Recurrence, ergodicity and invariant measures for cocycles over a rotation

Jean-Pierre Conze

► To cite this version:

Jean-Pierre Conze. Recurrence, ergodicity and invariant measures for cocycles over a rotation. Idris Assani. Ergodic theory: Papers from the Probability and Ergodic Theory Workshops held at the University of North Carolina, American Mathematical Society, pp.45-70, 2009, Contemporary Mathematics n° 485. hal-00456631

HAL Id: hal-00456631

<https://hal.science/hal-00456631>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Recurrence, ergodicity and invariant measures for cocycles over a rotation

Jean-Pierre Conze

IRMAR, UMR CNRS 6625
Université de Rennes I,
Campus de Beaulieu,
35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Abstract

Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ be an ergodic dynamical system and φ be a measurable map from X to a locally compact group G . We consider the skew product τ_φ defined on $X \times G$ by $\tau_\varphi : (x, g) \rightarrow (\tau x, \varphi(x)g)$.

When the system is an ergodic rotation and $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, we give examples of functions φ with bounded variation which are non-regular (i.e. such that the ergodic decomposition of $\mu \times dg$ for τ_φ is based on non-finite τ -invariant measures on the base). We give as well examples for which, on the contrary, the measure $\mu \times dg$ is ergodic and one can identify all ergodic τ_φ -invariant locally finite measures. The noncommutative case is also considered and the recurrence of a class of cocycles in the group of triangular matrices N_3 is shown.

Introduction

Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space with an invertible measurable map $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ and a probability (or a σ -finite) measure μ which is invariant (or quasi-invariant) and *ergodic* for τ . Let φ be a measurable function on X with values in a locally compact group G . We can associate to φ a *cocycle*, noted $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ or (φ, τ) , defined for $n \geq 1$ by

$$\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(\tau^{n-1}x) \dots \varphi(x),$$

and a map τ_φ (called *skew-product* or *cylindrical transformation*) acting on $X \times G$ by

$$\tau_\varphi : (x, y) \rightarrow (\tau x, \varphi(x)y). \tag{1}$$

We denote by $m_G(dg)$, or simply dg , a left Haar measure on G . The map τ_φ leaves invariant the measure $\mu \times m_G$ denoted by λ_0 and more generally the measures λ_χ based on a conformal measures μ_χ (see below).

If G is abelian, φ_n , noted additively, coincides with the ergodic sum $\varphi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ \tau^k$, for $n > 0$.

The cocycle (φ_n) can be viewed as a *stationary walk* in G over the dynamical system (X, μ, τ) . When it is *recurrent* (i.e. when $(\varphi_n(x))$ returns for a.e. x infinitely often in any neighborhood of the neutral element in G), the transformation τ_φ is conservative for the invariant measure $\mu \times m_G$.

Among the questions that arise about cocycles, we can mention: construction of recurrent cocycles (φ, τ) in a group G ; ergodicity of the measures λ_0 and λ_χ and expression of their ergodic decomposition if they are not ergodic for τ_φ ; determination of all ergodic τ_φ -invariant *locally finite* measures. Let us briefly comment these different points.

1) When φ has its values in $\mathbb{R}$ and is integrable, $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is recurrent if and only if $\mu(\varphi) = 0$ (cf. [Kr75] or [Sc77], see also Lemma 2.5). In higher dimension the recurrence of a cocycle requires stronger assumptions. For any amenable group G , over any ergodic system (X, μ, τ) , there exist measurable recurrent ergodic cocycles (φ, τ) with values in G (cf. [He79], [GoSi85]); but the problem remains of the construction of recurrent cocycles generated by regular functions over specified dynamical systems. When G is $\mathbb{R}^d$ or some nilpotent groups ([Gr05]), an estimate of the growth of the ergodic sums can be used for rotations on the circle and functions φ with bounded variation. We will give an example on a nilpotent group.

2) The ergodicity of the measure λ_0 for the map τ_φ , when the base is a one-dimensional rotation, was the subject of many papers since the seventies (some of them are cited in the bibliography) and the present paper is partly in this perspective. Circle extensions of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions given by rotations on multidimensional tori are considered by K. Frączek in [Fr00]. It must be emphasized that, over multidimensional rotations, the ergodicity of very simple noncompact skew products seems to be unknown.

The ergodic decomposition of λ_0 was considered by K. Schmidt, who introduced the notion of regularity of a cocycle ([Sc77]). In a recent paper, A. Raugi ([Ra06]) could express, via a cohomological reduction of the cocycle, the τ_φ -ergodic invariant measures, for a class of σ -finite measures. This makes it possible to give the form of the ergodic decomposition of the measures λ_0, λ_χ , when they are not ergodic for τ_φ ([CoRa07]). For a *non-regular* cocycle the decomposition introduces a family of non-locally finite measures on $X \times G$ based on σ -finite non-finite measures on the space X . An example of non-regular cocycle was given in [Le95]. We construct here other examples of non-regular cocycles.

3) For a transformation τ on a space equipped with a quasi-invariant measure μ , there are an "enormous diversity" of σ -finite, non-locally finite, invariant measures related to μ (cf. [Sc79]). Therefore the determination of τ_φ -invariant measures on $X \times G$ has a meaning only within some restricted classes of measures. The determination of all locally finite ergodic τ_φ -invariant measures was recently studied (cf. [ANSS02], [Sa04]). The idea, for a skew product over a rotation, is that these measures should be "Maharam-type" measures. We will extend here some of the results of [ANSS02].

The aim of this paper is to illustrate different aspects of the theory by examples of cocycles

over rotations. The plan is the following. After the first section, where we recall some general results on the invariant measures for a cocycle and the notions of regularity and essential values introduced in [Sc77], [FeMo77], we take a rotation as the base for the skew-product. Section 2 is devoted to the recurrence for some BV cocycles with values in a nilpotent group. In section 3 we prove that the measures λ_0 and λ_χ are ergodic for some class of $\mathbb{R}$ -cocycles defined by step functions φ and are the only locally finite invariant measures for the map τ_φ . In section 4 we construct a simple non-regular step function and we give also a construction of a non-regular cocycle which is valid over any rotation. In the appendix, a diophantine property used in the proof of ergodicity is proved.

Part of the content of this paper was presented during the workshop in Probability and Ergodic Theory at Chapel Hill (February 2007). I would like to thank the referee for his careful reading, and Yves Guivarc'h and Albert Raugi for fruitful discussions.

Contents

1	Invariant measures, regularity of a cocycle	4
1.1	Invariant measures	4
1.2	Construction of invariant measures	5
1.3	Regularity, essential values	6
2	Growth of the ergodic sums over a rotation, application to recurrence	7
2.1	Growth of the ergodic sums	7
2.2	A lemma on recurrence	10
2.3	Application to stationary walks in the nilpotent group N_3	10
2.4	Recurrent cocycles in higher dimension	14
3	Examples of ergodic BV $\mathbb{R}^d$-cocycles	15
3.1	Quasi-periods for a class of BV functions φ	15
3.2	Ergodic measures for $\varphi = \sum_i c_i 1_{I_i} - \beta$	17
3.3	Extension to $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d > 1$	22
4	Examples of non-regular cocycles	23
4.1	A non-regular BV cocycle	23
4.2	Construction of a non-regular cocycle, for any irrational α	25
5	Appendix : A diophantine property for (α, β)	27

1 Invariant measures, regularity of a cocycle

1.1 Invariant measures

As in the introduction, we consider $(X, \mathcal{A})$ a standard Borel space with an invertible measurable map $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ and a σ -finite measure μ which is quasi-invariant and ergodic for τ . If f is a function defined on X , we write τf for $f \circ \tau$.

Let G be a locally compact group with neutral element e . Recall that two G -valued cocycles (φ, τ) and (ψ, τ) over the dynamical system (X, μ, τ) are μ -cohomologous with transfer function u , if there is a measurable map $u : X \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = u(\tau x) \psi(x) (u(x))^{-1} \text{ for } \mu - a.e. \ x. \quad (2)$$

A cocycle (φ, τ) is a μ -coboundary, if there is a measurable u such that $\varphi(x) = u(\tau x) (u(x))^{-1}$, for μ -a.e. x .

As it is shown in [Sc79], there is abundance of σ -finite invariant ergodic measures for a transformation. Let us recall how to get such measures for the map τ_φ . Let μ be a non-atomic measure on X which is quasi-invariant and ergodic for τ and φ a real function such that $\int \varphi d\mu = 0$. According to Theorem 10.5 of [Sc79], there is an uncountable family $(\nu_\iota, \iota \in J)$ of infinite non-atomic τ -invariant ergodic mutually singular measures, such that φ is a coboundary, $\varphi = \tau u_\iota u_\iota^{-1}$, for each system (X, ν_ι, τ) and, if B is a measurable set such that $\nu_\iota(B) = 0, \forall \iota$, then $\mu(B) = 0$.

One obtains τ_φ -invariant measures by taking the image of $\nu_\iota \times \delta_{y_0}, \iota \in J, y_0 \in G$ by the map $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, u_\iota(x)y)$.

If we try to explicit the measures which are invariant and ergodic for τ_φ , we have therefore to put some restrictions on the class of measures.

We say that a measure λ on $X \times G$ satisfies the property (P) if it can be written $\lambda(dx, dg) = \mu(dx)N(x, dg)$, where μ is a probability measure on X and N a positive Radon kernel (i.e. such that for every $x \in X$, $N(x, dg)$ is a positive Radon measure on the Borel sets of G and the map $x \rightarrow N(x, B)$ is measurable, for every Borel set B of G).

Theorem 1.1 (*A. Raugi [Ra06]*) *Let λ be a τ_φ -invariant ergodic measure which satisfies (P). Then φ is μ -cohomologous to a cocycle ψ with values in a closed subgroup H of G : $\varphi = \tau u \psi u^{-1}$; the image $\tilde{\lambda}$ of λ by the map $(x, g) \rightarrow (x, (u(x))^{-1}g)$ is a τ_ψ -invariant ergodic measure with support $X \times H$ and has the form:*

$$\tilde{\lambda}(dx, dh) = \tilde{\mu}(dx)\chi(h)dh, \quad (3)$$

where dh is a left Haar measure on H , χ is an exponential on H and $\tilde{\mu}$ is a σ -finite measure, equivalent to μ such that

$$\tau \tilde{\mu}(dx) = \chi(\psi(\tau^{-1}x)) \tilde{\mu}(dx). \quad (4)$$

If $H = G$, then we have $u(y) \equiv e$, $\lambda(dy, dg) = \tilde{\mu}(dy) \chi(g) dg$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ a quasi-invariant measure on X such that, for an exponential χ on G ,

$$\tau \tilde{\mu}(dy) = \chi(\varphi(\tau^{-1}y)) \tilde{\mu}(dy).$$

For $\gamma \in G$, let R_γ be the translation in the second coordinate in $X \times G$, $(x, g) \rightarrow R_\gamma(x, g) = (x, g\gamma)$. If λ is a τ_φ -invariant ergodic measure, its image by R_γ is an invariant ergodic measure, which is either singular with respect to λ or equal to λ up to a constant factor. Let $H(\lambda) := \{\gamma : R_\gamma \lambda \sim \lambda\}$. This group is the closed subgroup H of G which appears in Theorem 1.1.

In specific examples, one could expect that $H = G$, thus characterizing the locally finite invariant measures λ .

1.2 Construction of invariant measures

Theorem 1.1 highlights the role of conformal measures, i.e. quasi-invariant measures satisfying (4). We recall how to construct such measures and the corresponding locally finite τ_φ -invariant measures in the framework of a compact metric space X and a Borel map φ from X to $\mathbb{R}^d$. For a positive measure λ on $X \times G$, "locally finite" means here $\lambda(X \times K) < \infty$, for all compact subsets K in G .

Let ζ be a continuous real valued positive function on X . A sufficient condition for the existence of a quasi-invariant probability measure ν such that $\tau\nu = \zeta\nu$ is $\inf_{\mu \in E(\tau)} |\int \log \zeta d\mu| = 0$, where $E(\tau)$ is the set of all ergodic τ -invariant probability measures. For an ergodic rotation, this condition reduces to $\int_X \log \zeta dx = 0$. (See also [Sc79]).

Remark that we may get an atomic measure on the orbit of a point $x \in X$ such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_k(x) < \infty$, where ζ_k is the multiplicative cocycle defined by ζ . For instance, for every ergodic rotation one can construct a continuous function ζ such that, for every rational x , $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_k(x) < +\infty$ (cf. [Br02]). For such a function and any rational, the measure concentrated on the orbit of x defined by $\nu(\{T^n x\}) = \zeta_n(x)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfies $\tau\nu = \zeta\nu$.

If τ is an ergodic rotation on the circle and $\log \zeta$ has bounded variation, this does not happen: in this case Inequality (13) (section 3.1) implies $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_k(x) = \infty, \forall x \in X$. Moreover, the measure ν satisfying the quasi-invariance equation for a given ζ is unique (cf. [MeSt93], [CoGu00]). As a consequence, we have:

If φ is a function with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with bounded variation and null integral, for every ergodic rotation τ on the circle, for every exponential χ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique non-atomic probability measure μ_χ (called $\chi \circ \varphi$ -conformal) such that

$$\tau \mu_\chi = \chi \circ \varphi \circ \tau^{-1} \mu_\chi. \quad (5)$$

The measure (sometimes called measure of Maharam)

$$\lambda_\chi(dx, dy) := \mu_\chi(dx) \times \chi(y) dy. \quad (6)$$

is a τ_φ -invariant locally finite measure.

The τ_φ -invariant ergodic locally finite measures have been described for some cylindrical transformations (cf. in particular O. Sarig ([Sa04]), J. Aaronson, H. Nakada, O. Sarig, R. Solomyak ([ANSS02])). It is proved in [ANSS02] that for $\varphi = 1_{[0,\beta]} - \beta$, if τ is a rotation by α , assuming an arithmetical condition on (α, β) , then the τ_φ -invariant ergodic measures on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ are given by (6). We will extend this result in section 3.

1.3 Regularity, essential values

In the following definitions we consider an invariant probability measure μ .

Definition 1.2 A cocycle (φ, τ) is μ -regular, if there exist a closed subgroup H of G and a measurable map $u : X \rightarrow G$ such that $\psi := (u \circ \tau)^{-1} \varphi u$ takes μ -a.e. its values in H and $\tau_\psi : (x, h) \rightarrow (\tau x, \psi(x)h)$ is ergodic for the product measure $\mu \otimes m_H$ on $X \times H$.

In the regular case, any τ_φ -invariant function can be written $F(u(x)^{-1}g)$, for some function F which is invariant for the left translations by elements of H . The regular case corresponds to a "good" ergodic decomposition of $\mu \times dg$.

If the cocycle is non-regular, then the measures μ_x of the ergodic decomposition of $\mu \otimes m_G$ are singular with respect to the measure μ , there are uncountably many of them pairwise mutually singular and (at least for G abelian) they are infinite (cf. [CoRa07]).

Examples of non-regular cocycle over rotations were given by Lemańczyk in [Le95]. In section 4, we give an example of a non-regular cocycle over a rotation given by a step function.

We recall now the notion of essential value of a cocycle (K. Schmidt [Sc77], J. Feldman, C.C. Moore [FeMo77]).

Definitions 1.3 An element $a \in \overline{G} = G \cup \{\infty\}$ is an *essential value* of the cocycle (φ, τ) if, for every neighborhood V of a , for every measurable subset B such that $\mu(B) > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mu(B \cap \tau^{-n}B \cap \{x : \varphi_n(x) \in V\}) > 0.$$

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ the set of essential values of the cocycle (φ, τ) , $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) \cap G$ the set of finite essential values and $\mathcal{P}(\varphi)$ the group of left period of the measurable τ_φ -invariant functions f on $X \times G$.

It can be shown that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\varphi)$ are closed subgroups of G and they are equal. In the abelian case, two cohomologous cocycles have the same set of essential values and we have $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0\}$ if and only if φ is a coboundary.

In the abelian case, if φ is cohomologous to φ_1 and to φ_2 , two functions with values respectively in closed subgroups with an intersection reduced to $\{e\}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \{e\}$.

The following simple lemma is a consequence of the preceding remark (we will see how to apply this lemma in the proof of Thm. 4.2).

Lemma 1.4 *If φ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cocycle such that there exists $s \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for which the multiplicative equation $e^{2\pi i s \varphi} = \psi^{-1} \psi \circ \tau$ has a measurable solution ψ , then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \{0\}$. If φ is not a coboundary, then $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0, \infty\}$ and the cocycle φ is non-regular.*

2 Growth of the ergodic sums over a rotation, application to recurrence

Notations 2.1 Given an irrational number α , we denote by τ_α , or simply τ , the rotation $x \rightarrow x + \alpha \bmod 1$ on $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, μ_0 the Lebesgue measure. If f is a function defined on X , we write τf for $f \circ \tau$ and f_k for the ergodic sums $f + \dots + \tau^{k-1} f$, $k \geq 1$.

2.1 Growth of the ergodic sums

• Continued fraction

First, we briefly recall some well known results on the diophantine properties of an irrational number $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ and its development in continued fraction $\alpha = [0; a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$.

We denote by $(p_n/q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the sequence of its convergents. An integer q belonging to the sequence (q_n) will be called, to simplify, a *denominator* of α . Recall that we have $p_{-1} = 1$, $p_0 = 0$, $q_{-1} = 0$, $q_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, \\ q_n &= a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \\ (-1)^n &= p_{n-1} q_n - p_n q_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting, for a real u , $\{u\}$ its fractional part, $((u)) := \inf(\{u\}, \{1 - u\}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u - n|$, we have for $n \geq 0$:

$$((q_n \alpha)) = (-1)^n (q_n \alpha - p_n), \tag{7}$$

$$1 = q_n ((q_{n+1} \alpha)) + q_{n+1} ((q_n \alpha)). \tag{8}$$

The relation (8) implies, for every $n \geq 0$:

$$((q_n \alpha)) + ((q_{n+1} \alpha)) \leq \inf\left(\frac{1}{q_n}, \frac{2}{q_{n+1}}\right), \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_{n+1} + q_n} \leq ((q_n \alpha)) \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}. \tag{10}$$

An irrational number $\alpha = [0; a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$ has *bounded partial quotients* (abbreviated as *bpq*) if the sequence (a_n) is bounded.

The type of a number α is the real number $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\inf_k [k^{\eta-\varepsilon}((k\alpha))] = 0, \quad \inf_k [k^{\eta+\varepsilon}((k\alpha))] > 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (11)$$

The inequality (10) shows that any irrational number is of type ≥ 1 . It is well known that almost every α (for the Lebesgue measure on the circle) is of type 1.

Lemma 2.2 *If α is of type η , we have $\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k^{\eta+\delta}} \frac{1}{((k\alpha))} < \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$.*

Proof For $i \geq 1$, let S_i be the strictly increasing sequence $(k_{i,j})_{j \geq 1}$ such that $((k_{i,j}\alpha)) \in [2^{-(i+1)}, 2^{-i}]$. Set $K_i = \min_j \{k_{i,1}, k_{i,j+1} - k_{i,j}\}$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. By (11) there is a constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{k_{i,1}^{\eta+\varepsilon}} &\leq ((k_{i,1}\alpha)) < 2^{-i}, \\ \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(k_{i,j+1} - k_{i,j})^{\eta+\varepsilon}} &\leq (((k_{i,j+1} - k_{i,j})\alpha)) < 2^{-(i-1)} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$K_i \geq (C(\varepsilon)2^{i-1})^{\frac{1}{\eta+\varepsilon}}.$$

We have $k_{i,j} \geq jK_i, \forall j \geq 1$, which implies, for a constant $D(\varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in S_i} \frac{1}{k^{\eta+\delta}} \frac{1}{((k\alpha))} &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{k_{i,j}^{\eta+\delta}} \frac{1}{((k_{i,j}\alpha))} \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{i+1}}{(jK_i)^{\eta+\delta}} \\ &= \frac{2^{i+1}}{K_i^{\eta+\delta}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j^{\eta+\delta}} \leq D(\varepsilon)2^{i(1-\frac{\eta+\delta}{\eta+\varepsilon})}, \end{aligned}$$

hence the convergence of the series $\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k^{\eta+\delta}} \frac{1}{((k\alpha))}$. $\square$

Inequality of Denjoy-Koksma

If f is a function on $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ with bounded variation (BV) denoted by $V(f)$, the ergodic sums (f_n) satisfies the Denjoy-Koksma inequality: let p and q be relatively primes integers such that $|q\alpha - p| < 1/q$, then we have

$$\left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} f(x + \ell\alpha) - q \int_X f d\mu_0 \right| \leq V(f), \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (12)$$

Applied to the denominators (q_n) of α , this implies

$$\left| \sum_0^{q_n-1} f(x + \ell\alpha) - q_n \int_X f d\mu_0 \right| \leq V(f), \forall x \in X. \quad (13)$$

Recall that if f is a BV function, its Fourier coefficients satisfy $c_k(f) = O(\frac{1}{k})$. This will be used implicitly. We can also consider the class of functions in L^2 for which this last condition holds and get a L^2 -bound for the sum in (13) in order to obtain recurrence for a broader class of cocycles. We recall the following result of [ALMN92].

Proposition 2.3 *If f is a function on the circle whose Fourier coefficients are in $O(\frac{1}{k})$, there is a constant C such that, for any irrational number α and for any denominator q of α : $\|\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} f(\cdot + k\alpha) - q\mu_0(f)\|_2 \leq C$.*

• Growth of the ergodic sums

One can bound the growth of the ergodic sums of a BV function f over τ_α by using the Denjoy-Koksma inequality and the "development in base (q_n) " where (q_n) are the denominators of α . We assume that $\mu_0(f) = 0$.

Any integer $n \geq 1$ can be written: $n = \sum_1^{\ell_n-1} b_j q_j$, with $0 \leq b_j \leq a_{j+1}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell_n - 1$, $b_{\ell_n-1} \geq 1$, where ℓ_n is determined by the condition

$$q_{\ell_n-1} \leq n < q_{\ell_n}. \quad (14)$$

The growth of the sequence (q_n) is at least exponential and thus $\ell_n = O(\log n)$.

We set $\theta(n) := \sum_1^{\ell_n-1} a_{j+1}$. If f has its Fourier coefficients in $O(\frac{1}{k})$, it follows from Proposition 2.3:

$$\|f_n\|_2 \leq C \theta(n), \forall n \geq 1.$$

If f is BV, (13) implies

$$\|f_n\|_\infty \leq V(f) \theta(n), \forall n \geq 1.$$

We have now to bound the growth of $\theta(n)$. If α has bpq, then clearly $\theta(n) = O(\log n)$.

If α is of type 1, (11) implies that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $a_n \leq C(\varepsilon) q_{n-1}^\varepsilon$, $\forall n \geq 1$, hence: $n < (a_{\ell_n} + 1) q_{\ell_n-1} < (C(\varepsilon) q_{\ell_n-1}^\varepsilon + 1) q_{\ell_n-1}$, which implies:

$$C_1(\varepsilon) n^{1-\varepsilon} \leq C_1(\varepsilon) n^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq q_{\ell_n-1}. \quad (15)$$

Lemma 2.4 *If α is of type 1, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta(n) = O(n^\varepsilon)$.*

Proof Using (14) we have

$$\theta(n) \leq \ell_n \sup_{k \leq \ell_n} a_k \leq C(\varepsilon) q_{\ell_n-1}^\varepsilon \log n \leq C(\varepsilon) n^\varepsilon \log n.$$

□

2.2 A lemma on recurrence

Lemma 2.5 *Let $(E, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ be a dynamical system, with μ an invariant probability measure, and φ a measurable function with values in a locally compact group G with left Haar measure m_G . Assume that there are an increasing sequence of sets (D_n) in G and a strictly increasing sequence (k_n) such that*

$$\lim_n \mu(x : \varphi_{k_n}(x) \notin D_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_n \frac{m_G(D_n \cdot K)}{n} = 0 \quad (16)$$

for any compact set $K \subset G$. Then the cocycle (φ, τ) is recurrent.

Proof Let λ be the product measure $\mu \times m_G$. We have to show that if B is a wandering set for τ_φ (i.e. with pairwise disjoint images $(\tau_\varphi^\ell B, \ell \geq 0)$), then $\lambda(B) = 0$. Assume that $\lambda(B) > 0$. We can also assume that B is included in $E \times K$ for a compact set K in G .

Let $E_n = \{x : \varphi_{k_n}(x) \in D_n\}$ and $B_n = (E_n \times K) \cap B$. If we choose n_0 such that $\lambda(B_j) \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(B)$, for $j \geq n_0$, then $\lambda(\cup_{j=n_0}^n \tau_\varphi^{k_j} B_j) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - n_0)\lambda(B)$.

On the other hand, this union is included in $E \times (D_n \cdot K)$. Therefore we have

$$\lambda(\cup_{j=n_0}^n \tau_\varphi^{k_j} B_j) \leq m_G(D_n \cdot K).$$

This implies $\liminf_n \frac{m_G(D_n \cdot K)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(B) > 0$, contrary to the assumption. $\square$

2.3 Application to stationary walks in the nilpotent group N_3

When the cocycle is defined by a BV function with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ over a one dimensional rotation, the Denjoy-Koksma inequality implies easily recurrence. The situation is different for cocycles taking their values in a nilpotent group since the coordinates of the ergodic sums are polynomial expressions. The simplest non-commutative example is the group $G = N_3$ of matrices of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The group G can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with the composition law:

$$(a, b, c) \cdot (a', b', c') = (a + a', b + b', c + c' + ab').$$

An example of a recurrent and ergodic cocycle with values in the triangular group N_3 was recently given by G. Greschonig ([Gr05]). We present here a more general class of recurrent cocycles in N_3 .

Let $\Phi = (f, g, h)$ be a map from X into $\mathbb{R}^3$. We assume that f, g, h are real valued BV and centered functions: $\mu_0(f) = \mu_0(g) = \mu_0(h) = 0$. A supplementary condition on the pair (f, g) will appear below.

The transformation τ_Φ is defined on $X \times N_3$ by

$$\tau_\Phi(x, g) = (x + \alpha, \Phi(x).g) = (x + \alpha, a + f(x), b + g(x), c + h(x) + bf(x)).$$

For $k \geq 2$, we have by iteration:

$$\tau_\Phi^k(x, a, b, c) = (x + k\alpha, a + f_k(x), b + g_k(x), c + h_k(x) + bf_k(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f(\tau^j x)g_j(x)). \quad (17)$$

When $k = q_n$, a denominator of α , the ergodic sums f_k, g_k, h_k are bounded by $V(f)$. The recurrence of the cocycle defined by Φ in N_3 , is related to an estimate of the sums $\sum_{j=1}^n \tau^j f g_j$.

After a formal calculation on Fourier series it appears that there is a "linear drift" of the form $n\gamma(f, g)$. If α is of type 1, a necessary and sufficient condition of recurrence is that the constant $\gamma(f, g)$ is null.

Let $f = \sum_{p \neq 0} c_p e_p$, $g = \sum_{p \neq 0} d_p e_p$ be the Fourier series of f and g , with $e_p = e^{2\pi i p \cdot}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have formally the following Fourier development:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \tau^j f g_j &= \sum_{p, q \neq 0} c_p d_q e_{p+q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i p k \alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e^{2\pi i q j \alpha} \right) \right) \\ &= n \sum_{p \neq 0} c_p d_{-p} (e^{-2\pi i p \alpha} - 1)^{-1} - \sum_{p \neq 0} c_p d_{-p} (e^{-2\pi i p \alpha} - 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{2\pi i p n \alpha} - 1}{e^{2\pi i p \alpha} - 1} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{p, q \neq 0, p+q \neq 0} c_p d_q e_{p+q} (e^{2\pi i q \alpha} - 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{2\pi i (p+q) n \alpha} - 1}{e^{2\pi i (p+q) \alpha} - 1} - \frac{e^{2\pi i p n \alpha} - 1}{e^{2\pi i p \alpha} - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient $\gamma(f, g)$ of the term in n is

$$\gamma(f, g) = \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{c_p d_{-p}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i p \alpha}} = \sum_{p \geq 1} [\Re(c_p \overline{d_p}) + \Im(c_p \overline{d_p}) \frac{\cos \pi p \alpha}{\sin \pi p \alpha}]. \quad (18)$$

In this expression the series $\sum_{p \geq 1} \Re(c_p \overline{d_p})$ converges and is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \mu_0(fg)$, but the convergence of the series $\sum_p \Im(c_p \overline{d_p}) \frac{\cos \pi p \alpha}{\sin \pi p \alpha}$ requires diophantine conditions for α .

From Lemma 2.2, the series is absolutely convergent if α is of type $\eta < 2$. If $f = g$, the coefficient $\gamma(f, g)$ reduces to $\sum_{p \geq 1} \Re(|c_p|^2) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0(f^2)$.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $\gamma(f, g)$ be given by (18). If α is of type 1, the stationary walk defined over the rotation $x \rightarrow x + \alpha$ by a map Φ from $\mathbb{T}^1$ to N_3 with centered BV components (f, g, h) is recurrent if and only if $\gamma(f, g) = 0$.*

Proof a) For every function g on X , for every $q \geq 1$, we have

$$g = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q g_k - \tau \left(\frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q g_k \right) + \frac{1}{q} \tau g_q.$$

Therefore we can write

$$g = \psi^{(q)} - \tau \psi^{(q)} + \zeta^{(q)}, \quad (19)$$

with

$$\psi^{(q)} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q g_k, \quad \zeta^{(q)} = \frac{1}{q} \tau g_q.$$

b) *Estimation of $\int \psi^{(q)} f \, d\mu_0$*

We have

$$\int \psi^{(q)} f \, d\mu_0 = - \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{c_p d_{-p}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i p \alpha}} (1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}),$$

with $\varepsilon_{p,q} = -\frac{1}{q} \frac{e^{-2\pi i q p \alpha} - 1}{e^{-2\pi i p \alpha} - 1} e^{-2\pi i p \alpha}$ and

$$|\varepsilon_{p,q}| = \frac{1}{q} \left| \frac{e^{2\pi i q p \alpha} - 1}{e^{2\pi i p \alpha} - 1} \right| \leq \inf \left(1, \frac{1}{q} \frac{2}{((p\alpha))} \right).$$

If $\sum_{p \neq 0} \left| \frac{c_p d_{-p}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i p \alpha}} \right| < \infty$, this implies that

$$\lim_q \int \psi^{(q)} f \, dx = - \sum_p \frac{c_p d_{-p}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i p \alpha}} = -\gamma(f, g).$$

Under the assumption that α is of type 1, to estimate the rate of convergence, we have to bound the sum of the series S_q defined by

$$S_q := \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))} \inf \left(1, \frac{((qp\alpha))}{q((p\alpha))} \right).$$

We have

$$S_q \leq \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))^2} + \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{p \geq q} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))} = (1) + (2).$$

For the term (2), Lemma 2.2 implies the convergence $\sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))} < \infty$.

The term (1) is bounded by $\frac{1}{q^2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The factor $\sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))}$ is bounded (Lemma 2.2). Moreover, using the fact that there is only one value of $r\alpha \bmod 1$, for $1 \leq r < q$, in each interval $[\frac{k}{q}, \frac{k+1}{q}[$, $k = 1, \dots, q-1$, we can bound $\sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{((p\alpha))^3}$ by $(\sum_{p=1}^{q-1} \frac{q^3}{p^3})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Cq^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

For α of type 1, it follows that $S_q = 0(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and then

$$|\int f\psi^{(q)} d\mu_0 - \gamma(f, g)| = 0(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (20)$$

c) If we take for q a denominator of α , $\psi^{(q)}$ and $\zeta^{(q)}$ satisfy the following inequalities (below we denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the uniform norm)

$$\|\psi^{(q)}\| \leq \theta(q)V(g), \quad (21)$$

$$V(\psi^{(q)}) \leq \frac{1}{2}qV(g), \quad (22)$$

$$\|\zeta^{(q)}\| \leq \frac{V(g)}{q}. \quad (23)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \tau^k f g_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\psi^{(q)} \tau^k f - \tau^k (\psi^{(q)} f)) + \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k^{(q)} \tau^k f \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\psi^{(q)} \tau^k f - \tau^k (\psi^{(q)} f)) + \sum_{k=1}^n \tau^{k-1} \zeta^{(q)} \tau^k f_{n-k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence using (20)

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \sum_{k=1}^n \tau^k f g_k - n\gamma(f, g) \| \\ &\leq \| \psi^{(q)} \| \|f_n\| + \|(\psi^{(q)} f - \int \psi^{(q)} f)_n\| + \|\zeta^{(q)}\| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\tau^k f_{n-k+1}\| + n | \int \psi^{(q)} f d\mu_0 + \gamma(f, g) | \\ &\leq \| \psi^{(q)} \| V(f)\theta(n) + V(\psi^{(q)} f)\theta(n) + \|\zeta^{(q)}\| \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_{n-k+1}\| + \frac{Cn}{\sqrt{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (22) and (23), it follows

$$\| \sum_{k=1}^n \tau^k f g_k - n\gamma(f, g) \| \quad (24)$$

$$\leq (2\theta(q)V(g)V(f) + \frac{1}{2}qV(g)\|f\|)\theta(n) + \frac{V(g)}{q}V(f)n\theta(n) + \frac{Cn}{\sqrt{q}} \quad (25)$$

$$\leq C(q + \frac{n}{q})\theta(n) + \frac{Cn}{\sqrt{q}}. \quad (26)$$

For $q = q_{r_n-1}$ such that $q_{r_n-1} \leq \sqrt{n} < q_{r_n}$, we have (cf. (15)): $q_{r_n-1} \geq C(\sqrt{n})^{1-\varepsilon}$; hence

$$\frac{n}{q_{r_n-1}} \leq C \frac{n}{(\sqrt{n})^{1-\varepsilon}} = Cn^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n}{\sqrt{q_{r_n-1}}} \leq Cn^{1-\frac{1}{4}(1-\varepsilon)}.$$

Lemma 2.4 and (26) imply, for a constant C_1 depending on ε ,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau^k f g_k - n\gamma(f, g) \right\| \leq C_1 \sqrt{n} (1 + n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) \theta(n) + C \frac{n}{\sqrt{q_{r_n-1}}} \leq 2C_1 n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}} + C n^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}}. \quad (27)$$

If $\gamma(f, g) = 0$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau^k f g_k = O(n^\delta)$, for any $\delta > \frac{3}{4}$.

The two other components have a growth with the rate $O(n^\varepsilon)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We can apply Lemma 2.5 above with $k_n = n$ and D_n defined, for a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and a constant C big enough, as follows:

$$D_n := \{(x, y, z), 0 \leq |x| \leq Cn^\varepsilon, 0 \leq |y| \leq Cn^\varepsilon, 0 \leq |z| \leq Cn^{(\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon)}\}.$$

One easily check using (17) that the sequence (D_n) satisfies Condition (16) of Lemma 2.5. This gives the recurrence of the stationary walk in the group N_3 if $\gamma(f, g) = 0$.

Conversely for a rotation by α of type 1, if $\gamma(f, g) \neq 0$, according to (27) the cocycle (Φ_n) behaves like $n\gamma(f, g)$ and the walk is transient. $\square$

Remark: The coefficient $\gamma(f, g)$ defined by (18) vanishes if the following condition is satisfied

$$c_k d_{-k} = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (28)$$

This condition (28) is satisfied if $f(x + \frac{1}{2}) \equiv f(x)$ and $g(x + \frac{1}{2}) \equiv -g(x)$, which is the assumption used in [Gr05] where ergodicity is also proved for a particular class of cocycle.

2.4 Recurrent cocycles in higher dimension

For a nilpotent group of order 2, we have to study expressions of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tau^k f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \tau^j g \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^j \tau^\ell h \right) \right). \quad (29)$$

The method used for N_3 is particular to the dimension. For higher dimensions a way to construct recurrent cocycles is to consider stronger conditions, like the following:

Let p be an integer ≥ 2 . If the pair (f, g) satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} f\left(y + \frac{l}{p}\right) g\left(x + \frac{l}{p}\right) = 0, \forall x, y, \quad (30)$$

then the expressions (29) can be bounded and a recurrence property can be proved.

This condition (30) is equivalent to $c_k(f) c_{k'}(g) = 0$, $\forall (k, k')$ such that p divides $k + k'$. It is satisfied in particular if f is invariant under the map $x \rightarrow x + \frac{1}{p}$ and g satisfies $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} g(x + \frac{k}{p}) = 0$.

For $p = 2$, it is equivalent to $(f(x) \equiv f(x + \frac{1}{2}) \text{ et } g(x) \equiv -g(x + \frac{1}{2}))$ or $(f(x) \equiv -f(x + \frac{1}{2}) \text{ and } g(x) \equiv g(x + \frac{1}{2}))$.

3 Examples of ergodic BV $\mathbb{R}^d$ -cocycles

3.1 Quasi-periods for a class of BV functions φ

The concept of quasi-period given in the following definition makes it possible to obtain essential values for classes of functions with bounded variation and discontinuities. We suppose that G is a locally compact abelian group and we use additive notation.

The method of quasi-periods will be applied to rotations $x \rightarrow x + \alpha \bmod 1$ on the circle with the uniform measure μ_0 or a conformal measure μ_χ . We recall that μ_χ is the conformal measure specified in section 1 which corresponds to an exponential χ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.1 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ be a dynamical system, where μ is quasi-invariant and φ a measurable function on X with values in G . We say that an element $c \in G$ is a *quasi-period* of a recurrent cocycle (φ, τ) if there exist $\delta > 0$ and sequences $(\ell_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $(\varepsilon_n > 0)_{n \geq 1}$, with $\lim_n \varepsilon_n = 0$, such that

$$\lim_n \tau^{\ell_n} x = x, \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X \text{ and } \mu(A_n) \geq \delta, \forall n \geq 1,$$

where $A_n = \{x : d(\varphi_{\ell_n}(x), c) < \varepsilon_n\}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Any quasi-period of (φ, τ) is a period of the τ_φ -invariant functions (relatively to the measure λ_0) and thus an essential value of the cocycle.*

Proof 1) We use the notations of the previous definition. Let $f(x, t)$ be a τ_φ -invariant function on $X \times G$, $f(\tau x, \varphi(x) + t) = f(x, t)$. We can suppose f bounded (since τ_φ is conservative) and it is possible to regularize it by convolution with respect to the variable t , by replacing f by the function $(x, t) \rightarrow \int_G f(x, s) h(t - s) ds$, where h is a continuous function on G with compact support. Therefore we can suppose f to be continuous in t .

Let c be a quasi-period. The set $\{x : f(x, c + t) = f(x, t), \text{ for almost every } t\}$ is τ -invariant. The transformation τ being ergodic, to show the relation $f(x, c + t) = f(x, t)$, for μ -almost every x , it is enough to show that the measure of this set is $\neq 0$.

Remark that the condition $\lim_n \tau^{\ell_n} x = x$, $\forall x \in X$, implies for ζ integrable on X :

$$\lim_n \int_X |\zeta(\tau^{\ell_n} x) - \zeta(x)| d\mu(x) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Indeed, this property is satisfied by the continuous functions on X and can be extended to the class of integrable functions, thanks to the invariance of the measure μ .

2) Let u be a continuous > 0 integrable function on $\mathbb{R}$. Applying (31), we get

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_X |f(\tau^{\ell_n} x, t) - f(x, t)| u(t) d\mu(x) dt = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_X 1_{A_n}(x) |f(x, t) - f(x, t - c)| u(t) d\mu(x) dt \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_X |f(x, t) - f(\tau^{\ell_n} x, t)| d\mu(x) \right) u(t) dt \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_X 1_{A_n}(x) |f(x, t - \varphi_{\ell_n}(x)) - f(x, t - c)| u(t) d\mu(x) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The two terms at right tend to 0, so that

$$\lim_n \int_X 1_{A_n}(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x, t) - f(x, t - c)| u(t) dt \right) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

The condition $\mu(A_n) \geq \delta > 0$ implies that the function

$$x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x, t) - f(x, t - c)| u(t) dt$$

is null on a set of measure $\geq \delta$. $\square$

Remarks 1) There is a similar result for the proper functions: if f is a τ_φ -proper function and c a quasi-period, there exists θ_c of modulus 1 such that: $f(x, c + t) = \theta(c)f(x, t)$.

2) If τ is an irrational rotation $x \rightarrow x + \alpha \bmod 1$ on the circle, φ a BV function and the sequence (ℓ_n) is extracted from the sequence (q_n) associated to α , then the result of Lemma 3.2 is also valid when μ is the $\chi \circ \varphi$ -conformal measure μ_χ and the invariance of the functions is λ_χ -a.e.. Indeed we can apply (13) which makes it possible to obtain (31), since it implies for a constant $C > 0$:

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{d(\tau^{q_n} \mu_\chi)}{d\mu_\chi} \leq C.$$

By a method close to that of Lemma 3.2, we have the following result in which φ is any BV function with values in $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\mu = \mu_0$ (the Lebesgue measure) or $\mu = \mu_\chi$ and λ be the corresponding invariant measure on $X \times \mathbb{R}$. For every BV function φ , if (φ_{q_n}) does not tend to 0 in probability in the sense of μ , the τ_φ -invariant functions (relatively to the measure λ) are periodic and have a common period.*

Proof Let $f(x, y)$ such that $f(\tau x, y + \varphi(x)) = f(x, y)$, for μ -a.e. x . As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can assume that f is continuous with respect to y . Let u be a continuous nonnegative integrable function on $\mathbb{R}$. As in Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int \int |f(x, y) - f(\tau^{q_n} x, y)| u(y) dy d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$$

and thus, since $f(\tau^{q_n}x, y) = f(x, y - \varphi_{q_n}(x))$

$$\int \int |f(x, y) - f(x, y - \varphi_{q_n}(x))| u(y) dy d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

From this convergence in $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm, we deduce the existence of a subsequence (q_{n_k}) for which the pointwise convergence for μ -almost every x holds:

$$\int |f(x, y) - f(x, y - \varphi_{q_{n_k}}(x))| u(y) dy \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

This subsequence can be chosen such as $(\varphi_{q_{n_k}})$ does not tend to 0 in probability. We can then build a sequence of measurable functions $(t_j(x))_{j \geq 1}$ with values in $\mathbb{N}$, tending to $+\infty$, extracted from the sequence (q_{n_k}) , such that

$$\lim_k \varphi_{t_j(x)}(x) = c(x),$$

where c is a measurable not almost everywhere null nonnegative function.

By passing to the limit, we get

$$\int |f(x, y) - f(x, y - c(x))| u(y) dy = 0.$$

For a set of values of x of measure > 0 , the functions $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$ are therefore periodic. If they are not constant, using the invariance by τ_φ and the ergodicity of τ , we obtain that, for a.e. x , the period does not depend on x . If we consider now a countable dense family of τ_φ -invariant functions, the construction (i.e the extraction of a subsequence (q_{n_k}) in (32)) can be made simultaneously for the functions of this family.

This implies that there is a common period for all invariant functions. $\square$

Remark An analogous proof was sketched in [Co80], Proposition 1, but must be modified there: the subsequences should be extracted first from (q_n) or a multiple $(\ell_n q_n)$ so that convergence as in (32) still holds. Using Lemma 3.9 this can be done in the context of [Co80] with a modification of "lemme 3", in order to correct the proof of ergodicity which is given there.

3.2 Ergodic measures for $\varphi = \sum_i c_i 1_{I_i} - \beta$

We take now $G = \mathbb{R}$ and use the additive notation. We consider cocycles defined by functions φ of the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_i c_i 1_{I_i}(x \bmod 1) - \beta, \quad (33)$$

where (I_i) is a finite family of intervals of $[0, 1]$, the coefficients c_i are integers and β is such that $\mu(\varphi) = 0$.

Notations 3.4 Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of limit values of the sequence $(\{q_n\beta\})_{n \geq 1}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be the interval of integers:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{l \in \mathbb{Z} : |l| \leq V(\varphi) + 1\}.$$

The cocycle (φ_n) can be written: $\varphi_n(x) = u_n(x) - \{n\beta\}$, $n \geq 1$, where the functions u_n have integral values. From (13), it follows $|\varphi_{q_n}(x)| \leq V(\varphi)$ and therefore the values of $u_{q_n}(x)$ belong to $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.5 *For every $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$, for every τ_φ -invariant ergodic locally finite measure λ , there exists an integer $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $u - \gamma$ satisfies $R_{u-\gamma}\lambda \sim \lambda$.*

Proof Let γ be a limit point of the sequence $(\{q_n\beta\}_{n \geq 1})$ and (t_k) be a sequence such that $\gamma_k := \{q_{t_k}\beta\} \rightarrow \gamma$.

Let F be a nonnegative continuous function with compact support on $X \times \mathbb{R}$. According to the invariance of the measure λ , we have, for every $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int F(x, y) d\lambda(x, y) &= \int \int F(x + q_{t_k}\alpha, y + \varphi_{q_{t_k}}(x)) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \int \int_{u_{q_{t_k}} = u} F(x + q_{t_k}\alpha, y + u - \gamma_k) d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \int \int F(x + q_{t_k}\alpha, y + u - \gamma_k) d\lambda ; \end{aligned}$$

we obtain in the limit

$$\int \int F(x, y) d\lambda \leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \int \int F(x, y + u - \gamma) d\lambda, \quad (34)$$

which implies that there is, for at least one value of $u \in \mathcal{F}$, a measure $R_{u-\gamma}\lambda$ not singular with respect to the measure λ . $\square$

Lemma 3.6 *For every $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $u - \gamma$ is a quasi-period (for $\mu = \mu_0$ or $\mu = \mu_\chi$).*

Proof With the notations of the preceding lemma, there exists $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, for infinitely many indices in the sequence (t_k) : $\mu\{x : u_{q_{t_k}}(x) = u\} \geq \text{Card}(\mathcal{F})^{-1}$.

Since $q_{t_k}\alpha \bmod 1 \rightarrow 0$, the quasi-period property (definition 3.1) is satisfied by $u - \gamma$. $\square$

If $\mathcal{V} \neq \{0, 1\}$, not every $u - \gamma$, for u integer in $\mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$, is zero. There is thus at least a real $\neq 0$ of this form satisfying Lemma 3.5 (resp. Lemma 3.6).

• **Ergodic measures when $\text{Card}(\mathcal{V}) = \infty$**

The following theorem extends results of [ANSS02].

Theorem 3.7 *If the set of limit values of the sequence $((q_n\beta))_{n \geq 1}$ is infinite, the measure λ_0 , and more generally the measures λ_χ , are ergodic. They are the only τ_φ -invariant ergodic locally finite measures.*

Proof Under the assumption of the theorem there are, according to Lemma 3.6, infinitely many quasi-periods $\in [-(V(\varphi) + 1), V(\varphi) + 1]$ and Lemma 3.2 implies that the group of periods of the bounded invariant functions, which is a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, coincides with $\mathbb{R}$. These functions are thus constant and the measures λ_0 and λ_χ are ergodic.

Let λ be a τ_φ -invariant ergodic locally finite measures on $X \times \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 3.5, the closed subgroup $H := \{\gamma : R_\gamma \lambda \sim \lambda\}$ is $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore $R_\gamma \lambda = \chi(\gamma)\lambda, \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, where χ is an exponential on $\mathbb{R}$. Since the measure λ is locally finite, this implies that $\lambda = \lambda_\chi$, with $\lambda_\chi(dx, dt) = \mu_\chi(dx)\chi(t)dt$ as in (6), with μ_χ the unique quasi-invariant probability measure on X satisfying (5). $\square$

Remarks 1) The method of Lemma 3.2 also implies that, under the assumptions of the theorem, the proper functions, necessarily bounded, have the form: $g(x)e^{2\pi i \theta y}$ where θ is a real and g satisfies for some γ of modulus 1 the functional equation: $g \circ \tau = \gamma e^{-2\pi i \theta \varphi} g$.

2) The conclusions of Theorem 3.7 hold if α has bpq and $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}\alpha + \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, if α has bpq and if the sequence $((q_n\beta))$ has a finite number of limit points, there exists a non-zero integer p such that the limit points γ_i of $((q_n p \beta))_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy $0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \frac{1}{4}q_n((q_n \alpha))$ for every $n \geq 0$. This implies then $p\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z} \bmod 1$ (Corollary 5.2, section 5, cf. also [KrLi91], Theorem 2).

• **Ergodicity of λ_0**

The question of ergodicity and the determination of ergodic measures become more difficult if one gives up the assumption of Theorem 3.7. In what follows, we will restrict ourselves to the measure λ_0 and show the ergodicity of λ_0 by distinguishing different situations for the set of limit values of $((q_n\beta))_{n \geq 1}$.

Proposition 3.8 *If the sequence $((q_n\beta))_{n \geq 1}$ has an irrational value among its limit points, the measure λ_0 is ergodic.*

Proof The cocycle φ_n can be written $\varphi_n = u_n - \{n\beta\}$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$ be a limit point of $(\{q_n\beta\}) \in]0, 1[$ and (t_j) a subsequence such that $\lim_j \{q_{t_j}\beta\} = \gamma$. Let $A_{j,k} := \{u_{q_{t_j}} = k\}$. Using the fact that $\int \varphi_n dx = 0$, we have

$$\sum_k k \mu(A_{j,k}) = \int_0^1 u_{q_{t_j}}(x) dx = \{q_{t_j}\beta\} \rightarrow \gamma. \quad (35)$$

There exists a sequence of indices $\mathcal{S}$, two distinct integers k_1 and k_2 and $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(A_{j,k_i}) \geq \delta$, for $j \in \mathcal{S}$, $i = 1, 2$. Indeed, in the opposite case, one could construct an infinite sequence $\mathcal{S}'$ and for every $j \in \mathcal{S}'$ an integer k_j such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty, j \in \mathcal{S}'} \mu(A_{j,k_j}) = 1$ and, according to (35), γ would be a limit of a sequence of integers.

One obtains thus two quasi-periods of the form $k_i - \gamma$, of which the difference is a non-zero integer. If there is an irrational value in $\mathcal{V}$, the closed subgroup generated by the quasi-periods is equal to $\mathbb{R}$, which implies the assertion. $\square$

Case where the limit points of $((q_n\beta))_{n \geq 1}$ are rational and in finite number

There exists in this case an integer β' multiple of β for which $\lim_n((q_n\beta')) = 0$. Replacing β by β' and the cocycle by an iterate, we are reduced to the situation where $\lim_n((q_n\beta)) = 0$

This case can arise if the partial quotients of α are not bounded, since in this case the set $E(\alpha) = \{\beta : ((q_n\beta)) \rightarrow 0\}$ is uncountable (cf. Remark 5.3). Lemma 3.6 is not enough in this case. We will use Corollary 5.2 given in appendix and the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9 *Let φ be a step function with D points of discontinuity. For ℓ and q integers ≥ 1 , let*

$$A_{q,\ell} = \bigcap_{1 \leq s \leq \ell} \{x : \varphi_q(x) = \varphi_q(x + sq\alpha)\}.$$

We have $\mu(A_{q,\ell}) > 1 - 2Dq\epsilon$, with $\epsilon = \ell((q\alpha))$.

Proof Let Δ be the set of discontinuities of φ . If $x \notin A_{q,\ell}$, we can find s , $1 \leq s < \ell$, and j , $0 \leq j < q$, such that $\varphi(x + j\alpha) \neq \varphi(x + j\alpha + sq\alpha)$. This implies that φ has a discontinuity δ on the circle between $x + j\alpha$ and $x + j\alpha + sq\alpha$, and therefore x belongs to the interval $B(\delta - j\alpha, \epsilon)$ centered at $\delta - j\alpha$, of length 2ϵ .

According to the inequality $\sup_{1 \leq s \leq \ell}((sq\alpha)) \leq \ell((q\alpha))$, the complementary $A_{q,\ell}^c$ is contained in the set (whose measure is less than $2Dq\epsilon$)

$$\bigcup_{0 \leq j < q, \delta \in \Delta} B(\delta - j\alpha, \epsilon).$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.10 : *If $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}\alpha + \mathbb{Q}$ and $((q_n\beta)) \rightarrow 0$, the measure λ_0 is ergodic.*

Proof We use the notations of Lemma 3.9. For $x \in A_{q,\ell}$, we have $\varphi_{\ell q} = \ell\varphi_q$. Let (L_k) be the sequence of integers defined by the condition

$$L_k = [\eta/((q_{n_k}\beta))], \quad k \geq 1,$$

where η is such that $\eta < \frac{1}{16D}$, the sequence (n_k) being chosen so that

$$((q_{n_k}\beta)) > \frac{1}{4}q_{n_k}((q_{n_k}\alpha)).$$

The existence of such a sequence follows from Corollary 5.2.

Denote by B_k the sets $A_{q_{n_k}, L_k}$. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\mu(B_k) > 1 - 2Dq_{n_k}L_k((q_{n_k}\alpha)) \geq 1 - 8D\eta \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $x \in B_k$ and $\ell \leq L_k$, we have

$$\varphi_{\ell q_{n_k}}(x) = \ell \varphi_{q_{n_k}}(x) = \ell u_{q_{n_k}}(x) - \ell((q_{n_k}\beta)).$$

On the other hand, for $\ell \leq L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((\ell q_{n_k}\alpha)) &\leq L_k((q_{n_k}\alpha)) \\ &\leq \eta \frac{((q_{n_k}\alpha))}{((q_{n_k}\beta))} \leq 4 \frac{\eta}{q_{n_k}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu(\{x : u_{q_n}(x) = 0\}) \rightarrow 1$, this shows that any value between 0 and η is a quasi-period of the cocycle, and therefore is a period of the τ_φ -invariant functions. In that case, the invariant functions are constant and the measure λ_0 is ergodic for τ_φ .

In the opposite case, it is clear that we have found a quasi-period which is a non-zero integer c . If there is a non-constant invariant function, Lemma 3.11 below implies the existence of an integer p and of a measurable function g of modulus 1 satisfying the equation $g \circ \tau = e^{2\pi i \frac{p}{c} \varphi} g$.

Raising both sides of this equation to the power c , we get, according to the form of φ : $(g \circ \tau)^c = e^{2\pi i p \varphi} g^c = e^{-2\pi i p \beta} g^c$. Therefore $p\beta$ is a proper value of the rotation τ_α , which implies $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}\alpha + \mathbb{Q}$, contrary to the assumption. $\square$

Lemma 3.11 *Let (φ, τ) be a $\mathbb{R}$ -cocycle. If τ_φ is conservative, there exists a τ_φ -invariant periodic non-constant function f , with period $c > 0$ ($f(x, y + c) = f(x, y)$, for λ_0 -a.e. (x, y)), if and only if there are an integer $p \neq 0$ and a measurable function g with modulus 1 such that*

$$g(\tau x) = e^{2\pi i \frac{p}{c} \varphi(x)} g(x), \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \quad (36)$$

Proof As τ_φ is conservative, we can assume f bounded. The Fourier series in y of the periodic function f has the form: $f(x, y) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} u_p(x) e^{-2\pi i \frac{p}{c} y}$, where the measurable functions u_p satisfy an equation of the form (36).

If f is not constant, we get for some $p \neq 0$, the existence of a solution of modulus 1 for the equation (36) and φ is cohomologous with a function with values in $\frac{c}{p}\mathbb{Z}$.

Conversely, if g is solution of (36), the function $g(x) e^{-2\pi i \frac{p}{c} \varphi(x)}$ is invariant and c -periodic. $\square$

From the two preceding propositions it follows:

Theorem 3.12 *For every irrational α , if $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}\alpha + \mathbb{Q}$, the measure $\lambda_0 = \mu \times dy$ on $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is ergodic for the cylindrical transformation τ_φ , where φ is given by (33).*

3.3 Extension to $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d > 1$

We consider now a stationary walk over a rotation $x \rightarrow x + \alpha \bmod 1$, defined by a function Φ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

If the components of Φ are BV and of null integral, the Denjoy-Koksma inequality ensures recurrence, for any dimension d . To obtain ergodicity, the methods presented above can be used to get a statement like Theorem 3.13 below. Of course it would be necessary to make a finer arithmetic study to have results similar to those of dimension 1 given in the preceding theorems.

Let us consider $\Phi = (\varphi_j)$ from $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$ with components $\varphi_j = \sum_i c_{i,j} 1_{I_{i,j}} - \beta_j$, where the coefficients $c_{i,j}$ are integers and $\beta_j = \sum_j c_{i,j} \text{length}(I_{i,j})$.

Theorem 3.13 *For almost every choice of $(\beta_j) \in [0, 1]^d$, the measures λ_0, λ_χ (where χ is an exponential on $\mathbb{R}^d$) are ergodic for the transformation τ_Φ defined in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ over the rotation by an irrational α by the cocycle Φ . There are no other locally finite τ_Φ -invariant ergodic measures.*

Proof For almost every choice of $(\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, d) \in [0, 1]^d$, the sequence $((q_n \beta_1, \dots, q_n \beta_d) \bmod 1)_{n \geq 1}$ is equidistributed in $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$. One then can apply the method of proof of Theorem 3.7. $\square$

Rotations in higher dimension

Another generalization consists in replacing at the basis of the skew product the rotation by a rotation of higher dimension. For questions related to multidimensional rotations let us mention in particular papers by Frączek ([Fr00]), Rittaud ([Ri00]).

If the function φ has its values in $\mathbb{R}$, one still has the property of recurrence, but the absence of the Denjoy-Koksma inequality (cf. Yoccoz ([Yo80])) makes the question of ergodicity much more difficult.

In this direction, one of the simplest example is

$$\tau_\phi(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1 + \alpha_1, x_2 + \alpha_2, y + 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}[}(x_1)1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}[}(x_2) - \frac{1}{4}).$$

For a product of cylindrical transformations of the form $(x + \alpha_i, y + \varphi_i(x))$, by applying Lemma 2.4, one obtains easily the recurrence if the α_i 's are of type 1 and the functions φ_i are BV and of null integral.

About the recurrence of $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued cocycles over a 2-dimensional rotation, see also [ChCo08].

4 Examples of non-regular cocycles

4.1 A non-regular BV cocycle

Let us still consider a step function on the circle $\varphi = \sum_i c_i 1_{I_i} - \beta$, but with $\beta = u/v \in \mathbb{Q}$. If the c_i are integers and $\beta \neq 0$, it can be shown that the cocycle is regular for the Lebesgue measure μ_0 or the measures μ_χ . Indeed a common period for all τ_φ -invariant functions can be found as in Lemma 3.6.

The situation is different if $\beta = 0$. We are going to show that in that case the cocycle, which has its values in $\mathbb{Z}$, can be non-regular and therefore non-ergodic, but with the group of periods reduced to $\{0\}$. More precisely, if α is not with bpq, one can find β and r such that for $\varphi = 1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]}(\cdot + r)$, one has $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0, \infty\}$. Here the essential values are defined with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ_0 on the circle. This implies that the transformation τ_φ is not ergodic for the product measure $\mu_0 \times dy$, but without periodic invariant functions. The ergodic decomposition of $\mu_0 \times dy$ is given by a family of σ -finite measures based on σ -finite invariant measures for the rotation on the circle.

For the construction we consider the function defined on the circle by $\varphi_{\beta,s} := e^{2\pi i s 1_{[0,\beta]}}$.

K. Merrill ([Me85]) extended results of Veech and Stewart by giving a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for the function $\varphi_{\beta,s}$ to be a quasi-coboundary. Recently M. Guenais and F. Parreau obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for it ([GuPa06]). For the construction that we have in mind, it will be enough to use the following statement:

Theorem 4.1 ([Me85]) *1) Let β be a real of the form $\beta = \sum_1^\infty b_n q_n \alpha \bmod 1$, with $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If*

$$\sum_1^\infty |b_n| q_n ((q_n \alpha)) < \infty, \quad \sum_1^\infty ((b_n s)) < \infty,$$

then $\varphi_{\beta,s} := e^{2\pi i s 1_{[0,\beta]}}$ is a multiplicative quasi-coboundary.

2) Conversely, if $\varphi_{\beta,s}$ is a multiplicative quasi-coboundary, then β can be written: $\beta = \sum_1^\infty b_n q_n \alpha \bmod 1$, with $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lim_n b_n q_n ((q_n \alpha)) = 0$ and $\lim_n ((b_n s)) = 0$.

3) In particular, if α has bpq and $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}\alpha$, $\varphi_{\beta,s}$ is not a multiplicative quasi-coboundary, for every $s \neq 0$.

For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by ρ_r the translation $x \rightarrow x + r \bmod 1$, by $\mathcal{U}(X)$ the group of measurable functions from X to the group $\mathcal{U}$ of complex numbers of modulus 1.

Theorem 4.2 *Let τ be the rotation by α on the circle. If α is not with bpq, there exist β and r such that $\varphi = 1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]} \circ \rho_r$ defines a non-regular cocycle (φ, τ) in $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof By Theorem 4.1, if α is not with bpq, there is an uncountable set of values of β such that, for an uncountable set of s , $\varphi_{\beta,s}$ is a multiplicative coboundary. There are thus $\beta \notin \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$, $s \notin \mathbb{Q}$, a number $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ such that

$$e^{2\pi i s 1_{[0,\beta]}} = \gamma \frac{\psi \circ \tau}{\psi}.$$

For this choice of β and of s , $e^{2\pi i s(1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]} \circ \rho_r)}$ is a multiplicative coboundary for every r . On the other hand, if the function $1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]} \circ \rho_r$ is an additive coboundary for every r , then by Proposition 4.3 below this implies that $1_{[0,\beta]} - \beta$ is an additive coboundary up to some additive constant c (and necessarily $c = 0$, since the integral of $1_{[0,\beta]} - \beta$ is zero). But this would imply that $e^{2\pi i \beta}$ is an eigenvalue of the rotation by α .

Therefore there is $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]} \circ \rho_r$ is not an additive coboundary. For such a value of r , Lemma 1.4 shows that the function $\varphi = 1_{[0,\beta]} - 1_{[0,\beta]} \circ \rho_r$ satisfies: $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0, \infty\}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.3 *Let φ be a real-valued measurable function on a compact abelian group K . Let τ be an ergodic rotation on K . If for every $g \in K$ there exists a measurable function ψ_g such that*

$$\varphi - g\varphi = \psi_g \circ \tau - \psi_g, \quad (37)$$

then φ is an additive quasi-coboundary: $\varphi = c + h \circ \tau - h$, for a measurable function h and a constant c .

Proof From (37) we have, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$e^{2\pi i s \varphi(x)} / e^{2\pi i s \varphi(g.x)} = e^{2\pi i s \psi_g(\tau x)} / e^{2\pi i s \psi_g(x)}. \quad (38)$$

According to Proposition 3 in [Le93], for every s there exist ζ_s in $\mathcal{U}(X)$ and λ_s in $\mathcal{U}$ such that:

$$e^{2\pi i s \varphi} = \lambda_s \tau \zeta_s / \zeta_s. \quad (39)$$

By a theorem of [MoSc80], (39) implies the result. $\square$

Remarks 4.4 1) It remains the question of the existence of a BV function such that, for a rotation by α with bpq, one has $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0, \infty\}$. In the following subsection a construction of a non-regular cocycle is given, without assumption on α .

2) Remark that the following corollary (where $\gamma_r(x)$ is not assumed to be measurable with respect to the two variables (r, x)), follows from the previous proposition:

Corollary 4.5 : *Let $(\gamma_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a cocycle for the action of the group of the circle by translation onto itself (i.e for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, γ_r is 1-periodic measurable and $\gamma_{r+r'} = \gamma_r + \gamma_{r'}(\cdot + r)$). Then there are a measurable function u and constants $(c_r, r \in \mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma_r(\cdot) = c_r + u(\cdot) - u(\cdot + r)$.*

Proof: Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. We have for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$: $\gamma_\alpha(\cdot + r) - \gamma_\alpha(\cdot) = \gamma_r(\cdot + \alpha) - \gamma_r(\cdot)$. We conclude by Proposition 4.3. $\square$

4.2 Construction of a non-regular cocycle, for any irrational α

Let θ_n be defined by

$$\theta_n(x) = a_n 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(r_n x \bmod 1). \quad (40)$$

The sequences (a_n) and (r_n) are chosen in the following manner:

- The sequence (a_n) is a sequence of integers ≥ 1 (for instance $a_n = 3^n$) such that a_n divides a_{n+1} and

$$\sum_1^{n-1} a_k \leq \frac{1}{2} a_n. \quad (41)$$

- For (r_n) we take a subsequence $(r_n) = (q_{k_n})$ of the sequence of denominators of α , where (k_n) is such that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$q_{k_n} \geq n a_n, \quad (42)$$

$$\sqrt{q_{k_{n+1}}} \geq 2 a_n q_{k_{n+1}}, \quad (43)$$

According to (10), the construction is possible for any irrational α . We have then

$$a_{n+1}((r_{n+1}\alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{1}{2} q_{k_n}^{-1}, \forall n \geq 1. \quad (44)$$

According to the invariance of the Lebesgue measure by the transformations $x \rightarrow r_k x \bmod 1$, for $r_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\|\theta_n - \theta_n(\cdot + \alpha)\|_2 = \sqrt{2} a_n ((r_n \alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let φ be defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} [\theta_n(x) - \theta_n(x + \alpha)]. \quad (45)$$

Condition (44) implies that $\varphi \in L^2$ and

$$\sum_{p \geq n+1} \|\theta_p - \theta_p(\cdot + \alpha)\|_2 = \sqrt{2} \sum_{p \geq n+1} a_p ((r_p \alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}} < q_{k_n}^{-1}. \quad (46)$$

We have according to (41)

$$\|S_j(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau))\|_{\infty} = \|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau^j)\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \leq \frac{1}{2} a_n. \quad (47)$$

Lemma 4.6 : φ is not a coboundary.

Proof Suppose that φ is a coboundary. There exists then a real number M and for every j a set B_j of measure $> \frac{1}{2}$ such that $|S_j(\varphi)| \leq M$ on B_j .

On B_j we have according to (47): $|S_j(\varphi - \sum_0^{n-1}(\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau))| \leq M + \frac{1}{2}a_n$.

We have also:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_j(\theta_n - \theta_n \circ \tau)\|_2^2 &= \int |\theta_n(r_n x) - \theta_n(r_n x + r_n j \alpha)|^2 d\mu_0 \\ &= \int |\theta_n(x) - \theta_n(x + r_n j \alpha)|^2 d\mu = 2((jr_n \alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $j = j_n := [\frac{1}{2}q_{k_n+1}]$, we get, according to (10)

$$\|S_{j_n}(\theta_n - \theta_n \circ \tau)\|_2^2 = 2a_n^2((j_n q_{k_n} \alpha)) = 2a_n^2[\frac{1}{2}q_{k_n+1}]q_{k_n+1}^{-1} \simeq a_n^2.$$

Hence:

$$\int_{B_{j_n}} |S_{j_n}(\theta_n - \theta_n \circ \tau)|^2 d\mu_0 \geq \int_0^1 |S_{j_n}(\theta_n - \theta_n \circ \tau)|^2 d\mu_0 - \mu(B_n^c) a_n^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} a_n^2. \quad (48)$$

For the rest $R_n := \sum_{p=n+1}^\infty (\theta_p - \theta_p \circ \tau)$, (46) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{j_n}(R_n)\|_2 &\leq j_n \left(\sum_{p=n+1}^\infty \|\theta_p - \theta_p \circ \tau\|_2 \right) \\ &\leq j_n \sum_{p=n+1}^\infty a_p ((r_p \alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to (48) and to the previous inequality, we get for every $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{2}a_n) &\geq \left(\int_{B_{j_n}} |S_{j_n}(\varphi - \sum_0^{n-1}(\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau))|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{B_{j_n}} |S_{j_n}(\theta_n - \theta_n \circ \tau) + S_{j_n}(R_n)|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_n - \|S_{j_n}(R_n)\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. $\square$

Proposition 4.7 *The function φ given by (45) satisfies $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0, \infty\}$ and defines a non-regular cocycle.*

Proof If $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \{0\}$, then (cf. [Sc77]) φ is a coboundary contrary to the preceding lemma. It suffices to show that φ , which has integer values, has no integer $\neq 0$ as essential value.

The set $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ coincides with the set essential values of the cocycle generated by the function $\varphi - \sum_1^n [\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau]$ which differs from φ by a coboundary.

This function is equal to $\sum_{n+1}^\infty [\theta_k - \theta_k \circ \tau]$ and the values of the corresponding cocycle are 0 or multiple of 3^{n+1} . Therefore, the integers ℓ such that $0 < |\ell| < 3^{n+1}$ are not essential values of φ . As n is arbitrary, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \{0\}$. $\square$

5 Appendix : A diophantine property for (α, β)

We prove here a diophantine property for (α, β) used in Proposition 3.10. In what follows, (q_n) is the sequence of denominators of a given irrational α . For a real β we study the sequence $((q_n \beta))_{n \geq 1}$. (cf. [Co80], [KrLi91]).

Let (M_n) be a sequence (defined for $n \geq n_0$, for some $n_0 \geq 1$). For $\ell \geq n_0$, let Λ_ℓ be the set of real numbers $\beta \in [0, 1]$ such that:

$$\Lambda_\ell = \{\beta : ((q_n \beta)) \leq M_n((q_n \alpha)), \forall n \geq \ell\}. \quad (49)$$

We call interval of rank n , for $k = 0, \dots, q_n - 1$, an interval I_n^k of the form

$$I_n^k = \left[\frac{k}{q_n} - \frac{M_n}{q_n}((q_n \alpha)), \frac{k}{q_n} + \frac{M_n}{q_n}((q_n \alpha)) \right].$$

We assume that the sequence (M_n) is increasing and such that

$$M_n((q_n \alpha)) < \frac{1}{2}, \forall n \geq n_0. \quad (50)$$

This implies that, for $n \geq n_0$, the intervals I_n^k , $k = 0, \dots, q_n - 1$, are pairwise disjoint. For every $\beta \in \Lambda_{n_0}$, there is a sequence $(k_n(\beta))_{n \geq n_0}$ such that:

$$\{\beta\} = \bigcap_{n \geq n_0} I_n^{k_n(\beta)}.$$

If the sequence $(M_n)_{n \geq n_0}$ satisfies

$$2M_{n+1} \frac{((q_{n+1} \alpha))}{q_{n+1}} + 2M_n \frac{((q_n \alpha))}{q_n} < \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \quad (51)$$

an interval of rank n , for $n \geq n_0$, intersects at most one interval of rank $n + 1$.

Lemma 5.1 : *Let (M_n) be an increasing sequence satisfying (50) and (51). If β is such that $((q_n\beta)) \leq M_n((q_n\alpha))$ for $n \geq n_0$, for some n_0 , then $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof We are going to show that, for every k such that $I_{n_0+1}^k$ intersects an interval of order n_0 , the set $\Lambda_{n_0+1} \cap I_{n_0+1}^k$ contains an element of the form $r\alpha - s$, for integers r and s . As this set contains at most one point, it is reduced to this element.

This will imply the result since we have for every $\beta \in \Lambda_{n_0}$:

$$\{\beta\} = \Lambda_{n_0+1} \cap I_{n_0+1}^{k_{n_0+1}(\beta)}$$

and β belongs to the intersection $I_{n_0+1}^{k_{n_0+1}(\beta)} \cap I_{n_0}^{k_{n_0}(\beta)}$.

Let $I_{n_0+1}^k$, $0 \leq k < q_{n_0+1}$, and $I_{n_0}^{k'}$, $0 \leq k' < q_{n_0}$, be two intervals of order respectively $n_0 + 1$ and n_0 . Let $\lambda = k'((q_{n_0+1}\alpha)) + k((q_{n_0}\alpha))$.

(8) and (7) imply $0 < \lambda < 1$ and λ is of the form $r\alpha - s$, wher r and s are the integers:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= (-1)^{n_0}(k'q_{n_0+1} - kq_{n_0}), \\ s &= (-1)^{n_0}(k'p_{n_0+1} - kp_{n_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that if $I_{n_0+1}^k$ and $I_{n_0}^{k'}$ intersect, then λ belongs to $\Lambda_{n_0+1} \cap I_{n_0+1}^k$. We have:

$$\left| \frac{k'}{q_{n_0}} - \frac{k}{q_{n_0+1}} \right| \leq M_{n_0} \frac{((q_{n_0}\alpha))}{q_{n_0}} + M_{n_0+1} \frac{((q_{n_0+1}\alpha))}{q_{n_0+1}};$$

hence:

$$|k'q_{n_0+1} - kq_{n_0}| = |r| \leq M_{n_0}q_{n_0+1}((q_{n_0}\alpha)) + M_{n_0+1}q_{n_0}((q_{n_0+1}\alpha)).$$

According to (8), the right hand term is the barycenter of M_{n_0} and M_{n_0+1} . As $M_{n_0+1} \geq M_{n_0}$, this implies: $|r| < M_{n_0+1}$.

We have therefore, for $n \geq n_0 + 1$, since (M_n) is an increasing sequence,

$$((q_n\lambda)) = ((q_nr\alpha)) \leq |r|((q_n\alpha)) \leq M_{n_0+1}((q_n\alpha)) \leq M_n((q_n\alpha)),$$

which implies $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n_0+1}$.

Let us show that $\lambda \in I_{n_0+1}^k$ interval of order $n_0 + 1$. Still using (8), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{k}{q_{n_0+1}} - \lambda \right| &= \left| \frac{k}{q_{n_0+1}}(1 - q_{n_0+1}((q_{n_0}\alpha))) - k'((q_{n_0+1}\alpha)) \right| \\ &= |(kq_{n_0} - k'q_{n_0+1})| \frac{((q_{n_0+1}\alpha))}{q_{n_0+1}} \leq M_{n_0+1} \frac{((q_{n_0+1}\alpha))}{q_{n_0+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies: $\lambda \in I_{n_0+1}^k$. $\square$

By taking $M_n = \frac{1}{4}q_n$, we recover a result of C. Kraaikamp and P. Liardet [KrLi91]:

Corollary 5.2 : *If there exists n_0 such that $((q_n\beta)) \leq \frac{1}{4}q_n((q_n\alpha))$, for $n \geq n_0$, then $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z} \bmod 1$.*

Proof The sequence (M_n) defined by $M_n = \frac{1}{4}q_n$, $n \geq n_0$, is increasing, satisfies (50) and the condition (51) since, according to (9), we have for every $n \geq n_0$:

$$M_{n+1} \frac{((q_{n+1}\alpha))}{q_{n+1}} + M_n \frac{((q_n\alpha))}{q_n} = \frac{1}{4}[(q_{n_0}\alpha) + ((q_{n_0+1}\alpha))] < \frac{1}{2q_{n+1}}.$$

Therefore we can apply Lemma 5.1. $\square$

Remarks 5.3 1) If we take for (M_n) a constant sequence, $M_n = (q_{n_0} - 1)/2$, $n \geq n_0$, then (8) implies (51). The previous argument becomes simpler: at rank n_0 there is, at most, q_{n_0} intervals of rank n_0 providing a non-empty intersection. As the elements of the form $p\alpha \bmod 1$, for $|p| < (q_{n_0} - 1)/2$ belong to the set Λ_{n_0} , they are exactly all elements of Λ_{n_0} . It shows the following result (cf. [Co80]), weaker than the corollary, since M_n here is constant, but slightly more precise on the form of β :

Let n_0 be such that q_{n_0} is odd. If $((q_n\beta)) \leq \frac{q_{n_0}-1}{2}((q_n\alpha))$, for $n \geq n_0$ then $\beta = p\alpha \bmod 1$, with $|p| \leq \frac{q_{n_0}-1}{2}$.

2) When α is pbq, Corollary 5.2 gives a result of G. Larcher ([La88]): *Let α be a real number with bpq. If $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\lim_n((q_n\beta)) = 0$, then $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}$.*

On the other hand, if α is not with bpq, then the set $E(\alpha) = \{\beta : \lim_n((q_n\beta)) = 0\}$ is an uncountable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ (cf. [KrLi91]).

References

- [ALMN92] Aaronson (J.), Lemańczyk (M.), Mauduit (C.), Nakada (H.): Koksma's inequality and group extensions of Kronecker transformations. Algorithms, fractals, and dynamics (Okayama/Kyoto, 1992), p.27-50, Plenum, New York, 1995.
- [Aa97] Aaronson (J.): An introduction to infinite ergodic theory, Mathematical surveys and monographs 50, AMS, Providence, 1997.
- [ANSS02] Aaronson (J.), Nakada (H.), Sarig (O.), Solomyak (R.): Invariant measures and asymptotics for some skew products, Israel J. of Math., vol 128 (2002), p.93-134
- [Br02] Brémont (J.): Marches aléatoires en milieu aléatoire sur $\mathbb{Z}$; dynamique d'applications localement contractantes sur le cercle, (Thèse de doctorat, Université de Rennes I), (2002).
- [ChCo08] Chevallier(N.), Conze (J.-P.): Recurrence of stationary walks in $\mathbb{R}^d$ over a rotation of $\mathbb{T}^2$, preprint (2008).

- [Co80] Conze (J.-P.): Ergodicité d'une transformation cylindrique, Bull. Soc. Math. France, no 108 (1980), p.441-456.
- [CoGu00] Conze (J.-P.), Guivarc'h (Y): Marches en milieu aléatoire et mesures quasi-invariantes pour un système dynamique, Colloq. Math. Dedicated to the memory of Anzelm Iwanik, 84/85 (2000), part 2, p.457-480.
- [CoRa07] Conze (J.-P.), Raugi (A.): On the ergodic decomposition for a cocycle, preprint (2007).
- [FeMo77] Feldman (J.), Moore (C. C.): Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234 (1977), no. 2, p.289-324.
- [Fr00] Frączek (K.): Circle extensions of Z^d -rotations on the d -dimensional torus, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 61 (2000), no. 1, p.139-162.
- [Fr05] Frączek (K.): On ergodicity of some cylinder flows, Fund. Math. 163 (2000), no. 2, p.117-130.
- [GoSi85] Golodets (V. Ya.), Sinel'shchikov (S. D.): Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys. 5 (1985), no. 1, p.47-57.
- [Gr05] Greschonig (G.): Recurrence in unipotent groups and ergodic nonabelian group extensions. Israel J. Math. 147 (2005), p.245-267.
- [GuPa06] Guenais (M.), François Parreau (F.): Valeurs propres de transformations liées aux rotations irrationnelles et aux fonctions en escalier (2006).
- [He79] Herman (M.): Construction de difféomorphismes ergodiques, unpublished manuscript (1979).
- [IwLeRu93] Iwanik (A.), Lemańczyk (M.), Rudolph (D.): Absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations, Israel J. Math. 83 (1993) p.73-95.
- [KrLi91] Kraaikamp (C.), Liardet (P.): Good approximations and continued fractions, Proc. A. M. S., vol. 112, no 2, 1991, p.303-309.
- [Kr75] Krygin (A. B.): An example of a cylindrical cascade with anomalous metric properties. (Russian) Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh. 30 (1975), no. 5, p.26-32.
- [La88] Larcher (G.): A convergence problem connected with continued fractions, Proc. A. M. S., vol. 103 (1988), p.718-722.
- [LeMa94] Lemańczyk (M.), Mauduit (C.): Ergodicity of a class of cocycles over irrational rotations, J. London Math. Soc. 49 (1994) p.124-132.
- [Le95] Lemańczyk (M.): Analytic nonregular cocycles over irrational rotations, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 36 (1995), no. 4, p.727-735.

- [LePaVo] Lemańczyk (M.), Parreau (F.), Volný (D.): Ergodic properties of real cocycles and pseudo-homogeneous Banach spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), no. 12, p.4919-4938.
- [Le93] Lesigne (E.): Equations fonctionnelles, couplage de produits gauches et théorèmes ergodiques pour mesures diagonales, Bull. S.M.F., t. 121, no 3 (1993) p.315-351.
- [MeSt93] de Melo (W.), van Strien (S.): *One-dimensional dynamics*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 25 (1993) Springer-Verlag.
- [Me85] Merrill (K.): Cohomology of steps functions under irrational rotations, Israel J. of Math., vol 52 no 4 (1985), p.93-134.
- [MoSc80] Moore (C. C.), Schmidt (K.) : Coboundaries and homomorphisms for non-singular actions and a problem of H. Helson. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 40 (1980), no. 3, p.443-475.
- [Na82a] Nakada (H.): Piecewise linear homeomorphisms of type III and the ergodicity of cylinder flows. Keio Math. Sem. Rep. No. 7 (1982), p.29-40.
- [Na82b] Nakada (H.): On a family of locally finite invariant measures for a cylinder flow. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 31 (1982), no. 2, p.183-189.
- [Or83] Oren (I.): Ergodicity of cylinder flows arising from irregularities of distribution, Israel J. of Math., vol 44 no 2 (1983), p.127-138
- [Pa69] Parry (W.): Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nil-manifolds. Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), p.757-771.
- [Pa90a] Pask (D): Skew products over the irrational rotation, Israel J. Math. 69 (1990), p.65-74.
- [Pa91] Pask (D.): Ergodicity of certain cylinder flows, Isr. J. Math. 76 (1991), p. 129-152.
- [Ra06] Raugi (A.): Mesures invariantes ergodiques pour des produits gauches, Bull. Soc. Math. France 135 (2007).
- [Ri00] Rittaud (B.): Équidistribution presque partout modulo 1 de suites oscillantes perturbées, Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000), no. 3, p.451-471.
- [Sa04] Sarig (O.): Invariant Radon measures for horocycle flows on abelian covers, Invent. Math. 157 (2004), no. 3, p.519-551.
- [Sc77] Schmidt (K.): Lectures on Cocycles of Ergodic Transformations Groups, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 1, Mac Millan Co. of India (1977).

- [Sc75] Schmidt (K.): Infinite invariant measures on the circle, Symposia Mathematica, vol. XXI, Rome (1975), Academic Press 1977.
- [Sc79] Schmidt (K.): Unique ergodicity and related problems, Ergodic theory (Proc. Conf., Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1978), p. 188-198, Lecture Notes in Math., 729, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [St81] Stewart (M.): Irregularities of uniform distribution, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., vol. 37, 1981, p.185-221.
- [Vo95] Volný (D.): Constructions of smooth and analytic cocycles over irrational circle rotations, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 36 (1995), no. 4, p.745-764.
- [Yo80] Yoccoz (J.-C.): Sur la disparition de propriétés de type Denjoy-Koksma en dimension 2, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 291 (1980), no. 13.

Jean-Pierre Conze
e-mail: conze@univ-rennes1.fr