Accepted Manuscript

The effects of shoreface nourishments on *Spisula* and scoters in The Netherlands

M.J. Baptist, M.F. Leopold

PII: S0141-1136(09)00030-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.03.003)

Reference: MERE 3323

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 30 December 2007

Revised Date: 3 March 2009

Accepted Date: 4 March 2009

Please cite this article as: Baptist, M.J., Leopold, M.F., The effects of shoreface nourishments on *Spisula* and scoters in The Netherlands, *Marine Environmental Research* (2009), doi: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.03.003)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The effects of shoreface nourishments on *Spisula* and scoters in The Netherlands

M.J. Baptist*, M.F. Leopold

Wageningen IMARES, Location Texel, PO Box 167, 1790 AD Den Burg, The Netherlands

Abstract

The coast of The Netherlands is protected by nourishing sand. Generally, two different techniques are used, beach nourishment and shoreface nourishment. The latter technique supplies sand at a water depth of about 5 to 8 metres in the surf zone, and has been used on a regular basis since 1997 with increasing volumes since 2001. Observations on the bivalve mollusc *Spisula subtruncata* that was abundant before 1997 and a key food species for wintering seaduck show a decline since 2001. This coincided with a decrease in the abundance of the Common Scoter *Melanitta nigra*, the most numerous wintering seaduck off the Dutch coast. These observations raised concern about shoreface nourishments. This study analyses the timing and locations of shoreface nourishments in combination with *S. subtruncata* abundance and spatial distribution. Against the expectation, no causal relationship was found between the decline of *S. subtruncata* and shoreface nourishments. Other causes, such as climate change, fisheries, unsuccessful settlement or predation of spatfall are more likely behind the decline of *Spisula* along the Dutch coast.

Key words: Shoreface nourishment, *Spisula subtruncata*, *Melanitta nigra*, North Sea, Seabirds, Benthos, Sediments

1 Introduction

In The Netherlands, coastal protection offered by beaches and dunes is maintained by nourishing sand. At first, this was achieved by supplying sand directly onto the beach but later shoreface nourishment became the preferred technique (Roelse, 2002; Grunnet and Ruessink, 2005; Klein, 2005; Steijn,

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 317 487068; Fax: +31 317 487362
Email address: Martin.Baptist@wur.nl (M.J. Baptist).

2005). Shoreface nourishment supplies sand in between the outer breaker bars along the coast, or offshore from the outer bar, at water depths of about 5 to 8 metres. The supplied sand moves gradually towards the coast by the natural action of waves and currents, re-establishing the original coastline prior to erosion (Van Duin et al., 2004). Since 1997, shoreface nourishments are applied regularly and since 2001 increased volumes of sand have been supplied, Fig. 1.

Sand nourishments can affect the ecosystem in various ways (Van Dalfsen and Essink, 1997; Speybroeck et al., 2006). Direct effects are the burial of benthic species under a layer of sand. In the direct vicinity suffocation of benthos can occur due to the settling of a plume of suspended particles. A plume of fine particles may also affect primary production and the foraging success of filter-feeding benthos, fish and birds. In addition there might be disturbance by noise and ship manoeuvring. Indirect effects are habitat change, such as altered morphology and sedimentology. This may also change habitat characteristics such as penetrability, compactness, and organic matter and silt content.

In 1993 a shoreface nourishment was applied as large-scale pilot experiment along Terschelling. Possible ecological effects were extensively monitored (Tydeman, 1994; Van Dalfsen and Oosterbaan, 1996; Van Dalfsen and Essink, 1997). It was concluded that there were mainly short-term, local effects as a result of burial of benthic species. A recovery of the benthic fauna was reached after two years, with the exception of long-lived species of molluscs and echinoderms. Particular attention was paid to *Spisula subtruncata*, a bivalve that formed the staple food source for the Common Scoter (*Melanitta nigra*) (Leopold et al., 1995; Degraer, 1999; Degraer et al., 2007). *Spisula subtruncata* is found primarily in fine sands of about 200 μm in the lower shoreface at water depths of about 5 to 20 metres (Baptist et al., 2006; Craeymeersch and Perdon, 2006; Degraer et al., 2007). In their study, Van Dalfsen and Essink (1997) gave a warning: "[...] shoreface nourishment may very well strike important *Spisula* banks, and then pose a serious risk to the survival of wintering seaducks".

Spisula surveys in Dutch coastal waters have been conducted since the 1990s (Leopold, 1996; Craeymeersch and Perdon, 2006). *Spisula* was very abundant along large parts of the Dutch coast in the 1990s, but during the period 2000 - 2005 numbers declined. The total biomass in 2005 was the lowest found since 1995, and has only slightly increased in later years (Craeymeersch and Perdon, 2006; Goudswaard et al., 2008). The decline in total *Spisula* abundance coincided with the increase in shoreface nourishments, Fig 1. Moreover, the numbers of overwintering Common Scoters also showed a decline in recent years, Fig. 2. This raised concern about the ecological effects of shoreface nourishments, particularly after the warning of Van Dalfsen and Essink (1997).

There is no statistically significant correlation between declining *Spisula* abundance and increasing nourishment volumes (for untransformed data: $r = -0.33$, two tailed probability = 0.29). However, the coincidence implies a possible

causal relationship. Therefore, this study aims at assessing the timing and locations of shoreface nourishments and their relation with the spatial and temporal distribution of *Spisula subtruncata* and the consequences for the Common Scoter.

2 Material and Methods

We first made an inventory of underwater sand nourishment projects, gathering data on their location, extent, date, type and volume, Table 1. Sand nourishments below the water surface were already applied before the pilot experiment in 1993, but these were *channel slope* nourishments instead of *shoreface* nourishments (Roelse, 2002). Shoreface nourishments are applied to feed the morphologically active breaker bar system in front of beaches in order to shift the coastline seawards. Channel slope nourishments were applied to halt onshore migration of tidal gullies. In recent years, shoreface nourishments became more and more common along the Holland and Wadden coasts, Fig. 3.

Two data sets of *Spisula* surveys have been combined. One set is a yearly *Spisula* survey of the National Institute of Fisheries Research (RIVO), now Wageningen Institute of Marine Resources and Ecosystem Studies (IMARES), which covers the entire Dutch coast from 1995 onwards. Yearly in April and May, more than 800 bed samples are taken, with a sample area of 15 m² for the majority of the samples (Craeymeersch and Perdon, 2006). Bed samples were taken with a bottom cutting dredge, with the exception of the Voordelta where a small suction dredge was used (Craeymeersch and Perdon, 2006). The second data set consists of surveys carried out by the Institute of Forest and Nature Research (IBN-DLO), now IMARES as well, from 1994 to 1999. The latter surveys focused mainly on the northern coast of The Netherlands and were carried out with a Van Veen grab (Leopold, 1996). The combination of both sets resulted in maps of *Spisula* densities along the Dutch coast down to -20 m Dutch Ordnance Level (NAP) from 1994 onwards. In the years before 1994 some smaller surveys have been carried out (Craeymeersch, 1992; Leopold, 1993) and washed-up *Spisula* has been counted (Gmelig Meyling and De Bruyne, 1994) as an indication of the occurrence of offshore banks. These data, added with the fact that *Spisula* fisheries have been active since 1985, show that *Spisula subtruncata* was abundant along the Dutch coast at least from 1985 onwards.

The RIVO data set distinguishes 0-year and adult *Spisula* based on ring-counts on the shells. The 0-year class is the spatfall of the year before sampling. In the IBN-DLO data set, this division was made post hoc on the basis of shell size; animals smaller than 15 mm shell length were considered 0-year specimens. With Inverse Distance Weighting (Shepard, 1968) the original point data

were interpolated, and extrapolated to the line of mean tide height, to yield complete coverage of *Spisula* density (numbers per m²) for the entire Dutch coastal zone. The amount of overlap between nourished areas and *Spisula* banks proved to be highly variable in space and time. *Spisula* banks occur at least down to the -20 m NAP depth contour and up to the surf zone where nourishments take place. Therefore, the *Spisula* density was determined for:

- (1) The location of the nourishment itself (see Fig. 3). Sampling did not in all cases take place close enough inshore to cover the nourishment locations. This implies that the resulting distribution is based on extrapolation.
- (2) An extended location consisting of the nourishment location extended down to the -20 m NAP depth line. In this way *Spisula* abundance in the nourished coastal section is represented. This extension was not carried out for locations in the Voordelta-area where tidal channels are close to the shore.

For each year between 1994 and 2005 the average density of 0-year and adult *Spisula* was determined for each of the 38 shoreface nourishment locations and their extended locations. The temporal development of *Spisula* was then analysed and compared with the year of the shoreface nourishment to search for possible strong shifts in abundance.

3 Results

3.1 Regional *Spisula* occurrence

Figure 4 shows the biomass of adult *Spisula* and the coincidence with nourished volumes for four regions along the Dutch coast. This figure shows a similar relationship as Fig. 1; *Spisula* biomass decreased when shoreface nourishment volumes increased.

Along the Wadden coast, the first nourishment since the pilot experiment in 1993 was carried out off the coast of Ameland oost in 1998. This 2.5 Mm³ nourishment is likely to have had local effects, but our data show that in later years *Spisula* biomass along the Wadden coast remained high. Our data further show that the high 2001 biomass resulted from a successful recruitment in 2000, which occurred off the Wadden islands Ameland and Schiermonnikoog. In 2001 a 1 Mm³ nourishment took place in the Wadden region. The next year, the adult biomass decreased by 85%. However, this nourishment was carried out off the island of Vlieland, where along its entire coast *Spisula* was never abundant. Direct effects of the nourishment are thus excluded. Indirect effects affecting an area of approximately 300 km² at a distance of 50 km away are considered highly unlikely, since earlier nourishments in 1993 and 1998 did not

have similar effects off the Wadden coast. In 2002 a 5.4 Mm³ nourishment was applied at Texel, far away from the *Spisula* banks of Ameland and Schiermonnikoog, which were still present, but at lower densities. In later years, *Spisula* gradually disappeared.

Along the Noord-Holland coast, nourishments have been applied each year starting in 1998. The results of the 1998 *Spisula* survey showed a very low biomass compared to 1997. Still, the 1998 Noordwijk nourishment took place in an area with one of the few *Spisula* banks in this section, and most likely has had effects, Fig. 5. However, the bulk of *Spisula* in 1997 was found off Petten approximately 10 km offshore. This offshore bank, situated at more than 50 km distance from the Noordwijk nourishment location had disappeared in 1998, Fig. 4, but given the large distance to the nourishment site, a causal relationship seems unlikely. In 1999 and 2000 nourishments were carried out in Egmond and Bergen aan Zee, much closer to the Petten *Spisula* bank. In 2001, *Spisula* numbers off Petten again reached high values, resulting from the successful recruitment of 2000. Therefore any possible effects of the nourishments do not seem to be permanent. In 2002, two nourishments were applied at Noordwijkerhout and Camperduin. The *Spisula* banks were still found offshore at Petten, but their biomass decreased and subsequently they disappeared during a period in which nourishments continued.

The first Zuid-Holland coast nourishment was applied in autumn 1997 at Ter Heijde. In that year, *Spisula* biomass along the Zuid-Holland coast was relatively high due to a successful recruitment in 1996, but was concentrated in the northern part of Zuid-Holland, away from Ter Heijde. Similar to the events in Noord-Holland, *Spisula* in 1998 declined, recovered in 2001 and then almost disappeared.

The first Voordelta coast nourishments were applied in 1987 and 1991 at Kop van Schouwen. They were followed by nourishments in 2000 and 2001 off the land reclamation Maasvlakte. In the meantime, *Spisula* reached high biomass in 1996 and 1997, but since then it has almost disappeared.

3.2 Local *Spisula* occurrence

Figure 5 presents the *Spisula* densities for 0-year and adult specimens for a selection of eight out of 38 nourishment locations (cf. method 1), presented in chronological order of nourishment occasions. Their locations are depicted in Fig. 3. Complete data for all locations (for methods 1 and 2) are presented in Tables 2 and 3. *Spisula subtruncata* can be found locally in dense banks, and densities over 50 per m² are typified as 'banks'.

Terschelling 1993. In the years following the nourishment in 1993 a recovery of *Spisula* has occurred, however, *Spisula* abundance has been greatly reduced since 2004. This shoreface nourishment of 2 Mm³ was applied over a length of approximately 4 km in between two breaker banks at a depth of -5 to -8

m NAP, at a distance of 800-1400 m from the beach pole line (Van Dalfsen and Essink, 1997). At that time there was a large *Spisula* bank present off the Terschelling coast. This bank stretched out over a length of about 20 km. The highest densities ($>1000 \text{ m}^{-2}$) were found at approximately 2 to 4 km from the coast, at depths of -9 to -15 m NAP (Leopold, 1996). The shoreface nourishment was, therefore, not applied in the area with the highest densities, and has only buried *Spisula* at the landward fringe of the bank.

Ter Heijde 1997. *Spisula* did occur here in the early 1990s, but was no longer present at the time of the nourishment.

Noordwijk 1998. This 1.3 Mm^3 nourishment was applied on top of a *Spisula*-bank according to our interpolated data. Exact data on this are not available, since direct monitoring of *Spisula* on-site has not been carried out. *Spisula* did not recover in the years following this nourishment.

Ameland oost 1998. This 2.5 Mm^3 nourishment was applied at a depth of -5 to -7 m NAP, just outside the surf zone (Steijn, 2005). The surveys in 1998 show highest densities of *Spisula* at depths of -6 m NAP and deeper. The nourishment was located on the landward side of the *Spisula* habitat, but must have covered part of it. A recovery has been observed, based on a successful spatfall in 1999. However, since 2003, the *Spisula* bank no longer exists. Our results furthermore show that in the 1990s, *Spisula* banks were located further away from the coast than in later years.

Bergen aan Zee 2000. This 1 Mm^3 nourishment was located in an area with high densities of 0-year *Spisula*, which stretched further offshore as well, according to our interpolated data. In 2001 high densities of adult *Spisula* were observed, but these gradually disappeared in later years.

Groote Keeten - Zwanenwater 2003. This 2.57 Mm^3 nourishment was located in an area where high densities of *Spisula* were found until 2001. *Spisula* therefore had disappeared before the nourishment was applied.

Texel Molengat 2003. This area contained very rich *Spisula* banks in 1998 and 1999. These were heavily fished (Leopold, 1999) and have disappeared by 2000, before the nourishment was applied.

Texel De Slufter 2004. At this location rich *Spisula* banks in 1998 and 1999 were found. *Spisula* had disappeared well before the nourishment was applied.

4 Discussion

4.1 Implications to Common Scoters

There has been a general increase of numbers of Common Scoters wintering along the east Atlantic coast in the early 1990s, followed by a decline (ICES, 2005). This decline was marked in the Netherlands and Belgium where the diet

was mainly *Spisula subtruncata*, which also declined in abundance (Degraer et al., 2007; Goudswaard et al., 2008). Numbers of Common Scoters did not decline in France, where *Spisula* probably was far less important, but numbers did decline sharply in northwest Portugal, where their diet is presently unknown (ICES, 2005). The decreasing trend in numbers of Common Scoters in The Netherlands since the 1990s (Fig. 2) is attributed to the declining availability of their staple food source, *Spisula* (Leopold et al., 1995; Leopold, 1996, 1999; Fox, 2003; ICES, 2005). The distribution of Common Scoters in the coastal zone of The Netherlands used to be determined by the presence of dense banks of *Spisula*. In 1987 the majority of Scoters was present along the Zuid-Holland coast north of Noordwijk. From 1991 to 1993 large groups were first found along Schiermonnikoog, but gradually they shifted towards Ameland and Terschelling (Leopold, 1996). Here, *Spisula*-banks were present but they were heavily fished, which resulted in Scoters leaving for the Noord-Holland coast, off Petten (Leopold, 1996). In the following years, 1994 and 1995, Common Scoters flew back and forth between Ameland/Terschelling and Noord-Holland, but now both areas were fished. The severe winters of 1995/1996 and 1996/1997 brought ice-cover in the coastal waters north of the Wadden-islands and a large mortality of *Spisula* and other benthic macrofauna (Armonies et al., 2001). The scoters moved to Noord-Holland again. In 1999 the densest bank of *Spisula* was located offshore of southwest Texel. This bank was fished away in May 1999 (Leopold, 1999) and did not recover. The scoters retreated to their favorite areas Terschelling and Ameland, where the last banks of *Spisula* were present. Nowadays, *Spisula* has (almost) disappeared and this clearly affects the coastal population of Common Scoters. Although scoters can feed on *Ensis americanus* as an alternative food source, these bivalve molluscs are apparently an inferior prey (Leopold and Wolf, 2003; Wolf and Meininger, 2004; Skov et al., 2008), because of their awkward shape and their longer handling time.

4.2 The decline of *Spisula*

If shoreface nourishments have not caused the decline of *Spisula*, and subsequently scoters, what then was the cause? As with many other benthic species in the marine environment, the spatial and temporal distribution and abundance of *Spisula* is highly variable (Hagmeier, 1930; Degraer, 1999; Degraer et al., 2007). Cardoso et al. (2007) suggest that the current low population size is due to unsuccessful settlement and/or severe predation by benthic predators during the first months of life. One of the factors that influences the settlement success is the wind direction and force during the pelagic larval phase, which for *Spisula* lasts one month (Cardoso et al., 2007). The resulting hydrographic patterns determine where the postlarval stage ends up, so where the spatfall (settlement of shellfish larvae on or in the substrate) takes place (Belgrano

et al., 1995; Armonies et al., 2001; Ellien et al., 2004). If spatfall takes place in a favourable environment, a new *Spisula* bank may be formed. Craeymeersch and Perdon (2006) tried to link data on wind direction and wind force to the reproduction success of North Sea *Spisula subtruncata*, but did not find a good correlation.

During the life cycle of bivalves, many other factors play a role as well. The presence, or rather absence, of dense banks of adult specimens in the neighbourhood may be a limiting factor, as was found for *Mya truncata* in the Frisian Front (Amaro et al., 2003). *Macoma balthica* in the adjacent Wadden Sea, has been hit by climate change that led to a decrease in reproductive success, due to a shortage of food for larvae and an increased predation by shrimp after mild winters (Philippart et al., 2003; Strasser et al., 2003; Bos et al., 2006). Climate change can also lead to adult mortality as a result of thermal stress and poor physiological condition, as was observed for *Spisula solidissima solidissima* (Weinberg, 2005).

We observed that successful spatfall of *Spisula* does not occur yearly, Fig. 6. The last successful recruitment in the Voordelta occurred in 1995. Along the Zuid-Holland coast, recruitment in 1996 was followed by a smaller recruitment in 2000. Recruitment in 2000 was better along the Noord-Holland and best along the Wadden coasts. But 2000 was the last successful recruitment for *Spisula* anywhere in The Netherlands.

Since *Spisula* is a short-lived species (approximately 4 years), the absence of a successful recruitment after 2000 is disturbing. It might be that spatfall has occurred in the meantime, but was preyed upon by the Brown shrimp *Crangon crangon* (Beukema and Dekker, 2005). Data on shrimp densities along the Dutch coast show no trend for the Holland coast and indeed an increasing trend for the Wadden coast (Henk Heessen - IMARES, pers. com.). In recent years spatfall of *Ensis americanus* has been very successful, despite shrimp predation, but there is not enough knowledge on the biology of shellfish larvae to explain possible differences. Based on Fig. 6 one might suspect a northern shift in the distribution of *Spisula*. Notably the 0-year distribution in 2000 shows a distinct northern direction. The mean sea water temperature in the North Sea has risen. Possibly *Spisula subtruncata* does not show a bathymetric shift in response to warming, as does *Spisula solidissima solidissima* (Weinberg, 2005), but a northerly shift. Unfortunately, recent data on the presence of *Spisula subtruncata* in German waters is lacking (Laursen and Essink, 2005; ICES, 2005), but scoters off the Danish coast apparently still feed on *Spisula* (Skov et al., 2008).

Since 2004, large adult *Spisula*-banks have been absent in the Dutch coastal zone. *Spisula* does not suffer from reproductive failure (Cardoso et al., 2007) and there might still be a viable, but low density population left. In combination with correct meteorological and hydrodynamical circumstances, a successful spatfall might re-occur, but there is no telling when, in the near or distant future, this might happen. An interesting observation is that the numbers of European Otter Clam *Lutraria lutraria* in Dutch coastal waters

is increasing since the beginning of this century. The estimated biomass (in fresh weight) for *Lutraria* in 2008 was approximately ten times higher than for *Spisula* (Goudswaard et al., 2008). Their biomass should would need to increase by 10-fold to reach the biomass of *Spisula* of the 1990s. Future studies need to establish whether *Lutraria* can serve as an alternative food source for wintering scoters.

5 Conclusion

High density *Spisula* banks were found along the Dutch coast in the 1990s. Since 2001, *Spisula* recruitment has failed and its abundance has been declining sharply. Although shoreface nourishment volumes have been increasing since that time, we cannot state that there is a causal relationship. It seems that the decline was already on-going at the time of the nourishments.

The last good recruitment of *Spisula* in the Voordelta occurred in 1995, without any nourishment at that time in this area. The first shoreface nourishment of 1993 only had local effects and occurred in the north of the country, where *Spisula* populations persisted for longest periods. The decline of *Spisula* appeared to have happened first in the south, and last in the north of The Netherlands, and does not seem to be correlated with the nourishments that took place locally. At some locations a recovery of *Spisula* was observed after nourishment took place, such as Ameland 1998 and Terschelling 1993. At other locations the shoreface nourishment seems to be the primary cause for the decline, such as Noordwijk 1998, but assessed in a wider perspective, the declining trend was present at more locations. Moreover, most shoreface nourishments are applied in the morphodynamically active surf zone, whereas *Spisula* is found further seaward. In conclusion, other causes, such as climate change, fisheries, unsuccessful settlement or predation of spatfall are more likely behind the decline of *Spisula* along the Dutch coast and nourishments may have played only an additional role.

The numbers of Common Scoters have declined in recent years, as well. This seems primarily the result of the declining availability of their main food source, *Spisula*. Because we cannot link the decline of *Spisula* to the shoreface nourishments, we do not think any direct or indirect effects of the shoreface nourishments can be held responsible for the observed decline of the population of Common Scoters in The Netherlands.

6 Acknowledgments

Our sincere thanks go to Elze Dijkman, Jenny Cremer and Alexander Bosma (IMARES-Texel) for their GIS-analyses. We thank Johan Craeymeersch, Jack Perdon and Joke Kesteloo-Hendrikse (IMARES-Yerseke) for providing data on *Spisula*. This project was committed and supervised by Ilse Jonker, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management/RIKZ.

References

- Amaro, T., Duineveld, G., Bergman, M., Witbaard, R., 2003. Growth variations in the bivalve *Mya truncata*: a tool to trace changes in the Frisian Front macrofauna (southern North Sea)? Helgoland Marine Research 57, 132–158.
- Armonies, W., Herre, E., Sturm, M., 2001. Effects of the severe winter 1995/96 on the benthic macrofauna of the Wadden Sea and the coastal North Sea near the island of Sylt. Helgoland Marine Research 55 (3), 170–175.
- Baptist, M. J., Van Dalfsen, J. A., Weber, A., Passchier, S., Van Heteren, S., 2006. The distribution of macrozoobenthos in the southern North Sea in relation to meso-scale bedforms. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 68, 538–546.
- Belgrano, A., Legendre, P., Dewarumez, J.-P., Frontier, S., 1995. Spatial structure and ecological variation of meroplankton on the Belgian-Dutch coast of the North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 128, 51–59.
- Beukema, J. J., Dekker, R., 2005. Decline of recruitment success in cockles and other bivalves in the wadden sea: possible role of climate change, predation on postlarvae and fisheries. Marine Ecology Progress Series 287, 149–167.
- Bos, O. G., Philippart, C. J. M., Cadée, G. C., Van der Meer, J., 2006. Recruitment variation in *Macoma balthica*: a laboratory examination of the match/mismatch hypothesis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 320, 207–214.
- Cardoso, J. F. M. F., Witte, J. I., Van der Veer, H. W., 2007. Growth and reproduction of the bivalve *Spisula subtruncata* (da Costa) in Dutch coastal waters. Journal of Sea Research 57 (4), 316–324.
- Craeymeersch, J. A., Perdon, J., 2006. De halfgeknotte strandschelp *Spisula subtruncata* in de Nederlandse kustwateren in 2005. Tech. Rep. C036/06 (in Dutch), National Institute of Fisheries Research.
- Craeymeersch, J. A., 1992. Het macrozoobenthos in the Haringvlietbuitendelta. Onderzoek naar de effecten van de aanleg van de grootschalige locatie voor de berging van baggerspecie 1986-1990. Tech. Rep. 1992-01, 46 p. (in Dutch), Nederlands Instituut voor Oecologisch Onderzoek.
- Degraer, S., Meire, P., Vincx, M., 2007. Spatial distribution, population dy-

- namics and productivity of *Spisula subtruncata*: implications for *Spisula* fisheries in seaduck wintering areas. Marine Biology 152 (4), 863–875.
- Degraer, S., 1999. Macrobenthos of shallow marine habitats (Belgian coast) and its use in coastal zone management. Ph.D. thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Gent.
- Ellien, C., Thibaut, E., Dumas, F., Salomon, J.-C., Nival, P., 2004. A modelling study of the respective role of hydrodynamic processes and larval mortality on larval dispersal and recruitment of benthic invertebrates: example of *Pectinaria koreni* (Annelida: Polychaeta) in the Bay of Seine (English Channel). Journal of Plankton Research 26 (2), 117–132.
- Fox, A. D., 2003. Diet and habitat use of scoters *Melanitta* in the Western Palearctic - a brief overview. Wildfowl 54, 163–182.
- Gmelig Meyling, A. W., De Bruyne, A. W., 1994. Zicht op zee: waarnemingen van veranderingen in de nabije kustzone door strandmonitoring met strandwachten. Tech. Rep. 79 p. (in Dutch), Stichting Anemoon.
- Goudswaard, P. C., Kesteloo, J. J., Perdon, K. J., Jansen, J. M., 2008. Mesheften (*Ensis directus*), halfgeknotte strandschelpen (*Spisula subtruncata*), kokkels (*Cerastoderma edule*) en otterschelpen (*Lutraria lutraria*) in de Nederlandse kustwateren in 2008. Tech. Rep. C069/08, 27 p. (in Dutch), Wageningen IMARES.
- Grunnet, N. M., Ruessink, B. G., 2005. Morphodynamic response of nearshore bars to a shoreface nourishment. Coastal Engineering 52, 119–137.
- Hagmeier, A., 1930. Eine Fluktuation von *Mactra (Spisula) subtruncata* da Costa an der Ostfriesischen Küste. Ber. dr. dt. wiss. Kommn. Meeresforsch. N.F. 5, 126–155.
- ICES, 2005. Report of the working group on sea-bird ecology (WGSE), 29 March - 1 April 2005, Texel, The Netherlands. Tech. Rep. ICES CM 2005/G:07, 49 p.
- Klein, M., 2005. Modelling rhythmic morphology in the surf zone. Ph.D. thesis, Delft University of Technology.
- Laursen, K., Essink, K., 2005. Offshore area. In: Essink, K., Dettmann, C., Farke, H., Laursen, K., Lüerschen, G., Marencic, H., Wiersinga, W. (Eds.), Wadden Sea Quality Status Report 2004. Wadden Sea Ecosystem. Vol. 19. Common Wadden Sea Secretariat (CWSS), Wilhelmshaven, Germany, p. 266.
- Leopold, M. F., Baptist, H. M. J., Wolf, P. A., Offringa, H., 1995. De Zwarte zeeëend *Melanitta nigra* in Nederland. Limosa 68, 49–64.
- Leopold, M. F., Wolf, P., 2003. Zee-eenden eten ook Ensis. Nieuwsbrief Nederlandse Zeevogelgroep 4 (3), 5 (in Dutch).
- Leopold, M. F., 1993. *Spisula*'s, zee-eenden en kokkelvisser: een nieuw milieuprobleem op de Noordzee. Sula 7 (1), 24–28 (in Dutch).
- Leopold, M. F., 1996. *Spisula subtruncata* als voedselbron voor zee-eenden in Nederland. Tech. Rep. BEON 96-2 (in Dutch), IBN-DLO.
- Leopold, M. F., 1999. *Spisula*-visseren vissen wat ze vissen kunnen in mei 1999: nog steeds geen overheidsbeleid. Nieuwsbrief Nederlandse Zeevogel-

- groep 1 (1), 5–6 (in Dutch).
- Philippart, C. J. M., Van Aken, H. M., Beukema, J. J., Bos, O. G., Cadée, G. C., Dekker, R., 2003. Climate-related changes in recruitment of the bivalve *Macoma balthica*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 48, 2171–2185.
- Roelse, P., 2002. Water en zand in balans; Evaluatie zandsuppleties na 1990, een morfologische beschouwing. Tech. Rep. RIKZJ2002.003 (in Dutch), Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management/RIKZ.
- Shepard, D., 1968. A two-dimensional interpolation function for irregularly-spaced data. In: 23rd ACM national conference. ACM, pp. 517–524.
- Skov, H., Durinck, J., Erichsen, A., Kloster, R. M., Mohlenberg, F., Leonhard, S. B., 2008. Horns Rev II Offshore Wind Farm Food Basis for Common Scoter; Baseline Studies 2007-08. Tech. Rep. 132-07.1007, 46 p., Orbicon A/S and DHI.
- Speybroeck, J., Bonte, D., Courtens, W., Gheschiere, T., Grootaert, P., Maelfait, J.-P., Mathys, M., Provoost, S., Sabbe, K., Stienen, E. W. M., Van Lancker, V., Vincx, M., Degraer, S., 2006. Beach nourishment: an ecologically sound coastal defence alternative? a review. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 16, 419–435.
- Steijn, R., 2005. Effectiviteit van vooroeversuppleties langs de Waddenkust; Aanzet tot ontwerprichtlijnen voor het ontwerp van vooroeversuppleties. Tech. Rep. A1539 (in Dutch), Alkyon.
- Strasser, M., Dekker, R., Essink, K., Günther, C. P., Jaklin, S., Kröncke, I., Madsen, P. B., Michaelis, H., Vedel, G., 2003. How predictable is high bivalve recruitment in the Wadden Sea after a severe winter? *Journal of Sea Research* 49 (1), 47–57.
- Tydemann, P., 1994. Risk analysis of coastal nourishment techniques (RIACON). De effecten op de bodemfauna van vooroeversuppletie boven het eiland Terschelling, in de Nederlandse Waddenzee. Rapport 2: De toestand van de bodemfauna in november 1993 kort nadat lokaal de suppletielaag is aangebracht. Tech. Rep. RIKZ/OS - 94.625x, 21 p. (in Dutch), Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management/RIKZ.
- Van Dalfsen, J. A., Essink, K., 1997. RIACON - Risk Analysis of Coastal Nourishment Techniques, National Evaluation Report (The Netherlands). Tech. Rep. RIKZ-97.022, 98 p., Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management/RIKZ.
- Van Dalfsen, J. A., Oosterbaan, J., 1996. Risk analysis of coastal nourishment techniques (RIACON). the effects on benthic fauna of shoreface-nourishment off the island of Terschelling, The Netherlands. Report 6: Post-Nourishment survey, April 1995. Tech. Rep. RIKZ/OS-96.605x, 34 p., Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management/RIKZ.
- Van Duin, M. J. P., Wiersma, N. R., Walstra, D. J. R., Van Rijn, L. C., Stive,

- 1
2
3
4
457 M. J. F., 2004. Nourishing the shoreface: observations and hindcasting of
458 the Egmond case, The Netherlands. *Coastal Engineering* 51, 818–837.
459 Weinberg, J. R., 2005. Bathymetric shift in the distribution of Atlantic sur-
460 fclams: response to warmer ocean temperature. *ICES Journal of Marine*
461 *Science* 62, 1444–1453.
462 Wolf, P. A., Meininger, P. L., 2004. Zeeën van zee-eenden bij de Brouwersdam.
463 *Nieuwsbrief Nederlandse Zeevogelgroep* 5 (2), 1–2.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1. Total number of adult *Spisula subtruncata* (solid line, left axis) and the nourished volume of sand (bars, right axis) for the entire Dutch coast. Number of nourishments per year above the bars. Data courtesy of the National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management..

Figure 2. Winter maxima of abundance of Common Scoter (*Melanitta nigra*) along the entire Dutch coast. Data courtesy of the National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management / RIKZ, IMARES, NIOZ and Dutch Seabird Group.

Figure 3. Locations of the shoreface nourishments along the Dutch Coast. Large labels denote the eight nourishment locations discussed in the text and small labels (underlined) denote regional names used in the text. NAP is Dutch Ordnance Level.

Figure 4. Biomass of adult *Spisula* combined with nourished volumes in four sections of the Dutch coast. Numbers denote nourished volumes in Mm^3 . The surface area of the circles scales with the size of the volume or biomass.

Figure 5. Estimated *Spisula* density for 0-year and adult age classes in nourishment locations. A white, thin bar represents the year of the shoreface nourishment, 'n.d.' means 'no data'. The Terschelling nourishment took place in 1993 (off scale). These eight examples are scattered over the total time-frame of this study and over a large part of the Dutch coast.

Figure 6. Biomass of 0-year and adult age classes of *Spisula* in four main sections of the Dutch coast. Numbers given denote biomass of fresh weight of adults in ktons (10^6 kg).

Table 1. Shoreface nourishments in The Netherlands. Data from Roelse (2002), Steijn (2005) and Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management. Beach Pole denotes the section along the beach where the nourishment took place. NAP is Dutch Ordnance Level.

Table 2. *Spisula* abundance at nourishment locations with area in hectares, as calculated from interpolated data. Nourishment locations that were used in multiple years and overlap almost completely were combined to one (n.d. – no data).

Table 3. *Spisula* abundance at extended (down to -20 m depth contour if possible) nourishment locations, with area in hectares, as calculated from interpolated data (n.d. – no data).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure5b

Figure 6

Table 1

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Nourishment location	Beach Pole	Period (month year)	Size (Mm ³) Type	Depth (m NAP)
1 Cadzand west	13.3-14.3	Oct-Nov 1990	0.32 Channel slope + beach	to -8
2 Cadzand west	13.3-14.3	1988	0.85 Channel slope + beach	to -8
3 Tienhonderdpolder	10.4-11.1	Nov-Dec 1990	unknown	-5 to -7
4 Groede	5.3-6.02	Sep-Nov 1989	0.44 Channel slope + beach	to -8
5 Vlissingen 1952	34-34.4	Apr-Nov 1952	0.05 Channel slope	-2 to -3
6 Vlissingen 1966	34-34.4	Jan-Mar 1966	0.032 Channel slope	-2 to -5
8 Kop van Schouwen	13.2-15.6	apr-jun 1987	1.83 Channel slope + beach	to -10
9 Kop van Schouwen	13.2-15.6	1991	2.67 Channel slope + beach	to -10
10 Maasvlakte	6.41-10.54	Aug-oct 2000	1.1 Shoreface	-4 to -8
11 Maasvlakte	6-10.02	Nov 2001-Jan 2002	1 Shoreface	-5 to -7
12 Ter Heijde	113.15-114.85	Aug-Nov 1997	1.03 Shoreface	-5 to -8
13 Monster	108-113	Jan-Dec 2005	1 Shoreface	-5 to -7
14 Kijkduin - Ter Heijde	107.4-112.5	Mar-Nov 2001	3.58 Shoreface	-5 to -7
15 Scheveningen	97.73-100.5	Feb-Jun 1999	1.43 Shoreface	-5 to -8
16 Wassenaar	91-97	Feb-Dec 2002	3 Shoreface	-5 to -7
17 Katwijk	87.5-89.5	Sep 1998-Feb 1999	0.75 Shoreface	-5 to -7
18 Noordwijk	80.5-83.5	Jan-Apr 1998	1.27 Shoreface	-5 to -7
19 Noordwijkerhout	73-80	Apr-Dec 2002	3 Shoreface	-5 to -7
20 Zandvoort zuid	65.75-67.75	Oct-Dec 2004	0.9 Shoreface	-5 to -7
21 Zandvoort noord	62.75-65.75	Nov 2004 - Jan 2005	Shoreface	-5 to -7
22 Egmond	36.9-39.1	Jun-Sep 1999	0.88 Shoreface	-5 to -8
23 Egmond	36.2-40.2	Jun-Nov 2004	1.6 Shoreface	-5 to -7
24 Bergen aan Zee	32.25-34.25	Apr-Aug 2000	1 Shoreface	-5 to -7
25 Bergen aan Zee	31.5-35	Jan-Dec 2005	1.5 Shoreface	-5 to -7
26 Camperduin	26.5-30	Jun-Oct 2002	2 Shoreface	-5 to -7
27 Groote Keeten - Zwanenwater	10-16	Feb-May 2003	2.57 Shoreface	-5 to -7
28 Callantsoog	11.08-14.01	Jun-Oct 2001	1.5 Shoreface	-3 to -6
29 Groote Keeten	9.13-9.43	Aug 2003	0.012 Shoreface	-5 to -7
30 Texel Molengat	9-11.48	Feb-Aug 2003	1.2 Channel slope	-3 to -6
31 Texel midden	14-17.5	Jan-Dec 2005	2.6 Shoreface	-5 to -7
32 Texel De Koog	17-23	Mar-Nov 2002	5.4 Shoreface	-6 to -9
33 Texel De Slufter	25.1-27.9	Jun-Sep 2004	2.4 Shoreface	-5 to -7
34 Vlieland midden	46.2-48.5	Apr-Aug 2001	1 Shoreface	-5 to -6
35 Vlieland oost	48.8-50	Jan-Dec 2005	1 Shoreface	-5 to -7
36 Terschelling midden	13.7-18.1	Apr-Nov 1993	2 Shoreface	-5 to -7
37 Ameland midden	9.4-13.7	Jul-Nov 2003	1.43 Shoreface	-3 to -5
38 Ameland oost	13-21	Apr-Sep 1998	2.5 Shoreface	-5 to -7

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Nourishment location	ha.	age	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Cadzand west	87	0-yr	0	114.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0.1	0	0
		ad.	4.7	3.3	18	17.7	0.7	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tienhonderdpolder	18.6	0-yr	0	244	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0
		ad.	4.9	3.1	18.8	25.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Groede	52.7	0-yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vlissingen	8.8	0-yr	n.d.	0	n.d.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		ad.	n.d.	0	n.d.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kop van Schouwen	181.7	0-yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maasvlakte	147.6	0-yr	36.3	0.7	0.5	1.5	0.1	6.7	0.1	0.8	0	0.2	0.3	0
		ad.	0.9	4.8	0.1	0.6	0.9	0.1	0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5
Ter Heijde	168.9	0-yr	1764.6	0.3	7.9	1.5	0.9	0.1	n.d.	0	0	2.8	0	0
		ad.	8	84.9	0	0.4	0.8	0.5	n.d.	0	0	0	0.3	0
Monster	213.2	0-yr	0.4	0.1	11.8	3.7	8.5	0.1	2.1	0.3	0	3.6	0.5	0
		ad.	0.1	0.8	0.1	1.1	4.1	0.4	0.1	0	0	0	1.2	0
Kijkduin-Ter Heijde	165.7	0-yr	1.4	0.5	3.8	3.8	8.2	0	2.1	0.3	0	2.7	0.6	0
		ad.	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.9	3.9	0.3	0.1	0	0	0	1.2	0
Scheveningen	91	0-yr	4.3	9.6	3.1	1.7	0.3	0	0.5	0.3	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	0.5	1.7	6.6	3.5	0.2	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
Wassenaar	199.8	0-yr	0	4.4	0.6	0.2	1.6	0	0	0	0	0.2	0	0
		ad.	1.7	1.2	2.3	5.6	3.6	0.9	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0
Katwijk	128.3	0-yr	1.3	3.8	0	5.6	0	0	0.9	0.3	0	0.2	0.8	0
		ad.	0	0.7	2.7	1.4	4.9	1.4	0.2	0.1	0	0	0.4	0
Noordwijk	210	0-yr	3.8	0.2	0.4	1	0	0.2	18.6	0.1	0	1.5	0	0
		ad.	3	8	0.3	5.1	286.7	10.3	0	5.6	2	0.2	0.4	0.2
Noordwijkerhout	222.2	0-yr	5.6	3.3	0.6	0	1.7	0	20.3	0.1	0	1.2	0	0
		ad.	1.2	4	5.8	1.9	12.8	10.5	0	12.1	0.9	0.5	0.2	0
Zandvoort zuid	198	0-yr	1.4	0.1	0	0	0	0	17.3	2.6	0	0.1	0.1	0
		ad.	0	0.2	0.8	0	1.1	0.5	0	15.6	0.1	0	0.1	0.3
Zandvoort noord	151.5	0-yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.7	0.4	0	0.2	0.3	0
		ad.	0	0.2	0.6	0.2	4.5	1.1	0	12.3	0	0	0.4	1.3
Egmond	64.7	0-yr	12.9	6.1	0	0	4.3	5.1	19.8	40.2	0.4	0.3	5.5	0
		ad.	17.2	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.1	1.7	0.2	52.7	2.3	0.4	2.8	1.8
Bergen aan Zee	80	0-yr	0	18.4	0.4	0	275.6	3.2	312.6	9.9	0	1.4	0.5	0.1
		ad.	0.5	25.4	48.6	3.1	263	18.6	0	29.4	4.7	0.3	7.5	3.2
Bergen aan Zee	170.4	0-yr	0	16.9	0.3	0	267	3.4	315.6	9.4	0	1.6	0.5	0.1
		ad.	0.4	21.8	47.6	2.9	268	18.6	0	28.1	4.7	0.4	7.4	3.2
Camperduin	96.1	0-yr	28	36.5	0	0	37.6	0	105.9	7.4	0.1	12.7	0.3	0
		ad.	3.5	57.4	107.5	6.9	98	7.8	0.4	43	2.6	4	2.6	0.4
Groote Keeten-Zwanenwater	300	0-yr	0	43.2	20.6	0	88.5	84.3	377.3	25.6	0	5	0.3	0.2
		ad.	0.1	12.4	0.4	2.4	740.9	77.4	1.4	20.2	0.2	0	2.5	0.2
Callantsoog	90.8	0-yr	0	40.7	9.8	0	82.6	77.6	327.8	22.1	0	3.7	0.2	0.1
		ad.	0.1	11.9	0.6	2.5	683.4	72.3	1	19.7	0.3	0	1.8	0.2
Groote Keeten	22.5	0-yr	0	4	12.2	0	8.3	7.8	64.7	3	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	2.8	1.6	2.6	48.8	4	0	14.8	0.8	0	0	0
Texel Molengat	61.3	0-yr	0	263.7	n.d.	0.1	125.7	0	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
		ad.	33.1	0.2	n.d.	4.3	692.3	554.9	0.1	1.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	0
Texel midden	109.9	0-yr	0	266.4	n.d.	0.2	238.6	0	2.3	2.6	0	0	0	0
		ad.	32.7	0.7	n.d.	4.7	1293.8	649.7	0.2	1	0.9	0.1	0.1	0
Texel De Koog	340.3	0-yr	0	5.6	0.6	0	3.4	0	14.4	0	0.1	0.2	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0.1	0.3	11.4	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.3	0	0
Texel De Slufter	154	0-yr	1.3	48.4	157.8	0.2	48.6	0.2	5.8	2.9	0.2	0.1	0	0
		ad.	0	0.4	7.9	4.9	1466.9	369.6	0.7	5	5.8	0.2	0.1	0
Vlieland midden	155.4	0-yr	0	73.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		ad.	0.1	0.3	0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vlieland oost	30.4	0-yr	0	2	n.d.	0	0	0	0	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
		ad.	0	0	n.d.	0	0	0	0	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Terschelling midden	212.5	0-yr	0.3	150.1	49.2	148.8	2.9	130.8	51.9	37.6	0.1	0	0.5	0
		ad.	19.3	103.7	11.3	1.6	17.3	6.8	0.7	16.6	25.1	3.5	2.2	0.7
Ameland midden	231.6	0-yr	0.1	18.2	13.8	341.2	0.2	493.3	14.6	2	0	0	0	0
		ad.	71.3	4.4	4	8.5	35.3	0.3	0.7	85.1	4.7	2.2	0.2	0
Ameland oost	368.9	0-yr	0	14.5	0.5	582.4	0	66.8	418.7	0.7	0.2	0	0	0
		ad.	0.4	1.3	2.3	2.2	143.5	1.6	3.2	56.2	67.3	6	2.2	0.2

Table 3

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Nourishment location	ha	age	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Maasvlakte	2813.9	0 yr.	15.9	97.3	36.5	0.2	0	1.2	0	0.1	0	1.4	0.6	0
		ad.	0.2	3.9	42.8	35.9	0.9	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.3	0.4
Ter Heijde	1060.8	0 yr.	242.4	0.2	9.7	0.5	0.4	0	0.1	0	0.4	1.2	1.1	0.1
		ad.	2.5	12.3	0.2	11.8	5.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.8
Monster	3040.4	0 yr.	0	0	24.5	1.9	11.9	0.7	2.1	0.4	1.5	4.3	2.5	0.3
		ad.	0	0.1	0.1	2.8	6.7	0.8	0.1	0.3	0	0.5	1.2	1.7
Kijkduin-Ter Heijde	3239.4	0 yr.	1.2	0.8	20.4	2.2	12.6	0.6	2.1	0.4	1.5	4.3	2.6	0.3
		ad.	1.1	0.1	0.2	2.8	7	0.8	0.1	0.3	0	0.6	1.3	1.7
Scheveningen	2315.6	0 yr.	0.2	1.7	8.8	0.1	1	0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0	0.1
		ad.	0	0	1.4	7.5	2.4	0	0.1	0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
Wassenaar	5604.9	0 yr.	0	2.5	60.3	0.2	3.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.6	1	0	0.2
		ad.	0.1	0.2	4.8	14.5	5.2	0.2	0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1
Katwijk	2705	0 yr.	0.1	13.4	1.4	0.3	0.8	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0
		ad.	18.3	95.1	123.5	1.8	18.9	0.3	0.3	12.3	19.8	0.7	0.7	0.7
Noordwijk	4236.9	0 yr.	0.2	0.2	2	0.5	0	0	7.5	1.2	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
		ad.	0.1	2.3	0.1	1.2	13.7	0.5	0	0.6	1.6	0.1	0.2	0
Noordwijkerhout	10863.2	0 yr.	0.1	0.1	2.8	0	0	0	2.8	4.8	0	0.3	0	0
		ad.	0	0.1	0.2	1.2	0.3	0.2	0	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.1	0
Zandvoort zuid	4862.6	0 yr.	0.1	0	1147.6	0	0.1	0	1.5	0.5	0	0.1	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0.2	2.3	0	0	0	0.8	0.2	0	0	0
Zandvoort noord	3976.7	0 yr.	0	0	4426.4	0	0.1	0	0.6	0.1	0	0.1	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0	9.5	0.2	0	0	0.6	0.4	0	0	0
Egmond	2885.1	0 yr.	2.8	6.4	1.1	0	1.8	1.7	59.3	3.1	0.1	2.3	0.9	0
		ad.	1	21.5	16.4	16.8	0	0.7	0.1	10.2	2	0.7	2.2	1.2
Bergen aan Zee	997.3	0 yr.	0	109.1	0.1	0	33.6	0.3	451.1	3.2	0	11	0.2	0.1
		ad.	3.1	348.8	279.7	27	106.9	1.7	0	78.1	10	5.1	4.5	3.6
Bergen aan Zee	1978.7	0 yr.	0	81.5	0.1	0	35.3	0.3	477	3	0	12.7	0.3	0.1
		ad.	1.8	352	240.2	28.3	108	1.9	0	111.4	38.8	7.7	5.4	3.4
Camperduin	1634.1	0 yr.	38.9	152.8	30.5	0	13.7	0.4	682.5	2.1	0.9	45	0.1	0.1
		ad.	13.6	271.9	247.4	29.6	45.8	1.4	0.1	42.6	12.8	13.7	17	1.6
Groote Keeten-Zwanenwater	4997.1	0 yr.	0.5	2.5	25.2	0	6.4	6.7	24.3	2	0	0.3	0	0
		ad.	0.5	1.3	0.3	2.7	46	4.9	0.1	2.5	0.1	0	0.3	0.1
Callantsoog	2729.4	0 yr.	0.7	1.3	10.7	0	4.4	4.5	16.4	1.4	0	0.2	0	0
		ad.	0.7	1	0	1.8	26.3	2.9	0	1.9	0.2	0	0.2	0.1
Groote Keeten	410.8	0 yr.	0.3	0.2	132.5	0	1.1	0.9	3.7	0.4	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0.3	0.5	0.1	5.4	3.3	0.4	0	4	0.1	0	0	0
Texel Molengat	1600.7	0 yr.	0	347.6	57.7	0.5	45.9	0	16.7	9.7	0	0	0	0
		ad.	2.3	0.6	1.7	14.1	841.1	308.6	1.1	5.6	1.9	0.3	0.2	0
Texel midden	1610	0 yr.	0	284.7	118.8	0.5	62.2	0	20.7	7.6	0	0	0	0
		ad.	3.7	0.9	3.2	12.3	749.3	317.8	0.8	4.3	1.9	0.3	0.2	0
Texel De Koog	3906.6	0 yr.	0	0.4	0.2	0	0.7	0	4.7	0.5	0	0.1	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0	0.1	3.2	1	0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0	0
Texel De Slufter	2155.2	0 yr.	0.1	3.6	10.9	0	5.2	0	5.9	0.5	0	0.1	0	0
		ad.	0.2	0.1	0.7	45.2	124.1	41.6	0.1	1.2	1.6	0.1	0	0
Vlieland midden	2601.9	0 yr.	0	4.5	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vlieland oost	1500.1	0 yr.	0	0	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		ad.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terschelling midden	2794.5	0 yr.	0.4	20.5	41	136.3	1.2	20.7	23.5	57.5	0.1	0	0.4	0
		ad.	14.5	33.8	2.4	0.4	8.1	1.3	0.1	6.1	16	0.5	0.8	0.3
Ameland-midden	2430.1	0 yr.	1.2	1253.9	10.4	723.7	0.3	68.4	25.9	12	0	0.5	0	0.6
		ad.	45.2	196.4	75	9.3	9	0.2	0.1	137.6	18	5.5	3.2	1
Ameland oost	4936.2	0 yr.	2.5	855.2	2.2	271.8	0.3	13.5	77.2	0.5	0.6	0	0.1	0
		ad.	0	0.1	0.2	1.8	0.4	0.1	0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0	0