Combined PCR-DGGE fingerprinting and quantitative-PCR indicates shifts in fecal population sizes and diversity of bifidobacteria and clostridium cluster IV in institutionalized elderly

Jutta Zwielehner, Kathrin Liszt, Michael Handschur, Alexander Lapin, Alexander G. Haslberger

To cite this version:
Combined PCR-DGGE fingerprinting and quantitative-PCR indicates shifts in fecal population sizes and diversity of Bacteroides, bifidobacteria and clostridium cluster IV in institutionalized elderly

Jutta Zwielehner, Kathrin Liszt, Michael Handschur, Alexander Lapin, Alexander G. Haslberger

PII: S0531-5565(09)00079-5
DOI: 10.1016/j.exger.2009.04.002
Reference: EXG 8601

To appear in: Experimental Gerontology

Received Date: 24 September 2008
Revised Date: 7 April 2009
Accepted Date: 8 April 2009

Please cite this article as: Zwielehner, J., Liszt, K., Handschur, M., Lapin, A., Haslberger, A.G., Combined PCR-DGGE fingerprinting and quantitative-PCR indicates shifts in fecal population sizes and diversity of Bacteroides, bifidobacteria and clostridium cluster IV in institutionalized elderly, Experimental Gerontology (2009), doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2009.04.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.
Combined PCR-DGGE fingerprinting and quantitative-PCR indicates shifts in fecal population sizes and diversity of *Bacteroides*, bifidobacteria and *Clostridium* cluster IV in institutionalized elderly.

Jutta Zwielehner\(^1\), Kathrin Liszt\(^1\), Michael Handschur\(^1\), Alexander Lapin\(^3\), Alexander G. Haslberger\(^1\)*.

Affiliations: \(^1\)Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Vienna, Austria. \(^3\)Sozialmedizinisches Zentrum Sophienspital der Stadt Wien

*Corresponding Author:

Univ.-Doz. Dr. Alexander Haslberger

Department of Nutritional Sciences

Althanstrasse 14, UZAII; 2D541 1090 Vienna

phone: +431427754996
fax: +43142779549
mail: alexander.haslberger@univie.ac.at

running title: Bacterial diversity in elderly.

Keywords: microbiota, PCR-DGGE, qPCR, *Bacteroides*, bifidobacteria, *Clostridium*, feces, ageing

Abbreviations:

- APS: ammonium-persulfate
- TEMED: N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine
- GIT: gastro-intestinal tract
ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aimed at determining ageing-related shifts in diversity and composition of key members of the fecal microbiota by comparing institutionalized elderly (n=17, 78-94 yrs) and young volunteers (n=17, 18-31 yrs).

Methods and Results: A combination of molecular methods was used to characterize the diversity and relative abundance of total gastro-intestinal flora, along with relevant subsets within the genera *Bacteroides*, bifidobacteria and *Clostridium* cluster IV. The institutionalized elderly harbored significantly higher numbers of *Bacteroides* cells than control (28.5 % ± 8.6%; 21.4 % ± 7.7%; p=0.016) but contained less bifidobacteria (1.3 %±0.9, 2.7 % ± 3.2%, p=0.026) and *Clostridium* cluster IV (26.9% ± 11.7%, 36.36% ± 11.26%, p=0.036). The elderly also displayed less total *Bacteria* diversity and less diversity with the *Clostridium* cluster IV (p<0.016) and *Bacteroides*.

Conclusion: Despite high individual variations, our analyses indicate the composition of microbiota in the elderly comprises a less diverse subset of young healthy microbiota.

Significance and Impact of the Study: A better understanding of the individual composition of the human microbiota and the effects of ageing might result in the development of specifically targeted supplementation for elderly citizens in order to support healthy ageing.
INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that between 60-80% of the microbial diversity resident within the human gastro-intestinal tract (GI) has yet to be cultivated (Suau et al. 1999). However, the use of culture-independent molecular methods has enabled researchers to identify important characteristics of this community. We now know that the composition of GI microbiota is highly distinct between individuals (Dethlefsen et al. 2006). Despite this variability, some general features are apparent. There appear to be ‘core species’ present in a majority of humans which are quite resilient to external influences (Dore 2007). In addition to this group, there are also ‘passengers’ or transients, sometimes in great numbers, sometimes below detection limit (Favier et al. 2003). However, the majority of sequences seem to be unique to some individual and not one single phylotype may be present in all humans (Turnbaugh et al. 2009). A number of factors have been identified which influence community composition. The type of bacteria introduced to the environment is determined by those associated with food sources (Duncan et al. 2007; Pryde et al. 2002). The nature and composition of non-digestible carbohydrates in a diet can further stimulate different types of bacteria (Kolida and Gibson 2007), whilst hereditary dispositions and personal gut environmental factors may account for unique personal characteristics (Eckburg and Relman 2007).

Correlations between the GI microbiota and diseases such as allergenicity (Shreiner et al. 2008), inflammatory bowel diseases (Sokol et al. 2006) and individual dispositions such as obesity (Zhang et al. 2009) have been discussed. The colon harbors butyrate-producing species of several genera such as Clostridium, Eubacterium and Fusobacterium (Pryde et al. 2002). Among strains that produce
high levels of butyrate in vitro are those related to *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, an ubiquitous member of *Clostridium* cluster IV (Pryde et al. 2002). *Bacteroidetes* species have been reported to show high variations between individuals, although *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* is found in all human beings (Layton et al. 2006). Both *Bacteroidetes* and *Clostridium* cluster IV are known to be involved in beneficial functions, including nutrient absorption, production of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and epithelial cell maturation and maintenance (Woodmansey 2007).

Another important subgroup of the human GI microbiota is the bifidobacteria. Stimulation of these bacteria has previously been shown after prebiotic intervention with inulin and fructo-oligosaccharides (Kolida and Gibson 2007). Furthermore, they have been shown to be involved in prevention of atopic disease (Ouwehand et al. 2007), obesity and insulin resistance via enhanced barrier function of the gut epithelium (Cani et al. 2007).

With ageing, a decrease in beneficial organisms such as *Lactobacilli* and bifidobacteria, amongst other anaerobes, and an increase in the number of facultative anaerobes (Guigoz et al. 2008) have been reported. Population cross-sectional studies with relevant numbers of healthy elderly also show age-related changes in GI microbiota. These include a consistent global increase in nonpathogenic Gram-negative bacteria (mainly *Enterobacteria*), as well as country-specific changes in bifidobacteria (Guigoz et al. 2008). This, along with a general reduction in species diversity within most bacterial groups, changes to diet and altered digestive physiologies such as intestinal transit time, may result in increased putrefaction in the colon and a greater susceptibility to disease. The aged gut is characterized by increased proteolytic activity, decreased amylolytic activity and reduced levels of SCFA (Woodmansey 2007). Ageing is associated with reduced
levels of prostaglandins such as PGE$_2$ and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ as shown in specimens of stomach and duodenum biopsies (Tiihonen et al. 2008). Subclinical intestinal inflammation in elderly populations has been detected and is believed to contribute to impaired immune functions, the underlying cause of mortality beyond 75-80 years of age (Guigoz et al. 2008).

Analyses of individual dispositions associated with changes of the microbiota should consider quantitative and qualitative aspects of gut community structure. Analysis of stool samples can target changes in colonic microbiota, since feces are representative of inter-individual differences (Turnbaugh et al. 2007).

The aim of this work was to investigate shifts in GI microbiota associated with aging, by comparing institutionalized elderly with young healthy volunteers. To analyze changes in total bacterial community composition, along with specific compositional changes within the Bacteroidetes, bifidobacteria and Clostridia cluster IV, we used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based community fingerprinting method Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis. The resolution of this method allows for the characterization of the dominant members of a targeted microbial community. Further, we used quantitative-PCR (q-PCR) to determine the relative load of Bacteroidetes, bifidobacteria and Clostridia cluster IV groups within our samples. Thus, use of these methods in combination allowed the characterization of both diversity and relative abundance of our targeted organisms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Probands

Seventeen institutionalized elderly aged 86 ± 8 years, BMI 21.75 ± 5.08, from a geriatric department in Vienna and 17 students from Vienna joined the study.
Probands were interviewed following a questionnaire assessing: age; gender; body length and weight; individual health status, including chronic or acute diseases and blood lipid levels; and life-style aspects, such as physical activity and dietary habits.

Five percent of geriatric patients suffered from manifest diabetes mellitus type 2. Ten patients were bed-ridden and seven mobile. Causes for loss of mobility were Parkinson’s disease, dementia and osteoporosis. Nursing staff reported the application of NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) on demand.

Seventeen young healthy volunteers were aged 24 ± 2.5 years, BMI 22.68 ± 3.41 and their dietary habits were typical for Central Europe.

Study populations were gender balanced, with 55% females in the group of elderly and 50% in the young group. Only non-pregnant probands with no diagnosed gastrointestinal disease and no antibiotic or chemotherapeutic treatment three months prior to sampling were included in the study. All probands agreed to participate in the study and gave their informed consent.

**Sampling and DNA extraction from Stools and Type Strains**

From each proband, three stool samples were taken within the course of a week and immediately stored at –70°C. Portions of the three samples from each patient were pooled, a 200 mg aliquot was treated twice for 45 sec in a bead-beater (Mini-Beadbeater-8) and DNA extracted with the QIAamp® DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s protocol and then immediately stored at –20°C.

Type strains known to be associated with GI microbiota were grown, and DNA extracted, for use as part of the markers in DGGE analysis (see below). Type strains *L. casei* DSM 20011^T^, *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *lactis* DSM 20072^T^, *Bacteroides fragilis* DSM 2151^T^, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* DSM 2079^T^, *Bifidobacterium longum*
DSM20219ᵀ, *B. longum* DSM 20211, *B. pseudolongum* DSM 20099 and *B. thermophilus* DSM 20210 were anaerobically cultivated on blood agar, *E. coli* IMBH 252/07 and clones were aerobically cultivated on LB-agar (liquid broth medium). The biomass was resuspended in sterile phosphate buffered saline (1x PBS from 10x PBS Roti®stock, ROTH). Tenfold dilutions from these suspensions in sterile 1x PBS were plated in duplicate on blood agar or LB-agar, colony forming units (CFU/ml) were counted and DNA extracted from serial dilutions. DNA was extracted from clones using the Wizard® Plus.SV Minpreps DNA Purification System (Promega).

The DNA of all Gram-negative bacteria was extracted with the DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN), for Gram- positive bacteria, the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP-Biomedicals) was used following the instructions of the manufacturer.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)**

PCR was used to amplify 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences from type strains and *Bacteria* in stool samples for use in DGGE analysis and manufacture of clone libraries (see below). All reactions were carried out using a ready-to-use mastermix (Promega) with 1.5mM MgCl₂. Bovine serum albumin (10mg/ml, Fermentas) was added to a final concentration of 400µg/ml, primer concentration in the reaction volume was 0.5µM. Amplifications were carried out in a Robocycler (Stratagene).

**Clone libraries**

Clone libraries were constructed from stool samples to identify dominant members of the *Clostridium* cluster IV and the *Bacteroidetes*. Selected clones were then used, along with the cultured type strains, to generate appropriate reference markers for DGGE analysis. Amplifications were carried out using primer pair 27f Edwards et al.
171 1989) and sg-Clep-R (Matsuki et al. 2004), which are specific for members of the 
172 *Clostridium* cluster IV, and 32f and 708r (Bernhard and Field 2000) which are 
173 specific for members of the *Bacteroides*. Amplified products were cloned into a p-
174 GEM Easy Vector (Promega) following the instructions of the manufacturer. Clone 
175 libraries were screened as previously described (Schabereiter-Gurtner et al. 2001). 
176 Clone inserts were sequenced by ‘DNA confidence’ (Vienna). Nucleotide sequences 
177 were corrected for vector and primer sequences in CodonCode Aligner 
178 (www.codoncode.com) and taxonomically identified by comparison to previously 
179 published sequences using the online tools of the ribosomal database project 
180 (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/).

181 **DGGE**

182 PCR amplifications of 16S rRNA gene fragments from total DNA extracted from stool 
183 samples were carried out using the specific primer sets outlined in Table 2. 
184 Separate DGGE gels were run to analyse samples for patterns in a) total *Bacteria*, b) 
185 bifidobacteria, c) *Clostridium* cluster IV and d) *Bacteroides*. DGGE gels were 
186 prepared as described previously (Muyzer and Smalla 1998) with a linear gradient of 
187 25-65% for *Bacteria* (general), 30-65% for bifidobacteria, 20-50% for *Bacteroides* and 
188 30-50% for *Clostridium* cluster IV, using a gradient mixer (Hoefer SG 30) and a 
189 peristaltic pump. We generated reference markers appropriate for each set of DGGE 
190 analyses (i.e. a different reference marker was used for each of a,b,c and d above) to 
191 enable meaningful comparisons across multiple gels and to provide putative 
192 identification of some bands. These reference markers contained fragments of 16S 
193 rRNA genes from cultured bacteria and clones generated from fecal material (as 
194 described above). Each marker was loaded in triplicate on each gel to allow gel-to-
gel comparison. The reference marker for DGGE fingerprinting of general *Bacteria* consisted of *E. coli* IMBH 252/07, *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 20477<sup>T</sup>, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* DSM 2079<sup>T</sup>, *Bifidobacterium longum* DSM 20219<sup>T</sup>, *Clostridium perfringens* (laboratory isolate), clone BT11 (98.8% similarity with *Bacteroides uniformis* JCM 5828<sup>T</sup>), clone BT17 (96.0% similarity with *Bacteroides vulgatus*<sup>T</sup> and bacterium LY88 previously identified in human feces (Eckburg *et al.* 2005)) and clone CL16 (98.4% similarity with an uncultured bacterium from human feces (Turnbaugh *et al.* 2006) and 96.7% similarity with *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*<sup>T</sup>). The reference marker for *bifidobacteria* specific DGGE analysis consisted of *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 20477<sup>T</sup>, *E. coli* IMBH 252/07, *Bifidobacterium longum* DSM 20219<sup>T</sup>, *B. longum* DSM 20211, *B. thermophilus* DSM 20210<sup>T</sup> and *B. pseudolongum* DSM 20099<sup>T</sup>. The reference marker for *Bacteroides* specific DGGE analysis was composed of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* DSM 2079<sup>T</sup>, fecal clones Bt 17 and Bt11 and *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 20477<sup>T</sup>. The reference marker for *Clostridium* cluster IV DGGE analysis was constructed from 7 clones all representing previously uncultured species from cluster IV: Similarities with type strains from this cluster were 81.8%, 96.6%, 96.7% and 97.8% similarity with *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*<sup>T</sup>, 92.1% and 92.9% similarity with *Eubacterium desmolans*<sup>T</sup> and 95.9% similarity with *Subdoligranulum variabile*<sup>T</sup>.

**TaqMan RTQ-PCR**

The TaqMan-assay was carried out in a Rotorgene 3000 (Corbett Life Science) in duplicate in a volume of 10 µl containing 5 µl TaqMan SensiMix DNA Kit (Quantace), 1 µl of each primer and probe (final concentrations table 1) and 2 µl of the 100-fold dilution of the template. All probes were labeled with 6-FAM at the 5’ end and carried...
a BHQ-1 quencher at the 3’ end. TaqMan Probe (Clep-P) for *Clostridium* cluster IV was designed with CLC DNA Workbench (www.clcbio.com). Analysis with ProbeMatch (rdp.9.58) indicated that Clep-P binds to all members of *Clostridium* cluster IV. The PCR program for bifidobacteria, *Bacteroides* and universal bacteria consisted of denaturing at 95°C for 3min and 45 cycles of 95°/60°C for 15/ 45 sec. The amplification program for clostridium cluster IV was: denaturation at 95° for 5 min and 45 cycles at 95°/ 55°C for 30/ 45 sec.

DNA of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*<sup>T</sup> and *Bifidobacterium longum*<sup>T</sup>, clone CL16 and one fecal sample were used to construct standard curves for comparison of PCR reaction efficiencies among different experiments and enumeration of all bacterial groups. Reaction efficiency (E) was estimated using the slope of the standard curve and the formula \( E = 10^{(-1/slope)} -1 \) as described elsewhere (Penders et al. 2005). Quantification was done using standard curves obtained from known concentrations of organisms containing the respective amplicons for each set of primers. The percentage of bacterial group rRNA gene copies in relation to total rRNA gene copies (relative abundance) was calculated for each individual, and the mean was determined for each subject group. Relative quantification (% of bacteria) was performed using Rotor-Gene 3000 calculation software (Corbett operator manual) and Excel. Cross reactivity with non-target strains was tested using the Probe-Match tool at the RDP website and using the strains mentioned above.

**Statistical analysis.**

Food frequency data were analyzed based on Chi-square approximation as implemented in SPSS. P values <0.05 were considered significant. Band comparison tables were analyzed with principal component analysis (PCA) using the default
settings in ‘R-software environment for statistical computing’ (www.r-project.org) until 100% variance was explained. Transformed data were plotted in a bi-plot as a function of the first two principal components. Clustering was applied to DGGE fingerprinting data as implemented in the GelComparII environment (www.applied-maths.com). Clustering was performed based on Dice coefficient as well as based on Pearson correlation. Those methods are supplementary to each other, clustering after Dice takes band positions into account, whereas Pearson correlation based clustering analyzes the densitometric curves of each fingerprint. UPGMA dendrograms were generated and Jackknife analysis was performed using average similarities and 100 resamplings. Jackknife analysis is a leave-one-out method that tests the reliability of the clustering similar to bootstrap analysis. Shannon and Simpson’s diversity index were calculated on binary band information (presence-absence) with the default settings implemented in the ‘vegan’ package in ‘R’. Shannon index is defined as $H = -\sum p_i \ln p_i$, where $p_i$ is the proportional abundance of species $i$. For Simpson’s index $D$, this is $1 - \sum ((p_i)^2)$, where $p_i$ is the relative frequency of the $i$-th species. Prior to application of Student’s t-test for diversity indices and qPCR data, equality of variances of the three datasets was tested using the F-test as implemented in Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Dietary aspects

Analysis of the participant’s dietary habits indicated similar consumption patterns of fruits, vegetables and milk products in both groups. Young volunteers stated significantly less frequent ($\chi^2$ Test; $p<0.04$) consumption of meat than elderly
proband and regular consumption of whole grain products several times a week.

The institutionalized elderly of this study did not consume any whole grain products at all but received supplements with soluble fiber (Benfiber®, Novartis).

**TaqMan-quantification**

TaqMan assays were set up quantifying bacterial sub-populations as percentage of the total bacterial DNA. We detected no cross-reactivity of group-specific primers and probes with non-target strains. Test-retest variations were between 2.7% and 5.2%, values after relative quantification varied by less than 4%. The sensitivity was corresponding to a 100 000 fold dilution of DNA from feces, that is 20 copies of 16S rRNA gene per reaction.

The elderly harbored only 69%±21.6% of the total bacterial load in their faeces compared to control (figure 1). Bacteroides were found to represent a larger percentage than bifidobacteria in all samples (figure 1) and the institutionalized elderly harbored significantly more Bacteroides than young volunteers (p=0.016). Although relative levels of bifidobacteria were highly variable among samples, the differences between young and elderly probands were statistically significant (p=0.026). Furthermore, elderly citizens had significantly less members of Clostridium cluster IV (figure 1) in their fecal microbiota than young volunteers (p=0.036).

**DGGE bandpattern analysis**

DGGE fingerprinting with primer pair 341GC-518, which amplified the total microbial community, showed high inter-individual variations (figure 2). The mean numbers of bands per individual were 16.6 ± 3 bands for institutionalized elderly and 20 ± 3 bands in control. Two bands, highlighted in figure 2, occurred significantly less
frequently in elderly probands. Shannon and Simpson indices of diversity were significantly lower for elderly than control (figure 3). The highly diverse dataset was subjected to principal component analysis (PCA). Extraction of underlying components within the dataset indicated grouping of samples according to their variance (figure 4) along the first two principal components. Cluster analysis could separate the fingerprints of young and elderly (figure 5). Jackknife analysis suggested that the dominant bacteria of the elderly microbiota are a subpopulation of the microbiota of young individuals: Predictability of groupings was 100% for young and only 13.33% for elderly.

**Bifidobacteria**

An average of thirteen bands were observed in individual DGGE bandpatterns obtained with the primer pair specific for bifidobacteria. Two bands with the same melting behaviour as the type strains *B. longum* DSM 20219\(^T\) and *B. pseudolongum* DSM 20099\(^T\) in our marker were abundant in the majority of probands. PCA was performed and the first two PC's explained 23.64% of variance (figure 4). Although cluster analysis showed high similarity of young and elderly bandpatterns, jackknife testing demonstrated greater similarity of bandpattern for control than for elderly (expressed in predictability of groupings: 91.67% for control and 35.72% for elderly). PCA supported these results and could not separate young and elderly according to variances in the dominant bifidobacteria of their microbiota.

**Bacteroides**

DGGE fingerprints obtained with the *Bacteroides* specific primers contained an average of 7.6 ± 2.5 bands for the elderly, whilst young individuals averaged 9.5 ± 3
bands. Diversity indices (figure 3) showed a tendency to be lower for elderly than for control. PCA results suggest a tendency for less Bacteroides diversity with ageing (figure 4). Elderly subjects grouped along the first principal component. Clustering of Pearson correlations could separate young and elderly individuals according to DGGE fingerprinting. Jackknife analysis using average similarities could predict groupings of young bandpattern with 76.92% reliability and 75% for elderly.

Clostridium cluster IV

DGGE fingerprints obtained with the Clostridium cluster IV specific primers yielded an average of 10 ±3.5 bands per elderly individual and 13 ± 3 bands per young individual. Diversity indices (figure 2) were significantly (p=0.02) lower for aged citizens than for the young. One band that occurred more frequently in the young than in the elderly had the same melting characteristics as a band in our marker. This band was generated from a clone from our library related to the genus Faecalibacterium. This clone had the highest similarity (99.2%) to an uncultured bacterium EF403698 and also displayed 96.6% similarity to Faecalibacterium prausnitzii. Three more bands occurred more frequently in the young than in elderly. These bands were identified from our clone libraries as relating to the genera Ruminococcus (clone had 95.9% similarity to Ruminococcus bromii, 99% similarity to uncultured AJ408987 from human colon) and Subdoligranulum (clones had 94.7%/97.5% similarity to Subdoligranulum variabile, and 97.9%/98% similarity to uncultured bacterium DQ793301). PCA (figure 4) indicated separation of young and elderly according to their Clostridium cluster IV DGGE fingerprints along PC1.
Clustering and Jackknife analysis did not result in distinct clustering of elderly and young individuals. *Clostridium* cluster IV representatives in the elderly microbiota are most likely to be a subset of the species present in young individuals: Only in 35.71% of resamplings elderly bandpattern grouped with each other, whereas Jackknife value for young individuals was 100%.

**DISCUSSION**

Metagenomic analysis of the human GI microbiota is presently the subject of large research consortia and has already substantiated the concepts of a ‘core microbiome’ and inter-individual variations (Turnbaugh et al. 2007). However, the collection of data on ‘reference microbiota’ is far from complete and thus no definition of a healthy microbiota is available yet. Important information comes from analyses addressing the abundance and diversity of specific bacterial populations with relevance to disease, diet or probiotic intervention. We used a combined molecular approach to compare patterns in several target GI microbial groups between the institutionalized elderly and young healthy volunteers. The community fingerprinting method PCR-DGGE was used to compare the diversity present in total *Bacteria* and also specifically within the *Clostridium* cluster IV, bifidobacteria and *Bacteroides*, whilst q-PCR was used to quantify the relative population abundance of these same bacterial groups in all samples.

Our results demonstrate some significant shifts in patterns in GI microbiota between our study groups. Faeces from the institutionalized elderly had less total Bacterial abundance and lower total Bacterial diversity than that from the young subjects, However, samples from the elderly displayed an increase in the relative abundance of *Bacteroides*, although this group tended to display less diversity than *Bacteroides*
in the young. The relative abundance of the *bifidobacteria* and *Clostridium* cluster IV were significantly higher in the young, and the *Clostridium* cluster IV also displayed greater diversity in the young. Furthermore, cluster analysis revealed that for all microbial groups analysed, the members of the GI microbiota in the elderly could be considered a subset of that present in the young.

The results of our bifidobacteria analysis are in agreement with previous studies which have identified a reduction and loss of diversity of *bifidobacteria* associated with aging (Woodmansey et al. 2007, Hopkins et al. (2002)) or hospitalization of aged citizens (Bartosch et al. 2004). Our study population of elderly was supplemented with soluble fiber. This prebiotic intervention alone was apparently not able to antagonize ageing-related changes in the *bifidobacteria*. In this respect Ouwehand et al. (2008) recently reported that supplementation with lactitol and a probiotic *Lactobacillus* led to an increase in *bifidobacteria* in the microbiota of elderly.

Bartosch et al (2004) also reported a marked reduction in the abundance of *Faecalibacterium praunitzii*, a member of the *Clostridium* cluster IV which decreased in our aged group. However several studies (Hopkins et al. 2002, Woodmansey et al. 2007, Bartosch et al. 2004) have reported a decrease in the relative abundance of the *Bacteroides*, whereas our aged study population displayed a relative increase in abundance of this group. Like us, all the previous studies reported a decrease in *Bacteroides* diversity. Increased levels of *Bacteroides* have been found in individuals with infectious colitis (Sokol et al. 2006). Discrepancies in changes of the *Bacteroides* abundance might be due to country-specific differences in this bacterial subgroup as indicated by Mueller et al. (2006). Mueller et al. (2006) also observed gender effects within *Bacteroides*, with levels being generally higher in males than in females. Our study populations were gender balanced with volunteers being 55% females in the
group of elderly and 50% in the young. The results presented here do not support
gender differences in *Bacteroides* abundance. Reductions in amylolytic activity
observed in a healthy elderly population have been correlated with the occurrence
and diversity of *Bacteroides* (Woodmansey 2007).

Reduced numbers of *Bacteria* in the fecal content of elderly reflect the physiological
alterations associated with ageing. These include prolonged colonic transit time and
reduced dietary energy requirement and food uptake (Morley et al. 2007). Further
reductions in the relative abundance of important sub-populations such as
*Clostridium* cluster IV and bifidobacteria might result in reduced formation of SCFAs,
altered epithelial cell maturation and maintenance, and altered barrier function of the
gut epithelium in elderly probands. Those changes in the GI microbiota have
previously been linked to impaired immune functions prevalent in individuals of
advanced age and may result in a greater susceptibility to disease.

Improved analytical concepts for the characterization of the microbiota of consumers
and patients might become important as a rationale for individualized probiotic
intervention. Probiotic supplementation is a promising concept in restoring impaired
functions or enhancing specific desirable functions of the microbiota. Encouraging
effects of probiotic supplementation have been reported for aspects such as direction
of host immunity, pathogen defense, maintenance of integrity of the gut epithelium,
alleviation of lactose intolerance symptoms and immune effects, such as in atopic
disease. For further insight into the relationships between phylogenetic information
and metabolic activities, sequence information in addition to 16S rRNA based
fingerprinting will be mandatory.
CONCLUSION

Studies comparing elderly and young volunteer microbiota with PCR-DGGE fingerprinting and qPCR are still rare. We found that ageing is associated with less overall bacteria and significantly decreased *Clostridium* cluster IV and bifidobacteria and an increase of *Bacteroides*. Diversity of dominant bacteria, *Bacteroides* and *Clostridia* cluster IV were reduced. Those changes in the GI microbiota are suggested to be cause and effect of impaired immune functions in individuals of advanced age and may result in a greater susceptibility to disease.

Tables:

**Table 1**: Primers and probes used for quantification of fecal bacteria using TaqMan assays targeting 16S rRNA coding regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target organism</th>
<th>Primer or probe</th>
<th>Sequence (5' - 3')</th>
<th>Fragment Size (bp)</th>
<th>Conc. [nM]</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>bifidobacteria</em></td>
<td>Fwd primer</td>
<td>GCG TGC TTA ACA CAT GCA AGT C</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev primer</td>
<td>CAC CCG TTT CCA GGA GCT ATT</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>(FAM)- TCA CGC ATT ACT CAC CCG</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(Penders et al. 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TTC GCC -(BHQ-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em></td>
<td>AllBac296f</td>
<td>GAG AGG AAG GTC CCC CAC</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AllBac412r</td>
<td>CGC TAC TTG GCT GGT TCA G</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>(Layton et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AllBac375Bhq</td>
<td>CAC TGC TGC CT-(BHQ-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FAM)-CCA TTG ACC AAT ATT CCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteria (general)</em></td>
<td>BAC-338-F</td>
<td>ACT CCT ACG GGA GGC AG</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAC-805-R</td>
<td>GAC TAC CAG GGT ATC TAA TCC</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BAC-516-P (FAM)-TGC CAG CAG CCG CGG TAA TAC-(BHQ-1) 200 (Yu 2005)

Clostridium

sg-Clep-F GCA CAA GCA GTG GAG T 239 400 (Matsuki et al. 2004)

sg-Clep-R CTT CCT CCG TTT TGT CAA 400

Clep-P\(^a\) (FAM)-AGG GTT GCG CTC GTT- (BHQ-1) 200 This study

\(^a\) position of target site (numbering corresponding to \(E. coli\) 16S rRNA gene) 1082 to 1107.

Table 2: Primers applied for PCR-DGGE fingerprinting of 16S rRNA coding regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target organism</th>
<th>Primer pairs</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Ann.temp (°C)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bacteria</td>
<td>27f</td>
<td>GTGCTGCAGAGAGTTTGA TCCTGGCTCAG</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>(Edwards et al. 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>985r</td>
<td>GTAAGGTTCTTCGCGTT</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>(Heuer et al. 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>341f-GC</td>
<td>CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Muyzer et al. 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>518r</td>
<td>ATT ACC GCG GCT GCT GG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Neefs et al. 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides</td>
<td>32f</td>
<td>AACGCTAGCTACAGGCTT</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(Bernhard and Field 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>708r</td>
<td>CAATCGGAGTTCTTCGTG</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(Bernhard and Field 2000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bifidobacteria g-BifidF

CTCCTGGAAACGGGTGG 58 2002

(Matsuki et al.

g-BifidR GGTGTTCTTCCCGATATC TACA 58 2002

(Matsuki et al.

Clostridia sg-Clep-

cluster IV F-GC see table 1 55

sg-Clep-

R

Figures

Figure 1: Proportions of Bacteroides, bifidobacteria and Clostridium cluster IV of the total bacterial load in stool samples of institutionalized elderly and young healthy volunteers. Total amount of bacteria is depicted relative to the mean counts for healthy young young.

Figure 2: PCR-DGGE band pattern of 16S rRNA coding regions of dominant bacteria amplified with primer pair 341GC-518. Bands that were observed more frequently in young than in elderly are indicated with arrows. Organisms and sequences listed were used for the construction of the reference lanes.

A, G, I, J, young; B, C, E, F, elderly; D, H, reference lanes

Figure 3: Diversity indices derived from DGGE fingerprinting of 16S rRNA coding regions.
Y, young; E, elderly; Cl.IV, *Clostridium* cluster IV; B, *Bacteroides*, Bif, bifidobacteria; *

Figure 4: PCA of DGGE fingerprints of 16S rRNA coding regions of dominant bacteria in fecal samples.

Y, young; O, elderly

Figure 5: UPMGA dendrogram showing clustering (Dice) based on the similarities of DGGE fingerprints of dominant bacteria obtained with primer pair 341GC-518.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank all study participants and Dr. Viviana Klose and Mag. Verity-Ann Sattler of IfA Tulln for their cooperation in the analysis of DGGE fingerprinting. The Hochschuljubiläumsfond of the Austrian national bank supported this work.

References:


Penders, J., Vink, C., Driessen, C., London, N., Thijs, C. and Stobberingh, E.E. 2005 Quantification of Bifidobacterium spp., Escherichia coli and Clostridium difficile in...
faecal samples of breast-fed and formula-fed infants by real-time PCR. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* **243**: 141-147.


bacteria
Young  elderly

Clostridia cluster IV  Bacteroides

bifidobacteria

Young  elderly
B. thetaiotaomicron
Bt 17
Bt 11
Enterococcus faecium
Cl.16
E. coli IMBH 252/07
Cl. perfringens (isolate)
B. longum
**Shannon diversity index**

- Young
- Elderly

**Simpson diversity index**

- Young
- Elderly

* * * *

- Bacteroides
- Clostridium IV
- Bifidobacterium