Changes in amphipod (Crustacea) assemblages associated with shallow-water algal habitats invaded by var. in the western Mediterranean Sea

M. Vazquez-Luis, P. Sanchez-Jerez, J. Bayle-Sempere

► To cite this version:

M. Vazquez-Luis, P. Sanchez-Jerez, J. Bayle-Sempere. Changes in amphipod (Crustacea) assemblages associated with shallow-water algal habitats invaded by var. in the western Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2008, 65 (5), pp.416. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.01.006 . hal-00501938

HAL Id: hal-00501938

<https://hal.science/hal-00501938>

Submitted on 13 Jul 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Changes in amphipod (Crustacea) assemblages associated with shallow-water algal habitats invaded by *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* in the western Mediterranean Sea

M. Vazquez-Luis, P. Sanchez-Jerez, J. Bayle-Sempere

PII: S0141-1136(08)00016-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.01.006)

Reference: MERE 3168

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 3 December 2007

Revised Date: 30 January 2008

Accepted Date: 31 January 2008

Please cite this article as: Vazquez-Luis, M., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J., Changes in amphipod (Crustacea) assemblages associated with shallow-water algal habitats invaded by *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* in the western Mediterranean Sea, *Marine Environmental Research* (2008), doi: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.01.006)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Changes in amphipod (Crustacea) assemblages associated with shallow-water algal habitats invaded by *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* in the western Mediterranean Sea.

Vazquez-Luis¹, M.; Sanchez-Jerez², P. and Bayle-Sempere, J.²

(1) Centro de Investigación Marina de Santa Pola (CIMAR), Ayto. de Santa Pola y Univ. de Alicante. Torre d'Enmig s/n, Cabo de Santa Pola, Alicante (Spain).

MT.Vazquez@ua.es

Tel.: +34 96 5903400x2916

Fax: +34 96 590 98 40

(2) Departamento de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Edificio Ciencias V.

Universidad de Alicante. POB. 99. E-03080 Alicante (Spain).

Abstract

The effects of the invasive species *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* (hereafter *C. racemosa*) on amphipod assemblages associated with shallow-water rocky habitats were studied. Two habitats located along the SE Iberian Peninsula were compared; invaded and non-invaded. The results showed that growth of *C. racemosa* affects habitat structure, influencing the species composition and biomass of macroalgae, and detritus accumulation. In turn, such changes in habitat features affected the associated amphipod assemblages with different ecological requirements. However, the species richness of amphipods was relatively high in both habitats, while the species composition of amphipods changed completely. For example, some species such as *Ampithoe ramondi* and *Hyale schmidtii* did not colonize invaded habitats, while others such as *Apocorophium acutum* were favoured by the spread of *C. racemosa*. Habitat

invasion by *C. racemosa* can have an important influence on biotic assemblages, modifying both habitat structure and the associated fauna, with unknown effects on the overall ecosystem.

Key words: Amphipoda, *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea*, detritus, invasive species, Mediterranean Sea, shallow rocky bottoms.

1. Introduction

The green alga *Caulerpa racemosa* (Forsskål) J. Agardh var. *cylindracea* (Sonder) Verlaque, Huisman et Boudouresque (Verlaque et al., 2003) (hereafter *C. racemosa*) was first detected in Tunisia (Mediterranean Sea) in 1926. More recently, during the 1990s, *C. racemosa* spread steadily throughout the Mediterranean region (see Piazzì et al., 2005). Recent genetic analyses have shown that the *C. racemosa* population originated from the southwest coast of Western Australia (Verlaque et al., 2003), and is not a Lessepsian migrant as thought originally. A remarkable spread of *C. racemosa* throughout the Mediterranean has been recorded during the last 15 years or so, during which the alga invaded different habitats located at water depths of 0 m to more than 60 m. The recorded rate of invasion has been much faster than that for other invasive species such as *Caulerpa taxifolia* (Verlaque et al., 2003). The rapid spread of *C. racemosa* can be explained by its very efficient reproductive strategies, both sexual and asexual (via propagules), which is not the case with *Caulerpa taxifolia* (Panayotidis and Žuljević, 2001; Renoncourt and Meisenez, 2002).

Several studies have demonstrated that habitat heterogeneity and complexity play an important role in influencing the assemblage structure of epibenthic marine fauna (Johnson, 1970; Stoner, 1980; Dean and Connell, 1987; Edgar, 1992; Taylor and Cole,

1994, Ayala and Martín, 2003). The pattern of distribution and species richness and abundance of epifauna on rocky bottoms is affected by changes in the composition of associated macroalgae (Connell, 1972). Therefore, changes in habitat structure of vegetated substrates resulting from the invasion of species such as *C. racemosa* could affect the associated fauna, including amphipods.

Amphipods are one of the most important groups of invertebrates associated with vegetated habitats, and they play an important role as trophic resources for fish populations (Sanchez-Jerez et al., 1999; Stål et al., 2006). Amphipods respond to habitat alteration and can therefore be used as an indicator of environmental impacts on vegetated habitats (Bellan-Santini, 1980; Virnstein, 1987; Conradi et al., 1997; Sanchez-Jerez et al., 2000).

Since the first records of spread of *C. racemosa* along Mediterranean coastal areas, several studies concerning the ecology of this species have been undertaken (see Ruitton et al., 2005; Cavas et al., 2006). However, information on changes on the associated fauna brought about by the invasive alga is lacking (Argyrou et al., 1999; Piazzzi and Balata, 2007). The main aim of this study was to assess the effects of *C. racemosa* on amphipod assemblages associated with a shallow water rocky bottom habitat in the southwestern Mediterranean Sea, by comparing natural rocky algal assemblages and the same habitat type that has been invaded by *C. racemosa*. The hypothesis tested in our study was: invasion by *C. racemosa* should influence the habitat structure of rocky bottom algal assemblages and, consequently, the assemblage structure of associated epifauna such as amphipods may be affected, in terms of species richness and abundance.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

Fieldwork was carried out along the Cape of Santa Pola (Alicante, south-east Spain; Fig. 1) on a shallow rocky platform. In Alicante, *C. racemosa* was first recorded in 2002 at a site located around ten kilometres north of our study area, where it colonised soft sediments and dead matte of *Posidonia oceanica*. Following two months, *C. racemosa* was detected on the rocky platform in our study area (Pena-Martin et al., 2003). The alien alga now occurs in extensive areas of ecologically important rocky bottoms, on sandy and muddy substrata, and on dead matte of *Posidonia oceanica*. It also occurs intermixed with *Cymodocea nodosa* in meadows of the seagrass within a wide depth range (0.2 - 1.5 m) and with patchy distribution.

2.2. Sampling and experimental design

Shallow rocky habitat, located within the 0.2 m - 0.5 m depth range, was sampled. Several sampling sites, separated by hundreds of metres, with *C. racemosa* and without the alien alga, were randomly selected across the study area. All sites presented similar environmental conditions with respect to wave exposure and light (Ferrandis-Ballester and Bartolomé Pina, 1985). Three 'invaded' rocky habitat sites and another three 'non-invaded' rocky habitat sites were sampled in September 2004 (average water temperature = 27.5 °C), which coincides with the period of maximum vegetative growth of the alga (Piazzi and Cinelli, 1999). Other sites were sampled again in March 2005 (water temperature = 13 °C) which coincides with the period of minimum vegetative grow. At each site, three random replications were taken using a 20×20 cm quadrat by scraping the whole surface using a trowel (Edgar, 1990). Samples were separated by tens of meters. A 300 µm mesh bag was attached to the quadrat to avoid loss of the motile fauna. Samples were preserved in 4% solution of formaldehyde in seawater. Each replicate was sieved in sea-water through a 500 µm mesh, obtaining the fine

fraction of detritus. In the laboratory, the amphipods were separated, identified, and counted. After that, algae were sorted as well as the bigger fragments of detritus. Afterwards the macrophytes and the detritus were dried for 24 h at 80 °C, and weighed. The macrophytes were identified to the species level. Habitat structure was characterised using three attributes: species richness of macrophytes, biomass of each species (g) and quantity of detritus (g).

2.3. Data analysis

Two different statistical approaches were used to identify potential changes in the amphipod assemblages and habitat features, based on the null hypothesis of no change in amphipod assemblage composition between the two habitat types across different sampling times.

2.3.1. Multivariate analysis of assemblage structure

Non-parametric multidimensional scaling (MDS) was used as the ordination method for exploring changes in amphipod and macroalgal assemblages (Clarke and Warwick, 1994). The similarity matrix, which was calculated using the Bray-Curtis index and double square transformation of data, was used to construct bivariate MDS plots. Calculation of percentage similarities (SIMPER) was then applied to calculate the contribution of each species to the dissimilarity between sampling times and habitats. (PRIMER software; Clarke, 1993).

2.3.2. Univariate analysis

125 Algal biomass, *C. racemosa* biomass, species richness of seaweeds, biomass of detritus,
 126 total abundance of amphipods, species richness of amphipods and abundance of seven
 127 amphipod species (the most important by SIMPER) were analysed using ANOVA. To
 128 test whether the abundance of amphipods was similar across habitats and times we used
 129 an analysis of variance (ANOVA) which incorporated the following factors: 'Time of
 130 sampling', a fixed factor and orthogonal, with two treatments: September-04 and
 131 March-05; 'habitat', a fixed factor, with two treatments: macroalgal community with *C.*
 132 *racemosa* (invaded habitat) and without *C. racemosa* (non-invaded habitat), and 'Site',
 133 a random factor and nested with the both main factors, with three random sampling
 134 sites.

135 Prior to ANOVA, heterogeneity of variance was tested with Cochran's *C*-test. Data
 136 were $\sqrt{x} + 1$ transformed if variances were significantly different at $p = 0.05$, and $\log(x$
 137 $+ 1)$ transformed if variance was still heterogeneous. Where variance remained
 138 heterogeneous, untransformed data were analysed, as ANOVA is a robust statistical test
 139 and is relatively unaffected by heterogeneity of variances, particularly in balanced
 140 experiments (Underwood, 1997), however, in such cases special care was taken in the
 141 interpretation of results. Furthermore, in such cases, to reduce type I error, the level of
 142 significance was reduced to < 0.01 . When ANOVA indicted a significant difference for
 143 a given factor, the source of difference was identified by applying the Student-Newman-
 144 Keul (SNK) test (Underwood, 1981; Underwood, 1997).

145

146 **3. Results**

147 **3.1. Habitat features**

148 A total of 24 taxa of macroalgae and one seagrass species were identified (Table 1).
 149 Species richness showed significant seasonal differences (Table 2; $T_i = P < 0.05$). During

September, the invaded habitat showed a reduced number of macroalgal species: only five together with *C. racemosa*, compared with 11 species recorded from the non-invaded habitat. The most important species in terms of biomass in the non-invaded places were *Jania rubens*, *Halopteris scoparia* and *Cystoseira brachicarpa*, while in the invaded habitat *C. racemosa*, *Jania rubens* and *Padina pavonica* were most important (Table 1). In March, differences between invaded and non-invaded habitats were lower (14 where *C. racemosa* was present and 16 species where it was absent). The most important species in non-invaded sites during March were *Corallina elongata*, *Jania rubens* and *Dictyota fasciola*; and *C. racemosa*, *Cladophora* sp. and *Halopteris scoparia* dominated at invaded sites (Table 1). The MDS two-dimensional representation of macrophyte biomass clearly showed segregation of sampling sites mainly related to sampling time and habitat (Fig. 2).

In the case of algal biomass, excluding *C. racemosa*, significant differences were noted for the invaded habitat with respect to the non-invaded habitat (Table 2; Fig. 3). Total algal biomass recorded in September was similar between the two different habitats (347.67 ± 117.44 g dw m⁻² for non-invaded habitat compared to 379.55 ± 64.63 g dw m⁻² for invaded habitat; Table 1), however, *C. racemosa* contributed a high value (74.6%), and replacing other native species. Non-invaded sites showed the highest mean biomass value, which reached a maximum value of 826.46 ± 203.15 in September (Fig. 3). However, total biomass (326.52 ± 79.21 g dw m⁻²) at the invaded sites, without a recovery of the community in spite of the reduction of *C. racemosa* biomass.

Differences in accumulated detritus biomass was significant between habitats ($P < 0.05$, Table 2). The highest values were recorded from invaded habitats during both sampling periods (472.48 g dw m⁻² in September and 466.92 g dw m⁻² in March). On the other hand, detritus biomass was relatively low at non-invaded habitats during

175 September ($5.87 \pm 1.51 \text{ g dw m}^{-2}$), and higher in March ($242.22 \pm 47.25 \text{ g dw m}^{-2}$; Fig.
176 3).

177

178 3.2. Amphipod assemblage

179 A total of 31 amphipod taxa, belonging to 13 families, were recorded (Table 3).
180 Species richness was significantly different (Ti, $P < 0.05$, Table 4; Fig. 4a) among
181 sampling times but similar between habitats. In September, species richness recorded
182 from the habitat dominated by *C. racemosa* was similar to that recorded from the non-
183 invaded habitat (16 and 14 species respectively; Table 3), while for both habitats, values
184 were higher in March (20 and 24 species, Table 3). Only ten species were present in
185 both habitats and in both months: *Amphilocheus neapolitanus*, *Ampithoe ramondi*,
186 *Microdeutopus* spp., *Caprella* spp., *Apocorophium acutum*, *Elasmopus* spp., *Lysianassa*
187 *costae*, *Pereionotus testudo*, *Stenothoe monoculodes* and *Hyale schmidtii*. Seven species
188 were found only in the non-invaded habitats: *Amphilocheus* sp., *Ampelisca*
189 *serraticaudata*, *Ampithoe ferox*, *Lembos* sp., *Leptocheirus guttatus*, *Lysianassa* sp. and
190 *Stenothoe tergestina*; while there seemed to be a relationship between the invaded
191 habitat and the following six amphipods: *Ampelisca diadema*, *Corophium* spp.
192 *Corophium insidiosum*, *Gammarella fucicola*, *Melita palmata* and *Orchomene humilis*.
193 Total abundance was similar between habitats in September, but there were significant
194 differences between the two habitats in March, with a maximum value of 6497.22
195 ind/m² recorded from the non-invaded habitat (Ti x Ha $P < 0.01$, Table 4; Fig. 4b).

196 The two-dimensional MDS plot for the amphipod assemblages showed
197 segregation of sampling sites that was mainly related to the sampling period, while
198 moderate segregation was noted by habitat type during March (Fig. 5). The main

199 species responsible for dissimilarities in amphipod assemblages were *Caprella* spp.,
 200 *Ampithoe ramondi*, *Microdeutopus* spp., *Elasmopus* spp., *Apocorophium acutum*, *Hyale*
 201 *schmidtii* and *Lysianassa costae*, for which an average dissimilarity of 64.73 % was
 202 noted between habitats. Together, these species comprised 90 % of the total amphipod
 203 abundance.

204 The seven most important amphipod species showed different patterns of
 205 abundance values with respect to macroalgal assemblage. Abundance of *Ampithoe*
 206 *ramondi* and *Hyale schmidtii* were significantly higher in non-invaded habitats (Ha,
 207 $P < 0.05$, Table 4; Fig. 6a, b). On the other hand, *Apocorophium acutum* had a
 208 significantly higher abundance in the invaded habitat (Ha, $P < 0.05$, Table 4; Fig. 6c).
 209 Significant differences were recorded for *Microdeutopus* spp. in terms of sampling
 210 times (Ti, $P < 0.05$, Table 4; Fig. 6d), while it was more abundant in September at
 211 invaded habitats but without significant differences.

212 With regard to differences between sampling times (Table 3), several species
 213 appeared only in September (*Amphilochus* sp., *Melita palmata* and *Orchomene*
 214 *humilis*); or in March (*Ampelisca diadema*, *Ampelisca serraticaudata*, *Lembos* sp.,
 215 *Leptocheirus guttatus*, *Corophium* spp., *Corophium insidiosum*, *Dexamine spiniventris*,
 216 *Gammarella fucicola*, *Melita hergensis*, *Lysianassa* sp. and *Stenothoe tergestina*).
 217 Dissimilarity between sampling times within non-invaded sites was not very high
 218 (48.09%); the most important species contributing to this dissimilarity were *Caprella*
 219 spp. and *Hyale schmidtii*. Dissimilarity between sampling times within invaded sites was
 220 51.71%, the most important species contributing to this dissimilarity were *Caprella* spp.
 221 and *Lysianassa costae*.

222 The abundance of two species (*Caprella* spp. and *Elasmopus* spp.) was not
 223 significantly different between the two habitat types, but there was a clear pattern of
 224 higher abundances in non-invaded habitat. The abundance of *Caprella* spp. varied
 225 significantly with respect to season, being more abundant in March, especially in the
 226 non-invaded habitat (Ti, $P < 0.05$, Table 4; Fig. 6e). *Elasmopus* spp. seemed to be more
 227 abundant on native seaweeds, especially during September, but not statistical
 228 differences were noted (Table 4, Fig. 6f). Finally, the abundance of *Lysianassa costae*,
 229 was higher in non-invaded habitats, but this was true only in March (TixHa, $P < 0.01$,
 230 Table 4, Fig. 6g); the pattern was opposite during September, but without any
 231 significant differences.

232

233 4. Discussion

234 Our results show that the presence and abundance of *C. racemosa* had a marked
 235 effect on the macroalgal assemblage structure of Mediterranean shallow rocky
 236 communities, affecting the species composition of vegetation and increasing the detritus
 237 stock. This causes important changes in the associated amphipod assemblage, in terms
 238 of both abundance and species composition. The effects of the invasive species on
 239 habitat structure were more important in September because the alga was dominant at
 240 that time. The seasonal variation in abundance values for *C. racemosa* recorded from
 241 our study area was similar to that described in other localities, i.e. with maximum
 242 development in the warm season, while biomass values were similar or even greater
 243 than those recorded from other Mediterranean localities (Piazzi and Cinelli, 1999). The
 244 peculiar growth of *C. racemosa*, with stolons that spread rapidly in all directions, and its
 245 colonisation pattern that results from sexual reproduction (Panayotidis and Žuljevič,
 246 2001), together with dispersal of fragments detached from the plant (Ceccherelli et al.,

2001; Ceccherelli and Piazzì, 2001) and propagules (Renoncourt and Meinesz, 2002) permit *C. racemosa* to colonize a habitat very efficiently. This results in strong modification of habitat structure, particularly that of macroalgal assemblages. On the SE coast of Spain and other locations (e.g. Nervi, Italy; Modena et al., 2000), colonisation by *C. racemosa* has been mostly recorded from rocky bottom habitats located in shallow waters (0.5 m), however, on a wider scale across the Mediterranean region (e.g. France, Italy and Cyprus) more extensive spread of the alga have been recorded on soft bottom habitats in deeper waters (15-20 m) (Argyrou et al., 1999; Verlaque et al., 2004), and on dead matte of *Posidonia oceanica*. Therefore, one would expect that the spread of *C. racemosa* will extend to both rocky and soft bottom habitats, as well as to degraded *P. oceanica* meadows.

With respect to changes in vegetation resulting from the presence of *C. racemosa*, an important reduction in species richness was recorded in September. On the other hand, contrary to results from other studies (Piazzì et al., 2001), species richness was similar between invaded and non-invaded habitats in March (the colder month), which implies that some native species colonized the habitat irrespective of the different species composition and biomass of benthic vegetation. Some authors have shown that several years are necessary for the development of dense and continuous *C. racemosa* stands (Ruitton et al., 2005); possibly during the forthcoming years the effects will persist also during the coldest period at the study area, reducing the possibility of algae colonization. However, the total biomass of *C. racemosa* recorded from our study (259 g dw m⁻²) is comparable or higher than that recorded from studies carried out on French and Italian coasts, and is also higher than values obtained for *C. taxifolia* and *C. prolifera* meadows at some localities (see a review in Capiomont et al., 2005).

271 One of the remarkable impacts of *C. racemosa* is the accumulation of detritus,
 272 which persists throughout the year. In spite of the important seasonal variability of *C.*
 273 *racemosa* biomass, detritus accumulation persist in winter. The mesh generated by the
 274 stolons of this species ensure that detritus is retained, while the multilayered structure
 275 formed by the thallus traps the sediment (Piazzi et al., 2001). Detritus values recorded
 276 during both seasons were 2 to 6 times higher than those recorded from native seaweeds.
 277 This accumulation of detritus causes important changes in the structure of the sediment and
 278 in the granulometric composition (Walker et al., 1991), leading to anoxic conditions in
 279 which toxic substances can be possibly accumulated. On the other hand, detritus plays a
 280 very important role as a trophic resource for marine invertebrates, being one of the main
 281 trophic pathways of the marine ecosystem (Valiella, 1995) and is one of the most
 282 important features of habitat structure in vegetated habitats (Allesina et al., 2005).
 283 Therefore, any modification of the detritus compartment can affect the overall trophic
 284 web, because it could lead to a change in the faunal assemblage structure resulting from
 285 modification of trophic guilds due to different trophic requirements.

286 With respect to the epifauna, various studies have demonstrated that modification
 287 of habitat complexity affects crustacean assemblages (Hicks, 1977, 1982; Stoner, 1980;
 288 Virnstein, 1987; Virnstein and Howard, 1987; Edgar, 1983, 1992; Sanchez-Jerez et al.,
 289 1999; Ayala and Martín, 2003). In our study, the amphipod assemblages were affected
 290 by changes in habitat structure due to colonisation by *C. racemosa*. The total abundance
 291 of amphipods was greater on native seaweeds, mainly in March. However, the species
 292 richness of the amphipod assemblage did not decrease because of the spread of *C.*
 293 *racemosa* but because of an indirect effect; namely the increase in detritus biomass in
 294 invaded habitat resulted in an increase in the abundance of detritivores species (e.g.
 295 *Apocorophium acutum*), and increase in the abundance of herbivorous species (e.g.

296 *Ampithoe ramondi* and *Hyale schmidtii*), hence resulting in a large overall modification
297 of the assemblage species composition.

298 The main modification in amphipod assemblage structure was manifested as an
299 increase in abundance of *Apocorophium acutum* in habitat invaded by *C. racemosa*,
300 which was four times higher than that of other Corophiidae species in eutrophic habitats
301 (Guerra-García and García-Gómez, 2005). Species belonging to the genus
302 *Apocorophium* are tube-dwelling amphipods that inhabit small U-shaped tubes and are
303 selective deposit feeders (Ciarelli et al., 1997), and feed on bacteria, algae, and diatoms
304 adsorbed onto the surface of sediment particles (Meadows and Reid, 1966). Several
305 species of this genus are continuously exposed to toxicants in the sediment, which they
306 ingest while feeding (Bat and Raffaelli, 1998), hence they have been used for sediment
307 toxicity tests. The accumulation of detritus in habitats invaded by *C. racemosa* seems to
308 favour colonization by *Corophium* spp., resulting in a dramatic increase in abundance
309 and establishment of a large population in an altered habitat. *Microdeutopus* spp. also
310 showed a small increase in abundance in habitat invaded by *C. racemosa*, but the effect
311 was only recorded in September. This genus is generally associated with *Posidonia*
312 *oceanica* and fine sand habitats (Ruffo, 1982), but in experiments carried out recently
313 by Roberts and Poore (2005), the family Aoridae were noted to prefer bare rock with a
314 thin cover of sediment than an algal substratum. Furthermore, other taxonomic groups,
315 such as the polychaetes, are favoured by accumulation of detritus amongst the *C.*
316 *racemosa* stolons, as shown by Argyrou et al. (1999) from their study carried out in
317 Cyprus.

318 On the other hand, the abundance of some species, such as *Ampithoe ramondi*,
319 *Hyale schmidtii* or *Caprella* spp., was reduced in habitat invaded by *C. racemosa*, since
320 these species have a preference for native seaweeds (Kocatas, 1976; Russo, 1997;

Guerra-Garcia and García-Gómez, 2001; Roberts and Poore, 2005). Other studies have also shown displacement of *Ampithoe ramondi* resulting from the presence of other *Caulerpa* species, e.g. *Caulerpa prolifera* (Sánchez-Moyano et al., 2001). The displaced species have a close relationship with seaweeds, as has been demonstrated by Viejo (1999). In the case of other species, such as *Lysianassa costae*, the effects of *C. racemosa* seem to be seasonal, inhabiting habitats that have a large algal biomass, irrespective of the species composition.

Comparing the results of the present study with ones on the effects of *C. taxifolia* on amphipod assemblages, the species richness recorded from the latter appears to be lower (Bellan-Santini et al., 1995). Furthermore, the effects of *C. taxifolia* on the abundance of amphipods also seem to be more critical (Bellan-Santini et al., 1995). Such differences could be due to several factors, such as the species composition of *Caulerpa* beds. Stands of *C. taxifolia* are monospecific, while in our case; the *C. racemosa* grew intermixed with other macroalgal species during both sampling periods. Consequently, during the initial stages of invasion of a host habitat by an invasive seaweed, an increment in habitat complexity will occur if the initial cover is low, hence a new and additional habitat for the local epifauna becomes available (Viejo, 1999). However, if growth of the invasive species continues, leading to a monospecific and dense meadow, it could lead to impoverishment of the amphipod assemblage as has been noted for *C. taxifolia* elsewhere.

Several studies have demonstrated that consequences of *C. racemosa* in host systems are very important, particularly since it appears that this introduced species most invasive in the Mediterranean Sea (Verlaque et al., 2003). The presence of *C. racemosa* in the southeastern coast of Spain is an incipient problem. It was recorded from Alicante in 2002 and, in less than three years, important changes in the structure of

346 shallow rocky habitat assemblages occurred, although to date the distribution of *C.*
347 *racemosa* has been rather patchy, hence its effects are not very widespread locally.
348 Several years may be necessary for development of a dense and continuous *C. racemosa*
349 meadow (Ruitton et al., 2005); therefore in the near future, one can expect dramatic
350 changes in rocky bottom habitats, leading to a direct impact on marine benthic
351 communities along the western Mediterranean coast. It is therefore very important to
352 increase our research effort to establish the ecological implications of the spread of *C.*
353 *racemosa* in the Mediterranean Sea, as this will provide the necessary information and
354 tools to develop new mitigation and eradication programs.

355

356 5. Acknowledgements

357 This work was supported by Instituto Alicantino de Cultura Juan Gil Albert, Excma.
358 Diputación de Alicante. We would like to thank Judith Klein and Marc Verlaque,
359 Centro Oceanológico de Marsella (Université de la Méditerranée, Parc Scientifique et
360 Technologique de Luminy) for identify the variety of *C. racemosa* present along the
361 coast of Alicante. We also thank several colleagues for their assistance in the field. We
362 are very grateful to Joseph A Borg to improve the English version and for his
363 comments.

6. References

- Allesina, S., Bondavalli, C., Scharler, U.M., 2005. The consequences of the aggregation of detritus pools in ecological networks. *Ecological Modelling* 189, 221-232.
- Argyrou, M., Demetropoulos, A., Hadjichristophorou, M., 1999. Expansion of the macroalga *Caulerpa racemosa* and changes in soft bottom macrofaunal assemblages in Moni Bay, Cyprus. *Oceanologica Acta* 22, 517-528.
- Ayala, Y., Martín, A., 2003. Relaciones entre la comunidad de anfípodos y las macroalgas a las que están asociados, en una plataforma rocosa del litoral central de Venezuela. *Boletín Instituto Español Oceanografía* 19 (1-4), 171-183.
- Bat, L., Raffaelli, D., 1998. Sediment toxicity testing: a bioassay approach using the amphipod *Corophium volutator* and the polychaete *Arenicola marina*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 226, 217-239.
- Bellan-Santini, D., 1980. Relationship Between Populations of Amphipods and Pollution. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 11, 224-227.
- Bellan-Santini, D., 1995. Faune d'invertébrés du peuplement à *Caulerpa taxifolia*. Données préliminaires pour les côtes de provençe (Méditerranée nord-occidentale) *Biologia Marina Mediterranea* Vol 2, fasc 2 « Atti XXV Congresso »
- Capiomont, A., Breugnot, E., den Haan, M., Meinesz, A., 2005. Phenology of a deep-water population of *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Short communication. *Botanica Marina* 48, 80-83.
- Cavas, L., Baskin, Y., Yurdakoc, K., Olgun, N., 2006. Antiproliferative and newly attributed apoptotic activities from an invasive marine alga: *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 339, 111-119.
- Ceccherelli, G., Piazzzi, L., Cinelli, F., 2001. The role of vegetative fragments in the recruitment process of *Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa racemosa*. In: Gravez V, Ruitton S, Boudouresque CF, Le Direac'h L, Meinesz A, Scabbia G, Verlaque M (eds) Fourth International Workshop on *Caulerpa taxifolia*. GIS Posidonie Publ, Marseilles, France, pp 111-117.
- Ceccherelli, G., Piazzzi, L., 2001. *Caulerpa racemosa* e *Caulerpa taxifolia* in Mediterraneo: effetto della complessità dei popolamenti macroalgali autoctoni e dei loro rapporti competitivi. 328 Congresso SIBM, p 45.
- Ciarelli, S., Vonck, WAPMA., van Straalen, N.M., 1997. Reproducibility of spiked-sediment bioassays using the marine benthic amphipod, *Corophium volutator*. *Marine Environmental Research* 4, 329-343.
- Clarke, K.R., 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18, 117-143.

- 402 Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 1994. Changes in Marine Communities: an approach
403 to statistical analysis and interpretation. Natural Environment Research Council
404 UK, 144 pp.
- 405 Connell, J.H., 1972. Community interactions on marine rocky intertidal shores.
406 Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 3, 169-192.
- 407 Conradi, M.; López-González, P.J.; García-Gómez, J.C., 1997. The Amphipod
408 Community as a Bioindicator in Algeciras Bay (Southern Iberian Peninsula)
409 Based on a Spatio-Temporal Distribution. Marine Ecology 18 (2), 97-111.
- 410 Dean, R.L., Connell, J.H., 1987. Marine invertebrates in algal succession. II. Test of
411 hypotheses to explain changes in diversity with succession. Journal of
412 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 109, 217-247.
- 413 Edgar, G.J., 1983. The ecology of South-East Tasmanian phytal animal
414 communities. IV. Factors affecting the distribution of amphipod amphipods
415 among algae. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 70, 205-225.
- 416 Edgar, G.J., 1990. The influence of plant structure on the species richness, biomass
417 and secondary production of macrofaunal assemblages associated with Western
418 Australian seagrass beds. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
419 137, 215-240.
- 420 Edgar, G.J., 1992. Patterns of colonization of mobile epifauna in Western Australian
421 seagrass bed. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 157, 225-246.
- 422 Ferrandis-Ballester, E., and Bartolomé-Pina, F., 1985. Dulces bárbaros del este y del
423 oeste (Análisis estadísticos de los vientos en la bahía de Alicante). In: Ramos, A.
424 A. (Ed.). La reserva marina de la Isla Plana o Nueva Tabarca (Alicante), 51-93 pp.
- 425 Guerra-García, J.M., García-Gómez, J.C., 2001. The spatial Distribution of
426 Caprellidea (Crustacea: Amphipoda): A Stress Bioindicator in Ceuta (North
427 Africa, Gibraltar Area). Marine Ecology 22, 357-367.
- 428 Guerra-García, J.M., García-Gómez, J.C., 2005. Oxygen levels versus chemical
429 pollutants: do they have similar influence on macrofaunal assemblages? A case
430 study in a harbour with two opposing entrances. Environmental Pollution 135,
431 281-291.
- 432 Hicks, G.R.F., 1997. Observations of substrate preference of marine phytal
433 harpacticoids (Copepoda). Hydrobiology 56, 7-9.
- 434 Hicks, G.R.F., 1982. Habitat structure, disturbance, and equilibrium in crustacean
435 communities. Marine Ecology 3, 41-45.
- 436 Johnson, M., 1970. Variations in diversity within benthic marine communities.
437 American Naturalist 104, 285-308.
- 438 Kocatas, A., 1976. Note préliminaire sur les amphipodes recueillis dans les horizons
439 supérieurs de l'étage infralitoral rocheux du Golfe d'Izmir (Turquie). Tethys 7 (2-
440 3), 235-240.

- 441 Meadows, P.S., Reid, A., 1966. The behaviour of *Corophium volutator*. Journal of
442 Zoology London 150, 387–399.
- 443 Modena, M., Matricardi, G., Vacchi, M., Guidetti, P., 2000. Spreading of *Caulerpa*
444 *racemosa* (Forsskål) J. Agardh (Bryopsidaceae, Chlorophyta) along the coasts of
445 the Ligurian Sea. Cryptogamie Algologie 21, 301-304.
- 446 Panayotidis, P., Žuljević, A., 2001. Sexual reproduction of the invasive green alga
447 *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *occidentalis* in the Mediterranean Sea. Oceanologica Acta
448 24, 199-203.
- 449 Pena-Martín, C., Cristóbal-Fernanz, J.C., Crespo, M.B., 2003. *Caulerpa racemosa*
450 (Forssk.) J Agardh (Caulerpaceae, Chlorophyceae), nueva para la flora de
451 Alicante. Anales Jardín Botánico de Madrid 60 (2), 448-449.
- 452 Piazzzi, L., Balata, D., Ceccherelli, G., Cinelli, F., 2001. Comparative study of the
453 growth of two co-occurring introduced green algae *Caulerpa taxifolia* and
454 *Caulerpa racemosa* along the Tuscan coast (Italy, western Mediterranean).
455 Cryptogamie Algologie 22, 459-466.
- 456 Piazzzi, L., Balata, D., 2007. The spread of *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* in in
457 the Mediterranean Sea: an example of how biological invasions can influence beta
458 diversity. Marine Environmental Research (2007),
459 doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.07.002
- 460 Piazzzi, L., Cinelli, F., 1999. Développement et dynamique saisonnière d'un
461 peuplement méditerranéen de l'algue tropicale *Caulerpa racemosa* (Forsskål) J.
462 Agardh. Cryptogamie Algologie 20 (4), 295-300.
- 463 Piazzzi, L., Meinesz, A., Verlaque, M., Akçali, B., Antolić, B., Argyrou, M., Balata,
464 D., Ballesteros, E., Calvo, S., Cinelli, F., Cirik, S., Cossu, A., D'Archino, R.,
465 Djellouli, A.S., Javel, F., Lanfranco, E., Mifsud, C., Pala, D., Panayotidis, P.,
466 Peirano, A., Pergent, G., Petrocelli, A., Ruitton, S., Žuljević, A., Ceccherelli, G.
467 2005. Invasion of *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* (Caulerpales, Chlorophyta)
468 in the Mediterranean Sea: an assessment of the spread. Cryptogamie Algologie 26
469 (2), 189-202.
- 470 Renoncourt, L., Meinesz, A., 2002. Formation of propagules on a invasive strain of
471 *Caulerpa racemosa* (Chlorophyta) in the Mediterranean Sea. Phycologia 5, 533-
472 535.
- 473 Roberts, D.A., and Poore, A.G.B., 2005. Habitat configuration affects colonisation
474 of epifauna in marine alga bed. Biological Conservation 127, 18-26.
- 475 Ruffo, S., (ed) 1982. The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean. Part 1, Gammaridea.
476 Mémoires de l'Institut Océanographique, Monaco 13 :364 pp.
- 477 Ruitton, S., Verlaque, M., Boudouresque, C.F., 2005. Seasonal changes of the
478 introduced *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* (Caulerpales, Chlorophyta) at the
479 northwest limit of its Mediterranean range. Aquatic Botany 82, 55-70.

- 480 Russo, A.R., 1997. Epifauna living on sublittoral seaweeds around Cyprus.
481 Hidrobiología 344, 169-179.
- 482 Sanchez-Jerez, P., Barberá-Cebrián, C., Ramos-Esplá, A.A., 1999. Comparison of
483 the epifauna spatial distribution in *Posidonia oceanica*, *Cymodocea nodosa* and
484 unvegetated bottoms: Importance of meadow edges. Acta Oecologica 20, 391-405.
- 485 Sanchez-Jerez, P., Barberá-Cebrián, C., Ramos-Esplá, A.A., 2000. Influence of the
486 structure of *Posidonia oceanica* meadows modified by bottom trawling on
487 crustacean assemblages: comparison of amphipods and decapods. Scientia Marina
488 64, 319-326.
- 489 Sánchez-Moyano, J.E., Estacio, J.F., García-Adiego, E.M., García-Gómez, J.C.,
490 2001. Effect of the vegetative cycle of *Caulerpa prolifera* on the spatio-temporal
491 variation of invertebrate macrofauna. Aquatic Botany 70, 163-174.
- 492 Stål, J., Pihl, L., Wennhage, H., 2006. Food utilisation by coastal fish assemblages
493 in rocky and soft bottoms on the Swedish west coast: Inference for identification
494 of essential fish habitats. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science doi:
495 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.09.008
- 496 Stoner, A.W., 1980. Perception and choice of substratum by epifaunal amphipods
497 associated with seagrasses. Marine Ecology Progress Series 3, 105-111.
- 498 Taylor, R.B., Cole, R.G., 1994. Mobile epifauna on subtidal brown seaweeds in
499 northeastern New Zealand. Marine Ecology Progress Series 115, 271-282.
- 500 Underwood, A.J., 1981. Techniques of analysis of variance in experimental biology
501 and ecology. Oceanography and Marine Biology. An Annual Review 19, 513-605.
- 502 Underwood, A.J., 1997. Experiments in Ecology: Their Logical Design and
503 Interpretation Using Analysis of Variance, Cambridge University Press, 504 p.
- 504 Valiela, I., 1995. Marine Ecological Processes. Springer, Verlag New York.
- 505 Verlaque, M., Alfonso-Carrillo, J., Gil-Rodriguez, M.C., Durand, C., Boudouresque,
506 C.F., and Le Parco, Y., 2004. Blitzkrieg in a marine invasion: *Caulerpa racemosa*
507 var. *cylindracea* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) reaches the Canary Islands (north-
508 est Atlantic). Biological Invasions 6, 269-281.
- 509 Verlaque, M., Durand, C., Huisman, J.M., Boudouresque, C.F., Le Parco, Y., 2003.
510 On the identity and origin of the Mediterranean invasive *Caulerpa racemosa*
511 (Caulerpales, Chlorophyta). European Journal of Phycology 38, 325-339.
- 512 Viejo, R.M., 1999. Mobile epifauna inhabiting the invasive *Sargassum muticum* and
513 two local seaweeds in northern Spain. Aquatic Botany 64, 131-149.
- 514 Virnstein, R.W., 1987. Seagrass-associated invertebrate communities of the
515 Southeastern U S A: a review. Florida Marine Research Publication 42, 89-116.

- 516 Virnstein, R.W., Howard, R.K., 1987. Motile epifauna of marine macrophytes in the
517 Indian River Lagoon, Florida. I. Comparisons among three species of seagrasses
518 from adjacent beds. *Bulletin of Marine Sciences* 41, 1-12.
- 519 Walker, D.I., Hutchings, P.A., Wells, F.E., 1991. Seagrass, sediment and infauna: a
520 comparison of *Posidonia australis*, *Posidonia sinuosa* and *Amphibolis antarctica*
521 in Princess Royal Harbour, south-western Australia. I. Seagrass biomass,
522 productivity and contribution to sediments. In: Wells, F.E., Walker, D.I., Kirkman,
523 H., Lethbridge, R., (eds), *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Albany, W Australia*,
524 Vol 2 W Australian Museum, Perth, pp 597–610.

525 **Table 1** Mean biomass (g dw m⁻²) values $\pm$ SE for the different species, together with total biomass, total
 526 species richness and detritus weight for the two sampling periods and habitats.
 527
 528

Species	SEPTEMBER - 04		MARCH - 05	
	Non-invaded habitat	Invaded habitat	Non-invaded habitat	Invaded habitat
Chlorophyta <i>Acetabularia acetabulum</i> (Linnaeus) P.C. Silva	-	-	-	0.08 $\pm$ 0.06
<i>Caulerpa prolifera</i> (Forsskål) J.V. Lamouroux	2.23 $\pm$ 1.02	19.4 $\pm$ 10.57	-	0.01 $\pm$ 0.01
<i>Caulerpa racemosa</i> (Forsskål) J. Agardh	-	259.49 $\pm$ 65.99	-	68.91 $\pm$ 18.71
<i>Cladophora</i> sp. Kützting, 1843	0.30 $\pm$ 0.30	-	54.38 $\pm$ 14.60	98.19 $\pm$ 28.9
<i>Codium</i> (cf) F.S. Collins & Hervey, 1917	-	-	17.43 $\pm$ 16.87	-
<i>Dasycladus vermicularis</i> (Scopoli) Krasser	4.27 $\pm$ 1.55	0.78 $\pm$ 0.36	-	0.99 $\pm$ 0.63
<i>Flabellia petiolata</i> (Turra) Nizamuddin	-	-	0.13 $\pm$ 0.11	-
<i>Halimeda tuna</i> (J. Ellis & Solander) J.V. Lamouroux	0.09 $\pm$ 0.09	-	0.64 $\pm$ 0.64	-
<i>Ulva</i> sp. Linnaeus	-	-	5.46 $\pm$ 1.64	15.15 $\pm$ 5.78
Phaeophyta <i>Cladostephus spongiosus</i> (Hudson) C. Agardh	-	-	0.15 $\pm$ 0.15	-
<i>Colpomenia sinuosa</i> (Mertens ex Roth) Derbès & Solier	-	-	6.97 $\pm$ 4.99	3.94 $\pm$ 3.93
<i>Cystoseira brachicarpa</i> J. Agardh	34.75 $\pm$ 23.07	-	-	-
<i>Cystoseira compressa</i> (Esper) Gerloff & Nizamuddin	-	-	63.92 $\pm$ 63.92	0.71 $\pm$ 0.71
<i>Dictyota fasciola</i> (Roth) J. V. Lamouroux	1.51 $\pm$ 0.97	-	134.78 $\pm$ 53.99	20.72 $\pm$ 17.44
<i>Halopteris scoparia</i> (Linnaeus) Sauvageau	35.81 $\pm$ 34.45	-	104.82 $\pm$ 57.53	68.04 $\pm$ 48.43
<i>Padina pavonica</i> (Linnaeus) Thivy	20.31 $\pm$ 6.86	26.87 $\pm$ 14.99	44.14 $\pm$ 34.64	37.16 $\pm$ 19.51
<i>Sargassum vulgare</i> C.Agardh	2.13 $\pm$ 2.13	-	32.83 $\pm$ 32.56	-
Rodophyta <i>Ceramium</i> spp. (Hudson) C.A. Agardh	0.11 $\pm$ 0.11	-	10.72 $\pm$ 8.78	-
<i>Corallina elongata</i> Ellis et Solander	-	-	186.77 $\pm$ 175.87	0.59 $\pm$ 0.59
<i>Halopitys incurvus</i> (Hudson) Batters	-	5.13 $\pm$ 4.19	-	-
<i>Jania rubens</i> (Linnaeus) J.V. Lamouroux	246.16 $\pm$ 83.17	67.87 $\pm$ 29.74	163.12 $\pm$ 113.76	-
<i>Laurencia pinnatifida</i> (Hudson) Lamouroux	-	-	1.24 $\pm$ 1.24	-
<i>Peyssonnelia</i> sp. (S.G. Gmelin) Decaisne	-	-	-	9.88 $\pm$ 9.88
<i>Alsidium corallinum</i> (cf) C. Agardh	-	-	-	0.29 $\pm$ 0.29
Seagrasses <i>Cymodocea nodosa</i> (Ucria) Ascherson	-	-	-	1.81 $\pm$ 1.81
Total biomass	347.67 $\pm$ 117.44	379.55 $\pm$ 64.63	826.49 $\pm$ 203.15	326.52 $\pm$ 79.21
Species richness	11	6	16	15
Detritus	5.87 $\pm$ 1.51	472.48 $\pm$ 123.05	242.22 $\pm$ 47.25	466.92 $\pm$ 68.91

529

Table 2 Result of ANOVA (three-factor) for the different habitat attributes: macrophyte species richness, macrophyte biomass, macrophyte biomass without *C. racemosa*, and detritus. MS = mean square; *P* = level of probability; df = degrees of freedom; Si= site; ns = non-significant; * significant at *p* < 0.05

Source of variation	df	Species richness		Macrophyte biomass		Macrophyte biomass without <i>C. racemosa</i>		Detritus		<i>F</i> versus
		MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	
Sampling time=Ti	1	53.78	0.0158*	6.59	0.2154	6.05	0.1066	191.75	0.3812	Si(TixHa)
Habitat=Ha	1	2.78	0.5077	5.88	0.2395	10.04	0.0472*	1720.49	0.0241*	Si(TixHa)
TixHa	1	11.11	0.2029	12.34	0.1030	0.27	0.7110	210.67	0.3599	Si(TixHa)
Si (TixHa)	8	5.78	0.0043*	3.64	0.0821	1.83	0.0002*	223.34	0.0001*	Res
Residual	24	1.47		1.77		0.29		31.75		
Cochran's C-test		C=0.3585	ns	C=0.3917	ns	C=0.2616	ns	C=0.3368	ns	
Transformation		None		Sqrt(X+1)		Ln(X+1)		None		

Table 3 Abundance of amphipod species (number of individuals per m² ± SE) recorded from the different habitats for each of the two different sampling periods, together with total abundance and species richness for the two sampling periods and habitats.

Family	Species	SEPTEMBER - 04		MARCH - 05	
		Non-invaded habitat	Invaded habitat	Non-invaded habitat	Invaded habitat
Amphilochidae	<i>Amphilochus</i> sp. Bate, 1862	5.56 ± 5.56	-	-	-
	<i>Amphilochus neapolitanus</i> Della Valle, 1893	2.78 ± 2.78	5.56 ± 5.56	50 ± 25.0	5.56 ± 3.68
Ampeliscaidae	<i>Ampelisca diadema</i> (A. Costa, 1853)	-	-	-	2.78 ± 2.78
	<i>Ampelisca serraticaudata</i> Chevreux, 1888	-	-	2.78 ± 2.78	-
Amphithoidae	<i>Amphithoe ferox</i> (Chevreux, 1902)	2.78 ± 2.78	-	2.78 ± 2.78	-
	<i>Amphithoe ramondi</i> Audouin, 1826	1619.44 ± 426.08	977.78 ± 425.11	830.56 ± 262.69	108.33 ± 33.07
Aoridae	<i>Lembos</i> spp. Bate, 1856	-	-	2.78 ± 2.78	-
	<i>Leptocheirus guttatus</i> (Grube, 1864)	-	-	5.56 ± 3.68	-
	<i>Microdeutopus</i> spp. A. Costa, 1853	1130.56 ± 286.47	1433.33 ± 401.11	230.56 ± 87.28	230.56 ± 35.3
Caprellidae	<i>Caprella</i> spp. Lamarck, 1801	116.67 ± 84.68	2.78 ± 2.78	3744.44 ± 1022.81	1236.11 ± 596.05
Corophiidae	<i>Corophium</i> spp. Latreille, 1806	-	-	-	5.56 ± 5.56
	<i>Apocorophium acutum</i> (Chevreux, 1908)	58.33 ± 35.11	402.78 ± 108.29	27.78 ± 17.4	172.22 ± 108.45
	<i>Corophium insidiosum</i> Crawford, 1937	-	-	-	2.78 ± 2.78
	<i>Erichthonius brasiliensis</i> (Dana, 1855)	-	2.78 ± 2.78	22.22 ± 15.28	8.33 ± 5.89
Dexaminidae	<i>Atylus massiliensis</i> Bella-Santini, 1975	-	2.78 ± 2.78	105.56 ± 35.79	-
	<i>Atylus guttatus</i> (A. Costa, 1851)	2.78 ± 2.78	-	100 ± 60.42	5.56 ± 3.67
	<i>Dexamine spiniventris</i> (A. Costa, 1853)	-	-	75 ± 50.69	44.44 ± 36.03
	<i>Guernea coalita</i> (Norman, 1868)	-	8.33 ± 5.89	41.67 ± 21.25	-
Gammaridae	<i>Elasmopus</i> spp. A. Costa, 1853	586.11 ± 241.35	272.22 ± 87.35	202.78 ± 63.25	97.22 ± 40.7
	<i>Gammarella fucicola</i> (Leach, 1814)	-	-	-	2.78 ± 2.78
	<i>Maera inaequipes</i> (A. Costa, 1857)	-	2.78 ± 2.78	11.11 ± 8.45	2.78 ± 2.78
	<i>Melita hergensis</i> Reid, 1939	-	-	16.67 ± 13.82	50 ± 44.1
	<i>Melita palmata</i> Montagu, 1804	-	2.78 ± 2.78	-	-
Lysianassidae	<i>Lysianassa</i> sp.	-	-	13.89 ± 9.42	-
	<i>Lysianassa costae</i> Milne Edwards, 1830	127.78 ± 45.54	230.56 ± 51.67	258.33 ± 64.28	33.33 ± 27.32
	<i>Orchomene humilis</i> (A. Costa, 1853)	-	25 ± 17.68	-	-
Oedicerotidae	<i>Periculodes aequimanus</i> (Kossmann, 1880)	5.56 ± 3.68	-	2.78 ± 2.78	8.33 ± 5.89
Phliantidae	<i>Pereionotus testudo</i> (Montagu, 1808)	2.78 ± 2.78	2.78 ± 2.78	19.44 ± 16.55	13.89 ± 11.11
Stenothoidae	<i>Stenothoe monoculoides</i> (Montagu, 1813)	63.89 ± 30.08	5.56 ± 5.56	77.78 ± 27.15	36.11 ± 26.06
	<i>Stenothoe tergestina</i> (Nebeski, 1880)	-	-	5.56 ± 5.56	-
Talitridae	<i>Hyale schmidtii</i> (Heller, 1866)	52.78 ± 38.74	2.78 ± 2.78	605.56 ± 198.55	25 ± 13.82
Unidentified		5.56 ± 5.56	-	41.67 ± 25.68	38.89 ± 30.65
Total abundance		3861.11 ± 925.18	3383.33 ± 844.11	6497.22 ± 1586.08	2130.56 ± 793.68
Species richness		14	16	24	20

Table 4 Results of ANOVA (three-factor) for amphipod species richness, total abundance, and abundance of the seven most abundant species. MS = mean square; *P* = level of probability; df = degrees of freedom; Si= site, ns = non-significant; * significant at *p* < 0.05

Source of variation	df	Species richness		Total abundance		<i>Ampithoe ramondi</i>		<i>F</i> versus
		MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	
Sampling time=Ti	1	78.03	0.0158*	6889.00	0.6864	13.28	0.0348*	Si(TixHa)
Habitat=Ha	1	42.25	0.0551	84487.11	0.1807	12.04	0.0420*	Si(TixHa)
TixHa	1	56.25	0.0321*	54444.44	0.0033*	2.47	0.3054	Si(TixHa)
Si (TixHa)	8	8.39	0.0481*	39278.50	0.0033 *	2.06	0.0028*	Res
Residual	24	3.53		9534.44		0.48		
Cochran's C-test		C=0.1654 ns		C=0.2210 ns		C=0.2488 ns		
Transformation		None		None		Ln(X+1)		

Source of variation	df	<i>Hyale schmidtii</i>		<i>Apocorophium acutum</i>		<i>Microdeutopus spp.</i>		<i>F</i> versus
		MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	
Sampling time=Ti	1	14.69	0.0104*	230.02	0.0980	23.49	0.0008*	Si(TixHa)
Habitat=Ha	1	16.63	0.0076*	890.02	0.0062*	0.82	0.3590	Si(TixHa)
TixHa	1	6.96	0.0511	132.25	0.1934	0.0003	0.9853	Si(TixHa)
Si (TixHa)	8	1.32	0.0508	65.58	0.7064	0.87	0.0943	Res
Residual	24	0.56		96.77		0.44		
Cochran's C-test		C=0.3841 ns		C=0.3720 ns		C=0.2565 ns		
Transformation		Ln(X+1)		None		None		

Source of variation	df	<i>Caprella spp.</i>		<i>Elasmopus spp.</i>		<i>Lysianassa costae</i>		<i>F</i> versus
		MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	MS	<i>P</i>	
Sampling time=Ti	1	85069.44	0.0166*	15.39	0.1746	16.00	0.5058	Si(TixHa)
Habitat=Ha	1	24753.77	0.1420	8.57	0.2986	53.77	0.2374	Si(TixHa)
TixHa	1	20640.11	0.1753	0.21	0.8648	386.77	0.0090*	Si(TixHa)
Si (TixHa)	8	9331.33	0.0359*	6.93	0.0033*	32.97	0.5053	Res
Residual	24	3650.83		1.68		35.19		
Cochran's C-test		C=0.3862 ns		C=0.2690 ns		C=0.3228 ns		
Transformation		None		Sqrt(X+1)		None		

- 545 **Fig. 1.** Map showing the location of Alicante on the southeastern coast of Spain, and the study area.
 546
 547 **Fig. 2.** Two-dimensional MDS plot for algal biomass; S: September-04; M: March-05; I: Invaded habitat;
 548 NI: Non-invaded habitat, for each of the six sampling sites.
 549
 550 **Fig. 3.** Mean seaweed biomass ($\pm$ SE) recorded from the two different sampling periods and habitats.
 551 Seaweed = biomass of seaweeds (without *C. racemosa*); *C. racemosa* = biomass of *C. racemosa*; and
 552 Detritus = biomass of detritus.
 553
 554 **Fig. 4. (a)** Mean number of amphipod species (individuals $m^{-2} \pm$ SE) and **(b)** total abundance (individuals
 555 $m^{-2} \pm$ SE), recorded from the two different sampling periods and habitats.
 556
 557 **Fig. 5.** Two-dimensional MDS plot amphipod species abundance. S = September-04; M = March-05; I =
 558 Invaded habitat; NI = Non-invaded habitat for each of the six sampling sites.
 559
 560 **Fig. 6.** Mean abundance (number of individuals $\pm$ SE) of the seven most abundant amphipods: *Ampithoe*
 561 *ramondi* **(a)**; *Hyale schmidtii* **(b)**; *Apocorophium acutum* **(c)**; *Microdeutopus* spp. **(d)**; *Caprella* spp. **(e)**;
 562 *Elasmopus* spp. **(f)** and *Lysianassa costae* **(g)**

563 Figure 1

566 Figure 2

567

568 Figure 3

569

570 Figure 4

571

572 Figure 5

573

574 Figure 6

575