Charge doping-induced quasiparticle scattering in iron-pnictide superconductors as probed by collective vortex pinning
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Charge doping of iron-pnictide superconductors leads to collective pinning of flux vortices, whereas isovalent doping does not. Moreover, flux pinning in the charge-doped compounds is consistently described by the mean-free path fluctuations introduced by the dopant atoms, allowing for the extraction of the elastic quasiparticle scattering rate. The absence of scattering by dopant atoms in isovalently doped BaFe\textsubscript{2}(As\textsubscript{1−x}P\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2} is consistent with the observation of a linear temperature dependence of the low-temperature penetration depth in this material.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Sv;74.25.Wx;74.62.Dh;74.62.En;74.70.Xa

With the advent of the superconducting iron pnictides \textsuperscript{[7, 8]} and chalcogenides, there are currently two classes of high temperature superconducting materials, the other being the cuprates. In both classes, superconductivity appears upon partial substitution of one or more elements of a magnetic parent material. Further substitution has the critical temperature $T_c$ go through a maximum, and back to zero on the overdoped side of the temperature-composition phase diagram. Pnictides are specific in that this phenomenology may be induced either by charge doping or by isovalent substitutions. Known examples of the latter are the partial replacement of As by P \textsuperscript{[7, 8]}, or Fe by Ru \textsuperscript{[7]} in the BaFe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2} “122” type materials, while charge doping is achieved by replacing O by F in the RBAFeO “1111” type materials (R is a rare earth element) \textsuperscript{[5, 6]}, and Ba by K, or Fe by a transition metal ion in the 122’s \textsuperscript{[11]}. Introduction of either type of substitution causes important changes in band structure \textsuperscript{[7, 8, 10, 11]}, or Fe by Ru \textsuperscript{[9]} in the BaFe\textsubscript{1−x}Co\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{2}, as in hole-doped Ba\textsubscript{1−x}K\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}, the critical current density $j_c$ is consistently described in terms of collective pinning mediated by spatial fluctuations of the quasi-particle mean free path \textsuperscript{[20, 22]}. The impurity density accounting for pinning closely corresponds to the dopant atom concentration. Analysis of $j_c$ allows one to estimate the scattering cross-section and scattering phase angle $\delta_0$ of the defects, which turns out to be best described by the Born limit. On the other hand, isovalently doped BaFe\textsubscript{2}(As\textsubscript{1−x}P\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2} is characterized by a monotonous power–law decrease of $j_c$, as function of magnetic flux density $B$, indicative of pinning solely by nm-scale disorder \textsuperscript{[23]}. Critical current densities of single crystalline PrFeAsO\textsubscript{0.9} (with $T_c \sim 35$ K) \textsuperscript{[23, 24]}, NdFeAsO\textsubscript{0.9}Fe\textsubscript{0.1} (T\textsubscript{c} \sim 36 K) \textsuperscript{[23, 24, 25]}, Ba\textsubscript{0.45}K\textsubscript{0.55}Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2} (T\textsubscript{c} \sim 34 K) \textsuperscript{[23, 33]}, and BaFe\textsubscript{2}(As\textsubscript{1−x}P\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2} \textsuperscript{[20]} were obtained from local measurements of the magnetic flux density perpendicular to the crystal surface, $B_\perp$, and the flux density gradient $dB_\perp/dx$ \textsuperscript{[35, 36]}. Previous work has shown $j_c$ of superconducting iron pnictide crystals to be spatially inhomogeneous \textsuperscript{[23]}. While a global measurement of the average flux density over the crystal surface, or of the magnetic moment of the entire crystal, may result in a spurious temperature dependence $j_c(T)$, local measurements do not have this shortcoming. Local $j_c$ values in applied fields up to 50 mT were obtained from magneto-optical imaging of the flux density \textsuperscript{[23]}. Measurements in fields up to 2 T were performed using micron-sized Hall probe arrays, tailored in a pseudomorphic GaAlAs/GaAs heterostructure \textsuperscript{[26]}. The 10 Hall sensors of the array, spaced by 20 \textmu m, had an active area of 3 × 3 \textmu m\textsuperscript{2}, while an 11th sensor was used for the measurement of the applied field.

In this Letter, we focus on the latter aspect of the problem, and argue that charged dopant atoms act as scattering impurities for quasi-particles, while isovalent substitutions do not. The approach used is that of pinning of the vortex lattice by the impurities. The dimension of the vortex core, of the order of the coherence length $\xi \sim 2$ nm, implies a high sensitivity not only to extrinsic but also to intrinsic disorder in superconductors. Thus, in electron-doped PrFeAsO\textsubscript{1−y}, NdFeAsO\textsubscript{1−x}Fe\textsubscript{x}, and Ba(Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Co\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}, as in hole-doped Ba\textsubscript{1−x}K\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}, $j_c$ is consistently described in terms of collective pinning mediated by spatial fluctuations of the quasi-particle mean free path \textsuperscript{[20, 22]}. The impurity density accounting for pinning closely corresponds to the dopant atom concentration. Analysis of $j_c$ allows one to estimate the scattering cross-section and scattering phase angle $\delta_0$ of the defects, which turns out to be best described by the Born limit. On the other hand, isovalently doped BaFe\textsubscript{2}(As\textsubscript{1−x}P\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2} is characterized by a monotonous power–law decrease of $j_c$, as function of magnetic flux density $B$, indicative of pinning solely by nm-scale disorder \textsuperscript{[23]}. Critical current densities of single crystalline PrFeAsO\textsubscript{0.9} (with $T_c \sim 35$ K) \textsuperscript{[23, 24]}, NdFeAsO\textsubscript{0.9}Fe\textsubscript{0.1} (T\textsubscript{c} \sim 36 K) \textsuperscript{[23, 24, 25]}, Ba\textsubscript{0.45}K\textsubscript{0.55}Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2} (T\textsubscript{c} \sim 34 K) \textsuperscript{[23, 33]}, and BaFe\textsubscript{2}(As\textsubscript{1−x}P\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2} \textsuperscript{[20]} were obtained from local measurements of the magnetic flux density perpendicular to the crystal surface, $B_\perp$, and the flux density gradient $dB_\perp/dx$ \textsuperscript{[35, 36]}. Previous work has shown $j_c$ of superconducting iron pnictide crystals to be spatially inhomogeneous \textsuperscript{[23]}. While a global measurement of the average flux density over the crystal surface, or of the magnetic moment of the entire crystal, may result in a spurious temperature dependence $j_c(T)$, local measurements do not have this shortcoming. Local $j_c$ values in applied fields up to 50 mT were obtained from magneto-optical imaging of the flux density \textsuperscript{[23]}. Measurements in fields up to 2 T were performed using micron-sized Hall probe arrays, tailored in a pseudomorphic GaAlAs/GaAs heterostructure \textsuperscript{[26]}. The 10 Hall sensors of the array, spaced by 20 \textmu m, had an active area of 3 × 3 \textmu m\textsuperscript{2}, while an 11th sensor was used for the measurement of the applied field.

Figure \textsuperscript{[23]} shows hysteresis cycles of the local “self–field” $B_s \equiv B_\perp - \mu_0 H_a$ (where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ Hm\textsuperscript{−1}) versus $H_a$ for a variety of iron pnictide superconductors, at the same reduced temperature $T/T_c = 0.2$. A salient feature
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of the hysteresis loops is the presence of a pronounced peak at small field. In the “1111” family of iron pnictide superconductors \[25\], as in the \(\text{BaFe}(\text{As}_1-x\text{P}_x)_{2}\) single crystals, this peak is superposed on a field-independent contribution. At higher fields, the hysteresis loop width in these compounds increases again, at a field \(H_{\text{out}}\), the result of a structural change of the vortex ensemble \[26\]. On the contrary, in isovalently doped \(\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{0.67}\text{Po}_{0.33})_2\), the hysteresis loop width shows a monotonous decrease.

Figure 2 shows the field dependence of \(j_c\) of five iron pnictide compounds. For the four compounds measured in the present study, \(j_c = 2\mu_0 d_B/dz\) is extracted using the Bean model \[27\], while data for \(\text{Ba(Fe}_{0.9}\text{Co}_{0.1})_2\text{As}_2\) and \(\text{Ba}_0.45\text{K}_0.55\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2\) are taken from Refs. \[28\] and \[5\], respectively. In what follows, we describe \(j_c\) as the superposition of two contributions, \(j_c^{\text{coll}}\) and \(j_c^s(B)\). The former accounts for the constant hysteresis width at higher fields, and the latter for the low-field peak. In all materials, the peak has the shape of a plateau,

\[
j_c(0) = j_c^{\text{coll}}(0) + j_c^s(0),
\]

followed by a power-law decrease, such that

\[
j_c(B) = j_c^{\text{coll}} + j_c^s(B) \sim AB_{\perp}^{-\beta}
\]

with \(0.5 < \beta < 0.63\). The behavior of \(j_c^s(B)\) is that expected for vortex pinning by sparse pointlike defects of radius larger than \(\xi\), which are inevitably present in any real, imperfect crystal \[6\] [22]. An analysis of data on the \(\text{RFeAsO}\) iron pnictides has shown that spatial variations of the average dopant atom density on a (large) scale of several dozen nm, leading to concomitant modulations of \(T_c\), account for the measured magnitude and temperature dependence of \(j_c^s\) \[23\]. Oppositely, the field-independent \(j_c^{\text{coll}}\) is attributed to atomic scale fluctuations of the dopant atom positions (collective pinning) \[20\]. The different field dependence of the critical current contributions (Fig. \(3\)) allows one to extract both as function of temperature. Figure \(3b\) shows the temperature dependence of \(j_c(0) = j_c^{\text{coll}} + j_c^s(0)\). The lower panel \(3b\) shows the field-independent contribution \(j_c^{\text{coll}}\), non-zero in the charge-doped compounds, but absent for all investigated isovalent substitutions \(x\) in \(\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{1-x}\text{P}_x)_{2}\).

We quantitatively describe \(j_c^{\text{coll}}\) by treating the dopant atoms as point defects responsible for quasi-particle scattering. The elementary pinning force of such defects can be written

\[
f_p \sim 0.3g(\rho_D)\phi_0 \left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{tr}}}{\pi \xi^2}\right) \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi_0}\right),
\]

where \(\sigma_{\text{tr}} = (2\pi/k_F^2)\sin^2\delta_0 = \pi D_c^2\) is the transport scattering cross-section, \(k_F\) is the Fermi wavenumber, \(D_c\) is the effective range of the potential, and \(g(\rho_D)\) is the Gor'kov function \[20\] \[21\]. The disorder parameter \(\rho_D = \hbar v_F/2\pi T_c l \sim \xi_0/l\), with \(v_F\) the Fermi velocity, \(l = (n_D\sigma_{\text{tr}})^{-1}\) the quasiparticle mean free path, \(n_D\) the defect density, and \(\xi_0 \approx 1.35\xi(0)\) the (temperature-independent) Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer coherence length \[28\] \[22\]. The critical current arises from the local density fluctuations of the defects, and is therefore determined by the second moment of the elementary pinning force, \((f_p^2)\). Applying the theory of collective pinning \[28\] \[22\], it reads

\[
j_c^{\text{coll}} \approx j_0 \left[\frac{0.11n_D \sigma^2}{\varepsilon_\lambda \xi} \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi_0}\right)^2\right]^{2/3} \propto \left[\frac{A(0)}{A(T)}\right]^{2} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\alpha},
\]

where...
where \( j_0 \equiv \Phi_0 / \sqrt{3} \pi \mu_0 a_0^2 \xi \) is the depairing current density, and \( \varepsilon_j \equiv \lambda_{ab} / \lambda_c \) the penetration depth anisotropy. Eq. (4) does not depend on the symmetry of the superconducting ground state. However, the exponent \( \alpha \sim 2 \) does depend on the different weight that distinct Fermi surface sheets have in contributing to superconductivity in different compounds. Here it is treated as a phenomenological parameter, obtained from the ratio of \( ab \)-plane and \( c \)-axis penetration depths in the different compounds \( 24, 28 \), while \( \lambda(0)/\lambda(T) \) was published in Refs. \( 24, 25, 26 \) and \( 28 \).

Figure 3a shows that the temperature dependence of \( j_{c}^{\text{coll}} \) is very well described by Eq. (4). In PrFeAsO\(_{1-y} \), its magnitude is accurately reproduced by inserting \( \sigma_{F} \equiv \pi D_{v} \), with the oxygen ion radius \( D_{v} = 1.46 \) Å and \( n_D \approx 1.5 \times 10^{27} \) m\(^{-3} \). This corresponds to the oxygen vacancy concentration at the doping level, \( y \sim 0.1 \). Thus, the collective pinning contribution to the critical current density of the PrFeAsO\(_{1-y} \) compound is well described by the quasi-particle mean-free path fluctuation mechanism of Refs. \( 20, 22 \). The same holds true for NdFeAsO\(_{1-x} \), BaFe\(_{2} \), and BaFe\(_{2}(\text{As}_{1-x} \text{P}_{x})_{2} \) single crystals. Drawn lines are fits to Eq. (4). A point for Ba\(_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_{2}As_{2} \) has been added (\( \bullet \), not shown in Fig. 3a).

The collective pinning effect implies that the core charge on the doping impurities is incompletely screened, consistent with a Thomas-Fermi screening length, \( \sim 1 \) nm. From Table I one sees that scattering by charged dopants in the iron-pnictide superconductors is rather in the Born limit (\( \sin \delta_{0} \ll 1 \)). Therefore, if so-called \( s_{\pm} \) superconductivity \( 14, 18 \), with a sign change of the order parameter on different Fermi

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Compound} & \kappa_{F} & (\text{A}^{-1}) & \xi_{0} & (\text{nm}) & n_{D} & (\text{nm}^{-3}) & \sigma_{F} & (\text{A}^{2}) & D_{v} & (\text{Å}) & n_{D} & D_{v} & n_{D} & D_{v} & \sin \delta_{0} & \Gamma & (\text{meV}) & \ell & (\text{nm}) \\
\hline
\text{PrFeAsO}_{1-y} & 0.33 & 2.4 & 1.5 & 6.7 & 1.46 & 5 \times 10^{-3} & 21 & 0.3(2) & 10 & 10 \\
\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.9} & 0.33 & 3.3 & 1.5 & 7.5 & 0.9 & 1 \times 10^{-3} & 54 & 0.2 & 4 & 25 \\
\text{BaFe}_{2}(\text{As}_{1-x} \text{P}_{x})_{2} & 0.25 & 1.6 & 2 & 2.5 & 0.9 & 1.5 \times 10^{-3} & 8 & 0.17 & 5 & 20 \\
\text{Ba}_{0.72}K_{0.28} \text{Fe}_{2}As_{2} & 0.4 & 2.4 & 2.8 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 1 \times 10^{-3} & 38 & 0.1(4) & 3 & 23 \\
\text{Ba}_{0.6}K_{0.4} \text{Fe}_{2}As_{2} & 0.5 & 2.2 & 4 & 2.5 \pm 1.3 & 0.8 \pm 0.2 & 2 \times 10^{-3} & 43 & 0.2 & 8 & 10 \\
\text{Ba}_{0.45}K_{0.55} \text{Fe}_{2}As_{2} & 0.5 & 2.2 & 5.5 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 2 \times 10^{-3} & 59 & 0.2 & 10 & 12 \\
\text{BaFe}_{2}(\text{As}_{0.67} \text{P}_{0.33})_{2} & 0.3 \pm 0.3 & 1.6 & 3.3 & < 1.5 \times 10^{-2} & < 0.1 & < 1 \times 10^{-6} & 14 & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**TABLE I**: Fundamental parameters and contribution of dopant disorder to the elastic scattering parameters of various iron pnictide superconductors, as deduced from the collective pinning part of the critical current density, \( j_{c}^{\text{coll}} \).
surface sheets, is realized in these materials, the scattering would be detrimental. A crude assessment of the pair-breaking effect can be made using the quasi-particle scattering rates $\Gamma \sim n_\pi [\pi N_\pi(0)]^{-1} \sin^2 \delta_0$ (with $N_\pi(0) = m k_F/\pi^2 \hbar^2$ the density of states and $m$ the electronic mass) estimated from experiment (see Table 1). These turn out to be of the order $\Gamma \sim 1.7 T_c$ for NdFeAsO$_{0.9}$F$_{0.1}$ and Ba$_{0.78}$K$_{0.22}$Fe$_2$As$_2$, and $\Gamma \sim 4 T_c$ for the other charge-doped compounds. When inserted in the Abrikosov-Gor'kov relation, $\ln(T_c/T_{c0}) = \Psi(\frac{1}{2}) - \Psi(\frac{1}{2} + 1/2\pi k_BT_c)$ (with $\Psi$ the digamma function), this implies that the $T_c$'s of the charge-doped pnictides would be reduced by a factor 2-5 from a hypothetical $T_{c0}$ in the absence of disorder. Moreover, superconductivity should become gapless at impurity densities much less than the actual dopant concentration.

Within the hypothesis of nodal extended $s$-wave superconductivity, the obtained scattering rates imply a $T_c/T_{c0} \sim 0.5 - 0.7$. Finally, for fully gapped, non-sign changing multiband $s$-wave superconductivity ($\delta_{s+\pm}$), the dopant atoms or vacancies are not pair-breaking, and their effect is the averaging of the gap components on different Fermi surface sheets. A different situation occurs in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ material, which is characterized by the absence of quasi-particle scattering. Isoelectronic dopant disorder is benign to superconductivity with order parameter nodes, as this was observed by penetration depth measurements. Furthermore, our analysis shows no clear distinction between scattering centers in the FeAs planes (such as Co), and out-of-plane defects, which attributes to the three-dimensional nature of superconductivity in the low-field limit due to the contribution of the more dispersive hole-like sheets, centered on the $\Gamma$-point.

In conclusion, it is shown that the analysis of collective vortex pinning provides clues as to microscopic scattering mechanisms in superconductors. In that, the analysis of the critical current density adds another transport property to the spectrum of techniques available for the quantification of disorder effects in superconductors. Applied to iron pnictide superconductors, we find strong indications that charged atomic sized defects, including dopant atoms, are responsible for quasi-particle scattering in the Born limit. The presence of such defects in charge-doped pnictides should have consequences for suggested $s_\pm$ superconductivity in these materials. On the other hand, isovalently doped BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, which has a superconducting ground state with gap nodes, is characterized by the absence of such quasi-particle scattering.
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