



Biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine polychaetes

Anne Jørgensen, Anders M.B. Giessing, Lene Juel Rasmussen, Ole Andersen

► To cite this version:

Anne Jørgensen, Anders M.B. Giessing, Lene Juel Rasmussen, Ole Andersen. Biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine polychaetes. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2008, 65 (2), pp.171. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.10.001 . hal-00501926

HAL Id: hal-00501926

<https://hal.science/hal-00501926>

Submitted on 13 Jul 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine polychaetes

Anne Jørgensen, Anders M.B. Giessing, Lene Juel Rasmussen, Ole Andersen

PII: S0141-1136(07)00127-4
DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.10.001)
Reference: MERE 3148

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 14 June 2007
Revised Date: 21 September 2007
Accepted Date: 10 October 2007



Please cite this article as: Jørgensen, A., Giessing, A.M.B., Rasmussen, L.J., Andersen, O., Biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine polychaetes, *Marine Environmental Research* (2007), doi: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.10.001)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

- 1 **Title: Biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine**
- 2 **polychaetes**

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Authors:

Anne Jørgensen^{1,2,*}, Anders M. B. Giessing³, Lene Juel Rasmussen¹ and Ole Andersen¹

¹Department of Science, Systems and Models, Roskilde University, Universitetsvej 1, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark. ²Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark. ³Protein Research Group, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark.

Corresponding author:

Anne Jørgensen

Telephone number: (+45) 46742171

Fax number: (+45) 46743011

Email address: annejoer@ruc.dk

Abstract

Deposit-feeding polychaetes constitute the dominant macrofauna in marine environments that tend to be depositional centers for organic matter and contaminants. Polychaetes are known to accumulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from both particulate and dissolved phases but less is known about the mechanisms underlying elimination of accumulated PAHs. An important pathway of elimination is through biotransformation which results in increased aqueous solubility of the otherwise hydrophobic PAHs. Biotransformation in marine polychaetes proceeds in a two phased process similar to those well studied in vertebrates, phase I enzymes belonging to the Cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme family, along with a few phase II enzymes have been identified in marine polychaetes. In this review we aim at highlighting advances in the mechanistic understanding of PAH biotransformation in marine polychaetes by including data obtained using analytical chemistry and molecular techniques. In marine polychaetes induction of CYP enzyme activity after exposure to PAHs and the mechanism behind this is currently not well established. Conflicting results regarding the inducibility of CYP enzymes from polychaetes have led to the suggestion that induction in polychaetes is mediated through a different mechanistic pathway, which is corroborated by the apparent lack of an AhR homologous in marine polychaetes. Also, none of the currently identified *CYP* genes from marine polychaetes are isoforms of those regulated by the AhR in vertebrates. Relatively few studies of phase II enzymes in marine polychaetes are currently available and most of these studies have not measured the activity of specific phase II enzymes and identified phase II metabolites but used an extraction technique only allowing determination of the overall amount of phase II metabolites. Studies in insects and various marine invertebrates suggest that in invertebrates, enzymes in the important phase II enzyme family, UDP-glucuronosyl transferases primarily use glucoside as co-substrate as opposed to the vertebrate cosubstrate glucuronic acid. Recent studies in marine polychaetes have however identified

1 glucuronidation of PAHs indicating no mechanistic difference in co-substrate preference among
2 UDP-glucuronosyl transferases between vertebrates and marine polychaetes but it might suggest a
3 mechanistic difference between marine polychaetes and insects.

4
5 **Keywords:** CYP enzymes, metabolism, phase I and phase II enzymes, PAH, induction, elimination,
6 trophic transfer.

1. Introduction

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) constitute one of several classes of organic pollutants present in the marine environment primarily as a consequence of human activities. PAHs are hydrocarbons composed of two or more fused aromatic (benzene) rings. Important sources of PAHs to the marine environment include atmospheric fallout, spillage and seepage of petroleum and oil products, and industrial and domestic sewage. Concern over the environmental impact of PAHs is due to their persistence (Neff, 1985), ability to bioaccumulate (Landrum et al., 1991; Weston, 1990), toxicity (Swartz et al., 1990) and potential carcinogenicity (DeWitt et al., 1992; Penning, 1993). Due to their low aqueous solubility and hydrophobic character, PAHs readily adsorb to organic and other particulate matter and therefore accumulate in marine sediments (Ferguson and Chandler, 1998). Deposit-feeders such as marine polychaetes ingest large amounts of bulk sediment and are thereby exposed gastrointestinally and via body surfaces to sorbed PAHs and PAHs desorbed into pore water. The common lugworm *Arenicola marina* for example ingest up to 20 times its own body weight of wet sediment per day (Cadée, 1976). Gut fluid hydrolysis and solubilization are of vital importance in determining bioavailability of food substrates (Mayer et al., 2001). Deposit-feeding invertebrates have evolved an enclosed extracellular digestive geometry which enables them to efficiently retain both digestive agents and digestive products and thus thrive on a nutritionally poor sedimentary diet (Andresen and Kristensen, 2002; Mayer et al., 2001). Contaminant exposure is increased by particle selectivity in food selection, and bioavailability is enhanced by the animals' attempt to solubilize food from ingested particles. Thus, for these organisms, ingestion is the primary route of uptake of particle-associated contaminants (Forbes et al., 1998; Penry and Weston, 1998; Weston, 1990; Weston and Mayer, 1998b). Good agreement between the proportion of contaminant solubilized in isolated gut fluid and the proportion of contaminant absorbed during gut passage suggests that digestive solubilization is the limiting factor

1 in determining bioavailability of sediment-bound contaminants in deposit feeding polychaetes
2 (Weston and Mayer, 1998a); (Ahrens et al., 2001; Rust et al., 2004; Selck et al., 2003). Polychaetes
3 are richly abundant in sediments and have been reported to constitute up to 50% of sediment macro
4 fauna by number (Reish and Gerlinger, 1997). Hence, polychaetes are the dominant species in
5 environments that tend to be depositional centers for organic matter and organic contaminants like
6 PAHs (Jumars et al., 1990). Polychaetes are known to accumulate significant amounts of PAHs
7 from their environment and steady-state body burdens are a function of biotransformation and
8 elimination processes. Although much remains to be elucidated, polychaete biotransformation of
9 PAHs appears to be similar in principle to the two-step process observed in vertebrates. Phase I
10 enzymes primarily cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYP enzymes) catalyze introduction of a functional
11 group into the PAH which slightly increases water solubility. Subsequently, phase II enzymes
12 catalyze covalent attachment of a large polar group which extensively increases water solubility
13 (James, 1987; Giessing et al., 2003a; Giessing and Lund, 2002; Giessing et al., 2003b; Jørgensen et
14 al., 2005a; Li and James, 1993; van den Hurk and James, 2000) thereby enhancing the elimination
15 of PAHs (Burchell and Coughtrie, 1989; Livingstone, 1998).

16
17 In organisms efficiently biotransforming PAHs, analysis of only parent compound might result in
18 underestimation of total PAH exposure. Therefore, increased knowledge on PAH biotransformation
19 in benthic invertebrates is important in order to improve the understanding of PAH mediated effects
20 in the marine environment and thereby also how PAHs should be handled in risk assessment. Also,
21 the potential for formation and trophic transfer of metabolites that are more toxic than the parent
22 PAH makes information on biotransformation pathways and capacities relevant to investigate on a
23 larger ecological scale. In this review we will summarize the current knowledge of PAH
24 biotransformation in marine polychaetes and draw parallels to biotransformation in vertebrates

where the best understanding of the involved enzymes is present. The present knowledge on PAH biotransformation indicates that the enzymes involved, the metabolites formed, and the basic mechanisms are similar in vertebrates and invertebrates, including marine polychaetes. It is generally acknowledged that the overall biotransformation pathway is conserved, and important differences in biotransformation of PAHs between marine polychaetes and other species have not been observed. However, at the more detailed level, conflicting results are published, especially regarding the inducibility of polychaete CYP enzymes and the apparent lack of AhR mediated regulation of CYP enzymes involved in PAH biotransformation. Furthermore, the knowledge about phase II biotransformation of PAHs in marine polychaetes is very limited impeding general conclusions about the importance of the different phase II enzymes, substrate specificity and capacity. In this review, special attention is given to these questions, analysing studies in which specific metabolites, especially phase II metabolites, of PAH biotransformation are identified as well as studies where the capacity of specific biotransformation is investigated. Finally, molecular techniques allowing identification of specific CYP enzymes, their expression, regulatory capacity and activity towards PAHs are highlighted to increase the mechanistic understanding of the biotransformation of PAHs in polychaetes.

2. Phase I Biotransformation in marine polychaetes

The knowledge on CYP enzyme function and regulation in polychaete species primarily originates from biochemical studies, e.g. PAH metabolite formation via enzyme activity. Most studies primarily established that monooxygenase activities are present in polychaetes and estimated the biotransformation of PAHs by measuring production of metabolites (Forbes et al., 2001; Fries and Lee, 1984; Lee, 1981; Lee and Singer, 1980; Lee, 1998; Lee et al., 1979; McElroy, 1990; McElroy et al., 2000; McElroy, 1985b; Rust et al., 2004; Selck et al., 2003). For example, several studies

1 have investigated biotransformation of PAHs in *Capitella* sp. I. Selck et al. (2003) found that
2 *Capitella* sp. I biotransformed fluoranthene accumulated from porewater and sediment.
3 Approximately 18% of fluoranthene extracted from whole-body tissue were polar (phase I)
4 metabolites (Selck et al., 2003). Also, Bach et al. (2005) found 20% of total PAH to be polar
5 metabolites in *Capitella* sp. I after 15 days exposure to 30 µg fluoranthene/g sediment, however, in
6 the closely related sibling species *Capitella* sp. S only 3% of total fluoranthene were present as
7 polar (phase I) metabolites, indicating large species-specific difference in biotransformation
8 capacity.

9
10 Several experiments have established that also *Nereis virens* is capable of biotransforming PAHs
11 such as benzo(a)pyrene (B(a)P) and benz(a)anthracene (B(a)A) via enzyme catalysed reactions
12 (Lee, 1981). The major metabolite produced in *N. virens* exposed to B(a)P was 3-
13 hydroxybenzo(a)pyrene, indicating a CYP catalysed hydroxylation (Fries and Lee 1984; Lee 1998).
14 In *N. virens* CYP enzyme activity has been found in the microsome fraction of gut tissue, whereas
15 mitochondrial CYP enzyme activity was not identified (Lee and Singer, 1980). Several other studies
16 reported CYP enzyme activity in microsomal fractions (McElroy, 1985b; Jørgensen et al., 2005a;
17 McElroy, 1990). Few studies investigated CYP enzyme activity in specific tissues, e.g. gut tissue
18 (Jørgensen et al., 2005), whereas most studies used whole-worm homogenates (McElroy, 1985a).
19 This could possibly lead to an under-estimation of CYP enzyme activity as the activity in somatic
20 tissue is lower than in gut including intestinal (chloragogenic) tissue. Rust et al. (2004) investigated
21 the biotransformation of B(a)P in six marine polychaete species. Biotransformation capacity was
22 determined as % B(a)P biotransformed after 7 days exposure to B(a)P-contaminated sediment. The
23 investigated polychaete species showed a wide range of biotransformation efficiency ranging from
24 92% in *Spio setosa*, 85% in *Nereis succinea*, 72% in *Nereis virens*, 27% in *Nephtys incise*, 14% in

1 *Cirriiformia grandis* and 6% in *Clymenella torquata* (Rust et al., 2004). Also, McElroy et al (2000)
 2 investigated the biotransformation of B(a)P in four polychaete species (*Nereis succinea*, *Pectinaria*
 3 *gouldii*, *Haploscolopulous* sp. and *Capitella* sp. I) exposed to sediment associated ^3H -B(a)P for four
 4 days. The fractions of biotransformed B(a)P were determined to 96%, 7%, 38% and 42%,
 5 respectively (McElroy et al., 2000). This large species-specific difference in biotransformation
 6 efficiency has not been explained. However, differences in PAH elimination strategies
 7 (biotransformation versus flushing of un-metabolised PAH) have been indicated and might reflect
 8 some of the difference in biotransformation efficiency (Christensen et al., 2002b). Also, differences
 9 in CYP enzyme inducibility (discussed below) might explain some of the difference in
 10 biotransformation efficiency as phase I is often the limiting step in the overall biotransformation
 11 (Jørgensen et al., 2005a). Penry and Weston (1998) investigated biotransformation of B(a)P and
 12 phenanthrene to more water-soluble metabolites in *Abarenicola pacifica*. The extent of B(a)P
 13 biotransformation was limited (less than 10 %) whereas the biotransformation of phenanthrene was
 14 more extensive with 20-70% of the ^{14}C associated with water-soluble metabolites after 48 h of
 15 exposure, suggesting a CYP enzyme mediated pathway (Penry and Weston, 1998). Furthermore,
 16 phenanthrene metabolite production was significantly higher in worms acclimated to low (0.08%)
 17 organic carbon sediment compared to high (0.45%) organic carbon sediment, likely due to a
 18 combination of reduced bioavailability and reduced feeding rate (Penry and Weston, 1998). The
 19 importance of physiological acclimation, which includes changes in digestive processes and thereby
 20 contaminant solubilization, on biotransformation is currently not understood. The CYP enzyme
 21 mediated B(a)P hydroxylase activity in whole body homogenates of *Nereis diversicolor* and
 22 *Platynereis dumerilii* B(a)P was 15.8 ± 0.2 and 8.1 ± 1.6 pmol min $^{-1}$ mg $^{-1}$ protein, respectively (Sole
 23 and Livingstone, 2005). This is lower than *N. virens* gut tissue pyrene hydroxylase activity (V_{max}),
 24 which was determined to be 0.36 nmol min $^{-1}$ mg $^{-1}$ protein (Jørgensen et al., 2005a). This difference

could in part be explained by the use of whole body and gut tissue homogenates, respectively. Also, B(a)P exposure might have a toxic effect on *N. diversicolor* and *P. dumerilii* whereas pyrene is considered to be much less toxic. Finally, different assays and analytical techniques were used to determine the enzyme activities in the two studies. Also three different species, with possibly slightly different “editions” of the same CYP enzymes were used.

Identification, both qualitatively and quantitatively, of the metabolites formed is essential for a deeper mechanistic understanding of the PAH biotransformation process in polychaete species. However, some PAHs such as the intensively studied compounds B(a)P and fluoranthene are biotransformed to several different phase I metabolites which each potentially are conjugated to different endogenous phase II substrates making identification of all metabolites a difficult task. For example, biotransformation of B(a)P was examined in detail in *N. succinea*, where three of the B(a)P metabolites were identified by HPLC: 7,8-diol, 1,6 or 3,6-diol and 7-hydroxy B(a)P (McElroy et al., 2000). In another study, biotransformation of fluoranthene was examined in *Capitella* sp. I and more than 30 different peaks were found after HPLC analysis (Forbes et al., 2001). The metabolites were more hydrophilic than fluoranthene. Of the 30 peaks only two peaks were tentatively identified as 3- and 8-hydroxyfluoranthene (Forbes et al., 2001). By investigating biotransformation of a single PAH with a simple metabolic pattern it is possible to obtain novel information about the specific enzymes involved in phase II biotransformation and their relative importance. The four-ringed PAH pyrene has a compact molecular structure that restricts oxidative attack, resulting in formation of only one phase I metabolite, 1-hydroxypyrene, which is commercially available and has been identified in eukaryotes. Consequently, the number of phase II metabolites is low making quantitative metabolic analysis more simple. Therefore, pyrene has been used as a model compound in several studies in humans, fish and terrestrial invertebrates

(Stroomberg et al., 2003). Few studies have investigated biotransformation of pyrene in marine polychaetes using HPLC and LC/MS analysis. In the marine polychaetes *Nereis diversicolor* and *Nereis virens*, 1-hydroxypyrene was the only identified phase I metabolite (Giessing and Lund, 2002; Giessing et al., 2003a; Jørgensen et al., 2005a).

Investigations of CYP enzyme inducibility in marine polychaetes have resulted in conflicting suggestions regarding inducibility of CYP enzymes responsible for PAH biotransformation. In some studies, CYP enzymes were found to be inducible (Forbes et al., 1996; Jørgensen et al., 2005a; Lee, 1981; Lee and Singer, 1980; Lee et al., 1979) whereas others reported constitutive expression of CYP enzymes (Driscoll and McElroy, 1996; Driscoll and McElroy, 1997; McElroy, 1985a; McElroy, 1990; McElroy, 1985b). A three fold increase in CYP enzyme activity was detected 48h after feeding *N. virens* clams that had been maintained in water containing 10 µg/l B(a)A for six days (Lee and Singer, 1980). In the small marine polychaete, *Capitella capitata* CYP enzyme activity could be detected only after exposure to B(a)A or crude oil for periods of 3 to 6 weeks (Lee and Singer, 1980; Lee et al., 1979). In another experiment, both control and fluoranthene pre-exposed *Capitella* sp. I biotransformed fluoranthene. Pre-exposed worms did so more efficiently, indicating induction of CYP enzymes (Forbes et al., 1996). Driscoll & McElroy (1996) investigated three polychaete species, *Leitoscoloplos fragilis*, *Nereis diversicolor* and *Scolecopides viridis* and found species differences not only in their ability to biotransform B(a)P, but also in the inducibility of metabolic activity. The worms were exposed to sediment-associated B(a)P with or without 3-MC (16 µg/g wet weight sediment) for nine days. The ability of *L. fragilis* to biotransform B(a)P was limited and not inducible, whereas *N. diversicolor* biotransformed B(a)P extensively, but the activity was not induced by exposure to 3-methylcholanthrene (3-MC) which is a potent inducer of PAH metabolism in vertebrates. In contrast, *S. viridis* biotransformed B(a)P and

3-MC slightly induced B(a)P biotransformation (Driscoll and McElroy, 1996). Contrary to these results, McElroy (1985a) observed no increase in B(a)P hydroxylase activity in *N. virens* after pre-exposure. Also, McElroy (1990) found no induction of B(a)P hydroxylase activity in *N. virens* after pre-exposure to 3-MC. Worms were injected with a solution of 1 mg/l 3-MC in corn oil at a dose of 20 mg/kg wet weight and B(a)P hydroxylase activity was determined after 96 h (McElroy, 1990). Also, no induction of B(a)P hydroxylase activity was found in *N. diversicolor* and *S. viridis* after 3-MC pre-exposure (Driscoll and McElroy, 1996). However, the same authors suggested in a later publication that 3-MC might not be a particularly good inducer of polychaete CYPs (Driscoll and McElroy, 1997). In accordance with Lee and Singer (1980) and Lee (1981) we found approximately 3 fold induction of pyrene hydroxylase activity in *N. virens* gut tissue after pre-exposure to 10 µg pyrene/g sediment for 3 and 7 days (Jørgensen et al. 2005a). Also, additional experiments using microsomes pooled from *N. virens* gut tissue demonstrated an approximately 3-fold induction of pyrene hydroxylase activity after 5 days exposure to 10 µg pyrene/g sediment (Unpublished results Jørgensen and Giessing). Comparison of the different studies on CYP enzyme inducibility in marine polychaetes is difficult as large species-specific differences have been reported. Also, the use of different PAHs, inducers, concentrations and exposure duration seems to complicate direct comparison and thereby allow for general conclusions about inducibility of CYP enzymes in polychaetes.

It was previously suggested that the mechanism of CYP enzyme induction in invertebrates including polychaetes must be different from that in vertebrates (Lee, 1998). Though the exact mechanism of PAH mediated CYP enzyme induction is not yet understood in any marine invertebrate species, the few studies at the molecular level on *CYP* gene expression (see section 6. Molecular mechanisms underlying biotransformation in marine polychaetes) indicate that the

1 mechanism resembles that of vertebrate *CYP* gene expression except for a lower regulatory
2 capacity. In polychaetes, up to 3 fold upregulation of *CYP* gene expression has been determined
3 (Rewitz et al., 2004); (Li et al., 2004)) compared to 10-100 fold upregulation in vertebrates (Hahn et
4 al., 1998; Livingstone, 1998). In vertebrates, phase I biotransformation of PAHs is primarily
5 mediated by CYP1A enzymes, with expression regulated via the aryl hydrocarbon-receptor (AhR).
6 Currently, no AhR homologues have been identified from marine polychaete species and it has
7 previously been suggested that the AhR is absent in some invertebrate groups (Hahn, 1998; Hahn
8 and Stegeman, 1992). AhR homologues have been characterized from invertebrate species
9 including the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and the soft shell clam *Mya arenaria*, but they do
10 not have binding affinity for the prototypical AhR ligands 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin
11 (TCDD) and β -naphthoflavone (BNF) distinguishing them from vertebrate AhRs (Butler et al., 2001;
12 Mimura and Fujii-Kuriyama, 2003). In polychaetes, no CYP1 enzyme homologues have currently
13 been identified and other CYP enzymes than the CYP1 subfamily seem to be involved in PAH
14 biotransformation, e.g. CYP enzymes with highest homology to CYP4 enzymes (Rewitz et al.,
15 2004); (Li et al., 2004); (Jørgensen et al., 2005b). These CYP enzymes are likely to be
16 transcriptionally regulated by a different receptor than AhR. In vertebrates, CYP4 enzymes are
17 transcriptionally regulated by the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) belonging to
18 the nuclear receptor (NR) family (Waxman, 1999). However, no NRs have currently been identified
19 in marine polychaete species. The present knowledge on inducibility of polychaete CYP enzymes
20 indicates that the levels of inductions are lower compared to vertebrates, but that at least some CYP
21 enzymes are inducible. Future work will hopefully identify and mechanistically elucidate receptors
22 mediating the transcription regulation of *CYP* genes involved in PAH biotransformation in marine
23 polychaetes. Identification of *CYP* genes involved in PAH biotransformation and receptors
24 mediating their regulation is possible by homology identification between different species

1 followed by PCR and sequencing. However, a future sequencing project using a marine polychaete
2 model-species is the best initiative to elucidate how phase I biotransformation of PAHs is regulated
3 and which specific CYP enzymes are involved.

6 **3. Phase II biotransformation in marine polychaetes**

7 Most previous studies of polychaete PAH biotransformation did not identify phase II metabolites or
8 characterize the involved phase II enzymes. Instead extraction methods separating compounds into
9 fractions of different water solubility were used (Christensen et al., 2002b). However, in a few
10 studies, phase II enzymes involved in PAH biotransformation in marine polychaete species as well
11 as the ensuing metabolites were characterized (Giessing et al., 2003a; Giessing et al., 2003b;
12 Jørgensen et al., 2005a). These studies demonstrated that glucuronosyl transferases and
13 sulfotransferases dominate phase II PAH biotransformation in polychaetes. However, the few
14 studies conducted to date exclusively used pyrene as model PAH thereby the potential importance
15 of some phase II enzymes like glutathione-s-transferases (GST) escape the analysis, because an
16 epoxide is not formed during pyrene biotransformation.

17
18 In insects and other invertebrates glucosidation is considered a more important phase II conjugation
19 pathway than glucuronidation that is most important in vertebrates. Livingstone (1998) suggested
20 that glucuronidation might be restricted to vertebrate species and that glucosidation might be the
21 corresponding pathway in invertebrates. However, Giessing et al. (2003a) demonstrated formation
22 of glucuronide conjugates in *N. diversicolor*, and Jørgensen et al. (2005a) observed glucuronide
23 conjugation in the closely related nereid *N. virens*. In other marine invertebrates like mussels and
24 crustaceans, glucosidation appears to be the primary reaction of glucuronosyl transferase enzymes.

Accordingly, co-substrate preference in glucuronosyl transferase reactions is likely to be species specific.

Another important phase II enzyme, sulfotransferase, has been identified in several marine polychaetes. Sulphate metabolites are generally less abundant than other phase II metabolites in the investigated marine polychaetes (Fillmann et al., 2004; Giessing et al., 2003a; Giessing and Lund, 2002; Jørgensen et al., 2005a). However, in two species of marine clams *Mya arenaria* and *Protothaca staminea* exposed to pyrene and 1-hydroxypyrene for 10 days, pyrene-1-sulphate was identified as the major phase II metabolite (Simpson et al., 2002). In the marine polychaetes *N. virens* and *N. diversicolor* pyrene-1-glucuronide was the most prominent phase II conjugate present in tissue, even though pyrene-1-sulfate and pyrene-1-glucoside were also found in both species (Giessing et al., 2003a; Jørgensen et al., 2005a). This leads to the proposed biotransformation pathway for pyrene shown in **Figure 1**. In two other marine polychaetes, *Capitella capitata* and *A. marina*, pyrene-1-sulfate and pyrene-1-glucoside were the most prominent metabolites identified, respectively (Giessing et al., 2003b). The results from these four marine polychaete species emphasize the extensive inter-specific differences in phase II conjugation pathways even among closely related species (**Table 1**). This difference among species together with the limited amount of studies presently prohibit a more general conclusion on the relative importance of different phase II conjugation pathway in polychaetes.

The biotransformation of PAHs in marine polychaetes generally proceeds efficiently even though there are large differences in biotransformation capacity and inducibility among polychaete species. *N. virens* is considered an efficient biotransformer, and we found that more than 80% of the pyrene derived compounds extracted from gut tissue were present as phase II metabolites after 5 days

exposure (Jørgensen et al., 2005a). In *N. diversicolor*, approximately 75% of total pyrene extracted from whole worms after five days of exposure were present as pyrene-1-glucuronide (Giessing et al., 2003a). In another study with *N. diversicolor* exposed to B(a)P, 75% was recovered as aqueous metabolites (Driscoll and McElroy, 1996). In *Capitella* sp. I approximately 45% of the fluoranthene extracted from whole worm homogenate were aqueous metabolites after 10 days exposure (Selck et al., 2003). In agreement, Bach et al. (2005) found 38% aqueous metabolites of fluoranthene after 15 days exposure in *Capitella* sp. I. In *Capitella* sp. S, only 7% aqueous metabolites of fluoranthene were found after 15 days exposure (Bach et al., 2005), indicating large species specific differences in biotransformation capacity between the two sibling species. Furthermore, 89% of the fluoranthene present in *Capitella* sp. S after 15 days exposure was parent fluoranthene, indicating that this species is a poor biotransformer of fluoranthene (Bach et al., 2005). Also, Christensen et al. (2002a) found 50% of total pyrene in *N. diversicolor* as aqueous metabolites after 42 days exposure, whereas in *A. marina*, less than 20% was present as aqueous pyrene metabolites after 52 days exposure, indicating a large difference in biotransformation efficiency and/or excretion pathways between these two polychaete species. Comparisons of produced PAH metabolites in marine polychaetes (Table 2) show significant species-specific differences in biotransformation efficiency. In all studies, low percentage of phase I metabolites are found in polychaetes which corresponds well with the general notion that phase I biotransformation is the rate limiting step in the overall biotransformation pathway. Large differences between species are seen in the percentage of PAH present as parent and phase II metabolites. This emphasizes the importance of increasing the knowledge of the phase II enzymes. To our knowledge only one study has investigated the enzyme activity and inducibility of phase II enzymes in marine polychaetes. Glucuronosyl transferase (with glucuronic acid and glucoside as substrate, respectively) and sulfotransferase enzyme activity were investigated. Neither enzyme was induced by exposure to sediment associated

pyrene (1 µg/g sed. and 10 µg/g sed.) or B(a)A (1 µg/g sed. and 10 µg/g sed.) (Jørgensen et al., 2005a). The kinetic parameters of these enzymatic reactions were also investigated. Glucuronidation had high apparent V_{\max} and relatively low K_m , glucosidation had relatively low apparent V_{\max} and high K_m and sulfation had relatively low apparent V_{\max} and low K_m (Jørgensen et al., 2005a). As phase II biotransformation in marine polychaetes are much less investigated compared to phase I, the first step in a further understanding of these enzymes is to include determination of phase II enzyme activity, production of specific phase II metabolites as well as determination of phase II enzyme capacity and inducibility in future studies. This will increase the general knowledge about phase II biotransformation enzymes in marine polychaetes and make it possible to determine if these enzymes resemble their vertebrate counterparts as the few studies to date indicate.

4. Excretion

In polychaete species the major route of excretion of xenobiotics is assumed to be via the gut. The gut of a polychaete is lined with a specialized tissue, chloragogen tissue, which has a function that resembles that of the vertebrate liver. In accordance, Giessing et al. (2003a) found conjugates of pyrene in both gut fluid and defecation water from *N. diversicolor*, indicating that pyrene metabolites after phase I and II biotransformation are eliminated via the gut in this organism. The few studies on elimination of PAHs and PAH metabolites indicate that there are large species specific differences between different polychaetes in how they eliminate PAHs (Christensen et al., 2002a; Driscoll and McElroy, 1997). In an experiment comparing elimination rates of B(a)P between three different species of polychaetes, elimination of both parent compound and metabolites was faster in *N. diversicolor* and *M. viridis* which efficiently biotransform B(a)P compared to *L. fragilis* (Driscoll and McElroy, 1997). However, elimination might also be affected

by gut retention time and could be decreased by presence in the gut of de-conjugating enzymes such as β -glucuronidase, leading to re-absorption in a process analogous to vertebrate enterohepatic circulation (Mulder et al., 1990). This has not been investigated in polychaetes. However, Mayer et al (1995) found that gut-fluid from several deposit-feeding invertebrates including the polychaetes *A. marina* and *N. virens* contains glucosidase activity and possibly also esterase enzymes capable of de-conjugation. Preliminary data indicate that sulfate conjugates are present in higher concentrations in the water phase of microcosms with *N. virens* or *N. diversicolor* compared to glucuronide and glucoside conjugates (Unpublished results Jørgensen and Giessing) despite that the most prominent conjugate in both *N. virens* and *N. diversicolor* tissues are pyrene-1-glucuronide (Giessing et al., 2003a; Jørgensen et al., 2005a). This could indicate either that glucuronide conjugates might be de-conjugated by β glucuronidase in these polychaete species or that sulfate conjugates are excreted faster than glucuronide conjugates. (Christensen et al., 2002b) observed differences in removal paths of accumulated pyrene between *N. diversicolor* and *A. marina*. The major pathway for removal of pyrene from *N. diversicolor* was release of water-soluble metabolites whereas the major pathway of removal from *A. marina* was flushing of un-metabolised pyrene (Christensen et al., 2002b). Unfortunately, the water-soluble metabolites were not identified in this experiment. Also, previous investigations have indicated that the rate of elimination of B(a)P metabolites in *Marenzelleria viridis* and *N. diversicolor* appeared to be slower than elimination of the parent compound (Driscoll and McElroy, 1997). This result agrees with the general notion that PAH metabolites are eliminated quite inefficiently by aquatic invertebrates (James, 1989).

PAHs are primarily eliminated from marine polychaetes in the form of conjugates, and the environmental fate of these conjugates is presently unknown. Since the eukaryotic PAH metabolism does not introduce ring opening, the mineralisation to CO₂ of PAH metabolites excreted from

eukaryotic organisms must be conducted by bacteria (Cerniglia and Heitkamp, 1989). It has been suggested that after excretion to the environment, conjugated PAHs are readily hydrolysed releasing the phase I metabolites (Giessing and Johnsen, 2005). Recently, Giessing and Johnsen (2005) showed that marine pyrene degrading bacteria did not degrade pyrene metabolites excreted from *N. diversicolor* whereas pyrene was indeed degraded. Furthermore, none of six isolated pyrene degrading bacterial strains could utilise 1-hydroxypyrene as their sole carbon and energy source. In addition, 1-hydroxypyrene reduced the respiration rates of all six strains suggesting a direct toxic effect of 1-hydroxypyrene and supports the negligible degradation of pyrene metabolites excreted from *N. diversicolor* (Giessing and Johnsen, 2005). Since bacteria conceivably are unable to degrade phase I metabolites of some PAHs, the biogeochemical fate of these metabolites is currently unknown and remains to be elucidated.

5. Trophic transfer

PAHs themselves are relatively inert molecules and it is generally accepted that except for nonpolar narcosis due to incorporation into the phospholipid bilayer of membranes, toxic effects of PAHs are caused by their metabolites rather than by the parent compounds (Livingstone, 1993). Biotransformation enzymes thus play a dual role of ridding the organism of parent PAH through modification and eventual elimination, but also of creating toxic metabolic intermediates. In vertebrates, the initial CYP catalyzed oxidations of PAHs are either mono-hydroxylations or epoxidations, epoxides being hydrolyzed to vicinal trans-dioles catalyzed by epoxide hydrolase (EH) or thiolized to glutathione conjugates catalysed by GST. However, in PAHs containing a “bay region” like e.g. B(a)P, epoxides formed in PAH “bay region” are not hydrolyzed by EH due to steric hindrance. Such PAHs have been found to be carcinogenic and mutagenic in mammalian species (Penning, 1993); (Chen et al., 1996). In marine polychaetes, metabolites of PAHs such as

B(a)P have been found to cause DNA damage. In *Capitella* sp. I, DNA damage was also detected after exposure to sediment-associated fluoranthene (Bach et al., 2005; Palmqvist et al., 2003). The potential for production of carcinogenic and mutagenic metabolites in marine polychaetes leads to concern about trophic transfer of PAH residues and biotransformation products as polychaetes may play important roles in the transfer of contaminants from sediments to biota, because of their association with sediments and their significance as food source for bottom feeding fish and other epifaunal organisms (Clements et al., 1994; McElroy and Sisson, 1989). As the biotransformation capacity of fish and other vertebrate organisms is higher than that of invertebrates, transferred PAHs and metabolites might be further biotransformed, either by further CYP catalysed oxidation that might lead to formation of DNA reactive metabolites or by biotransformation enzymes resulting in increased hydrophilicity and elimination (James, 1989; Palmqvist et al., 2006).

Trophic transfer involving marine polychaetes has been investigated in few experiments. Rice et al. (2000) investigated growth, *CYP1A* expression and DNA adduct formation in juveniles of the flatfish *Pleuronectes vetulus* fed the polychaete *Armandia brevis* exposed to harbour sediment or sediment contaminated with B(a)P, Arochlor 1254 or dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE). The growth of *P. vetulus* fed exposed worms was slower than that of those fed non-exposed worms. Also, fish fed exposed worms all showed increased expression of CYP1A immunostaining with a polyclonal antibody. However, hepatic PAH-DNA adducts were found only in fish exposed to B(a)P-exposed polychaetes (Rice et al., 2000). Palmqvist et al. (2007) investigated the trophic transfer to *N. virens* of ¹⁴C-labelled fluoranthene from two *Capitella* sibling species differing in their ability to biotransform. *N. virens* fed *Capitella* sp. I (effective biotransformator) accumulated higher levels of fluoranthene derived compounds than did *Capitella* sp. S (poor biotransformator). There was however, no indications of DNA damage in *N. virens* fed either of the two fluoranthene

exposed *Capitella* species (Palmqvist et al., 2006). Also, McElroy and Sisson (1989) demonstrated transfer of metabolites of ^{14}C -labelled B(a)P formed by *N. virens* via the diet to winter flounder *Pseudopleuronectes americanus*, resulting in the presence of phase I and phase II metabolites in liver and intestine. The current data indicates that transfer of PAH metabolites between trophic levels might occur and have effects on the predator organism. Especially the potential of some PAH metabolites to cause DNA damage is reason for concern. However, a recent study on trophic transfer of PAHs found that relative high concentrations of PAHs are found in organisms at low trophic levels and that PAHs undergo trophic dilution in the marine food web resulting in relatively low PAH concentrations in organisms at high trophic levels (Wan et al., 2007). This is most likely due to low assimilation efficiency and high biotransformation capacity in organisms at high trophic levels (Wan et al., 2007). Since only few studies are available, the extent of trophic transfer of PAHs and PAH metabolites involving marine polychaetes is largely unknown.

6. Molecular mechanisms underlying biotransformation in marine polychaetes

To increase the mechanistic understanding of the specific CYP enzymes that mediate the first step in PAH biotransformation, identification of *CYP* genes from marine polychaetes has been initiated. To date only few *CYP* genes have been fully sequenced and investigated (**Table 3**). In *N. virens*, two *CYP* genes were identified and sequenced (Jørgensen et al., 2005b); they were named CYP4BB1 (GenBank accession number [AY453407](#)) and CYP342A1 (GenBank accession number [AY453408](#)) by the Cytochrome P450 Nomenclature Committee. *N. virens* CYP342A1 shares less than 40% amino acid identity with other CYP enzymes and was therefore assigned to a new family, but has the highest homology with CYP enzymes belonging to the CYP4F family. The other identified *N. virens* gene CYP4BB1 was assigned to a new subfamily, but shared highest similarity to CYP4F. Furthermore, two *CYP* genes from *Capitella* sp. I have been identified CYP4AT1

(GenBank accession number AY574044) and CYP331A1 (GenBank accession number AY574043) which shared highest homology to the CYP4F and CYP45 subfamily, respectively (Li et al., 2004). In a recent review on CYP enzymes, the phylogenetic relationship of the identified marine polychaete CYPs is established by comparison with CYPs from other marine invertebrates (Rewitz et al., 2006). The two CYP genes (CYP4BB1 and CYP342A1) from *N. virens* cluster together with CYP4AT1 from *Capitella* sp.I and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (mussel) in the 4 clan whereas CYP331A1 also from *Capitella* sp.I, CYP30 from *Mercenaria mercenaria* (clam) and CYP45 from *Homarus americanus* (lobster) cluster in the 3 clan (Rewitz et al., 2006).

Compared to vertebrates, the invertebrate CYP4 family is more diverse comprising numerous isoforms even within a single species. In vertebrates, CYP4 enzymes are primarily involved in fatty acid metabolism, but some enzymes are also recognised for their involvement in metabolism of exogenous compounds (Kikuta et al., 1999). It has been suggested that CYP4 enzymes in vertebrates function at the interface between metabolism of endogenous and exogenous substrates (Fischer et al., 1998). In insects the CYP4 family has been suggested to be involved in toxin metabolism (Dunkov et al., 1996; Scott et al., 1994). This is supported by the greater number and broader sequence diversity of CYP4 genes in insects which indicate that the corresponding enzymes could have a similar role as vertebrate CYP2 enzymes, that are involved in drug metabolism (Dunkov et al., 1996). This hypothesis is supported by Danielson et al. (1998) who related strong and highly specific upregulations of CYP4 mRNA expression in *Drosophila mettleri* after barbiturate exposure to a pattern of xenobiotic responsiveness more similar to vertebrate drug metabolising enzymes than to putative vertebrate CYP4 homologs (Danielson et al., 1998). The suggested function of insect CYP4 enzymes in biotransformation of exogenous compounds is based on the general thought that CYPs involved in xenobiotic biotransformation are often

transcriptionally inducible by substrates upon which they act (Whitlock, 1986). Therefore most investigations have used mRNA expression studies to determine inductions after exposure to xenobiotics and thereafter suggested involvement in xenobiotic biotransformation (Carino et al., 1994; Danielson et al., 1998; Snyder, 1998b; Snyder et al., 1995; Tares et al., 2000). Accordingly, identified *CYP* genes from marine polychaetes have primarily been investigated with regard to possible functions by mRNA expression studies. In Rewitz et al (2004), northern blot analysis showed induction of *CYP4(2)* (*CYP342A1*) by crude oil, B(a)A and clofibrate. In contrast the *CYP4(1)* (*CYP4BB1*) gene expression was not induced by any of the treatments, including clofibrate, which is a known inducer of CYP4F in vertebrate species (Simpson, 1997). Based on the transcriptional upregulations by xenobiotics found with the *N. virens* CYPs, it was suggested that the *CYP* genes might be involved in xenobiotic biotransformation (Rewitz et al., 2004). Also, CYP4AT1 and CYP331A1 from *Capitella* sp. I was investigated by real-time PCR after exposure to sediment associated PAHs (Li et al., 2004). CYP4AT1 was not induced except after exposure to one concentration of 3-MC whereas CYP331A1 was induced by B(a)P and fluoranthene (Li et al., 2004). However, the authors suggested that the two *CYPs* are relatively constitutively expressed. The induction levels found in marine invertebrates appear to be lower compared to insects and vertebrates, which could indicate that the regulation of the CYP enzyme expression is less sophisticated in marine polychaetes compared to vertebrates. However, upregulation of transcription does not necessarily result in an increased amount of produced enzyme, therefore, experiments at the enzyme level are also necessary.

In vivo experiments employing total CYP enzyme activity in polychaetes can be used to investigate induction of the total enzyme level and the relationship between inducers and substrate. However, it is seldom possible to separate activities contributed by different CYP isoforms, limiting the value of

1 this approach. Activity of specific CYP enzymes can be investigated using heterologous expression
2 followed by determination of activity of the specific CYP isoform, which is necessary to
3 demonstrate that an exogenous compound that upregulated mRNA levels is in fact substrate for the
4 enzyme. Therefore, heterologous expression of *CYP* genes is a valuable tool for investigating if the
5 substrate in question is metabolised by the specific CYP enzyme. This type of biotransformation
6 study with specific CYP enzymes is needed to directly demonstrate catalytic activity and
7 involvement in PAH biotransformation. The activity of CYP4BB1 and CYP342A1 from *N. virens*
8 was determined with pyrene as a substrate and both enzymes catalysed the production of 1-
9 hydroxypyrene (Jørgensen et al., 2005b). However, differences in CYP family, substrate and
10 expression system make it difficult to directly compare catalytic activities from other invertebrate
11 species. An alternative to measuring specific CYP enzyme activity could be Western blotting with
12 antibodies or DNA probes. However, due to low sequence similarity between vertebrate and
13 invertebrate CYP enzymes, antibodies raised against vertebrate CYPs do not seem to bind
14 specifically when used on marine invertebrates (Brown et al., 1998; Snyder, 2000). Specific
15 antibodies raised against specific *CYP* genes identified in marine polychaetes would provide a
16 invaluable tool in elucidating the mechanism of regulation and function of polychaete CYPs. Few
17 studies using specific CYP antibodies have been conducted in marine invertebrates (Snyder and
18 Mulder, 2001), including anti-CYP2L from spiny lobster *Panilirus argus* and anti-CYP45 from
19 lobster *Homarus americanus* (Snyder and Mulder, 2001).

22 **7. Conclusion and perspectives**

23 The data currently available on PAH biotransformation in marine polychaetes indicates that the
24 mechanism resembles that of mammalian biotransformation with few exceptions. There are

contradicting results on inducibility of CYP enzymes in marine polychaetes with some studies finding CYP enzyme activity to be inducible by 2-3 fold whereas other studies find that CYP enzymes are constitutively expressed. However, large differences in the different enzyme assays complicate direct comparison of the results. Also, these assays measure total CYP enzyme activity, thereby blurring the possible induction of one or few CYP isoforms. Recent investigations on the molecular level determining *CYP* gene sequences and -expression after exposure to xenobiotics including PAHs indicate that *CYP* gene expression in marine polychaetes can not be categorised as either inducible or constitutive. It appears that some CYP isoforms are inducible whereas others are constitutively expressed, as expected from vertebrate studies. Currently, the most obvious difference appears to be that inductions of CYP1A enzymes involved in PAH biotransformation in vertebrates is mediated through the Ah receptor. In marine polychaetes and most other invertebrates neither the CYP1A isoform nor AhR homologues have been identified. Future studies should include experiments in which molecular techniques are used to identify *CYP* genes and receptors from marine polychaetes that are involved in PAH biotransformation.

The CYP enzyme mediated phase I biotransformation of PAHs in marine polychaetes can result in the formation of metabolites that are potentially carcinogenic. This causes concern for trophic transfer of PAH parent compounds, but also of metabolites formed in polychaetes, which are important prey items for fish. However, it is not possible to make any general conclusions regarding extend of the trophic transfer of PAH metabolites from polychaetes based on the few available studies. Experiments in which the specific phase I and II PAH metabolites from polychaete biotransformation are identified is limited, but the few available data suggest that the conjugation of PAH phase I metabolites appears to proceed in a manner that resembles vertebrate phase II biotransformation. The primary phase II conjugation pathway in marine polychaetes appears to

differ even among closely related species. However, the knowledge about marine polychaete biotransformation of PAHs is based on studies of very few species. Future studies should include investigations using additional species of marine polychaetes. Furthermore, elimination of the conjugated PAHs from polychaetes is currently not well investigated and the presence and activity of de-conjugating enzymes in gut tissue needs to be further elucidated in order to determine whether de-conjugation is an important factor in elimination of PAH metabolites. Finally, the environmental fate of PAH metabolites eliminated from polychaetes is largely unknown. However, a recent study indicates that pyrene degrading bacteria are not capable of degrading pyrene metabolites eliminated from *N. diversicolor* and the study indicated that the phase I metabolite was toxic to the pyrene-degrading bacteria. Future studies should include determination of excretion rates of produced phase II metabolites as well as determine the relative importance of the phase II enzymes in different polychaete species. Integration of molecular techniques and analytical chemical determination of produced metabolites will improve our understanding of the entire biotransformation pathway in the marine polychaetes thereby establishing whether it is distinct from vertebrate biotransformation. In conclusion, current data indicate that biotransformation of PAHs in marine polychaetes resemble that of vertebrates in a mechanistic perspective (Figure 2), except for lower response level regarding activity of biotransformation enzymes, inducibility and enzyme capacity as well as the apparent lack of a AhR mediated CYP enzyme regulation.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the Danish Natural Science Council (OA, LJR), the Danish Cancer Society (LJR) and Danish Medical Research Council (LJR).

References

- Ahrens, M.J., Hertz, J., Lamoureaux, E.M., Lopez, G.R., McElroy, A.E., and Browawell, B.J. (2001). The role of digestive surfactants in determining bioavailability of sediment-bound hydrophobic organic contaminants to two deposit-feeding polychaetes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 212, 145-157.
- Andresen, M. and Kristensen, E. (2002). The Importance of Bacteria and Microalgae in the Diet of the Deposit-Feeding Polychaete *Arenicola Marina*. *Ophelia* 56, 179-196.
- Bach, L., Palmqvist, A., Rasmussen, L.J., and Forbes, V.E. (2005). Differences in Pah Tolerance Between *Capitella* Species: Underlying Biochemical Mechanisms. *Aquatic Toxicology* 74, 307-319.
- Boyle, S.M., Greenberg, R.M., and James, M.O. (1998). Isolation of CYP2L2 and two other cytochrome P450 sequences from a spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus*, hepatopancreas cDNA library. *Marine Environmental Research* 46, 21-24.
- Brown, D.J., Clark, G.C., and Van Beneden, R.J. (1998). A new cytochrome P450 (CYP30) family identified in the clam, *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C- Pharmacology Toxicology & Endocrinology* 121, 351-360.
- Burchell, B. and Coughtrie, M.W.H. (1989). UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 43, 261-289.
- Butler, R.A., Kelley, M.L., Powell, W.H., Hahn, M.E., and Van Beneden, R.J. (2001). An aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) homologue from the soft-shell clam, *Mya arenaria*: evidence that invertebrate AHR homologues lack 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and beta-naphthoflavone binding. *Gene* 278, 223-234.

- 1 Cadée, G.C. (1976). Sediment reworking by *Arenicola marina* on tidal flats in the Dutch Wadden
2 sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 10, 440-460.
- 3 Carino, F.A., Koener, J.F., Plapp, F.W., and Feyereisen, R. (1994). Constitutive overexpression of
4 the cytochrome P450 gene CYP6A1 in a house fly strain with metabolic resistance to insecticides.
5 Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 24, 411-418.
- 6 Cerniglia, C.E. and Heitkamp, M.A. (1989). Microbial degradation of polycyclic aromatic
7 hydrocarbons (PAH) in the aquatic environment. In U. Varanasi, Metabolism of polycyclic
8 aromatic hydrocarbons in the marine environment (pp 41-68). Boca Raton, CRC Press.
- 9 Chen, L., Devanesan, P., Higginbotham, S., Ariese, F., Jankowiak, R., Small, G.J., Rogan, E.G.,
10 and Cavalieri, E.L. (1996). Expanded analysis of benzo(a)pyrene-DNA adducts formed in vitro and
11 in mouse skin: their significance in tumor initiation. Chemical Research in Toxicology 9, 897-903.
- 12 Christensen, M., Andersen, O., and Banta, G. (2002a). Metabolism of pyrene by the polychaetes
13 *Nereis diversicolor* and *Arenicola marina*. Aquatic Toxicology 58, 15-25.
- 14 Christensen, M., Banta, G.T., and Anderssen, O. (2002b). Effects of polychaetes *Nereis diversicolor*
15 and *Arenicola marina* on the fate and distribution of pyrene in sediments. Marine Ecology Progress
16 Series 237, 159-172.
- 17 Clements, W.H., Oris, J.T., and Wissing, T.E. (1994). Accumulation and Food Chain Transfer of
18 Fluoranthene and Benzo[a]pyrene in *Chironomus riparius* and *Lepomis macrochirus*. Archives of
19 Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 26, 261-266.
- 20 Danielson, P.B., Foster, J.L.M., McMahon, M.M., Smith, M.K., and Fogleman, J.C. (1998).
21 Induction by alkaloids and phenobarbital of family 4 cytochrome P450s in *Drosophila*: evidence for

- involvement in host plant utilisation. *Molecular & General Genetics* 259, 54-59.
- de Knecht, J.A., Stroomberg, G.J., Tump, C., Helms, M., Verweij, R.A., Commandeur, J., van Gestel, C.A.M., and van Straalen, N.M. (2001). Characterization of enzymes involved in biotransformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in terrestrial isopods. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 20, 1457-1464.
- DeWitt, T.H., Ozretich, R.J., Swartz, R.C., Lamberson, J.O., Schults, D.W., Ditsworth, G.R., Jones, J.K.P., Hoselton, L., and Smith, L.M. (1992). The influence of organic matter quality on the toxicity and partitioning of sediment-associated fluoranthene. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 11, 197-208.
- Driscoll, S.K. and McElroy, A.E. (1996). Bioaccumulation and metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene in three species of polychaete worms. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 15, 1401-1410.
- Driscoll, S.B.K. and McElroy, A.E. (1997). Elimination of sediment-associated benzo[a]pyrene and its metabolites by polychaete worms exposed to 3-methylcholanthrene. *Aquatic Toxicology* 39, 77-91.
- Dunkov, B.C., Rodriguez-Arnaiz, R., Pittendrigh, B., French-Constant, R.H., and Feyereisen, R. (1996). Cytochrome P450 gene clusters in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular & General Genetics* 251, 290-297.
- Ferguson, P.L. and Chandler, G.T. (1998). A laboratory and field comparison of sediment polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon bioaccumulation by the cosmopolitan estuarine polychaete *Streblospio benedicti* (Webster). *Marine Environmental Research* 45, 387-401.
- Fillmann, G., Watson, G.M., Howsam, M., Francioni, E., Depledge, M.H., and Readman, J.W.

- 1 (2004). Urinary PAH metabolites as biomarkers of exposure in aquatic environments.
- 2 Environmental Science & Technology 38, 2649-2656.
- 3 Fischer, M.B., Zheng, Y.-M., and Rettie, A.E. (1998). Positional specificity of rabbit CYP4B1 for
- 4 *w*-hydroxylation of short-medium chain fatty acids and hydrocarbon. Biochemical and Biophysical
- 5 Research Communications 248, 352-355.
- 6 Forbes, T.L., Forbes, V.E., Giessing, A.M.B., Hansen, R., and Kure, L.K. (1998). The relative role
- 7 of pore water versus ingested sediment in the bioavailability of organic contaminants in marine
- 8 sediments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 17, 2453-2462.
- 9 Forbes, V.E., Forbes, T.L., and Holmer, M. (1996). Inducible metabolism of fluoranthene by the
- 10 opportunistic polychaete *Capitella* sp. I. Marine Ecology Progress Series 132, 63-70.
- 11 Forbes, V.E., Andreassen, M.S.H., and Christensen, L. (2001). Metabolism of the polycyclic
- 12 aromatic hydrocarbon fluoranthene by the polychaete *Capitella capitata* species I. Environmental
- 13 Toxicology and Chemistry 20, 1012-1021.
- 14 Fries, C.R. and Lee, R.F. (1984). Pollutant effect on the mixed function oxygenase (MFO) and
- 15 reproductive systems of the marine polychaete *Nereis virens*. Marine Biology 79, 187-193.
- 16 Giessing, A.M.B. and Johnsen, A.R. (2005). Limited microbial degradation of pyrene metabolites
- 17 from the estuarine polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*. Chemosphere 61, 1281-1287.
- 18 Giessing, A.M.B., Mayer, L.M., and Forbes, T.L. (2003). 1-hydroxypyrene glucuronide as the
- 19 major aqueous pyrene metabolite in tissue and gut fluid from the marine deposit-feeding polychaete
- 20 *Nereis diversicolor*. Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry 22, 1107-1114.
- 21 Giessing, A.M.B. and Lund, T. (2002). Identification of 1-hydroxypyrene glucuronide in tissue of

- 1 marine polychaete *Nereis diversicolor* by liquid chromatography/ion trap multiple mass
- 2 spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 16, 1521-1525.
- 3 Giessing, A.M.B., Mayer, L.M., and Forbes, T.L. (2003). Synchronous Fluorescence Spectrometry
- 4 of 1-Hydroxypyrene: A Rapid Screening Method for Identification of PAH Exposure in Marine
- 5 Polychaetes. *Marine Environmental Research* 56, 599-615.
- 6 Hahn, M.E. (1998). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor: A comparative perspective. *Comparative*
- 7 *Biochemistry and Physiology C-Pharmacology Toxicology & Endocrinology* 121, 23-53.
- 8 Hahn, M.E., Karchner, S.I., Shapiro, M.A., and Perera, S.A. (1998). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor
- 9 in early vertebrates. *Marine Environmental Research* 46, 41-44.
- 10 Hahn, M.E. and Stegeman, J.J. (1992). Phylogenetic distribution of the Ah receptor in non-
- 11 mammalian species: implications for dioxin toxicity and Ah receptor evolution. *Chemosphere* 25,
- 12 931-937.
- 13 James, M.O. (1987). Conjugation of organic pollutants in aquatic species. *Environmental Health*
- 14 *Perspective* 71, 97-103.
- 15 James, M.O., Boyle, S.M., Trapido-Rosenthal, H.G., Smith, W.C., Greenberg, R.M., and Shiverick,
- 16 K.T. (1996). Cdna and Protein Sequence of a Major Form of P450, Cyp2l, in the Hepatopancreas of
- 17 the Spiny Lobster, *Panulirus Argus*. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 329, 31-38.
- 18 James, M.O. (1989). Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases in crustaceans. *Xenobiotica* 19, 1063-
- 19 1076.
- 20 Jørgensen, A., Giessing, A.M.B., Juel Rasmussen, L., and Andersen, O. (2005a). Biotransformation
- 21 of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon pyrene in the marine polychaete *Nereis virens*.

- 1 Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry 24, 2796-2805.
- 2 Jørgensen, A., Rasmussen, L.J., and Andersen, O. (2005b). Characterisation of Two Novel Cyp4
3 Genes From the Marine Polychaete *Nereis Virens* and Their Involvement in Pyrene Hydroxylase
4 Activity. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 336, 890-897.
- 5 Jumars, P.A., Mayer, L.M., Deming, J.W., Baross, J.A., and Wheatcroft, R.A. (1990). Deep-sea
6 deposit-feeding strategies suggested by environmental and feeding constraints. Philosophical
7 Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 85, 85-101.
- 8 Kikuta, Y., Kusunose, E., Ito, M., and Kusunose, M. (1999). Purification and characterisation of
9 recombinant rat hepatic CYP4F1. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 369, 193-196.
- 10 Landrum, P.F., Eadie, B.J., and Faust, W.R. (1991). Toxicokinetics and toxicity of a mixture of
11 sediment-associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to the amphipod *Diporeia* sp.
12 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 10, 35-46.
- 13 Lee, R.F. (1981). Mixed function oxygenases (MFO) in marine invertebrates. Marine Biology
14 Letters 2, 87-105.
- 15 Lee, R.F. and Singer, S.C. (1980). Detoxifying enzymes system in marine polychaetes: increases in
16 activity after exposure to aromatic hydrocarbons. Rapp. P.-v. Réun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer. 179,
17 29-32.
- 18 Lee, R.F. (1998). Annelid cytochrome P450. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-
19 Pharmacology Toxicology & Endocrinology 121, 173-179.
- 20 Lee, R.F., Singer, S.C., Tenore, K.R., Gardner, W.S., and Philpot, R.M. (1979). Detoxification
21 system in polychaete worms: Importance in the degradation of sediment hydrocarbons. In W.B.

Vernberg, A. Calabrese, F.P. Thurberg, and J.F. Vernberg, Marine Pollution: Functional Responses pp. 23-38, Academic Press.

Li, B., Bisgaard, H.C., and Forbes, V. (2004). Identification and expression of two novel cytochrome P450 genes, belonging to CYP4 and a new CYP331 family, in the polychaete *Capitella capitata* sp.I. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 325, 510-517.

Li, C.L.J. and James, M.O. (2000). Oral bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of elimination of 9-hydroxybenzo[a]pyrene and its glucoside and sulfate conjugates after administration to male and female American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*. Toxicological Sciences 57, 75-86.

Li, C.-L.J. and James, M.O. (1993). Glucose and sulfate conjugations of phenol, β naphthol and 3-hydroxybenzo[a]pyrene by the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). Aquatic Toxicology 26, 57-72.

Livingstone, D.R. (1998). The fate of organic xenobiotics in aquatic ecosystems: quantitative and qualitative differences in biotransformation by invertebrates and fish. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A-Molecular and Integrative Physiology 120, 43-49.

Livingstone, D.R. (1993). Biotechnology and pollution monitoring: Use of molecular biomarkers in the aquatic environment. Journal of Technology and Biotechnology 57, 195-211.

Mayer, L.M., Jumars, P., Bock, M.J., Vetter, Y.-A., and Schmidt, J.L. (2001). Two roads to Sparagmos: Extracellular digestion of sedimentary food by bacterial inoculation versus deposit-feeding. In J. Aller, S.A. Woodin, and R.C. Aller, Organism-Sediment Interactions (pp. 335-348) University of South Carolina Press.

McElroy, A. (1990). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolism in the polychaete *Nereis virens*.

1 Aquatic Toxicology 18, 35-50.

2 McElroy, A., Leitch, K., and Fay, A. (2000). A survey of in vivo benzo[alpha]pyrene metabolism in
3 small benthic marine invertebrates. Marine Environmental Research 50, 33-38.

4 McElroy, A.E. (1985a). In vivo metabolism of Benz[a]anthracene by the polychaete *Nereis virens*.
5 Marine Environmental Research 17, 133-136.

6 McElroy, A.E. (1985b). Physiological and Biochemical Effects of the Polycyclic Aromatic
7 Hydrocarbon Benz[a]anthracene on the Deposit Feeding Polychaete *Nereis virens*. In J.S. Gray
8 and M.E. Christensen, Marine Biology of Polar Regions and Effects on Marine Organisms (pp.
9 527-543). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

10 McElroy, A.E. and Sisson, J.D. (1989). Trophic transfer of benzo[a]pyrene metabolites between
11 benthic marine organisms. Marine Environmental Research 28, 265-269.

12 Michel, X.R., Beasse, C., and Narbonne, J.F. (1995). In-vivo metabolism of benzo(a)pyrene in the
13 mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 28,
14 215-222.

15 Mimura, J. and Fujii-Kuriyama, Y. (2003). Functional role of AhR in the expression of toxic effects
16 by TCDD. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1619, 263-268.

17 Mulder, G.J., Coughtrie, M.W.H., and Burchell, B. (1990). Glucuronidation: In Conjugation
18 reactions in drug metabolism (pp 51-105). Taylor and Francis Ltd.

19 Neff, J.M. (1985). Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. In G.M. Rand and S.R. Petrocelli,
20 Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology: Methods and Applications (pp. 416-454). Taylor and Francis
21 Ltd.

- 1 Palmqvist, A., Rasmussen, L.J., and Forbes, V. (2006). Influence of biotransformation on trophic
2 transfer of the PAH, fluoranthene. *Aquatic Toxicology* 80, 309-319.
- 3 Palmqvist, A., Selck, H., Rasmussen, L.J., and Forbes, V.E. (2003). Biotransformation and
4 Genotoxicity of Fluoranthene in the Deposit-Feeding Polychaete *Capitella* Sp I. *Environmental*
5 *Toxicology and Chemistry* 22, 2977-2985.
- 6 Penning, TM. (1993). Dihydrodiol dehydrogenase and its role in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
7 metabolism. *Chemical.-Biological Interactions* 89, 1-34.
- 8 Penry, D.L. and Weston, D.P. (1998). Digestive Determinants of Benzo[Alpha]Pyrene and
9 Phenanthrene Bioaccumulation by a Deposit-Feeding Polychaete. *Environmental Toxicology and*
10 *Chemistry* 17, 2254-2265.
- 11 Reish, D.J. and Gerlinger, T.V. (1997). A review of the toxicological studies with polychaetous
12 annelids. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 60, 584-607.
- 13 Rewitz, K., Kjellerup, C., Jørgensen, A., Petersen, C., and Andersen, O. (2004). Identification of
14 two *Nereis virens* (annelida: Polychaeta) cytochromes P450 and induction by xenobiotics.
15 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C-Pharmacology Toxicology & Endocrinology*
16 138, 89-96.
- 17 Rewitz, K., Styris have, B., and Andersen, O. (2003). CYP330A1 and CYP4C39 enzymes in the
18 shore crab *Carcinus maenas*: sequence and expression regulation by ecdysteroids and xenobiotics.
19 *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 310, 252-260.
- 20 Rewitz, K.F., Styris have, B., Lobner-Olesen, A., and Andersen, O. (2006). Marine Invertebrate
21 Cytochrome P450: Emerging Insights From Vertebrate and Insect Analogies. *Comparative*

- 1 Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology 143, 363-381.
- 2 Rice, C.A., Myers, M.S., Willis, M.L., French, B.L., and Casillas, E. (2000). From sediment
3 bioassay to fish biomarker - connecting the dots using simple trophic relationships. Marine
4 Environmental Research 50, 527-533.
- 5 Rust, A.J., Burgess, R.M., Brownawell, B.J., and McElroy, A. (2004). Relationship between
6 metabolism and bioaccumulation of benzo(a)pyrene in benthic invertebrates. Environmental
7 Toxicology & Chemistry 23, 2587-2593.
- 8 Scott, A.I., Collins, F.H., and Feyereisen, R. (1994). Diversity of cytochrome P450 genes in the
9 mosquito, *Anopheles albimanus*. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 205,
10 1452-1459.
- 11 Selck, H., Palmquist, A., and Forbes, V. (2003). Biotransformation of dissolved and sediment-
12 bound flouranthene in the polychaete, *Capitella* sp. 1. Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry 22,
13 2364-2374.
- 14 Simpson, A.E.C.M. (1997). The cytochrome P450 4 (CYP4) family. General Pharmacology 28,
15 351-359.
- 16 Simpson, C.D., Cullen, W.R., He, T.Y.T., Ikonou, M., and Reimer, K.J. (2002). Metabolism of
17 pyrene by two clam species, *Mya arenaria* and *Protothaca staminea*. Chemosphere 49, 315-322.
- 18 Snyder, M.J. (2000). Cytochrome P450 Enzymes in Aquatic Invertebrates: Recent Advances and
19 Future Directions. Aquatic Toxicology 48, 529-547.
- 20 Snyder, M.J. (1998a). Cytochrome P450 enzymes belonging to the CYP4 family from marine
21 invertebrates. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 249, 187-190.

- 1 Snyder, M.J. (1998b). Identification of a new P450 family, CYP45, from the lobster, *hommarus*
2 *americanus*, and expression following hormone and xenobiotic exposures. *Archives of*
3 *Biochemistry and Biophysics* 358, 271-276.
- 4 Snyder, M.J. and Mulder, E.P. (2001). Environmental endocrine disruption in decapod crustacean
5 larvae: hormone titers, cytochrome P450, and stress protein responses to heptachlor exposure.
6 *Aquatic Toxicology* 55, 177-190.
- 7 Snyder, M.J., Stevens, J.L., Andersen, J.F., and Feyereisen, R. (1995). Expression of cytochrome
8 P450 genes of the CYP4 family in midgut and fat body of the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta*.
9 *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 321, 13-20.
- 10 Sole, M. and Livingstone, D.R. (2005). Components of the Cytochrome P450-Dependent
11 Monooxygenase System and 'nadph-Independent Benzo[a]Pyrene Hydroxylase' Activity in a Wide
12 Range of Marine Invertebrate Species. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology &*
13 *Pharmacology* 141, 20-31.
- 14 Stroomberg, G.J., Ariese, F., Van Gestel, C.A.M., Van Huttum, B., Velthorst, N.H., and Van
15 Straalen, N.M. (2003). Pyrene biotransformation products as biomarkers of polycyclic aromatic
16 hydrocarbon exposure in terrestrial isopoda: Concentration-response relationship, and field study in
17 a contaminated forest. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 22, 224-231.
- 18 Swartz, R.C., Schults, D.W., DeWitt, T.H., Ditsworth, G.R., and Lamberson, J.O. (1990). Toxicity
19 of fluoranthene in sediment to marine amphipods: A test of the equilibrium partitioning approach to
20 sediment quality criteria. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 9, 1071-1080.
- 21 Tares, S., Berge, J.B., and Amichot, M. (2000). Cloning and expression of cytochrome P450 genes
22 belonging to the CYP4 family and to a novel family CYP48, in two hymenopteran insects,

- 1 *Trichogramma cacæciae* and *Apis mellifera*. Biochemical and Biophysical Research
2 Communications 268, 677-682.
- 3 van den Hurk, P. and James, M.O. (2000). Sulfation and glucuronidation of benzo(a)pyrene-7,8-
4 dihydrodiol in intestinal mucosa of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). Marine Environmental
5 Research 50, 11-15.
- 6 Wan, Y., Jin, X.H., Hu, J.Y., and Jin, F. (2007). Trophic Dilution of Polycyclic Aromatic
7 Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a Marine Food Web From Bohai Bay, North China. Environmental
8 Science & Technology 41, 3109-3114.
- 9 Waxman, D.J. (1999). P450 gene induction by structurally diverse xenochemicals: Central role of
10 nuclear receptors CAR, PXR and PPAR. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 369, 11-23.
- 11 Weston, D.P. (1990). Hydrocarbon bioaccumulation from contaminated sediment by the deposit-
12 feeding polychaete *Abarenicola pacifica*. Marine Biology 107, 159-169.
- 13 Weston, D.P. and Mayer, L.M. (1998a). Comparison of in vitro digestive fluid extraction and
14 traditional in vivo approaches as measure of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon bioavailability from
15 sediments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 17, 830-840.
- 16 Weston, D.P. and Mayer, L.M. (1998b). In vitro digestive fluid extraction as a measure of the
17 bioavailability of sediment-associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source of variation and
18 implications for partitioning models. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 17, 820-829.
- 19 Whitlock, J.P. (1986). The regulation of Cytochrome P450 expression. Annual Review of
20 Pharmacology and Toxicology 26, 333-369.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Proposed biotransformation pathway of pyrene in *Nereis virens*. CYP450: Cytochrome P450 enzymes, ST: Sulfotransferase enzymes, UDPGT: uridinediphosphateglycuronosyl transferase enzymes. From Jørgensen et al 2005a.

Figure 2. Generalised overview of processes that participate in the biotransformation and elimination of accumulated PAHs. The PAH will be biotransformed by phase I enzymes present in the organism and also, the PAH can bind to a receptor that is activated resulting in an increased expression of *CYP* genes. The CYP enzymes are the most important phase I enzymes and the induction will result in a higher efficiency of the phase I biotransformation. This is convenient since the phase I biotransformation is generally believed to be the rate-limiting step in the overall elimination process. The PAH phase I metabolite is then further biotransformed by phase II enzymes to PAH phase II metabolite which can either be eliminated from the organism or it can be de-conjugated to the phase I metabolite by enzymes (enterohepatic circulation).

Tables

Table 1. Identified phase II metabolites involved in PAH biotransformation in marine polychaetes. The substrate was 1-hydroxypyrene in all experiments. Bold indicates that only the mentioned phase II metabolite was identified and * indicates marine polychaete species.

Species	Substrate	Phase II metabolites identified	Reference
<i>Capitella capitata</i> *	1-hydroxypyrene	Sulfate	Giessing et al. 2003b
<i>Arenicola marina</i> *	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside	Giessing et al. 2003b
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i> *	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside, sulfate, glucuronide	Giessing et al. 2003a
<i>Nereis virens</i> *	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside, sulfate, glucuronide	Jørgensen et al. 2005
<i>Carcinus maenas</i>	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside, sulfate, unknown	Fillmann et al. 2004
<i>Mya arenaria</i>	1-hydroxypyrene	Sulfate, pyrenediol-hydrogensulfate	Simpson et al. 2002
<i>Protothaca staminea</i>	1-hydroxypyrene	Sulfate, pyrenediol-hydrogensulfate	Simpson et al. 2002
<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	B(a)P metabolites	Sulfate, glucuronide	Michel et al. 1995
<i>Porcellio scaber</i>	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside, sulfate	DeKnecht et al. 2001
<i>Oniscus asellus</i>	1-hydroxypyrene	Glucoside, sulfate	DeKnecht et al. 2001
<i>Homarus americanus</i>	9-hydroxy-B(a)P	Glucoside, sulfate	Li & James 2000

1 **Table 2**

2 Comparison of produced metabolites of PAHs in marine polychaetes.

Species	Parent PAH	Phase I	Phase II	Unextractable	Exposure	PAH and Conc.	Reference
<i>Nereis virens</i>	17 %	4 %	79 %	-	5 days	10 µg/g pyrene	Jørgensen et al. 2005a
<i>Nereis virens</i>	24 %	10 %	32 %	34 %	6 days	8 µg/g B(a)A	McElroy 1990
<i>Capitella sp. I</i>	37 %	20 %	38 %	4 %	10 days	30 µg/g Fluoranthene	Bach et al. 2005
<i>Capitella sp. S</i>	89 %	3 %	7 %	1 %	10 days	30 µg/g Fluoranthene	Bach et al. 2005
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	25 %	2 %	73 %	-	5 days	25 µg/g pyrene	Giessing et al. 2003a
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	5 %	5 %	78 %	12 %	9 days	20 ng/g B(a)P	Driscoll & McElroy 1996
<i>Scolecopides viridis</i>	40 %	8 %	38 %	14 %	9 days	20 ng/g B(a)P	Driscoll & McElroy 1996
<i>Leitoscoloplos fragilis</i>	90 %	2 %	1 %	6 %	9 days	20 ng/g B(a)P	Driscoll & McElroy 1996
<i>Arenicola marina</i>	86 %	4 %	10 %	-	8 days	0.4 µg/g pyrene	Christensen et al. 2002a
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	56 %	7 %	37 %	-	10 days	0.4 µg/g pyrene	Christensen et al. 2002a

3

4

1 **Table 3**


2 Overview of *CYP* genes identified in marine invertebrate species.

Species		CYP gene		Tissue	Reference
<i>Nereis virens</i>	polychaete	<i>CYP4BB1</i>	complete sequence	gut tissue	Jørgensen et al. (2005b)
<i>Nereis virens</i>	polychaete	<i>CYP342A1</i>	complete sequence	gut tissue	Jørgensen et al. (2005b)
<i>Capitella</i> Sp. I	polychaete	<i>CYP331A1</i>	complete sequence	whole worm	Li et al. (2004)
<i>Capitella</i> Sp. I	polychaete	<i>CYP4AT1</i>	complete sequence	whole worm	Li et al. (2004)
<i>Haliotis rufescens</i>	Abalone	<i>CYP4C17</i>	partial sequence	digestive gland	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Lytechinus anamesis</i>	sea urchin	<i>CYP4C19</i>	partial sequence	pyloric caeca	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Lytechinus anamesis</i>	sea urchin	<i>CYP4C20</i>	partial sequence	pyloric caeca	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i>	Mussel	<i>CYP4Y1</i>	partial sequence	digestive gland	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Mercenaria mercenaria</i>	clam	<i>CYP30</i>	complete sequence	gonads	Brown et al. (1998)
<i>Carcinus maenas</i>	crab	<i>CYP330A1</i>	complete sequence	hepatopancreas	Rewitz et al. (2003)
<i>Carcinus maenas</i>	crab	<i>CYP4C39</i>	complete sequence	hepatopancreas	Rewitz et al. (2003)
<i>Panilirus argus</i>	spiny lobster	<i>CYP2L1</i>	complete sequence	hepatopancreas	James et al. (1996)
<i>Panilirus argus</i>	spiny lobster	<i>CYP2L2</i>	complete sequence	hepatopancreas	Boyle et al. (1998)
<i>Penaeus setiferus</i>	shrimp	<i>CYP4C16</i>	partial sequence	hepatopancreas	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Homarus americanus</i>	lobster	<i>CYP4C18</i>	partial sequence	hepatopancreas	Snyder (1998a)
<i>Homarus americanus</i>	lobster	<i>CYP45</i>	complete sequence	hepatopancreas	Snyder (1998b)


3

Figures

Figure 1



1 Figure 2



2

3

4

5