Turbulent cascade of a quantum fluid at finite temperature

E. Lévêque¹, C. F. Barenghi², P. Diribarne³ and P.-E. Roche⁴

(1) Laboratoire de Physique, ENS Lyon, CNRS / Université de Lyon, F-69364 Lyon, France

(2) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

(3) SBT, INAC CEA/ UJF, 38054 Grenoble cedex 9, France

(4) Institut Néel, CNRS / UJF, BP 166, F-38042 Grenoble cedex 9, France
`philippe.roche at grenoble.cnrs.fr`

1 Introduction : Motivation and Model

Quantum fluids (liquid ^3He and ^4He , atomic Bose-Einstein condensates) consist of two co-penetrating fluids: the superfluid, associated to the quantum ground state, and the normal fluid, associated to thermal excitations. In this work the turbulent inertial cascade undergone by a quantum fluid at small but finite temperature above absolute zero is simulated by DNS of two coupled Navier-Stokes equations[1]. Following the two-fluid model of Landau and Tisza, one equation accounts for the viscous normal fluid, while the other equation models superfluid dynamics on scales larger than the inter-vortex spacing.

The originality of our approach consists in introducing an artificial superfluid viscosity - as a turbulence closure - to model non-viscous processes taking place on scales smaller than the inter-vortex spacing, such as phonon emission. For simplicity, the fluids' coupling -often called "mutual friction"- is a simplified version of the coupling of the HVBK model[1]. With obvious notation, the governing equations of the two fluids are :

$$\frac{D\mathbf{v}_s}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho_s}\nabla p_s + \nu_s\nabla^2\mathbf{v}_s - \frac{\rho_n}{\rho}\frac{B}{2}|\boldsymbol{\omega}_s|(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)$$

$$\frac{D\mathbf{v}_n}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho_n}\nabla p_n + \nu_n\nabla^2\mathbf{v}_n + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho}\frac{B}{2}|\boldsymbol{\omega}_s|(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)$$

where the subscripts "n" and "s" stand for "normal fluid" and "superfluid", $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s$ is the superfluid vorticity, $B = 2$ and the velocity fields are solenoidal. This model allows us to take advantage of optimized parallelized codes in exploring the interaction between the two fluids. This paper is more focused on numerical aspects, the physical interpretation will be discussed elsewhere.

2 Numerical aspects

Numerical simulations refer to the integration of the previous dynamical equations in a cubic domain with periodic boundary conditions in the three directions. The simulations rely on a parallel (distributed-memory) pseudo-spectral solver[2]. Three grid sizes are considered, 128^3 , 256^3 and 512^3 respectively. The velocity Fourier modes are advanced in time by a second-order Adams-Bashforth scheme.

The ratio between the density of the normal fluid and the density of the superfluid is $\rho_n/\rho_s = 0.1, 1$ or 10 respectively for “low”, “intermediate” and “high” temperatures. The ratio between the kinematic viscosities is fixed at $\nu_n/\nu_s = 4$, which is a compromise chosen to separate the dissipative cut-off wavenumbers of the two fluids and maximize the extension of the inertial range of the superfluid.

In order to ensure (statistical) stationarity, a constant-energy flux is supplied to the Fourier modes in the shell of wave-vectors $1.5 < |\mathbf{k}| < 2.5$. This external energy flux applies to the normal fluid in the high-temperature and intermediate-temperature cases, and to the superfluid in the low-temperature case. This forcing scheme permits a rapid relaxation to a steady state, which is attained when the total dissipation fluctuates around the imposed energy input flux.

The transient from the initial state is monitored by the space-averaged enstrophies $\langle \omega_n^2 \rangle$, $\langle \omega_s^2 \rangle$ and $\langle (\omega_s - \omega_n)^2 \rangle$. The first three subplots of Fig. 1 show this transient.

3 Results

The fourth subplot of Fig. 1 shows that correlation between ω_s^2 and ω_n^2 is larger than 97% in steady state, indicating a strong locking of the two fluids. Fig. 2 illustrates this locking in physical space : the vorticity structures - slightly more intense in the superfluid - are indeed overlapping. A similar conclusion was reached recently in a numerical simulation performed at high temperature without coupling from the superfluid to the normal fluid [3].

Fig. 3 shows velocity power density spectra under various conditions; for both fluids there is clear evidence of an inertial range compatible with a $-5/3$ Kolmogorov-like scaling. This figure also shows the power spectra of the slip velocity $\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n$. We find that this quantity peaks at small scales, where ν_n efficiently damps the kinematic energy of the normal fluid. The spectra in the inset are obtained at different temperatures but with fixed ν_s , ν_n and total injected energy. They show that the extend of the inertial range varies with temperature, showing that the Reynolds number - defined using the separation of large and small scales - is a temperature dependent parameter in our two-fluid system.

Fig. 1. Subplots 1,2 and 3 illustrate for different temperature the convergence to the steady state by monitoring the total enstrophy of the superfluid $\langle \omega_s^2 \rangle$ (top curve), normal fluid $\langle \omega_n^2 \rangle$ (intermediate curve) and the slip enstrophy $\langle (\omega_s - \omega_n)^2 \rangle$ (lower curve). These simulations were performed with grid resolution 512^3 . Subplot 4 shows the correlation between the superfluid and normal fluid enstrophy fields during the transient to the steady state. These simulations were performed with grid resolution 256^3 and 512^3 .

Fig. 2. Local enstrophy of the superfluid (left) and normal fluid (right) at high temperature ($\rho_n/\rho_s = 10$). Grid resolution is 256^3 . This visualisation is generated with “Vapor” freeware. (www.vapor.ucar.edu)

Fig. 3. Velocity spectra at high temperature for resolutions 128^3 , 256^3 and 512^3 . For each resolution, and from top to bottom : superfluid, normal fluid and slip velocities $\mathbf{v}_n$, $\mathbf{v}_s$ and $\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n$. Dashed line : $-5/3$ scaling. Inset : Velocity spectra for fixed kinematic viscosities and total energy injection, at high (black), intermediate (gray) and low (light gray) temperatures. Continuous line : $-5/3$ scaling.

4 Conclusion

The locking between the superfluid and the normal fluid which we observe, as well as the Kolmogorov scaling, are consistent with the present theoretical understanding of quantum turbulence[4]. This work extends the numerical evidence over a wide temperature range, using a self-consistent coupling model between the two fluids. Two new features which we observe are the peaking of the slip velocity at small scales, and the temperature dependence of the Reynolds numbers for fixed energy injection and kinematic viscosities.

Acknowledgements : We thank L. Chevillard and J. Salort for useful discussions and support. CPU resources were provided by local computing facilities (PSMN at Ens-Lyon) and french supercomputing centers (CINES and CCRT-CEA). Supports from EPSRC (EP/D040892) and ANR TSF are acknowledged.

References

1. Quantized vortices in Helium II. Donnelly, R.J., Cambridge University Press(1991)
2. Leveque E. and Koudella C., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4033 (2001)
3. Morris, K., Koplik, J., and Rouson, D.W.I. Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 015301 (2008)
4. Vinen W. F., and Niemela J. J. J. Low Temp. Phys. **128**, 167 (2002)