas phase at room temperature are unresolved and their measuring requires the use of sub-Doppler techniques. On the other hand, the advantage of the FTIR

spectroscopy is that it allows observation of a very broad spectral range and that the intensities of the vibrational bands, mainly of the light molecules (water, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, formaldehyde etc.), provide a broad view of the thermal decomposition and combustion of PET and its degree of conversion into the final combustion products (CO_2 and H_2O). Although only a single-pass absorption arrangement (36.5 cm) was used, we were able to detect small concentrations of some organic substances, such as formaldehyde and propane, in the fumes.

The main aim of this work was to compare the products resulting from uncontrolled combustion of PET (burning in stoves and home boilers) to the processes in which the physical conditions of the thermal decomposition (500°C) or combustion (800°C) are exactly defined and controlled. The results of this study show that uncontrolled burning in air leads to products which are similar to those from thermal decomposition in a quartz furnace at the temperature of 500°C , i.e. below the PET inflammation point of 600°C . In comparison, the products of PET combustion at 800°C contain mainly carbon oxides, water and the heavier hydrocarbons in significantly lower concentrations. The only two cases where concentration increased at the higher temperature were biphenyle and naphthalene. This was independently indicated by both GC-MS and SIFT-MS results.

From the point of view of the analysis of volatile substances, the gas chromatography method remains the best. The combination of gas chromatography with the mass detection represents a very effective combination of separation and a highly sensitive detection technique. A wide range of aromatic and polyaromatic substances such as the group of phthalates [23] was identified using gas chromatography. Concentrations of these compounds were quantified also using SIFT-MS and the precision of this technique was sufficiently high to observe significant differences in the composition of the fumes originating from different temperatures of decomposition and combustion.

Finally it is worth to mention that FTIR was found to complement mass spectrometry based techniques in identification of methane and C₂ hydrocarbons which were not accessible to the GC-MS and SIFT-MS methods used in this study.

This study confirms the utility of the above mentioned combined analysis in providing important information both for an incineration purpose as for certain assessment of fire effluents danger effects on persons and the environment.

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (Grant No. IAA400400705) and in part by Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (project number 202/06/0776). We greatly appreciate the essential technical contribution of Milan Růžička, MSc. from the Fire Technical Institute in Prague.

References

- [1] B.G. Giriya, R.R.N. Sailaja and Giridhar Madras, *Polym. Deg. and Stab.* **90**, 147 (2005).
- [2] Frederic Fraisse, Vincent Verney, Sophie Commereuc and Martin Obadal, *Polym. Deg. and Stab.* **90**, 250 (2005).
- [3] Takao Masuda, Yasuo Miwa, Atsushi Tamagawa, S.R. Mukai, Kenji Hashimoto and Yuichi Ikeda, *Polym. Deg. and Stab.* **58**, 315 (1997).
- [4] Ignacio Martin-Gullón, Mar Esperanza, Rafael Font, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, **58–59**, 635 (2001).
- [5] Franck Villain, Jean Coudane and Michel Vert, *Polym. Deg. and Stab.* **43**, 431 (1994).
- [6] Giorgio Montaudo, Concetto Puglisi and Filippo Semperi, *Polym. Deg. and Stab.* **42**, 13 (1993).
- [7] S.G. Kazarian and G.G. Martirosyan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **4**, 3759 (2002).

- [8] <http://www.petpower.nl/plastic-PET-bottles-and-jars/recycling/petpower.aspx#>
- [9] N.A. García, M.M. Esperanza and Rafael Font, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis **68-69**, 577 (2003).
- [10] DIN 53 436: Erzeugung thermischer Zersetzungsproducte von Werkstoffen unter Luftzufuhr und ihre toxikologische Prüfung. Teil 1. Zersetzungsgerät und Bestimmung der Versuchstemperatur (1981).
- [11] Jin Yang, Rosa Miranda and Christian Roy, Polym. Deg. and Stab. **73**, 455 (2001).
- [12] Biswanath Saha, A.K. Ghoshal, Chem. Eng. J. **111**, 39 (2005).
- [13] F. Samperia, C. Puglisia, R. Alicatab and G. Montaud, Polym. Deg. and Stab. **83**, 3 (2004).
- [14] B.J. Holland, J.N. Hay, Polymer **43**, 1835 (2002).
- [15] Chris Sammon, Jack Yarwood and Neil Everall, Polym. Deg. and Stab. **67**, 149 (2000).
- [16] Markéta Urbanová, Jan Šubrt, Anna Galíková and Josef Pola, Polym. Deg. and Stab. **91**, 2318 (2006).
- [17] Vladimír Hnatowicz, Vratislav Peřina, Vladimír Havránek, Václav Voseček, Jiří Novotný, Jiří Vacík, Václav Švorčík, Vladimír Rybka and A Kluge, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B **161-163**, 1099 (2000).
- [18] NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library with Search Program (National Institute of Standards and Technology)
- [19] Patrik Spanel, David Smith, Europ. J. Mass Spectrom. **13**, 77 (2007).
- [20] Patrik Spanel, Kseniya Dryahina, David Smith, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. **249**, 230 (2006).
- [21] The HITRAN Database, <http://cfa-www.harvard.edu/HITRAN/>
- [22] David Smith, Patrik Spanel, Mass Spectrom. Rev. **24**, 661 (2005).
- [23] V.G. Anicich, *An index of the literature for bimolecular gas phase cation-molecule reaction kinetics* (JPL-Publication, Pasadena, 2003).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[24] S.V. Leivadara, A.D. Nikolaou, T.D. Lekkas, Food Chemistry **108**, 277 (2008).

[25] Otto Dvořák, Vlasta Charvátová, *Research on dangerous effects of fire effluents from materials, Final research report*, Prague: Sire Technical Institute (1999).

For Peer Review Only

Figure captions

Figure 1: Tube furnace decomposition and sampling apparatus according to DIN 53 436 [10,25]: 1-furnace tube; 2-connecting adapter; 3-heating thermostat; 4-fume outlet; 5-mixing and measurement vessel; 6-dilutent secondary air inlet (not used in the present study); 7-sampling line; 8-particle filters; 9-metering gas pump; 10-refrigerator; 11-CO, CO₂ and O₂ analyzers; 12-NO₂/NO converter; 13-SO₂, NO_x and moisture analyzer; 14-exhaust outlet.

Figure 2: MIR and NIR spectra of the fumes from uncontrolled combustion of PET.

Figure 3: Comparison of the MIR and NIR spectra of fumes from controlled decomposition and combustion of PET at 500 °C and 800 °C

Figure 4: Rotational-vibrational structure in methane and propane (stretching bands of C-H bonds)

Figure 5: The chromatogram of fumes from controlled decomposition of PET at 500 °C

Figure 6: The chromatogram of fumes from controlled combustion of PET at 800 °C

Figure 7: SIFT-MS spectrum obtained for fumes from controlled decomposition of PET at 500 °C using the H₃O⁺ reagent ion. The ion signal intensities are shown on a logarithmic scale in counts per second (c/s) as a function of the mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) of the ions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: The assignment of peaks from the gas chromatography

PET film – composition of fumes:		500 °C		800 °C	
Assig n.	Name	Area	Ratio	Area	Ratio
1	Phenyl ethyne			32.9	2.59
2	Xylene	76.5	0.50		
3	Styrene	157	1.03	21.6	1.70
4	Benzaldehyde	103	0.67	18.2	1.43
5	Phenol	44	0.29	19	1.50
6	Indene			22	1.73
7	Indane	16	0.10		
8	Phenylacetaldehyde	20.3	0.13		
9	Acetophenone	44	0.29	29.8	2.35
10	Methylbenzoate	24	0.16	6.5	0.51
11	Vinylbenzoate	962	6.29	169	13.32
12	Benzoic acid	4789	31.30	192	15.14
13	Naphthalene	12,4	0.08	63.8	5.03
14	4-methyl-benzoic acid	343	2.24		
15	4-ethyl-benzoic acid, phenyl ester	53.2	0.35		
16	4-ethyl-benzoic acid	323	2.11		
17	Biphenyl	334	2.18	268	21.13
18	3,4-dimethyl acetophenone	350	2.29		
19	Diphenylmethane			14.9	1.17
20	Biphenylene			23	1.81
21	Dibenzofurane			7.1	0.56
22	6-methoxyquinoline-N-oxide	553	3.61	18.4	1.45
23	Phthalates (group of esters of phthalic and terephthalic acids)	5864	38.33	259	20.42
24	Terephthalic acids	353	2.31		
25	9-fluorenone	83	0.54		
26	Anthracene			40.2	3.17
27	4-biphenyl carboxylic acid	540	3.53	42.1	3.32
28	Pyrene			3.8	0.30
29	Ethylene dibenzoate	199	1.30	13.2	1.04
30	1,4-triphenyl	55	0.36	4	0.32
	Footing:	15298.4	100.00	1268.5	100.00

Table 2. Main compounds identified in the SIFT-MS spectra of fumes of PET thermal decomposition and combustion at 500 °C and 800 °C. For each compound, the stoichiometric formula is given together with its approximate molecular weight in g/mol, the precursor ions used for chemical ionization, the corresponding mass to charge ratio of the characteristic product ions and their concentrations in fumes analysed at atmospheric pressure in units of parts per million ppm.

Name	Formula	Molecular weight g/mol	Precursor Ions	m/z of product ions	ppm 500 °C	ppm 800 °C
Water	H ₂ O	18	H ₃ O ⁺	19-37-55	700	9000
Methane	CH ₄	16	O ₂ ⁺	47	600	15
Acetaldehyde	C ₂ H ₄ O	44	H ₃ O ⁺	45	90	8
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	28	O ₂ ⁺	28	11	3
Formaldehyde	CH ₂ O	30	H ₃ O ⁺	31	7	4
Methanol	CH ₄ O	32	H ₃ O ⁺	33-51	4	0.3
Acetone	C ₃ H ₆ O	58	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	59-77 88 43-58	5	0.03
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	78	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	79 78 78	4	2
Phthalates and terephthalic acid	C ₈ H ₆ O ₄	166	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	149 149 149	1.4	0.7
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	104	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	105 104 104	0.8	0.5
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆ O	46	H ₃ O ⁺	47	0.6	0.3
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	92	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	93 92 92	0.5	0.1
Xylene, ethylbenzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	106	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	107 106 106	0.5	0.2
Naphthalene	C ₁₀ H ₈	128	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	129 128 128	0.2	0.4
Biphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₁₀	154	H ₃ O ⁺ NO ⁺ O ₂ ⁺	155 154 154	0.05	0.08
Phenol	C ₆ H ₆ O	94	H ₃ O ⁺	95	0	0.7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

259x180mm (500 x 500 DPI)

289x124mm (500 x 500 DPI)

38x35mm (500 x 500 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

446x221mm (500 x 500 DPI)