1
2 **EARTHWORMS AND COLLEMBOLA RELATIONSHIPS: EFFECTS**
3 **OF PREDATORY CENTIPEDES AND HUMUS FORMS**

4
5 **SANDRINE SALMON, JEAN-JACQUES GEOFFROY , JEAN-FRANÇOIS PONGE**

6 Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Ecologie et Gestion de la Biodiversité,
7 USM 301/306, 4 Avenue du Petit-Château, 91800 Brunoy, France

8
9
10 **Corresponding author:**

11 Sandrine SALMON

12 Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle

13 Département Ecologie et Gestion de la Biodiversité

14 4, Avenue du Petit-Château

15 91800 Brunoy

16 France

17
18 Telephone number: +33 1 60479211

19 E-mail: sandrine-salmon@wanadoo.fr

20 Fax number: +33 1 60465719

21
22
23 Type of contribution: **regular paper**

24 Date of preparation : 8 January 2004

25 16 text pages, 5 tables, 1 figure

Abstract

Relationships between anecic earthworms (*Lumbricus terrestris* and *Aporrectodea giardi*) and the collembolan species *Heteromurus nitidus* (Templeton, 1835), which is known to be attracted to earthworms, were investigated in an 8-week laboratory experiment. Our aims were (1) to assess whether earthworms influence the population dynamics of *H. nitidus*, and (2) to study pathways of influence and how earthworm effects are modified by humus forms and predators. Using microcosms with three defaunated humus forms, then provided with earthworms and predators, we intended to demonstrate that, amongst possible favourable effects of earthworms on springtail populations, earthworm activity may provide greater access and more pathways for springtails to explore soil and avoid predation. We expected that the effects of predators (centipedes) on the abundance of *H. nitidus* would increase from less (calic mull) to more (moder) compact soil, and we hypothesized that earthworms would reduce predation pressure on *H. nitidus* by providing escape routes through increased macroporosity. Humus forms and earthworms only affected the population size of *H. nitidus* under high predation pressure. When collembolan numbers were higher in calic mull than in moder, and were increased by the presence of earthworms. These results corroborate the hypothesis that earthworms, by increasing soil macroporosity, improve the escape routes for Collembola and thus evade predators. In moder humus earthworms increased the density of *H. nitidus* whether predators were present or not, so we cannot exclude that earthworms were also directly beneficial to *H. nitidus*. However, the hypothesis of a functional relationship mediated by soil macroporosity seems relevant since it was supported by differences observed when considering body size. When two size classes were distinguished within populations of *H. nitidus* (1) the positive effect of earthworms in moder was observed only on larger Collembola (> 1 mm), (2) the density of the larger Collembola was decreased by predation only in moder and not in mull, (3) the effects of predators on the smaller individuals were not influenced by the presence of earthworms whatever the humus form, and was not decreased by the presence of earthworms. Nevertheless, factors other than macroporosity may operate as the presence of earthworms in acidic mull led to an unexplained decrease in the abundance of small-sized *H. nitidus*.

Keywords Collembola ; Chilopoda ; Lumbricidae ; Predation ; Soil structure ; Interactions

1. Introduction

Species may interact with each other according to four main pathways: competition, predation, parasitism and mutualism. Studies on between-species interactions, that affect population dynamics and species composition of communities, are usually focused on competition for food or upon predator-prey relationships (Begon et al., 1996). This is particularly true of interactions between soil invertebrates (Funke et al., 1995; Theenhaus et al., 1999; Chen and Wise, 1999). Conversely the positive influence of some soil invertebrates on other species is more rarely taken into account. Earthworms, called “ecosystem engineers” because of their strong influence on soil properties and functional processes (Jones et al., 1994), have been proposed to create favourable habitats for many other invertebrate species. Density and variety of microarthropods often increased in soils with high earthworm populations (Hamilton and Sillman, 1989; Loranger et al. 1998). Among microarthropods some collembolan species were found to be more abundant in earthworm burrows or middens than in the surrounding soil (Maraun et al. 1999; Tiunov and Kuznetsova, 2000). However, it seems that the work of Wickenbrock and Heisler (1997) is the only investigation to explain the direct positive effects of earthworms on the surrounding soil fauna. Their work showed that the collembolan *Folsomia candida* was attracted to an improved soil porosity due to earthworms. Thus, although foodweb studies are needed to understand the structure of biota in the soil-litter system (Schaefer, 1995), between-species relationships may also occur at a non-trophic level, i.e. the habitat *sensu stricto*.

Investigators have shown that the collembolan *Heteromurus nitidus* (Templeton, 1835) was attracted to earthworms, particularly to their excretions of mucus and ammonium (Salmon and Ponge, 1999, 2001; Salmon, 2001). This species, is restricted to mull humus forms at pH > 5 (Ponge, 1990, 1993; Salmon and Ponge, 1999) and its distribution, can be determined by the abundance of earthworms (Salmon, 2001). However the causes of this attraction remain unknown. Earthworms may provide *H. nitidus* with additional food resources, since this species feeds on faeces (Arpin et al, 1980; Salmon, 2004) and was shown to ingest the mixture of mucus and urine excreted by earthworms (Salmon and Ponge, 2001). However, *H. nitidus* may also benefit from the space created by anecic earthworms in soil through their burrowing activity. To test this hypothesis, we studied the influence of earthworms on the population dynamics of *H. nitidus* in soils of varying pore size. Results of preliminary experiments (Salmon, 2004) using fresh soil cores with their original fauna revealed that the activity of anecic earthworms in some cases affected the predation on *H. nitidus*. Cultures of *H. nitidus* in two distinct humus forms, a moder and a calcic mull, led to a

1 higher density of *H. nitidus* in the calcic mull than in the moder. This result was attributed to a
2 higher abundance of predators in moder humus, but it might also be due to a difference in the
3 structure of the two humus forms. Actually, calcic mulls are highly worked by anecic and
4 endogeic earthworms, contrary to moders from which soil-dwelling earthworms are absent
5 (Salmon, 2001). Considering the strong effects of these earthworms on the soil structure we
6 may assume that an increased number of interconnected macropores in the calcic mull (Lee,
7 1985; Lavelle, 1988; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996), allows *H. nitidus* to better avoid predation.

8 We investigated relationships between “ecosystem engineers” (Lumbricidae),
9 detritivore microarthropods (Collembola) and predators (Chilopoda). We focused particularly
10 on pathways by which the former favourably influence the second. We (1) investigated
11 whether earthworms influence the population dynamics of *H. nitidus* in microcosms filled
12 with different humus forms and (2) determined whether their influence might be because of a
13 change in the physical habitat of Collembola, allowing them to avoid predation. To do this
14 cultures of *H. nitidus* were established, in the presence or absence of an anecic earthworm, in
15 three humus forms, a calcic mull (highly worked by earthworms), an acidic mull (slightly
16 worked by earthworms) and a compact moder humus (not worked by anecic and endogeic
17 earthworms). Humus profiles were used as defaunated blocks, with their original structure
18 preserved. In half of the microcosms a controlled predation pressure was applied, which was
19 identical in all humus forms. If earthworms, through their burrows, favour access of *H. nitidus*
20 to the soil volume, then their activity should lead to a higher density of Collembola in the
21 presence of predators and should have no effect in their absence. Earthworms should also
22 influence preferentially the abundance of large-sized individuals (sub-adult and adult) *H.*
23 *nitidus*, which hardly move in the compact moder, than immature individuals of smaller size.
24 Differences according to humus forms were also expected.

26 **2. Material and methods**

28 *2.1. Experimental setup*

30 Microcosms were made of right-angle plastic boxes (L: 9.2 cm x l: 8.3cm x h:14.7 cm)
31 filled with blocks of defaunated humus. Three different humus forms were used: a moder
32 humus and an acidic mull, originating from the Senart Forest (leached acidic soil under sessile
33 oak (*Quercus petraea*)) near Paris (France), and a calcic mull from the park of the laboratory
34 (black rendzina under hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*)), near the Senart Forest. Sampling sites

1 and soils have been described by Arpin et al. (1984) and Bouché (1975). Cores of humus, the
2 structure of which was preserved, were defaunated by drying at 25°C for 14 d, followed by
3 freezing at -20°C for 12 d. After thawing at ambient temperature (20°C), humus cores were
4 remoistened to field capacity at d 0, and microcosms were weighed at once.

5 Four treatments were applied to each humus form: (1) addition of Collembola only,
6 (2) addition of Collembola + predators, (3) addition of Collembola + earthworms, (4) addition
7 of Collembola + predators + earthworms. Each treatment comprised five replicates.

8 Collembola came from a batch culture on water-moistened fine quartz sand, they were
9 fed with a mixture of terrestrial microalgae (*Desmococcus* spp.) and lichens taken from bark
10 scrapings. The batch culture started 5 months before the experiment from several individuals,
11 which were extracted from the calcic mull.

12 Earthworms were extracted from the calcic mull with 4 ml formalin l⁻¹. Two species,
13 belonging to the anecic ecological category (Bouché, 1975) were used, i.e. *Aporrectodea*
14 *giardi* (Savigny, 1826) and *Lumbricus terrestris* (Linnaeus, 1758).

15 Centipedes, as predators of Collembola, were extracted by the dry funnel method from
16 soil taken from the acidic mull and from a heap of composted hornbeam leaves on the calcic
17 mull. Predators were kept alive on plaster of Paris mixed with Prolabo[®] flame black covered
18 with hornbeam leaf fragments for several weeks, the time needed to obtain the density
19 required for all treatments. Animals were provided with prey (varying species of Collembola)
20 and water once a week.

21 One adult *A. giardi* or *L. terrestris* was introduced into each of 30 microcosms, 24 h
22 after humus had been moistened (D+1). All treatments with earthworms contained three
23 replicates with *L. terrestris* and two replicates with *A. giardi*. Twenty seven adult *H. nitidus*
24 were introduced into all boxes, 24 h after earthworm introduction (D+2). The addition of
25 predators (14 per box) was made 5 d after Collembola were introduced (D+7), in 30
26 microcosms, which then included each 7 adult Chilopoda Scolopendromorpha, 3 immature
27 Chilopoda Scolopendromorpha, 2 Chilopoda Geophilomorpha, and 2 Chilopoda
28 Lithobiomorpha. The density of predators chosen for the experiment (10 centipedes.dm⁻²) was
29 defined on the basis of field investigations which were performed 3 months before in the three
30 humus forms, and corresponded to the highest density found, i.e. that of the acidic mull.
31 Chilopoda were determined at the species level at the end of the experiment according to
32 Geoffroy (2000) and Jeekel (1999), on ethanol-preserved specimens.

33 To force Collembola to move downwards into the soil vertical gradients of moisture
34 and light were created by covering boxes with a firmly-attached nylon gauze allowing water

1 evaporation. Microcosms were held under periodic 12:12 light at 15°C for 8 weeks. The
2 moisture content was kept constant with deionized water after weighing boxes every 2 weeks.

3 At the end of the experiment, worms were removed by hand then humus blocks were
4 placed on Berlese-Tullgren extractors (3 mm mesh) to collect Collembola and predators.
5 Earthworms were rinsed in water and dried quickly on filter paper before being weighed.
6 Specimens of *H. nitidus* were harvested in 90% ethanol, then counted under a dissecting
7 microscope and classified into two size classes (< 1 mm and ≥ 1 mm). Soil pH was measured
8 on dried soil mixed with deionized water (soil:water 1:5 v/v) for 5 min, after decantation for
9 4h (Anonymous, 1999).

11 2.2. Statistical analysis

13 The effect of three factors (humus, predators and earthworms) on the total abundance
14 and large-sized individuals was first analysed by a three way ANOVA, after log-
15 transformation of the data.

16 One replicate from the treatment acidic mull + earthworms + predators was excluded
17 from analyses because of an abnormally low Collembolan density, due to anomalous dryness
18 and compaction. The three-way ANOVA, performed on the total abundance of *H. nitidus*,
19 revealed significant interactions between humus form and predators on one part, and between
20 humus form and earthworms on the other part. Main effects of these factors were thus further
21 analyzed by separate two-way ANOVAs. Separated analyses was further justified by the fact
22 that the earthworm effect was not the same in moder and acidic mull, and also because three-
23 way ANOVA was not possible on small-sized individuals even after transformation of the
24 data.

25 Five two-way ANOVAs (earthworms and predators or earthworms and humus as
26 treatments) were performed within each humus form and within treatments "with predators"
27 and "without predators", respectively. ANOVAs were performed on total abundance as well
28 as on abundance of two size classes, after square root-transformation of the data to
29 homogenize variances. *A posteriori* multiple comparisons were done by the Newman-Keuls
30 procedure using Statbox®. The effect of humus form on the total abundance of *H. nitidus* was
31 also analyzed by one-way ANOVA in the treatment excluding both predators and
32 earthworms.

33 Changes in earthworm body weight according to time and humus form were analyzed
34 by two-way ANOVA for repeated-measures with time as repeat factor and humus as

treatment factor. Mean predator numbers recovered at the end of the experiment in the 30 microcosms into which they had been introduced were analyzed by two-way ANOVAs to ensure that neither humus form, nor the presence of earthworms influenced their abundance. Mean pH values of the three humus forms were compared by one-way ANOVA. Within each humus form, pH variation due to treatments "earthworms" and "predators" were compared by two-way ANOVAs.

3. Results

3.1. Soil pH

Mean soil pH values differed significantly ($P < 0.001$ for all comparisons) in calcic mull (7.70 ± 0.02), acidic mull (4.52 ± 0.04) and moder (4.31 ± 0.04), but it did not vary according to the presence or absence of earthworms and predators ($P > 0.05$ for all comparisons).

3.2. *H. nitidus* : whole population

The three-way ANOVA revealed a strong negative influence of predators on the total abundance of *H. nitidus* ($P < 0.0001$). The humus form, although at a low probability level ($P = 0.0575$) was not significant, but two interactions were detected: humus form x earthworms ($P = 0.0489$) and humus form x predators ($P = 0.0368$). These factors were thus analysed separately by two-way ANOVAs (Table 1). The three-way ANOVA also revealed that the humus form significantly affected large-sized Collembola ($P = 0.0003$) which were more abundant in calcic mull than moder and acidic mull.

The humus form and the presence of *A. giardi* or *L. terrestris* affected the abundance of *H. nitidus* but only when this species was subject to predation (Table 1). In the presence of predators the abundance of Collembola (1) was higher in the calcic mull than in the moder ($P = 0.015$), densities in the acidic mull being intermediate ($P > 0.05$), and (2) was higher in the presence of earthworms (Fig.1A). Without predators and earthworms, densities of Collembola were similarly high ($P > 0.05$) in the three humus forms (Fig.1A).

In the three humus forms, centipede predatory activity reduced the total number of *H. nitidus*. Moder showed the most dramatic decrease, by a factor of three (Fig.1A). When the

three humus forms were analyzed separately (Table 1), the effects of anecic earthworms were significant only in moder, resulting in an increase in the number of *H. nitidus* (Fig. 1A).

3.3. *H. nitidus*: individuals larger than 1 mm

When treatments with and without predators were analyzed separately, only the abundance of large individuals (adults and sub-adults) subject to predation differed according to the humus form (Table 2). It was higher in the calcic mull than in acidic mull ($P < 0.001$) and in the moder ($P = 0.002$) (Fig.1B), the two latter humus forms being not significantly different the one from the other ($P > 0.05$).

When the influence of predators was analyzed separately in each humus form, it was significant only in moder humus (Table 2), where a decrease in the density of large specimens was observed in the presence of predators (Fig. 1B). As for the whole population, the effects of earthworms was significant only in moder, where they led to an increase in the abundance of adults and sub-adults when treatments with and without predators were pooled (Fig.1B).

As a consequence, adults and sub-adults were more abundant in the calcic mull, compared to the two acidic humus forms, but this effect was revealed only in the presence of predators. However, negative as well as positive effects of predators and earthworms were found only in moder, not in acidic mull.

3.4. *H. nitidus* : individuals smaller than 1 mm

The humus form did not affect populations of small-sized Collembola, whether predators were present or not (Table 3). On the other hand, predator activity resulted in a strong decrease in densities of small-sized individuals in the three humus forms (Fig.1C). No positive effect of earthworms was detected whatever the humus form. In the acidic mull, an interaction between earthworms and predators was observed. Without predators, the presence of *A. giardi* or *L. terrestris* decreased the number of small-sized Collembola, ($P = 0.015$), which reached a value comparable to that resulting from predator activity. This decrease was not observed in the presence of predators and, conversely, the effects of predators were visible only without earthworms ($P = 0.001$). As a consequence, only predators influenced populations of small-sized individuals except in the acidic mull, where earthworms decreased densities of immature individuals in the absence of predation.

3.5. Earthworms

At the end of the 8-week experiment, 10 *A. giardi* out of 12 and 14 *L. terrestris* out of 18 (three worms died in moder) were still alive. The two big-sized earthworm species thus adjusted to experimental conditions, despite the very shallow soil available in the microcosms. However, earthworms lost weight during the experiment ($P = 0.008$), whatever the humus form ($P = 0.945$).

The two anecic species dug galleries in the three humus forms. In several boxes, these biogenic structures were visible through the transparent walls. After 4 weeks, the inner part of some galleries was visible because at this time they had not been completely covered with cast material. We were then able to observe *H. nitidus* moving in the lumen of the earthworm galleries.

3.6. Predators

Only one predatory mite larger than 1 mm was found at the end of the experiment in two microcosms without predators added. The presence of scarce non-predatory mites (Acaridida) as well as a small number (1 to 4 per microcosms) of Collembola other than the introduced animals, were also noted. Thus, even though humus blocks were not fully defaunated, the small number of individuals which resisted defaunation did not probably bias the results.

Only 5.3 predators on average out of the 15 introduced into each of the 30 microcosms were recovered at the end of the experiment. This low rate of recovery was probably not completely due to mortality, but it may also result from the difficulty for the larger animals to pass through the 3 mm mesh sieve in the Berlese funnels. The mean abundance of recovered predators (Table 4) did not vary according to either humus forms ($P = 0.218$) or the presence or absence of earthworms ($P = 0.654$). Seven species of centipedes of variable size were found (Table 5), but most of individuals belonged to *Cryptops hortensis* (Scolopendromorpha, mean length: 20 mm, width : 2mm), *Necrophloeophagus flavus* (Geophilomorpha, mean length: 40 mm, width : 3 mm) and *Lithobius microps* (Lithobiomorpha, mean length: 6 mm, width 1 mm).

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison between calcic mull and moder

All treatments showed strong negative effects of Chilopoda on total populations of *H. nitidus* whatever the humus form. This result supports the high predation pressure by centipedes on Collembola observed by Poser (1988). However, the effect of predation varied according to the humus form, since for the same number of predators (at the beginning and at the end of the experiment), densities of Collembola were higher in the calcic mull than in the moder. The influence of humus form was only evident when Collembola were subject to predation pressure. These results support the hypothesis, evolved from preliminary experiments (Salmon, 2004), that the humus form influences the abundance of *H. nitidus* through its structural characteristics. Indeed, movements of Collembola, and thus their success of escape from predators probably varied according to soil pore size.

The anecic earthworms *A. giardi* and *L. terrestris* affected the population size of *H. nitidus* only when these animals were subject to predation. Earthworms did not interact directly with predators, but reduced the mortality rate of Collembola due to predation. These two earthworm species, through their action on the soil structure, thus reduce predation efficiency. This may occur through the burrowing of galleries that could facilitate movements of *H. nitidus* but also through the deposition of faecal material (aggregates) that offer refuges for subterranean collembolans. Indeed, the introduction of anecic earthworms in soils from which they were absent (like moder, in our case) is followed by the appearance of a network of galleries and an increase in pore size (Springett, 1985; Ligthart and Peek, 1997). This earthworm effect was particularly apparent in microcosms containing moder humus that was very compact at the beginning of the experiment because it never accommodated anecic or endogeic earthworms in the field, contrary to acidic and calcic mull (Salmon, 2001). Scheu et al. (1999) observed that the presence of earthworms increased the abundance of *H. nitidus* in deeper horizons, which corroborates the hypothesis that *H. nitidus* used inter-connected macropores created by anecic earthworms. The increase in the traffic of Collembola due to networks of earthworm galleries supports the hypotheses of Marinissen and Bok (1988), Wickenbrock and Heisler (1997) and Loranger et al. (1998).

The abundance of *H. nitidus* on the whole humus forms, unaffected by earthworms when predators were absent, indicates that the action of earthworms on the soil structure, resulting in improved access for *H. nitidus* to the total soil volume, is a key factor for the distribution of this acid-intolerant species. The hypothesis of a relationship between soil

1 structure and movements of *H. nitidus* was confirmed by differences observed between two
2 size classes. The positive action of earthworms we observed in moder applied only to larger
3 individuals, which were not preyed upon in mull, where they moved with ease in earthworm
4 galleries. The effect of predation upon the smaller individuals did not vary with the humus
5 form, and it was not affected by earthworms. Indeed, immature *H. nitidus* can move more
6 easily than adults in small pores. Their success when escaping predators should consequently
7 be the same in different humus forms. However they are more preyed upon than adults,
8 because (1) they do not produce aggregation pheromones that decrease locomotory activity
9 (Joosse and Verhoef, 1974; Verhoef et al. 1977a, 1977b; Krool and Bauer, 1987) and (2) their
10 smaller size makes them easier for centipedes to catch.

11 Although this aspect was not considered here, because most earthworms were still
12 alive at the end of our experiment, we cannot totally exclude that, in the field, part of the
13 predation effort by centipedes is diverted to earthworms. Indeed, some Chilopoda (among
14 which *Necrophloeophagus flavus* and *Haplophilus subterraneus* were used in our
15 experiment), are known to be active predators of earthworms (Weil, 1958; Blandin et al.,
16 1980; Lewis, 1981; Poser, 1988).

17 Even though their effects on the soil structure were prominent, the introduction of
18 earthworms in moder increased the population size of *H. nitidus* whether predators were
19 present or not. Thus we cannot exclude that, besides their effect on soil macroporosity,
20 earthworms were also beneficial to *H. nitidus* at a trophic level. Their galleries are lined with
21 mucus and casts (Kretschmar, 1987), onto which *H. nitidus* may feed (Arpin et al, 1980;
22 Salmon and Ponge, 2001). Earthworms may have provided *H. nitidus* with organo-mineral
23 faeces which are poorly abundant in moder humus, unlike mull (Delecour, 1983; Bernier and
24 Ponge, 1994; Ponge, 1999).

25 26 4.2. Case of the acidic mull 27

28 Without predators or earthworms, the abundance of *H. nitidus* remained high and
29 comparable in the three humus forms. Thus the variation in the abundance of this species
30 according to the humus form we observed in other treatments cannot be attributed to
31 differences in food resources. Under predation pressure, the population size of *H. nitidus* in
32 the acidic mull was intermediate between those recorded in the calcic mull and in the moder.
33 Unlike moder, the acidic mull contained macropores at the start of the experiment, that had
34 been created by anecic and endogeic earthworms, but these were less numerous than those in

1 the calcic mull where earthworm densities were higher (Salmon, 2001). Furthermore, unlike
2 anecic species, the galleries of which are vertical and wide and remain devoid of excrement,
3 endogeic species form a network of horizontal galleries close to the surface, most of which
4 are partly filled with cast material (Springett, 1983; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996; Jégou et al.
5 1998; Capowiez et al., 2001). We may thus consider that the mobility of *H. nitidus* in the
6 topsoil is favoured in calcic mull, where large numbers of anecic earthworms occur, than in
7 the acidic mull, which is rather dominated by endogeic and epigeic earthworms.

8 The hypothesis of a causal relationship between the structure of the topsoil and
9 densities of *H. nitidus* is also supported by the fact that the abundance of larger individuals,
10 contrary to the whole population, was higher in calcic than in acidic mull.

11 However, other factors than macroporosity can also explain the intermediate position
12 of the acidic mull. Contrary to expectation, (1) the introduction of *A. giardi* or *L. terrestris* in
13 the defaunated acidic mull did not benefit *H. nitidus*, (2) earthworms were even unfavourable
14 to small-sized individuals. The fact that the effects of predators on the whole population were
15 less pronounced in the acidic mull than in the moder can explain that the effect of the
16 introduction of earthworms was less visible in the acidic mull (already containing
17 macropores). Furthermore, densities of predators used in the present experiment were lower
18 than those recorded in blocks of non-defaunated acidic mull (Salmon, unpub data). A stronger
19 predation pressure could thus contribute to the absence of *H. nitidus* from acidic mull , as
20 observed in the field.

21 The decreased density of small-sized *H. nitidus* in the acidic mull without predators
22 but with earthworms is more difficult to explain. According to Brown (1995), activities of
23 earthworms could result in a decrease in the abundance and species diversity of
24 microarthropods, which Brown attributed to a lesser competitive ability of microarthropods
25 for litter consumption. Such a competition for food is totally excluded in our case since *H.*
26 *nitidus* does not feed on litter but only on faeces (Arpin et al, 1980; Salmon, 2004). McLean
27 and Parkinson (2000) also showed that, in the long term, a high biomass of epigeic
28 earthworms introduced in a forest soil was correlated with a low abundance of Arthropleona
29 Collembola and oribatid mites, which they attributed to a modification of the structure of
30 organic horizons resulting from earthworm feeding activities. However the introduced species
31 was epigeic, thus without any pronounced effects on soil structure, contrary to anecic worms.

32 33 4.3. Conclusions 34

To conclude, centipedes used in this experiment preyed efficiently on *H. nitidus*, particularly on immature individuals in all three humus forms. Variations in the abundance of *H. nitidus* according to humus form were mainly due to differences in soil structure, especially macroporosity due to earthworm burrows. The general effect of anecic earthworms, which resulted from their burrowing activity, allowed an increase of the population size of *H. nitidus*. The creation of earthworm burrows probably allowed *H. nitidus* to escape from predators more easily by improving its access to a larger volume of soil. Our results support the “Nested Biodiversity Hypothesis” according to which soil ecosystem engineers (earthworms) may determine the abundance and diversity of other soil organisms (Lavelle, 1996). They contribute to clarify the role of earthworms in the soil ecosystem and to explain their interaction with some microarthropods, although an earthworm-induced decrease in the number of immature *H. nitidus* in the acidic mull remains unexplained. Further long-term laboratory experiments comparing the effects of earthworm burrows and artificial pores are needed to fully distinguish the effect of earthworms on soil structure from that of food resource addition.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Céryl Techer for field assistance.

References

- Anonymous, 1999. Qualité des sols, vol 2. AFNOR, Paris, 408 pp.
- Arpin, P., Kilbertus, G., Ponge, J.-F., Vannier, G., 1980. Importance de la microflore et de la microfaune en milieu forestier. In: Pesson, P. (Ed) Actualités d'écologie forestière. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, pp. 87-150.
- Arpin, P., Ponge, J.-F., Dabin, B., Mori, A., 1984. Utilisation des nématodes Mononchida et des collembolés pour caractériser des phénomènes pédobiologiques. *Revue d'Écologie et de Biologie du Sol* 21, 243-268.
- Begon, M., Harper, J.L., Townsend, C.R., 1996. Ecology. Individuals, Populations and Communities., 3rd ed. Blackwell Science, Oxford, 1068pp.
- Bernier, N., Ponge, J.F., 1994. Humus form dynamics during the sylvogenetic cycle in a mountain spruce forest. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 26, 183-220.

- 1 Blandin, P., Christophe, T., Garay, I., Geoffroy, J.J., 1980. Les Arachnides et Myriapodes
2 prédateurs en forêt tempérée. In: Pesson, P. (Ed.) Actualités d'écologie forestière.
3 Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, pp. 477-506.
- 4 Bouché, M.B., 1975. Fonctions des lombriciens. III. Premières estimations quantitatives des
5 stations françaises du P.B.I. Revue d'Écologie et de Biologie du Sol 12, 25-44.
- 6 Brown, G.G., 1995. How do earthworms affect microfloral and faunal community diversity?
7 Plant and Soil 170, 209-231.
- 8 Capowiez, Y., Monestiez, P., Belzunces, L., 2001. Burrow systems made by *Aporrectodea*
9 *nocturna* and *Allolobophora chlorotica* in artificial cores: morphological differences
10 and effects of interspecific interactions. Applied Soil Ecology 16, 109-120.
- 11 Chen, B.R., Wise, D.H., 1999. Bottom-up limitation of predaceous arthropods in a detritus-
12 based terrestrial food web. Ecology 80, 761-772.
- 13 Delecour, F., 1983. Les formes d'humus: identification et description. Les Naturalistes Belges
14 64, 76-86.
- 15 Edwards, C.A., Bohlen, P.J., 1996. Biology and Ecology of Earthworms. Chapman and Hall,
16 London, 426 pp.
- 17 Funke, W., Jans, W., Manz, W., 1995. Temporal and spatial niche differentiation of predatory
18 arthropods of the soil surface in two forest ecosystems. Acta Zoologica Fennica 196,
19 111-114.
- 20 Geoffroy, J.J., 2000. A french centipede survey: towards inventory, distribution and
21 biodiversity of Chilopoda in France. Check-list of species. Bulletin de la Société
22 Zoologique de France 125, 159-163.
- 23 Hamilton, W.E., Sillman, D.Y., 1989. Influence of earthworm middens on the distribution of
24 soil microarthropods. Biology and Fertility of Soils 8, 279-284.
- 25 Jeekel, C., 1999. Who is the authority for *Cryptops hortensis*? Bulletin of the British
26 Myriapod Group 15, 3-4.
- 27 Jegou, D., Cluzeau, D., Wolf, H.J., Gandon, Y., Trehen, P., 1998. Assessment of the burrow
28 system of *Lumbricus terrestris*, *Aporrectodea giardi*, and *Aporrectodea caliginosa*
29 using X-ray computed tomography. Biology and Fertility of Soils 26, 116-121.
- 30 Jones, C. G., Lawton J. H., Shachak, M., 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos,
31 69: 373-386.
- 32 Joosse, E.N.G., Verhoef, H.A., 1974. On the aggregational habits of surface dwelling
33 Collembola. Pedobiologia 14, 245-249.

- Kretzschmar, A., 1987. Caractérisation microscopique de l'activité des lombriciens endogés.
In: Fedoroff, N., Bresson, L.M., Courty, M.A. (Ed.) Soil Micromorphology. AFES, Paris, pp. 325-330.
- Krool, S., Bauer, T., 1987. Reproduction, development and pheromone secretion in *Heteromurus nitidus* Templeton, 1835 (Collembola, Entomobryidae). Revue d'Écologie et de Biologie du Sol 24, 187-195.
- Lavelle, P., 1988. Earthworm activities and the soil system. Biology and Fertility of Soils 6, 237-251.
- Lavelle, P., 1996. Diversity of soil fauna and ecosystem function. Biology International 33, 3-16.
- Lee, K.E., 1985. Earthworms, Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land Use. Academic Press, Sydney.
- Lewis, J.G.E., 1981. The Biology of the Centipedes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ligthart, T.N., Peek, G.J.C.W., 1997. Evolution of earthworm burrow systems after inoculation of lumbricid earthworms in a pasture in the Netherlands. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 29, 453-462.
- Loranger, G., Ponge, J.F., Blanchart, E., Lavelle, P., 1998. Influence of agricultural practices on arthropod communities in a vertisol (Martinique). European Journal of Soil Biology 34, 157-165.
- Maraun, M., Alpehi, J., Bonkowski, M., Buryr, R., Migge, S., Peter, M., Schaefer, M., Scheu, S., 1999. Middens of the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* (Lumbricidae): microhabitats for micro- and mesofauna in forest soil. Pedobiologia 43, 276-287.
- Marinissen, J.C.Y., Bok, J., 1988. Earthworm-amended soil structure: its influence on Collembola populations in grassland. Pedobiologia 32, 243-252.
- McLean, M.A., Parkinson, D., 2000. Introduction of the epigeic earthworm *Dendrobaena octaedra* changes the oribatid community and microarthropod abundances in a pine forest. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 32, 1671-1681.
- Ponge, J.-F., 1990. Ecological study of a forest humus by observing a small volume . I. Penetration of pine litter by mycorrhizal fungi. European Journal of Forest Pathology 20, 290-303.
- Ponge, J.-F., 1993. Biocenoses of Collembola in atlantic temperate grass-woodland ecosystems. Pedobiologia 37, 223-244.
- Ponge, J.-F. 1999. Horizons and humus forms in beech forests of the Belgian Ardennes. Soil Science Society of America Journal 63, 1888-1901.

- 1 Poser, T., 1988. Chilopoden als Prädatoren in einem Laubwald. *Pedobiologia* 31, 261-281.
- 2 Salmon, S., 2004. The impact of earthworms on the abundance of collembola: improvement
3 of food resources or of habitat? *Biology and Fertility of Soils* (in press).
- 4 Salmon, S., 2001. Earthworm excreta (mucus and urine) affect the distribution of springtails
5 in forest soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 34, 304-310.
- 6 Salmon, S., Ponge, J.-F., 1999. Distribution of *Heteromurus nitidus* (Hexapoda, Collembola)
7 according to soil acidity: interactions with earthworms and predator pressure. *Soil*
8 *Biology & Biochemistry* 31, 1161-1170.
- 9 Salmon, S., Ponge, J.-F., 2001. Earthworm excreta attract soil springtails: laboratory
10 experiments on *Heteromurus nitidus* (Collembola: Entomobryidae). *Soil Biology and*
11 *Biochemistry* 33, 1959-1969.
- 12 Schaefer, M., 1995. Interspecific interactions in the soil community. *Acta Zoologica Fennica*
13 196, 101-106.
- 14 Scheu, S., Theenhaus, A., Jones, T.H., 1999. Links between the detritivore and the herbivore
15 system: effects of earthworms and Collembola on plant growth and aphid
16 development. *Oecologia* 119, 541-551.
- 17 Springett, J.A., 1983. Effect of five species of earthworm on some soil properties. *Journal of*
18 *Applied Ecology* 20, 865-872.
- 19 Springett, J.A., 1985. Effect of introducing *Allolobophora longa* Ude on root distribution and
20 some soil properties in New Zealand pastures. In: Fitter, A.H., Atkinson, D., Read,
21 D.J., Usher, M.B. (Ed.) *Ecological Interactions in Soil*. Blackwell Science, Oxford, pp.
22 399-405.
- 23 Theenhaus, A., Scheu, S., Schaefer, M., 1999. Contramensal interactions between two
24 collembolan species: effects on population development and on soil processes.
25 *Functional Ecology* 13, 238-246.
- 26 Tiunov, A.V., Kuznetsova, N.A., 2000. Environmental activity of anecic earthworms
27 (*Lumbricus terrestris* L.) and spatial organization of soil communities. *Izvestiya*
28 *Akademii Nauk Seriya Biologicheskaya* 5, 607-616.
- 29 Verhoef, H.A., Nagelkerke, C.J., Joosse, E.N.G., 1977a. Aggregation pheromones in
30 Collembola (Apterygota), a biotic cause of aggregation. *Revue d'Écologie et de*
31 *Biologie du Sol* 14, 21-25.
- 32 Verhoef, H.A., Nagelkerke, C.J., Joosse, E.N.G., 1977b. Aggregation pheromones in
33 Collembola. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 23, 1009-1013.

- 1 Weil, E., 1958. Biologie der einheimischen Geophiliden. Zeitschrift für Angewandte
2 Entomologie 42, 173-209.
- 3 Wickenbrock, L., Heisler, C., 1997. Influence of earthworm activity on the abundance of
4 Collembola in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 29, 517-521.
- 5

Table 1. Results (probabilities) from five two-way ANOVAs on the total abundance of *H. nitidus* within each humus form, and within each of the two treatments "with predators" and "without predators". Differences are significant at $P < 0.05$ (shown in bold). No interaction between tested factors was significant. Data were squareroot transformed prior to analyses.

	Calcic mull	Acidic mull	Moder	With predators	Without predators
Humus forms	-	-	-	0.019	0.152
Earthworms	0.591	0.178	0.048	0.045	0.873
Predators	0.016	0.020	< 0.001	-	-

Table 2. Results (probabilities) from five two-way ANOVAs on the abundance of *H. nitidus* > 1 mm within each humus form, and within each of the two treatments "with predators" and "without predators". Differences are significant at $P < 0.05$ (shown in bold). No interaction between tested factors was significant. Data were squareroot transformed prior to analyses.

	Calcic mull	Acidic mull	Moder	With predators	Without predators
Humus forms	-	-	-	< 0.001	0.075
Earthworms	0.612	0.629	0.045	0.111	0.710
Predators	0.934	0.850	0.043	-	-

Table 3. Results (probabilities) from five two-way ANOVAs on the abundance of *H. nitidus* < 1 mm within each humus form, and within each of the two treatments "with predators" and "without predators". Differences are significant at $P < 0.05$ (shown in bold). Data were squareroot transformed prior to analyses.

	Calcic mull	Acidic mull	Moder	With predators	Without predators
Humus forms	-	-	-	0.277	0.201
Earthworms	0.617	0.121	0.083	0.067	0.724
Predators	< 0.001	0.004	< 0.001	-	-
Earthworms x Predators		0.050			

1

2 **Table 4.** Abundance of predators recovered in treatments "with predators" at the end of the
3 experiment (mean of 5 replicates $\pm$ standard error), in the three humus forms, in the presence
4 and in the absence of earthworms.

	Calcic mull	Acidic mull	Moder
With earthworms	4.4 ± 0.5	5.6 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 0.9
Without earthworms	6.2 ± 0.7	6.6 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 1.2

5

6

1 **Table 5.** Species names and lengths of Chilopoda introduced into treatments "with predators".

Order	Species	Length of adults
Lithobiomorpha	<i>Lithobius microps</i> Meinert, 1868	5-8 mm
Scolopendromorpha	<i>Cryptops hortensis</i> Donovan, 1810	15-30 mm
	<i>C. parisi</i> Brolemann, 1920	15-40 mm
Geophilomorpha	<i>Henia vesuviana</i> (Newport, 1844)	70-95 mm
	<i>Necrophloeophagus flavus</i> (De Geer, 1778)	30-45 mm
	<i>Haplophilus subterraneus</i> (Shaw, 1789)	70-95 mm
	<i>Schendyla nemorensis</i> (C.L. Koch, 1837)	10-25 mm

2

3

Fig. 1 Effect of earthworms (E), predators (P) and humus forms (calcic mull, acidic mull, moder) on the abundance of (A) *H. nitidus* (whole population), (B) *H. nitidus* ≥ 1 mm and (C) *H. nitidus* < 1 mm (mean ± SE).