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We report the generation of entanglement between two individual $^{87}$Rb atoms in hyperfine ground states $|F = 1, M = 1\rangle$ and $|F = 2, M = 2\rangle$ which are held in two optical tweezers separated by 4 $\mu$m. Our scheme relies on the Rydberg blockade effect which prevents the simultaneous excitation of the two atoms to a Rydberg state. The entangled state is generated in about 200 ns using pulsed two-photon excitation. We quantify the entanglement by applying global Raman rotations on both atoms. We measure that 61% of the initial pairs of atoms are still present at the end of the entangling sequence. These pairs are in the target entangled state with a fidelity of 0.75.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Bg, 32.80.Ee, 32.80.Rm, 42.50.Dv

Entanglement is at the heart of our understanding of quantum physics. It is useful as a resource for quantum information processing, as well as quantum metrology [1] and can serve for the study of strongly correlated systems [2]. In the last decades, entanglement has been demonstrated in many different systems such as photons [3], ions [4], hybrid systems composed of an atom and a photon [5], atomic ensembles [6,7] and more recently between superconducting qubits [8]. Entanglement between two particles can be generated by designing and manipulating interactions between them, as it has been successfully demonstrated with trapped ions [4]. It is more difficult to produce entanglement in neutral atom systems, due to their weaker interactions. One solution, already implemented, makes use of a high-$Q$ cavity to mediate the interaction between transient atoms [9]. Another recent approach uses ultracold atoms in optical lattices and s-wave interaction to create entangled states of many atoms [10,11]. In this letter we demonstrate a different mechanism to entangle two neutral atoms in two hyperfine ground states, based on the Rydberg blockade. This approach has been proposed theoretically as a way to perform fast quantum gates [12–14]. Recent proposals extended this idea to the generation of various entangled states [15,16]. This approach is intrinsically deterministic and scalable to more than two atoms.

The principle of our scheme relies on the Rydberg blockade effect demonstrated recently with two single atoms [17,18]. Because of their large electric dipole when they are in a Rydberg state $|r\rangle$, atoms $a$ and $b$ interact strongly if they are close enough, and the doubly excited state $|r, r\rangle$ is shifted by $\Delta E$. As a consequence, a laser field coupling a ground state $|\downarrow\rangle$ and the Rydberg state $|r\rangle$ (with Rabi frequency $\Omega_{rr}$) cannot excite both atoms at the same time, provided that the linewidth of the excitation is smaller than $\Delta E$. In this blockade regime, the two-atom system absorbs only one excitation and behaves like an effective two-level system [18]: the ground state $|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$ is coupled to the excited state $|\downarrow, r\rangle$.

The principle of our scheme relies on the Rydberg blockade effect demonstrated recently with two single atoms [17,18]. Because of their large electric dipole when they are in a Rydberg state $|r\rangle$, atoms $a$ and $b$ interact strongly if they are close enough, and the doubly excited state $|r, r\rangle$ is shifted by $\Delta E$. As a consequence, a laser field coupling a ground state $|\downarrow\rangle$ and the Rydberg state $|r\rangle$ (with Rabi frequency $\Omega_{rr}$) cannot excite both atoms at the same time, provided that the linewidth of the excitation is smaller than $\Delta E$. In this blockade regime, the two-atom system absorbs only one excitation and behaves like an effective two-level system [18]: the ground state $|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$ is coupled to the excited state $|\downarrow, r\rangle$.

$$|\Psi_{r}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i k \cdot r_{a}|r, \uparrow\rangle} + e^{i k \cdot r_{b}|\downarrow, r\rangle}),$$

where $k$ is related to the wave vectors of the excitation lasers and $r_{a/b}$ are the positions of the atoms. The coupling strength between these states is enhanced by a factor $\sqrt{2}$.

![FIG. 1 (color online). Experimental setup. (a) Two atoms are held at a distance of 4 $\mu$m in two optical tweezers formed by focused laser beams at 810 nm (not shown). The fluorescence of each atom is directed onto separate avalanche photodiodes (APDs). The $\sigma^{+}$-polarized 475 nm laser has a waist of 25 $\mu$m and is directed along the $z$ axis, the two 795 nm lasers have waists of 130 $\mu$m, copropagate along the $x$ axis and have both linear polarization, one along the quantization axis, the other perpendicular. The 475 and 795 nm lasers have powers of 30 and 15 mW, respectively, which correspond to Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{475}/(2\pi) \sim 25$ MHz and $\Omega_{795}/(2\pi) \sim 300$ MHz. (b) Atomic level structure and lasers used for the excitation towards the Rydberg state. The 475 nm laser and the two 795 nm lasers are tuned to the two-photon transitions from $|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|r\rangle$ and from $|r\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$.](image-url)
with respect to the one between \(|\bot\rangle\) and \(|r\rangle\) for a single atom [18]. Thus, starting from \(|\bot\rangle,\rangle\), a pulse of duration \(\pi/\sqrt{2}\Omega_{i}\) prepares the state \(|\Psi_{i}\rangle\). To produce entanglement between the atoms in two ground states, the Rydberg state \(|r\rangle\) is mapped onto another ground state \(|\bot\rangle\) using additional lasers (wave vector \(k'\), Rabi frequency \(\Omega_{i}\)) with a pulse of duration \(\pi/\Omega_{i}\). This sequence results in the maximally entangled state

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\bot\rangle \pm e^{i\phi}|\bot\rangle,\rangle),
\]

which leads to a calculated interaction energy \(\Phi = \hbar\Omega_{i}\), which is phase locked to each other and can also be used to drive a two-atom state, we drive global Raman rotations on both traps (see below) before the pushout laser is applied. Subsequently, we record for each trap whether the atom is present or not. From the results of 100 experiments we extract the probabilities \(P_{a1}\) and \(P_{b0}\) to recapture an atom in trap \(a\) or \(b\), the joint probabilities \(P_{01}\) and \(P_{10}\) to lose atom \(a\) and recapture atom \(b\) or vice versa, as well as probabilities \(P_{11}\) and \(P_{00}\) to recapture or lose both atoms, respectively.

We are interested in evaluating the amount of entanglement between pairs of atoms present in the traps at the end of the entangling sequence. Our state detection scheme based on the pushout technique identifies unambiguously any atom \(a\) or \(b\) when it is in state \(|\bot\rangle\). However, it does not discriminate between atoms in state \(|\bot\rangle\) and atoms lost during the sequence. In order to count the events for which both atoms are present at the end of the sequence, we have measured in a separate experiment the probability to recapture a pair of atoms after the excitation and mapping pulse, without applying the pushout laser, \(p_{\text{recap}} = 0.62(3)\) (the origin of these losses are detailed below). Only these remaining pairs, with correlations characterized by the joint probability \(P_{11}\), will be considered for the analysis of entanglement. This approach is very similar to the one used in Bell inequality tests with one-way polarizers [23, 24]. In these experiments, the number of relevant photon pairs is first measured by removing the polarizers, and then only one qubit state is detected, while the other one is lost.

We describe the final two-atom state by the density matrix \(\hat{\rho}\). As a measure of entanglement we use the fidelity

\[
F = \langle \Psi^{+} | \hat{\rho} | \Psi^{+} \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{Bell}}) / \sqrt{\text{tr}(\rho_{\text{Bell}})^{2}} + \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{Bell}})
\]

with the maximally entangled state \(\Psi^{+}\). Our experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 1(a). Two \(^{87}\)Rb atoms are held in two optical tweezers separated by 4 \(\mu\)m. The interatomic axis is aligned with a magnetic field \((B = 9\) G), which defines the quantization axis and lifts the degeneracy of the Zeeman sublevels. The tweezers are formed by two laser beams at 810 nm which are sent at a small angle through a microscope objective focusing the beams to a waist of 0.9 \(\mu\)m. Atoms are captured from an optical molasses and, due to the small trapping volume, either one or no atom is captured in each trap [19]. The same objective collects the fluorescence light of the atoms induced by the molasses beams at 780 nm. The light coming from each trapped atom is directed onto separate avalanche photodiodes (APDs) which allows us to discriminate for each trap whether an atom is present or not.

The relevant levels of \(^{87}\)Rb are shown in Fig. 1(b). We have chosen the Rydberg state \(|r\rangle = |58d_{3/2}, F = 3, M = 3\rangle\). The interaction energy between two atoms in this state is enhanced by a Förster resonance [20] which leads to a calculated interaction energy \(\Delta E/h = 50\) MHz for a distance between the atoms of 4 \(\mu\)m [18]. The ground states are \(|\bot\rangle = |F = 1, M = 1\rangle\) and \(|\bot\rangle = |F = 2, M = 2\rangle\) of the \(5s_{1/2}\) manifold, separated in frequency by 6.8 GHz. To excite one atom from \(|\bot\rangle\) to \(|r\rangle\), we use a two-photon transition with a \(\sigma\)-polarized laser at 795 nm and a \(\sigma^{\prime}\)-polarized laser at 475 nm. The frequency of the 795 nm laser is blue-detuned by \(\delta = 600\) MHz from the transition from \(|F = 1, M = 1\rangle\) to \((5p_{1/2}, F = 2\rangle\) in order to reduce spontaneous emission. The measured Rabi frequency of the two-photon transition from \(|\bot\rangle\) to \(|r\rangle\) is \(\Omega_{i}/2\pi = 6\) MHz for a single atom. We use the same 475 nm laser for the transition from \(|r\rangle\) to \(|\bot\rangle\), but a second 795 nm laser, linearly polarized perpendicular to the quantization axis, with a frequency 6.8 GHz higher to address state \(|\bot\rangle\). The measured Rabi frequency for this second two-photon transition is \(\Omega_{i}/2\pi = 5\) MHz. The two 795 nm lasers are phase locked to each other and can also be used to drive state rotations on the qubit states \(|\bot\rangle\) and \(|\bot\rangle\) [21]. The atomic state is readout by applying a pushout laser beam resonant to the \(F = 2\) to \(F = 3\) transition of the \(D2\) line [22], which ejects atoms that are in state \(|\bot\rangle\) (or in other \(M\) states of the \(F = 2\) ground level) from the trap. Only atoms that are in \(|\bot\rangle\) (or in other \(M\) states of the \(F = 1\) level) will stay in the trap and will be detected.
The global Raman rotation allows us to extract from $P_{11}$ all relevant diagonal and off-diagonal elements of $\hat{\rho}$ necessary to quantify the amount of entanglement. A Raman laser pulse of duration $t$, Rabi frequency $\Omega_||$ and phase $\varphi$ rotates the state of each atom according to $|1\rangle \rightarrow \cos q|1\rangle + i e^{i\varphi} \sin q|1\rangle$, and $|1\rangle \rightarrow i e^{-i\varphi} \sin q|1\rangle + \cos q|1\rangle$ with $q = \Omega_||t$. The two atoms are exposed to the same laser field and undergo a rotation with the same angles $\theta$ and $\varphi$. In our experiment the phase of the Raman pulse varies randomly from shot-to-shot over $2\pi$ and consequently our measurement results are averaged over $\varphi$. We calculate the expected values, averaged over $\varphi$, of $P_{a/b}(\theta)$ and $P_{11}(\theta)$ as a function of the matrix elements of $\hat{\rho}$ and compare the expressions with the measurement results. When averaging over $\varphi$, all off-diagonal elements of $\hat{\rho}$ cancel in the observables we measure, apart from the relevant coherence $\rho_{1111}$.

The mean value of $P_{a/b}(\theta)$ is related to the probability $L_{a/b}$ to lose atoms $a$ and $b$, respectively, after the excitation and mapping pulse. From $\langle P_{a/b}(\theta)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(1 - L_{a/b})$ we find $L_a = L_b = 0.22(1)$. Assuming independent losses for atoms $a$ and $b$ we find the probability to lose at least one of the two atoms $L_{total} = L_a + L_b - L_a L_b = 0.39(2)$, which is confirmed by the recapture probability of a pair of atoms after the excitation and mapping pulse $P_{\text{recap}} = 0.62(3)$ quoted above. Most of these losses are related to the fact that an atom left in the Rydberg state is lost, since it is not trapped in the dipole trap. Using a model, we identify the following mechanisms. Firstly, spontaneous emission from the $5p_{1/2}$ state populates the state $|1\rangle$ from which $\sim7\%$ of the atoms get excited to the Rydberg state by the mapping pulse [26]. Secondly, intensity fluctuations (5%) and frequency fluctuations (3 MHz) of the excitation lasers reduce the efficiency of the mapping pulse so that $\sim7\%$ of the atoms will not be transferred back from the Rydberg state to $|1\rangle$. Independent of the Rydberg excitation, we measured losses during the time the trap is switched off ($\sim3\%$) as well as errors in the detection of the presence of the atom ($\sim3\%$).

The measurement result of $P_{11}(\theta)$ is shown in Fig. 2. Ideally we expect $P_{11}(\theta)$ to oscillate between 0 and 1/2 with frequency $2\Omega_||$ when varying the pulse duration $t$. We observe indeed oscillations with frequency $2\Omega_||$, but also oscillations with frequency $\Omega_||$ which have an amplitude $(P_\parallel - P_\perp)/2$. From the data we extract $P_\parallel = P_{11}(0)$ and $P_\perp = P_{11}(\pi)$. Combining the value of the total losses $L_{total}$ and the normalization condition we get $P_{\parallel} + P_{\perp}$. The mean value $\langle P_{11}(\theta)\rangle = (P_\parallel + P_\perp + 3P_{11} + 3P_{10} + 2\Re(\rho_{1111}))/8$ yields $\Re(\rho_{1111})$. Table I summarizes the complete information about the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ one can extract from global Raman rotations without control of $\varphi$.

Our analysis allows us to calculate the fidelity $F_{\text{pairs}}$ of the sample consisting only of the 61% remaining pairs of atoms with respect to $|\Psi^+\rangle$. Using $F = 0.46$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}) = 0.61(= p_{\text{recap}})$ as deduced from Table I, we find $F_{\text{pairs}} = 0.75(7)$ revealing the quantum nature of the observed correlations. We emphasize that $F_{\text{pairs}}$ is the fidelity of the atom pairs, which are present in the two traps before the state measurement. It is therefore the amount of useful entanglement since it can be used for subsequent quantum information protocols with pairs of atoms. It is also the fidelity we would measure if we had a detection scheme that could discriminate the internal states of an atom which does not rely on expelling the atom from the trap.

We identify two mechanisms lowering the fidelity $F_{\text{pairs}}$ with respect to the ideal case. First, an imperfect Rydberg blockade which leads to the excitation of the two atoms (probability $\sim10\%$ [18]) and their subsequent mapping to the state $|1\rangle$, leading to a nonzero component of $P_\parallel$. Second, the excess value of $P_{11}$ is explained by spontaneous emission and imperfect Rydberg excitation.

To confirm our results we analyze the signal $\Pi(\theta) = P_60 + P_{11} - P_{01} - P_{10}$ which is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of the Raman rotation angle $\theta$. The signal $\Pi(\theta)$

![FIG. 2 (color online). Measured probability $P_{11}(\theta)$ to recapture the two atoms at the end of the entanglement procedure, followed by a Raman pulse on both atoms for different pulse durations. The data are fitted by a function of the form $y_0 + A \cos \Omega_\uparrow t + B \cos 2\Omega_\uparrow t$, according to the discussion in the text. The error bars on the data are statistical.](image1)

![FIG. 3 (color online). Measured signal $\Pi$ for different durations of the analysing Raman pulse. The data are fitted by a function of the form $y_0 + A \cos \Omega_\uparrow t + B \cos 2\Omega_\uparrow t$ as discussed in the text. The error bars on the data are statistical.](image2)
TABLE I. Measured values of the density matrix elements characterizing the state prepared in the experiment extracted from $P_{\|}(\theta)$. The error bars are statistical. Note that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}) = 0.61$ because of the loss $L_{\text{total}} = 0.39(2)$ from the qubit states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix elements</th>
<th>Experimental values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{|||} = P_{|}$</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{|||} = P_{|}$</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{|||} + \rho_{|||} = P_{|} + P_{|}$</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{R}(\rho_{|||})$</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is equal to the parity [27] when there are no atom losses, then identifying a loss (0) with state $|\|$ and a recapture (1) with state $|\rangle$. For the maximally entangled state given by Eq. (2), the parity oscillates between $-1$ and $+1$ with a frequency of $2\Omega_{\|}$, while here the observed $\Pi(\theta)$ oscillates at two frequencies, $\Omega_{\|}$ and $2\Omega_{\|}$. The oscillation with $\Omega_{\|}$ can be assigned to events where one of the atoms is lost, while the oscillation with $2\Omega_{\|}$ can be attributed to cases where both atoms are present before the state detection. Then we calculate $\mathcal{P}(\pi/2) = 2\mathcal{R}(\rho_{\|\|\|}) + L_{\|}L_{\|}$, from which we can deduce the coherence. We find $\mathcal{R}(\rho_{\|\|\|}) = 0.22(4)$, which is in good agreement with the analysis described above, and shows once more the consistency of our data analysis.

So far we have neglected the influence of the atomic motion on the fidelity of the entangled state by assuming frozen motion of the atoms during the sequence. From an independent release and recapture experiment we measure a temperature of the atoms in the traps of $T = 60 \mu K$ [28]. Consequently, when the dipole trap is switched off during the entanglement sequence of duration $\delta t$, the atoms move in free flight. Hence, the phase in Eq. (2) is now $\phi = \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v}_a - \mathbf{v}_b) \delta t$, assuming $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$, with $\mathbf{v}_a$/$\mathbf{v}_b$ the velocity of the atoms. The phase $\phi$ fluctuates from shot-to-shot and the entanglement degrades with the strength of the fluctuations towards a mixed state. A simple model where we average the phase factor over the thermal velocity distribution yields $\langle e^{i\phi} \rangle = e^{-\Delta \phi^2/2}$, with $\Delta \phi = \sqrt{\frac{\delta T}{\hbar}} |\mathbf{k}| \delta t$, where $M$ is the mass of the atom, and $\hbar$ is the Boltzmann constant. For our shortest entangling sequence (pulse duration 70 and 110 ns, respectively, with 30 ns delay between the pulses), we calculate $\langle e^{i\phi} \rangle = 0.94$, resulting in a theoretical maximum fidelity $F_{\text{max}} = 0.97$.

We repeated the experiment with a separation of the excitation and mapping pulse of 600 ns. Following the procedure described above, we extract a decrease of $\mathcal{R}(\rho_{\|\|\|})$ by a factor of 0.5 with respect to the case with 30 ns separation between the two pulses. This factor is in agreement with the theoretical value $\langle e^{i\phi} \rangle = 0.45$ given by our simple model. This indicates that the fidelity is ultimately limited by the residual temperature of the atoms, although this is presently not the main limiting factor.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the entanglement of two atoms using the Rydberg blockade. The 61% pairs of atoms remaining at the end of the sequence are in a state with a fidelity 0.75(7) with respect to the expected $|\Psi^+\rangle$, showing the nonclassical origin of the correlations. Future work will be devoted to the measurement of the coherence time of the entangled state, as well as the improvement of the fidelity and the state detection scheme.
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[21] We observe Rabi oscillations between $|\rangle$ and $|\rangle$ with an amplitude of 0.95, which includes the fidelity of state initialization and of state detection.
[26] Spontaneous emission to state $|F = 2, M = 1\rangle$, which would result in a loss ($\sim 2\%$) from the qubit basis, is negligible given our error bars, due to a small branching ratio.