Feedback sine wave driver design for ultrasonic transducers

P. Schweitzer, E. Tisserand, A. Hamed, J. Andréa, F. Coutard

► To cite this version:

P. Schweitzer, E. Tisserand, A. Hamed, J. Andréa, F. Coutard. Feedback sine wave driver design for ultrasonic transducers. *European Physical Journal: Applied Physics*, 2009, 47 (1), pp.1-7. 10.1051/ep-jap:2008181 . hal-00480179

HAL Id: hal-00480179

<https://hal.science/hal-00480179>

Submitted on 3 May 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Feedback sine wave driver design for ultrasonic transducers

P. Schweitzer , E. Tisserand , A. Hamed , J. Andréa, F. Coutard

*LIEN, University Henri Poincaré – Nancy 1, B.P. 239,
54506 VANDOEUVRE-LES-NANCY, FRANCE.
Patrick.Schweitzer@lien.uhp-nancy.fr*

Abstract

The optimal sinusoidal excitation of an ultrasonic transducer requests a knowledge of the frequency and the impedance of the used ceramic. These parameters, that vary during the application, depend on the characteristics of the transducer but also on the acoustic load of the propagation medium. In the search for an adaptive excitation, we propose the design of a digital generator assuring the functions of automatic tuning and impedance matching. The design uses the Butterworth-Van Dyke model of piezoelectric ceramics.

The method of determination and identification of the model parameters is presented and applied on three different transducers.

The negative feedback of the generator is carried out by the signal measured on the transducers. The dynamic voltage being very variable, the output resistor of the driver is controlled by transducer impedance.

This feedback control allows the stability of the output voltage to a constant value whatever the frequency and the medium is.

A Simulink® model of the regulation loop shows that the frequency tuning could be realized by exploiting the command signal of the driver resistance. The precision and the stability of the feedback system are tested for frequencies between 1 to 3 MHz.

Keywords : Ultrasound, feedback generator, sine wave driver.

1. Introduction

It is well known that ultrasonic transducers are mainly composed of piezoelectric material. To obtain the best axial resolution, in echographic imaging, the excitation is realised by a pulse discharge. In many other applications like velocimetry Doppler, non destructive control, the cutting or the thermo-welding by ultrasounds, we generally use a sinusoidal excitation in continuous or pulse mode. In this case, to obtain an effective excitation, the generator frequency must be equal to the vibration mode of the transducer. This optimal frequency, located between the serie and parallel electric resonances of the transducer, can vary under the influence of many parameters like :

- the variations of the acoustic characteristics of the front and the back mediums [1].
- the ambient temperature.

To these variations, we can also mention the characteristics drifts related to age or fatigue of the transducer [2, 3].

The excitation efficiency is defined by the ratio of the acoustic power emitted by the transducer on the electric power supplied by the generator. The best ratio and the largest bandwidth are obtained when the resistance of the output driver is similar to the real part of the transducer impedance [4].

For practical applications this adaptation is difficult to realise because the transducer impedance depends on the acoustic load which can vary largely.

In order to solve these difficulties, some generators integrate an automatic adjustment of the frequency. Others have an adjustable output resistance. In patent [5], the authors propose an automatic adjustment of the generator output resistance only for a pulsed excitation. The originality of our work lies in the automatic frequency control of the generator simultaneously realized with the control of its output resistance. A tuning ensures the automatic detection of the transducer resonance band.

The electric behaviour of a transducer with single vibration mode is represented by the Butterworth-Van Dycke (BVD) model presented in the first part. We describe the procedure to quickly determine the model parameters and the transducer impedance from the geometric and piezoelectric specifications.

After the presentation of the feedback generator principle, we have studied in the second part the influence of its output resistance on the frequential voltage dynamic applied to the transducer.

In the last part we propose an automatic control of the output driver resistance. The simulation of the closed loop is realized with Simulink® for frequencies between 1 to 3 MHz. The validation of the results are presented.

2. Parameters and values of the BVD model

2.1. Parameters identification method

The Butterworth Van Dyke electric model of a piezoelectric ceramic [6, 7, 8, 9] is represented in figure 1. We can also define frequencies ranges where the transducer can be reduced to simpler models (figure 1) [10, 11].

Fig 1 : Electric models of a piezoelectric transducer

The standard IEEE gives the equations permitting to determine the piezoelectric characteristics of a transducer in single vibration mode with low electric and mecanic losses [12, 13].

The theoretical relations to determine the model components are given in Table 1.

Components	Theoretical relations	Physical parameters
C_0	$C_0 = \frac{e_{33}^s \cdot A}{d}$	e_{33}^s : the permittivity of the piezoelectric material A : the emitting surface d : the thickness of the vibrating material
R_0	$R_0 = \frac{1}{w \cdot C_0 \cdot \tan d_e}$	$\tan(\delta_e)$: the dielectric loss tangent

C_1	$C_1 = \frac{k_{eff}^2}{1 - k_{eff}^2} \cdot C_0$	$k_{eff} = \sqrt{\frac{8 \cdot k^2}{p^2}}$: the effective coupling factor on the principal resonance k : the global coupling factor
L_1	$L_1 = \frac{1}{C_1 \cdot \omega_r^2}$	ω_s : the pulsation of serial resonance
R'_1	$R'_1 = \frac{L \cdot \omega_r}{Q}$	Q : the mecanic quality factor of the piezoelectric material
R''_1	$R''_1 = \frac{p \cdot (Z_B + Z_F)}{4 \cdot k^2 \cdot C_0 \cdot Z_C \cdot \omega_r}$	Z_C : the mecanic impedance of the piezoelectric material Z_B et Z_F : the mecanic impedance of the front and back propagation medium

Table 1 : model BVD parameters for a piezoelectric element vibrating in thickness mode.

According to the figure 1, the BVD model represents a complex impedance equal to :

$$Z_{\text{model_BVD}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_0} + j \cdot \omega \cdot C_0 + j \cdot \omega C_1 \cdot \frac{(1 - \omega^2 \cdot L_1 \cdot C_1) - j \cdot \omega \cdot (R'_1 + R''_1) \cdot C_1}{((R'_1 + R''_1) \cdot \omega \cdot C_1)^2 + (1 - \omega^2 \cdot L_1 \cdot C_1)^2}} \quad (1)$$

The serial resonance frequencies f_s and parallel ones f_p associated to the impedance are written :

$$f_s = \frac{1}{2p \sqrt{L_1 \cdot C_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{eff}^2 = \frac{f_p^2 - f_s^2}{f_p^2} \quad (2)$$

Around the frequency f_s , the impedance becomes:

$$|Z_{f_s}| = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{R_0} + \frac{1}{R'_1} \right)^2 + \frac{C_0^2}{L_1 \cdot C_1}} \quad (3)$$

For a type P1-88 ceramic at the frequency f_s , R_0 can be negligated. In this case the impedance module can be written:

$$|Z_{f_s}| = \frac{(R'_1)}{\left(\frac{R'_1 \cdot C_0}{\sqrt{L_1 \cdot C_1}} \right)^2 + 1} \quad \left(\frac{R'_1 \cdot C_0}{\sqrt{L_1 \cdot C_1}} \right)^2 \ll 1 \quad \text{we obtain} \quad |Z_{f_s}| = R'_1 \quad (4)$$

The resistance R'_1 can be identified by measuring the module of the impedance at f_s .

The low frequency measures of C_0 and R_0 are carried out by an LCR meter between 1 kHz and 10 kHz.

The value of R_0 can be estimated from the value of the impedance Z at f_p :

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{|Z_{f_p}|} - \frac{C_0 \cdot R'_1}{R_1'^2 \cdot C_0 + L_1 \cdot k_{eff}^2}} \quad (5)$$

2.2 Example

The identification of the model components is made with a 20*20 mm² P1-88 transducer (T3-Table 3), vibrating in thickness mode (2 MHz). The measurements of the impedance around the resonance frequencies are:

$$f_s = 1,97\text{MHz} ; f_p = 2,234\text{MHz} ; |Z_{f_s}| = 0,8\Omega ; |Z_{f_p}| = 414\Omega ; k_{eff} = 0,47$$

In low frequency the estimated value of C_0 is 3.02 nF [14].

$$R'_1 = 0,8 ; C_1 = \frac{k_{eff}^2}{1 - k_{eff}^2} C_0 = 0,86\text{nF} ; L_1 = \frac{1}{C_1 \cdot \omega_r^2} = 7,6\mu\text{H} \text{ and } R_0 = 1,02\text{k}\Omega$$

In the table 2, we can see that the identified values are near to theoretical ones.

Parameters	Theoretical values (Table 1)	Measured values
C_0	2,96 nF	3,02 nF
f_s	2,02 MHz	1,97 MHz
L_1	8,68 μH	7,6 μH
C_1	715 pF	860 pF
R'_1	1,38 Ω	0,8 Ω
R_0	1,85 k Ω	1,02 k Ω

Table 2 Theoretical and identified values of the BVD model.

The procedure of identification, which can be generalized, is not presented for the other tested transducers.

2.3 Transducers features

For our experiments, we have used three different piezoelectric ceramics whose main features and complex impedance are given in Table 3. Air is the medium of propagation .

The impedance of the transducers is obtained from the Butterworth Van Dyke's model (1). The numerical values are calculated from the theoretical equations of the components (R_0 , C_0 , ...) given in table 1.

Transducer	Geometry and dimensions	Impedance of the transducers
T1	Thin disc e = 0.91 mm Ø 20 mm	$Z_1(s) = \frac{6,18 \cdot 10^{-15} s^2 + 9,84 \cdot 10^{-10} s + 1}{1,44 \cdot 10^{-23} s^3 + 5,95 \cdot 10^{-18} s^2 + 2,89 \cdot 10^{-9} s + 5,92 \cdot 10^{-4}} \quad (6)$
T2	Thin disc e = 1 mm Ø 50 mm	$Z_2(s) = \frac{5,13 \cdot 10^{-15} s^2 + 8,95 \cdot 10^{-10} s + 1}{8,2 \cdot 10^{-23} s^3 + 3,71 \cdot 10^{-17} s^2 + 1,98 \cdot 10^{-8} s + 4,44 \cdot 10^{-3}} \quad (7)$
T3	Thin square e = 1 mm 20 x 20 mm	$Z_3(s) = \frac{6,2 \cdot 10^{-15} s^2 + 9,87 \cdot 10^{-17} s + 1}{1,83 \cdot 10^{-23} s^3 + 6,27 \cdot 10^{-18} s^2 + 3,68 \cdot 10^{-9} s + 5,4 \cdot 10^{-4}} \quad (8)$

Table 3. Specifications and impedance of the three transducers.

3. Automatic tuning of the digital generator

3.1 The feedback generator

For a piezoelectric system, the optimal operating frequency is located between the serial resonance frequency (f_s) and parallel resonance frequency (f_p) of the transducer.

Among methods of tuning the operating frequency of a piezoelectric transducer, one technique is based on a phase locked loop frequency controlled feedback generator [15, 16]. An other method uses the admittance of the transducer as tuning parameter [17].

The solution proposed in a preceeding work [18, 19] is to analyse the excitation signal of the transducer [20, 21]. This one can be, under certain conditions, the image of the transducer impedance. In this study, the output resistance R_G of the generator is set to 50 Ω . The structure of an automatic tuning feedback generator is presented in figure 2.

Fig 2 : Automatic tuning generator.

A control unit through a feedback detects the parallel resonance frequency for which the impedance of the transducer is maximum. The impedance module is obtained from the envelope of the excitation signal V_T .

A numerical algorithm uses the frequencial variations of the transducer voltage to detect the resonance band.

3.2 Influence of the output resistance of the generator on the dynamic of V_T

When the variations dV_T/df are not sufficient the detection of f_s and f_p is not precise. This situation can be found when the transducer impedance is too low or too high relatively with R_G (figure 3).

Fig 3 : Electrical circuit.

The figure 4 represents the relative voltage V_T obtained for 4 values of R_G for two ceramics. These theoretical figures are calculated with Matlab.

We can see that:

- A too low R_G value makes more difficult the search for the maximal amplitude of $V_T(f)$.
- A too high R_G value compresses the curve and make more difficult the search for the minimal amplitude of $V_T(f)$.

To resolve this problem, we propose an automatic control of the resistance R_G .

The second interest of this is to ensure a constant power transfer when the acoustic impedance of the medium varies.

Fig 4 : $V_T(f)$ for different values of R_G

In order to verify the feasibility of the automatic control of R_G we have simulated the regulation loop which is the subject of the following paragraph. The practical realization of the device is conditioned to the development of the generator output resistance which must be adjustable in real-time.

For that, it is possible to use a digitally adjustable resistor or a MOS active resistor.

4. Simulation of the automatic control of the driver output resistance

4.1 Regulation principle

The V_T voltage is regulated at a constant value.

For $V_T = 0.5V_G$ the best sensibility of $V_T(f)$ with the impedance module $|Z|$ is obtained.

Indeed, by supposing that $Z = R$, we have:

$$\frac{V_T}{V_G} = \frac{R}{R + R_G} \quad (9)$$

The sensibility $\frac{dV_T}{dR} = \frac{R_G}{(R + R_G)^2}$ is maximal when $R_G \equiv R$ that means $V_T = 0.5V_G$

At the frequencies f_s et f_p , the transducer impedance is real. Thus, at these frequencies, the condition $V_T = 0.5V_G$ corresponds to an impedance matching which ensures a maximum transfer of electric power between the generator and the transducer.

Except these frequencies, the transducer impedance is $Z = R + jX$

$$\text{In this case, } \left| \frac{V_T}{V_G} \right| = \frac{|Z|}{\sqrt{(R + R_G)^2 + X^2}} = \frac{|Z|}{\sqrt{|Z|^2 + R_G(2R + R_G)}} \quad (10)$$

The equation $\frac{|Z|}{\sqrt{|Z|^2 + R_G(2R + R_G)}} = \frac{1}{2}$ has a positive solution given by:

$$R_G = -R + \sqrt{R^2 + 3|Z|^2} \quad (11)$$

Theoretically, by regulating the voltage V_T around the command $0.5V_G$, the resistance R_G follows the evolution of the transducer impedance module.

The scheme of the regulation is represented in figure 5.

Fig 5 : Principle of the automatic resistance control.

Comments

The synthesizer generates a constant voltage V_G with a frequency between $f_{\min}$ to $f_{\max}$.

The PI controller drives the resistance R_G . An increase of R_G generates the decrease of V_T . This explains why the feedback loop is connected to the positive input and the command to the negative input of the adder.

The output $V_T(\omega)$ of the piezoelectric system is rectified then filtered to extract the RMS value that equals to $\frac{2V_T}{\pi}$ for a rectified sinusoidal signal.

The cutoff frequency $\frac{1}{2\pi\tau_1}$ of the filter is chosen equal to about $\frac{f_{\min}}{100}$ to ensure a low ripple rate at the input differentiator for $f_{\min}$.

The numerator $1 + \tau_1 s$ of the PI controller has a same constant of time than the low-pass filter in order to compensate his pole and to reduce the delay.

The value $\frac{G}{\tau_2}$ of the PI is calculated to get the best compromise between accuracy and stability of regulation.

4.3 Simulink diagram

We have simulated the dynamic comportment of the feedback system with Simulink®. The complete simulation diagram (with $V_G=1$) is presented in figure 6.

Fig 6 : Simulink diagram of the regulation.

Comparing to the original scheme, we transform the voltage divider $\frac{Z}{Z + R_G}$ to an implanting system with Simulink where the value R_G can be isolated.

We note $Z(s)$ the complex impedance of the transducer where s represents Laplace variable.

When writing $\frac{Z(s)}{Z(s) + R_G}$ in the form $\frac{\frac{Z(s)}{R_G}}{1 + \frac{Z(s)}{R_G}}$ we obtain a secondary looped system.

The scanning frequency of the voltage V_G is provided by VCO. The input signal is a ramp with the slope adjusts the scanning rate. The initial value of resistance R_G is fixed to 50Ω in order to avoid a division by 0 at the beginning of the process.

4.4 Experimental conditions

We have tested the comportement of feedback system for three different transducers whose characteristics are given in table 1. These transducers have a theoretical resonance range between 2 and 2.5 MHz. We limit the frequential range of analysis between $f_{\min} = 1.8$ MHz and $f_{\max} = 2.8$ MHz. Time of analysis is 10 ms with a 100 MHz/s scanning rate.

The constant τ_1 of the low-pass filter is fixed to 10^{-5} s, this corresponds to a cutoff frequency of 16 kHz $\approx \frac{f_{\min}}{112}$. The ripple frequency ($2f_{\min}$) of the rectified signal is attenuated by about 47 dB.

4.5 Dynamic results.

The dynamic behaviour of the system depends on the choice between stability and precision of V_T . This can be done by adjusting the ratio $k = \frac{G}{\tau_2}$. Figure 6 represents the V_T level of the

transducer T1 versus frequency for three values of k : $k_1 = 10^6$; $k_2 = 10^7$; $k_3 = 10^8$ and $k_4 = 10^9$. The dynamic behaviour obtained for the two other transducers are similar.

Fig 7 : Level V_T of T1 versus frequency.

The amplitude V_T is kept close to 0.5. The differences are mainly around the antiresonance frequency f_p (2.27 MHz). The accuracy of the feedback increases with k . But for k between 10^8 and 10^9 the system becomes unstable (example for k_4).

4.6 Detection of the resonance band

The module of the three transducer impedances, represented in figure 8, can be compared to signals $R_G(f)$ obtained for the three feedbacks (Figure 9) with $\tau_1 = 10^{-5}$ and $k = 10^6$.

Fig 8 : Theoretical impedance modulus

Fig 9 : $R_G(f)$ versus frequency.

We can see that the curves $|Z(f)|$ and $R_G(f)$ are similar. In order to verify that a precise detection of the resonance is possible, we compare in table 4, frequency and impedance characteristics from the theoretical diagrams and the feedback curves.

		f_s (MHz)	$Z_{\min}$ (Ω)	f_p (MHz)	$Z_{\max}$ (Ω)
T1	Theoretical values	2.011	1.7527	2.2284	400.1667
	Simulink values	2.024482	1.6774	2.272971	348.5288
	Relative difference (%)	- 0.66	4.5	-1.9	14.8
T2	Theoretical values	2.1957	0.2313	2.435	53.3111
	Simulink values	2.220882	0.1624	2.482114	53.2930
	Relative difference (%)	-1	42	-1.9	0.03
T3	Theoretical values	2.008	1.3516	2.2303	343.2421
	Simulink values	2.021244	1.2754	2.273323	302.75
	Relative difference (%)	-0.65	5.9	-1.9	13.4

Table 4 : Theoretical and measured frequencies and impedances.

These comparisons show:

- the very good agreement between the theoretical values and the simulated values.
- a high impedance dynamic (approximately 48 dB) of the transducers in their range of resonance.
- These dynamic results from the low acoustic load of air
- the frequential relative variations do not exceed 1% for the resonance frequencies (f_s) and 2% for frequencies antiresonance (f_p).

Note : for higher values of k , the differences are lower.

These results show that the V_T control allows to detect precisely the resonance of each transducer.

6. Conclusion

In order to excite in sinus mode a piezoelectric transducer with variable acoustic charges, we need a frequency and impedance feedback generator.

To optimize the efficiency of power transfer between the generator and transducer, we propose the design of a controlled output resistance generator. The feedback allows to maintain a constant voltage applied to transducer whatever the transducer, the used frequency and the load medium. The transducer electric model of vibration mode is a single type Butterworth Van Dyke which takes into account impedance acoustic propagation medium.

The scanning frequency permits to detect the resonance band of the transducer. The complete system is simulated in a closed loop with Simulink® for three different transducers.

We see that the correction signal of R_G is perfectly representative of the impedance module of the transducer.

The last step of this work is to realize an electronic variable resistor to test our system in a real situation.

The applications of this work concerning: the real-time control of an ultrasound system, the detection of the transducer failure, the control of the interface conditions between the transducer and the medium.

6. References

- [1] F. Coutard, Ph.D. in Electronics, thesis, University of Nancy – France, 2007.
- [2] Gerber P., Kügeler C., Schorn P. and al, Applied Physics letters. **86**, 1397 (2005).
- [3] Zhang Y., Lupascu DC., Balke N. and Rödel J., J. Phys. IV **128**, 97 (2005).
- [4] Y. Le Guerinel, R. Mallart, European Patent EP0595400, 1994.
- [5] V. Loyau, G. Feuillard, J. Appl. Phys. **100**, n° 034909 (2006).
- [6] K.S. Van Dyke, Physical Review **25**, 895 (1925).
- [7] W. P. Mason, Physical Review **58**, (1940).
- [8] S. Sherit, H.D. Wiederick, B. K. Mukherjee and M. Sayer, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **30**, 2354 (1997).
- [9] A. F. Holloway, A. Nabok, M. Thompson, A. K. Ray, T. Wilkop, Sens. Actuators **B 99**, 360 (2004).
- [10] Miodrag Prokic, *Piezoelectric transducers modeling*, MP Interconsulting, 2004.
- [11] Dwane Rose, Load Resonant Measurement of Quartz Crystals report, Saunders & Associates, Inc., 1989.
- [12] IEEE Standard Definitions and Methods of Measurement for Piezoelectric Vibrators (177), 1966.
- [13] T. L. Jordan, NASA/CR-2001-211225, ICASE Report No. 2001-28, 2001.
- [14] William J. Marshall, Acoustics Research Letters Online **5**, 106 (2004).
- [15] J.R. Friend, D.S. Stutts, in *Proceedings IEEE Ultrason. Symp. 1999*, Vol. 1, p 653.
- [16] A. Ramos-Fernandez, J. A. Gallego-Juarez, Ultrasonics **23**, 151 (1985).
- [17] W. Littmann, T. Hemsel, C. Kauczor, J. Wallaschek, and M. Sinha, in *Proceedings WCU 2003, Paris, september 7-10*.
- [18] F. Coutard, P. Schweitzer, E. Tisserand, in *Proceedings of the 19th international congress on acoustics, ICA 2007 Madrid*, ISBN 84-87985-12-2.

- [19] F. Coutard, P. Schweitzer, E. Tisserand, *Measurement Science and Technology* **19**, (2008).
- [20] A. Ureche, K.D. Leukanech, *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.* **2**, 686 (1996).
- [21] A. Arnau, T. Sogorb, Y. Jimenez, *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Contr.* **48** n° 2, 617 (2001).