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We present a numerical analysis of the effect of particle elongation on the quasistatic behavior of sheared granular media by means of the Contact Dynamics method. The particle shapes are rounded-cap rectangles characterized by their elongation. The macroscopic and microstructural properties of several packings subjected to biaxial compression are analyzed as a function of particle elongation. We find that the shear strength is an increasing linear function of elongation. Performing an additive decomposition of the stress tensor based on a harmonic approximation of the angular dependence of branch vectors, contact normals and forces, we show that the increasing mobilization of friction force and the associated anisotropy are key effects of particle elongation. These effects are correlated with partial nematic ordering of the particles which tend to be oriented perpendicular to the major principal stress direction and form side-to-side contacts. However, the force transmission is found to be mainly guided by cap-to-side contacts, which represent the largest fraction of contacts for the most elongated particles. Another interesting finding is that, in contrast to shear strength, the solid fraction first increases with particle elongation, but declines as the particles become more elongated. It is also remarkable that the coordination number does not follow this trend so that the packings of more elongated particles are looser but more strongly connected.

PACS numbers: 45.70.-n,83.80.Fg,61.43.-j

I. INTRODUCTION

Since a few years, the research for a better understanding of the complex rheology of granular media is enriched by an increasing focus on nonspherical particles [1, 2]. The wide-spread use of spherical or disk-like particles has been motivated by the fact that the rheology of granular media is basically governed by the collective contact interactions of the particles so that the particle shape can be viewed as a secondary effect. In practice, both in experiments and discrete element simulations, the spherical or circular particles, such as glass beads and disks, are easier to handle and the results are generally more directly amenable to theoretical analysis. However, owing to the fast progress in experimental and numerical techniques during the last decade, there is now a wide scope for the investigation of materials composed of more complex particle shapes. In this respect, the model granular media with spherical particles provide a reference material for understanding the rheology when the particle shapes deviate from a spherical or circular shape [3, 4].

A wide variety of particle shapes can be found in nature and industry: elongated and platy shapes, e.g. in biomaterials and pharmaceutical applications, angular and faceted shapes, e.g. in geomaterials, and nonconvex shapes, e.g. in sintered powders. The behavior under various types of loading is strongly influenced by particle shape. Rounded particles enhance flowability whereas angular shape is susceptible to improve shear strength. In many applications, the particle shapes need to be optimized in order to increase performance [12–17]. These trends are generally explained in qualitative terms and linked with the jamming of the particles.

The effect of particle shape is mediated by the specific granular texture (or fabric) induced by each particle shape. For example, it is found that hard ellipses can be jammed even though they are underconstrained [18–20]. In general, the anisometric or elongated particle shapes, such as spheroids and spherico-cylinders, tend to develop orientational order affecting force transmission and frictional behavior [21, 22]. This “nematic” ordering occurs while, in contrast to liquid crystals, the particles interact only via contact and friction [27].

In a sheared granular material, the local equilibrium structures are generically anisotropic in terms of contact directions and forces [23, 24, 25]. It was recently shown that the fabric anisotropy in a sheared granular assembly crucially depends on particle shape [18, 1]. In the case of polygonal and polyhedral particles, due to large contact area of side-to-side contacts, the fabric anisotropy appears to be marginal compared to force anisotropy [18, 1]. Those contacts play a major role in force transmission by accommodating long force chains that are basically unstable in a packing composed of spheres.

The force and fabric anisotropies are at the origin of the enhanced shear strength of materials composed of nonspherical particles [1, 22, 23, 25]. The particle shape affects the compactness and dilatancy of granular materials. A nontrivial effect, evidenced recently by experiments and numerical simulations for spheroids, is the finding that the solid fraction is not a monotonous function of the aspect ratio [26, 30]. The solid fraction increases linearly to a maximum and then declines in inverse proportion to the aspect ratio [37]. In powder processing, the particle shape appears also to be an important parameter controlling the flowability, discharge rates and compaction of powders [38, 39].
In this paper, we use contact dynamics simulations to investigate the rheology of large packings of elongated particles with increasing aspect ratio. The particles are rectangles with rounded caps to which we will refer as Rounded-Cap Rectangular (RCR) particles. These particles may be considered as 2D analog of spherocylinders. The RCR shape can be characterized by a single aspect ratio \( \alpha \) or, as we shall see, by an elongation parameter \( \eta \) varying from 0 to 1 as the particle shape varies continuously from a circle to an thin line. We are interested both in the properties of the static packings of RCR particles prepared by isotropic compaction without friction and in the stress-strain behavior under biaxial compression with finite friction between particles.

The macroscopic behavior is studied in terms of the internal angle of friction and solid fraction for different values of \( \eta \). We find a nonmonotonous variation of the solid fraction and a nearly linear increase of the internal angle of friction with \( \eta \). In order to understand the origins of this behavior and the role of particle shape, we perform a detailed analysis of the microstructure and stress transmission. We consider the organization of the particles and contacts in the simulated packings, as well as the stress transmission by means of a harmonic representation of the stress tensor in terms of force and fabric anisotropies. The microstructure is increasingly dominated by a short-range nematic ordering of particle orientations as \( \eta \) increases. We show that the internal angle of friction is influenced by this ordering via an increasing anisotropy of friction forces and contact orientations with the elongation parameter. For all values of the latter, the harmonic approximation provides an excellent fit to the shear stress.

An important feature of RCR particles is that, like polygonal particles, they have lineal edges and can thus form side-to-side contacts as well as side-to-cap and cap-to-cap contacts. Hence, in a packing of RCR particles, the texture can characterized by the networks of these various contact types, and the influence of the shape parameter on force transmission and shear strength may be analyzed in terms of these contacts and more specially the side-to-side contacts which are expected to play a stabilizing role in the packing.

In the following, we first introduce our numerical approach in Section II. Then, in Section III, the stress-strain behavior is presented for different values of \( \eta \). The microstructure is analyzed in Section IV in terms of connectivity, orientations of the particles and the contact network. We also introduce the harmonic approximation of the stress tensor allowing us to track the origins of the internal angle of friction via force and fabric anisotropies. In Section V, we present an additive decomposition of the connectivity, anisotropies and forces as a function of different contact types. The force distributions are presented in Section VI. In section VII, we analyze the structure of force networks with cap-to-cap, cap-to-side and side-to-side contacts. We conclude with a summary and discussion of the most salient results of this work.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

In this section, we briefly introduce the contact dynamics (CD) method used for the simulations and the numerical procedures for sample preparation.

A. Contact Dynamic method

The simulations were carried out by means of the contact dynamics (CD) method \([2, 40–48]\). The CD method is a discrete element approach based on a nonsmooth approach in which an integrated form of the equations of dynamics. The integration time interval corresponds to the time step and may involve discontinuous variation of the velocities due to collisions. The frictional and collisional interactions are described as complementarity relations between the relative velocities between particles and the corresponding momenta at the contact points without elastic or viscous regularization. Thus, the condition of geometrical contact between two particles is expressed by the following mutually exclusive alternatives:

\[
\begin{align*}
fn &\geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad un = 0, \\
fn &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad un > 0. \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( fn \) is the normal contact force and \( un \) the relative normal velocity between two particles in contact is counted positive when they move away from each other.

In the same way, the Coulomb friction law involves three mutually exclusive conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
ft = -\mu fn &\quad \text{and} \quad ut > 0, \\
-\mu fn \leq ft \leq \mu fn &\quad \text{and} \quad ut = 0, \\
ft = \mu fn &\quad \text{and} \quad ut < 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( ut \) is the sliding velocity at the contact, \( \mu \) is the friction coefficient and \( ft \) is the friction force. Remark that this relation cannot be reduced to a (mono)valued functional dependence between the two variables as assumed in the Molecular Dynamics (MD) method.

The above formulation is implicit in the sense that the complementarity relations should be satisfied for the velocities at the end of each time step. An iterative algorithm based on a nonlinear Gauss-Seidel scheme is used to solve the system of equations and complementarity relations for contact forces and particles velocities. The uniqueness is not \( a \ priori \) guaranteed for perfectly rigid particles. However, by initializing each step of calculation with the forces calculated in the preceding step, the set of admissible solutions shrinks to fluctuations which are basically below the numerical resolution.

The CD method is particularly suitable for the simulation of rigid nearly undeformable particles. In this limit, the MD method requires steep interaction potentials and thus very small time steps. Nevertheless, several comparisons between the two methods suggest that both methods are equally valid and efficient for the simulation of granular materials \([3, 7, 8]\).
half-disks of radius $R$ and width $2R$; see Fig. 1. The shape of a RCR particle is a circle of radius $R$ for $L = 0$. The aspect ratio $\alpha = (L + 2R')/(2R')$ is 1 in this limit and increases with $L$ for a fixed value of $R'$. In this paper, we use an alternative parameter describing the deviation of the particle shape from a circle. Let $\eta$ be the radius of the circle circumscribing the particle. We have $R = L/2 + R'$. The radius $R'$ is also that of the inscribed circle. Hence, the deviation from a circular shape can be characterized by $\Delta R = R - R' = L/2$. We use the dimensionless parameter $\eta$ defined by

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta R}{R} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}. \quad (3)$$

It varies from $\eta = 0$, for a circle, to 1 corresponding to a line. We will refer to $\eta$ as the elongation parameter as in rock mechanics [15].

For the detection of the contacts between two RCR particles, we use the schema shown in Fig. 2. Three types of contact can be distinguished: cap-to-cap (cc), cap-to-side (cs) and side-to-side (ss). The contacts between the particles are thus detected separately for the pairs of circles and rectangles. In general, in the CD method ss contact between two rectangles is treated as composed of two point contacts and the contact laws (1) and (2) are applied separately to each point. The choice of these points does not affect the resultant force and its point of application. Hence, for RCR particles, as shown in Fig. 2, ss contact is composed of four point contacts: two points due to the rectangle-rectangle interface and two points due to the cc contacts. Thus, four forces are calculated by the CD algorithm but only their resultant and application point are material.

The detection of line contacts between rectangles was implemented through the so-called shadow overlap method devised initially by Moreau [15] for polygons. The reliability and robustness of this method have been tested in several years of previous applications to granular materials [4, 13]. This detection procedure is fairly rapid and allows us to simulate large samples composed of RCR particles. For our simulations, we used the LMGC90 which is a multipurpose software developed in Montpellier, capable of modeling a collection of deformable or undeformable particles of various shapes (spherical, polyhedral, or polygonal) by means of the contact dynamics (CD) method [15].

C. Sample preparation

We prepared 8 different packings of 13000 RCR particles with $\eta$ varying from 0 to 0.7 by steps of 0.1. The radius $R$ of the circumscribing circle defines the size of a RCR particle. In order to avoid long-range ordering in the limit of small values of $\eta$, we introduce a size polydispersity by taking $R$ in the range $[R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}]$ with $R_{\text{max}} = 3R_{\text{min}}$ with a uniform distribution in particle volume fractions.

All samples are prepared according to the same protocol. A dense packing composed of disks ($\eta = 0$) is first constructed by means of a layer-by-layer deposition model based on simple geometrical rules [3, 10]. The particles are deposited sequentially on a substrate. Each new particle is placed at the lowest possible position at the free surface as a function of its diameter. This procedure leads to a random close packing in which each particle is supported by two underlying particles and supports one or two other particles. For $\eta > 0$, the same packing is used with each disk serving as the circumscribing circle of a RCR particle. The RCR particle is inscribed with the given value of $\eta$ and random orientation in the disk.

Following this geometrical process, the packing is compacted by isotropic compression inside a rectangular frame of dimensions $l_0 \times h_0$ in which the left and bottom walls are fixed, and the right and top walls are subjected to a compressive stress $\sigma_0$. The gravity $g$ and friction coefficients $\mu$ between particles and with the walls are set to zero during the compression in order to avoid force gradients and obtain isotropic dense packings. Fig. 3 displays snapshots of the packings for several values of $\eta$ at the end of isotropic compaction.

The isotropic samples are then subjected to vertical compression by downward displacement of the top wall at a constant velocity $v_0$ for a constant confining stress $\sigma_0$ acting on the lateral walls. The friction coefficient $\mu$ between particles is set to 0.5 and to zero with the walls. The simulations were run with a time step of $2.10^{-4}$ s. The CPU time was $5.10^{-4}$ s per particle and per time step on an AMD processor. Since we are interested in
quasistatic behavior, the shear rate should be such that
the kinetic energy supplied by shearing is negligible com-
pared to the static pressure. This can be formulated in
terms of an inertia parameter $I$ defined by [57]:

$$I = \dot{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{m}{p}},$$  

where $\dot{\varepsilon} = \dot{y}/y$ is the strain rate, $m$ is the particle mass
and $p$ is the mean pressure. The quasistatic limit is char-
acterized by the condition $I \ll 1$. In our simulations, $I$
was below $10^{-3}$.

### III. STRENGTH AND DILATANCY

In this section, we consider the stress-strain and
volume-change behavior as a function of the shape pa-
rameter $\eta$. We need to evaluate the stress tensor and solid
fraction during deformation from the simulation data.
For the stress tensor, we start with the tensorial moment
$M^i$ of each particle $i$ that is defined by [58, 59]:

$$M^i_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{c \in i} f^c_\alpha r^c_\beta,$$

where $f^c_\alpha$ is the $\alpha$ component of the force exerted on
particle $i$ at the contact $c$, $r^c_\beta$ is the $\beta$ component of
the position vector of the same contact $c$, and the summation
runs over all contact neighbors of particle $i$ (noted briefly
by $c \in i$). The average stress tensor $\sigma$ in the volume
$V$ of the granular assembly is given by the sum of the
tensorial moments of individual particles divided by the
volume [53, 59]:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i \in V} M^i = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{c \in V} f^c_\alpha \ell^c_\beta,$$

where $\ell^c$ is the branch vector joining the centers of the
two touching particles at the contact point $c$. Remark
that the first summation runs over all particles whereas
the second summation involves the contacts, each contact
appearing only once.

Under biaxial conditions with vertical compression, we
have $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2$, where the $\sigma_\alpha$ are the stress principal val-
ues. The mean stress $p$ and stress deviator $q$ are defined by:

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2),$$

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2).$$

For our system of perfectly rigid particles, the stress state
is characterized by the mean stress $p$ and normalized
shear stress $q/p$.

The strain parameters are the cumulative vertical, hor-
izontal and shear strains $\varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_q$, respectively. By
definition, we have

$$\varepsilon_1 = \int_{h_0}^{h} \frac{dh'}{h'} = \ln \left(1 + \frac{\Delta h}{h_0}\right),$$

where $h_0$ is the initial height and $\Delta h = h_0 - h$ is the total
downward displacement, and

$$\varepsilon_2 = \int_{l_0}^{l} \frac{dl'}{l'} = \ln \left(1 + \frac{\Delta l}{l_0}\right).$$
where \( l_0 \) is the initial box width and \( \Delta l = l - l_0 \) is the total change of the box width. The cumulative shear strain is then defined by

\[
\varepsilon_q \equiv \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2. \tag{11}
\]

Finally, the cumulative volumetric strain \( \varepsilon_p \) is given by

\[
\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \int_{V_0}^{V} \frac{dV'}{V'} = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta V}{V} \right) \tag{12}
\]

where \( V_0 = l_0 h_0 \) is the initial volume and \( \Delta V = V - V_0 \) is the cumulative change of solid fraction.

Figure 4 shows the normalized shear stress \( q/p \) as a function of shear strain \( \varepsilon_q \) for different values of \( \eta \). The jump observed at \( \varepsilon_q = 0 \) reflects both the rigidity of the particles and high initial solid fraction of the samples (see below). In all cases, the shear stress passes by a peak before relaxing to a stress plateau corresponding to the so-called “residual state” in soil mechanics \[60\]. We remark that the residual shear stress increases with \( \eta \).

The internal angle of friction \( \varphi^* \), representing the shear strength of the material, is defined from the mean value \((q/p)^\ast\) of the normalized shear stress in the residual state by \[60\]

\[
\sin \varphi^* = \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^\ast. \tag{13}
\]

Fig. 4 shows the variation of \( \sin \varphi^* \) as a function of \( \alpha \) and \( \eta \). We see that the shear strength is an increasing nonlinear function of the aspect ratio, but, interestingly, it varies linearly when plotted versus the elongation parameter. Hence, we have

\[
\sin \varphi^* = \sin \varphi_0^\ast + k \eta = \sin \varphi_0^\ast + k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tag{14}
\]

This observation indicates that the evolution of shear strength reflects more directly shape elongation than aspect ratio. In the following, we will use \( \eta \) as shape parameter.

Figure 5 (a) displays the cumulative volumetric strain \( \varepsilon_p \) as a function of \( \varepsilon_q \) for different values of \( \eta \). Starting with an initially dense state, all packings dilate and hence the volume increases. For \( \eta \leq 0.4 \), a plateau is reached beyond \( \varepsilon_q = 0.3 \), corresponding to a state of isochoric deformation. For larger aspect ratios, the dilatation continues even at very large deformations. This is an indication of an inhomogeneous dilation due to the formation of shear bands in the bulk, which is enhanced by the elongated shape of the particles. Since different parts of the packing undergo differential volume change, longer shearing is required to reach a fully dilated state for the whole packing. The initiation and evolution of shear bands for different particle elongations will be reported in more detail elsewhere.

Figure 5 (b) displays the solid fraction \( \nu \) as a function of \( \eta \) at different levels of shear deformation \( \varepsilon_q \). It is remarkable that, at all levels of deformation, the solid fraction increases with \( \eta \), reaches a maximum at \( \eta \approx 0.4 \) and then declines as \( \eta \) further increases. We note that solid fractions as large as 0.90 are reached for \( \eta = 0.4 \) in the initial state. A similar nonmonotonous behavior was observed for packings of ellipses or ellipsoidal particles \[19, 20, 22\]. This is somewhat a counterintuitive finding as the shear strength (a monotonous function of \( \eta \)) does not follow the trend of solid fraction (nonmonotonous). This behavior is clearly not related to shear localization since it is observed at all levels of deformation including the initial isotropic state \( \nu_0 = \nu(\varepsilon_q = 0) \) where the packings are homogeneous.

A rapid fall-off of solid fraction for elongated parti-
cles in 3D was observed at very large aspect ratios and attributed to the excluded volume due to disorder, predicting a fall-off in inverse proportion to the aspect ratio $\eta$. The initial rapid increase of solid fraction, as observed in Fig. 6, reveals that excluded-volume effects are not the prevailing mechanism at low aspect ratios. In this limit, slight deviations from spherical shape have strong space-filling effect on the packing although the excluded volume increases at the same time and becomes dominant at very large aspect ratios.

The volumetric deformation can also be expressed in terms of the dilatancy angle $\psi$ defined by $\sin \psi = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_p}{\partial \varepsilon_q}$ (15)

The plot of $\psi$ as a function of $\varphi$, the so-called “stress-dilatancy diagram”, is shown in Fig. 7 for different values of $\eta$. We observe a linear correlation between $\varphi$ and $\psi$ irrespective of the value of $\eta$. We have $\varphi \simeq \varphi^* + \psi$. (16)

This is a particularly simple relation compared to several models proposed in soil mechanics. It reflects the “non-associated” character of granular plasticity, an associated behavior implying simply $\varphi = \psi$, which is unrealistic for granular materials. According to relation (16), the dilatancy angle vanishes in the residual state where $\varphi = \varphi^*$. Recent work on cohesive and granular packings of polygonal particles in 2D is in agreement with this correlation.

In the following, we focus on the microstructure and force transmission that provide a key to a better understanding of the physical mechanisms underlying the effect of particle shape on the shear strength.

IV. GRANULAR TEXTURES

In this section, we investigate the general organization (texture) of our packings of RCR particles in terms of particle orientations and contact network. This will allow us to quantify the effect of the elongation parameter and its connection with shear strength.

A. Particle orientations

The principal feature of elongated particles is their orientational degree of freedom. The particle orientation is represented by a unit vector $m$ as shown in the inset to Fig. 8. In 2D, it is parametrized by a single angle $\vartheta$. Let $D(\vartheta)$ be the set of particles with direction $\vartheta \in [\vartheta - \delta \vartheta/2; \vartheta + \delta \vartheta/2]$ for angle increments $\delta \vartheta$, and $N_p(\vartheta)$ its cardinal. The probability $P_\vartheta(\vartheta)$ of the orientations of particles is given by

$$P_\vartheta(\vartheta) = \frac{N_p(\vartheta)}{N_p},$$

where $N_p$ is the total number of particles.

Figure 8 displays a polar representation of $P_\vartheta(\vartheta)$ for $\eta = 0.7$ at various stages of deformation. In the initial state, corresponding to an isotropic stress state, the distribution is anisotropic with privileged direction $\vartheta_p$ close to $\pi/2$. This means that for elongated particles, the particle orientations are not fully correlated with the stress state so that the resulting particle orientation anisotropy depends on details of the assembling process that cannot be controlled by simply subjecting the particles to isotropic stresses from the boundary.

The privileged direction rotates as a result of vertical compression and becomes horizontal (parallel to the minor principal stress direction) in the residual state. The
distribution are nicely fitted by harmonic approximation corresponding to the lowest order terms of the Fourier expansion of $P_\theta(\varphi)$ [3,70]:

$$P_\theta(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 + a_p \cos(2(\varphi - \theta_0))),$$  

(18)

where $a_p$ represents the anisotropy of the distribution and $\theta_0$ is the major principal stress direction. The choice of $\theta_0$ as reference direction is motivated by the observation that the privileged orientation of the particles tends to align itself with the minor principal stress direction. Hence, negative values of $a_p$ mean that the particles are preferentially oriented perpendicular to the major principal stress.

We plot the particle orientation anisotropy $a_p$ in Fig. 9 as a function of $\eta$ at different stages of shear $\varepsilon_q$. We see that the particle orientations are isotropic for $\eta \leq 0.4$ at the initial state and they become increasingly anisotropic as $\eta$ increases beyond 0.4. At nearly all stages of shear, $a_p$ is negative, and at most advanced stage, i.e. corresponding approximately to the residual state, it becomes nearly independent of $\eta$. The large absolute value of $a_p$ ($\sim 0.35$) suggests that many particles are aligned in horizontal layers just as in nematic order. One example of this nematic ordering is shown in Fig. 11 for two different values of $\eta$. This ordering may be attributed to the favored mechanical equilibrium of the particles under the action of vertical stress and enhanced by boundary alignment of the elongated particles [3,25,26,71]. This point will be analyzed more deeply below.

**B. Particle connectivity**

The primary statistical quantity describing the contact network is the coordination number $z$ (average number of contacts per particle). For our elongated particles, each side-to-side contact is counted as one contact even if side-to-side contacts are treated as four point contacts belonging to the contact segment (see section II). The floating particles with no force-bearing contact (i.e. with less than two active contacts) are thus removed from the statistics. The fraction of floating particles decreases linearly with $\eta$ from 17% for $\eta = 0$ to 10% for $\eta = 0.7$.

Figure 11 displays the evolution of $z$ as a function of $\eta$, in the initial and residual states. The initial-state value $z = 4$ corresponds to a frictionless packing of circular particles in the isostatic state with $z = 2d$ where $d$ is space dimension (indeed, the packings where prepared by setting the friction coefficient to zero). However, as $\eta$ increases, $z$ increases to a plateau value of $\sim 5.6$. This is in agreement with recent work showing that large disordered jammed packings are isostatic only for disks or spheres [18,23,22]. For nonspherical or noncircular particles, we have $z \leq d(d + 1)$.

Numerical results for frictional or frictionless systems of rigid or deformable disks and spheres [18,23,77], as well as with ellipses and spheroids [14,23] confirm this point. In the residual state, the mean value of $z$ is below that in the isotropic state, and it grows from 3 to 5 with $\eta$. It is interesting to note that $z$ does not follow the solid fraction which, as we have seen before, is nonmonotonous as a function of $\eta$. This means that for large aspect ratios, the packings are loose but well connected.

The connectivity of the contact network can be characterized in more detail by the fraction $P(c)$ of particles with exactly $c$ contact neighbors. Fig. 12 shows $P(c)$ in the residual state for different values of $\eta$. The distribution is increasingly broader as $\eta$ becomes larger. The particles can have as many as 10 contact neighbors at $\eta = 0.7$. This is allowed both by the geometry and polydispersity of the particles as shown by a typical grey-level map of particle connectivities in Fig. 13. For $\eta = 0$, we observe a peak at $c = 3$. This peak slides gradually to $c = 5$ at $\eta = 0.7$ as observed also for $z$, which is, by definition, the mean value of $c$ for force-bearing contacts:

$$z = \langle c \rangle = \sum_{c=2}^{\infty} c P(c).$$
C. Force and texture anisotropies

Equation (6) shows that the expression of stress tensor is an arithmetic mean involving the branch vectors \( \ell \) and contact force vectors \( f \). This means that for the analysis of stress transmission and shear strength from a particle-scale viewpoint we need a statistical description of these quantities.

A common approach used by various authors is to express branch vectors and contact force orientations in terms of the contact direction, i.e. in the local contact frame \((n, t)\), where \( n \) is the unit vector perpendicular to the contact plane, and \( t \) is an orthonormal unit vector oriented along the tangential force \([4, 5, 24, 28–30, 32, 35, 78–80]\); see figure 14(a). The components of the branch vector and contact force are expressed in this frame as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell &= \ell_n n + \ell_t t, \\
f &= f_n n + f_t t,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \ell_n \) and \( \ell_t \) are the normal and tangential components of the branch vectors, and \( f_n \) and \( f_t \) the normal and tangential components of the contact force.

Remark that only for disks or spherical particles we have \( \ell = \ell n \) where \( \ell \) is the length of the branch vector. A consequence of noncircular or nonspherical particle shape is to dissociate the contact frame from the branch vector frame \( (n', t') \), where \( n' \) is the unit vector along the branch \( \ell \) and \( t' \) is the orthoradial unit vector \([11, 81]\); see figure 14(b). We express the components of the branch vector and contact force also in this frame:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell &= \ell_{n'} n' + \ell_{t'} t', \\
f &= f_{n'} n' + f_{t'} t',
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f_{n'} \) and \( f_{t'} \) are the radial and orthoradial components of the contact force, and \( \ell_{n'} = \ell \).

In two dimensions, let \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \) be the orientations of \( n \) and \( n' \), respectively. From the numerical data, we can evaluate the probability density functions \( P_\theta(\theta) \) and \( P_{\theta'}(\theta') \) of contact and branch vector orientations, respectively, as well as the angular averages of the force components \( \langle f_n(\theta) \rangle, \langle f_t(\theta) \rangle, \langle f_{n'}(\theta') \rangle, \) and \( \langle f_{t'}(\theta') \rangle \) and branch vector components \( \langle \ell_n(\theta) \rangle, \langle \ell_t(\theta) \rangle, \langle \ell_{n'}(\theta') \rangle, \) and \( \langle \ell_{t'}(\theta') \rangle \). In the absence of an intrinsic polarity for \( n \) and \( n' \), all these functions are \( \pi \)-periodic. The insets to Figs. 14, 15, and
display polar representations of these functions for η = 0.7 at the end of shearing. All these angular functions are generically anisotropic. The peak values occur along the axis of compression (θ = π/2) for \( P_\theta \), \( P_{\theta'} \), \( \langle f_n \rangle \) and \( \langle f_n' \rangle \), and along the axis of extension (θ = 0) for \( \langle t_n \rangle \) and \( \langle t_n' \rangle \). The maxima for the tangential components occur in the direction of \( \pi/4 \) with respect to the axis of compression.

The simple shapes of the above functions suggest that they can be approximated by their Fourier expansions up to the second term:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle f_n \rangle & = \frac{1}{\pi} \{ 1 + a_c \cos 2(\theta - \Theta_c^*) \}, \\
\langle t_n \rangle & = \langle f_n \rangle, \\
\langle f_n' \rangle & = \langle f_n \rangle, \\
\langle t_n' \rangle & = \langle f_n' \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Theta_c \) stands either for \( \theta \) or for \( \theta' \) depending on the local frame used. The \( \langle f_n \rangle \) is mean length of branch vectors and \( \langle f \rangle \) is the mean force. \((a_c^*, a_l^*, a_{f_l}^*, a_{f_l}') = (a_c, a_l, a_{f_l}, a_{f_l}')\) and \((\Theta_c^*, \Theta_l^*, \Theta_{f_l}^*, \Theta_{f_l}^*) = (\alpha_c^*, \alpha_l^*, \alpha_{f_l}^*, \alpha_{f_l}')\) are the anisotropy parameters and the angle of privileged direction of each function in the frame \((n, t)\). In the same way, we have

\[
(a_c^*, a_l^*, a_{f_l}^*, a_{f_l}^*) = (a_c^*, a_l^*, a_{f_l}^*, a_{f_l}^*)\]

and \(\Theta_c^*, \Theta_l^*, \Theta_{f_l}^*, \Theta_{f_l}^*\) are the anisotropy parameters and the angle of privileged direction of each function in the frame \((n', t')\).

Note that, by construction, we have \( a_{l'} = 0 \) and \( \theta_{l'} = 0 \). In the following, we will refer to \( a_c \) as contact anisotropy, to \( a_c^* \) as branch vector orientation anisotropy, to \( (a_l^*, a_{l'}) \) as branch length anisotropies and to \( (a_{f_l}^*, a_{f_l}^*) \) as normal and tangential or radial and orthoradial force anisotropies depending on the local frame \([9, 35]\). These harmonic approximations are well fit to our data as shown in the insets to Figs. 15, 16 and [17].

In practice, it is convenient to estimate the above anisotropies from the following fabric and force tensors [3]:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{a\beta}^* & = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} n_{a}^* n_{a}^* P_{a\beta}(\Theta) d\Theta, \\
\chi_{a\beta}^{f*} & = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (f_{a})^* (f_{\beta})^* P_{a\beta}(\Theta) d\Theta, \\
\chi_{a\beta}^{t*} & = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (t_{a})^* (t_{\beta})^* P_{a\beta}(\Theta) d\Theta, \quad \text{(22)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) design the components in the considered frame. Note that, by construction, we have \( \chi_{a*}^* = 0 \). From equations (21) and (22), assuming that in a sheared state \( \Theta_{t*} = \Theta_{f*} = \Theta_{r*} = \Theta_{t*} = 0 \) or \( \Theta_r = 0 \), the following relations are easily obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{a*}^* = 2(F_{a1}^* + F_{a2}^*) / (F_{11}^* + F_{22}^*), \\
\chi_{l*}^* = 2(\chi_{1*}^* - \chi_{2*}^*) / (\chi_{1}^* + \chi_{2}^*) - a_c^*, \\
\chi_{f*}^* = 2(\chi_{1*}^* - \chi_{2*}^*) / (\chi_{1}^* + \chi_{2}^*) - a_c^* - a_{l*}, \\
\chi_{f*}^{f*} = 2(\chi_{1}^* - \chi_{2}^*) / (\chi_{1}^* + \chi_{2}^*) - a_c^* - a_{l*},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \chi_{l*}^* = \chi_{l}^* + \chi_{l}^{f*} \) and \( \chi_{f*}^{f*} = \chi_{f}^* + \chi_{f}^{f*} \) and the indices 1 and 2 refer to the principal values of each tensor. By construction, we have \( (F_{a1}^* + F_{a2}^*) = 1 \), \( (\chi_{1*}^* + \chi_{2*}^*) = \langle f \rangle \) and \( (\chi_{1}^* + \chi_{2}^*) = \langle f \rangle \). Note that \( a_c^* \), \( a_{l*} \), and \( a_{l*} \) are always positive whereas \( a_{f*} \) and \( a_{f*} \) are negative. We have \( \Theta_{l*} = 0 \) and \( \Theta_{r*} = 0 \).

Figure 18 displays the variation of contact anisotropy \( a_c \) and branch vector orientation anisotropy \( a_c^* \), both averaged in the residual state, as a function of \( \eta \). We observe two distinct behaviors: \( a_c \) increases quickly from 0.3 to 0.7 with \( \eta \) whereas, after a slight increase, \( a_c^* \) declines to nearly 0 at \( \eta = 0.7 \). It is often admitted that the shear strength in granular media is a consequence of the buildup of an anisotropic geometrical structure due to friction and steric exclussions between particles [9, 35, 42, 52, 53]. But, here we have two different structural anisotropies \( a_c \) and \( a_c^* \) that vary in opposite directions as \( \eta \) increases. Hence, when the granular structure is complex as in our packings of nonspherical particle...
shapes, the choice of the statistical representation of the granular structure has to be specified \[11, 35\]. This point will be addressed in more detail in section VII.

The branch vector length anisotropies \(a_{lt}, a_{lt'}\) and \(a_{lt''}\), averaged in the residual state, are plotted in Fig. 16 as a function of \(\eta\). These parameters are negligibly small at small values of \(\eta\), i.e. for nearly circular particles, and decline to negative values as \(\eta\) is increased. This means that the particles tend to form longer branch vectors with their neighbors in the direction of extension, suggesting that the particles touch preferentially along their minor axes when the contact orientation is close to the compression axis, and along their major axis when the contact orientation is close to the extension axis; see section VII. It is also remarkable that \(a_{ln} \simeq a_{ln'}\) whereas \(|a_{ln}| < |a_{lt}|\) particularly for \(\eta \geq 0.4\).

The normal and tangential force anisotropies \(a_{fn}\) and \(a_{ft}\) are plotted in Fig. 17 as a function of \(\eta\), together with the radial and orthoradial force anisotropies \(a_{fn'}\) and \(a_{ft'}\), averaged in the residual state. In contrast to contact anisotropy, we see that \(a_{fn}\) and \(a_{fn'}\) grow together slowly until \(\eta = 0.4\), then \(a_{fn}\) remains nearly constant whereas \(a_{fn'}\) increases. On the other hand, the anisotropy \(a_{ft'}\) of orthoradial forces grows much faster with \(\eta\) than the anisotropy \(a_{ft}\) of tangential forces. Remarkably, from \(\eta > 0.4\) the orthoradial force anisotropy is higher than radial force anisotropy \((a_{ft'} > a_{fn'})\) whereas, even if the tangential force anisotropy increases with \(\eta\), it is still below the normal force anisotropy \((a_{ft} < a_{fn})\) and remains always below the contact anisotropy \((a_{fn} < a_c)\).

In other words, the force anisotropy described in terms of branch vectors reflects more sensitively the effect of particle shape elongation than in terms of contact normal vectors. We will see below that this behavior is related to the mobilization of friction (section VII) and contact types (section VII).

### V. GEOMETRICAL AND MECHANICAL ORIGINS OF SHEAR STRENGTH

The stress tensor as formulated in Eq. (6) is a function of discrete microscopic parameters attached to the contact network. For sufficiently large systems, the dependence of volume averages on individual discrete parameters vanishes \[24, 60, 85\] and the discrete sums can be replaced by integrals. According to Eq. (6), we have

\[
V \sigma_{\alpha \beta} = \sum_{c \in \bar{E}} f_{\alpha} r_{\beta} = N_c \langle f_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta} \rangle,
\]

(24)
where \( N_c \) is the total number of contacts. By writing the average on the right hand side in integral form, we get

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = n_c \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha f_\beta \, P_{\ell f} \, df \, d\ell, \quad (25)
\]

where \( P_{\ell f} \) is the joint probability density of forces and branch vectors, \( n_c \) is the number density of contacts and \( \Omega \) is the integration domain in the space \((\ell, f)\).

The integral appearing in equation (25) can be reduced by integrating first with respect to the forces and branch vector lengths. Considering the components of the forces and branch vectors in one of the two local frames \((n, t)\) or \((n', t')\) and neglecting the branch vector-force correlations, we get [9, 11, 30, 34]:

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = n_c \int \{(\ell_{n'}) (\Theta) \, n_{\alpha}^*(\Theta) + (\ell_{t'}) (\Theta) \, \ell_{\beta}^*(\Theta)\} \, \{\langle f_{n'}\rangle (\Theta) \, n_{\alpha}^*(\Theta) + \langle f_{t'}\rangle (\Theta) \, \ell_{\beta}^*(\Theta)\} \, P(\Theta) \, d\Theta. \quad (26)
\]

The expression of the stress tensor by equation (26) makes appear explicitly the average angular functions representing the fabric and force states. Using the harmonic approximation [12], equation (26) can be integrated with respect to space direction \( \Theta \) and the stress invariants \( p \) and \( q \) extracted. Assuming that the stress tensor is coaxial with the fabric and force tensors [22], we get the following simple relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
q &= \frac{1}{2}(a_c + a_{tn} + a_{tt} + a_{fn} + a_{ft}) \quad \text{in} \ (n, t) \\
p &= \frac{1}{2}(a'_c + a'_{tn} + a'_{tt} + a'_{fn} + a'_{ft}) \quad \text{in} \ (n', t').
\end{align*} \quad (27)
\]

The assumption of coaxiality is natural since, even if the preferential orientations of the forces and branch vectors are not fully correlated, we observe that shearing tends to align the contacts and forces with the principal directions of the stress tensor.

Figure 18 displays the residual-state value of the normalized shear stress \((q/p)^*\) as a function of \( \eta \) calculated both directly from the simulation data and from equation (27) separately for the two local frames by using the values of various anisotropic parameters estimated from the simulation data. As we see, for both local frames, equation (27) provides an excellent fit to the data for all values of \( \eta \). Note, however, that the second expression in equation (27) is more simple than the first expression (4 anisotropic parameters vs 5 anisotropic parameters) and the resulting fit appears to be more accurate.

The two equations (27) are interesting as they reveal distinct origins of shear strength in terms of force and texture anisotropies with two different decompositions. Various anisotropies do not contribute equally to the shear strength. The dominant anisotropies are texture anisotropies for projection on contact frame since \( a_c + a_{tn} + a_{tt} > a'_c + a'_{tn} \) and force anisotropies for projection on the branch vector frame since \( a_{fn} + a_{ft} > a'_{fn} + a'_{ft} \).

The fact that the texture anisotropy prevails in the contact frame may be attributed to its strong correlation with particle orientations. Geometrically, for a particle oriented along a direction \( \theta \), more contacts can be formed with the flat side of the particle with normals oriented along \( \theta + \pi/2 \) than with its rounded caps. This is consistent with the observation that the particle orientations are strongly anisotropic with an anisotropy \( a_p \) of negative sign (preferred direction along the extension axis) and the contact normal anisotropy \( a_n \) is positive (along the compression axis) and increases with aspect ratio; see section VI.

In Fig. 19 two maps of radial forces are shown for packings with \( \eta = 0.2 \) and \( \eta = 0.7 \), respectively. In the presence of long parallel sides, the strong force chains are more tortuous. Hence, the stability of such structures requires strong activation of tangential forces. Indeed, we remark that the orthoradial force anisotropy is above the radial force anisotropy \( (a_{ft} > a_{fn}) \) for the most elongated particles in contrast to the tangential force anisotropy which is below the normal force anisotropy \( (a_{fn} > a_{ft}) \). As a result of the increasing activation of tangential forces, the fraction of sliding contacts (i.e. contacts where \( |f_{t}| = \mu |f_{n}| \)) grows with \( \eta \) as shown in Fig. 21. The contributions of side-to-side and cap-to-side contacts to force anisotropy and friction mobilization, which are major effects of particle shape, will be analyzed in section VI.

### VI. FORCE DISTRIBUTIONS

The force chains and spatially inhomogeneous stress distributions are well-known features of granular media. A well-known observation is that a large number of contacts transmit very weak forces, a signature of the arching effect, whereas a smaller fraction of contacts carry strong force chains [33]. Force transmission has been investigated by experiments and numer-
from the force network are in white. The floating particles excluded represent the strong network in black and the weak network in red lines (see section VI). The floating particles excluded from the force network are in white.

FIG. 19: Map of radial forces for $\eta = 0.2$ (up) and $\eta = 0.7$ (down). Line thickness is proportional to the radial force. We represent the strong network in black and the weak network in red lines (see section VI). The floating particles excluded from the force network are in white.

FIG. 20: Proportion of sliding contacts as a function of $\eta$ averaged in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.

Fig. 21 in log-linear and log-log scales at large strains (the data are cumulated from several snapshots in the residual state) for all values of $\eta$. As usually observed, in all packings the number of forces above the mean $\langle f_n' \rangle$ falls off exponentially whereas the number of forces below the mean vary as a power-law:

$$P(f_n') \propto \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha_{+,\eta}(\eta)(1 - f_n' / \langle f_n' \rangle)} & , f_n' > \langle f_n' \rangle, \\ \left(\frac{f_n'}{\langle f_n' \rangle}\right)^{\beta_{+,\eta}(\eta)} & , f_n' < \langle f_n' \rangle, \end{cases}$$

(28)

where $\alpha_{+,\eta}(\eta)$ and $\beta_{+,\eta}(\eta)$ are the exponents which decrease with $\eta$ from $\alpha^+(0) \simeq 1.69$ to $\alpha^+(0.7) \simeq 0.88$, and from $\beta^+(0) \simeq 0.13$ to $\beta^+(0.7) \simeq -0.49$. Figure 22 shows the pdf $P(f_r)$ of orthonradial forces normalized by the mean orthonradial force $\langle f_r \rangle$ in each packing. These distributions are also characterized by an exponential falloff for the forces above the average force $\langle f_r \rangle$ and a power law for the forces below $\langle f_r \rangle$:

$$P(f_r) \propto \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha_{r,\eta}(\eta)(1 - |f_r| / \langle |f_r| \rangle)} & , |f_r| > \langle |f_r| \rangle, \\ \left(\frac{|f_r|}{\langle |f_r| \rangle}\right)^{\beta_{r,\eta}(\eta)} & , |f_r| < \langle |f_r| \rangle, \end{cases}$$

(29)

with the corresponding exponents $\alpha_{r,\eta}(\eta)$ and $\beta_{r,\eta}(\eta)$, which decrease from $\alpha^r(0) \simeq 1.09$ to $\alpha^r(0.7) \simeq 0.73$, and from $\beta^r(0) \simeq -0.37$ to $\beta^r(0.7) \simeq -0.73$. These distributions show clearly the larger inhomogeneity of stress transmission in a granular packing composed of elongated particles. We find that (the results

Numerical simulations for disks, ellipses and polygonal particles in 2D as for spherical, cylindrical and polyhedral particles in 3D [11, 25, 35, 86–94]. In close correlation with shear strength and solid fraction, the stress transmission is strongly influenced by particle shape. In particular, one expects that elongated particle shapes will influence mainly the distribution of weak forces by enhancing the arching effect.

The probability density function (pdf) of radial forces normalized by the mean radial force $\langle f_n' \rangle$ is shown in Fig. 22 in log-linear and log-log scales at large strains...
not shown here), as with circular particles, the contacts can be classified into strong and weak networks. Evaluating $q/p$ separately for each network, it is found that the shear stress is almost totally sustained by the strong contact network.

Maps of strong and weak radial networks for radial forces are displayed in Fig. 19 for $\eta$. Although we focused here on the networks of radial force components, we basically obtain the same conclusions for the normal force components.

We also remark that the packings are increasingly more inhomogenous in the sense that as particle elongation increases, the packing involves less strong force chains in number but with stronger forces. This decreasing force homogeneity in spite of increasing connectivity (fig. [1]), means that force distributions are controlled by more subtle details of the microstructure than the density of contacts or solid fraction. As we shall see below, this is related to the role of various contact types in the contact network.

![FIG. 22: Probability distribution function of orthoradial forces $f'_{\eta}$ normalized by the average orthoradial force $\langle|f'_{\eta}|\rangle$ in log-log for all values of $\eta$.](image)

**FIG. 22:** Probability distribution function of orthoradial forces $f'_{\eta}$ normalized by the average orthoradial force $\langle|f'_{\eta}|\rangle$ in log-log for all values of $\eta$.

![FIG. 23: Proportions of side-to-side (ss), cap-to-side (cs) and cap-to-cap (cc) contacts as a function of $\eta$ in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.](image)

**FIG. 23:** Proportions of side-to-side (ss), cap-to-side (cs) and cap-to-cap (cc) contacts as a function of $\eta$ in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.

**VII. EFFECT OF CONTACT TYPES**

Remembering that RCR particles are clumps of two disks with one rectangle, in this section we revisit the results of the previous sections in the light of the organization of cap-to-cap (cc), cap-to-side (cs) and side-to-side (ss) contacts in each packing. The side-to-side or side-to-cap contacts do not transmit torques, but they are able to accommodate force lines that are usually unsustainable by cap-to-cap contacts. For this reason, it is worth while trying to isolate their respective roles with respect to the shear strength. The proportions of these contact types and their contributions to the structural anisotropy and force transmission are key quantities for understanding the effect of particle shape on the shear strength properties of granular media [1, 35].

In the residual state, the proportions of different contact types are nearly constant. Fig. 23 shows the proportions $k_{cc}$, $k_{cs}$ and $k_{ss}$ of cc, cs and ss contacts averaged over the residual state as a function of $\eta$. We see that $k_{cc}$ declines with $\eta$ from 1 (for disks) to 0.2 for $\eta = 0.7$. At the same time, $k_{cs}$ and $k_{ss}$ increase from 0 to 6 and to 0.2, respectively. Interestingly, $k_{cs} \approx k_{cc}$ for $\eta \approx 0.4$, and $k_{ss} \approx k_{cs}$ for $\eta = 0.7$. In this way, as the particle elongation increases, the packing passes from a contact network dominated by cc contacts to a contact network dominated by the complex contacts cs and ss.

To identify the impact of each contact type on the shear strength, we proceed by additive decomposition of the stress tensor by considering the expression (25) of the stress tensor in the residual state as a function of $\eta$.

\[
\sigma = \sigma_{cc} + \sigma_{cs} + \sigma_{ss},
\]  

(30)

where $\sigma_{cc}$, $\sigma_{cs}$ and $\sigma_{ss}$ are obtained from the expression of the stress tensor Eq. (25) by restricting the summation to cc, cs and ss contacts, respectively. The corresponding stress deviators $q_{cc}$, $q_{cs}$ and $q_{ss}$ are then calculated and normalized by the mean pressure $p$. Fig. 24 shows...
FIG. 24: Shear strength \((q/p)^*\) for \(cs\), \(ss\) and \(cc\) contacts as a function of \(\eta\). together with the harmonic approximation fits in \((n, t)\) frame (---) and \((n', t')\) frame (…).

q_{cc}/p, q_{cs}/p and q_{ss}/p averaged in the residual state as a function of \(\eta\). We see clearly that q_{cc}/p follows a trend opposite to that of q_{cs}/p. For \(\eta < 0.3\), \((q/p)^*\) is dominated by cc contacts. For \(\eta \approx 0.3\), cc and cs contacts participate equally to the shear stress, and for \(\eta > 0.3\), the cs contacts dominate \((q/p)^*\). Remarkably, q_{ss}/p \(\approx 0\) for \(\eta < 0.4\). As we shall see below, the ss contacts participate to the strong force chains only in the case of the most elongated particles. In this way, the growth of the number of cs and ss contacts shown in Fig. 23 is clearly at the origin of a gradual consolidation of the packings as \(\eta\) increases.

In order to get further insight into the organization of different contact types, it is useful to consider partial connectivities \(P_{cs}(c), P_{cc}(c)\) and \(P_{ss}(c)\) defined as the fraction of particles with exactly \(c\) contacts of cs type, cc type and ss type. These functions are displayed in Fig. 23 for all our packings. Note that, by definition \(P_{cc}(c) \equiv P(c)\) for \(\eta = 0\). We see that \(P_{cc}\) gets narrower as \(\eta\) increases whereas \(P_{cs}\) and \(P_{ss}\) get broader. It should be noted that, even for the most elongated particles, a particle can have at most two ss contacts. This means that, the elongated particles tend mainly to pile up like bricks. On the other hand, the peak of \(P_{cs}\) slides to the larger values as \(\eta\) increases. For \(\eta = 0.7\), most particles have three or four cs contacts (for nearly 40%).

We now consider the anisotropy of the branch vectors and contact forces supported by the three contact types at the contact and branch frames. Following the same procedure as for the stress tensor (see equation [16]), we perform an additive decomposition of the fabric and force tensors:

\[
\begin{align*}
F^{*} &= F_{cc}^{*} + F_{cs}^{*} + F_{ss}^{*}, \\
\chi^{ln} &= \chi_{cc}^{ln} + \chi_{cs}^{ln} + \chi_{ss}^{ln}, \\
\chi^{fn} &= \chi_{cc}^{fn} + \chi_{cs}^{fn} + \chi_{ss}^{fn}, \\
\chi^{ft} &= \chi_{cc}^{ft} + \chi_{cs}^{ft} + \chi_{ss}^{ft},
\end{align*}
\tag{31}
\]

where the indices refer to the partial contributions of cc, cs and ss contacts. The corresponding anisotropies of each tensor can be extracted. In principle, the principal directions of these partial tensors do not coincide with those of the overall tensors at all stages of shearing. But, in practice, in the residual state, the principal directions coincide so that the global anisotropy of each tensor is the sum of its partial anisotropies:

\[
\frac{q_{\gamma}}{p} \approx \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2}(a_{\gamma} + a_{ln\gamma} + a_{fn\gamma} + a_{ft\gamma}) & \text{in } (n, t) \\
\frac{1}{2}(a'_{\gamma} + a'_{ln\gamma} + a'_{fn\gamma} + a'_{ft\gamma}) & \text{in } (n', t'),
\end{cases}
\tag{32}
\]

where \(\gamma\) stands alternatively for \(\{cc, cs, ss\}\). This decomposition is nicely verified by our numerical data as shown in Fig 24.

Since the contact orientation anisotropy expressed in \((n, t)\) frame and the force anisotropy expressed in \((n', t')\) frame provide respectively fine descriptions of the geometrical and force organizations (see section V), we restrict here our analysis to the contribution of various contact types to the contact orientation anisotropy \(a_{c}\) and the radial force anisotropies \(a_{fr}\) and \(a_{fpr}\). Figure 24 shows the variation of the partial contact anisotropies \(a_{c_{cc}}, a_{c_{cs}}\) and \(a_{c_{ss}}\) due to cc, cs and ss contacts in the residual state as the function of \(\eta\). The anisotropy \(a_{c_{ss}}\) of ss contacts increases slowly with \(\eta\) from 0 to 0.18. At the same time, \(a_{c_{cc}}\) decreases and at \(\eta = 0.7\) we have \(a_{c_{ss}} = a_{c_{cc}}\). Hence, although the ss contacts represent at \(\eta = 0.7\) nearly 20% of contacts, their contribution to the contact anisotropy remains modest and of the same order as cc contacts. The variation of the contact anisotropy \(a_{c}\) is thus largely governed by that of \(a_{c_{ss}}\).

Figure 25 shows the partial radial force anisotropies \(a_{fr_{cc}}, a_{fr_{cs}}\) and \(a_{fr_{ss}}\) as well as the partial orthoradial force anisotropies \(a_{fpr_{cc}}, a_{fpr_{cs}}\) and \(a_{fpr_{ss}}\) in the residual state as the function of \(\eta\). As for contact anisotropies, the cs contacts carry most of the radial and orthoradial force anisotropies. The ss contacts contribute modestly to the global force anisotropies only for \(\eta \geq 0.4\). The anisotropy \(a_{fr_{cc}}\) declines with \(\eta\), mainly due to their low number, and \(a_{fpr_{cc}}\) stays nearly constant.

A map of contact forces projected along the branch
FIG. 26: Partial contact orientation anisotropies $a_{ccc}$, $a_{csc}$ and $a_{css}$ of cc, cs and ss contacts as the function of $\eta$ in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.

FIG. 27: Partial radial force anisotropies $a_{fnc}^{cc}$, $a_{fnc}^{cs}$ and $a_{fnc}^{ss}$, and partial orthoradial force anisotropies $a_{ftr}^{cc}$, $a_{ftr}^{cs}$ and $a_{ftr}^{ss}$ for different contact types as a function of $\eta$ in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.

FIG. 28: (Color online) Snapshot of radiale forces for $\eta = 0.2$ (up) and $\eta = 0.7$ (down). Line thickness is proportional to the radial force. The cap-to-cap, cap-to-side and side-to-side contacts are in black, in red (dark gray) and in green (light gray).

FIG. 29: Proportions of cap-to-cap ($k_{cc}$), cap-to-side ($k_{cs}$) and side-to-side ($k_{ss}$) contacts in the strong (plain line) and weak (dashed line) networks as a function of $\eta$ in the residual state. The error bars represent the standard deviation in the residual state.

Vectors is displayed in Fig. 28 in different colors according to the type of contact. For $\eta = 0.7$, we see that the network of very strong zigzag force chains is composed mostly of cs and ss-contacts and occasionally mediated by cc contacts. In contrast, for $\eta = 0.2$, the cc contacts appear clearly to be correlated in the form of long chains across the packing rarely mediated by cs-contacts. In all cases, the strong force chains are mostly parallel to the direction of compression.

In order to recognize quantitatively the roles of cc, cs and ss contacts with respect to the force network, we plot in Fig. 29 their respective proportions $k_{cc}$, $k_{cs}$ and $k_{ss}$ alternatively for the strong and weak networks in the residual state as a function $\eta$. Notice that the data are normalized for each network. The proportion of cc contacts declines rapidly in both networks as $\eta$ increases whereas that of cs and ss contacts grow. We also remark that the cs contacts are slightly more numerous in the weak network than in the strong network. The proportions have nearly the same value in the two networks for cc and ss contacts.
VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we investigated the effect of particle elongation on the quasistatic behavior of sheared granular materials by means of Contact Dynamics simulations. The particle shapes are rounded-cap rectangles (RCR) characterized by their elongation $\eta$ defined as deviation from a reference circular shape, or alternatively by their aspect ratio. As the elongation increases from 0 to 1, the particle shape varies continuously from a disk to an increasingly thin rectangle with rounded caps. The macroscopic and microstructural properties of several packings of 13000 particles, subjected to biaxial compression, were analyzed as a function of $\eta$.

An interesting finding is that the shear strength is an increasing linear function of elongation, suggesting that the parameter $\eta$ is a “good” shape parameter for our 2D granular packings. In order to understand the microscopic origins of this behavior, we performed an additive decomposition of the stress tensor based on a harmonic approximation of the angular variation of average local branch vectors, contact normals and forces. This approximation of the shear strength in terms of texture and force anisotropies turns out to be in excellent agreement with our numerical data in the investigated range of the elongation parameter ($\eta \in [0,0.7]$). Given the evolution of various anisotropies with particle elongation, we find that both force and texture anisotropies contribute to the increase of shear strength, but the increasing mobilization of friction force and the associated anisotropy seem to be the key effect of particle elongation. In particular the proportion of sliding contacts increases strongly as the particles become more elongated. This effect is correlated with a local nematic ordering of the particles which tend to be oriented perpendicular to major principal stress direction. This ordering is enhanced beyond $\eta = 0.4$ but remains essentially of local nature. In this respect, the fraction of side-to-side contacts increases at large particle elongations. However, the force transmission is found to be mainly guided by cap-to-side contacts, which represent the largest fraction of contacts for the most elongated particles and carry a large part of the shear strength.

In contrast to shear strength, the solid fraction is not a monotonous function of particle elongation; it first increases with particle elongation, then declines as the particles become more elongated. In other words, small deviation from circular shape favors the space-filling aptitude of the particles but beyond a characteristic elongation the excluded-volume effects prevail and lead to increasingly larger pores which cannot be filled by the particles. It is remarkable that the coordination number does not follow the solid fraction but increases with particle elongation, so that the packings of the most elongated particles are loose but well connected.

Some features discussed in this paper can legitimately be attributed to the two-dimensional geometry of the particles. For example, rounded-cap-cylinders (spheres), as three-dimensional analogs of RCR particles in 2D, do not undergo spontaneously a nematic ordering. However, we expect that 3D packings of rounded-cap-cylinders behave in many ways as our 2D packings with increasing particle elongation. In particular, the excluded volume effect is reinforced by particle elongation and it leads to a similar nonmonotonous dependence on the elongation as in 2D. In any case, it would be highly instructive to investigate 3D packings of elongated particles, along the same lines as in this paper. It is also obvious that more work required to assess the proper role of friction in 2D for RCR particles since friction mobilization seems to underlie to a large extent the shear strength, we are performing presently more simulations with lower values of friction coefficient. In the same way, we consider the effect of cohesion between particles with respect to the shear strength of packings of elongated particles.
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