Leishmania donovani RAN-GTPase interacts at the nuclear rim with linker histone H1

Despina Smirlis, Haralabia Boleti, Maria Gaitanou, Manuel Soto, Ketty Soteriadou

► To cite this version:

Despina Smirlis, Haralabia Boleti, Maria Gaitanou, Manuel Soto, Ketty Soteriadou. *Leishmania donovani* RAN-GTPase interacts at the nuclear rim with linker histone H1. *Biochemical Journal*, 2009, 424 (3), pp.367-374. 10.1042/BJ20090576 . hal-00479187

HAL Id: hal-00479187

<https://hal.science/hal-00479187>

Submitted on 30 Apr 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2 *LEISHMANIA DONOVANI* RAN-GTPase INTERACTS AT THE NUCLEAR
3 RIM WITH LINKER HISTONE H1
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

11 Despina Smirlis*, Haralabia Boleti *[§], Maria Gaitanou †, Manuel Soto ‡, Ketty Soteriadou*
12
13

14 *Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology, Department of Microbiology, † Laboratory of
15 Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology, Department of Biochemistry, [§]Light Microscopy Unit,
16 Hellenic Pasteur Institute, 127 Bas. Sofias Ave., 11521, Athens, Greece; ‡ Centro de Biología
17 Molecular Severo Ochoa (CSIC-UAM), Departamento de Biología Molecular, Universidad
18 Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Address correspondence to: Despina Smirlis, Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology,
41 Department of Microbiology, Hellenic Pasteur Institute, 127 Bas. Sofias Ave., 11521,
42 Athens, Greece, Tel: +30 2106478879 Fax: + 30 210 6426323; e-mail address:
43 penny@pasteur.gr

SYNOPSIS

Ran-GTPase regulates multiple cellular processes such as nucleo-cytoplasmic transport, mitotic spindle assembly, nuclear envelope assembly, cell-cycle progression and the mitotic checkpoint. The leishmanial Ran protein contrary to its mammalian counterpart which is predominately nucleoplasmic is localised at the nuclear rim. The focus of this paper was to characterise the *L.donovani* Ran orthologue (*LdRan*) with emphasis on the Ran-histone association. *LdRan* was found to be developmentally regulated, expressed three times less in the amastigote stage. *LdRan* over-expression caused a growth defect linked to a delayed S-phase progression in promastigotes like its mammalian counterpart. We report for the first time that Ran interacts with a linker histone -histone H1- *in vitro* and that the two proteins co-localise at the parasite nuclear rim. Interaction of Ran with core histones H3 and H4, creating in metazoans a chromosomal Ran-GTP gradient important for mitotic spindle assembly, is speculative in *Leishmania spp.*, not only because this parasite undergoes a closed mitosis but also because the main localisation of *LdRan* is different from that of core histone H3. Interaction of Ran with the leishmanial linker histone H1 (*LeishH1*), suggests that this association maybe involved in modulation of other pathways than those documented for the metazoan Ran-core histone association.

KEYWORDS

Leishmania, cell-cycle, chromosomal gradient, over-expression.

SHORT TITLE

LdRan at the nuclear rim interacts with histone H1

ABBREVIATIONS FOOTNOTE

Ab, antibody; pAb, polyclonal antibody; mAb, monoclonal antibody; CAS, Cellular Apoptosis Susceptibility; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GST, glutathione transferase; NTF2, Nuclear Factor Factor 2; Ran, RAS-related Nuclear protein; Ran binding protein 1, RanBP1; RCC1, Regulator of chromosome condensation 1.

INTRODUCTION

Ran-GTPase belongs to the Ras superfamily of monomeric G proteins that switches between a GDP- and a GTP- bound form [1]. The transition from Ran-GDP to Ran-GTP occurs only by nucleotide exchange. The nuclear exchange factor RCC1 catalyses this reaction and results in efficient generation of nuclear Ran-GTP [2]. The conversion of Ran-GTP to Ran-GDP is catalyzed in the cytosol by Ran-GAP1, which activates Ran's intrinsic GTPase activity [3]. Ran is involved in multiple cellular processes such as modulation of nucleo-cytoplasmic transport of macromolecules across the nuclear envelope [4], mitotic spindle assembly [5], post-mitotic nuclear envelope assembly [6], cell-cycle progression [7] and the mitotic checkpoint [8].

The predominant localisation of Ran-GTPase in most eukaryotic cells is in the nucleoplasm, where it is mostly found in the GTP bound form [7]. The Ran-GTP gradient across the interphase nuclear envelope and on the condensed mitotic chromosomes is essential for many cellular processes, including nucleo-cytoplasmic transport and spindle assembly [9]. The mammalian Ran-GTPase is known to interact in the nucleoplasm with chromatin. This interaction occurs via two distinct mechanisms. One mechanism is the interaction of Ran with its nucleotide exchange factor RCC1 which in turn interacts with histones H2A and H2B [10] and the other via a direct binding of Ran to histone H3 and histone H4 [11]. The Ran-RCC1 binary complex binds stably to chromatin and ensures that RCC1 couples its guanylyl exchange factor (GEF) activity to chromosome binding [12]. Via

these core histone-Ran/RCC1 interactions, at least in animal cells, Ran-GTP appears to form during mitosis a gradient with the highest concentration on the condensed chromosomes that tapers off towards the periphery of the cell [12]. Experiments in *Xenopus* egg extracts further suggest that a high Ran-GTP concentration near the chromosomes stimulates microtubule nucleation, whereas microtubule stabilisation is favoured by the lower concentration of Ran-GTP found farther away from the chromosomes [13]. These differential effects of Ran-GTP on microtubules could be critical for spindle assembly. Taking together these findings indicate that the mitotic Ran-GTP chromosomal concentration gradient is important to navigate spindle assembly towards the condensed, RCC1-rich chromosomes in animal cells.

The RanGTP-chromosomal gradient is not so evident in systems where Ran is not predominantly nucleoplasmic. Only a few examples of non-nucleoplasmic localization of Ran are known to date. One such example is the localisation of the Ran2 protein of *Arabidopsis*, a plant orthologue of Ran localised in the nuclear envelope/rim and in perinuclear structures [14]. Another example is *T. gondii*'s Ran-GTPase orthologue, that was detected throughout the cell [15]. Additionally the trypanosomatid *L. major* Ran-GTPase (*LmjRan*) fused to GFP was recently found to decorate a nuclear envelope "collar" and to be closely associated with nuclear pore complexes [16].

Leishmania is a protozoan parasite, a member of the *Trypanosomatidae* family, which is responsible for a spectrum of diseases in man. Depending on the *Leishmania* species and on the immunological response of the host, the disease ranges from self-healing skin lesions to life-threatening visceral leishmaniasis causing extensive morbidity and mortality [17]. Fourteen million people are infected with *Leishmania* with an estimated yearly incidence of 1.5-2 million new cases [17]. *Leishmania* is transmitted by the blood sucking phlebotomine sand fly. During its life-cycle the parasite exists in two forms, as extracellular flagellated promastigote in the insect vector and in the non-motile amastigote form in the acidic phagolysosome of the macrophage in the mammalian host [18]. Recent advances in parasite differentiation and survival strategies within the macrophages have facilitated the understanding of key aspects in *Leishmania* pathogenesis, although many more remain unknown (reviewed in 19).

The fundamental processes of cell-biology mediated by Ran-GTPase are expected to play a crucial role in survival and growth strategies of the Trypanosomatid parasites. A Ran orthologue in *T. brucei*, *rtb2* [20], has been shown to be an essential gene for parasite survival [16]. The *L. major* orthologue was recently identified and was shown to co-localise at the nuclear membrane with the homologue of nucleoporin Sec13 [16]. Several potential partners of *LmjRan* have been identified by BLAST search (NTF2, CAS, RANBP1) and their localisation matches the nuclear envelope localisation of *LmjRan* [16].

This paper describes the investigation of a *LdRan* interaction with the leishmanial histones H1, H2B and H3 (LeishH1, LeishH2B and LeishH3 respectively). *LdRan* was found to specifically interact with LeishH1 and co-localise with this histone at the nuclear rim. This is the first evidence of an interaction of a Ran protein with a linker histone opening the field to a more in depth investigation on the purpose of this interaction to the parasite's cell biology.

EXPERIMENTAL:

Plasmids

The gene encoding *LdRan* (Genebank accession EU456549) was amplified by PCR, from genomic *L. donovani* (MHOM /ET/ 0000/ HUSSEN) DNA. The forward and reverse primers used were the 5' TTT TGG AAT TCT ATG CAA CAG GCA CCC TCG 3' and the 5' ATG

GGC GAT GAC GAG GGA CTC GAG GCCG^{3'} respectively, based on the *L. infantum* DNA sequence. The PCR product was cloned in the *EcoRI* and *XhoI* site of the pTriex1.1 (Novagen, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), in frame with the C-terminal -6 His tag to generate the pTriex-*LdRan* plasmid.

For the generation of a leishmanial *LdRan* expression plasmid, the *LdRan* encoding DNA was amplified from genomic *L. donovani* (MHOM/ET/0000/HUSSEN) DNA by using as forward and reverse primers the 5'GCA CGG ATC CGT ACA CCA TGC AAC AGG CAC C^{3'} and the 5'GAC ACT CGA GGG GTC TCA CTC GTC ATC^{3'} respectively. The PCR product was then digested with *BamHI* and *XhoI* and inserted in the *BglII* and *XhoI* site of the LEXSY-SAT vector, to generate the *LdRan*-SAT plasmid.

Murine Rab1a (accession number AF226873) cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR using the forward and reverse primers, 5'CGC GGA TCC ATG TCC AGC ATG AAT CCC G^{3'} and 5'ATA AGA ATG CGG CCG CTT AGC AGC AGC C^{3'} respectively. The amplified product was cloned in the *BamHI* and *NotI* restriction sites of pGEX4T1 plasmid as a fusion protein with Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST).

The *Leishmania* Histone H1 (LeishH1) gene was cloned in the pGEX-4T1 as previously described [21]. The myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase gene (INO1) was cloned in the pTriex1.1 plasmid as previously described [22].

Cell Culture and transfection

L. donovani (MHOM/ET/0000/HUSSEN) promastigotes were cultured in Medium 199 containing 10% v/v heat inactivated foetal bovine serum (HIFBS) at 26°C as previously described [21]. *L. donovani* parasites were transfected with the *Leishmania* expression plasmids (supercoiled, transfected as episomes) SAT, *LdRan*-SAT as previously described [21]. For selection of transgenic parasites 100 µg/ml of noursethricin (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany) was used. To assess the growth of these parasites, parasites were immobilised by addition of 30 µl 3.7% formaldehyde in 1 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and counted in a malassez haemocytometer.

Axenic *L. donovani* amastigotes were generated as previously described [21].

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed by the method of Laemmli [23]. For immunoblotting proteins were transferred on a nitrocellulose filter (Hybond C, Amersham Biosciences) and immunoblotting was performed as previously described with the use of 3,3' diaminobenzidine as a chromogenic substrate [24] or by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL Plus, GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturers instructions. For the quantification of immunoblot bands, the Alpha imager software (Alpha Innotech) was used.

Production of recombinant proteins and generation of antibodies

Recombinant *LdRan* and *LinINO1* were generated as C-terminal -6 His tagged proteins in the *Escherichia coli* strain *BL21pLysS*, as previously described [21] and the recombinant proteins were purified on a Ni-NTA matrix under denaturing conditions according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA).

300 µg (*LdRan* and *INO1*) and 30 µg [(LeishH2B, LeishH3),[25]] and *LdRan* of recombinant proteins were used per injection for the immunisation of 2 New Zealand white rabbits and 2 Balb/c mice respectively for each protein, as previously described [21]. Affinity purified Abs (anti-*LdRan*, anti-LeishH1, anti-LeishH2B and anti-LeishH3) were isolated by low pH elution from nitrocellulose strips with purified *LdRan*, LeishH1, LeishH2B and

LeishH3 respectively, as previously described [21]. A second step of affinity purification of the anti-*LdRan* Ab, was followed to ensure its specificity.

Immunofluorescence

L. donovani promastigotes ($3\text{--}5 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$) were washed once with PBS and then fixed (20 min, RT) with PBS containing 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PF) or with ice cold methanol for 5 min. The parasite cells were then permeabilised and blocked by incubation (1 h, room temperature) with blocking buffer (0.3 % w/v Bovine serum albumin, 0.1 % Triton-X-100 in PBS) and were subsequently stained with the affinity purified anti-*LdRan* Ab (0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) diluted in blocking buffer. For co-localisation studies affinity purified rabbit anti-LeishH1 [21], mouse anti-LeishH2B, anti-LeishH3 and anti-*LdRan* pAbs were used at concentrations ranging from 2-10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. The commercially available mAb specific for nuclear pore complex proteins that recognises the conserved FXFG repeats in nucleoporins (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used at a final concentration of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. Incubation with the primary Abs, was performed overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C. After extensive washing the appropriate secondary Abs were added, anti-rabbit and anti-mouse Alexa 546 and Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at a final concentration of 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ blocking buffer, for 2 hs at room temperature. The secondary Ab was removed with extensive washing and the parasite DNA was stained (10 min, at room temperature) with 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ propidium iodide (PI) solution in PBS containing 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ RNase. Samples were washed twice with PBS and the coverslips were mounted with Mowiol. Microscopic analysis of the samples was performed by a Leica TCS SP confocal microscope using the 63X apochromat lens.

For quantifying co-localisation of *LdRan* with LeishH1 the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) and the Red/Green and Green/Red pixel correlation, were calculated by the Intensity Correlation Analysis program ImagePro 5 software (Media Cybernetics) from a typical image out of at least 15 cells from 3 independently performed experiments.

Cell-synchronisation and flow cytometry

L. donovani parasites in the logarithmic phase ($\sim 5 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$) were synchronised with 5 mM hydroxyurea (HU) in the G1/S border of the cell-cycle, as previously described [21]. PI labelling and Flow Cytometry (FACS) analysis in a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (Becton-Dickinson Immunocytometer System, San Jose, CA, USA) were performed as previously described [21].

LdRan and GST-LeishH1 pull-down assays

LdRan was purified under denaturing conditions (6M urea), as described above, and maintained bound to the Ni-NTA beads ($\sim 2\mu\text{g}$ of *LdRan* per reaction). The urea was removed by washing the beads 5 times with 10 bed volumes of PBS pH 8. The beads were finally resuspended in 1 ml leishmanial protein extract (2mg/ml) in PBS pH 8, containing 5 mM MgCl_2 , 1 mM PMSF, 2.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ aprotinin and 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ pepstatin. As a control, 2 μg of GST or GST-Rab1a were immobilised on glutathione sepharose 4B beads (Amersham) and incubated with 1ml parasite protein extract (2mg/ml). The binding reaction was performed at room temperature for 3 hs. Subsequently, unbound proteins were removed by centrifugation and the beads were washed four times with 10 volumes of PBS pH 8. Finally, proteins bound to the beads, were eluted with an equal volume of elution buffer (50 mM Na_2PO_4 pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole) three times.

For the GST-LeishH1 pull-down assays, 2 μg of GST and GST-LeishH1 [21] were immobilised on 50 μl of glutathione sepharose 4B beads according to the manufacturer's instruction (Amersham Pharmacia Biochem, St Albans, Herts, UK) and incubated for 3 hs at room temperature with 1 ml leishmanial protein extract (2 mg/ ml) in PBS containing 5 mM

MgCl₂, 1% (v/v) Triton-X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 2.5 µg/ml aprotinin and 1 µg/ml pepstatin. Glutathione sepharose beads were subsequently washed 4 times with 20 volumes of PBS containing 5 mM MgCl₂ and 1% (v/v) Triton-X-100 and then frozen at -20°C prior to their analysis by SDS/PAGE and Western Blot.

RESULTS:

Identification and characterisation of LdRan; LdRan is developmentally regulated

An open reading frame (ORF) encoding a putative leishmanial Ran orthologue was identified (LinJ25.1470) as a single locus on chromosome 25, in the *Leishmania infantum* (*L. infantum*) genome after a search in the *Leishmania* GeneDB database. Primers based on the *L. infantum* ORF LinJ25.1470, were designed and the putative *L. donovani* Ran (*LdRan*) gene was amplified and cloned in the bacterial expression plasmid pTriex with a 6-His C-terminal tag.

The putative *LdRan* protein (GenBank accession number EU426549), was identical to the *L. infantum* and *L. major* Ran (*LinRan* and *LmjRan* respectively) orthologues. Amino acid sequence alignment of *LdRan* with Ran proteins from different species showed that it is highly conserved, having an 80 % aminoacid sequence identity with Ran orthologues from species as distant as *Homo sapiens*. Western blot analysis using the generated anti-*LdRan* specific Ab showed that *LdRan* is expressed in *L. donovani* promastigotes as a ~25 kDa protein, in agreement with the predicted molecular weight (24,223 kDa) (fig.1A).

LdRan expression was also evaluated in axenic amastigotes [21] by western blot analysis using the *LdRan* specific Ab (Fig.1A) and by immunofluorescence (data not shown). Scanning densitometry of the detected bands revealed that *LdRan* expression level was 3-fold lower in amastigotes. As a control for loading equal number of cells, the blot was probed with the antiserum against *L. infantum* myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase (*LinINO1*), a 46 kDa protein which is equally expressed in promastigotes and amastigotes [26] (Fig.1A1). Expression of A2 proteins was also checked with the anti-A2 C9 mAb to ensure that axenic amastigotes had properly differentiated and expressed amastigote specific proteins as expected (fig.1A2).

Over-expression of LdRan delays cell-cycle progression in Leishmania

LdRan was over-expressed by stable transfection of *L. donovani* parasites with an episomal plasmid. Parasites were viable with no apparent morphological differences from control parasites (bearing plasmid alone, SAT). Over-expression of *LdRan*, in the *LdRan*-SAT parasites as compared to control parasites, was assessed by Western Blot analysis and quantification by densitometry which showed a three fold (Fig.1B) over-expression. Equal loading was confirmed with the use of an anti-INO1 Ab as a loading control (Fig.1B).

The growth curve of these promastigotes indicated a mild but consistent delay in the logarithmic phase of their growth (Supplementary Fig. 1A), suggesting that *LdRan* over-expression delays cell division. This effect was more pronounced upon host-free differentiation conditions (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Annexin V-PI staining showed that there was no significant difference in early apoptotic and necrotic (or late apoptotic) control and *LdRan* over-expressing parasites (data not shown). This confirms that the effect is solely due to a delay in growth and not due to increased cell death.

To determine which phase of the cell-cycle is affected by *LdRan* over-expression we evaluated by flow cytometry, the cell-cycle progression of *L. donovani* promastigotes over-expressing *LdRan* (*LdRan*-SAT) and compared it to cell-cycle profiles of control parasites (SAT). SAT and *LdRan*-SAT *L. donovani* logarithmic parasites were synchronised in the G1/S phase of the cell-cycle with hydroxyurea (HU, Fig.2 and Table 1). Both SAT and *LdRan*-SAT HU synchronised parasites had a greater percentage of cells in the G0/G1 phase

of cell-cycle (72% and 71% respectively) as compared to the G0/G1 phase of the same asynchronous logarithmic parasites (55% and 52% respectively), as expected. Four hours post-release more parasites over-expressing *LdRan* were in the G1/S border (35%) as compared to control parasites (27%, fig.2, table 1). Six hours post-release 31 % and 50 % of control parasites were in the S and G2/M phases of the cell-cycle whereas 41 % and 33 % of *LdRan* over-expressing parasites were in the S and G2/M phases respectively (Fig.2, Table 1). Therefore, *LdRan* over-expressing parasites show a delay in the completion of S phase. Ten hours post-release there was still a greater percentage of *LdRan* over-expressing parasites in the S phase, as compared to control (30% versus 18% respectively), confirming that *LdRan* over-expression causes a constant deregulation of S phase progression (confirmed in all experiments). This delay in the phases of the cell-cycle was calculated to be approximately 2 hs with respect to the control parasites, a duration that is significant at the promastigote stage, where the parasite completes one cell-cycle between 8-10 hs.

LdRan at the nuclear envelope co-localises with linker histone H1

The localisation of endogenous Ran in wild-type *L. donovani* promastigotes was assessed using an affinity purified anti-*LdRan* primary Ab. Double staining with PI (fig.3A1) or detection of FG nucleoporins (fig.3A2) showed that *LdRan* is localised at the nuclear envelope/rim, as it is the case for *LmjRan* [16] which as mentioned above is identical to *LdRan*. Quantitative analysis showed using the Image Pro software showed that 95 % of *LdRan* co-localises with FG nucleoporins, whereas 70 % of FG nucleoporins co-localises with *LdRan* (Fig. 3A2). Expression of *LmjRan* as a fusion protein with GFP [16], cloned in the plasmid pTH₆cGFPn vector [27], in *L. donovani* showed that that GFP-*LmjRan* is localized at the vicinity of the nuclear envelope (data not shown) confirming thereby the specificity of the generated anti-*LdRan* Ab used in immunostaining.

Since *LdRan* does not predominantly localise in the nucleoplasm of promastigotes we investigated whether *LdRan* associates with histones. First we examined the degree of core histone co-localisation with *LdRan*. For this study we used histone H3, being one of Ran's binding proteins in mammalian cells. We also investigated *LdRan*'s co-localisation with LeishH1, knowing from previous studies that this histone had a nuclear rim localisation at least in the majority of parasites (unpublished observation). For this purpose a rabbit anti-*LdRan* and a mouse anti-LeishH3 or a rabbit anti-LeishH1 pAb and a mouse anti-*LdRan* pAb were used in double immunofluorescence staining experiments (Fig. 3B1 and 3B2 respectively). Figure 3B1 shows that LeishH3 is predominantly localised in the nucleoplasm of the parasite (in 70 % of the cells LeishH3 was nucleoplasmic, and in 30 % of the cells was closer to the nuclear rim), whereas nuclear *LdRan* although present in lower concentrations in the nucleoplasm, was predominantly found at the nuclear rim. *LdRan* and LeishH3 showed a moderate co-localisation. Quantitative analysis using the Image Pro software showed that 40% of *LdRan* co-localised with LeishH3 and 60 % of LeishH3 co-localised with *LdRan*. The Pearson correlation co-efficient indicating the strength and direction of a linear relationship between two random variables, was also moderate ($r = 0.65$). On the other hand, Fig. 3B3 shows that LeishH1 is localised near the nuclear periphery, and close to the nuclear envelope. This localisation of LeishH1 was not uniform, but was detected in the majority of cells. In more detail, in 75 % of parasites LeishH1 localised at the nuclear rim, where the linker histone did not co-localise with the bulk DNA, and in 25 % of the parasites LeishH1 was nucleoplasmic. *LdRan* co-localises at the nuclear rim, with LeishH1 (Fig. 3B2). More specifically, quantitative analysis showed that 90% of LeishH1 co-localised with *LdRan* and 80 % of *LdRan* co-localised with LeishH1, having a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.9. The co-localisation of *LdRan* with LeishH1 is significant taking into account the dynamic nature of Ran and histone H1 proteins [28, 29]. This co-localisation was found to be

independent of the fixation method, and clearly shows that linker histone H1 may be a candidate partner of *LdRan*.

LdRan interacts in vitro with linker histone LeishH1

To investigate a possible interaction of *LdRan* with linker histone H1, we have performed *LdRan* pull-down experiments. We examined in parallel the *LdRan*'s interaction with LeishH3, expecting that these proteins interact *in vitro* since the mammalian histone H3 globular domain responsible for binding to Ran [11] is well conserved in *Leishmania* [30]. We also assessed the binding of LeishH2B to *LdRan* as a negative control since the mammalian histone H2B is not known to interact directly with Ran, but via RCC1 [10]. As shown in Fig. 4A *LdRan* interacts with LeishH1 and LeishH3, but it does not interact with LeishH2B. The anti-histone Abs detected histones almost equally well in equally loaded protein inputs used for the binding reactions (Fig. 4A). LeishH1 bound equally well to *LdRan* as to LeishH3 *in vitro*. Additionally, to verify that *LdRan* interacted directly with linker LeishH1 we have incubated recombinant histone H1 (cleaved with thrombin from the GST-moiety) with *LdRan* bound Ni-NTA beads. LeishH1 was detected on the *LdRan* Ni-NTA beads whereas no LeishH1 was immobilised on an equal volume of Ni-NTA beads (data not shown), result supporting the direct interaction between the two proteins.

To examine the specificity of *LdRan* interaction with LeishH1, we performed a pull-down assay using murine Rab1a, which is 30 % identical and 50 % homologous to *LdRan*. Rab1a was used as a GST fusion protein and equal amounts of GST, GST-Rab1a and *LdRan* were immobilised on glutathione sepharose and Ni-NTA beads respectively (Fig. 4B1). LeishH1 was only detected in beads with immobilised *LdRan* (Fig. 4B2).

To eliminate the possibility that the *in vitro* interaction of *LdRan* with LeishH1 was due to an "aberrant" refolding of recombinant *LdRan*, equal amounts of GST (used as negative control) and GST-LeishH1 were immobilised on glutathione sepharose beads (Fig. 4C) and incubated with leishmanial protein extracts. Additionally equal amounts of GST and GST-LeishH1 that were not incubated with leishmanial extracts were also used as negative control (Fig. 4C). Beads were extensively washed after the completion of the incubation period. Native *LdRan* was present only in GST-LeishH1 and not in GST- bound beads (Fig. 4C), indicating that *LdRan* interacts specifically with LeishH1. Therefore denaturation and refolding of recombinant *LdRan* had no effect on the ability of this protein to interact with LeishH1.

DISCUSSION:

Focus of this work was to characterise the Ran orthologue from *L. donovani*, emphasizing on its interaction with histones. The three-fold down-regulation of *LdRan* in axenic amastigotes was in accordance with results from previous studies showing that the mRNA encoding the leishmanial Ran was down-regulated in amastigotes by a factor of 2.3 as compared to the promastigote stage [31] and that the *LdRan* protein expression level decreased during differentiation [32]. In the amastigote stage the parasites undergo a number of changes including morphological ones, deregulation of cell-cycle progression and reduction in the rate of protein synthesis [33]. Therefore, down-regulation of expression in the amastigote stage of a protein involved in essential cellular functions like regulation of cellular-division, cell-cycle progression and nucleo-cytoplasmic traffic is not an unexpected finding. Over-expression of *LdRan* significantly affected the division of parasites during differentiation, suggesting that *LdRan* downregulation is required for appropriate promastigote to amastigote differentiation.

L. donovani promastigotes tolerated over-expression of *LdRan*, but these parasites also had a growth defect, linked with the delay in S-phase progression. In mammalian cells, expression of mutants stabilizing Ran in its GTP bound form [34], or depletion of RCC1 [35] (which results in the enrichment of the GDP bound form of Ran) both cause a delay in cell-cycle progression, indicating that any disturbance in the GTP/GDP bound state of Ran may bring de-regulation in S phase progression [36]. Importin- β appears to be dispensable for regulating cell cycle progression [37], but more investigations are required for revealing the precise mechanism by which Ran modulates cell-cycle progression.

LdRan, like *LmjRan* [16] localises at the nuclear rim where it co-localises with FG nucleoporins. Interestingly, *LdRan* expressed in mammalian cells (COS7), localises at the nucleoplasm (data not shown) indicating that the nuclear rim localisation of *LdRan* is due to parasite specific interacting proteins. Some of these proteins could be proteins in the Ran network like NTF-2, CAS and present in the leishmanial nuclear envelope [16].

In metazoan cells Ran interacts in the nucleoplasm with histones and this interaction occurs via two distinct mechanisms. One being a direct interaction of Ran with core histones H3 and H4 [11], and the other being its indirect interaction with the other two core histones H2A and H2B via RCC1 [10]. The nuclear rim predominant localisation of *LdRan* in *L. donovani* promastigotes raised the question on whether an *LdRan*-histone association occurred. *LdRan* as its mammalian counterpart was able to bind to histone H3 but not to histone H2B *in vitro*. Core histones in *Leishmania* however, are present predominately in the nucleoplasm in contrast to the *LdRan* localisation at the nuclear periphery. Therefore, the moderate co-localisation of *LdRan* with LeishH3 makes their interaction *in vivo* still speculative. In contrast, LeishH1 was present at the nuclear rim in the majority of cells by at least two methods of cell fixation (data not shown). *LdRan* and LeishH1 directly interacted *in vitro* and co-localised at the nuclear rim. This is the first evidence up to date of a linker histone interacting with Ran. It is currently not known if this interaction is unique for *Leishmania spp.*, or if it exists in other organisms. It is known that Ran [14] and histone H1 in plants are both present at the nuclear rim, away from the nucleoplasmic histone H3 [38], but their interaction has not been investigated. Plant histone H1 possesses microtubule organizing activity, forming ring shaped complexes with tubulin at atypical microtubule organizing centres (MTOCs) present in the nuclear periphery of plant cells [38, 39]. A possible explanation for the interaction of *LdRan* with LeishH1 at the nuclear periphery would be its involvement in the organisation and elongation of MTs adjacent to the leishmanial nuclear envelope [40].

Interaction of Ran with chromatin in metazoans, has an unknown function in interphase cells. In mitotic cells it is postulated that the Ran-histone association, required for the formation of a RanGTP chromosomal gradient, may play an important role during reassembly of the nuclear envelope by increasing the binding of membranes to chromatin surface [11] and for the formation of the mitotic spindle [9]. In *Leishmania* the nucleus does not break down during mitosis [40], therefore the requirement of a RanGTP-chromosomal gradient for the post-mitotic nuclear envelope assembly is clearly not required. It has been reported that in the closed mitosis of *Aspergillus nidulans*, the nuclear pores open allowing passive diffusion of proteins [41]. Thus, the Ran-GTP chromosomal gradient, may be essential even in organisms performing a closed mitosis. Therefore, one cannot exclude the possibility that the Ran-LeishH1 interaction in *Leishmania* is required to keep a form of an atypical chromosomal nuclear rim Ran-GTP gradient in the nuclear rim chromatin. However, the *LdRan*-linker histone H1 interaction may modulate other pathways than those documented for the metazoan Ran-core histone association. In *L. donovani*, LeishH1 regulates cell-cycle progression, promastigote to amastigote differentiation and virulence [21]. Interaction of *LdRan* with LeishH1 may be important, for the regulation of these processes.

The Ran-GTPase appears to be a master regulator and coordinator of events that require intimate crosstalk between chromatin and the cytoplasm, for cell-cycle progression and spindle assembly [42]. In *Leishmania* these events have similarities but also major differences from other eukaryotes like metazoans and yeast. Further investigation is therefore required to elucidate these mechanisms and to define the precise mechanism of *Ld*Ran participation in the cell-cycle of this parasite, and whether an atypical Ran-GTP chromosomal gradient is achieved. Finally, the atypical Ran network in this parasite may be exploited for anti-leishmanial drug development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Hellenic Pasteur Institute. We would like to thank Prof. Matlashewski for donating us the A2 monoclonal antibody and Dr Patrick Bastien for donating us the pTH₆cGFPn-Ran plasmid. Finally we would like to thank Georgia Konidou for her technical assistance and the production of the anti-*Ld*Ran polyclonal antibodies.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bischoff, F. R. and Ponstingl, H. (1991) Mitotic regulator protein RCC1 is complexed with a nuclear ras-related polypeptide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **88**, 10830-10834
- 2 Bischoff, F. R. and Ponstingl, H. (1991) Catalysis of guanine nucleotide exchange on Ran by the mitotic regulator RCC1. *Nature.* **354**, 80-82
- 3 Bischoff, F. R., Klebe, C., Kretschmer, J., Wittinghofer, A. and Ponstingl, H. (1994) RanGAP1 induces GTPase activity of nuclear Ras-related Ran. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **91**, 2587-2591
- 4 Moore, M. S. and Blobel, G. (1994) A G protein involved in nucleocytoplasmic transport: the role of Ran. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **19**, 211-216
- 5 Heald, R. and Weis, K. (2000) Spindles get the ran around. *Trends Cell Biol.* **10**, 1-4
- 6 Hetzer, M., Bilbao-Cortes, D., Walther, T. C., Gruss, O. J. and Mattaj, I. W. (2000) GTP hydrolysis by Ran is required for nuclear envelope assembly. *Mol. Cell.* **5**, 1013-1024
- 7 Ren, M., Drivas, G., D'Eustachio, P. and Rush, M. G. (1993) Ran/TC4: a small nuclear GTP-binding protein that regulates DNA synthesis. *J. Cell. Biol.* **120**, 313-323
- 8 Arnaoutov, A. and Dasso, M. (2003) The Ran GTPase regulates kinetochore function. *Dev. Cell.* **5**, 99-111
- 9 Zheng, Y. (2004) G protein control of microtubule assembly. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **20**, 867-894
- 10 Nemergut, M. E., Mizzen, C. A., Stukenberg, T., Allis, C. D. and Macara, I. G. (2001) Chromatin docking and exchange activity enhancement of RCC1 by histones H2A and H2B. *Science.* **292**, 1540-1543
- 11 Bilbao-Cortes, D., Hetzer, M., Langst, G., Becker, P. B. and Mattaj, I. W. (2002) Ran binds to chromatin by two distinct mechanisms. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 1151-1156
- 12 Li, H. Y. and Zheng, Y. (2004) Phosphorylation of RCC1 in mitosis is essential for producing a high RanGTP concentration on chromosomes and for spindle assembly in mammalian cells. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 512-527
- 13 Caudron, M., Bunt, G., Bastiaens, P. and Karsenti, E. (2005) Spatial coordination of spindle assembly by chromosome-mediated signaling gradients. *Science.* **309**, 1373-1376
- 14 Ma, L., Hong, Z. and Zhang, Z. (2007) Perinuclear and nuclear envelope localizations of Arabidopsis Ran proteins. *Plant. Cell Rep.* **26**, 1373-1382
- 15 Frankel, M. B. and Knoll, L. J. (2008) Functional analysis of key nuclear trafficking components reveals an atypical Ran network required for parasite pathogenesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **70**, 410-420
- 16 Casanova, M., Portales, P., Blaineau, C., Crobu, L., Bastien, P. and Pages, M. (2008) Inhibition of active nuclear transport is an intrinsic trigger of programmed cell death in trypanosomatids. *Cell Death Differ.* **15**, 1910-1920
- 17 WHO. (2006) Control of leishmaniasis. Geneva , World Health Organization, agenda item 5.1, document EB118/4. **Fact sheet No 116**
- 18 Chang, K. P. and Dwyer, D. M. (1978) Leishmania donovani. Hamster macrophage interactions in vitro: cell entry, intracellular survival, and multiplication of amastigotes. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**, 515-530
- 19 McConville, M. J. and Handman, E. (2007) The molecular basis of Leishmania pathogenesis. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **37**, 1047-1051
- 20 Field, M. C., Field, H. and Boothroyd, J. C. (1995) A homologue of the nuclear GTPase ran/TC4 from Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **69**, 131-134
- 21 Smirlis, D., Bisti, S. N., Xingi, E., Konidou, G., Thiakaki, M. and Soteriadou, K. P. (2006) Leishmania histone H1 overexpression delays parasite cell-cycle progression, parasite differentiation and reduces Leishmania infectivity in vivo. *Mol. Microbiol.* **60**, 1457-1473

- 507 22 Xingi, E., Smirlis, D., Myrianthopoulos, V., Magiatis, P., Grant, K. M., Meijer, L.,
508 Mikros, E., Skaltsounis, A. L. and Soteriadou, K. (2009) 6-Br-5methylindirubin-3'-oxime (5-
509 Me-6-BIO) targeting the leishmanial glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) short form affects
510 cell-cycle progression and induces apoptosis-like death: Exploitation of GSK-3 for treating
511 leishmaniasis. *Int. J. Parasitol.*
- 512 23 Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the
513 head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature.* **227**, 680-685
- 514 24 Papageorgiou, F. T. and Soteriadou, K. P. (2002) Expression of a novel *Leishmania*
515 gene encoding a histone H1-like protein in *Leishmania* major modulates parasite infectivity
516 in vitro. *Infect. Immun.* **70**, 6976-6986
- 517 25 Iborra, S., Soto, M., Carrion, J., Alonso, C. and Requena, J. M. (2004) Vaccination
518 with a plasmid DNA cocktail encoding the nucleosomal histones of *Leishmania* confers
519 protection against murine cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Vaccine.* **22**, 3865-3876
- 520 26 Ilg, T. (2002) Generation of myo-inositol-auxotrophic *Leishmania mexicana* mutants
521 by targeted replacement of the myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase gene. *Mol. Biochem.*
522 *Parasitol.* **120**, 151-156
- 523 27 Dubessay, P., Blaineau, C., Bastien, P., Tasse, L., Van Dijk, J., Crobu, L. and Pages,
524 M. (2006) Cell cycle-dependent expression regulation by the proteasome pathway and
525 characterization of the nuclear targeting signal of a *Leishmania* major Kin-13 kinesin. *Mol.*
526 *Microbiol.* **59**, 1162-1174
- 527 28 Bustin, M., Catez, F. and Lim, J. H. (2005) The dynamics of histone H1 function in
528 chromatin. *Mol. Cell.* **17**, 617-620
- 529 29 Li, H. Y., Wirtz, D. and Zheng, Y. (2003) A mechanism of coupling RCC1 mobility
530 to RanGTP production on the chromatin in vivo. *J Cell Biol.* **160**, 635-644
- 531 30 Soto, M., Requena, J. M., Morales, G. and Alonso, C. (1994) The *Leishmania*
532 *infantum* histone H3 possesses an extremely divergent N-terminal domain. *Biochim.*
533 *Biophys. Acta.* **1219**, 533-535
- 534 31 Leifso, K., Cohen-Freue, G., Dogra, N., Murray, A. and McMaster, W. R. (2007)
535 Genomic and proteomic expression analysis of *Leishmania* promastigote and amastigote life
536 stages: the *Leishmania* genome is constitutively expressed. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **152**, 35-
537 46
- 538 32 Rosenzweig, D., Smith, D., Oppendoes, F., Stern, S., Olafson, R. W. and Zilberstein,
539 D. (2008) Retooling *Leishmania* metabolism: from sand fly gut to human macrophage. *Faseb*
540 *J.* **22**, 590-602
- 541 33 Gupta, N., Goyal, N. and Rastogi, A. K. (2001) In vitro cultivation and
542 characterization of axenic amastigotes of *Leishmania*. *Trends Parasitol.* **17**, 150-153
- 543 34 Ren, M., Coutavas, E., D'Eustachio, P. and Rush, M. G. (1994) Effects of mutant
544 Ran/TC4 proteins on cell cycle progression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 4216-4224
- 545 35 Dasso, M., Nishitani, H., Kornbluth, S., Nishimoto, T. and Newport, J. W. (1992)
546 RCC1, a regulator of mitosis, is essential for DNA replication. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **12**, 3337-
547 3345
- 548 36 Moore, J. D. (2001) The Ran-GTPase and cell-cycle control. *Bioessays.* **23**, 77-85
- 549 37 Li, H. Y., Cao, K. and Zheng, Y. (2003) Ran in the spindle checkpoint: a new
550 function for a versatile GTPase. *Trends Cell. Biol.* **13**, 553-557
- 551 38 Hotta, T., Haraguchi, T. and Mizuno, K. (2007) A novel function of plant histone H1:
552 microtubule nucleation and continuous plus end association. *Cell Struct. Funct.* **32**, 79-87
- 553 39 Nakayama, T., Ishii, T., Hotta, T. and Mizuno, K. (2008) Radial microtubule
554 organization by histone H1 on nuclei of cultured tobacco BY-2 cells. *J. Biol. Chem.*
- 555 40 Triemer, R. E., Fritrz, L. M. and Herman, R. (1986) Ultrastructural features of mitosis
556 in *Leishmania Adleri*. *Protoplasma.* **134**, 134-162

- 557 41 De Souza, C. P. and Osmani, S. A. (2007) Mitosis, not just open or closed. *Eukaryot.*
558 *Cell.* **6**, 1521-1527
559 42 Clarke, P. R. and Zhang, C. (2008) Spatial and temporal coordination of mitosis by
560 Ran GTPase. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **9**, 464-477
561

Table 1

Cell-cycle distribution after HU withdrawal in *L. donovani* *LdRan*-SAT and SAT transfectants

	SAT			<i>LdRan</i> -SAT		
	G0/G	S	G2/M	G0/G1	S	G2/M
Asynchronous	55%	12%	33%	52%	12%	36%
HU synchronised	72%	11%	16%	71%	9%	19%
4h	27%	45%	28%	35%	43%	22%
6h	19%	31%	50%	25%	41%*	33%*
10h	39%	18%	49%	34%	30%*	35%*

Values are from one representative experiment performed 4 times. All 4 experiments showed a consistent 8-12 % difference between the control SAT parasite population and the *LdRan*-SAT population found in the S and G2/M phases 6 and 10 hs post-HU release.* Significantly different from the corresponding control values (SAT), $p < 0.05$ (two tailed, paired Student's t-test).

FIGURE LEGENDS:**Fig. 1****Expression of *LdRan* in promastigotes and axenic amastigotes and over-expression of *LdRan* in *LdRan*-SAT transfected *L. donovani* parasites**

A. *Leishmania* extracts from promastigotes (P) and axenic amastigotes (A) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and subsequently by Western Blot. Total cell extracts from 10^7 promastigotes or amastigotes were loaded per lane. **1)** Detection of *LdRan* protein expression in promastigotes and axenic amastigotes using the anti-*LdRan* specific pAb and an anti-*LinINO1* Ab as a loading control **2)** Detection of A2 protein expression in axenic amastigotes using the anti-A2 C9 mAb. **B.** Immunoblot analysis of 10^7 *L. donovani* parasites in the stationary phase transfected with either the *LdRan*-SAT expression plasmid or with the control plasmid (SAT). To detect *LdRan*, the anti-*LdRan* Ab was used (*LdRan*). An anti-*LinINO1* Ab was used to confirm that equal amounts of parasite extracts were loaded in both lanes. The experiment was performed at least three times. The intensities of the bands were analyzed by the Alpha Imager Software. The fold-over-expression was calculated by dividing the band intensity of *LdRan* with the band intensity of *INO1* and comparing this ratio in *LdRan*SAT transfected parasites over the same ratio in control SAT parasites.

Fig. 2**Cell-cycle analysis after hydroxyurea withdrawal in *LdRan*-SAT or SAT *L. donovani* transfectants synchronised in the G1/S border**

The DNA content of control parasites bearing plasmid alone (SAT) or over-expressing *LdRan* (*LdRan*-SAT) was analyzed by flow cytometry in cells stained with propidium iodide (PI). The cell-cycle distribution in these cells was calculated by the MOD-FIT software. Parasites synchronised with Hydroxyurea (HU) in the G1/S border are indicated as HU synchronised, 0h. The time points after release of the HU block are indicated on the left. Not synchronised, logarithmically growing parasites are also indicated at the top (asynchronous). Arrowheads at 6hs show the proportion of parasites with 4N DNA content (G2/M). The percentage of this population is less in parasites over-expressing *LdRan*. A representative experiment of four independently performed experiments is presented in this figure.

Fig. 3**Localisation of *LdRan* in *L. donovani* promastigotes with respect to histones LeishH1 and LeishH3**

A. Nuclear rim localisation of *LdRan* in *L. donovani* promastigotes. **1.** Phase contrast (phase) and fluorescence microscopy images in black and white show nuclear and kinetoplast DNA staining with propidium iodide (PI) and *LdRan* staining using a primary anti-*LdRan* specific Ab and a secondary anti-rabbit Alexa 488 Ab. A two-fold magnification of the nucleus is also shown at the bottom right corner of each image. Merged images of the red (PI) and green fluorescence are shown on the left. **2.** Co-localisation of endogenous *LdRan* with nucleoporins. Wild-type *L. donovani* promastigotes were stained for nucleoporins (NUP), with an anti-nucleoporin specific mAb and an anti-mouse Alexa 488 secondary Ab, and for *LdRan* (*LdRan*) with the anti-*LdRan* specific rabbit pAb and an anti-rabbit secondary Alexa 488 Ab. Fluorescence images are shown in black and white. The analysed parasites are shown in the phase contrast image on the left (phase) while the merged images of the *LdRan* (red) and NUP (green) staining are shown on the right. A typical ROI used for quantitation of NUP and *LdRan* colocalisation is shown on the upper right corner of the green channel. A 1.5 fold magnification of the nucleus is shown in the insets of each image.

B. *LdRan* localisation with respect to histones LeishH1 and LeishH3. 1. Wild-type *L. donovani* promastigotes were stained for LeishH3 (LeishH3) with mouse specific LeishH3 pAb and an anti-mouse Alexa 488 Ab, and for *LdRan* (*LdRan*) with a rabbit anti-*LdRan* pAb and an anti-rabbit Alexa 546 Ab. The average Pearson's correlation coefficient for the *LdRan* and LeishH3 intranuclear localisation was equal to 0.65 ($r=0.65$) and was calculated from 15 cells from 3 independent experiments. The average red in green co-localisation (*LdRan* in LeishH3) was equal to 60 %, whereas the green in red (LeishH3 in *LdRan*) was 40%. Typical ROIs are shown on the upper right corner of the green channel. **2.** Wild-type *L. donovani* promastigotes were stained for *LdRan* (*LdRan*), with an anti-*LdRan* specific mouse pAb and an anti-mouse Alexa 546 secondary Ab, and for LeishH1 (LeishH1) with the anti-LeishH1 specific rabbit pAb and an anti-rabbit secondary Alexa 488 Ab. The merged images of the LeishH1 (green) and *LdRan* (red) staining are shown on the right. The average Pearson's correlation coefficient for the *LdRan* and LeishH1 intranuclear localisation was equal to 0.9 ($r=0.9$) and was calculated from 15 cells from 3 independent experiments. The average red in green co-localisation (*LdRan* in LeishH1) was equal to 90 %, whereas the green in red (LeishH1 in *LdRan*) was 80%. Typical ROIs are shown on the upper right corner of the red channel. **3.** Wild-type *L. donovani* promastigotes were stained for nucleoporins (NUP), with an anti-nucleoporin specific mAb and an anti-mouse Alexa 546 secondary Ab, and for LeishH1 (LeishH1) with the anti-LeishH1 specific rabbit pAb and an anti-rabbit secondary Alexa 488 Ab. The merged images of the LeishH1 (green) and NUP (red) staining are shown on the right. The analyzed parasites for all panels are shown in the phase contrast images on the left (phase).

Fig. 4

LdRan* interacts with LeishH1 *in vitro

A. Immunoblot analysis of proteins eluted from *LdRan* immobilised on Ni-NTA beads using anti-LeishH1, anti- LeishH2B and anti- LeishH3 Abs. Recombinant *LdRan* (Ni-NTA-*LdRan*) immobilised Ni-NTA beads, was incubated with a leishmanial protein extract. An equal volume of Ni-NTA beads (Ni-NTA) was incubated with an equal amount of leishmanial protein extract. Beads were subsequently washed and proteins eluted with imidazole. An amount of 10 % from the protein lysate used per reaction was also used as positive control (10 % protein extract input). **A1:** Ponceau-S staining of the western blot showing the amounts of *LdRan* used per reaction. **A2:** Immunoblot analysis using anti-LeishH1 (LeishH1), anti-LeishH2B (LeishH2B) and anti-LeishH3 (LeishH3) specific Abs to detect the presence of the corresponding histones. **B.** GST-Rab1a, GST and *LdRan* (2 μ g each) were immobilised on glutathione sepharose and Ni-NTA beads and incubated with leishmanial protein extract (2mg). An equal volume of Ni-NTA beads (Ni-NTA resin) incubated with leishmanial protein extract was loaded to check for non-specific protein precipitation. **B1:** Ponceau-S staining of the western blot showing the amounts of GST and GST-Rab1a and *LdRan*, used per reaction. **B2:** Immunoblot analysis using an anti-LeishH1 specific Ab to detect the presence of LeishH1. 10% from the protein lysate was used as a positive control to detect the presence of LeishH1. **C.** GST-LeishH1 (2 μ g) was immobilised on glutathione sepharose 4B beads and incubated with leishmanial protein extract (GST-LeishH1 + Lysate). GST protein (2 μ g) was immobilised on glutathione sepharose beads and incubated with an equal amount of protein extract (GST + Lysate). GST-LeishH1 and GST, were also loaded (GST-LeishH1 and GST) as negative controls. An amount of 5 % from the protein lysate used per reaction was also used as positive control. **C1:** Ponceau-S staining of the Western Blot showing the amounts of GST and GST-LeishH1 used per reaction; **C2:** Immunoblot analysis using an

710 anti-*Ld*Ran specific Ab to detect the presence of *Ld*Ran. The experiment was performed
711 twice.
712
713
714

Fig.1

A

B

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BJ20090576

THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BJ20090576

Fig.4