Burrowing activity of the geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae) in the presence of charcoal

Stéphanie Topoliantz, Jean-François Ponge

► To cite this version:

Stéphanie Topoliantz, Jean-François Ponge. Burrowing activity of the geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae) in the presence of charcoal. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2003, 23 (3), pp.267-271. 10.1016/s0929-1393(03)00063-5 . hal-00498494

HAL Id: hal-00498494

<https://hal.science/hal-00498494>

Submitted on 7 Jul 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Burrowing activity of the geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*
(Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae) in the presence of charcoal

Stéphanie TOPOLIAN TZ, Jean-François PONGE

Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS-UMR 8571, 4 avenue du Petit-Château,
91800 Brunoy, France

Corresponding author : Stéphanie Topoliantz, tel. +33 1 60479213, fax +33 1
60465009 ; E-mail Stephanie.Topoliantz@wanadoo.fr

Abstract

The geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* is frequently found in burnt tropical soils where charcoal plays an important role in soil fertility. We studied the burrowing activity of this species in two-dimensional microcosms with one half filled with soil and the other with a 3:2 (w:w) mixture of charcoal and soil (CHAR+soil). We measured the volume of empty burrows and those filled with black or brown casts in both substrates, as well as the initial and final fresh weights of the worms. The correlation between brown cast production and both initial and final fresh weights of the worms, reinforced by the presence of feeding cavities in soil but not in CHAR+soil, suggests that *P. corethrurus* would ingest soil to fulfill its nutrient requirements, in contrast to charcoal which was ingested for other purposes. We observed that at equal burrow volume created in the two substrates, *P. corethrurus* produced smaller black casts than brown casts, suggesting that burrows were created in CHAR+soil mainly by pushing aside the particles of this lighter substrate.

1 The observed transport of charcoal to soil points to the importance of *P. corethrurus*
2 in the incorporation of charcoal particles into organic-poor soil.

3
4 Key-words

5 Burrows; casts; charcoal; *Pontoscolex corethrurus*

6 7 **1. Introduction**

8
9 The geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*, an endogeic species
10 feeding on soil with a low content of organic matter (Lavelle et al., 1987), exerts an
11 important effect on the soil structure in the upper 10 cm through its burrowing and
12 casting activity. While it has been reported to increase the porosity of compacted soil
13 (Zund et al., 1997), *P. corethrurus* is classified as a “soil compacting” earthworm
14 species (Lavelle et al., 1998) because it produces large coalescent aggregates
15 (Barois et al., 1993). The production of these macroaggregates (>1 cm) increases
16 bulk density and decreases water infiltration (Alegre et al., 1996), thus causing a
17 strong compaction of the soil surface. This detrimental effect of earthworm activity
18 occurs at a high density of *P. corethrurus* and in the absence of other earthworm
19 species reducing aggregate size (Barros et al., 2001; Chauvel et al., 1999).

20 Whereas the importance of charcoal in soil fertility has often been reported
21 (Tryon, 1948; Glaser et al., 2001; Lehmann et al., 2002; Topoliantz et al., 2002), no
22 published study has yet dealt with its possible incorporation into the soil by *P.*
23 *corethrurus* individuals living in burnt areas (Standen, 1988; personal observation) or
24 by other soil fauna. In the present study, we investigated the subterranean activity of
25 *P. corethrurus* and its growth in the presence of soil and charcoal.

2. Material and methods

We studied the burrowing activity of *P. corethrurus* in the presence of native soil and charcoal in two-dimensional microcosms (Evans, 1947; Grant, 1956), made of two parallel transparent plastic sheets each 20 cm high x 25 cm wide x 2 mm thick. Bottom and side edges were sealed with 2 mm thick wooden strips, thus allowing earthworm movement and observation of their burrow system and cast deposition. The microcosms were filled with 80 g dry weight of soil on one side; the other half side was filled with 40 g dry weight of a 3:2 (w:w) mixture of charcoal and soil (CHAR+soil). The soil was taken from the upper 10 cm of an oxisol (65% sand, 12% silt and 23% clay content) in a slash-and-burn field in maripasoula (French Guiana). Charcoal was collected on the ground in a recently burnt field. Both substrates were sieved at 2 mm mesh size. Physical and chemical properties of the substrates are given in Table 1. Both substrates were moistened from the top edge by adding water to 50-55 % substrate weight. Sub-adult *P. corethrurus* were obtained from a nearby experimental area. In each microcosm, one individual (initial fresh weight from 209 to 591 mg) was inserted from the top edge at the border between the two substrates. The top edges of the microcosms were closed with Parafilm® to avoid desiccation and earthworm escape. Ten replicates were established and placed for two weeks in a dark chamber with controlled temperature at 25°C. At the end of the experiment, the surface of burrows and casts visible through the two transparent walls (planes 1 and 2) were drawn on a transparent film and measured with a surface integrator (Numonics 1224®, resolution 0.1 mm). Casts were classified according to their colour, as brown (soil) and black (mixture of charcoal and soil) casts. Very dark grey

casts were pooled with black ones because of their high content of charcoal. The Mean surface area and the volume of empty and cast-filled burrows were calculated from the following equations:

$$\text{Mean surface area} = (\text{area on plane 1} + \text{area on plane 2})/2$$

$$\text{Volume} = \text{Mean surface area} \times \text{substrate thickness (2 mm)}$$

The burrow length was not measured because a high number of burrows could not be considered as typical linear galleries (see Fig 1 as an example of the burrow system). The final fresh weight of individuals was measured after rinsing earthworms in water and gently blotting them with absorbent paper.

The volume of burrows, the volume of casts filling the burrow system and the growth rate of earthworms were statistically analysed using only nine replicates, one earthworm having died during the experiment. Initial and final fresh weights of worms were compared using paired t-tests. The volumes of casts and burrows in soil, CHAR+soil and both substrates pooled, were compared using t-tests or Mann-Whitney rank tests when data were not normally distributed. Relationships between growth rate, cast and burrow volume were tested by Bravais-Pearson correlation coefficients. The coefficient of variation ($\text{SD}/\text{mean} \times 100$) was calculated for each variable (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995).

3. Results

During the experiment, the earthworm weight increased by 36 ± 17 % (mean $\pm$ S.D.) and the mean growth increment was 0.13 ± 0.06 g. The final fresh weight (0.49 ± 0.15 g, mean $\pm$ S.D.) was significantly higher than the initial weight (0.36 ± 0.11 g, mean $\pm$ S.D.) at the 0.001 level ($t = -6.12$). No significant correlation was found between the growth rate and other variables such as initial fresh weight or burrow and cast volume, whether substrates were pooled or not. The initial fresh weight of worms was positively correlated with the volume of total burrows calculated when both substrates were pooled ($r = 0.736$, $P < 0.05$) and with the total volume of brown casts deposited ($r = 0.82$, $P < 0.01$). The final fresh weight of worms was positively correlated with the total brown cast volume ($r = 0.83$, $P < 0.01$) and with the total brown cast / soil burrow volume ratio ($r = 0.695$, $P < 0.05$). Initial and final fresh weights were not correlated with either black cast deposition or with burrowing activity in CHAR+soil.

On average, in the total substrate, *P. corethrurus* created burrows of 3.34 ± 0.74 cm³ (mean $\pm$ S.D.) per g of earthworm biomass and per day. After 14 days, the burrow system in the soil substrate reached 32.4 ± 8.8 % (mean $\pm$ S.D.) of the total soil volume and the burrow system in CHAR+soil 3.9 ± 2.4 % (mean $\pm$ S.D.) of the total CHAR+soil volume. Total brown casts deposited on both sides (soil and CHAR+soil) and burrows in the soil half side were strongly correlated ($r = 0.92$, $P < 0.001$), as were total black casts and burrows in CHAR+soil ($r = 0.95$, $P < 0.001$). The total volume of brown casts amounted to 37.3 % of the burrow system on the soil half side and the total volume of black casts 10.6 % of the burrow system in CHAR+soil (Table 2).

Comparisons of burrow systems and cast deposition between soil and CHAR+soil are summarised in Table 2. The initial volumes of soil and CHAR+soil

were not significantly different. The volume of the burrow system in the soil half side was significantly higher than that in the CHAR+soil half side and the total volume of brown casts deposited on both sides was significantly higher than that of black casts. The ratio of total brown casts to burrows in soil was higher than that of black casts to burrows in CHAR+soil. The percentage of burrows filled with casts (black and brown) in soil was not significantly different to that in CHAR+soil. Coefficients of variation were greater for black casts and CHAR+soil burrows than for brown casts and soil burrows, indicating that burrowing and cast production were more variable in CHAR+soil than in soil (Table 2). The ratio of black casts deposited in soil to total black casts was not different of that of brown casts deposited in CHAR+soil to total brown casts, both displaying a high coefficient of variation (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Heavier individuals of *P. corethrurus* constructed more channels, as Lavelle et al. (1998) found for immature individuals only (weighing less than 0.6 g fresh weight). Bigger immature earthworms ingested more soil but not more charcoal-soil mixture than smaller ones, suggesting that, although no correlation between soil ingestion and earthworm growth was found, the soil constituted a nutrient source in contrast to charcoal. This result is reinforced by the presence of feeding cavities in soil only (Fig.1), which are burrowed to exploit a food source (Martin, 1982). The mean growth rate of *P. corethrurus* appears lower (2.5 % per day) than that found by Lavelle et al. (1987) (5 to 6% per day) at the same soil moisture, despite a similar soil consumption (5.4 g soil per g earthworm fresh weight). We can attribute this difference to the estimating method of soil consumption, Lavelle et al. (1987) results being based on

1 the weight of casts produced and ours on burrow volume and soil bulk density. In our
2 2D microcosms, the soil was poorly compacted and allowed channelling activity
3 without necessarily ingestion of the substrate (Buck et al., 2000).

4 If all the burrow volume had been ingested by the worms, the ratio of black
5 cast/burrow volume in the charcoal/soil mixture (11%) and that of brown cast/burrow
6 volume in soil (37%) would represent a compaction of the ingested substrate of 9.4
7 and 2.7 for charcoal/soil and soil respectively. This result cannot totally be explained
8 by differences in bulk density (Table 1) and suggests that *P. corethrurus* may have
9 created channels in the charcoal/soil substrate mainly by pushing aside charcoal
10 particles and to a lesser extent by ingesting them. The microcosms being highly
11 artificial, the worms could ingest charcoal by accident as Fig 1 shows. However, *P.*
12 *corethrurus*, that selects particles before ingestion (Lavelle, 1997), could ingest
13 charcoal for its detoxifying and liming effects (Titoff, 1910;; Zackrisson et al., 1996)
14 and its enhancement of microbial communities (Pietikainen et al., 2000) which could
15 favour the production of earthworm's digestive enzymes of bacterial origin (Lattaud et
16 al., 1999).

17 The transport of ingested matter, here demonstrated through black and brown
18 cast deposition, underlines the importance of *P. corethrurus* for bioturbation (Garcia
19 and Fragoso, 2002). More especially, by ingesting charcoal and incorporating it to the
20 soil matrix, *P. corethrurus* could play an important role in burying this source of
21 fertility in burnt soils used for slash-and-burn agriculture (Topoliantz et al., 2002).

23 **Acknowledgements**

24 We thank the SOFT Program of the French Ministry for the Environment, the PPF-
25 Guyane of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle and the GIS-SILVOLAB of

French Guiana for their financial support. We are grateful to our colleagues Dr Nicolas Bernier and Prof. Pierre Arpin for their technical help in drawing Figure 1.

References

- Alegre, J.C., Pashanasi, B., Lavelle, P., 1996. Dynamics of soil physical properties in Amazonian agroecosystems inoculated with earthworms. *Soil Sc. Soc. Am. J.* 60, 1522-1529.
- Barois, I., Villemin, G., Lavelle, P., Toutain, F., 1993. Transformation of the soil structure through *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Oligochaeta) intestinal tract. *Geoderma* 56, 57-66.
- Barros, E., Curmi, P., Hallaire, V., Chauvel, A., Lavelle, P., 2001. The role of macrofauna in the transformation and reversibility of soil structure of an oxisol in the process of forest to pasture conversion. *Geoderma* 100, 193-213.
- Buck, C., Langmaack, M., Schrader, S., 2000. Influence of mulch and soil compaction on earthworm cast properties. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 14, 223-229.
- Chauvel, A., Grimaldi, M., Barros, E., Blanchart, E., Desjardins, T., Sarrazin, M., Lavelle, P., 1999. Pasture damage by an Amazonian earthworm. *Nature* 398, 32-33.
- Evans, A.C., 1947. A method of studying the burrowing activities of earthworms. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* 14, 643-650.
- Garcia, J.A., Fragoso, C., 2002. Growth, reproduction and activity of earthworms in degraded and amended tropical open mined soils: laboratory assays. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 20, 43-56.

- 1 Glaser, B., Haumaier, L., Guggenberger, G., Zech, W., 2001. The 'Terra Preta'
- 2 phenomenon: a model for sustainable agriculture in the humid tropics.
- 3 Naturwissenschaften 88, 37-41.
- 4 Grant, W.C. Jr, 1956. An ecological study of the peregrine earthworm *Pheretima*
- 5 *hypeiensis* in the eastern United States. Ecology 37, 648-658.
- 6 Lattaud, C., Mora, P., Garvin, M., Locati, S., Rouland, C., 1999. Enzymatic digestive
- 7 capabilities in geophagous earthworms - origin and activities of cellulolytic
- 8 enzymes. Pedobiologia 43, 842-850.
- 9 Lavelle, P., Barois, I., Cruz, I., Fragoso, C., Hernandez, A., Pineda, A., Rangel, P.,
- 10 1987. Adaptive strategies of *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Glossoscolecidae,
- 11 Oligochaeta), a peregrine geophagous earthworm of the humid tropics. Biol.
- 12 Fert. Soils 5, 188-194.
- 13 Lavelle, P., 1997. Faunal activities and soil processes: adaptive strategies that
- 14 determine ecosystem function. Adv. Ecol. Res. 27, 93-132.
- 15 Lavelle, P., Pashanasi, B., Charpentier, F., Gilot, C., Rossi, J.P., Derouard, L., Andre,
- 16 J., Ponge, J.F., Bernier, N., 1998. Large-scale effects of earthworms on soil
- 17 organic matter and nutrient dynamics. In: EDWARDS, C.A. (Eds.), Earthworm
- 18 ecology. Saint Lucie Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 103-122.
- 19 Lehmann, J., Da Silva, J.P.Jr, Rondon, M., Da Silva, C.M., Greenwood, J., Nehls, T.,
- 20 Steiner, C., Glaser, B., 2002. Slash-and-char: a feasible alternative for soil
- 21 fertility management in the Central Amazon? In: Soil Science: Confronting
- 22 New realities in the 21 st Century. 17th World Congress of Soil Science,
- 23 Bangkok, Symposium 13, 449:1-12.

- 1 Martin, N.A., 1982. The interaction between organic matter in soil and the burrowing
2 activity of three species of earthworms (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae).
3 Pedobiologia 24, 185-190.
- 4 Pietikäinen, J., Kiikkilä, O., Fritze, H., 2000. Charcoal as habitat for microbes and its
5 effect on the microbial community of the underlying humus. OIKOS 89, 231-
6 242.
- 7 Sokal, R.R., Rohlf, F.J., 1995. Biometry. Freeman W H and Company, New York,
8 887 pp.
- 9 Standen, V., 1988. Oligochaetes in fire climax grassland and conifer plantations in
10 Papua New Guinea. J. Trop. Ecol. 4, 39-48.
- 11 Titoff, A., 1910. Die Adsorption von Gasen durch Kohle. Zeitschrift für Physikalische
12 Chemiestoichiometrie und Verwandtschaftslehre 74, 641-678.
- 13 Topoliantz, S., Ponge, J.F., Arrouays, D., Ballof, S., Lavelle, P., 2002. Effect of
14 organic manure and the endogeic earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*
15 (Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae) on soil fertility and bean production. Biol.
16 Fertil. Soils 36, 313-319.
- 17 Tryon, E.H., 1948. Effect of charcoal on certain physical, chemical, and biological
18 properties of forest soils. Ecol. Monogr. 18, 81-115.
- 19 Zackrisson, O., Nilsson, M.C., Wardle, D.A., 1996. Key ecological function of
20 charcoal from wildfire in the Boreal forest. Oikos 77, 10-19.
- 21 Zund, P.R., Pillai-Mc Garry, U., Mc Garry, D., Bray, S.G., 1997. Repair of a
22 compacted Oxisol by the earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*
23 (Glossoscolecidae, Oligochaeta). Biol. Fert. Soils 25, 202-208.

Fig. 1. Example of a reconstructed burrow system in soil and charcoal / soil mixture made in two weeks by one individual *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (0.35 g initial fresh weight) at 25°C. The image of the burrow system was obtained by superimposing drawings done on the two walls of one microcosm. Black and brown casts are represented by black and horizontal strips, respectively. Voids represent ingested or pushed aside areas.

Table 1

Chemical and physical properties (pH, total C and N content) of substrates used in the microcosms

Substrate	pH	C (%)	N (%)	C:N ratio	Mass:volume ratio (g.cm ⁻³)
Soil	4.63	2.88	0.215	13.40	1.77
Mixture charcoal+soil	6.90	39.46	0.299	132.07	0.885

1

2

Table 2

Comparison of burrowing activity and cast deposition of *Pontoscolex corethrurus* after 2 weeks between soil and charcoal+soil (CHAR+soil), (n=9). Volumes and ratios (mean $\pm$ S.D.) were compared by t-test (t) or Mann-Whitney rank test (T). Significant probability is indicated by *, **, *** for $P<0.05$, $P<0.01$ and $P<0.001$ respectively and no significant differences are abbreviated NS. CV (%) is the coefficient of variation.

Variables	Unity	Mean $\pm$ S.D.	CV (%)	t or T
Initial soil volume	cm ³	45.12 $\pm$ 1.34	2.97	t= -0.118 NS
Initial CHAR+soil volume	cm ³	45.19 $\pm$ 1.16	2.57	
Burrow volume in soil	cm ³	14.60 $\pm$ 3.95	27.03	T=126 ***
Burrow volume in CHAR+soil	cm ³	1.76 $\pm$ 1.16	65.95	
Volume of total brown casts	cm ³	5.46 $\pm$ 1.68	30.81	T=126 ***
Volume of total black casts	cm ³	0.25 $\pm$ 0.32	130.11	
Total brown casts/burrows in soil	%	37.3 $\pm$ 4.9	13.27	t=8.460***
Total black casts/burrows in CHAR+soil	%	10.6 $\pm$ 8.1	75.94	
Total casts in soil/burrows in soil	%	34.7 $\pm$ 4.8	13.9	t=1.629 NS
Total casts in CHAR+soil/ burrows in CHAR+soil	%	27.6 $\pm$ 12.2	44.06	
Brown casts in CHAR+soil/total brown casts	%	7.36 $\pm$ 8.04	109.34	T=76 NS
Black casts in soil/total black casts ¹	%	33.2 $\pm$ 29.8	89.97	

¹Only seven replicates used, due to the absence of black casts in the other two.

Fig. 1