Zeitschrift für Anorganische und
Allgemeine Chemie

Halides of Titanium in Lower Oxidation States

Journal:	<i>Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie</i>
Manuscript ID:	zaac.200900086
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Report
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Feb-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Meyer, Gerd; Universitaet zu Koeln, Institut fuer Anorganische Chemie Gloger, Thomas; Universitaet zu Koeln, Institut fuer Anorganische Chemie Beekhuizen, Jan; Universitaet zu Koeln, Institut fuer Anorganische Chemie
Keywords:	Titanium, Dihalides, Trihalides, Complex halides, Cluster compounds

Research Report

Halides of Titanium in Lower Oxidation States

Gerd Meyer,^{*,[a]} Thomas Gloger and Jan Beekhuizen

Keywords: Titanium; Dihalides; Trihalides; Complex halides; Clusters

Abstract. New investigations on the di- and trihalides of titanium, TiX_2 and TiX_3 ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$), with their $3d^2$ and $3d^1$ electronic configurations, confirm the early observations and conclusions of *Klemm*. At sufficiently low temperatures, Ti—Ti single bonds are formed in the one-dimensional trihalides, *i.e.*, Ti—Ti dimers are observed. Equally, in the two-dimensional dihalides, $\{\text{Ti}_3\}$ triangles occur with three single bonds. Phase transitions were detected from single-crystal or powder X-ray diffraction data, from magnetic measurements and thermal analysis. Except for the binary halides a number of ternary halides ATiX_3 (extended chains of face-sharing octahedra), $\text{K}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{Br}_{12}$ (triples of face-sharing octahedra), $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ (triangular trimers), $\text{A}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9$ (dimers of face-sharing octahedra), and A_3TiX_6 (isolated octahedra) as well as the mixed-valent halides CsTi_2I_7 (tetrahedra and octahedra) and $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ (chains of octahedra) have been observed. Except for the triangles in titanium(II) halides, cluster compounds are rare but include $\text{K}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$ and $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{14}$.

* Prof. Dr. Gerd Meyer
E-mail: gerd.meyer@uni-koeln.de

[a] Universität zu Köln, Department für Chemie
Institut für Anorganische Chemie
Greinstraße 6, D-50939 Köln, Germany
Fax: (49) 221 470 5083
www.gerdmeyer.de

1 Introduction

In accord with its position in the periodic table as a group 4 element and, therefore, its electronic configuration $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^2$, titanium appears in simple halides in the oxidation states +4, +3, and +2. Of these, the oxidation states +3 and +2 are the most interesting because there titanium has one ($3d^1$) and two ($3d^2$) unpaired electrons, respectively. This gives, at sufficiently high temperatures, rise to paramagnetism. At low temperatures, collective magnetic effects may appear, or, as in the case of the titanium(II) and titanium(III) halides, chemical bonding may emerge.

First work on lower-valent titanium halides dates back to the early years of the twentieth century [1]. But only in the forties, thorough preparative work combined with magnetic measurements shed considerable light on the nature of these halides. It was *Wilhelm Klemm* who found that the magnetic moments dropped to low values at low temperatures, depending upon the anion $X^- = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-$ in both dihalides and trihalides, TiX_2 [2] and TiX_3 [3]. The drop of the magnetic moments is accompanied by a reduction of the molar volumes. *Klemm* has interpreted this observation as the formation of covalent bonds (or, alternatively, as antiferromagnetic behaviour).

2 Binary halides, TiX_3 and TiX_2

2.1 Titanium(III) halides: d^1 systems

The structural topology of the trihalides of titanium, TiX_3 with $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$, can be deduced from a hexagonal closest packing of spheres, i.e., of halide ions. One third of the octahedral voids are filled with Ti^{3+} cations, in a way that the filled $[\text{TiX}_6]$ octahedra share common faces, in accord with the *Niggli* formula $\text{TiX}_{6/2}$. The chains thus formed run parallel to the hexagonal c axis in the high-temperature modification named, in the following, $\text{TiX}_3\text{-II}$ (hexagonal, $\text{P6}_3/\text{mcm}$), see Fig. 1.

For TiI_3 , structural investigations were carried out by *Holze* [4] and *v. Schnering* [5]. This latter investigation, carried out by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, exhibited for TiI_3 the hexagonal space group $\text{P6}_3/\text{mcm}$. Thus, Ti—Ti bonds cannot be present as these would lead to a symmetry reduction to Pmmn (orthorhombic), see for example [6]. This low-temperature modification, $\text{MX}_3\text{-I}$, was observed so far for ZrI_3 [7], MoX_3 ($X = \text{Br}, \text{I}$) [8], RuCl_3 [6], RuBr_3 [9], and OsI_3 [10]. Chains of $[\text{MX}_6]$ octahedra run along the orthorhombic b axis and the M—M distances are not equidistant anymore as in $\text{MX}_3\text{-II}$.

The crystal structures of $\text{TiBr}_3\text{-I}$ and $\text{TiI}_3\text{-I}$ were determined from single-crystal data at ambient temperature [11]. Ti—Ti distances are 292.3(7) and 315.7(7) pm for $\text{TiBr}_3\text{-I}$ and 309.6(2) and 339.6(2) pm for $\text{TiI}_3\text{-I}$, respectively. The respective distances for the higher homologue ZrI_3 are 317.2(2) and 350.7(2) pm, subject to the larger zirconium atom. Luckily, it was also possible to collect data sets for TiI_3 in the temperature range of 183 to 448 K [11]. Fig. 2 shows the Ti—Ti distances derived therefrom *versus* temperature. An analysis of these data deduces a second-order phase transition at 317 K with an (equidistant) Ti—Ti distance of 326 pm at that temperature. These results are confirmed by a recent study of the structures and phase transition of TiI_3 [12].

Figure 1. Crystal structure of the high-temperature modification of the titanium trihalides, $\text{TiX}_3\text{-II}$ ($X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$); right: part of one chain of $[\text{TiX}_6]$ octahedra sharing common faces.

Figure 2. Ti—Ti distances *versus* temperature for TiI_3 from single-crystal X-ray data.

This phase transition (II $\rightarrow$ I) can be interpreted as a *Peierls* distortion arising from degenerate partially filled d bands at sufficiently high temperatures. At lower temperatures, the band structure of TiI₃-I shows a strong splitting of these d bands (see, e.g., at the Γ (G) point, Fig. 3) which is due to attractive interactions (covalent bonds; antiferromagnetic coupling) between the titanium atoms right below the Fermi level. From a molecular orbital point of view, σ bonds are present between every second pair of titanium atoms (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Band structure of TiI₃-I from a DFT-LMTO ASA calculation (left); molecular orbitals from Extended Hückel MO calculations (right).

2.2 Titanium(II) halides: d^2 systems

The titanium dihalides TiX₂ with X = Cl, Br, I crystallize at sufficiently high temperatures with the CdI₂ type of structure. Thus the crystal structures of TiX₃ and TiX₂ are closely related to each other. They both exhibit a hexagonal closest packing of halide ions with one third of the octahedral interstices occupied between every adjacent layer as in TiX₃ and all octahedral interstices occupied between every second layer as in TiX₂. Thereby all [TiX₆] octahedra share common edges to form a two-dimensional layer according to the *Niggli* formula TiX_{6/3}. A 3⁶ net of titanium atoms is thus formed with all titanium atoms equidistant at sufficiently high temperatures, modification TiX₂-II (Fig. 4, left).

Figure 4. Crystal structure of the high-temperature modification of titanium dibromide, TiBr₂-II, and one [Ti₃Br₁₃] unit formed within the Br-Ti-Br slabs (right) of TiBr₂-I upon cooling.

Upon cooling the 3⁶ net of Ti²⁺ distorts such that titanium triangles occur. These triangles are surrounded by thirteen halide ions and are further connected to the two-dimensional X-Ti-X slab as is typical for the CdI₂ type of structure. These [Ti₃X₁₃] units (Fig. 4) are topologically also seen in Nb₃X₈ [13] and in Na₂Ti₃Cl₈ [14], see below.

The phase transition TiX₂-II $\rightarrow$ TiX₂-I can thus be understood as a two-dimensional *Peierls* distortion where a symmetry reduction from P-3m1 (TiX₂-II, CdI₂ type) to P3 (TiX₂-I) takes place with the hexagonal a axis enlarged by $\sqrt{3}$. While the crystal structure of TiI₂-II could be determined by powder neutron diffraction [$a = 412.72(2)$, $c = 684.74(2)$ pm, P-3m1, $Z = 1$, $T = 127$ K, $z(\text{I}) = 0.2409(1)$, $R_p = 0.045$, $R_{wp} = 0.062$, $\chi^2 = 0.081$], the crystal structure of TiBr₂-I could only be refined to an R_1 of 0.226 by “single crystal” X-ray diffraction [11]. However, Ti–Ti distances in the [Ti₃] triangles of TiBr₂-I are 300.1(20) pm and thereby somewhat longer than the 292.3(7) pm as observed in TiBr₃-I. This could be interpreted in a way that in TiBr₃-I two adjacent [TiBr₆] octahedra are connected through a common face while in TiBr₂-I three adjacent [TiBr₆] octahedra are connected through three common edges. Therefore, it can be concluded that in both TiBr₂-I and TiBr₃-I Ti–Ti single bonds are formed at sufficiently low temperatures.

Powder X-ray diffraction data for TiCl₂ (Fig. 5) and TiBr₂ show the I $\rightarrow$ II phase transition (upon heating) at 640 K and 450 K and the II $\rightarrow$ I transition (upon cooling) at 530 K and 320 K, respectively. Hence, there are rather large hystereses of 110 and 130 K observed for the chloride and bromide, respectively.

Figure 5. Powder X-ray diffraction data for TiCl₂ dependent upon temperature; left: heating, right: cooling modes.

Magnetic measurements show the I $\rightarrow$ II phase transition for TiI_2 (upon heating) starting at 240 K and finished at 280 K (judging from the effective magnetic moment μ_{eff}) and the II $\rightarrow$ I transition (upon cooling) beginning at 180 K and finished at 140 K (Fig. 6). Hence, the width of the hysteresis is about 100 K. Note that *Klemms* values of 1942 [2] fit very well the values obtained by us (Fig. 6, right).

Figure 6. Effective magnetic moments μ_{eff} versus temperature for TiCl_2 (left) and TiI_2 (right). ○: *Klemms* data [2].

The transition temperatures increase in the sequence I $\rightarrow$ Br $\rightarrow$ Cl (with increasing “hardness” of the halide lattice) and the widths of the hystereses appear to be almost independent of the halide lattice. If we consider those temperatures alone where the phase transition is completed upon heating and cooling, we observe the following picture:

TiCl_2	430 K / 590 K, $\Delta = 160$ K
TiBr_2	290 K / 440 K, $\Delta = 150$ K
TiI_2	140 K / 280 K, $\Delta = 140$ K

Difference Thermal Analysis (DTA) curves were also recorded for TiCl_2 and TiBr_2 . Transition temperatures (endo-thermic) are 525 and 350 K upon heating for TiCl_2 and TiBr_2 , respectively, and 600 and 445 K upon cooling (exothermic effect).

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) exhibits an endothermic effect for TiI_2 at 270 K and an exothermic effect at 172 K upon cooling. The caloric effects are rather small, enthalpies derived therefrom are 0.26 kJ/mol upon heating and 0.22 kJ/mol upon cooling (Fig. 7).

Fig. 7. Differential scanning calorimetry diagram for TiI_2 (heating and cooling rates were 8 K/min).

3 Ternary halides, $\text{A}_x\text{Ti}_x\text{X}_z$

3.1 Titanium(III) in isolated octahedra

In all of the ternary titanium(III) halides with alkali-metal cations, Ti^{3+} cations occupy octahedral interstices. There is a variety of structures known for $\text{A}_3[\text{MX}_6]$ type halides which differ in the orientation of the $[\text{MX}_6]^{3-}$ octahedra relative to each other. Thereby the proper coordination sphere for the A^+ cation with respect to its size is achieved, see Table 1.

Table 1. Mean distances $\langle d \rangle$ and coordination numbers [CN] of the cations in $\text{A}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ type chlorides

	$\langle d \rangle / \text{pm}$ ($\text{Ti}^{3+} - \text{Cl}^-$)	$\langle d \rangle / \text{pm}$ [CN] ($\text{A}^+ - \text{Cl}^-$)
$\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$	243.1	307.1 [8], 2x; 277.5 [6]
$\text{K}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$	242.8	321.1 [8]; 325.7 [8]; 348.2 [10]
$\text{Rb}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$	243.8	334.0 [8]; 337.9 [8]; 365.5 [11]
$\text{Cs}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$	243.7	353.4 [8]; 348.5 [8]; 377.8 [11]

$\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ crystallizes with the cryolite-type of structure ($\text{Na}_3[\text{AlF}_6]$) [15], Fig. 8. Its undistorted aristotype structure would be that of $\text{K}_2\text{Na}[\text{AlF}_6]$ (elpasolite). In the ambient condition structure, two Na^+ cations with coordination numbers of eight resemble K^+ in the elpasolite structure ($\text{CN} = 12$), the third one is in an octahedral hole, see Table 1. $\text{K}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ crystallizes with the K_3MoCl_6 type of structure where the relative orientation of the $[\text{TiCl}_6]^{3-}$ octahedra allows for coordination numbers of 8 (K1, K2) and 10 (K3), see Table 1 and Fig. 9. $\text{Rb}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ and $\text{Cs}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ both crystallize with the Cs_3BiCl_6 type of structure [16] with coordination numbers of 8 (Cs1, Cs2) and 11 (Cs3), see Fig. 10 and Table 1.

All four compounds show at least one phase transition according to DSC measurements, at 153°C for $\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$, 246°C ($\text{K}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$), 311°C ($\text{Rb}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$), and 344°C ($\text{Cs}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$).

The crystals of all these hexachlorotitanates(III) have a yellow colour. Their absorption spectra show broad double bands ([16], Fig. 11) as expected [17] because the e_g niveaus are not *entartet*. Magnetic susceptibility data show a very similar behaviour for the four $\text{A}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ type compounds, see Fig. 11 for the example of $\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$. The magnetic moment μ corresponds to the expected value of $1.73 \mu_B$ at room temperature but it is strongly dependent upon temperature.

Figure 8. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ showing the relative orientation of the $[\text{Na1Cl}_6]$ and $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra (left) and the coordination polyhedra around Na2 and Na1 (right, top and bottom).

Figure 9. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{K}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ down $[010]$ showing the relative orientation of the $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra (middle) and the coordination polyhedra around K1, K2 and K3.

Figure 10. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{Cs}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ ($\text{Rb}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$ is isostructural) showing the relative orientation of the $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra and the coordination polyhedra around Cs1, Cs2 and Cs3.

Figure 11. Absorption spectrum above) and reciprocal magnetic susceptibility (below) for $\text{Na}_3[\text{TiCl}_6]$.

3.2. Titanium(III) in dimers of octahedra and tetrahedra

Halides of the general composition $\text{A}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9$ contain dimers $[\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$ which consist of two octahedra sharing one common face, see Fig. 12.

Figure 12. The $[\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$ double octahedron in the crystal structure of $\text{A}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9$ type halides.

Many trivalent cations throughout the periodic table form these dimers and there is a small number of structure types of which the $\text{Cs}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{Cl}_9$ and the $\text{Cs}_3\text{Tl}_2\text{Cl}_9$ types of structure are the most abundant, see for example [18]. The $\text{A}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9$ type halides of titanium(III) belong to the $\text{Cs}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{Cl}_9$ type of structure [19], $\text{K}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$ to a lower symmetry variant known as the $\text{K}_3\text{W}_2\text{Cl}_9$ type [20]. They may be derived from a ABACBC stacking of closest-packed CsCl_3 layers between which two thirds of the available octahedral interstices are occupied such that confacial double octahedra occur which are isolated from each other. The alkali-metal cations are in cuboctahedral and anti-cuboctahedral surrounding, see Fig. 13.

Figure 13. Crystal structure of the $\text{Cs}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{Cl}_9$ type $\text{A}_3[\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9]$ halides; projection of the crystal structure (left) and the cuboctahedral and anti-cuboctahedral surrounding of the two symmetrically independent A^+ cations (right).

Important structural parameters, distances and angles, within the $[\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$ dimers are summarized in Table 2. These show, of course, that the Ti–Ti distances grow with increasing sizes of A^+ and X^- . The distances to the terminal halide ions (X_t) are always shorter than to the bridging ligands (X_b), $d_1/d_2 > 1$. The angles α'' and β (Fig. 12) lie within a narrow range for the titanium(III) dimers with α'' always larger than β with small decreases and increases, respectively, with increasing A^+ size and almost no differences with increasing X^- size. The question whether there are bonding interactions between the Ti^{3+} – Ti^{3+} (d^1 – d^1) centres, with distances ranging from 310 ($\text{K}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$) to 364 pm ($\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{I}_9$) is not easy to answer from crystallographic data alone. However, there is a dramatic decrease in the M^{3+} – M^{3+} distance for $\text{Cs}_3\text{M}_2\text{Cl}_9$ from $\text{M} = \text{Sc}$ (357 pm) to $\text{M} = \text{Ti}$ (322 pm), 9.8%, hence from a $3d^0$ to a $3d^1$ electronic configuration. This is certainly significant although not quite as dramatic as the 22.7% decrease for the $\text{Cs}_3\text{M}_2\text{I}_9$ couple ($\text{M} = \text{Y} \rightarrow \text{Zr}$) where, of course, 4d electrons are involved in metal-to-metal bonding [24], see Table 2.

Table 2. M^{3+} – M^{3+} distances, distance ratios d_1/d_2 , $d_1 = d(\text{M}-\text{X}_b)$, $d_2 = d(\text{M}-\text{X}_t)$ (b = bridging, t = terminal) and angles α'' (X_b – M – X_b) and β (M – X_b – M), see also Fig. 12. Distances in pm, angles in degrees. Grey shaded: $\text{K}_3\text{W}_2\text{Cl}_9$ type, space group $\text{P6}_3/\text{m}$; all the others: $\text{Cs}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{Cl}_9$ type, $\text{P6}_3/\text{mmc}$.

	$d(\text{Ti}-\text{Ti})$	d_1/d_2	α	β	Ref.
$\text{K}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$	310.5	1.066	85.4	76.9	11
	309.9	1.067	85.7	76.5	21
$\text{Rb}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$	314.6	1.073	84.9	77.6	16
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$	321.5	1.075	83.8	79.1	22
$\text{K}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Br}_9$	328.2	1.067	85.7	76.5	21
$\text{Rb}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Br}_9$	335.4	1.069	84.9	77.6	21
	336.6	1.070	84.7	77.8	16
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Br}_9$	343.4	1.074	83.8	79.0	20
	345.1	1.074	83.7	79.5	16
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{I}_9$					23
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{I}_9$	363.6	1.060	84.4	77.7	21
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Y}_2\text{I}_9$	405.2	1.078	82.5	93.9	24
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{I}_9$	312.9	1.016	93.9	64.9	24
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{Cl}_9$	356.8	1.047	79.4	84.8	25
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$	321.5	1.075	83.8	79.1	22
$\text{Cs}_3\text{V}_2\text{Cl}_9$	327.7	1.052	93.7	80.0	16
$\text{Cs}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{Cl}_9$	316.7	1.070	82.7	90.5	21

$[\text{Ti}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$ dimers are also present in CsTi_2Cl_7 -II [27] which are, however, further connected via edges to chains, see Fig. 14. The structure can be understood in terms of ABAC stacking of, alternately, CsCl_3 and Cl_4 layers with Ti^{III} occupying one quarter of the octahedral holes (Fig. 14, left). There is a second modification which was discovered first, CsTi_2Cl_7 -I [28], where $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra share common edges and vertices. The Ti–Ti

distance through the common face in $\text{CsTi}_2\text{Cl}_7\text{-II}$ is 322.6 pm, much the same as in $\text{Cs}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{Cl}_9$ (321.5 pm), see above. Distances through the common edges are 347.2 and 357.0 pm in II and I, respectively. Keeping the Ti–Ti distance differences in mind, it is not surprising that the $3d^1\text{--}3d^1$ interactions are much more pronounced through the common face in II than through the common edges, about 16 times as much as the interpretation of magnetic susceptibility measurements exhibited, see Fig. 15.

Figure 14. Projections of the crystal structures of $\text{CsTi}_2\text{Cl}_7\text{-II}$ (left) and -I (right).

Figure 15. Temperature dependence of the molar magnetic susceptibility χ of $\text{CsTi}_2\text{Cl}_7\text{-II}$ (insert: $1/\chi$; squares and circles represent different fields, 5 and 10 kOe, respectively; solid and dashed lines two model calculations, see [27]).

In contrast to CsTi_2Cl_7 where Ti^{III} resides in octahedral interstices, the rather rare tetrahedral coordination is observed for Ti^{III} in CsTi_2I_7 [29]. Two tetrahedra share one common vertex just as in Cl_2O_7 , Fig. 16. $\text{Ti}^{3+}\text{-I}$ distances are around 251 pm for the terminal iodide ions and 262 pm for the bridging ones. As compared to the octahedral coordination in the $[\text{Ti}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$ double octahedra, there is a roughly 10% distance reduction for the tetrahedral coordination in $[\text{Ti}_2\text{I}_7]^-$.

Figure 16. Projection of the crystal structure of CsTi_2I_7 exhibiting TiI_4 tetrahedra sharing a common vertex to two symmetrically independent $[\text{Ti}_2\text{I}_7]^-$ dimers (right).

3.3 Mixed-valent titanium(II, III) halides

Open trimers of octahedra sharing two common faces are present in $\text{K}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{Br}_{12}$ [30] and $\text{In}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{Br}_{12}$ [31]. The structures are very similar and show Ti–Ti distances through the shared faces of 320 and 309 pm, respectively. These bromides must be mixed-valent according to $\text{Ti}^{3+}\text{-Ti}^{2+}\text{-Ti}^{3+}$. Hence, there are four electrons for this open trimer which may lead to bonding interactions. Indeed, strong antiferro-magnetic coupling is seen for $\text{In}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{Br}_{12}$ [31].

Edge-sharing $[\text{TiCl}_6]^{3-}$ octahedra are present in a second mixed-valent Ti(II, III) compound, $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ [32]. It contains chains of $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra sharing *trans* edges according to $[\text{TiCl}_{2/1}\text{Cl}_{4/2}]^-$, Fig. 17. $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ is isostructural with a number of dysprosium and thulium bromides and iodides, $\text{A}_5\text{M}_3\text{Br}_{12}$ and $\text{K}_5\text{M}_3\text{I}_{12}$ with $\text{A} = \text{K}, \text{Rb}$ and $\text{M} = \text{Dy}, \text{Tm}$ [33].

For an isolated trimer according to the formula $[\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}]^{5-}$ the titanium atoms would have the charges +2, +3 and +2, the opposite as in $\text{K}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{Br}_{12}$. However, it is not possible to distinguish between the three titanium atoms as they are incorporated in infinite chains and are crystallographically all equal. Therefore, as for the corresponding dysprosium and thulium bromides and iodides, there are 1.67 electrons per titanium atom in $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ and magnetic interactions within the chains are expected as well as three-dimensional ordering at low temperatures.

Figure 17. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ exhibiting $[\text{TiCl}_{2/1}\text{Cl}_{4/2}]^-$ chains which are isolated from each other.

An alternative to the infinite chains as observed in $\text{Na}_5\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_{12}$ are oligomers of which only the $\{\text{Ti}_3\}$ variant has been observed so far in $\text{KTi}_4\text{Cl}_{11}$ [34] (Fig. 18), but see for the square planar $[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]^{4-}$ below. $\text{KTi}_4\text{Cl}_{11}$ is mixed-valent according to $\text{K}(\text{Ti}^{4+})\{\text{(Ti}^{2+})_3\}(\text{Cl}^-$

)₁₁. Six electrons are available for three σ bonds within the $\{\text{Ti}_3\}$ triangular clusters. The Ti–Ti distances are, on the average, 295.5 pm which is very similar to what is observed in $\text{Ti}_7\text{Cl}_{16} = (\text{Ti}^{4+})\{(\text{Ti}^{2+})_3\}_2(\text{Cl}^-)_{16}$ [35], $d = 295$ pm, and to low-temperature TiBr_2 , $d = 292.3(7)$ pm, see above.

Figure 18. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{KTi}_4\text{Cl}_{11} = \text{KTi}\{\text{Ti}_3\}\text{Cl}_{11}$ exhibiting triangular six-electron titanium clusters.

3.4 Titanium(II) in trimers and in edge- and face-sharing chains

Isolated $[\text{TiX}_6]^{4-}$ octahedra of divalent titanium appear not to be known. In $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ [14], the same trimers occur as in TiCl_2 at low temperatures, in $\text{Ti}_7\text{Cl}_{16}$ and in $\text{KTi}_4\text{Cl}_{11}$. There is a phase transition which is driven by much the same forces as for the phase transition in TiX_2 . At ambient temperature, the Ti^{2+} – Ti^{2+} distances are all equal, at 372 pm. Triangular clusters are formed at decreasing temperature, the corresponding distances become unequal, 300 and 400 pm, respectively, see Fig. 19. There is a considerable volume decrease associated with the phase transition, at 183 K it is by 9% smaller than at ambient temperature.

Figure 19. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ -I at 183 K with the corresponding $\{\text{Ti}_3\}\text{Cl}_{13}$ units.

Calculations of the density of states (DOS) for $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ -I show that there are Ti-3d states just below the Fermi level. In a molecular view, these states correspond to three bonding orbitals of almost equal energies, see Fig. 20.

Figure 20. Density of states (DOS) as calculated for $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ -I and the three energetically almost equal bonding orbitals.

The magnetic moment per Ti^{II} in $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ drops considerably around the phase transition and exhibits a pronounced hysteresis, see Fig. 21. At sufficiently high temperatures, the magnetic moment of $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ -II corresponds very well with that of Na_2TiCl_4 . Hence, we then assume $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra with Ti^{II} centres which do not interact magnetically. In Na_2TiCl_4 , $[\text{TiCl}_6]$ octahedra share common edges to chains (Fig. 22), with Ti^{2+} – Ti^{2+} distances of 385.6 pm [36]. Below 13 K, magnetic superstructure reflections in a neutron diffraction experiment account for the onset of a three-dimensional antiferromagnetic ordering [37].

Figure 21. Magnetic moment μ_{eff} versus temperature for $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ and for Na_2TiCl_4 . The heating curve for $\text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{Cl}_8$ corresponds to (b), cooling to (a).

Figure 22. Projection of the crystal structure of Na_2TiCl_4 (left) and part of the $[\text{TiCl}_{2/1}\text{Cl}_{4/2}]^{2-}$ chains of edge-connected octahedra (right).

Infinite chains of $[\text{TiX}_6]$ octahedra are also present in ATiX_3 type halides ($A = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$; $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$) [38–41]. However, the chains are face-sharing according to $A[\text{TiX}_{6/2}]$. They crystallize essentially with the CsNiCl_3 type of structure, so-called hexagonal perovskite. Thus, AX_3 closest-packed layers are stacked in the AB fashion. One third of all octahedral interstices are occupied, all along the c direction. The structure field map of Fig. 23 shows that when the size ratio of A^+ to X^- becomes unfavourable, there is a symmetry reduction from $\text{P6}_3/\text{mmc}$ to P6_3 (or $\text{P6}_3\text{cm}$ as reported for RbTiI_3 [38]. Fig. 24 shows that in these latter halides the $[\text{TiCl}_{6/2}]$ chains are rotated and shifted against each other. The reason for this is to

accommodate monovalent cations which are too small to fit into an anti-cuboctahedral surrounding which is, on the other hand, typical for the CsNiCl_3 -type of structure. $\text{Ti}^{2+}\text{--Ti}^{2+}$ distances are little above 300 pm for the respective chlorides ATiCl_3 , around 317 in bromides and little below 340 in iodides, see for an overview [41].

Figure 23. Structure field map for ATiX_3 type halides exhibiting the CsNiCl_3 -type high-symmetry halides (squares, $\text{P6}_3/\text{mmc}$) as well as those of lower symmetry as reported for RbTiI_3 ($\text{P6}_3\text{cm}$) and for the KNiCl_3 type halides (P6_3 , open circles).

Figure 24. Two projections each of the crystal structures of CsTiCl_3 (above) and KTiCl_3 (below).

4 Titanium halides with clusters other than dimers and trimers

With 3d elements clusters are rather rare although by no means impossible. For titanium in halides, the trimeric six-electron cluster $\{\text{Ti}_3\}$ appears to be largest cluster when these are considered without endohedral, electron-contributing atoms. An isolated octahedral cluster $\{\text{Ti}_6\}$ would afford 16 electrons for eight $3\text{c--}2\text{e}$ bonds. This would only be possible for a composition, for example, $\{\text{Ti}_6\}\text{Cl}_8$. Such a sub-chloride is not known. If an analogous structure as for $\{\text{Nb}_6\}\text{Cl}_{14}$ [42], a 16-electron cluster, would be considered, an interstitial contributing four electrons is needed. Indeed, $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{14}$ is the first and so far only titanium cluster of that type [43]. It is isostructural with the homologous $\{\text{CZr}_6\}\text{Cl}_{14}$ [44]. $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{12}^{\text{i}}\text{Cl}_6^{\text{a}}$ cluster complexes (see Fig. 25) are connected according to $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{10}^{\text{i}}\text{Cl}_{2/2}^{\text{i-}}\text{Cl}_{2/2}^{\text{a-i}}\text{Cl}_{4/2}^{\text{a-a}}$ (in the *Schäfer-Schnering* notation [45]).

Figure 25. The $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{12}^{\text{i}}\text{Cl}_6^{\text{a}}$ cluster unit in the crystal structure of $\{\text{CTi}_6\}\text{Cl}_{14}$.

Tetranuclear titanium clusters would afford either 10 electrons for a cluster analogous to the planar skite-like cluster as in $\text{Cs}[\{\text{Nb}_4\}\text{Cl}_{11}]$ [46] or 12 or 8 for a tetrahedron with six $2\text{c--}2\text{e}$ (six edges) or four $3\text{c--}2\text{e}$ bonds (four faces). Eight electrons would also be possible for a square planar $\{\text{Ti}_4\}$ cluster with four $2\text{c--}2\text{e}$ bonds. None of these have been observed. Instead, the isostructural salts $\text{K}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$ [47] and $\text{Rb}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$ [48] have been obtained during attempts to grow single crystals of KTiI_3 and RbTiI_3 , apparently with some oxygen contamination. These contain the square-planar oxygen-centered cluster $\{\text{OTi}_4\}$ surrounded by a cuboctahedron of iodide ligands, see Fig. 26.

Figure 26. Projection of the crystal structure of $\text{K}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$.

In $\text{A}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$ ($\text{A} = \text{K}, \text{Rb}$) there are six electrons available for intra-cluster bonding. These six electrons occupy three low-lying molecular orbitals, see Fig. 27. Band structure calculations and the Crystal Orbital Hamiltonian Populations (COHP) derived therefrom show (Fig. 28) that there is considerable Ti–Ti bonding below the Fermi level as well as (ionic) Ti–O and Ti–I bonding. There is, however, some Ti–I bonding antibonding which must be (and is) overcompensated by Ti–Ti bonding in the same energy region.

Figure 27. Molecular orbital scheme (left) for the frontier orbitals in the $[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]^4$ cluster unit in $\text{K}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$ and graphic representations of these orbitals of which the three lowest in energy are occupied by six electrons.

Figure 28. Crystal Orbital Hamiltonian Population (COHP) analysis for $\text{K}_4[\{\text{OTi}_4\}\text{I}_{12}]$.

5 Conclusions

Titanium as the second transition metal has four valence electrons. In binary and ternary (quaternary) halides, the oxidation states +4 ($3d^0$), +3 ($3d^1$), and +2 ($3d^2$) are known. Of these the d^1 and d^2 “systems” are the most interesting as they offer spin, charge, and orbital ordering in low-dimensional systems. The tri- and dihalides, TiX_3 and TiX_2 ($X = Cl, Br, I$), are the simplest of such d^1 and d^2 systems and they are paramagnetic at sufficiently high temperatures, and undergo one- and two-dimensional *Peierls* distortions upon cooling. In the language of the chemist, they form σ -bonded dimers $\{Ti_2\}$ in TiX_3 and trimers $\{Ti_3\}$ in TiX_2 . The formation of $\{CTi_6\}Cl_{14}$ might be regarded as a three-dimensional Peierls distortion although a single carbon atom is needed to secure a 14-electron octahedral cluster. One- and two-dimensional systems are also seen in ternary halides such as $ATiX_3$ (chains of face-sharing $[TiX_6]$ octahedra) and Na_2TiCl_4 (chains of edge-sharing $[TiX_6]$ octahedra) and in $A_3Ti_2X_9$ (dimers of face-sharing $[TiX_6]$ octahedra) although magnetic interactions occur only in a way which one would not consider as a chemical bond. These are, however, observed in $Na_2Ti_3Cl_8$ and KTi_4Cl_{11} which both exhibit the same trimers as seen in TiX_2 at low temperatures. Clusters are rare in titanium halide chemistry. Except for the dimers and trimers, all the others known need an additional main-group element atom to ensure the right electron count, see $\{CTi_6\}Cl_{14}$ and $K_4[\{OTi_4\}I_{12}]$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Bonn (Sonderforschungsbereich 608 “Komplexe Übergangsmetall-verbindungen mit Spin- und Ladungsfreiheitsgraden und Unordnung”) and by the State of Nordrhein-Westfalen as well as the Universität zu Köln. Chapter 2 has appeared in a similar version (G. Meyer, Th. Gloger, Lower Valent Titanium Halides, in: *20 Jahre Wilhelm-Klemm-Stiftung*, Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2006, p. 105-112.) to honour Professor Wilhelm Klemm and the foundation which bears his name. GM is especially grateful to his co-authors Dr. Thomas Gloger and Dr. Jan Beekhuizen) from whose theses otherwise unpublished results are reported here. We are also grateful to Dr. Dirk Hinz, Dr. Liesbet Jongen and Prof. Dr. Angela Möller who have contributed to the here reported subject over the years as is evident from the references. We are especially grateful to Prof. Dr. Anja-Verena Mudring (Bochum) who has provided much theoretical insight and to Dr. Ingo Pantenburg for continuous help in many ways. This report was first designed during a stay at Iowa State University in March of 2008 and finished during the late and early days of 2008/2009 with much support by Professor John D. Corbett. Thank you, John!

References

- [1] Gmelins Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie, System-Nr. 41: Titan, 8. Auflage, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1951.
- [2] W. Klemm, L. Grimm, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1942**, 249, 198 and 209.
- [3] W. Klemm, E. Krose, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1947**, 253, 209.
- [4] E. Holze, *Dissertation*, Universität Münster, 1956.
- [5] H. G. von Schnering, *Naturwissenschaften* **1966**, 53, 359.
- [6] H. Hillebrecht, Th. Ludwig, G. Thiele, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2004**, 630, 2199, and literature cited therein.
- [7] A. Lachgar, D. S. Dudis, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 2242.

- [8] D. Babel, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1972**, *4*, 410; H. Hillebrecht, *Dissertation*, Universität Freiburg, **1991**.
- [9] K. Brodersen, H.-K. Breitbach, G. Thiele, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1968**, *357*, 162; H. Hillebrecht, *Dissertation*, Universität Freiburg, **1991**.
- [10] H. Wagner, *Dissertation*, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, **1980**.
- [11] Th. Gloger, *Dissertation*, Universität zu Köln, **1998**.
- [12] J. Angelkort, A. Schönleber, S. van Smaalen, *16th International Conference on Solid Compounds of Transition Elements*, Dresden, Germany, **2008**, Poster 90; *J. Solid State Chem.* **2008**, doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2008.11.028.
- [13] H. G. von Schnering, H. Wöhrle, H. Schäfer, *Naturwissenschaften* **1961**, *48*, 159; A. Simon, H. G. von Schnering, *J. Less-Common Met.* **1966**, *11*, 31; M. Stroebele, J. Glaser, A. Lachgar, H.-J. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2001**, *627*, 2002.
- [14] D. J. Hinz, G. Meyer, T. Dedecke, W. Urland, *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, *107*, 97; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 71.
- [15] D. Hinz, T. Gloger, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2000**, *626*, 822-824.
- [16] J. Beekhuizen, *Dissertation*, Universität zu Köln, **2006**.
- [17] R. Ameis, S. Kremer, D. Reinen, *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 2751.
- [18] G. Meyer, *Prog. Solid State Chem.* **1982**, *14*, 141-219.
- [19] G. J. Wessel, D. J. W. IJdo, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1957**, *10*, 466.
- [20] W. H. Watson, J. Waser, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1958**, *11*, 689.
- [21] S. Hartwig, *Dissertation*, Universität Bayreuth, **2003**.
- [22] T. Gloger, Hinz, G. Meyer, A. Lachgar *Z. Kristallogr.* **1996**, *211*, 821.
- [23] G. Meyer, U. Strack, unpublished results (1980); see also: G. Meyer, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 2637-2631.
- [24] D. H. Guthrie, G. Meyer, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 1192-1201.
- [25] G. Meyer, R. Blachnik, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1983**, *503*, 126-132.
- [26] B. Leuenberger, B. Briat, J. C. Canil, A. Furrer, P. Fischer, H. U. Güdel, *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 2930-2935.
- [27] D. Hinz, T. Gloger, A. Möller, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2000**, *626*, 23-27.
- [28] J. Zhang, J. D. Corbett, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1990**, *580*, 36.
- [29] L. Jongen, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2004**, *630*, 211-212.
- [30] L. Jongen, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2004**, *630*, 1732.
- [31] M. Schroeder, K. Krämer, S. Decurtins, H. Hillebrecht, *Z. Kristallogr.* **2007**, *Suppl. 21*, 079-53.
- [32] D. Hinz, *Dissertation*, Universität Hannover, **1994**.
- [33] G. Schilling, M. Böcker, A. Möller, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2001**, *627*, 1309-1312.
- [34] J. Zhang, R.-Y. Qi, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 4794-4798.
- [35] H. Schäfer, R. Laumann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1981**, *474*, 135; B. Krebs, G. Henkel, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1981**, *474*, 149.
- [36] D. J. Hinz, T. Dedecke, W. Urland, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1994**, *620*, 801-804.
- [37] M. Winkelmann, D. Welz, M. Baehr, D. J. Hinz, T. Dedecke, W. Urland, G. Meyer, *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.* **1995**, *140-144*, 1667-1668.
- [38] H. W. Zandbergen, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1981**, *37*, 308;
- [39] G. Meyer, U. Packruhn, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1985**, *524*, 90;
- [40] G. Meyer, D. J. Hinz, U. Floerke, *Z. Kristallogr.* **1993**, *208*, 370;
- [41] L. Jongen, T. Gloger, J. Beekhuizen, G. Meyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2005**, *631*, 582-586.
- [42] A. Simon, H. G. von Schnering, H. Wöhrle, H. Schäfer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1965**, *339*, 1555-170.
- [43] D. J. Hinz, G. Meyer, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 125-126.
- [44] R. P. Ziebarth, J.D. Corbett, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1989**, *80*, 56.
- [45] H. Schäfer, H. G. Schnering, *Angew. Chem.* **1964**, *76*, 833.

- [46] A. Broll, A. Simon, H. G. von Schnering, H. Schäfer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1969**, 367, 1.
- [47] L. Jongen, A.-V. Mudring, A. Möller, G. Meyer, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, 116, 3245-3248; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 3183-3185.
- [48] L. Jongen, A.-V. Mudring, G. Meyer, *Z. Kristallogr.* **2004**, Suppl. 21, 166.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))
Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 11

Figure 12

Figure 13

Figure 14

Figure 15

Figure 16

Figure 17

Figure 18

Figure 19

Figure 20

Figure 21

Figure 22

Figure 23

Figure 24

Figure 25

Figure 26

Figure 27

Figure 28